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ABSTRACT

This short communication aims at providing an updated report on degassing activity and ground deformation variations observed during the ongoing (2012–2019) Campi Flegrei caldera unrest, with a particular focus on Pisciarelli, currently its most active fumarolic field. We show that the CO₂ flux from the main Pisciarelli fumarolic vent (referred as “Soffione”) has increased by a factor >3 since 2012, reaching in 2018–2019 levels (>600 tons/day) that are comparable to those typical of a medium-sized erupting arc volcano. A substantial widening of the degassing vents and bubbling pools, and a further increase in CO₂ concentrations in ambient air (up to 6000 ppm), have also been detected since mid-2018. We interpret this escalating CO₂ degassing activity using a multidisciplinary dataset that includes thermodynamically estimated pressures for the source hydrothermal system, seismic and ground deformation data. From this analysis, we show that degassing, deformation and seismicity have all reached in 2018–2019 levels never observed since the onset of the unrest in 2005, with an overall uplift of ~57 cm and ~448 seismic events in the last year. The calculated pressure of the Campi Flegrei hydrothermal system has reached ~44 bar and is rapidly increasing. Our results raise concern on the possible evolution of the Campi Flegrei unrest and reinforce the need for careful monitoring of the degassing activity at Pisciarelli, hopefully with the deployment of additional permanent gas monitoring units.

© 2019.

1. Introduction

The Campi Flegrei caldera (CFc) near Naples (Italy), one of the most densely populated volcanic areas in the world, has shown signs of unrest since the 1950s–1980s, during which three major cycles of uplift/subsidence and seismic swarms (both united in the term “bradyseisms”) occurred (Barberi et al., 1984; Del Gaudio et al., 2010). A new uplift phase started in November 2005 (De Martino et al., 2014), accompanied by increased seismicity and degassing activity, and compositional changes in the emitted fluids (Chiodini et al., 2012, 2016). Hydrothermal-volcanic fluid release is today centered around the Solfatara, a ~3.5 kyr old tuff cone in the center of the Caldera (Isaia et al., 2009; Fig. 1), and takes the form of both widespread soil diffuse degassing (Cardellini et al., 2017) and intense fumarolic vent discharge (Chiodini et al., 2012). The strongest fumarolic emissions are located at Solfatara and, approximately 400 m eastward, at Pisciarelli (Fig. 1). The fumarolic field of Pisciarelli (a 0.03 km² fault-related hydrothermal area), in particular, has been the locus of recent shallow seismicity recorded by the seismic network of the local volcanic observatory (Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Osservatorio Vesuviano, INGV-OV, http://www.ov.ingv.it/ov/) and has shown clear signs of increasing hydrothermal activity during the ongoing phase of unrest. Pisciarelli is today characterized by the presence of large mud pools (water temperature ~90°C) with intense bubbling, and by high flow rate fumaroles emitting a H₂O-CO₂ rich gas mixture with minor H₂S. The majority of the gas is emitted from a vent (gas temperature ~114°C) that opened in 2009 and that, since then, visibly increased its degassing activity (Chiodini et al., 2015). One particularly vigorous fumarole, from here on simply referred as Soffione fumarole, radiates seismic tremor which RSAM (real-time seismic-amplitude measurement) increased by at least one order of magnitude in the 2010–2016 period (Chiodini et al., 2017a, 2017b).

Previous work has demonstrated that hydrothermal activity at Pisciarelli today produces several kilotons of vapor and gas every day (Aiuppa et al., 2013, 2015; Queisser et al., 2017). The 20-years-long fluid-related thermal energy output from CFc is ~5×10¹⁷ J (Chiodini et al., 2017a, 2017b), corresponding to an average heat flux of ~80 MW. This volatile-heat output is supplied by volatiles from the underlying magmatic/hydrothermal system, and, given the surrounding densely populated area, raises concerns on the possible development of thermal and/or mechanical instabilities in the subsurface of CFc, in particular of Pisciarelli, that can ultimately trigger phreatic activity (Isaia et al., 2015; Mayer et al., 2016). Ultimately, this escalating transport of deeply derived CO₂ also raises the question of the extent to which
magma ascent and storage is implicated (Chiodini et al., 2016; D’Auria et al., 2016).

Here, we provide novel information on the ongoing degassing activity at CFC by presenting new CO$_2$ and H$_2$S gas flux measurements recorded since 2012 at the Solfatara fumarole. We integrate the existing data set with results of new surveys, performed since 2014, using an ad-hoc method that combines video footages and gas measurements to obtaining high-precision concentration maps of gas emissions. Our gas flux data, combined with model results (thermodynamically derived pressure and temperature conditions of the hydrothermal system), reveal escalating degassing activity at Pisciarelli and raise concerns on the possible future evolution of the volcanic system.

