Neutral solar photo-Fenton degradation of 4-nitrophenol on iron-enriched hybrid montmorillonite-alginate beads (Fe-MABs)

Salvatore Barreca\textsuperscript{a,b}, Julia Janeth Velez Colmenares\textsuperscript{c}, Andrea Pace\textsuperscript{a,b,***}, Santino Orecchio\textsuperscript{a}, Cesar Pulgarin\textsuperscript{c,***}

\textsuperscript{a} Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Biologiche, Chimiche e Farmaceutiche (STEBICEF), Università di Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, I-90128 Palermo, Italy
\textsuperscript{b} Istituto EuroMediterraneo di Scienza e Tecnologia (EMEST), Via Emerico Amari 123, 90139 Palermo, Italy
\textsuperscript{c} Institute of Environmental Engineering, Laboratory for Environmental Biotechnology, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology – École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

\begin{abstract}
Hybrid montmorillonite-alginate beads (MABs) were prepared by the ion-gelation method from alginate and montmorillonite clay suspension dropped in a calcium chloride solution. Similarly, iron-enriched beads (Fe-MABs) were prepared using iron-exchanged montmorillonite. All beads were characterized by atomic absorption and Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy. The efficiency of Fe-MABs as catalysts for the solar photo-Fenton performed at initial pH = 7.0 was evaluated by varying the catalyst amount and hydrogen peroxide concentration, and monitoring the removal of 4-nitrophenol (4-NP) at initial concentration of 10 ppm. A kinetic analysis showed that the removal of 4-NP by Fe-MABs followed a pseudo first-order kinetics model ($R^2 = 0.966$).

High 4-NP removal (75\%) was achieved with 25 Fe-MABs by using 150 ppm of hydrogen peroxide and 40 min of irradiation, while total 4-NP removal was obtained by using 500 ppm of hydrogen peroxide. Moreover, preliminary studies about beads’ recycling showed good removal efficiencies for the first three cycles.
\end{abstract}

\section{Introduction}

Along with the development of industrial production, both the amount and categories of hazardous pollutants are enlarging\textsuperscript{[1–3]}. In this frame, typical non-biodegradable, toxic and bio-refractory pollutants are nitro-aromatics compounds. One of the most dangerous nitro-aromatics is 4-nitrophenol (4-NP), which is largely present in the wastewaters as a by-product of pesticide, herbicide and synthetic dyes production\textsuperscript{[4]}. 4-NP can cause considerable damages to the ecosystem and human health, causing alterations in the central nervous system, liver, kidney and blood of humans and animals\textsuperscript{[5]}. The conventional methods in industrial wastewater treatment are biological oxidation\textsuperscript{[6]} and physico-chemical processes\textsuperscript{[7]}. Biological processes, however, are not efficient for 4-NP removal due to its high stability and solubility in water\textsuperscript{[7]}. On the other hand, non-destructive removal of 4-NP by physico-chemical processes would result in the transfer of the contamination from one phase to another, thus producing secondary wastes still needing further treatment\textsuperscript{[8]}. For this reason the research community is focused about the development of processes for pollutants removal and degradation by harmless and inexpensive techniques\textsuperscript{[9]}. In the last few decades, also photochemical methodologies have been applied to pollutants degradation in air and aqueous matrices\textsuperscript{[10,11]} as well as for the refinement of analytical procedures\textsuperscript{[12]}.

In this context, Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs) have potential in wastewater treatment for completely removing organic pollutants\textsuperscript{[13]}. These processes depend on the generation of hydroxyl radicals (OH$^\cdot$) which are more effective oxidants ($E^0 = +2.8$ V) ozone ($E^0 = +2.0$ V) and H$_2$O$_2$ ($E^0 = +1.8$ V).

