Effects of Physical Exercise on Inflammatory Markers of Atherosclerosis
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Abstract: It is well established that physically fit individuals have a reduced risk of developing CVD (cardiovascular disease) and other age-related chronic disorders. Regular exercise is an established therapeutic intervention with an enormous range of benefits. Chronic low-grade systemic inflammation may be involved in atherosclerosis, diabetes and in pathogenesis of several chronic pathological conditions; recent findings confirm that physical activity induces an increase in the systemic levels of a number of cytokines and chemokines with anti-inflammatory properties. The possibility that regular physical exercise exerts anti-inflammation activity, being the interaction between contracting muscle and the other tissues and the circulating cells mediated through signals transmitted by “myokines” produced with muscle contractions. To date the list of myokines includes IL-6, IL-8, and IL-15. During muscle contractions are also released IL-1 receptor antagonists and sTNF-R, molecules that contribute to provide anti-inflammatory actions.

Nevertheless discrepancies, analysis of available researches seem to confirm the efficacy of regular physical training as a non-pharmacological therapy having target chronic low-grade inflammation. Given this, physical exercise could be considered a useful weapon against local vascular and systemic inflammation in atherosclerosis. Several mechanisms explain the positive effect of chronic exercise, nevertheless, these mechanisms do not fully enlighten all pathways by which exercise can decrease inflammation and endothelial dysfunction, and hence modulate the progression of the underlying disease progress.
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INTRODUCTION

Atherosclerosis: an Inflammatory Disease

Since in 1999 Russell Ross published his review [1], atherosclerosis has been considered an inflammatory disease and several studies have been performed on this matter. Pathophysiology of atherosclerosis is mainly characterized by the accumulation of lipids and fibrous elements in the large arteries, but this “classical” view of the pathophysiology of atherosclerosis is rapidly changing. The link between lipids and atherosclerosis dominated our thinking until the 1970s [2]. The emerging knowledge of vascular biology led to a focus on growth factors and the proliferation of smooth muscle cells in the 1970s and 1980s [2]. Over the past decade, however, there has been much focus on the role of inflammation in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis [3,4]. Furthermore, inflammation has been suggested to be a key factor of several other chronic diseases such as insulin resistance [5], colorectal cancer [6], stroke [7], chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [8] and Alzheimer’s disease [9].

The analysis of the relationship among atherosclerosis and inflammation is not the topic of this review, being widely discussed elsewhere in this special issue, but should be underlined that it has been recognized that pleiotropic cytokines are not only important signals in immune function, as they also represent important regulators of endocrine systems, the metabolism, the coagulation system, and the brain function. In addition, it has been discovered recently that circulating levels of cytokines in vivo are affected significantly by contributions of cells outside the immune system such as adipose tissue, skeletal muscle, and endothelial cells in healthy humans; e.g., 30% of interleukin (IL)-6 in plasma is derived from fat tissue [10]. The concept of regulatory adipokines has developed together with the discovery of fat tissue as an important endocrine organ, producing and secreting classical cytokines including tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, IL-6, IL-18, as well as a wide range of other new peptides [11]. Moreover, at the millennium, it was demonstrated that working skeletal muscles produce and also release cytokines to the circulation [12]. Considering that skeletal muscle is the largest organ in the body, the perspective of this finding is revolutionary, and it provides a molecular explanation about a molecular level by which we may understand how exercise mediates some of the health beneficial effects in relation to chronic disorders associated with systemic low-level inflammation.

Chronic Systemic Low-level Inflammation

Chronic Systemic Low-level Inflammation is defined as modest (2-4-fold) elevations in circulating levels of pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines, natural occurring cytokine antagonists, and acute-phase proteins, as well as minor increases in counts of neutrophils and natural killer cells [13]. Although these increases are far from levels observed during acute, severe infections, systemic low-level inflammation is strongly associated with increasing age, lifestyle factors such as smoking, obesity, and dietary patterns, together with increased risk of CVD, COPD, cognitive decline, and wasting/cachexia (loss of skeletal muscle cells) [14,15]. Several reports investigating various markers of inflammation in different population groups have confirmed an association between low-grade systemic inflammation on one hand and the metabolic syndrome, Type 2 diabetes, and atherosclerosis on the other [16-18]. Moreover, systemic low-level inflammation is a strong, consistent, and independent predictor of all-cause mortality and CVD-cause mortality in elderly populations [19].

Nevertheless, in the latest years a great debate tried to address the issue if the inflammatory variables are causal related to atherosclerosis or if systemic low-grade inflammation is a spillover of the diseased process; recent evidence suggests they really may play a key role in the pathogenesis of CVD and other chronic diseases [20]. Establish the separate effects of the different cytokines and of the other actors of the inflammatory process in atherosclerosis is very hard, because of the fact that circulating levels of inflammatory mediators are often strongly correlated with each other as a result of their tight, regulated production and, finally because inflammatory mediators are correlated with other risk factors in
chronic morbidity, including levels of fibrinogen, albumin, cholesterol, arterial blood pressure, and body mass index (BMI), among others giving the mission of scientists extremely hard.

Health Benefit of Physical Activity

It is well established that physically fit individuals have a reduced risk of developing CVD (cardiovascular disease) and other age-related chronic disorders [21]. Regular exercise is an established therapeutic intervention with an enormous range of benefits, including protection against atherosclerosis, colon cancer, and breast cancer [21], ischemic heart disease [22], heart failure [23], Type 2 diabetes [24], and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [25]. Many are the known mechanism through physical training exerts its beneficial efficacy: correction of the main traditional cardiovascular risk factors, enhancement on muscular exercise tolerance and improvement of physical fitness, enhancement of myocardial and peripheral perfusion, augmented well-being of the individual. But many others mechanisms responsible for the protective effects of exercise remains to date unexplained or not completely clear. The available data provide sure confirmation that usual exercise training reduces morbidity and mortality in atherosclerotic patients [26-29].

Given that chronic low-grade systemic inflammation may be involved in atherosclerosis, diabetes and in pathogenesis of several chronic pathological conditions [4-9] and assumed the recent finding that physical activity induces an increase in the systemic levels of a number of cytokines and chemokines with anti-inflammatory properties, in this review we discuss the possibility that regular physical exercise exerts anti-inflammation activity, being the interaction between contracting muscle and the other tissues and the circulating cells mediated through signals transmitted by “myokines” produced with muscle contractions, and thereby protects against chronic medical disorders associated with low-grade systemic inflammation such as atherosclerosis. We discuss also in this review the effects of regular exercise on established markers and mediators of inflammation of atherosclerosis and the impact that different types and intensities of exercise may have on inflammatory background of atherosclerotic damage and on vascular outcome of patients.

PART I - INFLAMMATORY MARKERS OF ATHEROSCLEROTIC DISEASE

Atherosclerosis is widely recognized as a chronic inflammatory disorder that results from the interactions between modified lipoproteins and various components of the immune system, including monocyte-derived macrophages, T-lymphocytes and a variety of cytokines secreted by these and other cells in the artery wall [30].

Many factors contribute to the development of vascular inflammation of atherosclerosis during several decades of progression of the parietal lesions. One of the main concerns the oxidation of the protein and lipid components of LDL (low-density lipoprotein) particles [30]. Elevated LDL is a well-known CVD risk factor and can drive the development of atherosclerosis even in the absence of other known risk factors. LDL is normally permeant to the arterial endothelium [31], but when levels are elevated it can accumulate in the subendothelial (intimal) space, where it becomes oxidized by enzymes such as myeloperoxidase, 15-LO (lipoxygenase) and iNOS (inducible nitric oxide synthase) [30]. Oxidized LDL (ox-LDL), retained in the intima and associated with the extracellular matrix proteins is believed to be able to promote local inflammation, contributing to initiate many of the local pro-inflammatory effects associated to the development of atherosclerotic plaques [32]. The inflammation initiated by ox-LDL is mediated largely by the local production of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the artery wall. Cytotoxins, locally exert their pro-inflammatory effects promoting endothelial cell dysfunction; facilitating influx into the arterial wall of lymphocytes, neutrophils monocytes; regulating macrophage and T-cell activation and proliferation, altering SMC (smooth muscle cell) phenotype; inducing apoptosis, and finally promoting plaque rupture and thrombosis [33-35].

Atherosclerotic damage is not only characterized by a local inflammation, being also demonstrated a frame of systemic inflammation. Probably systemic inflammation is not expression of vascular damage, or a simple marker of local pathology, but a pathogenetic element able to contribute to the systemic development of atherosclerosis [4,5].

Inflammatory mechanism plays a central role in mediating all phases of atherosclerosis, from initial recruitment of circulating leucocytes to the arterial wall to eventual rupture of unstable plaque. Systemic inflammation in atherosclerosis, such as in several conditions in which is needed a response to a infection or to a tissue injury, involves hepatic production of proteins, called “acute-phase proteins”, such as CRP. Their circulating levels are currently used in order to assess entity of inflammatory response and, consequently, severity of injury. The main cytokines involved in this inflammatory cascade are: TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra), and soluble TNF-α receptors (sTNFR). IL-1ra inhibits IL-1 signal transduction and sTNF-R represents the naturally occurring inhibitors of TNF-α [36].

Chronic Low-grade Systemic Inflammation in Atherosclerosis

In response to an acute infection or trauma, the cytokines and cytokine inhibitors may increase severalfold and decrease when the infection or trauma is healed. Far from this, what we observe in association to atherosclerotic disease is a “chronic low-grade systemic inflammation”. Chronic low-grade systemic inflammation, as defined before [13], accompanies aging, lifestyle factor such as smoking, obesity and dietary patterns as well as some chronic medical disorders increased risk of cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes cognitive decline, and wasting/ cachexia (loss of skeletal muscle cells) more common in the last part of life [37-39]. During aging, increased plasma levels of sTNF-R [40], TNF-α, IL-6, IL-1ra and CRP [41] have been demonstrated. These cytokines work in a network, and their levels are found to intercorrelate, e.g., plasma levels of TNF-α were positively correlated with IL-6, sTNF-R, and CRP in centenarians [38]. However, although a linear relationship was found for TNF-α and IL-6, high levels of TNF-α, but not IL-6, were associated with dementia and atherosclerosis [40,42]. Increased levels of both TNF-α and IL-6 have been observed in obese individuals, in smokers, and in patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus [43]. Moreover, systemic low-grade inflammation is a strong, consistent, and independent predictor of all-cause mortality and CVD-cause mortality in elderly populations [44]. Furthermore, plasma concentrations of IL-6 and TNF-α have been shown to predict the risk of myocardial infarction in several studies [45], and it was shown that the CRP level is a stronger predictor of cardiovascular events than the low-density lipoprotein cholesterol level and that CRP adds prognostic information to that conveyed by the Framingham risk score [46].

Presence of a state of chronic low-grade systemic inflammation in atherosclerotic patient is confirmed by finding of persistence elevation of numerous inflammatory variables, including the cytokines TNF-α, IL-6, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-7, IL-8, IL-10, IL-18, IL-1ra, sTNF-R, and other related to acute phase response such as CRP, P-selectin, soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1), sCD40 ligand and M-CSF (macrophage colony-stimulating factor) [42]. Despite the fact that serum levels of this inflammation-related substances are much smaller than those seen in acute infection, this pattern suggest a clear involvement of inflammation in atherosclerosis. Determining whether they are a cause or a consequence of atherosclerosis remains a challenge like identify the contribution that individual cytokines and other inflammatory variables make to the development of atherosclerosis. This latest point is really difficult to ascertain due to the interactions...
and redundancy between the numerous pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines that define chronic inflammation. Furthermore, most secreted cytokines are rapidly cleared by surrounding cells or inactivated by soluble inhibitors, thus measuring their circulating levels does not necessarily reflect their biological activity in tissues.

