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ABSTRACT

The influence of active modified atmosphere packaging MAP (10.0 kPa of O2 and 5 kPa of CO2) on palletized system was evaluated to extend the marketability of fresh plums cv. Angeleno. Fruits were wrapped with a polyethylene film in pallet bag units at 1°C and 90% relative humidity for up to 60 days. The gas values of the pallet bags, the fruit weight losses and their qualitative and nutraceutical characteristics were periodically monitored to evaluate the goodness of storage. The results showed as the pallet bag have maintained the market life of Angeleno plums to up to 60 days, preserving the most important qualitative traits of fruits. After 20, 40 and 60 days the MAP treatment maintained the pulp harder than control fruits respectively of 0.87, 0.75 and 0.46 kg/cm2 and the SUAC index (quotient of the sum of sugars and sum of acids) was unaffected by the gas composition.

PRATICAL APPLICATIONS

The storage in pallet under different MAP conditions could be a promising technique for plums and specifically allows different items with different technical requirements to be stored in the same cold-storage room. Furthermore, the storage units could also be conveniently used for the fruit transport along the entire supply chain to reach markets far from production areas.

INTRODUCTION

Plums (L.) are stone fruits whose production plays a key role in the agricultural sector of the European market. In Europe, plum production remains concentrated in Mediterranean-type areas. In Italy, in 2012, the total production was 172,247 tons (Faostat 2012). Globally, Japanese plum (Prunus salicina Lindl.) production is larger than that of European plums (Prunus domestica L.). These fruits are generally destined for fresh consumption (Sottile et al. 2010a; Fanning et al. 2014). Various studies have shown that Japanese plums are significant sources of dietary anthocyanins (Venter et al. 2013; Fanning et al. 2014) and nutraceutical compounds (Treutter et al. 2012), but little information is available about their evolution under the most common storage conditions such as modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) (Díaz-Mula et al. 2009; Sottile et al. 2010b; Singh and Singh 2012). As the market for fresh produce is growing steadily, it is very important to improve postharvest handling and shelf-life, both to maintain orderly marketing and, depressed markets but also to meet buyer requirements, to extend the marketing season to guarantee fruit quality and to satisfy the consumer’s requirements.

Transport results in an extension of the plum’s storage. Because both the transport and storage phases affect the conservation of the fruit’s visual as well as nonvisual attributes (Awad and de Jager 2003), their conditions should be similar to preserve the fruit’s postharvest life and thus advances in logistics and packaging technologies are necessary in both sectors. The commercial life of plums changes with cultivars but generally if stored at 0°C, the fruit of most
of Japanese cultivars can be maintained for up to 6 weeks (Crisosto et al. 1995; Abdi et al. 1997). Among the different postharvest treatments that aim to reduce fruit diseases, the use of controlled atmosphere (CA) has been largely applied (Eksteen et al. 1986; Streif 1989; Ben and Gaweda 1992; Truter et al. 1994); in the same way, transport bulk packaging systems configured as pallet bags under modified atmosphere (MA) can be successfully used to improve the shelf life of fresh produce during distribution and storage (Lee et al. 1996; Peano et al. 2010; Selcuk and Erkan, 2015). In previous studies, different pallet cover systems were applied together with low temperature to maintain high CO2 levels and to preserve the quality of strawberries during transport (Macnish et al. 2012). Furthermore, the effects of palliflex controlled atmosphere storage system were actually investigated to store medlar fruits for up to 60 days (Selcuk and Erkan 2015). A palletized MAP storage that functions throughout the entire supply chain (room storage and transport) could improve the fresh plum market. In this study, we evaluated the effects of a long storage in pallets under MAP conditions of plums cv. Angeleno on various quality attributes and nutraceutical compounds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Harvesting and Selection Conditions

The plum fruits were collected in a commercial orchard in Saluzzo (Cuneo, Piedmont). The fruits were picked by hand in the middle of September and were selected based on size uniformity and absence of damage. The fruits were placed in polyethylene terephthalate (PET) trays and transported to the packinghouse in less than 1 h. The different storage treatments initiated approximately 3 h after harvest.

Sample Preparation

The plums were packaged in rigid ventilated PET trays (size 9.5 × 16 × 8 cm) containing 0.500 kg of fruits each. Eight PET trays were put into a cardboard flat. Six flats were assembled in a single layer on a 100 × 120 cm wood pallet base. A total of 16 layers of six flats each were stacked onto the storage unit of each pallet (treatment) (Fig. 1).