One of the most effective AOPs involves the Fenton’s reaction (equations from (1) to (7)), where hydrogen peroxide is catalytically decomposed into various reactive oxygenated species (ROS) by both ferrous (Eq. (1)) and ferric (Eq. (2)) ions, usually in an acidic

\begin{figure}
\includegraphics{figure1.png}
\caption{Graphical representation of Fenton's reaction.}
\end{figure}
(2.8–5.5) pH range, and with an optimal efficiency at pH = 3.2 [13]. Overall, the organic contaminants will be oxidatively degraded by the formed ROS (mainly the hydroxyl radical) at the expenses of hydrogen peroxide consumption.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{OH}^- + \cdot\text{OH} \quad (k = 63 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}) \\
\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{HO}_2^- + \text{H}^+ \quad (k = 3.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}) \\
\cdot\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{HO}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (k = 3.3 \times 10^{-2} \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}) \\
\cdot\text{OH} + \text{Fe}^{2+} & \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \cdot\text{OH}^- \quad (k = 3.0 \times 10^{8} \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}) \\
\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{HO}_2^- & \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{O}_2 + \text{H}^+ \quad (k = 2.0 \times 10^{7} \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}) \\
\text{HO}_2^- + \text{HO}_2^- & \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 \quad (k = 8.3 \times 10^{5} \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}) \\
\end{align*}
\]

In the photochemical variant of the Fenton’s reaction (photo-Fenton), the production of hydroxyl radical (\(\cdot\text{OH}\)) is greatly increased by UV–vis irradiation in the 200–600 nm wavelength range [13].

Under homogeneous conditions, ROS start to be photochemically produced around at pH 5.2, while a range of pH 2.5–3.5 is required for optimal efficiency [14]. This represents one of the limitations of the application of Fenton processes and current research is aimed at finding new Fenton-like heterogeneous processes able to begin under neutral conditions. To avoid environmental issues due to the consumption, precipitation, and treatment of a large amount of iron, attempts have been made to immobilize Fe ions on polymeric substrates, such as Nafion membranes [15] and pellets [16]. However, considering the cost of Nafion on a large scale [16], various low cost polymeric materials, which have also the capability of adsorbing inorganic and organic pollutants, are being explored as support for heterogeneous photo-Fenton reactions [17,18]. For instance, Fe(III) ions have been immobilized onto modified polycrylonitrile fibers [19,20] and alginate [21,21]. The latter, is a biopolymer exhibiting a sol–gel transition when exposed to different ionic environment (e.g. substitution of sodium by calcium or iron cations). This material is biocompatible, inexpensive, and is easily assembled into spherules or beads [22]. However, the replacement of calcium cations in the alginate matrix with the catalytic iron species would significantly decrease the bead stability [22,24]. Recently, mesoporous inorganic materials, such as alumina silicates clays (montmorillonite, halloysite), have been used to obtain hybrid gel beads with improved stability [18,25,26]. However, the low iron concentration in the natural clay has limited their use in photo-Fenton reaction [27]. Moreover, after some pioneering report [21], that underlined the effect of Fe-alginate beads to conduct the photo-Fenton reaction at neutral pH, the application of iron-containing alginate beads as heterogeneous Fenton catalysts for the degradation of the organic pollutants, due their “big fragility” [23] and high iron leaching, seems to be still in its embryonic stage [23,28]. Therefore, we decided to explore the possibility of using iron-enriched clay for the construction of stable high iron alginate/clay beads as catalyst for heterogeneous photo-Fenton. By considering recent studies reporting the adsorption of 4-NP pollutant onto hybrid montmorillonite/alginate beads (MABs) [29] and the possibility to increase the iron content of the clay by iron exchange, we decided to prepare and characterize iron-enriched montmorillonite/alginate beads (Fe-MABs), and test them as heterogeneous catalysts for the photo-Fenton degradation of 4-NP as model pollutant.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and reagents

Sodium alginate was obtained from Fischer Scientific and used without purification. Iron (III) nitrate hexahydrate was purchased from Fluka. Formic acid, ethanol, and 4-nitrophenol were purchased from Merck. Sodium bisulphite, hydrogen peroxide (33%), calcium chloride, montmorillonite K10 (Mont–K) clay, and titanium oxysulfate were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. All solutions were prepared with Milli-Q water (18.2 MΩ cm). Glassware for analytical analysis and reactors were soaked for one day in 10% HNO₃ after each experiment to prevent iron cross-contamination.