Finally, cytokines serum levels changes and could related to several genetic polymorphisms. Single Nuclear Polymorphisms (SNPs) of several cytokines genes have been demonstrated, and their biological action and clinical significance is actually under evaluation by several groups. Regarding the matter of the present review, polymorphisms of IL-6 and TNF-α genes are the more relevant. IL-6 is largely regulated at the level of expression, because of the rapid plasma clearance of this cytokine. Four polymorphisms exist in the IL-6 promoter, although most population-based studies focus on the G-174-C, where the C allele shows lower IL-6 expression than the G allele [47]. The G-174-C genotype is a disease “risk genotype” associated with cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality in old humans, as well as insulin resistance and low energy expenditure [48]. Compared with the G-308G genotype, the -308A allele of the TNF-α gene has been shown to increase transcription twofold and, therefore, TNF-α concentration [49]. Subjects with risk genotypes for both TNF-α (AA) and IL-6 (CC) have the highest incidence of diabetes [50], favouring the theory that high levels of TNF-α and low production of IL-6 are determining factors in the metabolic syndrome.

Given that TNF-α mainly works locally, TNF-α transcription may not always be reflected in enhanced systemic levels of TNF-α. Rather, TNF-α could be hypothesized to stimulate IL-6 production and consequently IL-1ra and CRP [51].

For all these and also other reasons, as we can see as follows, the mechanisms by which inflammatory cytokines affect atherogenesis are still poorly understood. Although in many studies, the effects of absence of increased levels of inflammatory cytokines on atherosclerosis were investigated, the exact inflammatory pathways are still unclear.

A thorough examination of the role of each of these cytokines in atherosclerosis is beyond the scope of this review, but a brief analysis of the main pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines related to promotion or control of atherosclerosis appears useful. Thereby, in the following part we will try to summarize the main characteristics of the cytokines and of the acute phase proteins involved in the acute and chronic systemic response to exercise.

Cytokines represent a model on a molecular level, by which we can explain some of the interconnection amongst markers of inflammation, coagulation/fibrinolysis, glucose metabolism, lipid metabolism, the renin-angiotensin system, the hypothalamic-pituitary axis, and others associated with systemic low-level inflammation in atherosclerosis, and by analysing the variations of which we try to explain the anti-inflammatory effect of exercise therapy.

**Tumor Necrosis Factor-α (TNF-α)**

TNF-α is multifunctional, and one of the most important pro-inflammatory and immune modulatory cytokines [52]. TNF-α mediates mainly local inflammatory responses, the systemic acute-phase response and is thought to play a central role in the development of CVD, Type 2 diabetes and the metabolic syndrome [53]. Nevertheless elevated levels of TNF-α protein is not always detected in serum patients during systemic low-grade inflammation, Bruunsgaard [53] hypothesize that local (vascular, in case of atherosclerosis) production of TNF-α may stimulate production of IL-6 and subsequent mediators in the inflammatory cascade; in this view, increases in IL-6, IL-8, C reactive protein (CRP), IL-1Ra, sTNFRs, IL-10, and inflammatory cells, among others, would reflect on-going TNF-α production, and then systemic biomarkers of low-grade inflammation found in atherosclerotic patient may represent a response to or a spillover from local vascular inflammation TNF-α mediated second-affected the function in other organs and tissues. Probably is not completely correct to point to TNF-α as the agent solely responsible in inflammatory disorders (as Bruunsgaard herself affirms later in her review), however, In terms of CVD, elevated circulating levels of TNF-α predict risk of myocardial infarction (MI) [45], the severity of PAD (peripheral arterial disease) [40] and the extent of carotid artery atherosclerosis in healthy middle-aged men [54]. TNF-α is prevalent in atherosclerotic lesions [55] and induces the expression of adhesion molecules such as ICAM-1 (intracellular adhesion molecule-1) and E-selectin [56]. TNF-α as well as IL-1 also promote low shear-stress-induced neointimal hyperplasia [57], a hallmark feature of atherosclerosis and finally it induces endothelial dysfunction [58]. Therefore, it should have a major role in atherogenesis. Surprisingly, no effect was found in the first article studying atherogenesis in a mouse model deficient for TNF-α [59]. Although the experimental conditions weren’t optimal to evaluate atherosclerosis progression, results of this study seemed to reveal that such an important cytokine as TNF-α is not absolutely crucial for the development of atherosclerosis. Later studies do not confirm these data showing that absence of TNF in apoE−/− mice can reduce atherosclerosis [60]. These and other similar studies, indicate that the analysis of the signalling pathways of TNF-α, could partially explain the differences observed in biologic effect, in fact signalling through the TNF-p55 axis (an element of the NF-κB system of nuclear transcription factors) may protect against or enhance atherogenesis, maybe depending on the genetic background of the mouse strain used. It is clear that TNF-α signalling is not an obvious pro-atherosclerotic pathway, but the exact mechanisms remain to be elucidated [61].

**Interleukin-1β (IL-1β)**

IL-1β is also a proinflammatory cytokine and has been studied in atherosclerosis using either IL-1β-deficient mice or mice lacking the IL-1 receptor. Absence of IL-1β in apoE−/− mice was shown to reduce atherosclerosis by 30% [62]. This effect was accompanied by decreased expression of one of the major adhesion molecules in atherosclerosis, VCAM-1, and chemokine MCP-1. In line with these data, absence of IL-1 receptor was shown recently to have strong reducing effects on atherosclerosis development in apoE−/− mice [63]. It should be noted that both TNF-α and IL-1 are regulated by NF-κB, but they are also activators of the NF-κB pathway. Hereby, these cytokines may affect atherosclerosis by regulating many other NF-κB dependent genes and amplify the inflammatory response [61].

**Interleukin-6 (IL-6)**

IL-6 is found primarily in the circulation, secreted by numerous cell types, including activated macrophages and lymphocytes, in response to IL-1 and TNF-α. In line with other cytokines, IL-6 is traditionally classified as pro-inflammatory based on the fact that serum levels increase in response to sepsis [64], it stimulates the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines from monocytes and macrophages, and up-regulates CRP production by the liver [65]. There is strong evidence that IL-6 serum concentration increases with age and in 1993, William Ershler, in his article “IL-6: A Cytokine for Gerontologists,” indicated IL-6 as one of the main signaling pathways implicated in aging and chronic morbidity [66]. Many studies report that IL-6 plasma levels are increased in patients with unstable angina compared with those with stable angina or healthy subjects and that it could be useful as a prognostic marker of CVD outcome [67], increases the risk of future MI (myocardial infarction) [45] and has been linked to all-cause and CVD mortality [68]. IL-6 also has been hypothesized to promote atherosclerosis directly by enhancing endothelial expression of chemokines and adhesion molecules, increasing endothelial dysfunction and promoting a procoagulant state [69].
Studying IL-6 role in atherogenesis in IL-6 deficient mice El-Hage et al. [70] surprisingly showed how early lesion formation was not affected by the absence of IL-6. In contrast, when atherosclerosis was examined at 1 year of age, the atherosclerotic lesions were larger in the apoE-/- IL-6 - deficient mice compared with controls, making IL-6 an antiatherogenic factor. Interestingly, Xing et al. [71] showed that IL-6 - deficient mice showed increased induction of proinflammatory cytokines in 2 models of local and systemic endotoxic shock. These observations seem to indicate that IL-6 can function as an anti-inflammatory cytokine required for controlling inflammation. Huber et al. [72] showed that administration of re-combinant IL-6 aggravates atherosclerosis, but it is not clear whether this is a direct result of IL-6 or merely a reflection of the proatherogenic effects of systemic inflammation that might be induced by IL-6. This is a problem also encountered in similar studies in which proinflammatory substances are administered systemically, making the direct effects on atherosclerosis difficult to interpret. Supposed anti-inflammatory actions of IL-6 include inhibition of the production of TNF-α in response to pro-inflammatory stimuli, such as endotoxin or lipopolysaccharide (LPS) [73], and releasing of sTNFRs (soluble TNF-α receptors) [51], indicating that circulating IL-6 is involved in the regulation of TNF levels. IL-6 also stimulates the production of the anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-1ra (IL-1 receptor antagonist) and IL-10 [51]. In vivo studies appear to support an anti-inflammatory role for IL-6; deficiency in IL-6 has been shown to promote atherosclerosis in both C57Bl/B6 [74] and ApoE (apolipoprotein E)-deficient (ApoE/-/-) mice [75] and had no effect on atherosclerosis in LDLr (LDL-receptor)-deficient (LDLr/-/-) mice [76]. Perhaps due to these apparent pro- and anti-inflammatory activities, the role of IL-6 in atherosclerosis and other inflammatory disorders, such as Type 2 diabetes [51] is controversial, being hypothesized that chronically elevated IL-6 is simply a marker for ongoing TNF-α production during low-grade inflammation and may not contribute directly to the pathogenesis of inflammatory diseases [51,53]. With regard to patients with low-grade chronic inflammation, as seen, e.g., in patients with CVD or type 2 diabetes, to date there are no published data that allow us to evaluate the direct metabolic effects (pro-inflammatory or anti-inflammatory) of modest, but chronic, elevated levels of IL-6 corresponding to the levels seen in these patients. However, blocking IL-6 in clinical trials with patients with rheumatoid arthritis, a pathologic condition characterized by elevated chronic levels of IL-6, in which this cytokine play a clear pathogenic role as demonstrated by the fact that blocking IL-6 has beneficial effects on arthritis, leads to enhanced cholesterol and plasma glucose levels, indicating that functional lack of IL-6 may lead to insulin resistance and an atherogenic lipid profile [77]. These clinical findings are in accordance with the finding that IL-6 knockout mice develop late-onset obesity and impaired glucose tolerance [78]. Subjects with risk genotypes for both TNF-α (AA; A shows increased TNF transcription) and IL-6 (CC; C shows decreased transcription) have the highest incidence of diabetes [79], favouring the theory that high levels of TNF-α and low production of IL-6 are determining factors in the metabolic syndrome [80].

Given the different biological profiles of TNF-α and IL-6 and given that TNF-α may trigger IL-6 release, one current theory is that adipose tissue-derived TNF-α is actually the “driver” behind the metabolic syndrome and that increased systemic levels of IL-6 reflect locally produced TNF-α [51]. Accordingly, elevated levels of IL-6 might represent a “defense” mechanism against proinflammatory actions caused by TNF. An alternative hypothesis is that increased IL-6 production represents a compensatory mechanism, whereby insulin-resistant individuals or individuals at risk of developing insulin resistance stimulate an alternative mechanism with regard to maintaining glucose homeostasis. Finally, chronically elevated IL-6 levels may simply reflect a feedback mechanism due to impaired IL-6 signaling. It is, however, not known if “IL-6 resistance” exists as a phenomenon in line with the fact that a chronically elevated level of insulin or leptin most often reflects insulin resistance or leptin resistance, respectively.

C-Reactive Protein (CRP)

CRP is an acute phase protein, synthesized by hepatocytes, first described in 1930 by Tillet and Frances who identified a substance in the sera of patients acutely infected with pneumococcal pneumonia that formed a precipitate when combined with polysaccharide C of Streptococcus pneumonia [81]. Liver secretion of CRP, follows the acute-phase protein’s response, being secreted in response to stimulation by several cytokines, particularly IL-6, IL-1, TNF-α. Like many acute phase protein, CRP is normally present in trace levels in serum but increases rapidly and dramatically in response to a variety of infectious or inflammatory conditions. However, recent data show that arterial tissue can produce CRP as well as complement proteins [82].

