Stochastic analysis of a non-local fractional viscoelastic beam forced by Gaussian white noise
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Abstract: Recently, a displacement-based non-local beam model has been developed and the relative finite element (FE) formulation with closed-form expressions of the elastic and fractional viscoelastic matrices has also been obtained. The static and quasi-static response has been already investigated. This work investigates the stochastic response of the non-local fractional viscoelastic beam, forced by a Gaussian white noise. In this context, by taking into account the mass of the beam, the system of coupled fractional differential equations ruling the beam motion can be decoupled with the method of the fractional order state variable expansion and statistics of the motion of the beam can be readily found.

1 Introduction

In the last decades, the non-local beam theories have known a great interest. This is due to their ability to capture the mechanical behavior of beam-like micro- and nanodevices \cite{1} that avoid computationally expensive (and sometimes prohibitive) molecular simulations; indeed the behaviour of such micro- and nano-elements can not be reproduced correctly by the classical local continuum approach \cite{2}. There are several non-local theories available in literature; the most known is for sure the Eringen’s integral theory \cite{3}, successfully applied to Euler-Bernoulli (EB) model \cite{4}; however there are many other effective theories used to construct non-local beams model. Although most of the works have been carried out to model the non-locality related to the pure stiffness, recently a great effort has been dedicated by researchers of the the field to the modeling of non-local damping effects. Indeed, recent studies demonstrate that non-local damping effects at microscale are relevant in application like image acquisition via high-speed atomic force microscopes \cite{5} or frequency measurements of vibrating nanosensors \cite{6}; damping effects have also been observed as a result of humidity and thermal effects \cite{7} or external magnetic forces \cite{8}. Applications of non local damping effects at macroscale also exists, see for examples \cite{9}. In the last years the authors have proposed non-local EB and TM beam models which treats non-local effects as long-range interactions depending on the relative motion of nonadjacent volume elements \cite{10-13}. The model is suitable for finite element (FE) implementation and closed form of the FE formulation can be readily found \cite{13}. Both elastic and fractional viscoelastic \cite{14-16} long-range interactions have been included in this model \cite{17}. In this paper the model has been further improved by taking into account the mass of the beam. Indeed this is the only way to evaluate the error in measurement of micro-/nanosensors or the error committed by micro-/nanoactuators due to environmental noise. In first approximation the
noise is modelled as Gaussian white noise \cite{18,19}; however the capability of the method is independent of the input applied to the system. The coupled FE equations of motion with fractional derivative can be decoupled efficiently with the fractional order state variable expansion \cite{20}; despite the fact that in this paper the application of this method is not the most general, this approach can be readily applied to more realistic structural systems. It is shown that all the elements of the power spectral density (PSD) matrix can be obtained in analytical form.

2 Non-local fractional viscoelastic model

In this section the mechanical model of non-local fractional viscoelastic beam is briefly introduced. Firstly, the basic concepts of fractional viscoelasticity are discussed, then the mechanical model of the non-local beam is introduced; finally, the finite element formulation is derived.

2.1 Fractional viscoelasticity

In this work, the viscoelastic forces are modelled by means of the tools of fractional calculus, that is a branch of mathematics that study the integro-differential operators of real order and their applications. In particular, fractional operators appear when power law creep/relaxation functions are assumed to describe the linear viscoelastic behaviour. Indeed, if we assume the relaxation function as follows

\[ R(t) = C_\alpha t^{-\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha) \]

where \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \) and \( C_\alpha \) are material parameters, while \( \Gamma(\cdot) \) is the Euler gamma function, by substituting it in the integral form of the Boltzamann superposition principle we obtain:

\[ F(t) = \int_0^t R(t-\tau) \dot{u}(\tau) d\tau = \frac{C_\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\alpha} \dot{u}(\tau) d\tau = C_\alpha (0D^\alpha_\tau u)(t) \]

where \( F \) is the force, \( u \) is the displacement and \((0D^\alpha_\tau \cdot )\) is the Caputo fractional derivative. Eq. \( (2) \) is related to the case in which a displacement is applied and the resulting force is evaluated. If the force is applied, the creep function is used in the Boltzmann superpoistion principle and the inverse relationship of Eq. \( (2) \) is obtained as \( u(t) = C_{\alpha}^{-1} (0I^\alpha_\tau F)(t) \) where \((0I^\alpha_\tau \cdot )\) is the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral. For more information abut fractional calculus and fractional viscoelasticity see \cite{16}.

