DOI: 10.1002/rvr2.32

RESEARCH ARTICLE

River IWHR WILEY

Flow resistance law in channels with fully submerged and rigid vegetation

Alessio Nicosia¹ Vito Ferro^{1,3}

| Francesco G. Carollo¹ | Costanza Di Stefano¹ | Vittorio Pasquino² |

Revised: 24 November 2022

¹Department of Agricultural, Food and Forest Sciences, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy

²Department of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering, University of Naples Federico II, Napoli, Italy

³NBFC, National Biodiversity Future Center, Palermo, Italy

Correspondence

Vito Ferro, Department of Agricultural, Food and Forest Sciences, University of Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, Bldg 4, Palermo 90128, Italy. Email: vito.ferro@unipa.it

Abstract

The estimate of flow resistance in vegetated channels is a challenging topic for programming riparian vegetation management, controlling channel conveyance and flooding propensity, for designing soil bioengineering practices. In this paper, measurements collected by Gualtieri et al. (2018), in a flume where rigid cylinders were set in two arrangements (staggered, aligned) at high submergence ratios (ratio between the water depth and the vegetation height greater than 5), were used to study the effect of rigid submerged vegetation on estimating flow resistance. The theoretical flow resistance equation, obtained by integrating the power flow velocity distribution, was first summarized. Then, this flow resistance equation was calibrated and tested by measurements of Gualtieri et al. (2018). In particular, a relationship between the Γ function of the power velocity distribution, the channel slope, the flow Froude number, and the submergence ratio was established by using the available measurements carried out for the two arrangements with different stem concentrations. The calibration of this relationship was carried out by (i) distinguishing measurements corresponding to different vegetation arrangements (staggered, aligned), (ii) joining all available data, and (iii) using only a scale factor representing the effect of vegetation arrangements. For the cases (ii) and (iii), the analysis demonstrated that the theoretical flow resistance equation allows an accurate estimate of the Darcy–Weisbach friction factor, which is characterized by errors that are always less than 5% and less than or equal to 2.5% for 88% of the investigated cases.

KEYWORDS

flow resistance, Open channel flows, Rigid vegetation

1 **INTRODUCTION**

In the past, vegetation in streams and rivers has been considered a source of flow resistance to be removed to increase the channel conveyance. Vegetation also plays a significant role in the interaction between aquatic ecosystems and river hydraulics (Ferro, 2019; Sandercock & Hooke, 2010) as it contributes to creating a wetland habitat for animal species, reduces bank erosion phenomena, and retains flow sediment transport (Errico et al., 2018, 2019). Modeling flow resistance in vegetated channels is useful to establish the propensity for flooding

and design river management works aimed to flood mitigation (Green, 2005).

Many previous studies were developed for grass-like vegetation (Carollo et al., 2005, 2008; Errico et al., 2018, 2019; Ferro, 2019; Ghisalberti & Nepf, 2006; Ikeda & Kanazawa, 1996; Kouwen, 1988; Kouwen & Li, 1980; Kouwen & Unny, 1973; Kouwen et al., 1969; Kowobary et al., 1972; Termini, 2015) which is flexible, and is generally completely submerged as its bent vegetation height h_s is less than the flow depth h (Gourlay, 1970; Kouwen et al., 1969). For flexible vegetation, its configuration (erect, waving, prone) is due to the interaction between the hydrodynamic

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{© 2023} The Authors. River published by Wiley-VCH GmbH on behalf of China Institute of Water Resources and Hydropower Research (IWHR).

WILEY-IWHR-River

flow action and the stiffness characteristics of the vegetation elements (Ferro, 2019; Kouwen et al., 1969; Pasquino et al., 2018). The hydraulic behavior of a single vegetation type is also dependent on whether the plant elements are emergent, for $h < h_s$, or completely submerged $(h > h_s)$. Furthermore, the flexible vegetation is characterized by the ratio h_s/H_v , named inflection degree, between the bent vegetation height h_s and the height H_y in the absence of flow. For rigid vegetation, the height h_s is coincident with H_y . The results of the investigations on grass-like vegetation (Carollo et al., 2005; Kouwen, 1992; Raffaelli et al., 2002; Wilson & Horritt. 2002) can be rarely applied to simulate riparian vegetation, which is frequently characterized by rigid, erect, and submerged or nonsubmerged condition. Vargas-Luna et al. (2016) analyzed the ability of this rigid cylinder analogy on reproducing vegetation's effects in all morphodynamic processes showing how cylinders well represent vegetation effects on channel width and bank-related processes. Previous investigations on flow resistance for rigid and nonsubmerged vegetation were generally carried out using laboratory flumes and artificial vegetation elements hydraulically similar to natural plants (Armanini et al., 2005; Chiaradia et al., 2019; Freeman et al., 2000; Rhee et al., 2008; Righetti & Armanini, 2002; Västilä et al., 2013; Wilson et al., 2003; Wu et al., 1999; Yagci et al., 2010).

