Conquests and perspectives of cardio-oncology in the field of tumor angiogenesis-targeting tyrosine kinase inhibitor-based therapy
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Introduction: Angiogenesis is fundamental for tumor development and progression. Hence, anti-angiogenic drugs have been developed to target VEGF and its receptors (VEGFRs). Several tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) have been developed over the years and others are still under investigation, each anti-VEGFR TKI showing a different cardiotoxic profile. Knowledge of the cardiac side-effects of each drug and the magnitude of their expression and frequency can lead to a specific approach.

Areas covered: This work reviews the mechanism of action of anti-VEGFR TKIs and the pathophysiological mechanisms leading to cardiotoxicity, followed by close examination of the most important drugs individually. A literature search was conducted on PubMed selecting review articles, original studies and clinical trials, with a focus on Phase III studies.

Expert opinion: Side-effects on the cardiovascular system could lead both to the worsening of general health status of cancer patients and to the discontinuation of the cancer treatment affecting its efficacy. Cardiologists often have to face new triggers of heart disease in these patients. They need a specific approach, which must be carried out in cooperation with oncologists. It must start before cancer treatment, continue during it and extend after its completion.
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1. Introduction

For many years cancer research has aimed to find new therapeutic options that could go beyond, and substitute, the already existing chemotherapy drugs in tumor treatment, so as to limit side-effects and overcome the edge of the treatment used. Therefore, in the past years, new targeted drugs that have a specific molecular target have been developed. Among the therapeutic agents which are now under development in oncology, angiogenic process is one of the main targets. These anti-angiogenic drugs act on the development of new blood vessels that furnish an adequate metabolic contribution to the microenvironment, which is necessary for tumor growth and its systemic spread [1]. In this review, we discuss the anti-angiogenic drugs targeting tyrosine kinase receptors approved by the FDA: sorafenib (2005), sunitinib (2006), pazopanib (2009), vandetanib (2011), axitinib (2012) and regorafenib.
tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) with selectivity for VEGFRs. These isoforms in turn interact with receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) that present three forms: VEGFR1, VEGFR2 and VEGFR3. VEGF binds with higher affinity to VEGFR1 than to VEGFR2, the latter having a stronger tyrosine kinase activity. Besides, VEGFR2 is widely assumed to be the mediator of the pro-angiogenic activities of VEGF. VEGFR3 is involved in lymphangiogenesis and does not bind VEGF-A (2,4). Nevertheless, VEGFR1 and VEGFR2 could crosstalk inter- and intra-molecularly. VEGF binds to the VEGFR, leading to receptor dimerization and subsequent autophosphorylation of this complex. The phosphorylated receptor interacts with a variety of cytoplasmic signaling molecules, leading to signal transduction and eventually angiogenesis. The classical Ras/Erk and PI3K/Akt-dependent pathways are involved in the signaling activated by the VEGF-VEGFR network, thus leading to the proliferation and survival of endothelial progenitors (4). There are three classes of PI3Ks grouped according to structure and function. Class IA PI3Ks are activated by growth factor (such as VEGF) stimulation through RTKs. The regulatory subunit, p85, directly binds to phosphotyrosine residues on RTKs and/or adaptors. This bond relieves the intermolecular inhibition of the catalytic subunit, p110, by p85 and localizes PI3K to the plasma membrane where resides its substrate, phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PI[4,5]P2). PI3K can also be stimulated by activated Ras. Additionally, the p110β catalytic subunit can be activated by G-protein coupled receptors. PI3K phosphorylates PIP2 (Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate) on the 3′OH position to produce PI(3,4,5)P3. PI(3,4,5)P3 brings two PH domain-containing serine/threonine kinases, phosphoinositide-dependent kinase 1 (PDK1) and AKT, into close proximity. PDK1 activates AKT by phosphorylating it at threonine 308. PI3K-AKT signaling promotes cell growth and survival by several mechanisms. AKT promotes cell survival by inhibiting proapoptotic Bcl-2 family members. AKT also impedes negative regulation of the transcription factor NF-kB, leading to increased transcription of antiapoptotic and prosurvival genes. Phosphorylation of Mdm2 by AKT antagonizes p53-mediated apoptosis (5). The recognition of the VEGF pathway as a key regulator of angiogenesis has led to the development of several VEGF-targeted agents that include: neutralizing antibodies to VEGF or VEGFRs, soluble VEGF receptors or receptor hybrids and tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) with selectivity for VEGFRs. TKIs are more selective rather than specific for a particular kinase, in relation to their course of action at the ATP-binding pocket. So, TKIs are designed to target VEGF receptors, but they are actually ‘multi-kinase’ inhibitors. For example, sorafenib and sunitinib also have significant activity against the Raf, platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFRβ), fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR), FLT3, KIT and FMS (also known as CSF1R) receptors. Therefore, it is likely that some of the clinical activity can be attributed to activity on other tyrosine kinase receptors. Particularly, some VEGFR-targeted TKIs significantly inhibit...
the activity of PDGFRs, and this leads to a dual attack on the vasculature (VEGFR on endothelial cells and PDGFR on pericytes). For this reason, it shows, in preclinical studies, a greater efficacy than inhibiting a single receptor family [6].

3. Pathophysiological mechanisms of cardiotoxicity of anti-angiogenic drugs

TKIs inhibit TK receptors both in cancer and normal cells. Therefore, this action on normal tissues explains their side-effects. The most common side-effects include diarrhea and skin rash. Although cardiac toxicity is less common, it is more serious and difficult to diagnose in early stages. Cardiac toxic effects of TKIs include asymptomatic QT prolongation, reduction in left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), symptomatic congestive heart failure (CHF), hypertension, acute coronary syndromes (ACS) and myocardial infarction (MI). Sudden death has also been associated with these drugs. Not all TKIs exert the same toxicity on the heart muscle and the cardiovascular system. The level of expression of certain TK receptors in the cardiomyocytes does not correlate with the toxicity induced by their related inhibitors; rather the function of a specific TK receptor constitutes the determining factor for the cardiac effects of its inhibition. The exact rates of cardiotoxicity are not well known yet.