2.2. Preparation of iron-enriched montmorillonite (Fe-Mont)

Fe-Mont has been prepared by following the recently reported methodology [30]. By following the reported procedure, the iron will be present in goethite form (α-FeOOH) without Fe₂O₃ or Fe₃O₄ species [30,31]. A 30% (w/w) Fe(NO₃)₃ solution was prepared in a 60/40 (vol/vol) ethanol/water solvent mixture. Mont-K (5 g) was added to the ferric nitrate solution and the slurry was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The obtained slurry was centrifuged, and the residue washed with water and dried at 120 °C for 24 h thus yielding a fine powder of Fe-Mont.

2.3. Preparation of hybrid montmorillonite-alginate beads (MABs)

Hybrid alginate beads were prepared by adapting the ionic gelation method [32]. In particular, montmorillonite/alginate beads (MABs) were prepared according to the procedure reported in the literature [29]. In turn, hybrid iron-enriched montmorillonite-alginate beads (Fe-MABs) were prepared by mixing a 4% (w/v) sodium alginate aqueous solution (0.8 g in 20 mL) with a 20 mL aqueous suspension of Fe-Mont (1.6 g). The resulting slurry was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then added drop wise into a stirred solution of 0.1 M CaCl₂ (100 mL) forming about 600 red-ochre gel beads with approximate diameter of 5 mm. The beads suspension was left under continuous stirring for 10 h before removing the calcium chloride solution by filtration. The beads were then re-suspended in Milli-Q water (100 mL), stirred for 1 h and filtered. All beads underwent five washing cycles before being stored in water at 5 °C.

2.4. Iron content determination in MABs and Fe-MABs

In order to determine the iron content immobilized on the surface of MABs and Fe-MABs analytical procedures were conducted as reported in literature [23] on 50 beads randomly taken from a 600-beads batch. The 50-beads sample (removed by filtration on a Whatman 4 filter paper from the stock solution) was added to a 2 M H₂SO₄ aqueous solution (25 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 24 h to allow the release of superficial iron (III) ions. The concentration of Fe³⁺ in the solution was determined by AAS. The total iron content of the hybrid beads was determined on a different 50-beads sample selected from the same 600-beads batch. The sample was filtered from the stock solution, weighted, placed in a crucible and dried at 60 °C for 12 h, an finally heated in a muffle using a two-step temperature program (20–250 °C for 2 h followed by a fast ramp and hold for 24 h at 550 °C). Once cooled, a 25 mL 20% (v/v) nitric acid was added to dissolve the ashes. The obtained acidic suspension was filtered on a Whatman 4 filter paper and analyzed by AAS. Iron determinations were repeated for three times.
Table 1
Weight of beads wet and dried and iron concentration in surface and total in MABs and Fe-MABs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>50 MABs</th>
<th>50 Fe-MABs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight of beads wet (mg)</td>
<td>2075 ± 3</td>
<td>2368 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of beads dried (mg)</td>
<td>192 ± 1</td>
<td>206 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe in surface (mg)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.2</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe in total (mg)</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

within the same batch and performed for two batches of MABs and Fe-MABs. Results are reported in Table 1.

