Differential proteomic and phenotypic behaviour of papillary and anaplastic thyroid cell lines
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ABSTRACT

Thyroid carcinomas account for a minority of all malignant tumours but, after those of the gonads, they represent the most common forms of endocrine cancers. They include several types, among which the papillary thyroid cancer (PTC) and the anaplastic thyroid cancer (ATC) are the best known. The two hystotypes display significant biological and clinical differences: PTC is a well differentiated form of tumour with a high incidence and a good prognosis, while the ATC is less frequent but represents one of the most aggressive endocrine tumours with morphological features of an undifferentiated type. To date, as far as we know, no conclusive studies, useful to design arrays of molecular markers, have been published illustrating the phenotypic and proteomic differences between these two tumours. The aim of this work was to perform a comparative analysis of two thyroid cancer cell lines, derived respectively from papillary (BCPAP) and anaplastic (8505C) thyroid carcinomas. The comparative analysis included cell behaviour assays and proteomic analysis by 2D-PAGE and mass spectrometry. The results have highlighted a new proteomic signature for the anaplastic carcinoma-derived cells, consistent with their high proliferation rate, motility propensity and metabolic shift, in relation to the well-differentiated PTC cells.

This article is part of a Special Issue entitled: From Genome to Proteome: Open Innovations.
© 2013 Published by Elsevier B.V.

1. Introduction

The human thyroid gland is composed of a basic structural unit, the follicle, consisting of a monolayer of well polarized cells, the thyrocytes, responsible for the T3/T4 hormone secretion, and of other peripheral cells, the parafollicular C cells, responsible for the secretion of calcitonin. The presence within the follicle of stem cells, or remnants of embryonic cells, has been hypothesized as the target cells for tumour initiation. A thin extracellular matrix, which includes occasional fibroblasts and inflammatory cells, is peripheral to the follicle structure.

Thyroid carcinomas account for 1–2% of all malignant tumours and, after those of the gonads, they represent the most common tumours of the endocrine system. The thyroid
tumours include several histotypes with different molecular profiles, as well as biological and clinical behaviours. Among these, papillary (PTC) and anaplastic (ATC) histotypes are probably the most investigated. The two histotypes display significant phenotypic differences, as well as dissimilar clinical occurrences and outcomes. Indeed, PTC is a well differentiated form of tumour with a high incidence, representing approximately 80% of all thyroid tumours and is characterized by a good prognosis [1]. On the contrary, ATC accounts for less than 5%, but it is one of the most aggressive endocrine tumours with morphological features of an undifferentiated type. However, to date no studies have definitely demonstrated whether malignant forms of thyroid tumours arise from the adult epithelial cells through multistep cancerogenesis [2] or from remnants of foetal thyroid cells [3] or, as more recently hypothesized, from resident stem cells [4–6].

Many genetic alterations have been described as involved in the progression, mostly leading to the anomalous activation of the MAP kinase pathway. Several studies have suggested that BRAF(V600E) mutation (90% of all BRAF mutations) plays an important role in the early steps of the thyroid carcinogenesis leading to the progression towards the anaplastic forms [7–10], but some controversies about its significance still remain. Therefore, the biological mechanisms of thyroid cancerogenesis are still unclear. This is also because the panels of putative biomarkers for thyroid cancer histotypes are not yet adequate enough to fulfil the requirements for molecular diagnosis, prognosis and target therapy. We suggest that the increase in knowledge of protein expression in thyroid tumours, as for the breast cancer [11–13], could greatly help to understand molecular mechanisms involved in the thyroid carcinogenesis. With this aim, we performed a comparative analysis of two thyroid cancer cell lines, derived respectively from papillary (BCPAP) and anaplastic (8505C) thyroid carcinoma. The comparative analysis included cell behaviour assays, proteomic analysis by 2D-PAGE and mass spectrometry.