The exact function of CRP is largely unknown. But two functional properties of CRP including the ability to activate complement through the classic complement pathway, and the ability to modulate the function of phagocytic cells have been demonstrated.

Levels of CRP have been found to predict future risk among patients with stable and unstable angina, in the chronic phase of myocardial infarction, and among patients undergoing revascularization procedures [82,83], prompting some to suggest it should be measured as a routine clinical risk assessment test, having relevant prognostic value in subjects with chronic heart disease (CHD) and with acute coronary syndromes (ACS) [35]. Furthermore, risk stratification of acute cardiac patients using CRP in addition to cardiac troponins showed how high CRP levels at hospital admission were associated with an increased incidence of recurrent angina, coronary revascularization, myocardial infarction, and cardiovascular death, indicating a bad outcome [84], a bad response to conventional therapy [85], and activation of pro-inflammatory and pro-atherosclerotic nuclear factor NF-kB [86]. An important contribution to understanding the meaning of CRP high levels in ACS has been provided also from Liuzzo et al., showing that patients with coronary vasospasm have persistently normal CRP levels, despite frequent episodes of ST-segment elevation [87], suggesting that the rise in CRP may be secondary to an underlying proinflammatory state, rather than due to myocardial necrosis.

Furthermore, baseline levels of CRP are a strong independent predictor of risk of future myocardial infarction, stroke, peripheral vascular disease, and vascular death among healthy individuals including men and women without known vascular disease by several investigators [88,89].

Monitoring of CRP levels has also increasing importance in appearing healthy subjects. Data in support of a role for CRP for cardiovascular risk prediction among apparently healthy individuals are robust and remarkably consistent across several European and US cohort. Several prospective studies, in the last years, have consistently shown that CRP is a powerful predictor of future first coronary event in apparently healthy men and women. Findings from Multiple Risk Factors Interventional Trail (MRFIT) demonstrated a direct positive association between CRP and coronary heart disease mortality in apparently healthy men followed over a 17-year period (RR: 2.8; 95% CI, 1.4-5.4) [90,91]. In the analysis provided by Blake and Ridker, CRP was the single most powerful predictor of cardiovascular risk in all of the inflammatory and lipid markers. In this multivariate analysis, matched for age and smoking and adjusted for other cardiovascular risk factors, the authors found that only CRP and total cholesterol/high-density cholesterol (TC/HDL-C) ratio were independent predictors of future cardiovascular risk [82].

Real meaning of CRP increase in atherosclerotic patients is still hard to elucidate; CRP has been considered a spillover of the inflammation related to the extent and severity of atherosclerosis or...
may reflect the amount and activity of circulating proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α, IL-1, and IL-6. But, in addition to being a marker of inflammation associated with atherosclerosis, some evidence suggests that CRP may also be a causal risk factor. Over the last several years, increasing evidence suggests CRP may contribute directly to the proinflammatory state, indicating that CRP may play a direct role in vascular injury process. Several reports demonstrated that CRP has been localized directly within atherosclerotic plaque where it precedes and mediates monocyte recruitment. So, CRP could be implicated in all phases of atherogenesis, from the initial recruitment of inflammatory cells into the arterial wall to plaque rupture [14].

Direct atherosclerotic effects of CRP are related to a local effect into the arterial wall, colocalizing with the terminal complement complex and foam cells. CRP upregulates the expression of adhesion molecules, and mediate proinflammatory factor induction in several kinds of cells in artery wall as well as circulating monocytes [92]. It increases opsonization of LDL and mediates the uptake of LDL into the macrophages, which then become foam cells. It exerts particularly important effects on endothelial function by inducing endothelial cell expression of adhesion molecules, such as ICAM-1, VCAM-1 (vascular cell adhesion molecule-1) and P-selectin [93] inhibiting the expression and activity of eNOS (endothelial nitric oxide synthase) [34] and reducing prostacyclin release [94]. CRP also induces monocyte recruitment by up-regulating endothelial cell expression of MCP-1 (monocyte chemoattractant protein-1) [95] and induces monocyte chemotaxis by upregulating monocyte expression of the MCP-1 receptor CCR-2 (CC chemokine receptor-2) [96]. Together, these factors promote the recruitment, binding and uptake of inflammatory cells, such as macrophages and T-cells, into the artery wall. It has been shown to bind and opsonize LDLs in the artery wall, enhancing their uptake by macrophages [97], and activates the complement pathway [98], leading to the production of proinflammatory cytokines by vascular cells. CRP also promotes plaque instability by inducing endothelial expression of MMP (matrix metalloproteinase)-1 and MMP-10 [99], and promotes thrombosis by inducing the expression of PAI-1 (plasminogen activator inhibitor-1) [100].

Once considered just a marker of inflammation, this evidence indicates that CRP may be directly involved in the development and progression of atherosclerosis. However, some recent reports suggest that many of the inflammatory in vitro effects attributed to CRP may have been artifacts caused by the presence of contaminants in the CRP preparation used in the experiments [42]. Furthermore, the overexpression of CRP in ApoE−/− mice had either no effect [101] or produced only a modest increase [102] in atherosclerosis, and one report even indicates some protective effects of CRP on atherogenesis in mice [103]. As a result, there is considerable controversy in the literature as to whether CRP is directly involved in the development of atherosclerosis and its complications. More research will be needed clarify these issues.

Interleukin-10 (IL-10)

IL-10 is one of the main cytokines having a biological anti-inflammatory effect. Circulating levels of IL-10 increases in the circulation after exercise, contributing to mediate the anti-inflammatory effects of exercise. The concept that IL-10 acts as an anti-inflammatory molecule was suggested primarily by studies showing inhibition of the synthesis of a large spectrum of proinflammatory cytokines by different cells, particularly of the mono- cytotic lineage. Thus IL-10 inhibits the production of IL-1α, IL-1β, and TNF-α as well as the production of chemokines, including IL-8 and macrophage inflammatory protein-α from LPS-activated human monocytes [104,105]. These cytokines and chemokines play a critical role in the activation of granulocytes, monocytes/macrophages, natural killer cells, and T and B cells and in their recruitment to the sites of inflammation. Taken together, these observations suggested that IL-10 plays an important role in orchestrating the inflammatory reaction involving macrophage/monocyte activation in particular.

IL-10 also prevents cytokine synthesis by posttranscriptional mechanisms, as shown in human macrophages where the inhibition of IL-6, IL-1β, and TNF-α release induced by LPS is a direct consequence of mRNA degradation of their corresponding genes [106].

Interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra)

Main biological role provided by IL-1ra is to inhibit signaling transduction through the IL-1 receptor complex [107]. The IL-1ra is a member of the IL-1 family that binds to IL-1 receptors but does not induce any intracellular response. Studies have demonstrated that IL-1ra is also an acute phase protein [108] because both cultured human hepatocytes and the human hepatoma cell line HepG2 produce IL-1ra in response to stimulation with IL-6, confirming the biologic relation between the two molecules as seen during acute physical exercise.

Fibrinogen

Several large-scale epidemiologic studies demonstrate that baseline fibrinogen concentrations predict future risk of myocardial infarction and stroke [109,111].

When compared head-to-head with CRP, fibrinogen seems a less potent predictor of cardiovascular events [112]. Illustrating the importance of detection methodology, when fibrinogen is measured with a reliable and high-quality immunoassay, there is a significant association between higher concentrations of fibrinogen and CRP, alone and in combination, and incident cardiovascular disease in apparently healthy women over a 10-year follow-up period [113].

PART II - EFFECT OF A SINGLE BOUT OF EXERCISE ON INFLAMMATORY MARKERS OF ATHEROSCLEROSIS

As underlined in the introduction, regular physical activity offers protection not only against several chronic cardiovascular metabolic and degenerative disease such as coronary heart disease [22], chronic heart failure [23], type 2 diabetes, [24], colorectal cancer and breast cancer [21], COPD [25], age-related cognitive decline [114,115], and dramatically reduces all-cause mortality [116], but it is also effective against different mechanisms involved in the low-grade inflammation that may be found both in several of the pathologic conditions cited before, but also in the appearing healthy individual. In a great part of this chronic diseases regular physical exercise provide effective protection in primary prevention and could be used as a therapeutic option to modify natural history in secondary prevention.

Several of these benefits seen after regular physical exercise are mediated by the metabolic changes induced by training. In fact, exercise training involves multiple metabolic adaptations including increased preexercise skeletal muscle glycogen content, enhanced activity of key enzymes involved in the β-oxidation [117], increased sensitivity of adipose tissue to epinephrine-stimulated lipolysis [118], and increased oxidation of intramuscular triglycerides [119], whereby the capacity to oxidize fat is increased [120]. As a consequence, the trained skeletal muscle is less dependent on plasma glucose and muscle glycogen as substrate during exercise [121].

An increasing number of papers in the last decade have documented that self-reported physical activity or physical performance is correlated inversely with systemic low-grade inflammation [122-132] clearly demonstrated by the analysis of circulating inflammatory parameters, although the lack of an association has also been reported [133], especially when adjusting for other factors in multivariate analyses [134,135].

In the InCHIANTI study [122], a prospective population-based study of older people, aimed at identifying risk factors for late-life
disability, that consisted of 1020 participants aged 65 years and older living in the Chianti area of Italy, inflammation, measured as high levels of IL-6, CRP, and IL-1RA, was significantly associated with poor physical performance and muscle strength in older persons. In the ATTICA Study [123], a high self-reported degree of physical activity is associated with attenuated circulating levels of TNF-α, IL-6, CRP, and SAA compared with those devoted to a sedentary lifestyle, independently of gender, age, smoking habits, BMI, total cholesterol, blood glucose, and blood pressure. Additionally, a similar association is observed in the same population when taken in account a subgroup with the metabolic syndrome and within a subgroup without [124]. Thus, a high level of physical activity is apparently associated with reduced levels of peripheral inflammatory mediators in the range of 20-60% compared with a sedentary lifestyle, although different degrees of reduction of inflammatory markers may be found in relation to exercise type, duration and intensity [127].

These correlation data do, however, not necessarily provide any information with regard to a possible causal relationship. However, several studies performed both in healthy and in unhealthy people, thus in presence of pathologic condition affecting the physiologic inflammatory asset, have reported that controlled exercise intervention programs reduce systemic low-grade inflammation in patients with coronary heart disease [136], peripheral artery disease [137], chronic heart failure [138] and in healthy, young adults [139]. A failure of a positive effect has been reported in old nursing home patients [140] and in obese elderly [141].

Nevertheless the great part of available data confirm how physical fitness is linked to low systemic low-grade inflammation, possible explanation of the discrepancies observed between self-reported physical activity and systemic inflammatory markers in the various trial that tried to address this issue might be due to different experimental conditions: a large interpersonal variability in peripheral inflammatory markers together with a considerable coefficient of variability in high sensitivity cytokine essays make difficult to standardize cut off of the variables analyzed (healthy adult male vs healthy adult female vs older, etc etc). Finally, the effect of physical activity is likely differentiated in disorders associated with systemic low-level inflammation. It is probably easier to revert endothelial dysfunction, insulin resistance, and dyslipidemia in CVD than cachexia in a terminal state. In this regard, it is possible that there is a threshold beyond which systemic low-level inflammation represents an irreversible state; e.g., among patients with chronic heart failure, exercise training reduces plasma levels of TNF-α significantly among survivors but not among nonsurvivors [142].

