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Imaging to study solid tumour origin and progression: lessons from research and clinical oncology
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Biomedical imaging in recent decades has clarified our understanding of normal and pathological cellular processes in vivo. In particular, this approach recently provided insights into processes occurring at a molecular or genetic level rather than at the anatomical level. The evolution of this discipline by engineering have led to its integration into biomedical research to (1) increase sensitivity and resolution imaging and to (2) improve tissue and cell specificity. Currently, imaging approaches are used in three different biomedical areas: (a) identification of cellular processes in physiological and disease state; (b) in vivo single-cell imaging; and (c) identification of new prognostic and therapeutical strategies. In this review, we will focus on the state of art of biomedical imaging in cancer. Specifically, we will highlight the most important advances in imaging tools available for basic and translational cancer research, with a particular emphasis on solid tissue malignancies.

INTRODUCTION
Cancer is a leading cause of death worldwide, accounting for millions of deaths every year. Although several steps forwards have been made in recent years to understand the biological processes involved in the development of this disease, basic and translational research is still far away from a definitive cure. This is driven by tumour heterogeneity within patients, thus making it difficult to define a unique type of treatment for the different type of cancers. Epidemiological studies recently demonstrated that solid tumours accounted for approximately 80% of cancer deaths. In particular, epithelial cancers include breast, lung, colon, prostate and ovarian cancers. Recently, several studies changed the way we visualise cancer development from normal tissues; we learned indeed that tumour initiation and growth is controlled by a subpopulation of cells that maintain stem cell-like features. These cells, named cancer stem cells (CSCs) or tumour-initiating cells, represent the current target of the pharmacological treatments, as their eradication might be essential for long-lasting remission and cure of cancer.

Along with the characterisation of CSCs or tumour-initiating cells, tremendous steps forward have been made in the identification of the tumour microenvironment cellular composition and its role in cancer progression, metastasization and resistance to therapy. These progresses would not have been possible without advances in biomedical imaging, as they provide the necessary tools to label and follow cell clones over time in physiological and disease states, as well as define the interactions between tumour cells and their niche. In the first part of this review, we will highlight the imaging techniques mainly used in cancer research and their contribution to the field, with particular emphasis on (1) the identification of the cellular origin of solid tumours and (2) the cellular and molecular characterisation of tumour cell/microenvironment interaction. We will then visit how these imaging tools have influenced clinical oncology.

BIOMEDICAL IMAGING, SOLID TUMOURS AND CANCER STEM CELL (CSCS) IN BASIC RESEARCH
Recent advances in engineering science led to the development of several types of imaging tools that can be applied in vivo to study cancer initiation, progression and metastasis. In basic research, imaging approaches are mostly used to define cellular and molecular aspects of tumour biology that could not be observed otherwise. However, it is important to mention that every imaging modality has its value depending on the purpose of the study. For example, optical imaging is mainly used to study tumour origin, propagation and tumour/microenvironment interaction, while positron emission tomography (PET) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are mostly applied to define therapeutic response and metastatic dissemination (Figure 1). For the purpose of this review, optical imaging modalities will be analysed and discussed for basic cancer research studies, while MRI, PET and others will be discussed later to describe their use in clinical cancer management.

OPTICAL IMAGING
Fluorescence imaging to trace tumour cell of origin
In order to study CSCs and their behaviours in microenvironments, researchers have mostly relied on fluorescence imaging. This approach is crucial as the technique is easily accessible and allows (1) analysis of CSCs at a single-cell resolution and (2) accurate phenotyping of CSC within the tumour by simultaneously combining multiple...
Fluorophores. Fluorescence imaging requires the use of fluorophores and fluorescent proteins excited by an external light source. For studies in solid epithelial tumours, fluorescence imaging was initially used *in vivo* to detect CSCs, based on monoclonal labelled antibodies against the well-known CSC marker CD133. By combining this information with additional surface markers, CSC activity can be traced *in vivo* in diverse contexts. For instance, Vlashi et al. have developed a proteasome reporter system to track CSCs in mammary tumours. By engineering a breast cancer cell line to stably express a fluorescent fusion protein (ZsGreen-ornithine decarboxylase) that accumulates in the cells with reduced proteasome activity, they demonstrated that ZsGreen+ cells were *bona fide* CSCs, thus providing new tools that can be used to monitor CSC activity in an *in vivo* tumour model.