First of all, in this study we show that both PTC and ATC cell lines closely maintain in vitro phenotypical characteristics, probably resembling their in vivo counterparts. Indeed, the 8505C cells are characterized by cell traits and a behaviour typical of the aggressive phenotype associated with an advanced stage of the disease. On the contrary, the BCPAP cells derived from a more differentiated tumour, maintain in vitro a rather stable phenotype and the ability to reorganize “folicile-like” structures. Our findings confirm that these cell lines represent an important model for the in vitro study of differentiated and undifferentiated thyroid tumours and may offer new insights into the thyroid carcinogenesis. The proteomic study revealed a panel of differentially expressed proteins, instrumental for cancer growth and invasion, which may be used for future applications as biomarkers of thyroid malignancy.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell culture

The human papillary thyroid carcinoma cell line, BCPAP established in 1992 [14] and human anaplastic thyroid carcinoma cell line, 8505C established in 1994 [15] were provided by the Endocrinology Laboratory of Prof. C. Giordano. Cells were seeded at a density of 10^4 cells/cm^2 and grown in RPMI 1640 (GIBCO), supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (GIBCO), 1% L-glutamine, 1% penicillin and 1% streptomycin in a humidified incubator with 5% CO_2 in air at 37 °C.

2.1.1. Cell proliferation

The assay [16] was performed by the use of a colorimetric tetrazolium compound (CellTiter 96, Promega). Briefly, 20 μl of CellTiter 96 was added to 100 μl of medium into each well containing the cells. After 1 h of incubation in a humidified 5% CO_2 atmosphere, the absorbance at 492 nm was read using a 96-well plate reader (Amersham).

2.1.2. Scratch assay

The confluent mono-layers of BCPAP and 8505C cells were scraped with a p200 pipet tip. Following the “scratch”, the cells debris were removed by several washes with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fresh growth medium was added. The assays were monitored at different times (6 h–24 h) through optical microscopy.

2.2. Gelatin zymography

2.2.1. Conditioned media preparations

Conditioned media of BCPAP and 8505C confluent cells were collected after 24 h of culture in absence of serum. The media were then submitted to extensive dialysis against ultrapure distilled water at 4 °C and lyophilized. Dried samples were solubilized in a buffer 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5.

2.2.2. Zymographic assay

Aliquots corresponding to 10 μg were used for one-dimensional gelatin zymography, that was performed under non-reducing conditions, on 7.5% SDS-PAGE copolymerized with 0.1% gelatin, using a minigel lab apparatus (Biorad). Following the electrophoresis, the SDS was removed from the gel by washes of 1 h with 2.5% Triton-X 100 in 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5. The zymogram was subsequently developed for 18 h at 37 °C in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl and 10 mM CaCl_2 [17]. Gel was stained with Coomassie blue and unstained areas corresponding to zones of digestion were visualized after destaining with 7% methanol in 5% acetic acid.

2.2.3. Western blotting

Zymographic controls were performed by western blot assay with purified samples of proMMP-2 and proMMP-9 (kindly donated by Dr H. Nagase, Imperial College, UK), probed respectively with one of the two monoclonal antibody: anti-MMP-2 mouse mAb (1:1000; Santa Cruz, Heidelberg, Germany) and anti-MMP-9 mouse mAb (1:1000; Santa Cruz). Following incubation with the appropriate peroxidase-linked antibody [horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:3000; Santa Cruz)], the reaction was revealed by the ECL detection system, using high performance films (Hyperfilm ECL; Amersham).

2.3. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

BCPAP and 8505C cells were seeded in T-25 cell culture flasks at a concentration of 15×10^3 cell/cm^2 and were processed for
SEM observation, performed at the Human Anatomy Section, University of Bologna, Italy. The cell culture flasks were carefully rinsed with PBS to prevent the cells detachment. Cells were fixed with Karnowsky solution (1.5% glutaraldehyde, 1% paraformaldehyde, 1% cacodylate buffer, pH 7.4) for 10 min. Flasks with adhering cells were then rinsed three times with 0.1% cacodylate buffer, postfixed for 20 min with 1% OsO4 in cacodylate buffer, dehydrated with ethanol, and finally dried with hexamethydisilazane (Sigma) for 15 min, as described [18]. Then the specimens were coated with 20 nm-thick palladium-gold film and examined using a Philips SEM 515 at 15 kV.

2.4. Two dimensional gel electrophoresis

2.4.1. Sample preparations

Cells were grown in the presence of serum until 80–90% confluence, then they were serum starved for 24 h, harvested with cell scraper in PBS and lysed in M-RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 0.1% Nonidet P-40, 0.1% deoxycholate, 150 mM NaCl, 4 mM EDTA) added with a mixture of protease inhibitors (0.01% aprotinin, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 2 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM PMSF). The whole cellular lysate was centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 8 min to clear cell debris and stored at –80 °C. Protein concentration in the cellular extracts was determined using the Bradford method [19].