2.2 Kinematic and local resultant of the beam

As shown in Fig. 1 the bar has an arbitrary cross section with area \( A \), it is referred to an axis \( x \) coincident with centroidal axis; the material of the beam is assumed linearly elastic characterized by the Young modulus \( E \).

Under the assumptions of small displacements, the kinematics of the beam can be completely described by the following:

\[ \chi(z) = -\frac{d\varphi(z)}{dz}; \quad \gamma(z) = \frac{dv(z)}{dz} - \varphi(z) \]

where \( \chi \) is the curvature, \( \varphi \) is the rotation of the transverse section about the \( x \) axis, \( v \) is the transverse displacement in \( y \) direction and \( \gamma \) is the shear strain. The local resultants are written as

\[ T_y(l)(z) = \int_A \tau_{xy}(x,y,z) dA = G^* K_x A \gamma(z); \quad M_x(l)(z) = \int_A \sigma_x(x,y,z) y dA = E^* I_x \chi(z) \]

where \( T_y(l) \) is the local shear resultant in \( y \) direction, \( A \) is the area of the cross section, \( \tau_{xy} \) is the shear stress, \( K_x \) is the shear factor, \( M_x(l) \) is the local bending resultant, \( \sigma_x \) is the local stress.
in the $z$ direction, $I_x$ is the moment of inertia about the $x$ axis, $E^* = \beta_1 E$, $G^* = \beta_1 G$ and $\beta_1$ is a dimensionless parameter with values in the range $0 \div 1$, that reduces the amount of local effects.
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**Figure 1: Non-local beam.**

### 2.3 Long-range forces

The non-local model is constructed under the assumption that non-adjacent bar segment mutually exert long-range viscoelastic forces due to relative motion. More specifically, consider two nonadjacent bar segment of volume $\Delta V(x_i)$ and $\Delta V(\xi_k)$ located at the positions $z = z_i$ and $z = \xi_k$ on the bar axis, respectively; they mutually exert long-range forces and moments as a consequence of their relative motion measured as pure deformation [21]. The force are supposed to be self-equilibrated according to the Newton’s third law. The long-range forces are written as linearly depending on the product of the two volumes and the attenuation function governing the decay of non-local effects with the relative distance; both purely elastic and fractional viscoelastic forces, modeled by Caputo’s fractional derivative, are considered. A mechanical description of the long range interactions is depicted in Fig. 2.

The pure deformations $\theta$ and $\psi$ are defined as follows:

$$\theta(z_i, \xi_k) = \varphi(\xi_k) - \varphi(z_i); \quad \psi(z_i, \xi_k, t) = \frac{v(\xi_k, t) - v(z_i, t)}{\xi_k - z_i} + \varphi(\xi_k) + \varphi(z_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The bending moments mutually exerted by the two volumes $\Delta V(x_i)$ and $\Delta V(\xi_k)$, due to the pure bending rotation $\theta$, is given as:

$$q_{\varphi\varphi}(z_i, \xi_k, t) = r_{\varphi\varphi}(z_i, \xi_k, t) + d_{\varphi\varphi}(z_i, \xi_k, t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6a)

$$r_{\varphi\varphi}(z_i, \xi_k, t) = g_{\varphi}(z_i, \xi_k) \theta(z_i, \xi_k, t) \Delta V(z_i) \Delta V(\xi_k)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6b)