2.5. Irradiation experiments

Both photochemical (without beads) and photocatalytic experiments were carried out in two Pyrex glass reactors, each containing 25 mL of 2.8 mM (10 ppm) aqueous nitrophenol solution, resembling the typical concentration of this micropollutant class in pharmaceutical industries wastewater [33]. Initial pH was adjusted at 7.0 ± 0.1, and the solution was irradiated in batch mode in a solar simulator Suntest CPS (Atlas GmbH) at 290–600 nm under continuous stirring (300 rpm). A Xenon arc lamp was used as a light source with an irradiation intensity of 1800 W/m². The average of the irradiation intensity was monitored in the range 300–400 nm by an ACADUS S2004 UV radiometer equipped with a LS-3300 controller. The temperature reached in the reactor during the experiments was in the range 25–35°C. Experiments were performed by varying the initial amount of H₂O₂ (0–500 ppm), the type (MABs or Fe-MABs) and the amount of beads (0–0.617 g; 0–150 beads). Reactions were monitored at various irradiation times by collecting small portions (0.5 mL) of the irradiated solution and results are illustrated in the 0–60 min range. To avoid dark Fenton reactions during the time allowed for nitrophenol analysis, the residual H₂O₂ in each collected sample was quenched by addition of an excess of 1 M sodium bisulfite immediately after sampling.

2.6. Analytical methods

FT-IR measurements were performed in freeze-drying samples with KBr pellets. The FT-IR spectrum were registers in the range from 500 to 4000 cm⁻¹ by using an FT-IR instrument Agilent Cary 630 FT-IR Spectrophotometer.

The 4-NP degradation and nitrophenol reaction products were monitored by chemical standards retention time’s comparison by HPLC (HP Hewlett Packard 1100 Series; detector Agilent 1100 Series) equipped with a C-18 analytical column (Macherey-Nagel Nucleosil 100–5 c18), using a 1:1 acetonitrile/aqueous formic acid (0.5%) mobile phase, a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min, and monitoring UV absorption at 300 nm.

The dissolved iron concentration was measured by atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) (Perkin Elmer Ltd., Beaconsfield, UK) according to the UNI-EN-ISO 11885 method. The H₂O₂ concentration in solution was assessed by titania oxisulfate method (modified method DIN 38 402 H15) monitoring absorbance at 410 nm using UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer Lambda 20). pH was monitored by a Toledo S220 pH-meter.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of MABs

The formation of hybrid beads, such as reported in literature, could be driven by polar interactions between the uncharged siloxane moieties of the clay and the hydroxyl groups of the alginate biopolymer [26]. Compared to normal montmorillonite-alginate beads, the introduction of Fe-enriched montmorillonite into alginate capsules was accompanied by a significant change in color of the beads, from white to red, easily detectable by the naked eye (Fig. 1).

Weight of both wet and dried beads is reported in Table 1 for sets of 50 beads which were also characterized for their content of iron concentration on the surface and in the entire bead. The drying process induced shrinkage of the microcapsules in fact the weight loss during the drying process was 91% for Fe-MABs and 90% for MABs (Table 1).

Iron concentration in MABs surface is not detectable (<0.2 mg or <520 mg/kg) while superficial iron on the Fe-MABs is 2.2 mg or
10,500 mg/kg. Fe content in total MABs is 0.4 mg (2083 mg/kg). This value is very low respect the Fe-MABs that is 2.8 mg (13,000 mg/kg).

The differences and molecular interactions of montmorillonite Fe enriched and alginate beads were investigated by using FTIR spectroscopy. The FTIR spectrum of alginate, montmorillonite k10, montmorillonite Fe-enriched, MABs and Fe-MABs are shown in Fig. 1b.

