Pairs of nontrivial smooth solutions for nonlinear Neumann problems

Calogero Vetro^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Palermo, Via Archirafi 34, 90123, Palermo, Italy

Abstract

We consider a nonlinear Neumann problem driven by a nonhomogeneous differential operator with a reaction term that exhibits strong resonance at infinity. Using variational tools based on the critical point theory, we prove the existence of two nontrivial smooth solutions.

Keywords: Second deformation theorem, strong resonance, nonlinear regularity, C_c -condition 2010 MSC: 35J60, 35J65,

1. Introduction

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain with a C^2 -boundary $\partial \Omega$. In this paper we study the following nonlinear Neumann problem:

$$-\operatorname{div} a(\nabla u(z)) = f(z, u(z)) \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n_a} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$
(1)

In this problem $a : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is a strictly monotone and continuous map which satisfies certain other regularity and growth properties listed in hypotheses H(a) below. These conditions provide a general framework in which we can fit many differential operators of interest such as the *p*-Laplacian and the (p,q)-Laplacian which appears in many models of physical processes (see [13, 14, 15, 16] and the references therein). The reaction (source) term f(z, x) is a Carathéodory function (that is, $z \to f(z, x)$ is measurable and $x \to f(z, x)$ is continuous). We assume that this term is strongly resonant with respect to the principal eigenvalue $\hat{\lambda}_1 = 0$ of the Neumann *p*-Laplacian. By $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n_a}$ we denote the conormal derivative of *u* corresponding to the differential operator div $a(\nabla u)$.

Strongly resonant problems were first considered by Landesman-Lazer [7], who coined the term "strong resonance". Further results were obtained later by Thews [18], Gonçalves-Miyagaki [6] (semilinear equations driven by the Laplacian) and by Bartolo-Benci-Fortunato [2], Filippakis-Gasiński-Papageorgiou [5] (nonlinear equations driven by the *p*-Laplacian). All these works deal with the Dirichlet problem and multiplicity results were proved in [5, 6]. Finally, we mention some recent related works focusing on the so-called double phase operators: Byun-Ryu-Shin [3], Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Repovš [11], Ragusa-Tachikawa [17] (regularity results), Cencelj-Rădulescu-Repovš [4], Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Repovš [10] (existence of solutions with variable growth conditions).

2. Preliminaries - Hypotheses

Let $\xi \in C^1(0, +\infty)$ with $\xi(t) > 0$ for all t > 0 and assume that

$$0 < \hat{c} \le \frac{\xi'(t)t}{\xi(t)} \le c_0 \text{ and } c_1 t^{p-1} \le \xi(t) \le c_2 [t^{s-1} + t^{p-1}] \text{ for all } t > 0, \text{ with } c_1, c_2 > 0, 1 \le s (2)$$

Email address: calogero.vetro@unipa.it (Calogero Vetro)

The hypotheses on the map $a(\cdot)$ are:

 $H(a) \colon a \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{R}^N) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies

(i)
$$|\nabla a(y)| \le c_3 \frac{\xi(|y|)}{|y|}$$
 for some $c_3 > 0$, all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$;

(*ii*)
$$(\nabla a(y)v, v)_{\mathbb{R}^N} \ge \frac{\xi(|y|)}{|y|} |v|^2$$
 for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, all $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Remark 1. These conditions are motivated by the nonlinear regularity theory of Lieberman [8]. These conditions on $a(\cdot)$ and (2) lead to the following properties.

Lemma 1. If hypotheses H(a) hold, then

- (a) $y \to a(y)$ is continuous and maximal monotone;
- (b) $|a(y)| \le c_4[|y|^{s-1} + |y|^{p-1}]$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, some $c_4 > 0$;
- $(c) \ (a(y), y)_{\mathbb{R}^N} \ge \frac{c_1}{p-1} |y|^p \ for \ all \ y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$

If
$$G(y) = \int_0^1 (a(ty), y)_{\mathbb{R}^N} dt$$
 for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, then $\nabla G(y) = a(y)$ and $G(\cdot)$ is convex. So, we have

$$G(y) \le (a(y), y)_{\mathbb{R}^N}$$
 for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$. (3)

From Lemma 1 and (3), we obtain the following growth properties of $G(\cdot)$.