Pallet Treatments and Storage Conditions

The plums were divided into two groups. The first group was palletized in the active modified atmosphere (palletized MAP treatment). The pallet was wrapped with a 100 μm thick polyethylene film (PE) (thermally sealed at the base). It was injected via a flow-through system that operates with a high and low-pressure side, using CO2 and O2 gases, to modify the atmosphere in order to have an initial gas value of 10.0 kPa O2 and 5 kPa CO2. The air in the envelope was partially removed and substituted with the desired gas mixture. The O2 (O2TR) and CO2 (CO2TR) transmission rates of the PE film were calculated with a Multiperm Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide Analyzer (Extra Solution s.r.l., Pisa, Italy) at 23°C and at 50% relative humidity (RH). The O2TR measurement based on an ASTM F 2622-08 was 1572 cm3/m2/d/bar, while the CO2TR based on an ASTM F 2476-05 was 6111 cm3/m2/d/bar. The water vapor barrier of the PE film, as suggested by Van Tuil et al. (2000), was classified as a high barrier. The second group of plums was not wrapped, and was maintained under normal atmosphere conditions (NA), thus serving as a control. All samples were stored for 60 days in a cold and dark room at 1 ± 1°C and 90–95% RH. All analyses, with the exception of determination of the atmosphere inside the pallet (every 10 days up to the end of the storage), were performed at harvest (0 days) and after 20, 40 and 60 days. Three replicates / treatment were made for each storage time and two flats / replicate (n = 30; five fruits per flat) were removed at random for analyses. In Fig. 1 the diagram showing the plums for the palletized storage is reported.

Evaluation of Pallet Atmosphere

Carbon dioxide and oxygen concentrations were measured by a CO2 and O2 analyzer (CheckPoint II, PBI Dansensor, Italy). To prevent gas leakage while the measurements were being taken, an adhesive septum (Septum white 15 mm diameter, Dansensor, Italy) was placed on the PE film’s surface. The results, expressed as kPa, are the average of the three replicates.
Weight Loss

Weight loss of each tray was determined using an electronic balance (SE622, WVR Science Education) with an accuracy of 0.001 g. The weight of six trays from each treatment was recorded at harvest and at the end of each storage period. Weight loss was expressed as the percentage reduction of the initial weight.

Fruit Flesh Firmness, Total Soluble Solids and Titratable Acidity

Fruit flesh firmness (FFF) was measured using an Effegi hand-held penetrometer (Facchini, Alfonsine, Italy) equipped with an 8-mm plunger and expressed as kg/cm². Each value is the average of two measurements taken from opposite sides of each fruit. No skin was removed from each measurement site prior to measuring.

The total soluble solids (TSS) were determined by a digital pocket refractometer (Atago, Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) calibrated at 20°C to 0% with distilled water. Two readings were taken on each fruit and averaged. Values were expressed as °Brix at 20°C.

The titratable acidity (TA, meq/L) was measured using an automatic titrator (Titritino 702, Metrohm, Swiss) by titrating 5 mL of juice diluted in 25 mL of distilled water with automatic titrator (Titritino 702, Metrohm, Swiss) by titrating 5 mL of juice diluted in 25 mL of distilled water with 0.1 N NaOH to an end point of pH 8.1.

Total Phenols, Antioxidant Capacity and Anthocyanins

The plums were frozen in liquid nitrogen, lyophilized and powdered using a domestic mixer and, finally stored at 20°C until further analysis. About 0.25 g of lyophilized sample was diluted in 25 mL of aqueous methanol (20:80 v/v) (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy). The sample was stirred for 1 h, was diluted in 25 mL of aqueous methanol (20:80 v/v) and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 15 min and the supernatant was collected for analysis prior to filtration with a 0.45 μm nylon filter. The extractions were performed under reduced light conditions and all analyses were conducted in triplicate for all parameters.

The total phenolic compounds were determined using the Folin–Ciocalteau reagent (Singleton and Rossi 1965). Values were expressed as gallic acid equivalents (GAE). The absorbance was determined at 760 nm.

Antioxidant activity was assessed using the free radical 2,2′-diphenyl-1-picyrylhydrazyl (DPPH) (Bonded et al. 1997). The mixture, containing 3 mL of a methanol solution of 0.16 mM DPPH and 0.05 mL of sample extract, was allowed to react in a cuvette; the absorbance of the DPPH solution was determined at 515 nm after 15 min of reaction. Trolox (6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid) was used as a reference to compare the antioxidant activity. The activity was expressed as mM Trolox equivalent antioxidant activity (TEAC) related to 1 g of lyophilized sample.