The inhibition of the ‘key’ kinases that drive tumorigenesis could potentially compromise the survival of cardiomyocytes, leading to the cardiotoxicity exerted by TKIs. This toxicity can be distinguished into two types: 1) on-target toxicity, also known as mechanism-based or target-related, in which the kinase that is targeted in the cancer also provides an important maintenance function in the heart and vasculature. Thus, the inhibition leads to adverse consequences in the heart. 2) Off-target toxicity: a kinase that was not intended to be inhibited by a drug is inhibited, and if this kinase plays a key role in the heart its inhibition will lead to cardiotoxicity. Off-target toxicity is inherently related to the limited selectivity of most TKIs. In fact, cardiotoxicity results from the non-specific inhibition of multiple kinases on signaling pathways important for maintaining ventricular function. The inhibition by sunitinib on AMPK (AMP-activated protein kinase) is an example of off-target toxicity. This kinase plays key roles in maintaining metabolic homeostasis in the heart, especially in the setting of energy stress. AMPK is activated when energy stores drop in the cardiomyocyte. It leads to increased energy generation and decreased energy utilization, but it is not activated in sunitinib-treated heart models and in cultured cardiomyocytes. This drug causes cell damage, so that there is a myocardial cell loss, or inhibits normal repair processes [7-13]. It has to be specified that AMPK inhibition is not the only one responsible for cardiotoxicity and it could be only partial. Indeed, metformin, which is able to activate AMPK in myocytes, did not defend them from sunitinib-induced toxicity [14].

The inhibition of VEGF signaling by TKIs can cause hypertension, and this is one of the main cardiac toxic effects (Figure 1). VEGF, through VEGFR2, has a hypotensive effect. In normal cells, functional VEGFR2 triggers PI3K, through Src, and phospholipase C (PLC). PI3K converts PIP2 to PIP3. The latter acts on PD1K, which initiates Akt. So in the end, Akt stimulates the endothelial nitric oxide synthase

Table 1. Angiogenesis tyrosine kinase inhibitors: year of FDA approval, labels, pivotal trials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>FDA approval (year)</th>
<th>Approved for</th>
<th>Phase III trials</th>
<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sorafenib</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
<td>SHARP trial</td>
<td>Llovet et al. (2008) [32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Child-Pugh A or B mRCC</td>
<td>Asia-Pacific trial (NCT00492752)</td>
<td>Cheng et al. (2009) [36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mRCC</td>
<td>TARGET trial NCT00075218</td>
<td>Escudier B et al. (2009) [34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors</td>
<td>NCT00098657 and NCT00083889</td>
<td>Demetri et al. (2006) [39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunitinib</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Metastatic GIST mRCC</td>
<td>VEG105192 (NCT00334282)</td>
<td>Motzer et al. (2007) [40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PALETTE trial NCT00410761</td>
<td>Raymond et al. (2011) [41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pazopanib</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Relapsed or refractory RCCs</td>
<td>AXIS trial NCT00920816</td>
<td>Sternberg et al. (2010) [49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Soft-tissue sarcomas</td>
<td>GRAND trial</td>
<td>van der Graaf et al. (2012) [51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Metastatic medullary thyroid carcinoma</td>
<td>NCT0814701</td>
<td>Wells et al. (2012) [57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vandetanib</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Second-line treatment of patients with mRCC</td>
<td>NCT02098713</td>
<td>Rini et al. (2011) [62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axitinib</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Advanced GIST</td>
<td>GRID trial NCT0066016</td>
<td>Hutson et al. (2013) [65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regorafenib</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer</td>
<td>CORRECT trial NCT002066017</td>
<td>Demetri et al. (2013) [70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vatalanib</td>
<td>Not approved yet</td>
<td></td>
<td>CONFIRM 1 trial (NCT0056459)</td>
<td>Grothey et al. (2013) [71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nintedanib</td>
<td>Not approved yet</td>
<td></td>
<td>CONFIRM 2 trial</td>
<td>Hecht et al. (2011) [75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LUME-Lung 1</td>
<td>Van Cutsem et al. (2011) [76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reck et al. (2014) [83]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GIST: Gastrointestinal stromal tumor; mRCC: Metastatic renal cell carcinoma.
(eNOS). On the other hand, activated PLC transforms PIP$_2$ in the second messengers, inositol trisphosphate (IP$_3$) and diacylglycerol. The first of these two products leads to the entry of Ca$^{2+}$ ions within the cell. The enhanced concentration of calcium starts eNOS activity. Hence, both of these two pathways lead to the upregulation of eNOS. eNOS determines the production of nitric oxide (NO), which regulates vascular relaxation. In fact, NO activates guanylyl cyclase that raises cGMP levels, determining vasodilation, reducing smooth muscle cells growth and platelet aggregation. Therefore, the elimination of constitutive baseline VEGF signaling induces endothelial dysfunction and vasoconstriction. These events occur because the activity of the inducible NO synthase is compromised. These two events create a state of non-perfusion. Hence, these are the bases for hypertension and microvascular rarefaction, which means the extinction of the small blood vessels that constitute the microcirculation. In fact, the depletion of VEGF-A release, in protracted hypoxic conditions, is considered an important factor, which contributes to decompensated heart failure. In the advanced stage of the disease, VEGF-A reduction has been connected to unbalanced microvascular growth and reduced capillary density. VEGF is upregulated during hypertension, providing compensatory responses. Anti-VEGF therapy suppressing it determines a weaker compensatory role. Hypertension is associated with the development of left ventricular hypertrophy, which is a strong independent predictor of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Hypertensive cardiac remodeling results in cardiomyocyte hypertrophy outgrowing capillary expansion. The decline in microvascular density leads to hypertension-associated cardiovascular events. Animal models with cardiomyocyte-specific deletion of the VEGF gene had fewer coronary microvessels, thinned ventricular walls and depressed contractile function. Induced hypertrophy in VEGF-deficient mice expedite the transition from compensatory hypertrophy to failure. In other animal models, data enlightened that supplementation of VEGF, during prolonged pressure overload, preserved the contractile function. However, it has to be specified that the decrease in microvascular density is not the only reason for cardiovascular events. Other important factors play a key role in the development of load-induced cardiac pathologies, which are abnormal Ca$^{2+}$ handling in cardiomyocytes, a shift in energy metabolism and accumulation of interstitial fibrosis.

