Validity of needle core biopsy in the histological characterisation of mammary lesions
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Summary  Over the last few years, there has been an enormous increase in the use of needle core biopsy (CB) for the histopathological characterisation of suspect lesions of the breast. The aim of this study was to verify the diagnostic reliability of CB by comparing the histological results obtained with the use of this technique with those obtained from the whole of the surgically resected specimen. We studied 198 out of 426 patients with clinically and/or radiologically suspect breast lesions. We found correspondence between the histological examination of the whole of the excised specimen and that of the CB in 94.9% of the cases of infiltrating carcinoma and in 71.4% of those involving ductal carcinomas in situ. The predictive value of CB was 98.9%, sensitivity was 96.1% and specificity 93.3%. These results confirmed that CB is an extremely reliable diagnostic tool in the definition of breast lesions.

© 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Over the last few years, there has been a considerable increase in the use of needle core biopsy (CB) for the histopathological characterisation of suspect breast lesions and it has now become a first-choice diagnostic procedure replacing traditional excisional biopsy. It follows, therefore, that as the use of CB becomes more and more frequent, there will be a concomitant reduction in the number of diagnostic surgical biopsies and intraoperative histological examinations. Several published reports have demonstrated that in a high percentage of cases the histological results of CB concur with those obtained from the examination of the whole of the excised specimen. Furthermore, CB provides an extremely high level of diagnostic reliability, not only with regard to cases of infiltrating carcinoma, but also to those involving atypical hyperplasia, lobular carcinomas in situ, ductal carcinomas in situ.
(DCIS)\textsuperscript{4,5,8,11,14,16,17} and to a large number of benign breast diseases.\textsuperscript{18,19}

Although CB has not completely replaced traditional excisional biopsy, it is considered to be a valid diagnostic procedure, since it is not only efficient but also well tolerated and not particularly invasive, making it possible for the surgeon to provide the patient with a great deal of information regarding her disease and to plan a more specific therapeutic approach.

The aim of this study is to verify the reliability of CB for the diagnosis of breast lesions by comparing the histological results obtained using this procedure with those resulting from the examination of the whole of the excised specimen, in a consecutive series of suspect breast lesions, in order to evaluate the possible routine use of CB instead of surgical biopsy in the diagnostic work-up of such lesions.

**Materials and methods**

Four hundred and twenty-six consecutive patients with clinically and/or radiologically suspect breast lesions underwent CB in our Institute from September 1999 to February 2004. In 302 cases (70.9%), there was only a radiological suspicion of the lesions since they were not clinically palpable. These lesions, with or without microcalcifications, presented a Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System (BI-RADS) risk of 3–5. In 124 cases (29.1%), the lesions were not only identified by X-ray but were also clinically palpable. In all cases the lesions were evaluated both by a breast X-ray specialist and by a surgeon.

Surgical excision was performed in 198 cases (46.5%) and the histological CB result was compared with that obtained from the whole of the excised specimen. Ninety-two of these lesions (46.5%) were clinically palpable, while 106 (53.6%) were not (Table 1).

Six months and 1 year after the CB, the remaining 228 patients (53.5%) underwent clinical and X-ray examinations of their lesions, and the benign status of the lesions obtained from the histological results of the CB was found to be compatible with the clinical and mammographic diagnosis of probable benignity (BI-RADS 3).

CB was performed with 14-gauge needles. Two to five stereotactically guided samples (with an average of three) were taken from each of the non-palpable lesions, while in the 92 clinically palpable lesions the CB was performed manually with ultrasound guidance.

From a histological point of view, the lesions were classified as infiltrating carcinomas (IC), DCIS, hyperplasia with atypical cells (AH) and benign lesions (BL). The results of the histological examinations of the whole of the excised specimens were then compared with those obtained from the CB.

In all the cases, the operations were performed by expert breast surgeons and decisions regarding the type and extent of the procedure were based both on the histological evaluation of the CB and on the clinical and/or mammographic characteristics of the lesions. Where the CB examination produced a clinically and/or mammographically confirmed diagnosis of IC, quadrantectomy or full mastectomy was performed, associated with complete axillary dissection or with biopsy of the sentinel lymph node. In all the other cases, only the lesion was surgically removed.

Where the lesions were clinically non-palpable, they were localised before surgery by the stereotactically guided insertion of a metal thread. Correct removal of the lesion was confirmed by an X-ray of the excised specimen.