$$d_{\varphi\varphi}(z_i, \xi_k, t) = \tilde{g}_{\varphi}(z_i, \xi_k) D_0^\alpha [\theta(z_i, \xi_k, t)] \Delta V(z_i) \Delta V(\xi_k)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6c)
where \( g_\phi \) and \( \tilde{g}_\phi \) are the attenuation function of the long range elastic and fractional viscoelastic pure bending interactions, respectively. Typically, these functions are chosen as Gaussian, exponential or power law \([13]\). The forces mutually exerted by the two volumes \( \Delta V(x_i) \) and \( \Delta V(\xi_k) \), due to the pure shear defomration \( \psi \), are given as:

\[
q_y(z_i, \xi_k, t) = r_y(z_i, \xi_k, t) + d_y(z_i, \xi_k, t)
\]  
\[
r_y(z_i, \xi_k, t) = \frac{1}{|z_i - \xi_k|} g_y(z_i, \xi_k) \psi(z_i, \xi_k, t) \Delta V(z_i) \Delta V(\xi_k)
\]  
\[
d_y(z_i, \xi_k, t) = \frac{1}{|z_i - \xi_k|} \tilde{g}_y(z_i, \xi_k) D_{0+}^\alpha \psi(z_i, \xi_k, t) \Delta V(z_i) \Delta V(\xi_k)
\]

whereas the moments are

\[
q_{\phi y}(z_i, \xi_k, t) = r_{\phi y}(z_i, \xi_k, t) + d_{\phi y}(z_i, \xi_k, t)
\]  
\[
r_{\phi y}(z_i, \xi_k, t) = g_y(z_i, \xi_k) \psi(z_i, \xi_k, t) \Delta V(z_i) \Delta V(\xi_k)
\]  
\[
d_{\phi y}(z_i, \xi_k, t) = \tilde{g}_y(z_i, \xi_k) D_{0+}^\alpha \psi(z_i, \xi_k, t) \Delta V(z_i) \Delta V(\xi_k)
\]

2.4 Non-local bar equation of motion

Let us divide the bar in \( N \) segments of length \( \Delta x \) and consider the bar segment of \( \Delta V(x_i) = A \Delta x \) at the location \( x = x_i = i \Delta x \), with \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, N \); the equations of motion of this bar segment are

\[
T^{(l)}(z_i + \Delta z) - T^{(l)}(z_i) + R_y(z_i, t) + F_y(z_i, t) \Delta z - \rho(x_i) A \ddot{v}(z_i, t) \Delta z = 0
\]  
\[
M^{(l)}(z_i + \Delta z) - M^{(l)}(z_i) + R_\phi(z_i, t) \Delta z - \rho I_x \ddot{\phi}(z_i, t) \Delta z = 0
\]

where \( q_y(z_i, t) \) is the external force per unit-length, \( m(x) = \rho(x) A \) being \( \rho(x) \) the mass per unit volume and \( R_y \) and \( R_\phi \) are the resultants of non-local forces and moments on the beam segment at hand. They can be written as

\[
R_y(z_i, t) = \sum_{k=0, k \neq i}^{N-1} q_y(z_i, \xi_k, t); \quad R_\phi(z_i, t) = \sum_{k=0, k \neq i}^{N-1} q_{\phi y}(z_i, \xi_k, t) + q_{\phi y}(z_i, \xi_k, t)
\]