2.4.2. 2D-IEF

Cell lysates of BCPAP and 8505C cells were submitted to extensive dialysis against ultrapure distilled water at 4 °C and lyophilized. Dried samples were solubilized in a buffer containing 4% 3-[2-cholamidopropyl]dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate (CHAPS), 40 mM Tris, 65 mM 1, 4 dithioerythritol (DTE) and a trace of bromophenol blue in 8 M urea. Aliquots of 45 μl of rehydration solution containing 8 M urea, 2% CHAPS, 40 mM Tris, 65 mM 1, 4 dithioerythritol (DTE) and a trace of bromophenol blue in 8 M urea. Aliquots of 45 μg (analytical gels) or 1.5 mg (preparative gels) of total proteins were separately mixed with 350 μl of rehydration solution containing 8 M urea, 2% CHAPS, 10 mM DTE and 0.5% carrier ampholytes (Resolyte 3.5–10; Amersham), and applied for isoelectrofocusing (IEF) using commercial sigmoidal IPG strips, 18 cm long with pH range 3.0–10; (Bio-rad, Segrate, Milano, Italy). The IEF was carried out by linearly increasing the voltage from 200 to 3500 V during the first 3 h, after which focusing was continued at 8000 V for 8 h. After the run the IPG strips were equilibrated with a solution containing 6 M urea, 30% glycerol, 2% Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate (SDS), 0.05 M Tris–HCl, pH 6.8 and 2% DTE for 12 min, in order to re-solubilize the proteins and reduce the disulfuric bonds. The SH groups were then blocked by substituting the DTE with 2.5% iodoacetic acid in the equilibrating buffer. The focused proteins were then separated on 9–16% linear gradient polyacrylamide gels (SDS-PAGE) with a constant current of 20 mA/gel at 10 °C and the separated proteins were visualized by ammoniacal silver staining [20].

2.4.3. Image acquisition and data analysis

Silver-stained gels were digitized using a computing densitometer and analyzed with ImageMaster 2D PLATINUM software (Amersham Biosciences, Sweden). Gel calibration was carried out using an internal standard and the support of the ExPaSy molecular biology server, as described elsewhere [21]. Quantitative variations in the protein expression levels were calculated as the volume of the spots (i.e. integration of optical density over the spot area). In order to correct for differences in gel staining, the spot volumes relative to the sum of the volume of all spots on each gel (%Vol) were calculated by the software.

2.5. Protein identification

2.5.1. N-Terminal microsequencing

It was performed by automated Edman degradation in a protein sequencer (Procient 419; Applied Biosystems), as previously described [22].

2.5.2. In-gel digestion and MALDI-TOF analysis

Mass spectrometric sequencing was performed with the Voyager DE-PRO (Applied Biosystems) mass spectrometer as described elsewhere [12]. Briefly, proteins were digested using sequencing-grade trypsin (20 μg/vial). The tryptic peptide extracts were dried and re-dissolved in 10 μl of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA). The matrix, R-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (HCCA), was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. A saturated solution of HCCA (1 μl) at 2 mg/200 μl in CH3CN/H2O (50:50 v/v) containing 0.1% TFA was mixed with 1 μl of peptide solution on the MALDI plate and left to dry. MALDI-TOF mass spectra were recorded in the 500-5000 Da mass range, using a minimum of 100 shots of laser per spectrum. Delayed extraction source and reflector equipment allowed sufficient resolution to consider MH+ of mono- isotopic peptide masses. Internal calibration was carried out using trypsin autolythesis fragments at m/z 842.5100, 1045.5642, and 2211.1046 Da. Peptide mass fingerprinting was compared to the theoretical masses from the Swiss-Prot or NCBI sequence databases using Mascot (http://www.matrixscience.com/). Typical search parameters were as follows: 50 ppm of mass tolerance, carbamidomethylation of cysteine residues, one missed enzymatic cleavage for trypsin, a minimum of four missed enzymatic cleavage for trypsin, a minimum of four
from three independent experiments (±SD). Cell proliferation was evaluated every day from the first 24 h until 9 days after seeding. As can be observed, the difference in cell proliferation between the two cell lines is evident at 4 days from seeding, where the cell density of 8505C cell line is ~30% higher with respect to B-CPAP cell line. From the 7th day on, this difference is significantly reduced in conjunction with the cellular over-confluence decay of both cell lines.