Nevertheless the discrepancies observed in the available data, that will be deeper analyzed in the latter part of this review and the lack of appropriated studies in a so relevant matter like the relationship between physical exercise and inflammation, certainly, the demonstration that skeletal muscle during contraction produces and then releases in the circulation several myokines, open a new frontier in research the relationship between myokines and metabolism both in physiologic and in pathologic conditions. Because systemic markers of inflammation are elevated in CVD and other chronic diseases, modifying their levels through exercise may have therapeutic potential; in this point of view it is essential to assess how the systemic low-grade inflammation balance associated to CVD conditions is modified by muscular-related cytokines released after chronic training.

### Acute Changes of Systemic Inflammatory Markers During and After Exercise

For most of the last century, researchers sought a link between muscle contraction and humoral changes in the form of an “exercise factor,” which could be released from skeletal muscle during contraction and mediate some of the exercise-induced metabolic changes in other organs such as the liver and the adipose tissue. In the latest years, with the discovery that cytokines or other peptides (classified as “myokines”) produced, expressed, and released by muscle fibers could exert either paracrine or endocrine effects a possible link between skeletal muscle contractile activity and immune changes was established (see Fig. (1)).

So, muscle contraction during exercise results in a systemic cytokine cascade, considerable changing the immune system activity.

In the year 2000, it became clear that contracting human skeletal muscle releases significant amounts of IL-6 into the circulation during prolonged single-limb exercise [143]. An accompanying editorial to this publication pointed to the possibility that muscle-derived IL-6 could have metabolic roles: “It is an intriguing possibility that the IL-6 response may be a signal indicating that muscle glycogen stores are reaching critically low levels and that the active muscles’ reliance on blood glucose as a source of energy is on the increase” [144]. The latter statement was soon supported by experimental studies [145,146], and a number of studies highlighted the fact that muscle-derived IL-6 is an important player in metabolism [51, 147-149].

The identification of skeletal muscle as a cytokine-producing organ soon led to the discovery that muscle-derived cytokines could account not only for exercise-associated immune changes, but that these muscle-derived cytokines played a role in mediating the exercise-associated metabolic changes, as well as the metabolic changes following training adaptation. It appears that skeletal muscle has the capacity to express several myokines. To date the list includes IL-6, IL-8, and IL-15 [148]. Contractile activity plays a role in regulating the expression of many of these cytokines in skeletal muscle [148].

IL-6 is the first cytokine present in the circulation during exercise; the appearance of IL-6 in the circulation is by far the most marked and its appearance precedes that of the other cytokines [149]. The level of circulating IL-6 increases rapidly in an exponential fashion (up to 100-fold) in response to acute exercise and declines in the postexercise period [12,150]. IL-6 levels elevation is followed by an increase of circulating levels of other well-known anti-inflammatory cytokines, cytokine inhibitors and inflammatory markers downstream in the acute-phase response, such as IL-1ra, IL-10 and sTNF-R [97,99]. Taken together, exercise provokes an increase primarily in IL-6, followed by an increase in IL-1ra and IL-10 (see Fig. (2)). Concentrations of the chemokines, IL-8, macrophage inflammatory protein α (MIP-1α), and MIP-1β are elevated after strenuous exercise [149]. In most exercise studies, tumor necrosis factor (TNF-α) does not change. When young, healthy men perform 180 min of a knee extensor exercise, TNF-α mRNA increases only slightly (approximately fourfold, P=0.08) during the first 30 min of exercise, and after this time, it does not increase any further, whereas IL-6 increases ~ 100-fold in the same model [151,152]. Consistent with this, there is no measurable increase in systemic levels, and there is not detectable TNF-α net release from the working legs; only highly strenuous, prolonged exercise such as marathon running results in a small increase in the plasma concentration of TNF-α [151,152].

So, usually, the cytokine response to exercise and sepsis differs with regard to TNF-α. Thus, physical exercise is associated with a systemic cytokine response comparable with the levels observed during severe infections or sepsis, except the important difference that increases in TNF-α and IL-1β are really minute if present at all when concentric exercise without muscle damage is performed.

Following exercise, not necessarily the basal plasma IL-6 concentration might rapidly increase to the maximum levels reported (up to 100-fold respect to basal levels), infact less dramatic increases are more frequent [12,153], instead major increase (up to 8,000-fold) are exceptional and subsequent to extreme physical exercises [154]. The peak IL-6 level is reached at the end of the exercise or shortly thereafter [155], followed by a rapid decrease
towards pre-exercise levels. Young healthy individuals performed 3 h of dynamic two-legged knee-extensor exercise at 50% of their individual maximal power output. This exercise induced an only moderate increase in heart rate (113 to 122 beats/min) but induced a 16-fold increase in IL-6 mRNA, a 20-fold increase in plasma-IL-6, and a marked IL-6 release from working muscle [155]. When the same model was applied in elderly healthy untrained subjects, even higher amounts of IL-6 were released from working muscle during exercise at the same relative intensity [12]. Overall, the combination of mode, intensity, and duration of the exercise determines the magnitude of the exercise-induced increase of plasma IL-6 [12].

The discovery that IL-6 is released from contracting skeletal muscle has generated much interest among the scientific community because this finding is somewhat paradoxical. On one hand, IL-6 is markedly produced and released in the postexercise period when insulin action is enhanced, but on the other hand, IL-6 has been associated with obesity and reduced insulin action. An initial study suggested that increased systemic levels of inflammatory parameters were related to muscle damage, as increases in IL-6 levels were first detected in eccentric exercise models in which a positive correlation was also demonstrated to considerable creatine kinase (CK) increases [156]. However, later studies have not confirmed an association between peak IL-6 and peak CK levels. Moreover, it has become evident that eccentric exercise is not associated with a larger increase in plasma IL-6 than exercise involving concentric “nondamaging” muscle contractions, clearly demonstrating that muscle damage is not required to increase plasma IL-6 during exercise. Rather, eccentric exercise may result in a delayed peak and a slower decrease of plasma IL-6 during recovery [157-159].

The IL-6 response is sensitive to the exercise intensity [160], which again indirectly represents the muscle mass involved in the contractile activity. Since contracting skeletal muscle per se is an important source of IL-6 found in the plasma [143,155], it is not surprising that exercise involving a limited muscle mass, e.g., the muscles of the upper extremities, may be insufficient to increase plasma IL-6 above pre-exercise level [161-163]. In contrast, running, which involves several large muscle groups, is the mode of exercise where the most dramatic plasma IL-6 increases have been observed. Fischer [153] has shown that exercise duration is the single most important factor determining the post-exercise plasma IL-6 amplitude. In fact, more than 50% of the variation in plasma IL-6 following exercise can be explained by exercise duration [153]. Since exercise at high intensity is often associated with shorter duration of the exercise, and vice versa, the relationship between the plasma IL-6 increase and the duration may be even more pronounced if adjusted for the exercise intensity. Accordingly, 6 min of maximal rowing ergometer exercise may increase plasma IL-6 2-fold [164], but more than 10-fold increases of plasma IL-6 have not been observed in response to exercise lasting less than 1 h.

The fact that IL-6 is synthesized and released from contracting muscles alone and not from resting muscles exposed to the same hormonal changes [143,165]. In young men who perform a one-leg knee extensor exercise, IL-6 production in working muscles can account for the increase in plasma IL-6 during exercise when arte-
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Fig. (2). Schematic view of exercise-induced increases in circulating cytokines. ([12], modified).
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The level of circulating IL-6 increases rapidly in an exponential fashion (up to 100-fold) in response to acute exercise and declines in the postexercise period. IL-6 levels elevation is followed by an increase of other anti-inflammatory cytokines, cytokine inhibitors and inflammatory markers downstream in the acute-phase response, such as IL-1ra, sTNF-R, IL-10, IL-8 and IL-15 (the last two not showed in the graphic). Usually, TNF-α levels do not increase in response to exercise.
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response to prolonged running [183], but since the muscle mass involved in exercise is much higher than the mass comprised by tendons, the mutual contribution of tendinuous versus muscle-derived IL-6 to the systemic IL-6 is unclear. In addition, a small net release of IL-6 from the internal jugular vein has been reported, suggesting that the central nervous system may contribute to the IL-6 found in the circulation [185]. The findings are contrasted by the consistent findings that peripheral blood mononuclear cells do not contribute to the IL-6 found in the circulation of healthy subjects, neither at rest nor in response to exercise [169,187-188]. The adipose tissue may contribute markedly to IL-6 in the circulation at rest [10,189]. However, although IL-6 mRNA levels increase in adipose tissue during exercise [190], measurement of arteriovenous plasma IL-6 differences across the abdominal subcutaneous adipose tissue bed shows that this compartment does not contribute to the exercise-induced IL-6 in the circulation until the recovery phase [191]. Since almost any cell type may synthesize IL-6 upon adequate stimulation [192], further studies may discover other sites contributing to the IL-6 in the circulation in response to exercise.

Is the Muscle IL-6 Release a Metabolic-related Event?

A very interesting aspect of the relationship between human skeletal muscle contraction and IL-6 release concerns the repeatedly confirmed data that about the observed autonomy of IL-6 production from TNF-α. It seems that this phenomenon rather than an inflammation-related event could be metabolic-related [193,194].

During exercise, skeletal muscles need to increase the uptake of glucose and FFA to generate adenosine 5-triphosphate (ATP) and to quickly refill glycogen pools. Several metabolic genes are transcriptionally activated in the recovery phase from exercise, presumably with the purpose to rebuild energy stores [194]. If IL-6 is an energy sensor in the muscle, and IL-6 is released when the local glycogen content is low, it is possible that the large amounts of muscle-derived IL-6 in the circulation act as a hormone with the purpose to mobilize extracellular substrates and/or to augment substrate delivery during exercise [145]. So, this interesting link between IL-6 production and muscle metabolism seems to have a strong relation to glycogen muscular content, both intramuscular IL-6 mRNA expression [145] and protein release [146] are exacerbated when intramuscular glycogen is compromised, suggesting that IL-6 is somehow related to glycogen content.

IL-6 induces lipolysis and increased fat oxidation without causing triacylglycerolemia when it is administrated in doses mimicking systemic levels during exercise [195] as well as higher doses [196]. Consistent with this, IL-6 induces lipolysis in 3T3-LI adipocytes and increases fat oxidation in myotubes and in isolated rat soleus muscle [197]. In addition, it has been suggested that IL-6 influences glucose homeostasis during exercise [198-200]. In the latter study, young men performed a bicycle exercise at three separate occasions, at a relative high intensity or at a low intensity, with or without an infusion of recombinant (r)IL-6, which matched the circulating concentration of IL-6 in the high-intensity trial. It was demonstrated by the use of stable isotopes that the endogenous glucose-produrance, whole-body glucose disposal, and the metabolic clearance rate of glucose were higher during the rIL-6 infusion + low intensity exercise than low-intensity exercise alone, despite identical exercise intensities and the same levels of insulin, glucagon, epinephrine, norepinephrine, cortisol, and growth hormone [165]. This finding implicates an entirely novel understanding of the role for IL-6 in glucose production and clearance.

Adding to what we knew about this topic, recently a number of studies have clearly demonstrated that glucose ingestion during exercise attenuates the exercise-induced increase in plasma-IL-6 [195,197-200]. This effect has been demonstrated for different types of training, for example running or cycling [199,200]. However, whereas supplementation with carbohydrates during exercise inhibits the exercise-induced increase of IL-6 in plasma, IL-6 mRNA expression within the contracting muscle is unaffected [201,202]. As carbohydrate availability is reduced, the sympathoadrenal response to exercise is exacerbated, and it has been suggested that epinephrine may stimulate IL-6 gene transcription via β-adrenergic stimulation of protein kinase A.

