Multiple sclerosis: High prevalence of the ‘central vein’ sign in white matter lesions on susceptibility-weighted images
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Abstract

Purpose: The aim of this study was to determine the occurrence and distribution of the ‘central vein’ sign in white matter lesions on susceptibility-weighted magnetic resonance images in patients with multiple sclerosis (MS) and cerebral small vessel disease (CSVD).

Materials and methods: T2-weighted and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery magnetic resonance images of 19 MS patients and 19 patients affected by CSVD were analysed for the presence and localisation of focal hyperintense white matter lesions. Lesions were subdivided into periventricular or non-periventricular (juxtacortical, subcortical, deep white matter and cerebellar) distributed. The number and localisation of lesions presenting with the central vein sign were recorded and compared between MS and CSVD lesions.

Results: A total of 313 MS patients and 75 CSVD lesions were identified on T2-weighted and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery magnetic resonance images. The central vein sign was found in 128 MS lesions (40.9%), and the majority of them (71/128, 55.5%) had a periventricular distribution. The central vein sign was found in 22 out of 75 (29.3%) CSVD lesions, and periventricular distribution was seen in six out of 22 (27.2%) CSVD lesions. The difference in the proportion of white matter hyperintense lesions that presented with the central vein sign on susceptibility-weighted images in patients with MS and CSVD was statistically different, and a significantly higher number of MS patients presented with lesions with the central vein sign compared to CSVD patients.

Conclusion: The presence of the central vein sign on susceptibility-weighted images for MS lesions improves the understanding of the periventricular distribution of MS lesions and could contribute as adjunctive diagnostic criteria for MS disease.

Keywords
Central vein sign, susceptibility-weighted imaging, multiple sclerosis, cerebral small vessel disease, magnetic resonance imaging

Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is the most common autoimmune neurological disorder affecting young adults. It is pathologically characterised by multifocal inflammation, demyelination, axonal injury and neuronal loss.1

Conventional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has high sensitivity in demonstrating MS dissemination in time and space.1,2 The current diagnosis of MS is based on a combination of clinical, radiological and laboratory findings.3 However, MRI specificity for the diagnosis of MS is relatively low because several vascular, infectious, neoplastic, congenital and metabolic diseases can show a similar radiological appearance and mimic MS at MRI.3,4 MS is thus usually a diagnosis of exclusion.

Efforts have been made to improve the ability of imaging to differentiate MS from its mimic. One option may be to detect a small central vein (the ‘central vein’ sign) in MS lesions. Indeed, pathological studies at autopsy have demonstrated that the typical extension and morphology of the MS lesions is due to the perivenuous localisation in the white matter of the brain and spine.5,6 As a result of high sensitivity to slow venous flow, the utility of susceptibility-weighted...
imaging (SWI) sequence has recently been investigated for the detection of the central vein sign. In a small prospective study, Kau et al. have reported that although the central vein sign was not exclusively present in MS lesions it helps differentiate MS from non-MS lesions. More recent studies at ultra-high-field have demonstrated that the presence of a central vein improves the differentiation of MS and vascular lesions. In addition, Lane et al. have reported that the detection of the central vein sign helps differentiate MS from dementia.

There is also an increasing acceptance of the potential role of the central vein sign as a biomarker of inflammatory demyelination in the most recent guidelines for MS diagnosis. However, further research is required to consider the central vein sign a reliable diagnostic criterion of MS. As the most common differential diagnosis for MS is focal white matter hyperintensity due to cerebral small vessel disease (CSVD), the purpose of our study was to compare the occurrence and distribution of the central vein sign in white matter lesions among patients with MS and CSVD.

Materials and methods

This retrospective study was approved by the institutional review board of our institution, and informed consent was waived; however, informed written consent for the MRI was obtained in all patients. This study included 19 patients (nine men, 10 women; aged 19–53 years, mean age 36.9 years) with MS and 19 patients (nine men, 10 women; aged 59–72 years, mean age 61.2 years) with CSVD. All MS patients underwent brain MRI examination as part of the routine follow-up. MRI examinations were performed between December 2016 and April 2017.

Inclusion criteria for MS patients were age over 18 years, clinical diagnosis of MS and fulfillment of 2010 revised McDonald criteria for MS. The mean disease duration for MS was 8.1 ± 6.5 years. Eleven patients (57.9%) had the relapsing–remitting clinical subtype, six (31.5%) had the secondary progressive clinical subtype, and two (10.5%) had the primary progressive clinical subtype. The Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) of the patients with MS ranged between 1 and 4.5, with a mean of 1.9 ± 0.8.