Our knowledge of CSCs function has been expanded in solid tumours by incorporating lineage-tracing techniques, thus providing important information on the cellular origin of epithelial tumours. One example is the Cre-loxP-based genetic lineage-tracing system utilised in tandem with conditional expression of a reporter gene (that is, fluorescent protein or β-galactosidase) in a restricted cell lineage and in its progeny (Figure 2). By these means, all labelled cells can be traced spatially and temporally, allowing their role during development, tissue repair and in a disease state to be accurated defined.

By using the lineage-tracing system, in tandem with conditional expression/inhibition of disease-specific oncogenes/oncosuppressors several groups have now identified the cell of origin in solid tumours, including skin, lung and colon (discussed below).

Using this approach, the cellular origin of basal cell carcinomas, squamous cell carcinoma and keratoacanthoma have now been defined. Youssef et al. demonstrated that Sonic Hedgehog constitutive signalling activation in the long-lived stem cells residing in the interfollicular epidermis gave rise to basal cell carcinomas. In addition, two groups have showed that p53 deletion, along with KrasG12D overexpression in the hair follicle stem cells, is responsible for squamous cell carcinoma formation. Finally, by using fluorescent GFP-reporters, we demonstrated that hair follicle stem cells contributed to keratoacanthoma formation.

Different studies combining lineage-tracing, reporter genes and oncogene/oncosuppressor activation/deletion, defined the cell of origin of lung cancers. In particular, Bern et al. demonstrated the heterogeneous derivation of small cell lung cancer; specifically, by using different lineage promoters, the authors demonstrated that small cell lung cancer might derive from cells of both the neuroendocrine and the alveolar type II lineage.

Finally, Barker and collaborators demonstrated that crypt stem cells in the intestine gave rise to colon cancer. In particular, by labelling with GFP the intestinal Lgr5 stem cells, combined with APC deletion, they showed that Wnt activation in the slow-cycling stem cells contributed to adenoma formation. The same authors elegantly expanded this hypothesis, by using a novel multicolour Cre reporter (Rosa26R-Confetti), and demonstrated that Lgr5 stem cells constantly fuelled the growth of such tumours.

Thus, the literature above illustrated the power of fluorescence imaging to understand cancer origin and molecular pathways that
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**Intravital microscopy to study cancer initiation and tumour cell/microenvironment interaction**

Live cell in vivo imaging, or intravital microscopy, is a technique that was recently developed to follow in real-time cellular behaviours in an unperturbed tissue, in either physiological or disease states. The advancement of intravital imaging has recently progressed thanks to the employment of the multiphoton laser scanner microscopy. This approach allows deep penetration within living tissues (up to 1 mm),
without side effects such as phototoxicity. In addition, it avoids or in some cases reduces the autofluorescence signal, thus enabling real-time imaging without interferences that can mislead the interpretation of the results. Intravital imaging is a powerful tool that can be used to address questions that would be impossible to answer by static analysis. For instance, Valentina Greco’s group has extensively applied live imaging to define stem cell behaviour during skin regeneration. In particular, by combining elegant mouse reporter systems, they provided new insights on how skin stem cells regulated tissue regeneration in physiological conditions. In the past years, intravital imaging was used to study mechanisms of tumorigenesis. For example, it defined (1) the early events occurring during tumour development and (2) the mechanisms of tumour cell/microenvironment interaction, leading to cancer cell metastasis.