2. Method

The new gas flux data presented here were obtained during periodic gas surveys conducted with a multi-component gas analyzer system (MultiGAS). We employed the same measurement setup used previously (Aiuppa et al., 2013; Bagnato et al., 2013), but with an improved georeferencing method, as described below (Fig. 1).

During each campaign, measurements were filmed by a GoPro camera placed on a spur of rock ~10 m above the Solfatone fumarole and capturing an image of the degassing area every 2 s. A regular grid was built in the field with colored (yellow and orange) marker cones spaced every 1–2 m in order to encircle the measured vent (Fig. 1). Each cone was easily localized in the photos and represented by $X_r$-$Y_r$ pixel coordinates. This procedure allowed fitting the points in a $X_r$-$Y_r$-$X_m$ and $X_r$-$Y_r$-$Y_m$ space with a second degree polynomial surface (with the subscript $m$ indicating the X-Y coordinates, in meters, of each cone referred to a local reference system; usually the origin is set at the bottom left cone in the photos) and using the obtained equation to convert pixel coordinates into local metric coordinates.

Gas concentrations were measured along the measurement grid with a MultiGAS equipped with (i) an infrared sensor for CO$_2$ (Edinburgh Gascard, 0–10% range), (ii) an electrochemical sensor for H$_2$S (City Technology, 0–200 ppm range), and (iii) a ~11/min membrane pump to collect the external gas. Gas concentration measurements were taken every 2 s. The MultiGAS was connected to a 5 m long silicon tube (2 mm internal diameter) sustained by a rigid probe and measuring the gas concentrations at a constant elevation from the ground (~1 m). A colored funnel and a hydrophobic PTFE filter (1.0 µm filter pore size, 50 mm diameter) were attached to the gas inlet to prevent liquid water from entering the MultiGAS, and to make it more visible for being localized in the photos. Each measurement, held in each location for 10–20 s approximately, was georeferenced by manually picking the position of the gas inlet in each image and converting pixels in meters using the retrieved polynomial equation described above. The gas inlet tracking was performed with the software ImageJ and the MTrackJ plug-in (Abramoff et al., 2004). This operation allowed reducing the error of the gas inlet position approximately to few centimeters. Hence, the retrieved georeferenced CO$_2$ and H$_2$S concentrations were interpolated along a surface roughly orthogonal to the vertical plume transport speed, approximated using a
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**Fig. 1.** (a) Sketch map of the Naples metropolitan area in Central Italy and the position of the active volcanic systems. (b) Satellite picture of Solfatara crater and Pisciarelli fumarolic field and the position of their two main fumaroles discussed in this work. © Photo of the bubbling pool and fumaroles of Pisciarelli on 28th July 2017. The yellow and orange cones make a grid of 17 × 7 m. The MG measurements, carried out for ~1 h above the Soffione, are localized manually and the pixel coordinates (black crosses) are converted in metric coordinates referred to the local grid. The pseudo colors show the high concentrations of CO$_2$ at 1 m height above the fumarole. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
multilevel B-splines (Lee et al., 1997), and subsequently 2D-integrated to obtain the integrated column amounts (ICAs, in kg·m⁻²) for each gas. Ultimately, gas fluxes were calculated by multiplying ICAs by the vertical plume transport speeds (in m·s⁻¹). The latter were obtained by manually tracking the rising gas fronts using MTrack3 to process close-up videos (at 25 fps) of the fumarole filmed with a GoPro (Aiuppa et al., 2013, 2015).

In principle, both CO₂ and H₂S gas fluxes can be calculated following the above procedure and starting from the concentrations map of each gas separately. However, the CO₂ (infrared) and H₂S (electrochemical) sensors have diverse response times, with the former being typically a few seconds faster (T90<10 s and <35 s respectively). Thus, because the slower response times of the H₂S sensor that may have led to errors into individual H₂S determinations, the H₂S fluxes were only calculated indirectly from the CO₂ flux by using the relation H₂S_flux = CO₂_flux × (CO₂/H₂S)_weight (where the latter is the time-averaged mean CO₂/H₂S ratio of the Solfatara fumarole, obtained from the slope of the best-fit regression line in a CO₂ vs H₂S scatter plot drawn for the entire dataset).

The acquired images of the fumarolic field of Pisciarelli also allowed us to follow the temporal evolution of the geometry of the main bubbling pool of Pisciarelli. We tracked the perimeter of the pool using ImageJ software, converted pixels into meters and calculated its area for 8 campaigns since 2012.