Some studies demonstrated that another participant in the TKI-induced hypertension could be endothelin (ET). The administration of sunitinib showed an increase in circulating ET-1 levels, prompting that the ET pathway plays an important role in sunitinib-induced increase of blood pressure. ET-1 carries out a vasoconstrictor effect through the activation of NADPH (which is the reduced form of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate) oxidase and the generation
of reactive oxygen species. Vasoconstriction determines hypertension, which has also been related to an increase in reactive oxygen species. Besides, ET-1 has been shown to promote angiogenesis in cancer. Therefore, the use of ET-1 receptor antagonists could be important for treatment. Studies in animals demonstrated that the coadministration of an ET-1 receptor antagonist with an RTKI limited blood pressure enhancement.

The deletion of the PDGFR-$\beta$ gene in cardiomyocytes causes in models next to afterload stress a worsening of ventricular hypertrophy, ventricular dilation and heart failure, demonstrating how it is crucial for adaptation to afterload stress. It leads also to a reduction in cardiac capillary density and evidence of local tissue hypoxia. As regards heart failure, another main cardiac toxic effect, an hypothesis has been proposed to explain how anti-angiogenic drug treatment can lead to it. This hypothesis considers two aspects. The first one is the development of hypertension, which leads to afterload stress on the heart. Normally, the heart is able to adapt to afterload stress through compensatory mechanisms, but the inhibition of PDGFR-$\beta$, determined by anti-angiogenic TKIs, inhibits cardiac adaptation to afterload stress. Hence, it causes heart failure (Figure 2) [15-23].

Figure 2. The role of the inhibition of main angiogenesis mediators, VEGFR and PDGFR, in the development of heart failure through afterload stress.

PDGFR: Platelet-derived growth factor receptor; TKIs: Tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

Among the main cardiac side-effects linked to TKIs are thromboembolic events. In normal conditions, VEGF-receptor signaling determines, in endothelial cells, an increase of the prosurvival factor Bcl-2 and modulation of the MAPK pathway, which is involved in a variety of cellular functions. Bcl-xL is also an anti-apoptotic cell-survival factor. In endothelial cells, it up-regulates VEGF-A production, and in platelets, it is a major determinant of the life span. VEGF-A-induced signaling, through VEGFR2, directly regulates the junctional machinery in the intercellular gaps. VEGF-A alters the endothelial-cell phenotype by increasing vascular permeability, up-regulating expression of urokinase, tissue plasminogen activator and the vascular cell adhesion molecule. The cytoplasmic domain of VEGFR2 is part of a macromolecular complex. Shear stress enhances the formation of this complex, thereby converting the adherens junction into a mechanical transducer that initiates ‘outside-inside’ signaling. Megakaryocytes and platelets contain the three major isoforms of VEGF-A – VEGF121, VEGF165 and VEGF189.
4. Drugs formerly approved for cancer treatment

4.1 Sorafenib

Sorafenib is a small molecule, an oral inhibitor of multiple kinases that are present in tumor cells and tumor blood vessels. It potently inhibits several of both serine/threonine and tyrosine kinases including VEGFR2 and VEGFR3, PDGFRα and PDGFRβ, c-KIT, FLT3, RAF1 (v-raf-1 murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1) and BRAF kinases (v-ras murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B1), including BRAFV600E. It is currently registered for the treatment of advanced primary hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) Child-Pugh A or B and advanced/metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC). This drug is generally well tolerated. It has several side-effects, including cardiotoxicity. It is associated with cardiac ischemia or infarction and hypertension [31]. In sorafenib-treated patients, a higher incidence of hypertension, usually mild-to-moderate, has been observed. Besides, it occurs earlier than the other drugs, but on the other hand, it responds to standard anti-hypertensive therapy.

Sorafenib was studied in advanced HCC by Llovet et al. in a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, Phase III trial. This trial was conducted at 121 centers in 21 countries in Europe, North America, South America and Australasia. The authors enlisted 602 patients with advanced HCC who had not received previous systemic treatment. Among the sorafenib-treated patients (n = 297), any grade hypertension was present in 5%. Drug-related grade 3 hypertension was 2%, while there was no drug-related grade 4 hypertension. Cardiac ischemia or infarction was revealed, respectively, in 3% and 1% of patients [32,33].

In a Phase III study, sorafenib was administered in pretreated patients affected by mRCC. The incidence of all-grade hypertension was 17% in comparison with the placebo group in which it was 2%. Grade 3 and grade 4 hypertension were recorded in 4% of the sorafenib-treated group versus 1% in placebo. Similar results were achieved in the expanded access program in North America, in which about 2,504 patients were enrolled. The incidence of all-grade hypertension was 12%, while grade 3 was present in 5%. Comparable results have also been obtained in a Phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, in which the authors analyzed the use of sorafenib in patients affected by HCC. Besides, it has been demonstrated that sorafenib determines a prolongation of the QT/QTc interval. This can lead to an increased risk of ventricular arrhythmias [34-36]. Retrospective analyses suggest that the development of hypertension, especially grade moderate-to-severe, can be associated with a greater efficacy of anti-angiogenic drugs. Ravaud et al. studied 93 patients evaluating the possible relationship between the onset of hypertension and the drug efficacy treating them with sunitinib, sorafenib and bevacizumab as first, second and third line for mRCC. It was shown that among patients who developed hypertension of grade 2 or higher, 88% had clinical benefit. Besides, 53% of these patients maintained the clinical benefit for a period ≥ 6 months [37].