By considering Eqs. \([10]\), dividing Eqs. \([9]\) by \( \Delta z \) and performing the limit for \( \Delta z \to 0 \), the continuous counterparts of Eqs. \([9]\) are obtained:

\[
\chi \text{GA} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u(z,t)}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\partial \varphi(z,t)}{\partial z} \right] + q_y(z,t) + \int_0^L \frac{2}{\xi - z} \left\{ g_y(z, \xi) \psi(z, \xi, t) + \tilde{g}_y(z, \xi) D_{0+}^\alpha \psi(z, \xi, t) \right\} dz = \rho A \ddot{v}(z, t)
\]  
\[
E I_x \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(z,t)}{\partial z^2} + \chi \text{GA} \left[ \frac{\partial u(z,t)}{\partial z} + \varphi(z,t) \right] + A^2 \int_0^L \left\{ g_\phi(z, \xi) \theta(z, \xi, t) + \tilde{g}_\phi(z, \xi) D_{0+}^\alpha \left\{ \theta(z, \xi, t) \right\} \right\} dz
\]  
\[
+ A^2 \int_0^L \left\{ g_y(z, \xi) \psi(z, \xi, t) + \tilde{g}_y(z, \xi) D_{0+}^\alpha \left\{ \psi(z, \xi, t) \right\} \right\} dz = \rho I_x \ddot{\phi}(z,t)
\]

Regards the boundary conditions (BCs), it can be easily seen that the BCs of the classical local theory still hold since in the equilibrium equation at the bar ends, the long-range resultants are infinitesimal of higher order with respect to the local resultants \([22]\). They are not reported here for brevity.
2.5 Finite element formulation

The displacement based non-local model of the bar is suitable for implementation in FE method. To this purpose, let us divide the bar in n finite elements of the same length l, such that nl = L, being L the length of the bar. The points shared by adjacent bar elements are the nodes; the generic i-th element has two nodes located at $z = \hat{z}_i = (i-1)l$ and $z = \hat{z}_{i+1} = il$. The displacement field within the element is approximated by means of standard linear shape functions as follows:

$$u_i(z, t) = N_i(z)d_i(t); \quad d_i(t) = [v_{(i)1}(t) \varphi_{(i)1}(t) v_{(i)2}(t) \varphi_{(i)2}(t)]$$

where $i = 1, 2, \ldots , n$, $v_{(i)1}(t)$ and $\varphi_{(i)1}(t)$, are the transverse displacements and rotations of the two nodes of the $i$-th element and $N_i(x)$ is the shape functions vector of the $i$-th element, that is

$$N_i(z) = \begin{bmatrix}
(l-y_i)(l^2(l+12\Omega)+(l-2y_i)y_i) & (l-2y_i)(l+2\Omega)(l-3y_i)y_i \\
(l-2y_i)(l+2\Omega)(l-3y_i)y_i & 6(l-y_i)y_i \\
y_i(l+2\Omega)(y_i+3\Omega(2y_i)) & y_i(l+2\Omega)(y_i+3\Omega(2y_i)) \\
y_i(l+2\Omega)(y_i+3\Omega(2y_i)) & 6(l-2y_i)(l+2\Omega)
\end{bmatrix}$$

where $y_i = z - \hat{z}_i$. Next, being $\mathbf{d}^T(t) = [u_1(t) \ u_2(t) \ldots u_{n+1}(t)]^T$ the vector collecting the displacements of all nodes, the nodal displacements of the $i$-th element are written as $\mathbf{d}_i(x) = \mathbf{C}_i \mathbf{d}(t)$ where $\mathbf{C}_i$ is the connectivity matrix of the $i$-th element. Following a standard Galerkin approach, the dynamic equilibrium equation of the discretized bar is

$$\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{d}}(t) + \mathbf{C}^{(nl)}(D^\alpha \mathbf{d}) (t) + \mathbf{K} \mathbf{d}(t) = \mathbf{F}(t),$$

being $\mathbf{M}$ the consistent mass matrix, $\mathbf{C}^{(nl)}$ the matrix of fractional viscoelastic long range interactions, $\mathbf{K}$ the stiffness matrix and $\mathbf{F}(t)$ the vector of nodal forces. The stiffness matrix is obtained as

