Uncommon localizations of hydatid cyst. Review of the literature


INTRODUCTION

Hydatid disease is an anthropozoonosis caused by Echinococcus species. The species involved in the disease are E. granulosus, E. multilocularis, E. oligarthrus and E. vogeli. E. granulosus is the most common responsible for cystic formation (1).

Canids are the definitive hosts in which the adult tapeworm lives inside small intestine. The eggs (gravid proglottids), scattered through faeces, are ingested by herbivores (intermediate host) during fodder, and larvae (oncospheres) are released in the small intestine. The specific conformation gives them the ability to penetrate the intestinal wall, reaching the circulatory system and potentially to land in every part of the body, usually localizing in liver (68.8-80%) and lungs (10-22.4%), whereas the larvae develop into hydatid cyst (metacestode). Humans represent intermediate hosts in parasite life cycle when occasionally ingest eggs. Rare localizations are represented by spleen (0.9-8%), skeleton (0.2-3%), kidney (0.4-3.7%), brain (0.4-1%), cardiac muscle (0.02-1.1%), peritoneum (2-5.2%), sub cutis (1.6%) (2, 3).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In order to perform a review of the literature on rare and atypical localization of hydatid cysts in soft tissues there were used key-words such as [(echinococ OR hydatid) AND (soft tissue OR subcutaneous OR cutaneous)] without time limit. There were found 282 articles; 242 were excluded because of muscular or bone localizations. 40 were coherent.

RESULTS

Different variables are taken into account: age, sex, geographic area, anatomic localization of the cyst, dimension, symptoms, signs, mobility, blood exams and specific serological tests, imaging techniques for diagnosis, existing of septa in the structure, treatment, anesthesis, spillage, neo-adjuvant and adjuvant treatment, follow-up period, recurrent lesions.

CONCLUSION

It would be useful create an homogeneous and standardized collection of data of these rare and potentially life-threatening conditions in order to create guide-line of diagnostic and therapeutic process and create (or adopt) unique classification of the lesions.
existing of septa in the structure, treatment, anesthesia, spillage, neo-adjuvant and adjuvant treatment, follow-up period, recurrent lesions.

Data are often incomplete and rarely detailed. Geographic area is usually leaved out and deduced by authors’ origin.

**Results**

Of selected cases, 67.5% come from endemic area: 20 from Turkey, 3 from Morocco, 2 from South-Italy, 1 from Greece, 3 from Tunisia, 1 from Arabia, 5 from India, 1 from Iran, 1 from Romania and 1 from French. Only one case comes from non-endemic area: Hungary (3) (Fig. 1).

Age ranges from 7 to 84 years, median age 46.95. F/M ratio is 1.22-22 F and 18 M (Fig. 2).

27.5% live in rural area, in remaining cases specific data are not available.

45% of anatomic localizations are lower limbs – 77.8% thigh. 17.5% head/neck region, 10% upper limbs (armpit 3 cases, shoulder 2 cases). The remaining 30% chest and lumbar region.

Dimensions are variable from 1.1 to 35 cm, with a median of 7.85 cm.

92.5% present a visible swelling; 65% are not symptomatic, 20% with spontaneous pain (not qualitatively specified); 5% report achiness with palpation; in 10% of cases there are not enough data.

Mobility of the lesions is described in 37.5% of cases, 22.5% are described as fixed lesions, 5% as partially fixed lesions. In 35% is not specified.

Blood exam investigations used are: ELISA, Western Blot, Immunofluorescence, Immunodiffusion, Indirect Haemagglutination (IHA), specific IgE, specific IgG, fixation of complement. 65.5% of cases undergo serological investigations, and 19.2% of these result positive (Fig. 3).

Definitive diagnosis is confirmed with a unique radiological exam as follow: US-scan – 9 cases, MRI – 1 case, CT-scan – 1 case. Some cases needed furthermore integration in radiological investigations as follow: US/MRI - 6 cases, US/CT scan – 7 cases. 50% of lesions are described with locularity – 75% with multiple septa. The remaining 50% lack of this information. In 12.5% diagnosis is completed by FNAB with 22G needle (2 cases after CT scan), 25 G (1 case after US-scan), not specified needle Gauge in 2 cases after US and CT scan (Fig. 4).

Surgical operation in local anaesthesia is performed in all the cases unless 1 disagreement and 1 death before operation for heart failure. In 25% of cases it was used hypertonic solution during excision: 1 case with contemporary use of methylene chloride and 3 with povidone-iodine and alcohol.
Figure 2 - Age and sex distribution of subcutaneous hydatid cyst.

Figure 3 - Serology.