Accordingly to this experimental observations, there is evidence in support of the hypothesis that during physical exercise, IL-6 has the capacity to act in a “hormone-like” manner to direct the metabolism toward enhanced energy supply to working skeletal muscles, exerting especially strong effects on adipose tissue.

During acute exercise, in addiction to high circulating levels of IL-6 and subsequently IL-1α, IL-10 and sTNF-R, other myokines are secreted: IL-8 and IL-15.

IL-8 belongs to the CXC family of chemokines. The CXC nomenclature relates to the presence of two conserved cysteine residues at the NH2 terminus separated by one amino acid. IL-8 is a known chemokine that attracts primarily neutrophils. In addition to its chemokine properties, IL-8 acts as an angiogenic factor.

IL-8, like IL-6, is influenced by physical activity. The systemic plasma concentration of IL-8 increases in response to exhaustive exercise such as running, which involves eccentric muscle contractions [203-205]. In contrast, concentric exercise such as bicycle ergometry [206] or rowing [207] of moderate intensity does not increase plasma IL-8 concentration. However, intense cycle ergometry has been reported to increase IL-8 plasma concentration to a small degree [208]. The possibility of contracting skeletal muscle expressing IL-8 has been evaluated by Nieman et al. [203]. These authors showed that a severalfold increase in IL-8 mRNA could be found in skeletal muscle biopsies from subjects having completed a 3-h treadmill run concomitantly with increased plasma levels of IL-8 [203]. Similarly, IL-8 mRNA increased in response to 1 h of cycle ergometry exercise, but with no change in the plasma concentration of IL-8 [206]. IL-8 protein was recently demonstrated to be clearly expressed in human skeletal muscle as a response to concentric exercise [209]. The finding of a marked increase of IL-8 mRNA in muscle biopsies during and following exercise, and IL-8 protein expression within skeletal muscle fibers in the recovery from exercise, strongly indicates that exercise per se stimulates muscle cells to produce IL-8. This is in accordance with the finding that muscle cells in vitro have the capacity to express IL-8 both at the mRNA and protein levels [210].

The physiological function of IL-8 within the muscle is still unknown. The main part of the systemic increase in IL-8 as seen during exercise with an eccentric component is most likely due to an inflammatory response. In accordance with this, several groups observed that there is not increase in the systemic IL-8 plasma concentration during or after concentric exercise [203,206,209]. However, when measuring the arteriovenous concentration difference across a concentrically exercising limb, we detected a small and transient net release of IL-8, which did not result in an increase in the systemic IL-8 plasma concentration [209]. The fact that a high local IL-8 expression takes place in contracting muscle with only a small and transient net release may indicate that muscle-derived IL-8 acts locally and exerts its effect in an autocrine or paracrine fashion. A plausible function of the muscle-derived IL-8 would be chemo-attraction of neutrophils and macrophages when, in fact, in concentric exercise there is little or no accumulation of neutrophils or macrophages in skeletal muscle.

A more likely function of muscle-derived IL-8 is to stimulate angiogenesis. IL-8 associates with the CXC receptor 1 and 2 (CXCR1 and CXCR2). It induces its chemotactic effects via CXCR1, whereas CXCR2, which is expressed by human microvascular endothelial cells, is the receptor responsible for IL-8-induced angiogenesis [211-213].

IL-15 (14-15 kDa) is a four-α-helix cytokine with structural similarities to IL-2 [214,215]. Two isoforms of IL-15 with altered
glycosylation have been shown to exist: a long signaling peptide form (48 amino acids) that is secreted from the cell, and a short signaling peptide (21 amino acids) form that remains intracellular, localized to nonendoplasmic regions in both cytoplasmic and nuclear compartments. Cell membrane expression might be crucial in modulating an extracellular function rather than secretion and, in part, explains the difficulty in detecting soluble IL-15 in biological systems. IL-15 functions via a widely distributed heterotrimeric receptor (IL-15R), which consists of a β-chain (shared with IL-2).

The regulatory role of muscle contraction with regard to IL-15 is unclear. Nieman et al. (203) found that muscle IL-15 mRNA levels were unchanged immediately after a 3-h run, and Ostrowski et al. [216] found that plasma IL-15 (measured up to 6 h into recovery) did not change in response to 2.5 h of treadmill running. Skeletal muscle IL-15 mRNA levels, measured immediately after a 2-h weight training bout, did not differ from baseline [199], whereas plasma IL-15 protein was increased immediately after acute resistance exercise in one study [217].

IL-15 has been identified as an anabolic factor, which is highly expressed in skeletal muscle [218]. Furthermore, IL-15 has been suggested to play a role in muscle-adipose tissue interaction [219]. IL-15, produced by skeletal muscle fibres during contraction is rapidly produced by skeletal muscle fibres during contraction [220], and IL-15 stimulates myogenic differentiation independently of insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) [221]. Moreover, in opposition to the growth factor IGF-I, IL-15 has effects on fully differentiated myoblasts [222]. The potential therapeutic effect of IL-15 was demonstrated in an in vivo model, which demonstrated that IL-15 was able to antagonize the enhanced muscle protein breakdown in a cancer cachexia model. Interestingly, while IL-15 has been reliably demonstrated to have anabolic effects on skeletal muscle in vitro and in vivo, IL-15 seems to play a role in reducing adipose tissue mass. When IL-15 was administered to adult rats for 7 days, it resulted in a 33% decrease in white adipose tissue mass [223]. These findings provide support for the hypothesis that IL-15 functions in a muscle-to-fat endocrine axis that modulates fat: lean body composition and insulin sensitivity.

The list of the substances providing relevant metabolic effects produced by skeletal muscle fibres during contraction is rapidly increasing. It has recently been reported that adiponectin mRNA and protein are also expressed in skeletal muscle in response to in vivo lipopolysaccharide (LPS) administration in mice and following in vitro incubation with TNF-α and IFN-γ in combination, but not IL-6 or IL-18 in human myotubes [224].

Adiponectin is an adipocytokine product of adipose tissue, which exerts insulin-sensitizing activity on the liver and skeletal muscle, antiatherogenic, and antiinflammatory effects, and inhibits TNF-α production and endothelial activation induced by TNF-α [224]. An important autocrine/paracrine factor in adipose tissue, it modulates the differentiation of preadipocytes and favors the formation of mature adipocytes.

It is well assumed that adiponectin concentrations are lower in obese than lean individuals. This is one of the main metabolic changes linking adipokines with the systemic pro-inflammatory asset observed in the patients affected by the metabolic syndrome, in fact this adipokine functions as an endocrine factor, influencing whole-body metabolism via effects on target organs: adiponectin exerts multiple biologic effects pivotal to cardiovascular biology, including increasing insulin sensitivity, reducing visceral adipose mass, reducing plasma triglycerides, and increasing high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol [224]. Adiponectin, furthermore, alters the concentrations and activity of enzymes responsible for the catalysis of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins and HDL, such as lipoprotein lipase and hepatic lipase. All these alterations of the metabolic and lipid profile might affect atherosclerosis by modifying the balance of atherogenic and antiatherogenic lipoproteins in plasma. Adiponectin also directly affects the function of endothelial cells, reducing VCAM-1 expression, and macrophages, decreasing the expression of scavenger receptors through the modulation of the production of TNF-α.

Acute Exercise is a Pro-oxidant Stimulus

Given that acute exercise is associated to a circulating release of myokines with a change in systemic immunologic state that is able to interfere with low-grade chronic inflammation of several pathologic conditions, it is also well demonstrated that acute exercise promotes oxidative stress. This finding, mediated by whole-body considerable increase of VO₂ (oxygen consumption) during exercise could also seems paradoxical, such as the circulating release during exercise of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6.

The finding that exercise promotes oxidative stress in humans was first reported over 30 years ago, in 1978 [225]. This work revealed that 60 min of endurance exercise at 60% of VO2 max results in increased levels of expired pentane (biomarker of lipid peroxidation) and that supplementation with the antioxidant vitamin E reduced both resting and exercise-induced pentane production. The authors concluded that exercise promotes increased oxidant production but the source of this increased oxidant production was unidentified. Since this early observation, many studies using both animal and human subjects have demonstrated that a variety of exercise intensities and exercise modes (e.g., cycling, running, and resistance exercise) result in increased biomarkers of oxidative damage in both blood and skeletal muscle [226-230]. Only few studies have tried to find out the primary sources of reactive oxygen species (ROS) production during exercise, although we know that several tissue can produce ROS during exercise [231]. The lack of in vivo studies on this topic is due to the difficulty of investigating the multifaceted nature of exercise, which involves several organ systems that are connected through the increased energy requirement of contracting skeletal muscles [231]. Since the discovery that contracting skeletal muscles produce ROS [225], many investigators have assumed that skeletal muscle provides the major source of free radical and ROS generation during exercise [231]. Nonetheless, other tissues such as the heart, lungs, or blood may also contribute to the total body generation of ROS during exercise [231,232].

Studies about local consequences of ROS production in skeletal muscle showed how ROS influence the skeletal muscle force production in both unfatigued and fatigued muscle.

The low levels of ROS present in skeletal muscle during basal conditions are a requirement for normal force production [233,234]. Indeed, depletion of ROS from unfatigued skeletal muscle results in decreased muscle force production [235-237]. In contrast, a small increase in ROS production in skeletal muscle fibers promotes an increase in force production [235] (see Fig. (3)). The positive impact of ROS on muscle force production is reversed at high ROS concentrations as force production decreases in a dose-dependent manner [235]. So, local skeletal muscular cellular redox disturbances can significantly reduce skeletal muscle force production. This consistent observation suggests that contraction-induced ROS production can contribute to muscular fatigue during prolonged and intense exercise. Obviously, systemic spillover of redox muscular abnormalities can cause a response due to anti-oxidant activity of specific enzymes, clearly seen during chronic adaptations to training.

Into the cell the increased oxygen flux through the mitochondrial electron transport chain is believed to be the main source of free radical generation during exercise, but many metabolic pathways differently in various tissues contribute to the generation of free radicals by reactions during exercise through the induction of enzymes such as xanthine oxidase, NADPH oxidase and myeloperoxidase [238]. The induction of these pro-oxidant enzymes by skeletal muscle and other tissues leads to an increase in plasma
Low levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) from unfatigued skeletal muscle result in decreased muscle force production. In contrast, a small increase in ROS production in skeletal muscle fibers promotes an increase in force production. The positive impact of ROS on muscle force production is reversed at high ROS concentrations as force production decreases in a dose-dependent manner. See text for more details.

In contrast to acute exercise, chronic exercise appears clearly to promote the secretion of several number of inflammatory mediators, such as the cytokines, of which has been discussed previously in this paper. Progressive amplification of vascular inflammation can contribute to additional leukocyte accumulation, smooth muscle cell proliferation, and extracellular matrix remodelling [247, 248]. This local inflammatory response sustained by primary pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1 and TNF-α) further stimulates the production of IL-6 in several cell types, including smooth muscle cells and endothelial cells, which magnify the inflammatory response beyond the original focal area of endothelial dysfunction. Therefore, the increased circulating levels of IL-6 will further induce the hepatic synthesis of acute-phase proteins, including fibrinogen, CRP and serum amyloid A.