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}^{(l)} + \mathbf{K}^{(nl)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{K}_i^{(l)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{K}_i^{(nl)},$$

where $\mathbf{K}_i^{(l)}$ and $\mathbf{K}_i^{(nl)}$ are the local and non-local stiffness contribution to the stiffness, respectively. The local stiffness matrix of the $i$-th element is

$$\mathbf{K}_i^{(l)} = \int_{\hat{z}_i}^{\hat{z}_{i+1}} \left[ \mathbf{B}_i(z) \mathbf{C}_i \right]^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{B}_i(z) \mathbf{C}_i dz,$$

where $\mathbf{D} = \text{Diag}[EI, \chi GA]$ and $\mathbf{B}_i(z)$ is the vector collecting the spatial derivative of the shape functions and is not reported here for brevity, while $\mathbf{K}_i^{(nl)}$ is evaluated as

$$\mathbf{K}_i^{(nl)} = \mathbf{K}_i^{(nl, \theta)} + \mathbf{K}_i^{(nl, \psi)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{K}_{ij}^{(nl, \theta)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{K}_{ij}^{(nl, \psi)}$$

with

$$\mathbf{K}_{ij}^{(nl, \theta)} = \frac{A^2}{2} \int_{\hat{z}_i}^{\hat{z}_{i+1}} \int_{\hat{z}_j}^{\hat{z}_{j+1}} \left[ \mathbf{N}_j^{(\theta)}(\zeta) \mathbf{C}_j - \mathbf{N}_i^{(\theta)}(\zeta) \mathbf{C}_i \right]^T g_\theta(z, \zeta) \left[ \mathbf{N}_j^{(\theta)}(\zeta) \mathbf{C}_j - \mathbf{N}_i^{(\theta)}(\zeta) \mathbf{C}_i \right] dz d\zeta$$

(18a)
where the stiffness matrix \( K_{ij}^{(nl,\psi)} \) is given as:

\[
K_{ij}^{(nl,\psi)} = \frac{A^2}{2} \int_{\xi_i}^{\xi_{i+1}} \int_{\xi_j}^{\xi_{j+1}} \left[ 2 \left( N_j^{(v)}(\zeta)C_j - N_i^{(v)}(z)C_i \right) / (\zeta - z) + N_j^{(\psi)}(\zeta)C_j + N_i^{(\psi)}(z)C_i \right]^T dzd\zeta
\]

It is to be emphasized that the matrix \( C^{(nl)} \) has the same mathematical form of the non-local stiffness matrix \( K^{(nl)} \); the only difference is that in \( K^{(nl)} \) \( g_i(z,\zeta) \) has been replaced by \( \bar{g}_i(z,\zeta) \).

Finally, the vector \( F(t) \) is given as:

\[
F(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{V_i} [N_i(x)C_i]^T \bar{F}(z,t)dV_i(x) + [N_1(0)C_I]^T \bar{F}_1(t) + [N_{n+1}(L)C_{n+1}]^T \bar{F}_{n+1}(t).
\]

where \( \bar{F}(z,t) = [F_y(z,t) \ 0] \) and \( \bar{F}_i(t) = [T_i \ M_i], \ i = 1, n+1, \) being \( T_i \) and \( M_i \) the shear and bending moment reactions.

3 Stochastic response of non-local beam

The finite element formulation of fractional viscoelastic non local beam is considered for the case in which the external load vector in Eq. (14) is composed by stochastic actions. An approach to find the analytical solution of the power spectral density (PSD) of the stochastic response of such mechanical system is present below.

3.1 Problem formulation in frequency domain

In the stochastic case, the set of coupled differential equations in Eq. (14) is forced by a stochastic input. In particular, consider that each node of the beam is forced by a zero mean Gaussian white noise denoted by \( W(t) \), therefore \( F(t) = pW(t) \), being \( p \) an influence vector. In this case the set of inputs are stochastic processes and the response vector is a set of stochastic response processes too \( d^f(t) = [V_1(t), \Phi_1(t), \ldots, V_{n+1}(t), \Phi_{n+1}(t)] \). Moreover, since the fractional derivative is a linear operator, and the input processes are Gaussian, than the set of nodal displacements \( d(t) \) is composed by Gaussian processes too. Therefore, each response process can be described at steady state by two deterministic function. That is, the PSD, and the correlation function that are related each other by the Fourier transform. Without loss of generality, consider the evaluation of the PSD only. Such analysis in frequency domain in terms of PSD determination is particularly useful for the evaluation of the stationary statistics of the response. This aim can be pursued considering the Eq. (14) in frequency domain. In other words, taking into account that the forcing vector contains stochastic processes and performing the Fourier transform, Eq. (14) in frequency domains yields