Total anthocyanins were determined via a spectrophotometric method (Rapisarda et al. 1994) using a UV–Vis spectrophotometer (Cary 50, Varian Australia, Ltd., Victoria, Australia). An aliquot of juice (1 mL) was diluted up to 10 mL to a pH of 1 for the solution (25% of 0.2 M KCl and 75% of 0.2 M HCl). A second aliquot (1 mL) was diluted up to 10 mL with a pH of 4.5 in a buffered solution (40% of 1 M CH3CO2Na, 24% of 1 M HCl and 36% of H2O). Absorbance of the solutions was measured at 510 nm. Concentration of anthocyanins was calculated through the difference of absorbance at 510 nm between pH 1 (colored oxonium or flavylum form) and pH 4.5 (colorless carbinol form) solutions; results were expressed as cyanidin 3-glucoside (C3G).

Anthocyanin determination was performed via LaChrom Merck-Hitachi liquid chromatograph (Hitachi, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) with a L-7455 photodiode detector (DAD). A Luna C18 column (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 3 μm, Phenomenex, Castel Maggiore, BO, Italy), equipped with a precolumn (7.5 mm × 4.6 mm I.D.) was employed. The HPLC elution was carried out at 35°C by using the following elution profile: flow rate 0.5 mL/min, t = 0 10% solvent B (acetonitrile)/ 90% solvent A (trifluoroacetic acid 0.1%, acetic acid 0.2%), t = 20 min 20% B linear gradient, t = 38 min 32% B, t = 5010% B, post time 12 min. The chromatogram was monitored simultaneously at 280, 360 and 520 nm. Quantitative analysis of anthocyanins was carried out using the external standards method and their concentration was expressed as cyanidin-3-glucoside equivalents. Calculation of concentrations for cyanidin 3-glucoside, cyanidin 3,5-diglucoside and pelargonidin 3-glucoside was based on external standards, while the concentration of the other anthocyanins was expressed as cyanidin 3-glucoside equivalents. Anthocyanins were identified by LC-electrospray ionisation (ESI)–MS analysis using an Agilent Technologies (Palo Alto, CA, USA) 1100 series LC/MSD equipped with a diode-array detector (DAD).

Sugars and Organic Acids

Analyses of carbohydrates were performed by coupling a liquid chromatograph system consisting of a D-7000 manager, L-7100 pump, L7200 autosampler (LaChrom, Merck-Hitachi, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) with an evaporative light scattering detector (ELSD Sedex 60Lt, Alfortville, France). A Bio-Rad aminex fast carbohydrate column 100 mm × 7.8 mm, 9 μm, Bio-rad, Milan, Italy) with a guard column (Bio-rad micro-guard Carbo-P aminex cation exchange resin, lead form) held at 80°C, was employed. The isocratic mobile phase was ultrapure water purified via the MilliQ system (Waters, 3
Milford, MA) with a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min. The isocratic mobile phase was H₂O ultra-pure, and a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min was employed. The ELSD detector was set as follows: drift tube temperature 45°C; nebulizer gas (air) pressure: 2.5 bar and photomultiplier 8. Stock standard solutions of each carbohydrate were prepared in ultra-pure water and their quantification was calculated according to the linear calibration curves of standard compounds. The analyses were conducted in triplicate for all parameters.

Organic acids were determined with a Merck-Hitachi (Tokyo, Japan) liquid chromatograph with an L-7455 photodiode detector (DAD) detector, D-7000 system manager, L7200 autosampler and L-7100 pumps. Simultaneous separation and determination of organic acids were achieved according to the procedure described by Yuan and Chen (1999) and by Chinnici et al. (2005) using a Bio-Rad cation guard column and a Bio-Rad Aminex HPX-87H Hydrogen form cation exchange resin-based column (300 mm × 7.8 mm i.d.) at 40°C.

The mobile phase consisted of 0.005 M sulphuric acid aqueous solution and the samples were isocratically separated at 0.6 mL/min. Peaks of organic acids were measured at wavelengths of 210 nm and were identified by comparing retention times with those of standards and quantification was carried out using external standards.