Figure 4 - Diagnostic methods.
Neoadjuvant treatment is mentioned in 17.5% - 87.7% with not standardized and various data on dosage and time of treatment with Albendazole; 1 case reported Mebendazole use.

Adjuvant treatment is used in 65% of patients, also when cyst is completely excised and no spillage is reported. It was administered Albendazole 10-15 mg/Kg/die with a median period of treatment of 72.2 days. Period of treatment is not always specified nor posology.

Follow-up time is variable from 3 to 72 months with a median of 18.73, and specified in only 25 case reports. 26 patients are mentioned as negative for relapse during follow-up time; only one patient had 2 relapses during a follow-up time of 10 months. No data are available for remaining 13 cases.

Discussion

Hydatid disease is an anthropozoonosis caused by Echinococcus species; humans represent intermediate hosts in parasite life cycle when occasionally ingest eggs through contaminated food or water (3, 4).

The shell of eggs’ parasite opens thanks to acidity of upper gastrointestinal tract. Oncospheres so released in small bowel penetrate the intestinal wall, and are carried out through portal circulation in liver. Crossed out portal filter, they could potentially reach every organ. Otherwise it is hypothesized lymphatic drainage of the oncospheres, inhalation of contaminated air and direct inoculation after trauma or bug’s sting as alternative ways of infection and uncommon localization of the disease (4, 5). One case reports a bee sting as possible responsible of transmission (6); inoculated eggs could find optimal pH condition in order to open the shell thanks to lactic acid and acid hydrolase of muscles production after trauma and inflammation (6). It could be supposed an alternative modality of diffusion through a co-phagous bee after faeces contamination on a skin lesion. The hypothesis of direct contamination in absence of circulation diffusion is confirmed by serological negativity in 80.8% (7, 9, 12, 14-16, 19-22, 24-27, 30, 31, 34, 37, 39).

Another compatible hypothesis is the primitive hepatic localization with spontaneous resolution but with systemic diffusion of the parasite and positivity of serological exams (5, 8, 13, 17, 18).

The analysis of anatomic data shows the main implication of the thigh (7-16) and then of the glutes (5, 17-19), axilla (20-22), back (23-34), head/neck (26, 35-39), and limbs (12, 37). Skin trauma or bee sting or major blood flux of these anatomical sites can justify this localization (28).

Cysts are mostly asymptomatic, so that patients are often examined after clinical evidence of mass or vague symptoms. This presentation justifies the delay on diagnosis and the lack of data on the possible real moment of the infection.

Diagnosis is confirmed by clinical evidence, anamnestic data such as epidemiology and radiological support. US could be identified as gold standard. WHO classification (20, 23) was made in order to classify liver disease; only in three cases it was suggested for uncommon localizations and could be useful to standardize it in every possible localization.

CT scan and MRI often offer the possibility to better define anatomic relations.

Gold standard treatment is the total excision of the cyst, avoiding its rupture and spillage; anaphylactic shock is the major risk connected. No related complications to surgical operation are mentioned in the selected cases.

In the cases where spillage is mentioned, aspiration and using of hypertonic solution (10 minutes of direct contact) was performed. Iodopovidone, when used after cyst removal, seems to be not related with minor recrudescence incidence.

Recrudescence is mentioned in only two cases, after surgical excision, preceded by FNAB that could have disseminate the parasite (40), even though no detailed informations are provided by the articles mentioned. One of the two cases reports both neo- and adjuvant treatment with Mebendazole, whose use benefit is unknown, less useful drug than Albendazole (40, 41) for the high liver metabolism and less absorption after eating (42); it needs longer time of administration and its efficacy is altered by dosage, age of the cyst, patient’s compliance.

The gold-standard drug to administer in adjuvant therapy is the Albendazole, with 10-15 mg/kg/die dosage, for at least 1 to 6 months.

Neo-adjuvant treatment is rarely reported.

Conclusions

Subcutaneous localization of hydatid cyst is a rare condition also in endemic areas.

This diagnostic hypothesis could be considered in all subcutaneous localization of masses (6, 43, 44).

The EBM shows absence of treatment protocol for primitive subcutaneous localizations of hydatid disease, not standardized data in pharmacological neo-adjuvant/adjuvant therapy. Could be useful to propose an analytic collection of data with the goal proposed - age, sex, geographic area, anatomic localization, dimensions, clinic presentation, imaging diagnosis with extension of WHO classification for liver disease for uncommon localizations, pre- and post-operative treatment with specification on dosage, duration of administration, follow-
up time, recrudescence rate. Could be desirable to create a standardized panel of data collection in order to give a unique guideline in treatment of this rare condition but with possible dangerous complications. The data now available are few and not homogeneous at all. The suggestion to use the WHO classification for hydatid liver disease could be useful in order to give a unique valid classification of the lesions.
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