Corollary 1.
$$\frac{c_1}{p(p-1)}|y|^p \le G(y) \le c_5[1+|y|^p]$$
 for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, some $c_5 > 0$.

Example 1. The following maps a(y) satisfy hypotheses H(a):

$$\begin{split} &a(y) = |y|^{p-2}y \quad 1$$

Consider the following nonlinear eigenvalue problem:

$$-\Delta_p u(z) = \widehat{\lambda} |u(z)|^{p-2} u(z) \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$
(4)

This problem has a smallest eigenvalue $\widehat{\lambda}_1 = 0$ which is isolated and simple. Let $\widehat{u}_1 = \frac{1}{|\Omega|_N^{1/p}} (|\cdot|_N)$ being the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^N be the normalized positive principal eigenfunction. Since $\widehat{\lambda}_1 = 0$ is isolated, we can define the second eigenvalue $\widehat{\lambda}_2 > 0$ of (4). If $\partial B_1^{L^p} = \{u \in L^p(\Omega) : ||u||_p = 1\}$ and $M = W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap \partial B_1^{L^p}$, then from Aizicovici-Papageorgiou-Staicu [1] (Proposition 2), we have

Lemma 2.
$$\widehat{\lambda}_2 = \inf_{\widehat{\gamma} \in \widehat{\Gamma}} \max_{-1 \le t \le 1} \|\nabla \widehat{\gamma}(t)\|_p^p \text{ with } \widehat{\Gamma} = \{\widehat{\gamma} \in C([-1,1],M) : \widehat{\gamma}(-1) = -\widehat{u}_1, \widehat{\gamma}(1) = \widehat{u}_1\}$$

Let $V = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} u dz = 0\}$ and note that $W^{1,p}(\Omega) = \mathbb{R} \oplus V$. We define

$$\widehat{\lambda}_{V} = \inf \left[\frac{\|\nabla v\|_{p}^{p}}{\|v\|_{p}^{p}} : v \in V, v \neq 0 \right].$$
(5)

Lemma 3. $0 < \widehat{\lambda}_V \leq \widehat{\lambda}_2$.

Proof. Consider a sequence $\{v_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq V$ such that $||v_n||_p = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\|\nabla v_n\|_p^p \downarrow \widehat{\lambda}_V \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

Evidently $\{v_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is bounded and so we may assume that $v_n \xrightarrow{w} v$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Hence $\|v\|_p = 1$ and $v \in V$. From the weak lower semicontinuity of the norm functional we have $\|\nabla v\|_p^p \leq \widehat{\lambda}_V$, hence $\|\nabla v\|_p^p = \widehat{\lambda}_V$ (see (5)). If $\widehat{\lambda}_V = 0$, then $v = \eta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, contradicting the fact that $v \in V$. Therefore $0 < \widehat{\lambda}_V$.

Next suppose that $\widehat{\lambda}_2 < \widehat{\lambda}_V$. Then according to Lemma 2, we can find $\widehat{\gamma} \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ such that

$$\|\nabla\widehat{\gamma}(t)\|_p^p < \widehat{\lambda}_V \quad \text{for all } t \in [-1, 1].$$
(6)

Consider the map $k(t) = \int_{\Omega} \widehat{\gamma}(t)(z) dz$ for all $t \in [-1, 1]$. We see that k(-1) < 0 < k(1) and $k(\cdot)$ is continuous. So, by Bolzano's theorem, we can find $t_0 \in (-1, 1)$ such that $k(t_0) = 0$. Then $\widehat{\gamma}(t_0) \in V$ and so $\widehat{\lambda}_V \leq \|\nabla \widehat{\gamma}(t_0)\|_p^p$, which contradicts (6). Therefore $\widehat{\lambda}_V \leq \widehat{\lambda}_2$.

Remark 2. If p = 2, then $\widehat{\lambda}_V = \widehat{\lambda}_2$.