From the data of individual sugars and individual organic acids, sums of sugars and of acids were calculated.

The SUAC index was calculated as the quotient of the sum of sugars and sum of acids.

Sensory Evaluations (Consumer Assesment)

In order to have additional parameters for evaluating the quality of fruits six panelists previously trained with commercial samples were invited to perform a sensory evaluation of the fruits. Taste analysis was assessed in fruit taken out of storage after 20, 40 and 60 days. Six fruits per treatment were peeled and sectioned into segments. At least two segments from different fruits were presented to judges in trays labeled with three-digit random codes and served at room temperature (20 ± 1°C). The sensory descriptors used were appearance, texture, flavor, taste and overall acceptability. The sensory evaluation was performed agreeing the hedonic scale used in the store consumer test on Blackamber’ plum (Prunus salicina Lindl) [Crisosto et al. 2004].

During each session, the samples were presented in randomized order to the panelists, who judged the descriptors using a nine-point hedonic scale at room temperature where 9 = “like extremely,” 7 = “like moderately,” 5 = “neither like nor dislike,” 3 = “dislike moderately” and 1 = “dislike extremely.” The scores below 3 indicated unacceptable samples.

Statistical Analysis

The data were subjected to a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a Tukey’s test to determine the significance of the difference of the means between the groups using the IBM-SPSS.20 Software (2013). The differences were considered significant when P < 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Evaluation of Pallet Atmosphere

The O₂ and CO₂ levels measured inside the palletized MAP treatment along all the storage time are reported in Fig. 2. The initial atmosphere gas composition (10 kPa O₂ and 5 kPa CO₂) in the pallets was a forced condition and the PE film was able to maintain the O₂ and the CO₂ in the range of value respectively of 10.0–6.6 kPa and 5.0–8.0 kPa for the entire storage time because of the complete initial seal around the pallet bases and the absence of holes in the wrapping film. The CO₂ atmosphere composition inside the pallet, especially in the early stages of the storage, changed little from the start (0 days) because of the equilibrated mass transfer rate of the gas between the inside and the outside of the wrapping film. The CO₂ atmosphere composition inside the pallet, especially in the early stages of the storage, changed little from the start (0 days) because of the equilibrated mass transfer rate of the gas between the inside and the outside of the wrapping film at the low storage temperature (1 ± 1°C). By day 40 of storage, a decrease in the O₂ headspace and an increase in the CO₂ headspace into the pallet were observed, probably because of the metabolic activity of the fruits but no injurious levels of gas (CO₂ > 15 kPa and O₂ < 1.0 kPa) (Kader 1997) were achieved inside the MAP treatment.

Fruit Weight Loss

Plum weight loss increased over time, but its rate was treatment-dependent as showed in Fig. 3. By day 20, fruits under MAP conditions lost 0.58% of their initial weight,
whereas fruits stored in normal atmosphere (control) lost 2.67% of their fresh weight, showing the greatest weight loss and confirming what was observed in previous MAP studies for stone fruits (Sottile et al. 2013; Girgenti et al. 2014). In stone fruits such as peaches and nectarines, weight losses between 5% and 8% can cause visual shrivel; in this study plums were less susceptible to weight loss-induced shrivel and only the losses in the control treatment (test) may affect the cosmetic appearance of the fruit after 40 days of storage (3.12% of weight loss)

**Fruit Flesh Firmness (FFF), Total Soluble Solids (TSS) and Titratable Acidity (TA)**

Fruit flesh firmness (FFF) (Table 1) significantly decreased during the storage time for both the treatments with the exception of the MAP treatment at 20 days of storage, which maintained a value similar to the harvest time (7.50 kg/cm²). As reported in a previous study (Sottile et al. 2013) plums stored under MAP conditions showed higher pulp firmness; in fact after 20, 40 and 60 days of storage via MAP treatment, plums maintained a firmer pulp than control fruits respectively of 0.87, 0.75 and 0.46 kg/cm².