Sorafenib treatment can determine an increased risk of developing heart disease. Escudier et al., in their Phase III trial, in patients with mRCC, noticed that the incidence of heart attack or cardiac ischemia occurring during treatment was 4.9% in the sorafenib-treated group, while it was 1.4% in the placebo group. The incidence of CHF developed in patients with mRCC treated with sorafenib was 1.7% versus 0.7% in the placebo group [34]. Instead, in the expanded access program in North America, these type of cardiac events were not documented [35]. Cheng et al., in their Phase III study, in patients with advanced HCC, observed that the incidence of heart attack or cardiac ischemia occurring during treatment was 2.7% in sorafenib-treated patients and 1.3% in the placebo-treated group. As regards the CHF, they had different results, if compared with the other studies, because they reported lesser events in sorafenib-treated patients (0.9%) than the placebo-treated group (1.1%) [36].

Finally, in a meta-analysis that included over 10,000 patients, the incidence of arterial thromboembolic events (ATEs) was analyzed. It was 1.7% for sorafenib-treated patients. The relative risk (RR) of ATEs for TKI in comparison with controls was of 3.03. RR for sorafenib was 3.1, and it was 2.39 for sunitinib [38].

4.2 Sunitinib

Sunitinib is a small molecule TKI. It inhibits mutated or over-expressed kinases in cancer cells. It acts on a number of growth factor receptors regulating both tumor cell
proliferation/survival and tumor angiogenesis including VEGFRs 1 – 3, PDGFRα and PDGFRβ, c-KIT, FMS-like tyrosine kinase-3 (FLT3), CFS-1 receptor (CSFR1) and the product of the human RET gene (RET). Currently, sunitinib is registered for the treatment of metastatic and unresectable gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) [39], mRCC [40] and unresectable or metastatic well-differentiated pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors [41]. It is generally well tolerated. It has various side-effects, including cardiotoxicity [39,40,42]. Hypertension is the most common cardiovascular adverse event among sunitinib-treated patients. The rate and severity of hypertension vary according to the definitions of hypertension used and the population studied. In a meta-analysis including 13 clinical trials and a total of 4,999 patients, the incidence of all-grade hypertension was 21.6%. The incidence of hypertension warranting the addition or adjustment to dosing of more than one medication (NCI grade 3 or grade 4) was 6.8%. The RR of grade 3 or grade 4 hypertension using sunitinib compared to placebo was 23. Rini et al. using less strict criteria found that 81% of patients with mRCC treated with sunitinib developed systolic blood pressure higher than 140 mmHg and 67% developed diastolic blood pressure higher than 90 mmHg [43].

This drug, more than the other TKIs, can cause left ventricular dysfunction. Schmieder et al. performed an observational study of mRCC patients treated with either sorafenib or sunitinib. They found that about one-third of the cohort developed a cardiac event, defined as increased cardiac enzymes, symptomatic arrhythmia requiring treatment, new left ventricular dysfunction or ACS. About 10% of the cohort required intermediate or intensive care admission [16]. Chu et al. conducted a review focusing on cardiac adverse events in 75 patients with imatinib-resistant, metastatic GIST treated with sunitinib, as part of an early Phase II/III clinical trial. The 11% of patients treated with sunitinib, developed cardiac adverse events (e.g., CHF, MI or cardiac death). The most common event was NYHA class III – IV CHF (8%). The treatment with the FDA-approved dosages showed a steady decrease in LVEF ≥ 15% of the EF. Of 36 patients, 4 developed either CHF or LVEF decline of ≥ 20% to an EF < 50%. Sunitinib also induced significant blood pressure elevations; approximately 47% of the patients developed hypertension > 150/100 mmHg. Grade 3 hypertension occurred in 17% of patients [44]. In an observational, single-center study, a total of 86 patients was treated with either sunitinib or sorafenib. A total of 74 patients were eligible for assessment of cardiotoxicity during TKI treatment. Among these patients, 25 (33.8%) experienced a cardiac event, 11 patients among sunitinib-treated and 14 among sorafenib-treated. The 40.5% had ECG changes including rhythm changes, conduction disturbances, axis change, QRS amplitude changes, ST segment depression and elevation, T wave changes and QT prolongation, 18% of these were symptomatic. Of 25 patients having cardiac events, 13 presented typical clinical symptoms, such as angina, dyspnea and dizziness; 7 patients (9.4%) were seriously compromised and required intermediate care or intensive care admission. A total of 22 patients underwent echocardiograms at the event, and 10 of them were abnormal. Abnormalities included reduced LVEF in 9 patients, regional contractile dysfunctions in 7 and relaxation disturbances greater than grade 1 and pericardial effusion in 1 patient each. The median duration of TKI treatment on event occurrence was 8 weeks (ranging from 2 to 48 weeks). In all these studies, all patients recovered after cardiovascular management (e.g., medication, coronary angiography, pacemaker implantation and heart surgery) and were considered eligible for TKI continuation. Statistically, there was no significant survival difference between patients who experienced a cardiac event and those who did not experience a cardiac event. A noteworthy aspect is the high percentage of patients with increased cardiac biomarkers, such as creatine kinase myocardial band(CK-MB) and troponins, in the treatment group. Indeed, among the sunitinib-treated patients, 54.5% had abnormal CK-MB and troponin. An increased CK-MB was seen in 78.6% patients treated with sorafenib, while an abnormal troponin was seen in 21.4% [45].