\[
\left[ -\omega^2 M + (i \omega)^\alpha C^{(nl)} + K \right] d_{\mathcal{F}}(\omega, T) = pW_{\mathcal{F}}(\omega, T)
\]

where the \( i = \sqrt{-1} \) is the imaginary unit, \( d_{\mathcal{F}}(\omega, T) \) contains the truncated Fourier transform of the response processes, and \( W_{\mathcal{F}}(\omega, T) \) denotes the Fourier transform of the Gaussian white noises truncated at time \( T \) in the frequency domain \( \omega \). Observe that the power law \( (i \omega)^\alpha \), related to the fractional order terms, contains an effective stiffness (related to the \( \Re [(i \omega)^\alpha] \)) and an effective damping (proportional to the \( \Im [(i \omega)^\alpha] \)). From Eq. (20) the response in the frequency domain is

\[
d_{\mathcal{F}}(\omega, T) = \left[ -\omega^2 M + (i \omega)^\alpha C^{(nl)} + K \right]^{-1} pW_{\mathcal{F}}(\omega, T) = H(\omega)pW_{\mathcal{F}}(\omega, T),
\]

where \( H(\omega) \) contains the transfer functions.
In order to fully characterize the stationary response in terms of displacements $V_j(t)$ and rotation $\Phi_j(t)$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1$, the evaluation of the PSD and all the cross PSD of each element of the vector $d(t)$ is needed. In this regard, consider the PSD matrix defined as

$$S_d(\omega) = H^*(\omega)p \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{E[W_{\omega}(\omega,T)W_{\omega}(\omega,T)]}{2\pi T} p^T H^T(\omega) = H^*(\omega)pS_0p^T H^T(\omega),$$

where $S_0 = S_W(\omega)$ is the constant PSD of the Gaussian white noise, $E[\cdot]$ is the expectation value, and the apex * denotes the complex conjugate. Consequently, the matrix $S_d(\omega)$ is

$$S_d(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} S_{V_1}(\omega) & S_{V_1\Phi_1}(\omega) & \cdots & S_{V_1\Phi_n+1}(\omega) \\ S_{\Phi_1V_1}(\omega) & S_{\Phi_1}(\omega) & \cdots & S_{\Phi_1\Phi_n+1}(\omega) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ S_{\Phi_{n+1}V_1}(\omega) & S_{\Phi_{n+1}\Phi_1}(\omega) & \cdots & S_{\Phi_{n+1}\Phi_{n+1}}(\omega) \end{bmatrix}$$

and each term represents the PSD function of the output processes and their cross counterparts. In particular, the diagonal terms are the PSDs, whereas the other terms are the cross PSDs. Unfortunately, the PSD matrix cannot be obtained in analytical form because the matrix $H(\omega)$ cannot be obtained by means of the matrix inversion in Eq. (21). In fact, just a numerical evaluation of each terms of $S_d(\omega)$ can be pursued by the discretization of the variable $\omega$. For this reason in the next subsection the problem is solved with the introduction of a proper state variable expansion and a complex modal transformation in order to find the exact solution of each term in the PSD matrix. However, the numerical solution obtained with the aid of of Eq. (21) is used as a benchmark for the results obtained by the method in the next subsection.