The total soluble solids content (TSS) is often used as an indicator of fruit quality and maturity stage (Crisosto and Day 2012). Plums cv. Angeleno showed 21.1 °Brix at harvest, and during the storage time a reduction of values was observed for all of the treatments resulting from the respiration rate as showed by the CO₂ values in the pallet bag units in storage (Fig. 2). TSS ranged from a minimum value of 19.1 °Brix to a maximum value of 19.7 °Brix and no statistically significant difference was observed between the MAP and control treatments. Changes in TSS between harvest and storage time were quite low, although significant. Those slight changes associated to the fact that at harvest TSS had a high standard deviation, denote a high variability among fruit. So the little decline in TSS occurring during the first days of storage could be a response to a transient stress condition, limited to the time required to let the fruit reach the thermic equilibrium with the cold-storage room. For example, when fruits are moved from ambient temperature to cold storage, until fruit temperature gets the same values of storage temperature higher transpiration and respiration rates occurring in fruit can result in a temporary higher depletion of sugars.

Plums contain very little or no starch reserve hence there is no conversion from starch to sugar during ripening and no significant increase can be expected. As reported by Cantin et al. (2008) the titratable acidity (TA) observed at harvest (7.57 meq/L) decreased during storage, according to consumers’ preferences (Crisosto and Day 2012). During the first 40 days of storage, the changes in the TA content of fruits were insignificant in all of the treatments. The reduction of TA was higher in plums stored in MAP, which showed a (5.99 meq/L) statistically significantly decline in

![FIG. 3. WEIGHT LOSSES (%) OF CV. ANGELENO STORED IN MAP AT 1C AND 90–95% RH UP TO 60 DAYS.](image)

Error bars represent the standard deviation of that mean (n = 3).

### TABLE 1. CHANGES IN FRESH FRUIT FIRMNESS (FFF), TOTAL SOLUBLE SOLIDS (TSS), TITRATABLE ACIDITY (TA), TOTAL POLYPHENOL COMPOUNDS, TOTAL ANTHOCYANINS AND ANTIOXIDANT CAPACITY IN CV. ANGELENO PLUMS STORED IN MAP FOR UP TO 60 DAYS AT 1C AND 90–95% RH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>FFF Kg/cm²</th>
<th>TSS °Brix</th>
<th>TA meq/L</th>
<th>Total Polyphenols mg/g liophylized</th>
<th>Total anthocyanin mg/g liophylized</th>
<th>Antioxidant capacity Trolox equivalent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harvest</td>
<td>7.50 ± 0.2a</td>
<td>21.1 ± 1.3a</td>
<td>7.57 ± 0.5a</td>
<td>36.9 ± 0.8cd</td>
<td>2.91 ± 0.1a</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.002b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>7.71 ± 0.5a</td>
<td>19.4 ± 0.6b</td>
<td>6.33 ± 0.5ab</td>
<td>38.28 ± 2.3bcde</td>
<td>2.90 ± 0.1a</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.002b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP CONTROL</td>
<td>6.84 ± 0.6b</td>
<td>19.7 ± 0.9b</td>
<td>7.44 ± 0.2bc</td>
<td>39.12 ± 1.3bc</td>
<td>2.83 ± 0.1a</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.002b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 days</td>
<td>7.07 ± 0.3b</td>
<td>19.4 ± 0.5b</td>
<td>6.92 ± 0.6ab</td>
<td>37.06 ± 0.7cd</td>
<td>2.74 ± 0.1a</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.002b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP CONTROL</td>
<td>6.32 ± 0.2c</td>
<td>19.1 ± 0.7b</td>
<td>7.40 ± 0.2bc</td>
<td>42.90 ± 0.8a</td>
<td>2.90 ± 0.1a</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.001a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 days</td>
<td>5.90 ± 0.5d</td>
<td>19.3 ± 0.6b</td>
<td>5.99 ± 0.7b</td>
<td>35.40 ± 0.6d</td>
<td>2.36 ± 0.1b</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.001b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP CONTROL</td>
<td>5.44 ± 0.3a</td>
<td>19.2 ± 0.5b</td>
<td>6.40 ± 0.6bc</td>
<td>41.26 ± 0.9ab</td>
<td>2.98 ± 0.1a</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.001a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values in columns with the same letters are not significantly different according to the Tukey’s test at P ≤ 0.05. Values are average ± standard deviation (n = 3).
respective to the harvest time at the end of their storage (60 days). As reported in Table 2, over storage TA showed an overall slight decline which was not significant, so from the statistical point of view there was no difference and variations in average values are only because of the variability within the fruit of each treatment, which in most cases was higher than that observed between the treatments.