Recently, by combining intravital imaging with zebrafish models of melanoma (in the context of p53 and BRAF mutations), Kauffman et al. have demonstrated that the initial step leading to tumour formation was the transformation of melanocytes cells into embryonic progenitor-like cells. Interestingly, these findings supported previous results obtained by Blanpain’s group in a murine model of basal cell carcinomas. Intravital imaging has also contributed in determining how a small number of mutated cells can generate a heterogeneous tumour. By using a genetic mouse model system where few skin epithelial stem cells constitutively activate Wnt signalling, Deschene et al. demonstrated that these cells recruited wild-type neighbouring cells into the developing tumour. Although this finding was critical in understanding the biological processes of cancer initiation, therapeutic approaches targeting the wild-type cells of the tumours are more complex in design; thus, important efforts are needed to define treatments that can arrest cell recruitment in the tumour mass. Next-generation intravital imaging required simultaneous analysis of the microenvironment itself. These type of studies required additional tools labelling the tumour niche as well. For instance, endothelial cells or macrophages can be labelled with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-dextran or quantum dots. The extracellular matrix, and in particular the collagen, can be visualised by multiphoton laser scanner microscopy with the second harmonic signal. By using these approaches, several groups were able to elucidate the cellular mechanisms by which cancer cells invade the surrounding stroma, and colonise other organs (reviewed in depth by Ellenbroek and Rheenen).

Overall, intravital imaging is rapidly contributing to increase our understanding of how cells can form a tumour, and how they escape to colonise new organs. The next frontier is translating these imaging approaches for therapeutic purposes. In particular, it will be important to set up appropriate tools to follow molecules in real time as well as therapeutic compounds and their specific targets within the tissues. This approach has been successfully established in ex vivo cancer explants, thus setting the scene for troubleshooting the next generation of live imaging in clinical setting.

CLINICAL IMAGING

Imaging represents a critical part in the diagnosis, prognosis and follow-up of patients with cancer. Recent advances in clinical oncology have led to the development of new imaging methods able to (1) detect initial molecular and cellular events that determine the onset and progression of the oncological disease in the individual, (2) display the expression of molecular targets to design individualised therapies, (3) follow in real time the natural evolution of the disease and (4) monitor the efficacy of the therapy.

Up to now, diagnostic imaging procedures in cancer are largely applied in the management of symptomatic individuals; efforts are being made to improve these techniques for early detection in asymptomatic patients. Traditional diagnostic imaging procedures consist of MRI; X-ray computed tomography (CT) and ultrasound. Through these approaches, it is possible to obtain anatomical pictures, and therefore consider only tumour volume and tissue density as measure of the disease.

Clinical Imaging
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**Traditional Imaging**
- Computed Tomography (CT)
- Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)
- Ultrasound

**Functional Imaging**
- Positron Electron Tomography (PET)
- Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy Imaging (MRSI)

**Morphological Information**
- Early detection
- Follow-up
- Response to therapy

**Figure 3** Schematic representation of imaging approaches in clinical oncology. A full colour version of this figure is available at the *Immunology and Cell Biology* journal online.
However, since the physiological or functional changes often precede anatomical modifications, these techniques fail to characterise the tumour; therefore the analysis of the function becomes a key component in the identification of the pathological process in play. A more recent advantage of imaging procedures consists of the introduction of molecular imaging that provides specific information on tissue metabolic states, by combining morphological information of CT with metabolic data. Amongst the high-resolution functional imaging techniques are PET and PET coupled with CT (PET/CT) and magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRSI). By measuring chemical and biological processes for the detection of the cellular changes that occur early in the course of disease, molecular imaging techniques give information before the structural changes occur. And it is for these reasons that these approaches represent essential future tools in clinical oncology (Figure 3).

Each technology has some compromise on sensitivity, temporal resolution, spatial resolution, depth of signal, signal source and the availability of biocompatible probes.

In this section, we will briefly describe imaging approaches focusing on their clinical application in oncological patients.

**Positron emission tomography (PET) and combined diagnostic techniques: PET/CT, SPECT/CT**

PET and combination PET/CT are routinely used approaches in cancer diagnosis and treatment monitoring. PET is a diagnostic imaging technique that allows to measure chemical and biological processes that occur in the body. PET uses radioactive tracers obtained by marking molecules normally present in biological tissues (such as sugars, amino acids, water and so on) with positron emitting radionuclides β+ (particles with the same mass of electrons, but with a positive electrical charge).