In this background, IL-6 acts as an amplifier of the acute-phase response, which is accountable for the augment of the expression/production of adhesion molecules, other cytokines, endothelin-1, endothelial plasminogen activator inhibitor-1, tissue factor in monocytes, LDL uptake by macrophages, and for the diminution of nitric oxide (NO) bioavailability [249,250]. Simultaneously, the inflammatory response inhibits the production of collagen and stimulates macrophage expression of the potent procoagulant tissue factor, contributing to the prothrombotic blood environment nearby the areas of endothelial dysfunction [247,248]. Macrophage activity within the vascular wall may also contribute to plaque vulnerability and enhanced risk of plaque rupture, by elaborating matrix metalloproteinases which degrade the protective collagen structure of the plaque's fibrous cap, and consequently lead to the formation of thrombi that could lead to acute coronary events [247,248].

So, endothelial cell integrity is essential for preserving vascular homeostasis, allowing the continuous adjustment of vascular tone, the physiological regulation of leukocyte traffic, and the mainte-

markers of oxidative stress, such as F2-isoprostanes and myeloperoxidase. Circulating levels of these markers are independently associated with CVD risk [239,240], but it is unclear if oxidative stress in the circulation directly promotes the oxidation of LDL in the vascular wall and so could promote or exacerbate the atherosclerotic damage. Further elements about this concept are provided by Wetzstein et al. [241], who have shown that acute exercise increases the susceptibility of LDL to undergo in vitro oxidation, implying that this may also happen in the circulation. However, ox-LDL in the plasma is cleared rapidly by the liver [242], so probably the circulating oxidation of LDL particles does not necessarily promote inflammation like LDL that is oxidized and retained in the artery wall. There is even evidence that plasma oxidative stress may be atheroprotective. Meilhac et al. [243] have shown that acute exercise in mice increases antibodies to oxidatively modified lipoproteins in the plasma, but this was associated with the induction of the antioxidant enzyme catalase in the vascular wall after just 1 week of exercise. This indicates that an exercise-induced plasma oxidative stress may stimulate an arterial antioxidant response, which should inhibit LDL oxidation, inflammation and ultimately atherosclerosis [243]. Additional studies are needed to confirm this hypothesis.

In contrast to acute exercise, chronic exercise appears clearly to enhance antioxidant defences in skeletal muscle, the circulation and the vasculature by a variety of mechanisms. In skeletal muscle, exercise training increases the activity of the antioxidant enzymes glutathione peroxidase and SOD (superoxide dismutase) [238]. These adaptations may dampen the metabolic perturbations in skeletal muscle induced by bouts of acute exercise. Chronic exercise also reduces markers of oxidative stress in the plasma, including F2-isoprostanes [244] and myeloperoxidase [245]. In the vasculature, exercise training induced increases in eNOS activity have
nance of blood fluidity [251]. In several pathological conditions, such as in atherosclerosis, the endothelial function is chronically disturbed in local areas. Endothelial dysfunction is characterized by an alteration in the basal endothelial phenotype (vasorelaxant, anti-coagulant, antplatelet and profibrinolytic), to one that is vasoconstrictive, procoagulant, platelet-activating, and anti fibrinolytic [252,253]. The dysfunctional endothelial cells release lower levels of NO, prostacyclin, thrombomodulin and tissue plasminogen activator and meanwhile increase levels of endothelin-1, angioteins II, plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI)-1 and von Willebrand factor [254]. Tissue factor, which is not present on the functional endothelial cell surface, becomes expressed as a result of thrombin production. Thrombin is activated through the binding of activated factor V to activated factor X on the surface of the endothelial cell [252]. The utility of these molecules could be to serve as novel biomarkers of "endothelial health", whereby the effect of various therapeutic strategies could be monitored. Additionally, such strategies could be employed to explore the various mechanisms of exercise training upon the vascular endothelium in states of health and disease.

According to the current knowledge, regular exercise is a non-pharmacological therapeutic modality that enhances endothelial function in subjects with cardiovascular risk factors, including hypercholesterolemia [255], hypertension [29,256], metabolic syndrome [257], and type 2 diabetes mellitus [24,258], and in patients with established CAD [259,260] and heart failure [27,28,261]. In a prospective clinical study with CAD patients, 4 weeks of intensive exercise training decreased the coronary artery vasoconstriction, in response to acetycholine, by 54% [259]. Additionally, the follow-up of those patients indicated that the continuation of regular exercise, in a home-based program, could sustain, at least partially, the previously achieved effects on coronary endothelial function [261].

The augment of NO bioavailability induced by exercise could be the result of the increased activity/expression of eNOS, and/or the diminished degradation of NO in result to the reduced interaction with ROS Fig. (2). Studies using animal experiments [262,263] and cultured endothelial cells [264,265] suggested that shear stress increases eNOS expression/activity, probably due to the stabilization of eNOS mRNA [264] or the presence of transcription factors in the promoter region of eNOS gene enhancing the synthesis of mRNA [266]. Hambrecht et al., in 2003 [267], performed the first study demonstrating the positive effects of exercise training on vascular function and eNOS expression in the human vascular system. The authors found a 2-fold increase in eNOS mRNA expression and a 3.2 increase in the phosphorylation of eNOS on serine 1177 residue after 4 weeks of regular exercise training in CAD patients. This led to a rise in the enzymatic activity of eNOS and consequently to an enhanced NO production. Furthermore, regular exercise tends to increase the antioxidant defenses and by this way reduce the NO degradation [268]. This hypothesis was verified in heart failure patients in whom exercise improved NO-dependent vasodilatation without changes in eNOS expression. This was explained through the increase of antioxidant defenses, such as the enhanced activity of Superoxide Dismutase and Glutathione Peroxidase [269]. Moreover, in vitro studies showed that the application of laminar shear stress to cultured endothelial cells activates eNOS as well as the cytosolic copper/zinc containing Superoxide Dismutase pathway [270,271, 272,273]. This increase in the antioxidant defenses, observed both in myocardium and endothelial cells [271,272,273,274], seems to result from repetitive exposure to increased laminar shear stress during acute bouts of exercise training. The increase of antioxidant defenses could also contribute to attenuating the formation of foam cells and vascular inflammation through the reduction of a crucial step in the atherosogenesis: the LDL oxidation [275,276]. Indeed, the lipid oxidation, as the result of LDL exposure to the oxidative waste of intima layer, seems to be paramount to the foam cells formation, as native LDL is rapidly taken up by macrophages [247].

In summary, regular exercise promotes the acute increase of blood flow and shear stress and, in turn, improves the NO bioavailability, hence increasing the endothelium-dependent vasodilatation. This improvement could represent one of the most important mechanisms explaining the reduction of myocardial ischemia through regular exercise [259,267]. However, it should be noted that exercise intensity seems to be a crucial variable in this response. It is well established that moderate-intensity aerobic exercise augments endothelium-dependent vasodilatation in subjects with impaired endothelial function [277,278]. Nevertheless, recent studies in metabolic syndrome subjects [279] and heart failure patients [280] have shown that high intensity aerobic interval exercise was better than moderate-intensity aerobic exercise to increase endothelial function and NO availability. Wislaff et al. [280] suggested that the superior effect of aerobic interval training could be elicited by higher shear stress during the acute bouts of exercise, which triggers larger responses at the cellular and molecular level.

Effects of Regular Physical Exercise on CRP and other Inflammatory Markers of Atherosclerosis

An increasing number of studies have examined the anti-inflammatory potential of chronic exercise through the assessment of circulating levels of several systemic markers of inflammation, first of all CRP (see Table 1). Nevertheless the discrepancies among the studies and the different behaviour of various classes of inflammatory markers in response to training, taken together the data from the main studies regarding this matter seem to indicate that exercise training might reduce the circulating levels of markers of inflammation.

The activation of the endothelial cell by cytokines, oxidized LDL, and ROS induce the endothelial expression of CAMs, such as ICAM-1, VCAM-1, E-selectin, and P-selectin, that are crucial to the recruitment of inflammatory cells to the vessel wall [281,282]. These molecules can be measured in circulation as soluble adhesion molecules since they are released in soluble form into the bloodstream from the proteolytic cleavage of membrane bound molecules.

Therefore, these molecules are considered to be important markers of endothelial cell activation and inflammation [282]. Exercise training seems to have a positive impact in the circulating CAMs. In subjects at risk of coronary events, two weeks of exercise training reduced circulating levels of soluble ICAM-1 [283]. Likewise, in heart failure patients, exercise training decreased the circulating levels of soluble ICAM-1, VCAM-1 [284], and P-selectin [285]. Also in animal experiments, exercise training performed 5 times per week for 6 to 8 weeks induced a significant decrease in the expression of P-selectin and VCAM-1 [286,287]. This positive impact of exercise on circulating CAMs could be related to changes in the transcriptional regulation of CAMs induced by shear stress [288]. Besides this direct influence in CAMs expression, exercise training might also have indirect favourable effects throughout the reduction of agonists of CAM synthesis, namely inflammatory cytokines [289], ROS [270,271], and, thus, the oxidation of LDL [280]. By reducing the soluble adhesion, which may represent the interaction between activated monocytes/macrophages and endothelial cells, exercise training might be considered an effective non-pharmacological intervention to reduce endothelial adhesiveness.

Several prospective studies [289-294] examining the influence of exercise training alone or incorporated in more composite approaches associated to cardiac rehabilitation programs or other lifestyle interventions on markers of inflammation, have suggested an anti-inflammatory effect of chronic exercise (see Table 1). However, the majority of those studies are nonrandomized, uncontrolled, and observational.