### 4.3 Pazopanib

Pazopanib is an orally assumed multitarget angiogenesis inhibitor. It targets VEGF-1, VEGF-2 and VEGF-3 receptors, PDGF-α and PDGF-β receptors, and c-KIT. It is used in the treatment of relapsed or refractory RCCs [46] and soft-tissue sarcomas. Recent Phase II and Phase III trials highlighted a possible use of this drug in the treatment of selected epithelial ovarian cancer patients [47]. Qi et al. in a meta-analysis showed that the average incidence of all-grade hypertension among patients receiving pazopanib was 35.9%. High-grade (grade 3 or grade 4) hypertension was associated with significant morbidity and subsequent dose reduction or discontinuation of pazopanib treatment. The trials reported an average incidence of high-grade hypertension among patients receiving pazopanib of 6.5% [48].

In a trial by Sternberg et al., the authors showed that arterial thrombotic events occurred in 3% of pazopanib-treated patients, among these MI/ischemia 2%, cerebrovascular accident < 1% and transient ischemic attack < 1% compared with the placebo-arm, in which there were none [49]. Pazopanib can also induce electrocardiographic changes. QT-prolongation and torsade de pointes, though rare, are serious adverse event due to pazopanib therapy. Less than 2% of patients can have a prolonged QT interval while receiving pazopanib. Due to the gravity of this event, baseline and periodic electrocardiograms and electrolyte monitoring should be carried out. For this reason, an oncologist should pay more attention when pazopanib is used in patients with a history of QT prolongation or if they are using any other medication that may affect the QT interval [50].

The PALETTE trial studied pazopanib compared to placebo in angiogenesis inhibitor-naïve patients with metastatic soft-tissue sarcoma, who progressed despite previous standard
chemotherapy. In this study, fatigue and hypertension were among the most common adverse effects, with a G3 – 4 toxicity rate of 13 and 7%, respectively. LVEF dropped in 16 patients in the pazopanib group, compared to 3 in the placebo group, during or after treatment. Among these 16 patients, 3 were symptomatic. However, LVEF had improved in 8 patients. Among these, 5 continued pazopanib and 3 discontinued for other reasons [51].

4.4 Vandetanib
Vandetanib (ZD6474) is an orally active small molecule TKI. It acts against the VEGFR2. It also has inhibitory activity at sub-micromolar concentrations against VEGFR3, the EGFR, RERearranged during Transfection (RET) tyrosine kinase, while it acts on VEGFR1 and PDGFRβ at micromolar concentrations. This drug has been approved for the treatment of adult patients with unresectable, locally advanced or metastatic medullary thyroid carcinoma [52-55]. Vandetanib is tolerable at doses of 300 mg or less. Wells et al. studied 331 patients with locally advanced or metastatic medullary thyroid cancer in a randomized, double-blind, Phase III trial. Among the 231 vandetanib-treated patients, any grade hypertension occurred in 32% and grade 3 or higher hypertension occurred in 9%. Asthenia of any grade arose in 14%, while grade 3 or higher was seen in 3%. Another adverse event was dyspnea, grade 3 or more, which was present in only 1%. QTc prolongation required dose reduction in 35% of the vandetanib-treated patients. About 18 patients (8%) developed protocol-defined QTc prolongation, grade 3 or higher, but there were no reports of torsades de pointes. Any grade QTc prolongation arose in 33 patients (14%). Among these cardiac adverse events, QTc prolongation is a noteworthy adverse effect. It has been proposed that potassium and magnesium deficiency, which is possibly secondary to diarrhea, have a role in its onset. The only adverse event leading to discontinuation of vandetanib was asthenia (1.7%). Five patients in the vandetanib arm experienced adverse events leading to death during the randomized phase; among these events there was respiratory arrest, respiratory failure, arrhythmia and acute cardiac failure in 1 patient [56,57]. Approximately similar results were obtained by Leboulleux et al. in a randomized, double-blind, Phase II trial. Any grade hypertension occurred in 34% of the vandetanib-treated patients. Any grade asthenia came about in 26%, while grade ≥ 3 asthenia in 7%. Any grade QTc prolongation was present in 23%, while grade ≥ 3 QTc prolongation in 14%. Grade ≥ 3 dyspnea ensued in 3%. Among the most common adverse events leading to discontinuation of vandetanib was QTc prolongation in 5 patients (7%). They noted no cardiac complications linked to the prolongation of the QTc [58].

These data were analyzed together with other trials in a meta-analysis by Qi et al. They included a total of 11 trials with 3,154 patients affected by metastatic thyroid cancer (MTC), NSCLC and other malignancies. The average incidence of all-grade hypertension among patients receiving vandetanib was 24.2%. Among the 11 trials, 8 reported a mean incidence of 6.4% for high grade hypertension. The mean all-grade and high grade incidences of hypertension in patients with non-MTC/NSCLC tumors were 15.4 and 3.4%, respectively. Besides, the incidence about all-grade and high grade hypertension in non-MTC/NSCLC tumors were lower than NSCLC, in which it was 21.8% for all-grade hypertension and 7.6% for high grade hypertension and MTC. There was a significant difference between MTC and NSCLC in terms of the incidence of vandetanib-associated all-grade hypertension (RR 1.48), but not high grade hypertension. Finally, vandetanib was associated with a significantly increased risk of all-grade hypertension in comparison with non-MTC/NSCLC tumors, but not high grade hypertension [59]. In a meta-analysis by Zang et al., the authors analyzed the incidence and risk of QTc interval prolongation by vandetanib in the cancer patient. This meta-analysis was based on 9 trials and included 2,188 patients. They showed that the overall incidence of all-grade and high-grade QTc interval prolongation was 16.4% and 3.7%, respectively, among patients with non-thyroid cancer, and 18.0% and 12.0%, respectively, among patients with thyroid cancer. If compared with the incidence of prolonged QTc interval determined by other drugs, the incidence of all-grade QTc interval prolongation associated with vandetanib is moderate. This is an important aspect because QTc interval prolongation is one of the most important risk factors that induce life-threatening consequence [60].