**Total Phenols, Antioxidant Capacity and Anthocyanins**

In accordance with previous studies (Tomas-Barberan et al. 2001; Usenik et al. 2008), no clear trend in the phenols content (Table 1) was observed during the storage time. Plums stored in MAP showed values lower than control fruits for the entire storage time, but significant differences were observed between treatments only after 40 days of storage. Results of this study are in agreement with previous results reported by others in plums (Díaz-Mula et al., 2009; Díaz-Mula et al., 2011), where new synthesis of polyphenols was stimulated by low temperature and contrasted by low levels of O2 associated with increased concentrations of CO2, which is the case of MAP treatment. The antioxidant capacity (Table 1) did not change during the first 20 days of storage in both treatments. Changes between the treatments occurred at 40 and 60 days, when control fruits increased their antioxidant activity and statistically significant differences were observed. The total anthocyanin content at harvest was 2.91 (mg/g lyophilized) and during storage values ranged between 2.36 and 2.98 mg/g. However, statistically significant differences between treatments were only observed at the end of storage when lower values were detected in the MAP fruits. Similar results were observed by Artés et al. (2006) and Díaz-Mula et al. (2011). In accordance with results by Tomas-Barberan et al. (2001), Díaz- Mula et al. (2008) and Usenik et al. (2008) three anthocyanins were identified (Table 2). The cyanidine 3-glucoside was the predominant anthocyanin at harvest (62%) as well during the storage time, followed by the cyanidine 3- rutinoside (the former ranging from 0.93 to 0.74; the latter between 0.56 and 0.52). Statistically significant differences were observed for the cyanidine 3-glucoside only after 60 days of storage, where its content was lower in the MAP treated fruits. Besides the fact that different methods used to

### Table 2. Average Contents with Standard Errors of Individual Anthocyanins (mg g⁻¹) in Cv. Angelesno Plums Stored in MAP Up to 60 Days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Cyanidin 3-glucoside</th>
<th>Cyanidin 3-rutinoside</th>
<th>Peonidin-3-rutinoside</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harvest</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.00a</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.02ns</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.03a</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.01ns</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTROL</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.04a</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.02ns</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 days</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.02a</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.01ns</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTROL</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.01a</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.03ns</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 days</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.06b</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.00ns</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTROL</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.01a</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.01ns</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values in columns with the same letters are not significantly different according to the Tukey’s test at P ≤ 0.05. Values are average ± standard deviation (n = 3).
determine anthocyanins lead to different results arising from several factors such as the different solvents, interference of polymeric pigments in the HPLC analysis, and so on (Turfan et al. 2012). In this study the apparently wide discrepancy between results obtained by spectrophotometry and those by HPLC, is because of the fact that in HPLC we quantified only the main anthocyanins but not unknown peaks of anthocyanins. In other words, the sum of the individual anthocyanins shown in Table 2 is not equivalent to total anthocyanins but represent only a part of total anthocyanins, the part composed by known anthocyanins. Among all the bioactive compounds measured, the total anthocyanins showed the best correlation with the antioxidant capacity, both for the MAP treatment ($R^2 = 0.644$) and for the control treatment ($R^2 = 0.854$) (data not shown).

### Sugars and Organic Acids

The content and the relative composition of the individual sugars are reported in Table 3. At harvest, a balanced ratio among all of the sugars was observed; fructose (29.2%) was the most represented, followed respectively by glucose (25.8%), sucrose (25.6%) and sorbitol (19.4%). As reported in other works, the main sugars in plums are sucrose, fructose and glucose. Anyway, when determining individual sugars we were able to identify and quantify the peak of sorbitol, which, as known, is a sugar-alcohol. Indeed, we decided to consider including this datum for the increasing interest of sorbitol as a substitute for glucose in antidiabetic diets, as well as an alternative natural sweetener to sucrose (Forni et al., 1992). The observed increase in sorbitol content over storage, however, has also been previously reported (Singh et al., 2009). Quantitative changes in sugar composition were observed over storage and between treatments for the concomitant decrease in sucrose and increase in fructose and glucose, with fructose, in agreement with results by Usenik et al. 2013, showing the highest values among the other sugars in an increasing trend. Specifically, the average percentage of sucrose decreased significantly from 25.6% to 12.4% and 4.8% respectively after 20 days of storage in the MAP and control treatments, which at the end of the storage achieved similar values (0.004 g/g lyophilized). The fructose and glucose concentrations showed a similar pattern of evolution in response to MAP and control treatment during the storage time compared with the sorbitol. An increasing trend during the first part of the storage (20 days) was observed for the fructose, respectively of +12.7% (MAP) and 11.2% (control), and for the glucose +5.2% (MAP) and 7.2% (control), followed by a plateau of values at the end of storage in the range of 0.27–0.26 (g/g lyophilized) for the fructose and 0.20 (g/g lyophilized) for the glucose.