Our gas flux results were complemented by an updated dataset of the equilibrium pressures of the hydrothermal reservoir, estimated by solving H₂O-H₂CO₃-CO₂ gas equilibria (sensitive to T-P conditions) from the measured compositions of Solfatara fumaroles. The thermodynamic calculations are the same as those reported in Chiodini et al. (2017a, 2017b) and are based on gas equilibria within the H₂O-CO₂-H₂S gas system applied to the highest temperature fumarole of Solfatara (BG fumarole, T ~160°C). The BG fumarole is sampled monthly by the INGV-OV and previous work demonstrated that the fumarole discharge fluids are suitable for the application of gas geothermometric and geobarometric techniques (e.g., Caliro et al., 2007 and references therein; Chiodini et al., 2015). A different approach to hydrothermal gas equilibria of Solfatara system was proposed by Moretti et al. (2017) and is widely debated in Chiodini et al. (2017a, 2017b) and Cardellini et al. (2017). On the contrary, the fumaroles of Pisciarelli are sampled more sporadically and are affected by shallow secondary processes (Chiodini et al., 2011). In particular, their CO₂/CO₂ ratio (and, consequently, the P-T estimations that derive from them) exhibits marked seasonal cycles (see for example Figs. 7 and 8 in Chiodini et al., 2011), which are interpreted as reflecting variable interactions with meteoric water during dry and wet seasons. The BG time-series suffered from a data gap between September 2017 and January 2018, when sampling was precluded by the closing of the access to Solfatara crater after the tragic fatalities by asphyxiation of 3 people inside a pit-hole (https://www.bbc.com/news/world/europe-41243134). Gas sampling regularly restarted in February 2019.

We additionally updated presented records of daily CO₂ ambient air concentrations (with the exception of 10 data gaps longer than 1 week due to technical problems) measured at 40 cm from the ground by an automatic station located in the surroundings of the fumarolic area (~20 m SE from Soffione fumarole) that has been shown to be a good proxy for the total volcanic gas release (for more details, see Chiodini et al., 2017a, 2017b).

A continuous GPS (eGGS) network of 25 stations is currently operating at CFC (De Martino et al., 2014; Iannaccone et al., 2018). In this work, we only considered data from the RTIE eGGS station, located at Pozzouli (Fig. 1a) in the area of maximum vertical displacement. This station is commonly adopted as representative of the vertical deformation history at the CFC.

Finally, we followed the same procedure described in Chiodini et al. (2017a, 2017b) to extract the CFC background seismicity from the seismic catalogue. We report seismicity as the cumulative number of seismic events with inter-arrival times higher than 1 day.

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 2a–b illustrates the temporal evolution of CO₂ ICAs and fluxes for the Soffione fumarole. The CO₂ ICA time-series, by itself a good proxy for the degassing process occurring at Pisciarelli, highlights a factor ~3 ICA increase since 2012 (Fig. 2a). Such an increase is also evident considering the CO₂ fluxes, derived by combining the ICAs with the vertical plume speed (Fig. 2b).

Our CO₂ gas flux results are consistent with those independently measured (by a combination of laser spectroscopy remote-sensing techniques) in the period 2012–2017 (Pedone et al., 2014; Aiuppa et al., 2015; Queiller et al., 2017). The latter laser-based observations correspond to the total gas contributions from the Pisciarelli area, c.g., they include the gas output from Soffione and from the many mud pools and small fumaroles nearby.

The recorded H₂S ICAs and fluxes (Fig. 2c), calculated from the CO₂ fluxes as explained in the Method section, exhibit low values but still maintain an increasing trend (in light of the constant CO₂/H₂S weight ratio of 360±90 measured at Soffione). This relatively modest sulfur transport and release at Pisciarelli is corroborated by the previous SO₂ observations (Aiuppa et al., 2013). Until now, SO₂ in the fumarolic gases sampled at ~1 m above the ground has remained either absent or at ~0.1 ppm levels at most. We cannot ruled out the possibility that such extremely low SO₂ concentrations are due to secondary effects, such as in-plume H₂S oxidation (Venturi et al., 2019), or merely an artifacts due to cross-interference of H₂S on the SO₂ sensor (the very high H₂S concentrations in the plume could result into <100% effectiveness of H₂S scavenging by the scrubbing filter in front of the SO₂ sensor). We thus cannot further speculate on these SO₂ detections. However, this feature certainly confirms the strong hydrothermal control on sulfur outgassing of CFC, but the high sensitivity of the MultiGAS for detecting low SO₂ concentrations makes it a robust monitoring technique of a possible hydrothermal to magmatic transition in the future.