4.5 Axitinib
Axitinib is a third-generation VEGFR inhibitor. It is highly selective and very potent for VEGFR1 – 3. It has been approved for use in the second-line treatment of patients with metastatic RCC after failure of prior treatment with sunitinib or a cytokine [61]. It has been studied in the AXIS trial (Phase III), in which axitinib was compared to sorafenib. The most common adverse events leading to discontinuation in the axitinib group were fatigue (1%; 4) and transient ischemic attack (1%; 3). The most frequent cardiovascular adverse event associated with axitinib was hypertension, occurring in > 30% of patients. Indeed, all-grade hypertension showed a frequency of 40%, while grade 3 or higher had a frequency of 16%. However, this study had several important limitations; mostly it was not a blinded trial. Further data revealed that diastolic blood pressure of 90 mmHg or higher during study can help predict axitinib efficacy in patients with solid tumors, including RCC [62,63]. In a meta-analysis by Qi et al., the authors identified ten studies, including two Phase III trials and eight Phase II trials, for a total of 1,908 patients. The underlying malignancies for these trials included metastatic RCC, metastatic melanoma, metastatic breast cancer, advanced NSCLC, pancreatic cancer and all histological subtypes of advanced thyroid cancer. In each of these trials, hypertension was not described as a pre-existing condition. The mean incidence of all-grade hypertension
among patients receiving axitinib was 40.1%. As regards high grade (grade 3 or grade 4) hypertension, it was associated with significant morbidity, leading to dose reduction or discontinuation of axitinib. All the ten trials showed a mean incidence of high grade hypertension of 13.1% among those patients assuming axitinib. To investigate the role of axitinib in the development of hypertension and exclude the influence of other factors such as underlying malignancy, the authors calculated the RR of axitinib-induced hypertension. Treatment with axitinib increased the risk of developing all-grade hypertension, RR was 3.00. As regards high grade hypertension, RR was 1.71 [64].

In a recent randomized, open-label, Phase III trial by Hutson et al., the most frequent all-grade, all-cause cardiovascular adverse event with axitinib was hypertension. The most frequently reported grade 3 or grade 4 cardiovascular adverse events were hypertension and asthenia in axitinib-treated patients. All-grade hypertension had an incidence of 49% (in 92 patients), while grade 3 hypertension had an incidence of 13% (25 patients) and grade 4 < 1% (1 patient). As regards asthenia, the incidence was 21% (39 patients) for all grades, 7% (13 patients) for grade 3 and 2% (3 patients) for grade 4. The most common all-cause seriousness cardiovascular adverse events were pleural effusion 2% (3 patients) and cardiac arrest 2% (3 patients) in the 189 patients in the axitinib-treated patients. Serious treatment-related adverse events included atrial flutter, cardiac arrest, MI, asthenia, aorto-duodenal fistula and hypertensive crisis < 1% each (1 patient). Besides, 1 patient in the axitinib group died of treatment-related cardiac arrest [65].

4.6 Regorafenib

Regorafenib (BAY 73-4506) is an oral multikinase inhibitor targeting angiogenic, stromal and oncogetic RTKs with potent antitumor activity. It potently inhibits various sets of kinases, including the angiogenic and stromal RTKs VEGFR1-3, TIE2, FGFR1 and PDGFR-β, which promote tumor neovascularization, vessel stabilization and lymphatic vessel formation and play an important role in the tumor microenvironment. They all contribute to tumor development and metastasis formation. Regorafenib also inhibits the oncogenic RTKs, KIT and RET, along with the intracellular signaling kinases c-RAF/RAF-1, BRAF and its V600E mutant form [66]. Regorafenib was approved for advanced GIST that cannot be surgically removed and no longer responds to imatinib and sunitinib, and for previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer [67-69].

In the GRID trial, an international, multicenter, prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled, Phase III trial, regorafenib has been studied in patients affected by advanced GISTs which failed to respond to imatinib and sunitinib. In this study, 240 patients were screened and 199 were randomized to receive regorafenib (133 patients) or placebo. The most commonly reported regorafenib-related adverse events of grade 3 or higher was hypertension. It was present in 31 of 132 patients (23.5%), and similar to other therapies targeting the VEGF/VEGFR pathway, is likely related to antiangiogenic effects. Besides, hypertension was one of the most common drug-related adverse events of any grade in the regorafenib group, together with hand-foot skin reaction and diarrhea. Any grade hypertension was present in 64 patients (48.5%), grade 3 hypertension in 30 patients (22.7%), while grade 4 hypertension was reported in 1 patient (0.8%). This adverse event could be managed with dose modification and appropriate anti-hypertensive intervention. Grade 5 adverse events were reported in 7 (5.3%) of the 132 patients in the regorafenib group and in 3 (4.5%) of the 66 patients in the placebo group. In 3 patients, the grade 5 adverse events were considered by the investigators to be drug-related. Among these 3 patients, 1 in the regorafenib group had cardiac arrest [70]. Regorafenib has been studied in monotherapy in the CORRECT (Phase III) trial involving 114 centers in 16 countries in North America, Europe, Asia and Australia. Patients were enrolled when they had proven histological or cytological adenocarcinoma of the colon or rectum, who previously were treated with irinotecan- and oxaliplatin-based regimens. A total of 1,052 patients were screened in the study and 760 patients were randomized to receive regorafenib (505 patients) or placebo. Among these patients, 753 initiated treatment (regorafenib = 500). Any grade hypertension was reported in 139 among 500 patients treated with regorafenib (28%). The most frequent regorafenib-related cardiovascular adverse event of grade 3 was hypertension, affecting 36 patients (7%). Grade 4 hypertension was not reported. Another cardiovascular adverse event reported was dyspnea. Any grade dyspnea affected 28 patients (6%). Only 1 patient had grade 3 dyspnea (< 1%), while grade 4 dyspnea, also in this case, was not related [71,72].