The only detected organic acid was malic acid, which at harvest was 0.05 g/g lyophilized and which did not show appreciable changes in the control fruit nor in the MAP ones during storage (data not shown).

Figure 4 reports the SUAC index, which is considered, along with the ripening index, as one of the most important influences on the aromatic profile as well as consumers’ acceptance of most stone fruit species including plums (Robertson et al. 1992; Crisosto et al. 2004; Crisosto et al. 2007 and Usenik et al. 2008).

As reported in other works, the main sugars in plums are sucrose, fructose and glucose. Anyway, when determining individual sugars we were able to identify and quantify the peak of sorbitol, which, as known, is a sugar-alcohol. Indeed, we decided to consider including this datum for the increasing interest of sorbitol as a substitute for glucose in antidiabetic diets, as well as an alternative natural sweetener to sucrose (Forni et al., 1992). The observed increase in sorbitol content over storage, however, has also been previously reported (Singh et al., 2009). Quantitative changes in sugar composition were observed over storage and between treatments for the concomitant decrease in sucrose and increase in fructose and glucose, with fructose, in agreement with results by Usenik et al. 2013, showing the highest values among the other sugars in an increasing trend. Specifically, the average percentage of sucrose decreased significantly from 25.6% to 12.4% and 4.8% respectively after 20 days of storage in the MAP and control treatments, which at the end of the storage achieved similar values (0.004 g/g lyophilized). The fructose and glucose concentrations showed a similar pattern of evolution in response to MAP and control treatment during the storage time compared with the sorbitol. An increasing trend during the first part of the storage (20 days) was observed for the fructose, respectively of +12.7% (MAP) and 11.2% (control), and for the glucose +5.2% (MAP) and 7.2% (control), followed by a plateau of values at the end of storage in the range of 0.27–0.26 (g/g lyophilized) for the fructose and 0.20 (g/g lyophilized) for the glucose.

The only detected organic acid was malic acid, which at harvest was 0.05 g/g lyophilized and which did not show appreciable changes in the control fruit nor in the MAP ones during storage (data not shown).

Figure 4 reports the SUAC index, which is considered, along with the ripening index, as one of the most important influences on the aromatic profile as well as consumers’ acceptance of most stone fruit species including plums (Robertson et al. 1992; Crisosto et al. 2004; Crisosto et al. 2007 and Usenik et al. 2008).
Our results show a sharp increase of the SUAC index during the first 20 days of storage, which did not change thereafter in MAP. While it fluctuated in the control, higher values than MAP were persistent. A similar overall trend was reported by Díaz-Mula et al. (2008), who detected lower SUAC index values in fruit stored in MAP than in control treatment with a positive effect on flavor because a relatively high level of acidity is an important factor in plum quality (Díaz-Mula et al. 2008).

**Sensory Evaluations (Consumer Assessment)**

In general, sensory analysis is a good tool to evaluate the impact on consumer acceptability. Sensory evaluation was performed to see if CO₂ affected the plums quality. It is seen from Fig. 5 that among the control and MAP samples, all the mean scores of sensory attributes recorded a decrease during the storage time. After 60 days only MAP samples maintained marketable quality parameters and texture and appearance were the most limiting factor of plums acceptability. According to FFF measurement (Table 1) Angeleno in MAP showed higher texture acceptability and more attractive color probably because of the maintenance of wax and their luminescence because of the lowest weight losses.

**CONCLUSION**

In this study, the use of pallets in MAP maintained at a low temperature (+1°C) was an effective technique for extending the storage of plums cv. Angeleno for a longer time. The polyethylene film was able to manage the initial atmosphere composition in the pallet and no saturated moisture conditions resulted in the plums’ surface cracking. The MAP treatments limited decreases in pulp firmness, maintaining the most important qualitative characteristics for the entire storage time and the high levels of CO₂ detected in the pallet did not affect significantly changes in the content of sugars during the storage. The storage in pallets under MAP conditions could be a suitable and economic system to save space and energy in the refrigerated warehouse because it could be used to store different fruits and vegetables in the same storage room, providing different atmosphere compositions for individual pallets. This information provides guidance to improve the postharvest supply chain of fresh plums fruits.
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