3.3. Proteomic analysis

Representative 2D proteomic maps of BCPAP and 8505C cells are shown in Fig. 3. The protein identities are marked with labels corresponding to the accession numbers of the Swiss-Prot database and the different isoforms of the same protein are jointly labelled. In the present study we have identified 223 protein forms, corresponding to 147 genes, by MALDI-TOF and/or N terminal sequencing (for more details see the Supplementary Table with the catalogue of identified proteins).

For the comparative analysis of protein expression, the average of three spot values from three different BCPAP and 8505C cells gels was utilized (Fig. 4) and protein levels were considered significantly different for ≥2-fold variation (Fig. 5). Out of the 223 identified proteins, 63 protein forms, corresponding to 50 genes, were found to be differentially expressed in 8505C in comparison with BCPAP cells.

The catalogue of differentially expressed proteins is reported in Table 1 with the following information: protein name, access number of Swiss-Prot database, protein abbreviated names, theoretical and experimental pI and MW, identification methods, matched masses/searched masses ratio and number of peptide matches that covered the regions of the protein sequence.

These proteins were clustered into 9 functional categories, mainly according to the current ontology database [i.e. 24], with the abrogation of the redundancy. Proteins
with multifunctional activities were sorted according to their major function.

Fig. 4 shows the graphs illustrating the relative differences in density values (expressed as Vol%) of protein spots from BCPAP (grey colour) and 8505C (black colour) cells. As shown, the two curves display significant divergences, at various degrees of amplitude in a number of proteins. Analytically, the nine protein classes are the following:

3.3.1. Metabolic processes
This class represents the most abundant among those identified in our system. It contains 17 protein forms corresponding to 12 isoforms of glycolytic enzymes, 2 mitochondrial proteins and 3 proteins of other metabolic processes. It is interesting the finding that all the identified glycolytic enzymes, including their isoforms, i.e.: ENOA, G3P, PGK1, KPYM, LDHA and TFPS, display a higher expression level in 8505C cells with respect to the BCPAP. These enzymes are key components of the glycolytic metabolism, but they are also involved in additional functions. Concurrently, the two proteins of the mitochondrial compartment, ECHM and VATF, appeared at lower intensity levels in the 8505C.

3.3.2. Cytoskeleton and associated proteins
This cluster includes 9 protein forms corresponding to 7 genes. Higher expression levels in 8505C regarded: COF1, PROF1, VINC, TBB5 and VIME, the latter almost absent in the BCPAP cells. In contrast, two epithelial markers, i.e. K1C9 and K2C8, and an actin isoform displayed a lower intensity in 8505C than in BCPAP cells.

3.3.3. Membrane-associated proteins with multiple activities
This group includes 4 protein forms. Three of which, LEG1, ANXA1 and ANXA2, showed higher expression levels in 8505C cells while a lower expression level was observed for the ANXA4.

3.3.4. Calcium binding proteins
Proteins within this cluster include 6 protein forms corresponding to 5 genes. Five of these proteins belong to the S100 family and four of them (two isoforms of S100P, S10A7 and S10AD) appeared less expressed in the 8505C cells, as did the calmodulin, a multifunctional calcium transducer; while the S10A6 appeared more expressed in 8505C cells.

3.3.5. Regulators of cell proliferation
This class includes 5 protein forms. All these proteins (MIF, NTF2, CCNH and SPB5), except AGR2, are characterized by higher expression levels in the 8505C cells.

3.3.6. Molecular chaperones/heat shock proteins
This group comprises 9 protein forms corresponding to 5 genes. It is interesting to note that all these proteins, including their isoforms (CH60, CH10, GRP75, HYOU1 and PDIA3) displayed lower expression levels in 8505C.

3.3.7. Detoxification processes
This class consists of 6 protein forms, four of which (AK1BA, 3HIDH, PRDX1, and AK1C3) were under-expressed, while two of them were highly expressed (LGUL and SODM) in 8505C cells. An altered expression of proteins of the antioxidant protective system has been also reported by other authors [25] in the poorly differentiated ARO cells (from anaplastic carcinoma) with respect to TPC-1 cells (from papillary carcinoma).