5. New weapons in the fighting of cancer and tumor angiogenesis: developing drugs

5.1 Vatalanib

Vatalanib (PTK787/ZK 222584) is an oral TKI that blocks the signaling pathway, acting competitively on the ATP-binding site of all three isoforms of VEGFR, VEGFR1 (Flt-1), VEGFR2 (KDR) and VEGFR3 (Flt-4). It also inhibits KIT and PDGFRα [73,74].

There are two important Phase III trials that studied patients affected by metastatic colorectal adenocarcinoma. The first one is the CONFIRM 1 trial, in which patients were randomly assigned to receive vatalanib plus FOLFOX4 or placebo plus FOLFOX4. The cardiovascular adverse events noticed by the authors were hypertension, which was present in 135 patients (23.0%); pulmonary embolism, which was present in 33 patients (5.7%); and deep vein thrombosis, which was observed in 30 patients (5.2%). All of these adverse events were grade 3 or grade 4. The most notable differences between the vatalanib group and the placebo group were for hypertension (23.0 vs 6.8%, respectively) and pulmonary
types of VEGF, FGF and PDGFR. Nintedanib (BIBF 1120) is a new, potent, orally assumed tri-
embolism (5.7 vs 1.7%, respectively) [75]. In the sister trial CONFIRM 2, 949 patients were screened and 855 patients were randomly assigned to receive vatalanib plus FOLFOX4 or placebo plus FOLFOX4. The recruited patients had previously treated mCRC, whose disease had recurred or progressed during or within 6 months of treatment with irinotecan in combination with a fluoropyrimidine. A higher percentage of patients in the vatalanib group than the placebo group experienced grade 3 or grade 4 hypertension, deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism and thromboembolic events. Likewise, all-grade thromboembolisms. All-grade hypertension was present in 123 patients (29.1%), and 92 patients had grade 3 or grade 4 hypertension (21.8%). It was managed with vasodilation therapy. All-grade asthenia was also observed; it was present in 110 patients (26.1%), 30 patients had grade 3 or grade 4 asthenia (7.1%) [76].

Further trials have been conducted over the past years. A Phase II trial studied the use of vatalanib administered orally, daily, as second-line monotherapy in relapsed or documented progressing patients refractory to one prior first-line platinum-based chemotherapy or combined chemo-radio-therapy regimen, with proven stage IIIB or IV NSCLC. The authors noticed all-grade asthenia in 19%, and among these, grade 1 – 2 asthenia was present in 17% and grade 3 asthenia in 2%. As regards all-grade hypertension, it was present in 16%, grade 1 – 2 hypertension in 4% and grade 3 in 12%. Instead, pulmonary embolism showed lower percentages, with all grades being present in 5%, mainly grade 4. Additionally, two patients in the study died, possibly due to treatment-related pulmonary hemorrhage [77]. An open-label, Phase II, multicenter trial investigated the efficacy and tolerability of vatalanib in patients with metastatic or advanced pancreatic cancer who failed first-line gemcitabine-based therapy. The most common adverse events were grade 3 or grade 4, which included hypertension. It was reported in 20% [78].

5.2 Nintedanib
Nintedanib (BIBF 1120) is a new, potent, orally assumed triple angiokinase inhibitor. It can specifically inhibit all subtypes of VEGF, FGF and PDGFRα and β, together with RET and FLT3. It has an acceptable general safety profile, rare reports of hypertension or thromboembolic events and is usually well tolerated. Nintedanib is currently under investigation for the treatment of several solid tumor types, and among these, there are RCC, HCC, ovarian/endometrial cancer, lung cancer, breast cancer, prostate cancer, gliomas and colorectal cancer, due to its good tolerability. In a Phase II study, the efficacy and safety of nintedanib in comparison with sunitinib in patients with advanced RCC was evaluated. Nintedanib did not have a clinically significant effect on QTcF or uncorrected QT interval. Besides, it was not reported adverse events linked to the cardiovascular system. Patients did not develop adverse events that led to dose reduction or discontinuation of the drug; besides, no deaths were reported in association with drug-related serious adverse events [79]. Nintedanib has been studied as a single agent, but also in combination with standard chemotherapeutic regimens. It was used in combination with pemetrexed to treat recurrent or advanced NSCLC patients previously treated with at least one line of platinum-based therapy or in combination with carboplatin/paclitaxel in patients with untreated advanced NSCLC. The only reported cardiac adverse event was hypertension, grade 1 or grade 2, in 15.4% of the patients. The toxicities of this agent are apparently acceptable, though the number of patients included in the Phase I and Phase II trials is still too small to obtain meaningful results about efficacy and safety [80,81]. It was also studied in a Phase II trial of maintenance therapy after chemotherapy for relapsed ovarian cancer by Ledermann et al. In this trial the authors observed only deep vein thrombosis (1 patient) and hypertension, grade 3 hypertension in 4.6%. Hypertension is unusual with nintedanib, whether used alone or in combination with chemotherapy [82]. Recently, Reck et al. published data from a Phase III trial called LUME-Lung 1. They studied and compared 655 patients treated with docetaxel plus nintedanib versus 659 who received docetaxel plus placebo. These patients were previously treated with one previous chemotherapy regimen for NSCLC, which was histologically or cytologically confirmed stage IIIB/IV recurrent (all histologies). Particularly, data showed that there was a low incidence of cardiovascular side-effects associated with anti-angiogenic agents, such as hypertension or thromboembolism, which have been noted in patients treated with other anti-angiogenic agents in NSCLC. The authors reported some data about asthenia. All-grade asthenia was present in 58 patients among those treated with docetaxel plus nintedanib (8.9%), grade 1 – 2 asthenia was present in 43 patients (6.6%), grade 3 in 13 patients (2.0%), while grade 5 was present in only 2 (0.3%). Chest pain was also reported. All-grade chest pain was present in 56 patients (8.6%), grade 1 – 2 in 46 (7.1%), grade 3 in 4 (0.6%), grade 4 in 3 (0.5%) and grade 5 in 2 patients (0.3%), although it is necessary to underline that asthenia and chest pain are symptoms attributable to various causes [83].