The absorption bands from FT-IR of montmorillonite were assigned as follows: 3450 and 3631 cm$^{-1}$ corresponded to OH stretching mode in molecular water and Si–OH, Al–OH bonds; 2900 cm$^{-1}$ corresponded to C–H stretching; 1623 and 1428 cm$^{-1}$ corresponded to carboxylate asymmetric/symmetric; 1044, 623 and 523 cm$^{-1}$ to Si–O stretching in [SiO]$_4$ tetrahedra; 916 cm$^{-1}$ was attributed to Al–Al–OH bending vibration; 798 cm$^{-1}$ corresponded to Si–O in SiO$_2$; 669 cm$^{-1}$ corresponded to Fe–OH stretching mode of goethite in agree with literature reported [34]. The absorption bands at 1623 and 1428 cm$^{-1}$ confirm the presence of alginate in both MABs and Fe-MABs, while the adsorption band at 669 cm$^{-1}$ confirms the presence of iron in its goethite form both into iron enriched montmorillonite and Fe-MABs.

3.2. Photocatalytic activity of MABs and Fe-MABs

To evaluate the photocatalytic activities of alginate/montmorillonite hybrid beads, 4-NP degradation studies have been conducted under simulated solar light at initial pH 7.0 ± 0.1 using either MABs (25 wet beads; 0.056 g dry weight) or Fe-MABs (25 wet beads; 0.103 g dry weight). In our case, employing the same number of beads, we compared the similar surface area and weight for different beads. The catalytic activities were determined by considering 4-NP degradation and H$_2$O$_2$ consumption (Fig. 2a and b).

Data illustrated in Fig. 2b clearly show the increased rate of H$_2$O$_2$ consumption when Fe-MABs are used with respect to MABs or solution irradiation.

As expected, 4-NP was highly stable under solution irradiation without hydrogen peroxide and catalyst (Fig. 2a, purple line). The photochemical irradiation in the presence of H$_2$O$_2$, instead caused the degradation of 4-NP with a pseudo-first order kinetic constant (k) whose value is $0.0047 \pm 0.0006$ (min$^{-1}$). This photooxidative degradation could be ascribed to either ROS generation by energy transfer mechanisms sensitized by the deprotonated 4-nitrophenol, which absorbs at 418 nm and at neutral pH is equinomol to its undisassociated form [35], or to the direct homolytic photolysis of H$_2$O$_2$ induced by UV absorption. However, considering both the used concentrations of 4-NP and hydrogen peroxide and their molar extinction coefficients ($\varepsilon = 0.7 \text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ for H$_2$O$_2$ at 310 nm [36]; $\varepsilon = 16,800 \text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ for 4-NP at 410 nm [37]) one can reasonably hypothesize that the contribution of direct photolysis of H$_2$O$_2$ is negligible.

Interestingly, using hybrid MABs (see red line in Fig. 2a) does not improve the removal rate of nitrophenol possibly due to the complementary effects of (i) interference of the beads with light irradiation (reducing the amount of light reaching 4-NP for the photosensitized process), and (ii) adsorption of 4-NP on the MABs surface (increasing removal). On the other hand, by employing iron enriched Fe-MABs the 4-NP removal rate undergoes a great increase (Fig. 2, blue lines) due to the significant contribution of photo-Fenton processes and devoid of a lower 4-NP adsorption capacity of Fe-MABs with respect to MABs (see kinetics and adsorption isotherms in Fig. 3).

Since the degradation of 4-NP involves both $^\cdot$OH and H$_2$O$_2^* \cdot$ radicals, the processes implicated in their generation in the presence of Fe-MABs (heterogenous photo-Fenton) are illustrated in Scheme 1a, while their consumption is summarized in Scheme 1b.

Overall, hydroxyl radicals $^\cdot$OH are consumed by four different pathways resulting in: 4-NP oxidation products (Ia), alginate oxidation products (Ib), MAB-Fe$^{3+}$ catalyst regeneration (Ic), and H$_2$O$_2^*$ formation by reaction with hydrogen peroxide (Id).