PET analysis evaluates physiological and biochemical features in addition to morphological ones. For these reasons, it provides a biological characterisation for early and accurate detection. General indication for applying PET in oncology are (1) the differential diagnosis between benign and malignant lesions, (2) staging and diagnosis of relapse in order to adopt the best treatment and (3) monitoring the response to therapy.

The advantage of PET in oncology is the possibility of total-body evaluation and the biological characterisation of the tumour. Indeed this approach provides information on tumour perfusion, hypoxia, receptor expression, tumour metabolism and on the occurrence of other biological processes. PET involves the use of a radiotracer injected into the patient bloodstream. Since neoplastic transformation is associated to an increased glucose uptake and glycolysis, proportional to tumour growth and aggressiveness, one of the most used PET radiotracer is the 2-deoxy-2-[18F]-fluoro-D-glucose ([18F-FDG]), an analogue of glucose. Images obtained after injection of FDG show the areas of increased glucose metabolism thus detecting the localisation of primary tumour and of any metastases. The application of [18F-FDG PET is more sensitive and specific in some cancers, for example, non-small cell lung cancer, melanoma, colorectal carcinoma, breast cancer, head and neck tumours while poor [18F-FDG uptake has been observed in prostate cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, in small and non-invasive tumours.

In brain oncology, PET with [18F-FDG is able to differentiate between low and high degree of malignancy and is useful in the differential diagnosis between tumour recurrence and fibrosis observed after treatment. Lung cancer can be recognised with conventional diagnostic imaging techniques, which, however, have limited diagnostic accuracy. It has been shown that PET studies identify malignant lesions in 96% of cases.

Among other radiotracers used in oncological application of PET there are [18F]-l-2-Fluorothyrosine and [18C]-Metionin, indicators of protein metabolism, and molecules as [18F]FAZA, [18F]MISO, [62Cu]ATSM, used to evaluate tissue hypoxia.

The different imaging techniques often provide diverse morphological or functional information. They differ in the level of invasiveness, cost, quality of the acquired images and spatial or temporal resolution. Each method presents peculiarities and limitations; therefore, a combined use of biomedical imaging methods represents an effective approach.

The PET/CT is one of the most innovative diagnostic tools. It allows the detection of disease extension and localisation to arrange appropriate treatment plans optimised to selectively irradiate the metabolically active tumour tissues. The PET/CT combination provides better results than PET or CT alone, as it offers a more precise localisation of radiotracer, and distinguishes the physical uptake from the pathological one. Therefore, the significant advantages of PET/CT imaging is the accuracy of diagnosis.

The single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) consists of the injection of a radiotracer in the patient and in the
subsequent detection of gamma photons released from affected tissues. An advantage of SPECT is the improvement of the contrast in the region of interest compared to the background. The use of hybrid SPECT/CT is a more accurate method to combine the functional information provided by SPECT with the anatomical images provided by CT images.

Despite the tremendous advantages in the diagnosis and follow-up of oncological patients, nuclear radiation used in PET, and in the combined techniques, precludes its repetitive use.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and magnetic resonance spectroscopy imaging (MRSI)

MRI is a modern diagnostic technique that provides detailed anatomical images of the human body; in particular, taking advantage of the magnetic and electric capacity of the electron, MRI allows the analysis of biological tissues through the evaluation of electron energy absorption.

With this technique, many diseases or alterations relative to internal organs can be displayed and diagnosed. MRI can be used for the diagnosis of a wide variety of pathological conditions and is particularly useful in the diagnosis of diseases of the brain and spine, abdomen and pelvis, of the great vessels and of the musculoskeletal system. The main advantages of MRI are high contrast resolution and the absence of ionising radiation. Indeed the key feature of MRI is to employ radiation with low electromagnetic energy content that does not modify or destroy biological molecules.