**Results**

In our Institute, from September 1999 to February 2004, CBs were performed on 426 clinically and/or radiologically suspect breast lesions. Table 1 provides a description of the 426 lesions investigated using core biopsy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Surgical removal</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinically and/or radiologically suspect lesions</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiologically suspect lesions (clinically non-palpable):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI-RADS 3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI-RADS 4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI-RADS 5</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>426</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
radiologically suspect breast lesions. In 228 cases (53.5%) histological examination by CB produced a benign result, confirmed by the clinical and mammographic features of the lesion. In these patients, clinical and X-ray examinations were repeated 6 months and 1 year after CB. During these follow-up examinations, in no cases were any changes observed that might require a re-evaluation by a second CB or the surgical removal of the nodule for histological examination of the operated specimen.

In 198 cases (46.5%) it was possible to compare the CB result with that obtained from the excisional biopsy. In 106 cases (53.5%) the mammary lesions were non-palpable, while 92 cases (46.5%) involved clinically palpable lesions.

The histological examination of the 198 lesions performed on the whole of the excised specimens diagnosed 176 (88.9%) ICs, 7 (3.5%) DCIS, 10 (5.1%) AHs and 5 (2.4%) BLs (Table 2).

CB examination of the 92 palpable mammary lesions resulted in 90 (97.8%) ICs, one (1.1%) DCIS and one (1.1%) AH. In all these cases (100%), these results were confirmed by the histological examination of the whole lesion after surgical removal.

Histological examination of the whole of the excised specimens from the 106 non-palpable lesions resulted in 86 (81.1%) cases of IC, six (5.6%) of DCIS, nine (8.5%) of AH and five (4.7%) of BL. Of the 86 cases of IC, 77 (89.5%) were confirmed by CB, while in five cases (5.8%) CB produced a diagnosis of AH, in three cases (3.5%) of DCIS and in one case (1.2%) of BL. Histological examination of the CB in the six DCIS cases resulted in four cases (66.7%) of DCIS, one case (16.6%) of AH and one case (16.6%) of IC. In all nine cases of AH (100%) there was agreement between the histological examination of the CB and of the whole of the surgical specimen, and one (20%) of the five BL cases was confirmed by the CB examination. This particular case involved an adenomyoepithelioma, which is a fairly uncommon breast tumour.

In conclusion, therefore, correspondence between the histological examination performed on the CB sample and that obtained from the excised specimen was found in all (100%) of the palpable lesions and in 94 (88.6%) of the non-palpable lesions. Of the 176 cases of IC identified by the histological examination of the excised specimen, CB confirmed the diagnosis in 167 (94.9%), while five (71.4%) of the seven DCIS were also confirmed by CB. This indicated a positive predictive value (PPV) for the CB technique of 98.9%, sensitivity of 96.1% and specificity of 93.3%. If the 228 patients with lesions undergoing X-ray follow-up after a CB histological result of benignity are included as true negatives, the specificity of the method is even higher and reaches 99.1% (Table 3).

**Discussion**

Over the last few years, there has been such an increase in the use of needle CB for the histological characterisation of suspect breast lesions that, where possible, it is now always advised as the first-choice procedure for the histological definition of breast lesions in place of traditional excisional biopsy.1,2

Although without doubt one of the great advantages of the method is that it can be used for radiologically suspect preclinical lesions, its use can also be extended to the study of clinically palpable lesions in order to confirm a clinical and X-ray diagnosis of fairly probable or even certain carcinoma. In this way, at the moment of surgery, the therapeutic approach has already been defined, making it possible to avoid intraoperative biopsy. Furthermore, in cases in which preoperative chemotherapy is indicated, CB provides not only the histological typing of the tumour, but also a definition of the prognostic factors without the use of surgical biopsy.

CB makes it possible to avoid the surgical removal of those lesions for which a diagnosis of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Histological results of 169 excised lesions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinically non-palpable lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltrating carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ductal carcinoma in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical hyperplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
benignity has been confirmed by the clinical and radiological features. In our experience, in 228 patients (53.5%) in whom the histological result of the CB diagnosed benignity and in whom the lesion did not demonstrate any features that might be considered clinically or radiologically suspect, surgical removal was not performed, resulting in a reduction in cost and a high level of patient compliance. In all the cases concerned, ultrasound and radiographic examinations performed 6 months and 1 year after CB showed no modifications of the lesions. It goes without saying, therefore, that CB made it possible to avoid the surgical removal of such lesions.