3.3.8. Degradation machinery
This cluster includes 3 protein forms: UCHL1 with a high expression level in 8505C cells, and two subunits of the proteosome (PSA1 and PSB4) showing lower expression levels.
Fig. 4 – Global proteomic profiles of BCPAP and 8505C cells. The diagram shows the relative differences in density values (expressed as Vol%) of protein spots from BCPAP (grey colour) and 8505C (black colour) cells. Each value is the mean of three independent determinations.

Fig. 5 – Histogram of differentially expressed proteins in BCPAP and 8505C cells, sorted in functional categories. Relative intensity of protein spots was calculated normalizing the data to the sum of all spot volumes on gels (Vol%). Each value is the mean of three independent determinations. Vertical bars indicate Vol% values. The protein levels were considered significantly different for ≥2-fold change. The data in the graphs are expressed as mean number±SD. For protein symbols, see Table 1.
Table 1 – Catalogue of the differentially expressed proteins in BCPAP and 8505C cell lines. Table reports the following information: Protein names, accession numbers (AC) and abbreviated names correspond to the nomenclature used in the Swiss-Prot database. The experimental values of pI and MW for every isoelectric spot were calculated with ImageMaster 2D Platinum system; the theoretical values represent the predicted MW and pI for each identified protein according to Swiss-Prot and TrEMBL database. Identification methods: 1, MALDI-TOF; 2, N-terminal sequencing by automated Edman degradation; and 3, Western Blotting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein Name</th>
<th>AC Number</th>
<th>Abbreviated Name</th>
<th>Theor. MW</th>
<th>Exp. MW</th>
<th>Theor. pI</th>
<th>Exp. pI</th>
<th>ID methods</th>
<th>% Masses Matched</th>
<th>Sequence coverage (%)</th>
<th>N-terminal residues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenase, mitochondrial</td>
<td>P31937</td>
<td>3HIDH</td>
<td>35329</td>
<td>31290</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin, cytoplasmic 1</td>
<td>P60709</td>
<td>ACTB</td>
<td>41737</td>
<td>42000</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior gradient protein 2 homolog</td>
<td>O95994</td>
<td>AGR2</td>
<td>19979</td>
<td>16224</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>8.83</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldo-keto reductase family 1 member B10</td>
<td>O60218</td>
<td>AK1BA</td>
<td>36020</td>
<td>36440</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldo-keto reductase family 1 member C3</td>
<td>P42330</td>
<td>AK1C3</td>
<td>36853</td>
<td>37513</td>
<td>8.06</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal dehydrogenase 1</td>
<td>P00352</td>
<td>AL1A1</td>
<td>54862</td>
<td>50636</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annexin A1</td>
<td>P04083</td>
<td>ANXA1</td>
<td>38714</td>
<td>36053</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annexin A2</td>
<td>P07355</td>
<td>ANXA2</td>
<td>38604</td>
<td>36440</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annexin A4</td>
<td>P09525</td>
<td>ANXA4</td>
<td>35885</td>
<td>33134</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calmodulin</td>
<td>P62158</td>
<td>CALM</td>
<td>16838</td>
<td>13928</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclin-H</td>
<td>P51946</td>
<td>CCNH</td>
<td>37643</td>
<td>36945</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 kDa heat shock protein, mitochondrial</td>
<td>P61604</td>
<td>CH10</td>
<td>10932</td>
<td>10902</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 kDa heat shock protein, mitochondrial</td>
<td>P10809</td>
<td>CH60 a</td>
<td>61055</td>
<td>59065</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>res. 33-38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride intracellular channel protein 1</td>
<td>O00299</td>
<td>CLIC1</td>
<td>26923</td>
<td>31861</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cofilin-1</td>
<td>P23528</td>
<td>COF1</td>
<td>18502</td>
<td>18547</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coatomer subunit epsilon</td>
<td>O14579</td>
<td>ECHM</td>
<td>34482</td>
<td>31384</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enoyl-CoA hydratase, mitochondrial</td>
<td>P30084</td>
<td>COPE</td>
<td>31387</td>
<td>27903</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-enolase</td>
<td>P06733</td>
<td>ENOA</td>
<td>47169</td>
<td>46541</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>P04406</td>
<td>G3P</td>
<td>36053</td>
<td>36274</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>8.71</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rho GDP-dissociation inhibitor 1</td>
<td>P52565</td>
<td>GDRI1</td>
<td>23207</td>
<td>25087</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 kDa glucose-regulated protein</td>
<td>P38646</td>
<td>GRP7</td>
<td>73681</td>
<td>74721</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoxia up-regulated protein</td>
<td>Q9Y41L</td>
<td>HYOU1 fr a</td>
<td>111335</td>
<td>98292</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin, type I cytoskeletal 9</td>
<td>P35527</td>
<td>KIC9</td>
<td>62066</td>
<td>45528</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 8</td>
<td>P05787</td>
<td>K2C8</td>
<td>53704</td>
<td>39754</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate kinase isozymes M1/M2</td>
<td>P14618</td>
<td>KPVM a</td>
<td>57937</td>
<td>59065</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galectin-1</td>
<td>P09382</td>
<td>LEG1</td>
<td>14716</td>
<td>12836</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactotryptophan synthetase</td>
<td>Q04760</td>
<td>LGUL</td>
<td>65331</td>
<td>22454</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrophage migration inhibitory factor</td>
<td>P14174</td>
<td>MIF</td>
<td>12476</td>
<td>11539</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3.3.9. Carrier and scaffold proteins
This group includes 3 protein forms, GDIR1, RABP2 and COPE. A higher expression level was detected in 8505C cells for GDIR1, while RABP2 and COPE appeared under-expressed.