Milani et al. [295,296] conducted the first studies assessing the effects of cardiac rehabilitation and exercise training on the plasma
Table 1. Selected Studies that Examined the Effects of Exercise Training on Inflammatory Markers of Atherosclerosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study [reference]</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Inflammatory Parameter(s)</th>
<th>Main Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al. 1999 [294]</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Exercise (different types)</td>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>35% decrease in serum levels of CRP (P=0.12).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mattusch F et al 2000 [318]</td>
<td>9 months</td>
<td>Endurance training</td>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>Healthy subjects preparing for a marathon. CRP decreased from 1.19 mg/L before to 0.82 mg/L after training (p &lt; 0.05).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milani et al. 2003 [296]</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>Cardiac rehabilitation</td>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>Significant reduction in CRP after the 3-month intervention in CAD patients with and without metabolic syndrome (P &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milani et al. 2004 [295]</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>Cardiac rehabilitation</td>
<td>hsCRP</td>
<td>CAD patients. Median levels of hsCRP in tretated group: - 41% (p = 0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rauramaa et al 2004 [322]</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>Low to moderate Aerobic exercise</td>
<td>hsCRP</td>
<td>Nonsignificantly change of hsCRP in the exercise group vs the control group (P &gt; 0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caulin-Glaser et al. 2005 [297]</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>Cardiac rehabilitation</td>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>CHD patients. CRP decreased from 5.7 to 2.7 mg/L (P=0.003). Decrease became unsignificant based on age or the presence of metabolic syndrome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldhammer et al. 2005 [289]</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>Cardiac rehabilitation</td>
<td>IL-1, IL-6, IL-10, INF-γ, CRP</td>
<td>CAD patients. Reduction in CRP, IL-1, IL-6, INF-γ levels, and increase in IL-10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niessner et al. 2006 [293]</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>Aerobic exercise</td>
<td>IL-8, MCP-1, MMP-9, IL-6, hsCRP</td>
<td>High risk CAD patients. Significant decrease of IL-8 (p: 0.002), MCP-1 (p:0.03) and MMP-9 (p: 0.05) levels. IL-6 and hsCRP did not change in response to training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huffman et al. 2006 [303]</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Exercise (different types)</td>
<td>hsCRP</td>
<td>Sedentary obese and dyslipidemic. Despite significant improvements in fitness, visceral adiposity, and insulin sensitivity, hsCRP did not change in response to exercise training (P &gt; .20).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohut ML et al [316]</td>
<td>10 months</td>
<td>Aerobic exercise vs flexibility/strength exercise</td>
<td>CRP, IL-6, TNF-α, IL-18</td>
<td>Aerobic training reduced CRP, IL-6, and IL-18 compared to the strenght treatment whereas TNF-α declined in both groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shin et al. 2006 [301]</td>
<td>14 weeks</td>
<td>Cardiac Rehabilitation*</td>
<td>hsCRP, IL-6</td>
<td>Significant reduction of IL-6 and hsCRP (~ 58.3 %, p: 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewart et al. 2007 [320]</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>aerobic and resistance training</td>
<td>CRP, IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α</td>
<td>Serum CRP decreased with training whereas Plasma IL-6 and IL-1beta did not change. TNF-α was higher after the training period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pluss et al. 2008 [298]</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>Cardiac Rehabilitation **</td>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>AMI or CABG patients. CRP decreased from 3.04 to 2.09 mg/L in the intervention group (p&lt; 0.01).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al. 2008 [291]</td>
<td>14 weeks</td>
<td>Cardiac Rehabilitation ***</td>
<td>hsCRP, TNF-α, IL-6</td>
<td>CAD patients. TNF-α (by 20.4%; p = 0.006) IL-6 (by 49.0%; p &lt; 0.0001), and hsCRP (by 59.4%; p &lt; 0.0001), were markedly decreased after CR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
levels of CRP. They found a significant reduction in CRP after the 3-month intervention in CAD patients with and without metabolic syndrome [296], in weight gainers and losers [295], and in patients with or without statin therapy [295]. This data was later confirmed by Caulin-Glaser et al. [297], who reported a consistent reduction of CRP in patients who showed no improvement or an increase in triglycerides, body mass index, and weight. Others [289,290,298] also observed the statins and weight loss-independent effects of exercise on CRP and other markers of inflammation. Goldhammer et al. [289], determining the effect of aerobic exercise training on levels of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines, IL-1, IL-6, IL-10, INF-γ, and CRP in CAD patients participating in a cardiac rehabilitation program found a significant reduction of CRP levels after 12 weeks of training. Cardiac training was associated with a 40.5% CRP reduction in enrolled patients compared to 19% reduction in non-diabetics (p < 0.01). Training resulted in a significant reduction of all pro-inflammatory cytokines, IL-1, 0.33 ± 0.23 to 0.51±0.12 pg/ml, p=0.014, IL-6, 2.50±1.50 to 1.44±0.57 pg/ml, p=0.002, INF-γ, 18.63±3.11 to 16.77±2.49 pg/ml, p<0.001, as well as a significant increase in the anti-inflammatory, cytokine IL-10, from 1.61±1.40 to 2.29±2.01 pg/ml, p=0.008, obtaining an effective reduction of all the inflammatory markers [289].

In another interesting study, Walther et al. [290] randomized 101 patients with stable CAD but an indication for revascularization to either percutaneous intervention with stent or aerobic exercise training. In a subgroup of 66 patients, after 24 months of training, CRP levels were reduced by 41% and IL-6 levels by 18 % whereas no change was observed in the percutaneous intervention group. The effects of exercise were independent of statin therapy. Therefore, this data suggests that cardiac rehabilitation and exercise training has an anti-inflammatory effect independent of statin therapy and weight loss.

As pointed out in the Table 1, the majority of evidence suggests that exercise training is related with ameliorations in biomarkers of systemic low-grade inflammation expressed by the circulating levels of CRP. However, not all the studies are univocal to confirm this relationship, in fact two recent studies [299,300] failed to confirm this effect. This discrepancy may be due to a number of factors, including differences in subject characteristics and number, the timing of the blood samples taken, and the type, and the intensity and duration of the exercise intervention. Indeed, the duration of the intervention seems to be crucial, since the majority of studies showing improvements in the markers of inflammation included at least a 12-week exercise intervention. The two studies mentioned previously included 4 [299] and 7 [300] weeks of exercise training. It is interesting to note that levels of CRP showed a trend of decreasing following the 7-week intervention. They decreased by 17.4%, although without reaching statistical significance, suggesting that probably a longer intervention is needed to induce significant improvement in the inflammatory status of atherosclerotic patients, similarly to what occurred in other studies cited in Table 1, in which duration of intervention seem able to induce the obtaining of significance in CRP reduction [301,302,303].

Niessner et al. [293], evaluated effect of endurance training on atherosclerosis inflammatory markers in subjects with CAD and cardiovascular risk factors (CVRFs). In this study, after an intervention period of 12 weeks, authors found a significant decrease of the chemokines interleukin (IL)-8 (pre: 3.9±0.6, change: -1.2±0.4 pg/ml, -21%, p = 0.002) and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (pre: 213±9, change: -20.4±8.2 pg/ml, -5%, p = 0.03) and of matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9), that highly significantly decreased in response to training (pre 750±198, change: -278±77 ng/ml, -18%, p=0.005), but an unsignificant change of IL-6 (pre: 1.7±0.3, change: +0.25±0.7 pg/ml, +4%, p=0.58) and hsCRP (pre: 2.1±0.5, change: -0.25±0.4 mg/L, -9%, p=0.54) (see Table 1) and [293]; rather low levels of acute phase reactants with high relative intridual variations may have limited the ability to find a significant change with the sample size of this cohort.

Kasapis et al. [304] in their paper underline the inverse relationship existing between serum CRP levels and both physical activity level and cardiorespiratory fitness, finding out several emerging potential mechanisms to enlighten the CRP decrease induced by exercise training alone or as a core component of a cardiac rehabilitation program, all of them closely related to the decrease of cytokine production, namely IL-6, IL-1, and TNF-α [304]. These associations remain after controlling for potential confounders in some studies [305,306,307], although other authors suggest that may be

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study [reference]</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Inflammatory Parameter(s)</th>
<th>Main Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walther et al. 2008 [290]</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>Exercise vs PCI</td>
<td>hsPCR, IL-6</td>
<td>CAD patients needing revascularization. In a subgroup of patients, hsCRP and IL-6 levels were significantly reduced by 41 and 18%, respectively, whereas no relevant changes were observed in the PCI group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sixt et al. 2008 [299]</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>Exercise vs rosiglizide</td>
<td>CRP, fibrinogen</td>
<td>CAD and IGT patients. CRP and fibrinogen levels did not change significantly (p &gt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvinder et al 2010 [326]</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>Cardiac Rehabilitation</td>
<td>CRP, IL-6</td>
<td>CAD patients. Significant decreases occurred in CRP (from 2.07 to 1.6 mg/L, p = 0.03) and IL-6 (from 2.52 to 1.23 pg/ml, p = 0.02)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CRP = C-reactive protein; IL: Interleukin; PCI = percutaneous intervention; CR = cardiac rehabilitation; CAD= Coronary Artery Disease; CHD= Coronary Heart Disease; MCP-1 = Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1; MMP: Metalloproteinase; AMI= Acute Myocardial Infarction; CABG= coronary artery by pass grafting; IGT= Impaired glucose tolerance; * Cardiac Rehabilitation Therapy in this RCT consisted of a supervised 6 weeks of exercise following hospital discharge and 8 weeks of home stay exercise.

** Expanded” Cardiac Rehabilitation in this RCT consisted of multifactorial interventions on metabolic and inflammatory markers, exercise performance and on established cardiovascular risk factors.

*** Cardiac Rehabilitation in this RCT consisted of 6 weeks supervised exercise training and 8 weeks home-based, self-managed exercise.

^ the “Multisite Cardiac Lifestyle Intervention Program” performed in this trial consisted of change in diet (10% calories from fat, plant based), engage in moderate exercise (3 hours/week), and practice stress management (1 hour/day).
influenced by factors, such as gender, body composition and the type of physical activity.

The relationship between obesity and CRP levels, was particularly analyzed. The effect of physical exercise of reduction of obesity, particularly central obesity, with a consequent decrease in the adipocyte production of inflammatory cytokines, seems in fact to be a confounding factor for explaining CRP reduction levels after physical exercise. It is well documented that obesity, especially central obesity, is associated with CRP levels [305,306], possibly due to the increased production of the inflammatory cytokines IL-6 and TNF-α, by the adipocyte [308]. Accordingly, exercise could mitigate inflammation by reducing body weight.

In NHANES III (Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III [309]), jogging and aerobic dancing were associated with lower CRP levels when compared with other forms of activity, including swimming, cycling and weightlifting. In this study [309], involving 13,748 US adults age 20 years or older, time devoted to physical activity is inversely associated with CRP, fibrinogen concentrations, and white blood cell count. In particular, after adjusting for several confounding factors, the risk reduction for elevated CRP concentrations (dichotomized at the ≥85th percentile of the sex-specific distribution) were 2%, 15%, and 47% for participants who engaged in light, moderate, and vigorous physical activity, respectively. In another study of the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, Abramson and Vaccarino [310] reported that of 3638 healthy US men and women age 40 years and older studied, a graded reduction in elevated CRP levels resulted from the number of times the participants engaged in physical exercise. The reduction in the risk of those engaging in physical activity 4-21 times per month was 23%, while the reduction of those engaging 22 or more times per month was 47%. Similar associations were seen for white blood cell count and fibrinogen levels.

Several observational studies indicate that chronic physical activity reduces CRP levels more in males than females [311,312], and no relationship between physical activity levels and CRP is found in some studies after adjusting for BMI (body mass index) and other risk factors [313,314]. However, exercise training decreased the circulating levels of IL-6, IL-1, and CRP levels by 48% independent of changes in body weight or body mass index [289]. Likewise, exercise training also reduced the levels of CRP in CAD patients who experience weight gain [297] or who experienced no changes in body fat [295], suggesting that other factors could contribute to the exercise-related anti-inflammatory effect. The decreased production of cytokines in other sites beyond adipose tissue, such as skeletal muscle and mononuclear cells could be pointed out as another possible mechanism mediating the anti-inflammatory effect of exercise.

A meta-analysis of RCTs (randomized controlled clinical trials) published recently concluded that aerobic exercise training does not reduce basal CRP levels [315]. This meta-analysis included data from 323 subjects in five separate trials in which subjects were randomized to either a control group or an aerobic exercise intervention lasting at least 8 weeks. Overall, there was a 3% reduction in CRP levels in the exercise groups, but this change was not statistically significant.

An interesting work by Kohut et al. [316], not reported in the previously cited meta-analysis, being published afterwards, compared effects of aerobic exercise treatment and flexibility/strength exercise treatment performed 3 days/week, 45 min/day for 10 months. Authors found that aerobic exercise, but not flexibility and resistance exercise, significantly reduced serum CRP, L-6, and IL-18 compared to the strength/flexibility treatment (significant treatment x time interaction, p<.05), whereas TFN-alpha declined in both groups (main effect of time, p=0.01) [316].

In a recent paper by Plaisance and Grandjean [317], the authors reviewed data from a number of longitudinal studies and concluded that long-term exercise training significantly reduces CRP levels, and that this effect may be independent of baseline CRP levels, body composition and weight loss. However, none of the studies reviewed were RCTs. In the only study with a control group, CRP was reduced from 1.19 to 0.82 mg/l (31%) in highly trained runners after 9 months of increased training in preparation for a marathon, but did not change significantly in non-training control subjects [318]. Although the reduction in CRP in the training group in this study was statistically significant, the physiological relevance of such a small absolute change in CRP levels is unknown. Furthermore, because the subjects in the ‘training’ group were already highly fit runners, the significance of these findings to the population at large is also uncertain.