MRI generates anatomic data, without considering organ functionality. MRSI is an imaging technique that provides metabolic information of normal or pathological tissues; in oncological application, MRSI shows not only biochemical changes in response to tumour growth but also delineates different metabolic tumour phenotypes, without the use of radiotracer.

Among the active nuclei used to monitor the metabolic changes that occur in cancer tissue there are proton MRSI (1H MRSI), 31P (phosphorus), 13C (carbon) and 19F (fluorine). To date, proton MRSI is the method most commonly used for clinical purposes because it allows to obtain high-resolution spectra from small volumes of interest and to identify numerous metabolites with different biochemical meaning.

In clinical practice, MRSI is particularly useful in the diagnosis of brain tumours. Choline ratios with other metabolites are routinely used to classify cancer aggressiveness; indeed, by monitoring the ratio cholin/creatine, it is possible to obtain a spectroscopic map that identify the neoplastic activity in each site. Proton MRI allows the non-invasive diagnosis of prostate cancer. The molecular markers of this tumour are citrate, creatin and choline; therefore, changes of metabolites predict with accuracy the presence of tumour. In addition, 1H MRS allows the diagnosis of breast cancer in relation to the presence of choline and the ratio of the choline/creatine. Proton MRSI also provides early information on treatment responses, discriminating responders to not-responders.

31P MRS detects metabolites such as phosphocreatine, nucleotide triphosphate, phosphonomoesters (phosphocholine, phosphoethanolamine and phosphoserine), phosphodiesters (glycerylphosphocholine, glycerocephathophanolamine and glycelylphosphoserine) and inorganic phosphate (Pi). Through this approach, it is possible to determine intracellular pH, glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation. Compared to the proton spectroscopy, the phosphor is characterised by a lower sensitivity and by a lower spatial resolution, but is able to provide a complete representation of energy flows in biological systems. Phosphorus MRI has been mainly applied in the diagnosis and follow-up of breast cancer, where phosphomonoesters levels is increased.

Imaging for targeted therapy

Progresses in imaging techniques have been fundamental in biomedical sciences; nowadays, imaging not only represents a crucial tool for monitoring treatment efficacy but also it is considered an important approach for several types of cancer treatment. Novel treatments combine the role of imaging probes with therapeutic applications. The primary goal is to deliver the therapy directly to the tumour site with minimal systemic toxicity.

Recent advantages in cell biology as well as molecular imaging highlight the possibility to develop a new class of compounds, called theranostic compounds, that combine molecular imaging approaches to therapeutic ones (Figure 4).

It is well established that cancer tissues overexpress specific proteins that serve as target for designed therapy. For example, targeted radionuclide therapy is based on the use of molecules, with high-sensitivity for target cells, as carriers of radionuclides directly to tumour and is now emerging as an effective therapeutic option for several cancer types.

One of the most suitable tumour types for radionuclide therapy is prostate cancer where prostate-specific membrane antigen is highly overexpressed. Some clinical trials have showed promising data on the application of the monoclonal antibody J591, to prostate-specific membrane antigen, radiolabelled with 90Y or 177Lu in castration-resistant prostate cancer patients. In addition to prostate cancer, also melanoma can be targeted with radionuclide therapy. Although very promising, some challenges need to be overcome for the routine application of theranostics in clinical oncology; a main problem is indeed associated with the high doses of ionising radiation needed for tumour tissue destruction.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Recent years have seen tremendous advances in cancer research and management as a result of (1) a better understanding of the biological processes adopted by cancer cells to initiate tumours and colonise secondary metastatic sites, (2) innovative approaches for cancer early detection and (3) defining new and personalised therapeutic strategies aiming at the eradication of the disease. Next generation of biomedical imaging modalities, and in particular of molecular imaging, have provided key biological information that would have been impossible to obtain by standard approaches. While many questions still need to be addressed, the discovery of new and accurate biomarkers as well as the development of new higher imaging resolution and contrast, have the potential to revolutionise our understanding of basic cancer cell biology and its treatment.
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