Diagnosis of CSVD required lack of symptoms suggestive of another white matter disease, identification of vascular risk factors, and the presence of cerebral white matter hyperintensities on T2-weighted and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) MRI, as commonly seen in CSVD.

MRI protocol

All MRI examinations were performed on a 1.5 T MR scanner (Achieva, Philips Medical Systems, Best, The Netherlands) using an 8-channel phased-array head coil.

The MRI protocol included axial and sagittal fast-spin echo T2-weighted sequences (TR/TE, 5100/110); axial FLAIR sequences (TR/TE/TI, 8000/140/2400); axial, sagittal and coronal contrast-enhanced (0.1 mmol/kg of gadobutrol; Gadovist, Bayer, Bonn, Germany) fast-spin echo T1-weighted sequences (TR/TE, 650/12); field-of-view (FOV) 22 cm; matrix 320 × 320; slice thickness 5 mm; intersection gap 1 mm; number of excitations 2.

The SWI sequences were obtained using a technique that combines a long-TE high-resolution fully flow-compensated 3DGRE sequence with filtered phase information in each voxel both to enhance the contrast in magnitude images and add the susceptibility differences between tissues as a new source of information. The SWI images were obtained without the injection of contrast material (TR/TE, 34/24; flip angle 10°; FOV 22 cm; matrix 256 × 512; slice thickness 1.2 mm; no intersection gap; number of images 140; number of excitations 1; and acquisition time 5.40 minutes). The SWI images were also post-processed with the minimum intensity projection (MinIP) algorithm in the axial plane with a slice thickness of 3–10 mm to visualize better the ‘signal void’ of the vessel structures.

Image evaluation

The T2-weighted and FLAIR images, presented in random order on a picture archiving and communication system (Agfa HealthCare GmbH, Bonn, Germany), were analysed in consensus by two neuroradiologists, each with at least 10 years of experience who were unaware of the patients’ clinical information, for the presence and localisation of focal hyperintense white matter lesions.

Lesions were subdivided into periventricular or non-periventricular distributed. Non-periventricular lesions were subdivided into juxtacortical, subcortical, deep white matter and cerebellar. Only white matter lesions greater than 5 mm in largest diameter were considered, limiting the overall number of lesions greater than 3 mm in largest diameter to a maximum of eight. Confluent lesions were excluded. We chose these inclusion criteria that have been reported better to reflect equivocal cases in clinical routine and better reflect the critical lesion load regarding the Barkhof criteria.

The SWI images were evaluated for the presence or absence of a venous structure within the MS lesions. To better differentiate the signal void of a vessel, the MinIP post-processed SWI images were also used. The presence of a vein within the MS lesion was defined according to the standard radiological definition established in the North American Imaging in Multiple Sclerosis (NAIMS) guidelines, which recommend that the vein appears as a thin hypointense line or small hypointense dot; when technically possible, the vein can be visualised in at least two perpendicular planes; the vein runs partially or entirely through the lesion, but must be located centrally regardless of the lesion’s
shape; the vein has a small (<2 mm) apparent diameter. As exclusion criteria, the standard radiological definition was also used: the lesion is less than 3 mm in diameter in any plane; the lesion merges with another lesion (confluent lesion); the lesion has multiple distinct veins; the lesion is poorly visible (as a result of motion or other MRI-related artifacts).

Lesions containing calcification, hemosiderin and iron accumulation, which mimic venous structures because they show a hypointense signal similar to venous structures, were excluded on the basis of the lack of signal void. Calcifications were excluded with the filtered phase images.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using a non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test to compare the number of white matter lesions presenting with the central vein sign on SWI images in MS and CSVD patients, and the number of MS patients presenting with lesions with the central vein sign with the number of CSVD patients presenting with lesions with the central vein sign. Differences for a $P$ value of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Data are presented as percentages and mean ± standard deviations.

The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). As the sample size for this study was small, we assessed the power of the Wilcoxon rank-sum test with the analysis software G*Power (Heinrich-Heine-University, Düsseldorf, Germany) to ensure that a statistical power of 0.90 for the result was achieved.

**Results**

A total of 313 MS lesions were identified in MS patients, while 75 cerebral white matter focal hyperintense lesions were identified in CSVD patients. All lesions were clearly visible both on the T2-weighted and FLAIR images.

In MS patients, periventricular distribution was found in 139 (44.4%) lesions while non-periventricular distribution was found in 174 (55.6%) lesions. The smallest MS lesion diameter was 3 mm. A total of 128 MS lesions containing venous structures (the central vein sign) were detected out of 313 MS lesions (40.9%), and the majority of them (71/128, 55.5%) had a periventricular distribution (Figures 1 and 2).