The hypotheses on the reaction f(z, x) are the following:

 $H: f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function such that f(z, 0) = 0 for a.a. $z \in \Omega$ and

- (i) $|f(z,x)| \leq a(z)[1+|x|^{r-1}]$ for a.a. $z \in \Omega$, all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, with $a \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $p < r < p^*$;
- (ii) if $F(z,x) = \int_0^x f(z,s)ds$, then there exist functions $F_{\pm} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $\int_{\Omega} F_{\pm}(z)dz \leq 0$, $\lim_{x \to \pm \infty} F(z,x) = F_{\pm}(z) \text{ uniformly for a.a. } z \in \Omega;$
- $(iii) \ F(z,x) \leq \frac{c_1}{p(p-1)} \widehat{\lambda}_V |x|^p \text{ for a.a. } z \in \Omega, \text{ all } x \in \mathbb{R};$
- (*iv*) there exists a function $\vartheta \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $\vartheta(z) \ge 0$ for a.a. $z \in \Omega, \ \vartheta \ne 0$, $\liminf_{x \to 0} \frac{pF(z,x)}{|x|^p} \ge \vartheta(z)$ uniformly for a.a. $z \in \Omega$.

Remark 3. In the special case of the *p*-Laplacian, hypothesis H(ii) implies that at $\pm \infty$ we have strong resonance with respect to $\hat{\lambda}_1 = 0$, while at x = 0 we have nonuniform nonresonance with respect to $\hat{\lambda}_1 = 0$ (see H(iv)).

3. Pair of Nontrivial Solutions

Let $\varphi: W^{1,p}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the energy Euler functional for problem (1) defined by

$$\varphi(u) = \int_{\Omega} G(\nabla u) dz - \int_{\Omega} F(z, u) dz$$
 for all $u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

We have $\varphi \in C^1(W^{1,p}(\Omega))$. We recall that $\varphi \in C^1(W^{1,p}(\Omega))$ satisfies the C_c -condition if any sequence $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi(u_n) \to c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(1 + ||u_n||)\varphi'(u_n) \to 0$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)^*$ as $n \to +\infty$ has a convergent subsequence. It is well-known that strongly resonant problems are characterized by partial loss of compactness. This is evident in the next proposition.

Proposition 1. If hypotheses H(a), H hold and $c < \min\{-\int_{\Omega} F_{+}dz, -\int_{\Omega} F_{-}dz\}$, then φ satisfies the C_{c} -condition.

Proof. Consider a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\varphi(u_n) \to c \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } (1 + ||u_n||)\varphi'(u_n) \to 0 \text{ in } W^{1,p}(\Omega)^* \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$
 (7)

We will show that $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is bounded. Arguing by contradiction, suppose that $||u_n|| \to +\infty$ and let $y_n = \frac{u_n}{||u_n||}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $||y_n|| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and so we may assume that

$$y_n \xrightarrow{w} y$$
 in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$

From (7) we have

$$\varphi(u_n) \le M_1 \quad \text{for some } M_1 > 0, \text{ all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{c_1}{p(p-1)} \|\nabla y_n\|_p^p - \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(z, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^p} dz \le \frac{M_1}{\|u_n\|^p} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(8)

On account of hypothesis H(ii), we can find $M_2 > 0$ such that

$$F(z,x) \le \max\{|F_+(z)|, |F_-(z)|\} + 1 \quad \text{for a.a. } z \in \Omega, \text{ all } |x| \ge M_2.$$
(9)

Combining (9) with hypothesis H(i) we see that

$$F(z,x) \leq \widehat{a}(z)$$
 for a.a. $z \in \Omega$, all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\widehat{a} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Using (9), Fatou's lemma and (8), we have

$$\|\nabla y_n\|_p \to 0,$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad y_n \to y = \eta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \text{ in } W^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

We may assume $\eta > 0$ (the reasoning is similar if $\eta < 0$). We have $u_n(z) \to +\infty$ for a.a. $z \in \Omega$ and so $F(z, u_n(z)) \to F_+(z)$ for a.a. $z \in \Omega$ (see H(ii)). Then by the dominated convergence we have

$$\int_{\Omega} F(z, u_n) dz \to \int_{\Omega} F_+(z) dz.$$
(10)

We have

$$-\int_{\Omega} F(z, u_n) dz \le \varphi(u_n) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad -\int_{\Omega} F_+(z) dz \le c \quad (\text{see (10) and (7)}),$$

which contradicts the choice of $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore $\{u_n\}_{n \ge 1} \subseteq W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is bounded. So, we may assume that

$$u_n \xrightarrow{w} u \text{ in } W^{1,p}(\Omega).$$
 (11)