In turn, hydroperoxide radicals H$_2$O$_2^*$ are consumed by: 4-NP oxidation (Ia), alginate oxidation (Ib), a slow H$_2$O$_2$ mediated production of $^\cdot$OH (Ic), and acid catalyzed H$_2$O$_2$ formation also accompanied by MAB-Fe$^{3+}$ regeneration (Ic). This mechanism was confirmed by identifying the formation of hydroquinone and 4-nitrocatechol which are further degraded to succinic and oxalic acids [37]. All reaction products have been detected by qualitative analysis performed by HPLC. Consequently, the formation of these degradation products contributes to the change in medium acidity during the irradiation (see Fig. 2c).

In general, the removal of 4-NP from polluted water depends on physical removal (adsorption) and photochemical decomposition by either irradiation in the presence of H$_2$O$_2$ or photo-Fenton processes. In the considered heterogeneous media, 4-NP removal due
to adsorption processes is slightly higher in the case of MABs (10% adsorption) than for Fe-MABs (7%). On the other hand, photodegradation of 4-NP by solution irradiation in the presence of hydrogen peroxide is not as efficient as heterogeneous irradiation performed in the presence of Fe-MABs.

Although the better performance of 4-NP removal obtained by Fe-MABs can be ascribed to the concurrence of several processes, by considering the low adsorption percentages, we can assume that the physical adsorption is not the principal mechanism for the 4-NP removal in the heterogeneous conditions of the photo-Fenton process.

To evaluate the effect of each process involved in the 4-NP removal during the irradiation in the presence of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and Fe-MABs, the contribution of adsorption, heterogeneous photodegradation, and photo-Fenton processes were estimated by equations from (8) to (10) and illustrated in Fig. 4.

In detail, the loading experiments demonstrated that using MABs and Fe-MABs the adsorption equilibrium is rapidly reached after 5 and 10 min, respectively (see red bar in Fig. 4). Under similar conditions used for irradiation, it is reasonable to assume that during the photodegradation of 4-NP in heterogeneous media, the degraded 4-NP can be quickly replaced by new 4-NP molecules on the bead’s surface. Therefore, as a prudent approximation, we considered that physical adsorption during heterogeneous photodegradation (HPD) has a value similar to that observed during adsorption studies for the corresponding type and number of beads (Eqs. (8) and (9)).

\[
\text{ads mol} \, 4\text{-NP}_t = \text{mol} \, 4\text{-NP}_0 - \text{mol} \, 4\text{-NP}_t \quad (8)
\]

\[
\text{ads mol} \, 4\text{-NP}_{t,\text{HPD}} \approx \text{ads mol} \, 4\text{-NP}_t \quad (9)
\]

where mol 4-NP0 is the initial number of 4-nitrophenol moles, mol 4-NP, is the number of 4-NP moles detected in solution at time \( t \), ads mol 4-NP, is the number of 4-NP moles adsorbed during the adsorption experiments at the time \( t \), and ads mol 4-NP_{t,\text{HPD}} is the number of 4-NP moles adsorbed during the heterogeneous photodegradation at time \( t \).

Since 4-NP is photostable in solution at the used wavelength (Fig. 2a, purple line), the contribution of non-Fenton photodegradation can only derive from sensitized \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) oxidation (see above). This contribution, can be estimated by considering the photochemical removal of 4-NP performed in the presence of MABs. In our approximation, we considered the MABs photo-Fenton as negligible (PF mol 4-NP \( t \approx 0 \) for MABs) due to the extremely low content

![Scheme 1](image)

**Scheme 1.** (a) mechanism of \( \cdot \text{OH} \) and \( \text{HO}_2^* \) origination using Fe-MABs. (b) Con- sumption of \( \cdot \text{OH} \) and \( \text{HO}_2^* \) during 4-NP photodegradation using Fe-MABs.
of superficial iron on the surface of MABs beads (Table 1 and Eq. (8)).

\[
\text{PF mol } 4\text{-NP}_t = \text{mol } 4\text{-NP}_0 - \text{mol } 4\text{-NP}_t - \text{ads mol } 4\text{-NP}_t - \text{non-PF mol } 4\text{-NP}_t^{\text{Fenton}}
\]

where non-PF mol 4 – \( N_{t}^{\text{Fenton}} \) is the number of 4-NP moles degraded at time \( t \) by means of non-Fenton processes, and PF mol 4-NP, is the number of 4-NP moles degraded at time \( t \) by means of iron catalyzed photo-Fenton processes.