Although our results demonstrate that most of the CBs performed by us involved BL that did not require surgery, the majority of the lesions that were surgically removed after CB proved to be malignant. Of the 198 patients undergoing surgery after CB, 176, in fact, had ICs and seven had DCIS, making a total of 183 malignant neoplasias, or 92.4% of all the patients operated on. Of the non-palpable lesions removed, 86 were ICs and six were DCIS, totalling 92 malignancies out of 106 surgically removed lesions (86.7%). Of the palpable lesions removed, 90 were ICs and one was a DCIS, out of a total of 92 lesions removed (98.9%).

In only 15 cases (7.6%) did the lesions that were removed prove to be benign, but 10 of these (66.7%) involved lesions demonstrating atypical histological features, while one was an adenomyoepithelioma diagnosed by CB as an IC. In four cases (2%), the lesions removed after CB proved to be benign, but all were non-palpable lesions removed using the stereotactically guided advanced breast biopsy instrument (ABBI) method and diagnosed histologically as fibroadenomas of the breast.

The low rate of surgical removal for BL and, on the other hand, the high incidence of malignant neoplasias, are in our experience most likely due to the careful, accurate selection methods used for the indications for CB. This is the result not only of several years of study and work involving preclinical breast lesions, but also of extremely fruitful multidisciplinary cooperation in our Institute between radiologists, surgeons and pathologists. This fact concurs with recently published reports on the same subject by several other authors \(^1\)–\(^5\) and, furthermore, emphasises the fact that, in the last few years, experience with the use of CB has led to an increase in the diagnosis of ICs using this procedure, compared with the results published a few years ago, \(^3\)–\(^6\)–\(^8\)–\(^10\), when the rates of diagnosis of breast carcinomas using CB ranged from 8% to 42%.

Another fact that emerged from our own study is the high correspondence between the histological examination of the CB and the traditional excisional biopsy, which was 93.9%. In fact, in the 92 palpable lesions, there was 100% correspondence between CB results and those of the traditional surgical biopsy, while in the 106 non-palpable lesions, in only seven out of 92 cases (6.6%) did the CB examination give a false-negative result, although six of these cases involved an AH. In only one case (0.94%) did the histological examination of CB give a false-positive result; the histological examination of the surgical specimen diagnosed this case as an adenomyoepithelioma, which is in fact a fairly breast tumour.

In our opinion, CB histologic underestimation concerns mostly non-palpable lesions and does not present any particular problems. Histological examination of the CB produced a completely benign result in only one case out of the 86 non-palpable ICs; in five cases it resulted in an AH and in three cases a DCIS, all lesions requiring surgical removal in order to perform a complete histological evaluation. In any case, however, it should be borne in mind that before any decision is made, the CB histologic result should be compared with those of the clinical and/or radiological examinations, and surgical removal should be performed if the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core biopsy</th>
<th>Surgical biopsy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infiltrating carcinoma</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltrating carcinoma</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ductal carcinoma in situ</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical hyperplasia</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign lesions</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Positive predictive value 98.9%; sensibility 96.1%; specificity 93.3%.
latter produces a highly suspect result, even though the CB produced a benign result.

The positive predictive value of CB in the definition of the histological nature of the lesion (98.9%) and the sensitivity of the method (96.1%) both proved to be extremely high. One hundred and eighty-three of the 198 surgically removed lesions were in fact malignant. CB specificity was also high at 99.1%, since if all 228 patients with a benign histological result from CB in whom surgery was not performed, but who were subjected to X-ray follow-up are considered true negatives, the number of BL removed was extremely low. Furthermore, in ten patients (66.7%) in whom BL were removed, the lesions proved to be cell atypia, which should in any case be removed.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our results concur with those of previously published reports, i.e. that CB is an extremely efficient tool for the histological definition of breast lesions. Since the positive predictive value of the technique is very high, a positive histological result for malignant neoplasia with the use of CB should be taken fairly seriously with regard to therapeutic programming and information given to the patient, as confirmed by several other authors, and may make it possible to avoid intraoperative biopsy. Only if there is discordance between the histological results of the CB and the X-ray result should surgical biopsy be considered imperative. In any case in which the lesion is radiologically or clinically suspect, however, even when the CB leads to a benign histological result, it is extremely important to make a careful evaluation of the correspondence between the mammographic result, the clinical features of the lesion and the histological findings, and to proceed if necessary to surgical biopsy.
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