### 3.2 State variable expansion and complex modal transformation

The matrix inversion problem in the previous subsection in some cases can be overcame with the aid of a classical modal transformation and diagonalizing all the involved matrices in Eq. (20). Unfortunately, for the case at hand the three involved matrices can be diagonalized and other mathematical tools are needed. In this regards, consider the case in which the fractional order $\alpha$ is rational, under this assumptions it is possible to represent the generic fractional order as irreducible fractions of two integer values $\alpha = a/b$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, the system in Eq. (20) can be rewritten as the following sequential linear algebraic equations:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2b} C_j (i\omega)^{j/b} + K \begin{bmatrix} d(\omega,T) \end{bmatrix} = \nu W_{\omega}(\omega,T),$$

where the involved matrices in the summation are $C_a = C^{(a1)}$, $C_{2b} = M$ and $C_j = 0$, $\forall j : j \in (1,a]$ and $[a,2b-1]$. Introducing the vector of state variables in the frequency domain

$$z_{\omega}(\omega,T) = \begin{bmatrix} d_T(\omega,T), (i\omega)^{1/b} d_T(\omega,T), \ldots, (i\omega)^{(2b-1)/b} d_T(\omega,T) \end{bmatrix},$$

and appending to Eq. (24) the $2b - 1$ identities

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2b-k} C_{j+k}(i\omega)^{(j-k)/b} d_T(\omega,T) = \sum_{j=1}^{2b-k} C_{j+k}(i\omega)^{j/b} d_T(\omega,T), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, 2b - 1,$$

then a set of $(n+1) \times 2b$ coupled algebraic equations is readily cast in the form

$$\left( A \sqrt[i\omega]{B} \right) z_{\omega}(\omega,T) = g_{\omega}(\omega,T),$$

where

$$z_{\omega}(\omega,T) = \begin{bmatrix} d_T(\omega,T), \ldots, d_T(\omega,T) \end{bmatrix}.$$
where \( g^T(\omega, T) = W_m(\omega, T) [v^T \ 0 \ 0] \), the involved matrices are symmetric and defined as

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
C_1 & C_2 & \cdots & C_{2b-1} & C_{2b} \\
C_2 & C_3 & \cdots & C_{2b} & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
C_{2b-1} & C_{2b} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
C_{2b} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 
\end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix}
K & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -C_2 & \cdots & -C_{2b-1} & -C_{2b} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & -C_{2b-1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -C_{2b} & \cdots & 0 & 0 
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(28)

Now, it is possible to diagonalize the involved matrix by placing the complex modal transformation \( y_m(\omega, T) = \Psi z_m(\omega, T) \). That is,

\[
\Psi^T \left( A \sqrt{i\omega} + B \right) \Psi y_m(\omega, T) = \Psi^T g_m(\omega, T)
\]

(29)

\[
\left( U_d \sqrt{i\omega} + V_d \right) y_m(\omega, T) = \mu_m(\omega, T),
\]

where \( \Psi \) contains the eigenvectors of the matrix \( D = A^{-1} B \), the matrices \( U_d = \Psi^T A \Psi \) and \( V_d = \Psi^T B \Psi \) are diagonal (the subscript \( d \) stands for diagonal). Now, from Eq. (29) the response in the complex modal space is

\[
y_m(\omega, T) = \left( U_d \sqrt{i\omega} + V_d \right)^{-1} \mu_m(\omega, T) = H_d(\omega) \mu_m(\omega, T),
\]

(30)

since the matrix \( H_d(\omega) = (U_d \sqrt{i\omega} + V_d)^{-1} \) can be evaluated in closed form each term of the vector \( y_m(\omega, T) \) can be readily obtained and then the exact PSD matrix in the state variable domain can be derived. In particular,

\[
S_z(\omega) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[z_m^*(\omega, T)z_m^T(\omega, T)]}{2\pi T} = \Psi^* \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[y_m^*(\omega, T)y_m^T(\omega, T)]}{2\pi T} \Psi^T
\]

(31)

\[
= \Psi^* H_d(\omega) \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\mu_m^* \mu_m^T(\omega)]}{2\pi T} H_d^T(\omega) \Psi^T = \Psi^* H_d(\omega) S_\mu(\omega) H_d^T(\omega) \Psi^T.
\]

The advantage in the use Eq. (31) respect than Eq. (22) arises in the fact that the involved transfer function can be evaluated in closed form and then exact solution in terms of PSD and CPSD functions can be obtained. The described state variable analysis and the complex modal transformation are used in the next section in which numerical applications for different value of fractional order are reported.