From (7) we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (a(\nabla u_n), \nabla h)_{\mathbb{R}^N} dz - \int_{\Omega} f(z, u_n) h dz \right| \le \frac{\varepsilon_n \|h\|}{1 + \|u_n\|} \quad \text{for all } h \in W^{1, p}(\Omega) \text{ with } \varepsilon_n \to 0^+.$$
(12)

If in (12) we choose $h = u_n - u$, pass to the limit as $n \to +\infty$ and use (11). Then we have $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} (a(\nabla u_n), \nabla u_n - \nabla u)_{\mathbb{R}^N} dz = 0$ and so $u_n \to u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (see Proposition 2.7 of Papageorgiou-Rădulescu [9]). We conclude that φ satisfies the C_c -condition.

Now we are ready for the multiplicity theorem.

Theorem 1. If hypotheses H(a), H hold, then problem (1) has at least two nontrivial smooth solutions $u_0, \hat{u} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}), u_0 \neq \hat{u}.$

Proof. On account of hypothesis H(iv), given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p}[\vartheta(z) - \varepsilon]|x|^p \le F(z, x) \quad \text{for a.a. } z \in \Omega, \text{ all } |x| \le \delta.$$

If $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\eta| \leq \delta$, then

=

$$\begin{split} \varphi(\eta) &= -\int_{\Omega} F(z,\eta) dz \leq \frac{|\eta|^p}{p} \int_{\Omega} [\varepsilon - \vartheta(z)] dz < 0 \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{\|\vartheta\|_1}{|\Omega|_N}\right) \\ \Rightarrow \quad \inf[\varphi(u) \, : \, u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)] = \widehat{m} < 0 = \varphi(0). \end{split}$$

Since $\widehat{m} < 0 \leq \min\{-\int_{\Omega} F_{+}dz, -\int_{\Omega} F_{-}dz\}$, from Proposition 1 it follows that φ satisfies the $C_{\widehat{m}}$ condition. By Proposition 5.1.8, p. 302, of Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Repovš [12], we can find $u_{0} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\varphi(u_0) = \widehat{m} < 0 = \varphi(0),$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad u_0 \neq 0, \quad u_0 \in K_{\varphi} = \{ u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : \varphi'(u) = 0 \}$$

The regularity theory of Lieberman [8] implies that $K_{\varphi} \subseteq C^1(\overline{\Omega})$. So, $u_0 \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ and is a solution of (1).

If $v \in V$, then using Corollary 1 and H(iii), we have

$$\varphi(v) \ge \frac{c_1}{p(p-1)} \left[\|\nabla v\|_p^p - \widehat{\lambda}_V \|u\|_p^p \right] \ge 0 \quad (\text{see } (5)),$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \inf_V \varphi = 0. \tag{13}$$

Also, from the previous arguments, we have that there exists r > 0 such that $\widetilde{m}_r = \sup_{\overline{B}_r \cap \mathbb{R}} \varphi < 0$ $(B_r = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : ||u|| < r\}).$

We introduce the following set of continuous paths in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \gamma \in C(\overline{B}_r \cap \mathbb{R}, W^{1, p}(\Omega)) \, : \, \gamma \Big|_{\partial B_r \cap \mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{id} \right\}.$$

Suppose that $K_{\varphi} = \{0, u_0\}$ and consider the deformation h(t, u) postulated by the second deformation theorem with $a = \tilde{m}_r < 0 = b$ (see Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Repovš [12], Theorem 5.3.12, p. 386). On account of Proposition 1, φ satisfies the C_c -condition for all $c \in [\tilde{m}_r, 0)$. We consider the map $\hat{\gamma} : \overline{B}_r \cap \mathbb{R} \to W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ defined by

$$\widehat{\gamma}(u) = \begin{cases} u_0 & \text{if } \|u\| \le \frac{r}{2}, \\ h\left(\frac{2(r - \|u\|)}{r}, \frac{ru}{\|u\|}\right) & \text{if } \frac{r}{2} < \|u\|. \end{cases}$$