A diagram reporting the positive and negative variations of protein expression in logarithm scale and grouped by class is illustrated in Fig. 5.

3.4. Cell motility

Fig. 6 shows the sequential steps of the process of “healing” that both cell lines have undergone. Significant cell migration was seen at 6 h after the scratch for the 8505C cells, with formation of cell protrusions and intercellular contacts at the wound margins. After 24 h the scratch was completely covered by the 8505C cells, differently from the BCPAP cells which appeared unable to cover the scratch by active migration.

3.5. Gelatinolytic activity

To verify the capability of cells to produce gelatinolytic enzymes, mainly MMP-2 and MMP-9, as potential invasivity markers, the conditioned media collected from BCPAP and 8505C cells respectively, were tested by gelatin zymography, performed after protein separation by mono-dimensional SDS-PAGE. Fig. 7(A) shows the results of a representative gelatinolytic activity assay.

4. Discussion

Thyroid carcinomas are still an open field of studies because of their numerous histotypes and subtypes with unclear biological characteristics. In particular, studies of the thyrocyte and thyroid cancer proteomics, both ex vivo [26–29] and of cell lines [25] are in a minority compared with studies of the genome and transcriptome [30,31].

In the present research we aimed at investigating proteomic and phenotypic properties that would increase the possibility to better characterize malignant forms of thyroid tumours. To this purpose, we performed a comparative analysis of two thyroid cancer cell lines, derived respectively from papillary (BCPAP) and anaplastic (8505C) thyroid carcinomas.

Observations at optical and scanning electron microscopes highlighted a large phenotypical diversity between the two cell lines. The BCPAP cells display a rather uniform cellular size, a regular cell shape and plasma membranes decorated by short microvillous expansions. Moreover, in most cases, cells at sub-confluence tend to form circular hollow structures which resemble the follicular structures of the gland. On the contrary, the 8505C cells display very irregular cellular morphology, they grow faster with propensity to overgrow in multilayer, and produce long cell surface protrusions of variable size, typical of very aggressive neoplastic cells [18].

Our proteomic analysis highlighted relevant differential expression of proteins and protein clusters, well matching with the cell behaviours of the two cell lines respectively.

Firstly, it is interesting to notice that the higher expression of proteins stimulating cell proliferation (CCNH, GDIR1 and MIF) [32–34] is detected in the 8505C cells showing higher growth rates than the BCPAP cells. Conversely a lower expression of proteins belonging to the folding control machinery may testify an impaired capability of the 8505C cells to undergo the secretory pathway.
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cells to perform differentiated cellular functions. An emblematic example is the decrement of the PDIA3, a protein that binds immature forms of thyroglobulin in the exocytosis and endocytosis pathways of thyrocytes, associated with hormonogenesis [35]. These results are in good agreement with the persistence of proliferative activities, in the absence of cell differentiation, as occurs in the anaplastic cancer.