This non-Fenton contribution to 4-NP removal has been considered similar for both experiments with MABs and Fe-MABs.

Consequentially, the photo-Fenton contribution to the removal of 4-NP during irradiation in the presence of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and Fe-MABs can be conservatively estimated by Eq. (10).

Results have been used to compare the efficiency of the two types of beads as illustrated in Fig. 4.

By Fig. 4 it is possible to prove that using the Fe-MABs the number of 4-NP moles removed by photochemical process is greater than MABs. These differences are detectable during the whole process.

Fig. 4 shows that using MABs, the 4-NP removal by adsorption process remain constant during the experiment (1.0 ppm), the non-Fenton photodegradation starts later than in solution, after approximately 20 min of irradiation, due the interference by beads with light (see violet bar in Fig. 4). On the other hand, 4-NP removal by using Fe-MABs is higher than MABs due the photo-Fenton degradation process that is already detectable during the first 5 min of irradiation (see green bar in Fig. 4).

By correlating the estimated values of \( \ln(\text{PF}[4\text{-NP}]_t) \) against \( t \), we obtained a first order kinetic constant of \( k_{\text{PF}} = 0.019 \pm 0.002 \) (min\(^{-1}\)) \((R^2 = 0.966)\). In theory, the photo-Fenton degradation of 4-NP in the presence of Fe-MABs could be the sum of heterogeneous photo-Fenton (on the bead’s surface) and homogeneous photo-Fenton (from leached iron species in solution); indeed, the obtained value of \( k_{\text{PF}} \) is comparable to that reported for solution photo-Fenton of 4-NP (\( k_{\text{PF,sl}} = 0.023 \) (min\(^{-1}\)) determinate by using 1.43 mM of 4-NP, 17.3 mM of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and 0.45 mM of \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \) [38]. However, during irradiation with Fe-MABs, photo-Fenton process is detectable already in the first 5 min when the iron leached in solution is minimal. In fact, to assess metal leaching, we monitored iron content in solution after 60 min of irradiation for beads amount (Fig. 5a) and during the entire irradiation time for 25 beads (Fig. 5b). Although iron was continuously leached from the beads, its maximum concentration reached 0.6 ppm after 60 min of irradiation (Fig. 5b), a value significantly lower than that reported for the leaching of Fe-alginate beads (2.5 ppm) used in heterogeneous photo-Fenton [23].

Additionally, the iron concentration on Fe-MABs surface would be much higher than that leached even after 60 min (10,500 ppm vs 0.6 ppm). Finally, in the pH range observed during the first 10 min (Fig. 2c), the iron in solution would not be present in the form required to promote the homogeneous photo-Fenton [13]. This supports our hypothesis that 4-NP degradation process using Fe-MABs is mostly due to a heterogeneous photo-Fenton process.

3.3. Optimization of operational conditions for 4-NP photodegradation with Fe-MABs

The operational conditions for the photodegradation of 4-NP over Fe-MABs have been optimized by considering (i) the amount of photocatalyst (Table 2), and (ii) the initial concentration of hydrogen peroxide (Table 3).

The catalytic efficiency was evaluated as a function of the dry weight of added catalyst by considering \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) consumption and 4-NP degradation. Irradiation experiments were performed at [38].
initial pH = 7.0 with a starting 10 ppm concentration of 4-NP and 150 ppm of hydrogen peroxide.

Under the same conditions, we also evaluated iron leaching after 60 min of irradiation and as a function of the used amount of catalyst (Fig. 5b).