The escalating CO₂ degassing activity is further supported by ambient air CO₂ concentration records (Fig. 2d). Within the geophysical dataset here presented, the air CO₂ time series, recorded at the permanent soil flux station of Pisciarelli, is characterized by the highest temporal resolution. Our temporal record (Fig. 2d) demonstrates that the daily averaged air CO₂ concentrations increase markedly (by a factor of ~6), from ~1000 ppm in 2012, up to ~6000 ppm in January 2019. Within this trend, the most drastic increase occurred since August 2018, with the air CO₂ rising from ~3000 ppm to ~6000 ppm in only 6 months. We also identify shorter-period variations, perhaps caused by meteorological factors, which we do not aim to remove since we focus on long-period trends. In order to identify the multipletime series into trend components and outputs the occurring times of the breakpoints with a 95% confidence interval and the fitted trend components between the breakpoints (Fig. 2d). We identify 3 important trend changes since 2012, occurred in October 2013, March 2015...
and June 2017. These break events are evident in almost every time series we reported in this work (Figs. 2–3).

Fig. 2 also compares the data discussed above with the estimated equilibrium pressures of the hydrothermal system, as inferred from the Solfatara fumarole composition (Chiodini et al., 2017a, 2017b). Since 2012, the hydrothermal pressure increased with a constant trend (~1.4 bar/yr, with no breakpoints identified by the bfast algorithm) that stopped on June 2017, when the pressure dropped and then started again to rise at a faster rate (~7 bar/yr). This waning and waxing event is noticeable also in the CO₂ flux time series and identified as a breakpoint by the bfast algorithm applied to the air CO₂ time series.

Fig. 3 compares the recorded time-series for geophysical observables with the calculated hydrothermal pressure. All the parameters correlate well and display the same increasing trend. The three breakpoints identified from the air CO₂ time series of Pisciarelli clearly match three plateaux of the background seismicity and ground deformation, which mark a temporary stop in activity escalation. The most recent (June 2017) of these breakpoints in particular, apart from marking a temporary “stasis” of uplift and background seismicity (Fig. 3), is consistently followed by a temporarily decrease of gas flux and hydrothermal pressure, lasting until early 2018 (Fig. 2). The June 2017 “stasis” event is a very short-lived one, however, and is followed by a new activity escalation, the most recent in our dataset. This manifests into continuous uplift (at 8.5 cm/yr rate, as indicated by the vertical displacement at RITE cGPS station; Fig. 3b), increasing background seismicity (Fig. 3a), shallowing of the seismic activity underneath Pisciarelli (an average of ~1 km in 2019, Figs. 3c and 4), and accelerating degassing (since mid-2018; see the increasing CO₂ fluxes and hydrothermal pressures; Figs. 2 and 3a). This most recent high degassing level is also associated with a visible change in the geometry of the fumarolic field. We observe, in particular, an evident widening of the degassing vents and of the main bubbling pool of Pisciarelli. In order to quantitatively exploring this process, we calculate the area of the bubbling pool from the photographic time series captured by the GoPro since 2012. The area shows nearly constant values (~40 m²) until mid-2018, posteriorly followed by a rapid increase (Fig. 3d). In fact, the bubbling pool recently widened and more bursting bubbles took place within originating from more vents (Fig. 3e–f).

4. Conclusions

The CFc bradyseisms since 1950 are thought to represent episodes of a single, general unrest that is weakening the underlying crust through processes of stress accumulation, fluid saturation, and heating and pressurization of the hydrothermal reservoir (Di Luccio et al., 2015; Kilburn et al., 2017; Chiodini et al., 2017a, 2017b; Zaccarelli...
and Bianco, 2017). Magmatic intrusions and/or the injection of large amounts of magmatic fluids are thought to have accompanied/trig-
gered these unrests (Amoruso et al., 2014; D’Auria et al., 2015; Chiodini et al., 2016).

Our results identify a clear escalation of degassing activity at Pisciarelli since 2012 and thus raise concern on a possible acceleration of the unrest. Today, the Soffione fumarole releases >600 tons/day of CO₂, equivalent to what daily discharged by a medium-sized arc volcano recently (e.g., Galeras in Colombia) or currently erupting (e.g., Dukono, in Indonesia; Aiuppa et al., 2019). If we convert the Soffione CO₂ flux value in vapor using the average H₂O/CO₂ mass ra-
tio measured by direct sampling (~1.6 in the period 2017–2018, INGV-OV monitoring data and Chiodini et al., 2017a, 2017b) we ob-
tain >960 tons/day of H₂O, equivalent to >29 MW of energy released from only one fumarole (considering the vapor enthalpy at 114°C, corresponding to ~2.7 J/g). This heightened magmatic gas transport is pressurizing the shallow hydrothermal systems to levels never ob-
erved before at CFc in recent times (Fig. 4). Our results, thus, clearly highlight the need for intensifying volcano monitoring in this densely inhabited volcanic area.
Fig. 4. Conceptual model of the Solfatara-Pisciarelli hydrothermal system (modified after Gresse et al., 2017). This E-W model crosses the main fumarolic areas of Solfatara and Pisciarelli. The hypocentral depth of the earthquakes occurred during 4 periods, separated by the 3 identified break events, are shown.
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