Another significant property of most malignant tumours is the phenomenon of enhanced anaerobic metabolism, described as the “Warburg effect”, which induces acidification of the cancer environment stimulating the development of a more aggressive and invasive phenotype. In our system we observed a higher amount of the glycolytic enzymes in 8505C cell line compared to the BCPAP, in agreement with the malignant properties of these cells. It is also relevant to recall that, in addition to the canonical functions, most glycolytic enzymes perform other cellular functions. For example, in some cases ENOA is expressed at the cell surface, where it may also act as a plasminogen receptor [36], thus mediating the activation of plasmin and consequent extracellular matrix degradation and cancer invasion. Similarly, the PGK1, another over-expressed glycolytic enzyme in the 8505C cells, may be secreted extracellularly by tumours. In these cases, it represents a critical target of the “chemokine axis” and an important regulator of the “angiogenic switch”, essential for tumour growth and metastasis. In addition, PGK1 is known to regulate the E-cadherin/β-catenin complex [37], suggesting that over-expression of this protein in tumours may promote decreased cell–cell adhesion and potentiate cell migration.

A differential expression of cytoskeleton proteins was also observed between the two cell lines, probably related with the observed alterations in the 8505C cell shape and polarity. In particular, the high expression level of COF1 in 8505C may have a role in the formation of membrane protrusions and directional spikes which are known to be associated with a motile and invasive phenotype [38]. Moreover, the COF1 plays a crucial role in the apoptotic process, as described in several researches [39,40]. In addition, the decreased expression of the epithelial markers K1C9 and K2C8, associated with the appearance of the mesenchymal marker VIME and with the

Fig. 6 – Migration of BCPAP and 8505C cells in a scratch wound assay. Panel shows the representative optical micrographs of B-CPAP and 8505C cells at 0 h, 6 h and 24 h from scratch (magnification: 10×).
up-regulation of ANXA1, a protein involved in the membrane trafficking and TGF-β signaling [41], may testify the occurrence of the epithelial–mesenchymal transition and the consequent acquisition of a more invasive and aggressive phenotype. Indeed, other proteins in the 8505C cell proteomics are described as associated with the tumour growth and metastatic progression: i.e. the S100A6 [42] and LEG1, which, among several functions, is also considered a co-promoter of MMP-2 and MMP-9 expression [43] and therefore an enhancer of tumour invasion and metastasis. In support of this hypothesis is the observation that the 8505C cells produce and release into the medium a significant quantity of matrix metalloproteinases MMP-2 and MMP-9.

5. Conclusions

The phenotypical features and in vitro behaviours of the BCPAP and 8505C cell lines, object of the present study, are indicative of the different malignant potentiality of the tumours from which the cells were derived. Indeed, the BCPAP cells display traits of well differentiated non-invasive cells, while the 8505C cells clearly show the expected characteristics of a very aggressive phenotype in vivo. The major features are: the exhibition of a high growth rate, the loss of the epithelial cell polarity, the transition from epithelial to mesenchymal cytoskeletal markers, the attitude to cell migration, as demonstrated by the scratch assay, the extension of long surface protrusion and cell membrane ruffling, the ability to produce matrix degrading enzymes (MMPs). Remarkably, the proteomic profiles are in agreement with phenotypical traits which characterize the two studied cell lines. These include: the over-expression of the glycolytic enzymes by the 8505C cells, which recall the in vivo Warburg effect typical of malignant tumours; the differential expression of cytoskeleton proteins, responsible for cell shapes and cell motility; the differential expression of many proteins responsible for cell proliferation, and others belonging to other functional classes. Finally, it is worth mentioning that the majority of the identified proteins are able to perform a variety of cellular functions, besides their canonical primary roles, that when deregulated may become instrumental for cancer growth and invasion. The differentially expressed proteins may be used for future applications as biomarkers of thyroid malignancy.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2013.01.023.
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Fig. 7 – Detection of gelatinolytic activity. The figure displays: A) Representative gelatin zymogram 7.5% SDS-PAGE of conditioned medium samples from BCPAP (lane 2) and 8505C (lane 3) cells and serum sample (lane 1) used as molecular weight marker; and B) Western Blotting of purified gelatinases revealed with anti-MMP-2 (lane 1) and anti-MMP-9 (lane 2) monoclonal antibodies.
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