While $H_2O_2$ consumption constantly increased with the amount of catalyst, due to the increased amount of active sites and degradative processes, the 4-NP degradation was not positively affected by using more than 25 beads of Fe-MABs (see Table 2). This can be justified by considering that alginate itself can scavenge produced ROS and compete with 4-NP for the photodegradation [39]. This hypothesis is also supported by the increased amount of leached iron occurring when the alginate component of the beads starts to photodegrade.

Therefore, the optimal catalyst amount to avoid competing alginate oxidation processes is 0.103 g (approx 25 beads) in 25 mL.

The effect of initial $H_2O_2$ concentration was instead evaluated by considering only the 4-NP degradation (Table 3).

In all cases the 4-NP degradation seems to stop after about 40 min, while the hydrogen peroxide still continues to be consumed (data not shown). It is possible to explain these results considering the competitive reaction of hydroxyl radical; there is competing oxidation of both alginate and formed 4-NP degradation products (4-nitrocatechol and hydroquinone), which have been detected after 20 min of irradiation and can be further oxidized into succinic and oxalic acids.

Interestingly, 4-NP degradation was complete after 40 min of irradiation in the presence of 500 ppm of $H_2O_2$. However, these cannot be considered as optimal conditions since a significant amount of iron is released in solution with this high concentration of $H_2O_2$ likely because of beads oxidative degradation (see Table 3).

Therefore, in order to avoid iron as a secondary contaminant in solution, a 150 ppm initial concentration of hydrogen peroxide has been identified as optimal operating condition for the photodegradation of 4-NP (10 ppm) over Fe-MABs. In fact, the efforts to maintain the structural integrity of the beads, by avoiding iron leaching and alginate degradation, would be paid back by the possibility to recycle the beads for further photodegradative processes.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[Fe-MABs] [g/L]</th>
<th>%4-NP degraded (± 1%)</th>
<th>[Fe] (mg L$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>23 (±1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>55 (±1)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>75 (±1)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>60 (±1)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>54 (±1)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>45 (±1)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$[H_2O_2]$ [mg L$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>%4-NP degraded (± 1%)</th>
<th>[Fe] (mg L$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>30 (±1)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>55 (±1)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>75 (±1)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>77 (±1)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>88 (±1)</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>100 (±1)</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. Fe-MABs recyclability

Since recyclability is one of the important aspects to be considered when dealing with photocatalysis, we performed a preliminary study to assess the possibility to reuse Fe-MABs for further photodegradative processes. In fact, iron-loaded alginate beads are not stable during the photo-Fenton reaction [23], and it is not possible to recycle the beads due the total bead degradation after the first treatment step. Recyclability of Fe-MABs was tested over three cycles of 4-NP photodegradation by filtering them from previous experiment and reusing them, without rinsing, in a new photodegradation of a 10 ppm aqueous solution of 4-NP. The performance of new and recycled beads was almost identical for the first 30 min (Fig. 6). After 30 min the recycled beads showed a lower performance reducing the percentage of 4-NP degradation from 60% to 50%. However, the performance of beads from the second and third cycle was identical showing that their performance can be assumed as a stable background of catalytic activity (Table 4).

4. Conclusions

Experimental studies were conducted to evaluate the efficiency of hybrid iron enriched montmorillonite-alginate beads in the removal of 4-nitrophenol by heterogeneous photo-Fenton reaction under neutral conditions.

Various parameters were studied to establish the optimal conditions for the degradation of 10 ppm aqueous solution of 4-NP (25 mL). Results pointed out that the catalyst amount (25 beads: 0.103 g) and the initial hydrogen peroxide concentration (150 ppm) must be carefully chosen to avoid excessive iron leaching and competing degradation of the organic component of the beads. Preliminary recyclability studies evidenced the better stability of Fe-MABs with respect to iron loaded alginate beads thus pointing out Fe-MABs as a promising photocatalyst for the removal of pollutants through environmental friendly photocatalytic processes.
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