6. Expert opinion

Nowadays, the increasing use of anti-angiogenic drugs targeting TKIs enlightened the problem of cardiotoxicity. As emerged from clinical trials, it has a large role in the treatment of cancer patients, namely, for hypertension. It may affect treatment efficacy because of drug interruption. Generally, the incidence and severity of cardiovascular adverse events are related to the underlying cardiovascular risk of the patient. Patients with preexisting hypertension, diabetes, renal disease and prior cardiovascular disease have the highest risk. Hence, optimization of cardiovascular pharmacology is warranted prior to and early in the use of VEGF signaling pathway inhibitors. The role of hypertension as a biomarker for anti-angiogenic drug efficacy is under discussion. Rini et al. found
that patients with metastatic RCC treated with sunitinib who developed hypertension had better outcomes. They also observed a low incidence of hypertension-related adverse events. If the possibility of using hypertension as an effective biomarker is interesting, on the other hand, these associations also raise the concern that hypertension treatment may somehow limit the efficacy of TKIs. Based on data from animal models, in which anti-angiogenic drugs were used concomitantly with anti-hypertensive drugs, the treatment of hypertension does not appear to limit antitumor efficacy [16]. Finally, the development of microembolism as an additional cause of cardiac damage cannot be entirely excluded. Therefore, concomitant antithrombotic treatment may be required. This is supported by the findings of myocardial necrosis in the presence of normal coronary arteries, conduction disturbances, which possibly arise from embolism of the atrioventricular nodal artery and the occurrence of non-cardiac vascular events [45]. To date many studies have been conducted to analyze the mechanisms underlying the development of cardiotoxicity, but more should be carried out, aiming to focus on the best cardiology management of this type of toxicity. Oncologists should avoid cancer treatment optimizing cardiologic therapy. For this purpose, new clinical trials should be designed to address cardiac toxicity management. For example, two groups of patients assuming the same anti-angiogenic drug, with different dosages, could be compared for cardiotoxicity incidence. Furthermore, it emerges from some studies that the developing drugs seem to be less cardiotoxic than the older ones, especially as regards nintedanib. The data about hypertension confirm this pattern. On the other hand, although comprehensive data on heart failure are missing, there is information on symptoms related to systolic dysfunction that is quite heterogeneous. A notation should be done about sorafenib because, if on one hand, low percentage of mean all-grade hypertension is registered, on the other hand, it determines a prolongation of the QT/QTc interval, exposing the patient to an increased risk of ventricular arrhythmias (Table 2).

Anti-angiogenic TKIs should be compared with bevacizumab, which became part of the daily clinical practice. The data from clinical trials, though miscellaneous, suggest that hypertension is induced with bevacizumab in about 30% on average, showing similar values to sunitinib and pazopanib. A negative element for bevacizumab is the incidence of thromboembolic events, which is definitely higher (about 19%) than the incidence registered for anti-angiogenic TKIs, which is very low [84-86].

Some other molecules have been generating interest in the past years, which are selective for VEGFR2 rather than targeting all VEGFR isoforms. The aim of these molecules is to overcome the limitations and especially the side-effects of the previous molecules. Among these drugs, there are small inhibitors that are promising, but data are still limited and information from Phase III trials is lacking. Other molecules generating interest are new monoclonal antibodies that target specifically VEGFR2. Ramucirumab is an example; it is a fully humanized IgG1 monoclonal antibody that blocks the isoform 2 of the receptor. Data from Phase III trials, REGARD and RAINBOW, showed the hypertensive effect of this drug. The frequency of hypertension in the population was similar to the mean value registered for nintedanib (~15%) [87-91].

Another important aspect is the monitoring of the development of cardiac adverse events during oncological treatment. The use of predictive biomarkers for cardiotoxicity could play a key role in it. Physicians could benefit from the use of laboratory biomarkers such as TnI, NTproBNP and the analysis of fragments of genetic material such as miRNA.

**Table 2. Mean all grades of each side-effect linked to cardiotoxicity of the anti-VEGFR TKIs.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Hypertension</th>
<th>Cardiac ischemia/infarction</th>
<th>Arterial thromboembolic events</th>
<th>QT prolongation/ECG changes</th>
<th>Congestive heart failure and/or symptoms related (e.g., asthenia, dyspnea)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sorafenib</td>
<td>~11.3%</td>
<td>~3.8%</td>
<td>~1.7%</td>
<td>~40.5%</td>
<td>~1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunitinib</td>
<td>~34.3%</td>
<td>~2%</td>
<td>~3%</td>
<td>~2%</td>
<td>~50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pazopanib</td>
<td>~35.9%</td>
<td>~2%</td>
<td>~3%</td>
<td>~18%</td>
<td>~7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vandetanib</td>
<td>~24.2%</td>
<td>~2%</td>
<td>~1%</td>
<td>~1%</td>
<td>~21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axitinib</td>
<td>~43%</td>
<td>~1%</td>
<td>~1%</td>
<td>~6%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regorafenib</td>
<td>~38.3%</td>
<td>~1%</td>
<td>~1%</td>
<td>~6%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vatalanib</td>
<td>~22.7%</td>
<td>~1%</td>
<td>~1%</td>
<td>~6%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nintedanib</td>
<td>~15.4%</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>~1%</td>
<td>No clinically significant effect</td>
<td>~8.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TKIs: Tyrosine kinase inhibitors.
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