### 4 Numerical applications

The state variable expansion described in the previous section is used now to evaluate the PSD of the stochastic response of non-local beam forced by Gaussian white noise. In particular, consider a cantilever beam under a zero-mean Gaussian white noise as ground motion acceleration. Such white noise is characterized by unitary PSD, \( S_0 = 1 \). The beam length is \( L = 300 \mu m \), it has a constant cross section with dimensions \( b = 30 \mu m \) and \( h = 15 \mu m \). Considering that the material is an epoxy resin the elastic modulus is \( E = 1.4 \, GPa \), whereas the density is \( \rho = 1000 \, Kg/m^3 \). As for the attenuation functions, typical exponential functions have been selected \([13, 17]\). That is, \( g(x, \xi) = Ch^{-\lambda} e^{-\lambda x} \), and \( \tilde{g}(x, \xi) = \tilde{C}h^{-\lambda} e^{-\lambda x} \), where \( \lambda = 30 \mu m \), \( \tilde{\lambda} = 20 \mu m \), \( C = 10^{22} \, Nm^{-6} \) and \( \tilde{C} = 10^{21} \, Nm^{-6} \). The beam is discretized with then \( n = 20 \) FE. In order to show the effect of the fractional order in the stochastic response of the bar, two
different cases are considered. In the first case the chosen fractional order is $\alpha = 1/4$, whereas in the second case the fractional order is $\alpha = 3/4$. Such choice aims to show the differences in terms of PSD of the response between the case in which the elastic phase is predominant (elasto-viscous (EV) case $\alpha = 1/4$) and the response when the damping effect prevails (visco-elastic (VE) case $\alpha = 3/4$). In both cases the matrices of the coefficients $C_{nl}$ are the same. In this manner only the influence of the fractional order is considered. For both cases the value $b$ in Eq. (24) is $b = 4$ and the number of state variables is $2b = 8$.

The PSD matrix of the nodal displacement $d$ and rotation can be evaluated by Eq. (22). In this way just a numerical results can be found by the discretization of the frequency domain $\omega$ and performing a matrix inversion for each frequency step $\omega_j = j\Delta\omega$. For the case at hand $\Delta\omega = 10.000$ and the number of frequency step is $N = 500$. Such numerical results are used as benchmark for the described method in which the PSD matrix of the node displacement and rotation $S_d(\omega)$ is obtained from the PSD matrix in the state variable space $S_z(\omega)$ in Eq. (31). In particular, the matrix $S_d(\omega)$ is the first block of the $2n \times 2n$ elements of the super-matrix $S_z(\omega)$ and by the state variable expansion and the complex modal transformation each term of the PSD matrix can be evaluated in closed form. Figure 4 shows the PSD of the top node displacement for the two considered fractional orders $\alpha = 1/4$ and $\alpha = 3/4$.

5 Concluding remarks

The vibrations of a non-local beam with fractional viscoelastic long range interactions subjected to a Gaussian white noise has been studied in this paper. The non-local beam is studied by means of a FE formulation which closed form of non-local stiffness and fractional damping matrices are available. With this approach the system is treated as a MDOF system and the related coupled differential equations of fractional order cannot be uncoupled by standard method of modal analysis. For this reason the fractional order state variable expansion is used in conjunction with a complex model transformation to decouple the equations of motion. It is shown that the elements of the PSD matrix can be obtained analytically, while without the application of the described method the PSD matrix can be obtained only numerically.
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