In CSVD patients, periventricular distribution was found in 31 (41.3%) lesions while non-periventricular distribution was found in 44 (58.7%) lesions. The central vein sign was found in 22 out of 75 (29.3%) CSVD lesions and periventricular distribution was seen in six out of 22 (27.2%) CSVD lesions (Figure 3).

Data are summarised in Table 1.

The difference in the proportion of white matter hyperintense lesions that presented with the central vein sign on SWI images in patients with MS and CSVD was statistically different ($P < 0.5$). The analysis of the proportion of lesions with the central vein sign at patient levels showed that a significantly higher number of MS patients presented with lesions with the central vein sign compared to CSVD patients, particularly in periventricular distributed comparison (18 MS patients vs. 11 CSVD patients, $P < 0.5$).

**Discussion**

In this study, we detected the central vein sign more frequently in patients with MS than in patients with CSVD. Moreover, the periventricular distribution of the central vein sign was more evident for periventricular MS lesions than for periventricular CSVD lesions.

These results reinforce the findings of previous studies, and support the potential role of the central

---

**Figure 1.** Axial (a) FLAIR MR image shows a T2 hyperintense MS periventricular lesion (arrow). On corresponding (b) SWI image and (c) magnified SWI image, the central vein sign was identified as a linear signal void indicating a small central vein within the MS lesion (arrowhead). FLAIR: fluid-attenuated inversion recovery; MR: magnetic resonance; MS: multiple sclerosis; SWI: susceptibility-weighted imaging.
vein sign as adjunctive diagnostic criteria for MS as an imaging biomarker of the disease. Small cerebral veins within MS plaques were depicted using a SWI contrast mechanism that exploits tissue magnetic susceptibility differences to generate the contrast.15–19 The higher frequency observed in our study of the central vein sign in MS lesions, compared with CSVD lesions, reflects the different mechanism of lesion development.

The observation that most MS lesions showing the central vein sign had a periventricular distribution (Figures 1 and 2) reflect the fact that the process of MS starts around small cerebral veins, as demonstrated by pathology and immunocytochemistry. The perivascular space surrounding these veins is thought to be a privileged site for immune cells to interact with antigen-presenting cells, which can then trigger an inflammatory cascade leading to the formation of lesions around the veins.15,19

To better differentiate the central veins from their mimickers, such as microbleeds and calcification, MinIP reformatted SWI images are valuable in demonstrating the continuity of the central veins along their course.20 The central vein sign, however, can be found in other neurological diseases such as CSVD and migraine.21–23 While Lummel et al.24 have reported that the central vein sign was equally present in MS and CSVD lesions, multiple recent studies at ultra-high-field have reported a significantly lower proportion (45% at most) of vasocentric white matter lesions in CSVD, thus supporting the hypothesis that the presence of a central vein improves differentiation of MS and vascular lesions.9,10,23

As small veins are ubiquitous and may traverse any type of white matter lesion coincidentally, the definition of the central vein sign should be refined by considering target lesions greater than 5 mm in the largest diameter.
and limiting the overall number of white matter lesions greater than 3 mm to a maximum of eight, which is a critical lesion load regarding the Barkhof criteria for the diagnosis of MS. 7

Kau et al., 7 using this refined criteria, reported the very high power of discrimination of the central vein sign on SWI images for differentiation between white matter lesions associated with MS and to non-MS disease. The relatively low percentage of the central vein sign in MS lesions reported in this study could be related to higher field strength or the use of different sequences (e.g. FLAIR* sequences) used in other studies. 9–11,22–24 Maggi et al. 25 reported that SWI images obtained after contrast media administration improved the contrast between the hypointense central vein and the surrounding hyperintense MS lesion. This aspect requires further validation in a large series of patient populations and could be considered in our future research.

The main limitation of this study was that the patient population was small, thus our results should be confirmed in large series. Another limitation was that the study design was retrospective; however, we selected all consecutive patients with MS. Finally, there is a significant difference in age between the MS and CSVD patients, which reflects the different age distribution of these two diseases.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, our study, using the SWI sequence without contrast media administration, demonstrated the presence of the central vein sign in 40.9% of MS lesions, most of them periventricular distributed (55.5%), while the central vein sign was found in 29.3% of CSVD lesions with only 27.2% of periventricular distributed CSVD lesions.

The difference in the proportion of white matter lesions that presented with the central vein sign on SWI images in patients with MS and CSVD was statistically significant, and a significantly higher number of MS patients presented with lesions with the central vein sign compared to CSVD patients, particularly in periventricular distributed comparison. This finding improves the understanding of the periventricular distribution of MS lesions and could contribute as adjunctive diagnostic criteria for MS disease.
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