If $||u|| = \frac{r}{2}$, then $h(1, 2u) = u_0$ and so $\widehat{\gamma}(\cdot)$ is continuous. Also, for $u \in \partial B_r \cap \mathbb{R}$, we have h(0, u) = u, hence $\widehat{\gamma} \in \Gamma$. Since the deformation h is φ -decreasing (see Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Repovš [12], p. 386), it follows that

$$\varphi(\widehat{\gamma}(u)) < 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \overline{B}_r \cap \mathbb{R}.$$
(14)

From Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Repovš [12] (p. 327), we know that $\{\partial B_r \cap \mathbb{R}, \overline{B}_r \cap \mathbb{R}\}$ link with V. Hence we have

$$\widehat{\gamma}(\overline{B}_r \cap \mathbb{R}) \cap V \neq \emptyset. \tag{15}$$

Combining (13), (14), (15) we have a contradiction. So, there exists $\hat{u} \in K_{\varphi} \subseteq C^{1}(\overline{\Omega}), \hat{u} \notin \{0, u_{0}\}$. This is the second nontrivial smooth solution of (1).

Acknowledgment: The author wishes to thank the two knowledgeable referees for their corrections and remarks.

References

References

- [1] S. Aizicovici, N.S. Papageorgiou, V. Staicu, The spectrum and an index formula for the Neumann *p*-Laplacian and multiple solutions for problems with a crossing nonlinearity, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 25 (2009) 431–456.
- [2] P. Bartolo, V. Benci, D. Fortunato, Abstract critical point theorems and applications to some nonlinear problems with strong resonance at infinity, Nonlinear Anal. 7 (1983) 981–1012.
- [3] S.-S. Byun, S. Ryu, P. Shin, Calderón-Zygmund estimates for ω-minimizers of double phase variational problems, Appl. Math. Lett. 86 (2018) 256–263.
- M. Cencelj, V.D. Rădulescu, D.D. Repovš, Double phase problems with variable growth, Nonlinear Anal. 177 (2018) 270–287.
- [5] M.E. Filippakis, L. Gasiński, N.S. Papageorgiou, Quasilinear hemivariational inequalities with strong resonance at infinity, Nonlinear Anal. 56 (2004) 331–345.
- [6] J.V.A, Gonçalves, O. Miyagaki, Multiple nontrivial solutions of semilinear strongly resonant elliptic equations, Nonlinear Anal. 19 (1992) 43–52.
- [7] E.M. Landesman, A.C. Lazer, Nonlinear perturbations of linear elliptic boundary value problems at resonance, J. Math. Mech. 19 (1970) 609–623.
- [8] G.M. Lieberman, The natural generalization of the natural conditions of Ladyzhenskaya and Ural'tseva for elliptic equations, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 16 (1991) 311–361.
- N.S. Papageorgiou, V.D. Rădulescu, Nonlinear nonhomogeneous Robin problems with superlinear reaction term, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 16 (2016) 737–764.
- [10] N.S. Papageorgiou, V.D. Rădulescu, D.D. Repovš, Double-phase problems with reaction of arbitrary growth, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 69:108 (2018) 1–21.
- [11] N.S. Papageorgiou, V.D. Rădulescu, D.D. Repovš, Double-phase problems and a discontinuity property of the spectrum, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 147 (2019) 2899–2910.
- [12] N.S. Papageorgiou, V.D. Rădulescu, D.D. Repovš, Nonlinear Analysis Theory and Methods, Springer, Switzerland (2019).
- [13] N.S. Papageorgiou, V.D. Rădulescu, D.D. Repovš, (p, 2)-equations asymmetric at both zero and infinity, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 7 (2018) 327–351.
- [14] N.S. Papageorgiou, C. Vetro, F. Vetro, Positive solutions for singular (p, 2)-equations, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 70:72 (2019) 1–10.
- [15] N.S. Papageorgiou, C. Vetro, F. Vetro, Multiple solutions with sign information for a (p, 2)-equation with combined nonlinearities, Nonlinear Anal. (2019), https://doi.org:10.1016/j.na.2019.111716
- [16] N.S. Papageorgiou, C. Zhang, Noncoercive resonant (p, 2)-equations with concave terms, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 9 (2020) 228–249.
- [17] M.A. Ragusa, A. Tachikawa, Regularity for minimizers for functionals of double phase with variable exponents, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 9 (2020) 710–728.
- [18] K. Thews, Non-trivial solutions of elliptic equations at resonance, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 85 (1980) 119–129.