Additional RCTs assessing the effects of exercise training on CRP levels are clearly needed. Most of the studies conducted to date have included interventions of 4 months or less, many have included subjects with relatively low baseline CRP levels and almost none have not controlled for statin use. In addition, it is uncertain whether exercise has a direct effect on CRP levels independent of weight loss. Weight loss has consistently been shown to reduce CRP levels [319]. Most exercise training interventions have shown modest or no effects on CRP after statistically correcting for weight loss, but few studies have assessed the effect of different types of exercise on CRP in the absence of weight loss [319,320,321]. Longer-term studies controlling for these factors are needed before any significant conclusions can be drawn regarding the impact of regular exercise on serum CRP levels. Probably one of the longer study available is the DNASCO (DNA Polymorphism and Carotid Atherosclerosis) Study [322], a 6-year randomized, controlled trial in a population-based sample of middle-aged men, we investigated the effect of regular long-term physical exercise on chronic low-grade inflammation and the progression of atherosclerosis. In this study, High-sensitive CRP levels were statistically nonsignificantly lower in the exercise group than in the control group after 6 years of aerobic training, and also the progression of intima-media thickness in the carotid artery did not differ between the study groups (P > 0.2). The study was probably underpowered to detect changes in high-sensitivity CRP level, confirming how in future larger studies, sex, age, dose response, and exercise methods should be addressed, to study for longer periods the interactions of regular exercise and atherosclerotic inflammation markers.

Geffken et al. [323], reported consistent findings in the Cardiovascular Health Study cohort of 5888 men and women. Compared with those in the lowest quartile of self-reported physical activity, those in the highest quartile had 19%, 6%, 4%, and 3% lower concentrations of CRP, white blood cells, fibrinogen, and factor VIII activity, respectively, after adjustment for gender, presence of CVD, age, race, smoking, body mass index (BMI), diabetes, and hypertension. It was also suggested by the same investigators [323] that the association of higher levels of physical activity with lower levels of inflammation markers may be mediated by BMI and glucose. Further support for the latter hypothesis is provided by a report of the association between BMI and CRP, IL-6, and soluble tumor necrosis factor receptors (sTNF-Rs) 1 and 2. [324]. Further biomarkers were measured in 405 healthy men and 454 healthy women from two large ongoing prospective studies.

After adjustment for other predictors of inflammation, physical activity was inversely associated with plasma levels of sTNF-R1, sTNF-R2, IL-6, and CRP (p=0.07, p=0.004, p=0.04, and p=0.009, respectively). After further adjustment for BMI and leptin, most of these associations were insignificant surrogates for fat mass [324].

These study confirm the previous data reported in which has been addressed the hypothesis that obesity not only can interfere with achieving the level of physical activity necessary for cardiovascular health but also, therefore, could represent an independent factor affecting inflammatory markers and interfering with physical activity. Other interference between adipokynes, myokines, cardio-
vascular and systemic effects of chronic exercise and inflammatory markers of atherosclerosis cannot be excluded.

In a study by Reuben et al. [325], 870 persons aged 70-79 years in the top 33% of community-dwelling older persons with respect to physical and cognitive functioning observed an association between high levels of recreational activity and lower levels of the inflammatory markers, i.e., IL-6 and CRP. Their findings suggest a mechanism for the protective effect of physical activity and support interventions that increase physical activity in older persons in order also to prevent progression of subclinical atherosclerosis.

Although not directly related to atherosclerosis the previously cited work by Mattusch et al. [318] provide further interesting data. The authors measured CRP serum levels before and after 9 months of training in 14 subjects preparing for a marathon, studying the outcome effect of training on the baseline CRP concentration. Although training intensity was continuously increased, baseline CRP diminished in 10 of the 12 runners. The CRP median fell from 1.19 mg/L before training to 0.82 mg/L after training (p<0.05). Since we stressed the concept of paradox pro-inflammatory effects of acute intense physical activity, it is interesting report that after strenuous repeated aerobic exercise bouts the CRP basal levels decreased. The decrease of CRP concentration after intense regular training suggests that also intensive regular exercise has a systemic anti-inflammatory effect, contrary to the effect on muscles and tendons. These informations should probably be usefully assimilated in protocols dedicated to cardiologic rehabilitation, testing stronger exercise protocols in order to evaluate if can be reached more ambitious reduction target of the inflammatory markers.

The various experimental models only partly justify the heterogeneous results showed before: certainly different types of training interventions are obvious reasons for discrepancies, e.g., endurance training versus resistance training; differences in the intensity of exercise and/or time duration of the single bout of exercise, as well as the full duration of the intervention program. Discrepancies may be found not only in the trials that have addressed the issue regarding the potentiality of regular exercise training to reduce serum CRP level, but also studies examining the effects of chronic exercise on cytokine production and circulating cytokine levels have been somewhat conflicting.

Cross-sectional studies indicate that increasing levels of physical activity and/or fitness are generally associated with modest reductions in circulating levels of TNF-α and IL-6, and increased levels of IL-10 [53,289,293]. Consistent with this, Smith et al. [294] observed a 58% reduction in the production of the pro-inflammatory cytokines IFN-γ (interferon-γ), TNF-α and IL-1β, and a 36% increase in the production of the anti-inflammatory cytokines TGF-β (transforming growth factor-β), IL-4 and IL-10, by cultured mononuclear cells from individuals at risk of developing ischemic heart disease following 6 months of exercise training compared with baseline levels. In addition, some studies demonstrate reduced circulating levels of TNF-α, IL-6, IFN-γ and other markers of systemic inflammation following exercise training, although several others do not (reviewed in [294]). These changes in cellular function were reflected in the serum levels of CRP, which decreased by 35%, although without reaching statistical significance. These discrepancies may be due to a number of factors, including differences in subject characteristics, the type and intensity of the exercise intervention, the timing of the blood samples taken and inherent variability in the assays used to measure many of these cytokines. Nevertheless, the preponderance of evidence suggests that both acute and chronic exercise is associated with modest improvements in markers of systemic low-grade inflammation.

Also in patients with heart failure, six months of exercise training reduced the skeletal muscle TNF-α, IL-1-beta and IL-6 expression. However the serum levels of the above mentioned parameters remained unaffected [138], raising the question of whether this local change has physiological repercussions at a systemic level. The enhancement of endothelial function could be another option to justify the decrease of inflammatory status by exercise training, through the reduction of IL-1 and IL-6 released by the endothelium. In fact, several studies have provided evidence supporting the positive impact of exercise training on endothelial function; as earlier explained, exercise training improves NO bioavailability [267] and reduces circulating markers associated with endothelial dysfunction [284,285]. Finally, the favorable impact of exercise training on biomarkers of inflammation could be related to the diminishing of oxidative stress and LDL oxidation, hence reducing monoacyl activation and, in this way, attenuating the production of inflammatory cytokines. Indeed, exercise training reduces oxidative stress in skeletal muscle [327] and in the vasculature [268], and reduces the level of circulating markers of oxidative stress [328].

As previously underlined, TNF-α levels do not increase after acute exercise [51,53]. Effects of chronic exercise on basal TNF-α levels have been evaluated by Starkie et al. [73], performed three experiments in which healthy young men rested for 3 h (control), rode a bicycle for 3 h, and were infused with RlL-6 for 3 h. After 21/2 h, subjects received a bolus of Escherichia coli endotoxin in all experiments. This resulted in a twofold increase in circulating TNF-α in the control experiment, whereas the response was totally attenuated in relation to exercise or RL-6 administration. This experiment supports the hypothesis that physical activity mediates strong anti-inflammatory effects also against this main pro-inflammatory cytokine; an effect reasonable mediated by the muscular production of IL-6 and the other anti-inflammatory myokines able to interfere with immunologic asset of the organism, as underlined before in this paper. Nevertheless of this, a modest decrease in TNF-α was also observed in IL-6 KO mice, suggesting the existence of anti-inflammatory exercise effects mediated via IL-6 and an IL-6-independent mechanism [329]. In accordance with experimental observations, TNF-α mRNA in skeletal muscle declined in relation to a training intervention in patients with chronic heart failure, whereas a decline in systemic low-level inflammation was not detected [138].

However, TNF-α mRNA was not reduced in skeletal muscle after 8 weeks of bicycle exercise in obese adults [330].

CONCLUSIONS

Atherosclerosis, and, in particular, its acute complications, is a major cause of mortality and morbidity around the world and is likely to continue to increase as a cause of death. Prevention and treatment of cardiovascular disease is still a clinical challenge. Several lines of research have converged to suggest that the biology of the plaque is the real ‘culprit’ of clinical manifestations of atherosclerosis.

Inflammatory signaling pathways are implicated in early atherogenesis, in the progression of lesions and finally in the acute complications of the disease. The recent identification of skeletal muscle as an endocrine organ that produces and releases myokines expands our knowledge on how the nervous, endocrine, and immune systems contribute to the maintenance of homeostasis, especially when energy demands are increased. Since in the 1990s the discovery of adipose tissue as a secretory organ dominate the research, the recent identification of skeletal muscle as an endocrine organ that produces and releases myokines expands our knowledge on how the nervous, endocrine, and immune systems contribute to the maintenance of homeostasis, especially when energy demands are increased. Since in the 1990s the discovery of adipose tissue as a secretory organ dominate the research, the finding that muscles produce and release cytokines (myokines) suggests that in addition to adipose tissue working skeletal muscle may be a major source of secreted molecules.

Myokines may be involved in mediating the health beneficial effects of exercise and play important roles in the protection against diseases associated with chronic low-grade inflammation, such as insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, cancer and, naturally, cardiovascular atherosclerotic disease. Given that atherosclerotic process is characterized in all its phases by inflammation, many theoretical benefits could be provided by regular exercise, although further
scientific validations about the improvement on atherosclerosis progression, about the outcome benefit of atherosclerotic patient and finally about regression of low-grade inflammation and relationship between myokines and systemic inflammatory mediators of atherosclerosis are needed.

It is obvious that knowledge about the mechanisms whereby regular exercise offers protection against chronic diseases in combination with clinical research serves as a foundation for the development of public health guidelines with regard to exercise. Moreover, more specific knowledge about the mechanisms whereby exercise alters the function and metabolism in other organs, such as adipose tissue, liver, and brain, is required to prescribe exercise as therapy in the form of endurance training, metabolic training, or strength conditioning, since different types of exercise provide different degree of variations of systemic inflammation through different myokinin muscular response.

Finally, it is obvious that the identification of new myokines and their receptors will potentially serve as pharmacological targets for the prevention and treatment of atherosclerotic disease, metabolic disorders and other chronic diseases. Nevertheless discrepancies, analysis of available researches seem to confirm the efficacy of regular physical training as a nonpharmacological therapy having target chronic low-grade inflammation. Given this, physical exercise could be considerate a useful weapon against local vascular and systemic inflammation in atherosclerosis. Several mechanisms explain the positive effect of chronic exercise, nevertheless, these mechanisms do not fully enlighten all pathways by which exercise can decrease inflammation and endothelial dysfunction, and hence modulate the progression of the underlying disease progress. Future research is needed to provide convincing support to the mechanisms explaining the beneficial effect of exercise in the inflammatory profile of atherosclerotic patients.
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