The small-scale experimental studies on flow resistance in vegetated channels raise problems of similarity between models and prototypes. These small-scale experiments allow investigating the vegetation-flow interactions using controlled laboratory conditions even if scale problems related to flow hydraulics and vegetation conditions can occur. For this reason, the results obtained in flume investigations have to be carefully used to analyze natural conditions. On the contrary, full-scale measurements are useful to investigate in the field, with cumbersome experimental conditions, the relationship between vegetation properties (stiffness, stem concentration, branching, foliation) and flow conditions similar to the actual vegetation behavior (Florineth et al., 2003).

Notwithstanding numerous theoretical and experimental studies on flow resistance in vegetated channels have been carried out, and new estimate methods of the friction factor have been developed, classical hydraulic equations, such as those by Manning and Chezy (Govers et al., 2007; Nouwakpo et al., 2016; Powell, 2014; Strohmeier et al., 2014), are commonly applied to estimate open channel flow resistance. Manning's n or Darcy–Weisbach's f are frequently applied for vegetated channels (Yen, 1992):

$$\sqrt{\frac{8}{f}} = \frac{V}{u_*} = \frac{R^{1/6}}{\sqrt{g} n},$$
 (1)

in which V is the average cross-section velocity, $u_* = \sqrt{g R s}$ is the shear velocity, g is the acceleration due to gravity, and s is the channel slope. Manning's coefficient has been generally applied to analyze field and flume measurements

in vegetated channels, while f has been frequently used for developing theoretical analysis (Carollo et al., 2005; Ferro, 2019).

For improving the knowledge of flow resistance processes in vegetated channels, much research effort has been addressed to study the flow velocity distribution as its integration in the cross-section allows deducing a theoretical flow-resistance law (Ferro & Pecoraro, 2000; Ferro & Porto, 2018a).

Most of the recent studies of vegetated flows use a twolayer model for describing the velocity distribution: (i) a vegetation layer in which the flow velocity is assumed constant, and the drag resistance of a single vegetation element is considered; (ii) a surface layer in which a logarithmic distribution (Baptist et al., 2007; Cheng, 2011; Liu et al., 2008; Nepf & Vivoni, 2000) is frequently used. The two distributions are matched at the separation surface to obtain the cross-section average velocity V(Gualtieri et al., 2018; Stone & Shen, 2002; Tsujimoto & Kitamura, 1992). Some Authors applied conventional flow resistance equations for vegetated flows, and the comparison (Augustijn et al., 2008) among the equations of Keulegan, Manning, Chezy, Baptist et al. (2007), and Huthoff et al. (2007) demonstrated that Keulegan's equation allows the best estimate of friction factor.

Research on flow velocity distribution in vegetated channels has been also addressed to test the applicability of the logarithmic velocity profile above the vegetation layer and identify the bed distance (reference plane) at which this theoretical profile can be applied. Barenblatt and Prostokishin (1993) pointed out that the logarithmic profile and the power-type distribution can be used to represent the local velocity distribution v(y), in which v is the local velocity measured at a distance y from the channel bed, and that their derivation is equally rigorous even if it is based on the different hypothesis (Ferro & Pecoraro, 2000). Again, Nepf (2012) pointed out the importance of the submergence ratio (i.e., ratio between the water depth and the vegetation height) and roughness density in vegetated beds and their implications in the arising of turbulent vortices, at canopy scale, and different velocity profiles.

In previous papers, Ferro (2017, 2018, 2019) obtained a theoretical expression of the Darcy–Weisbach friction factor by integrating a power-velocity distribution deduced by dimensional analysis and the self-similarity theory. This theoretical expression was tested by measurements carried out in gravel-bed rivers (Carollo & Ferro, 2021; Ferro, 2017, 2018; Ferro & Porto, 2018a, 2018b), rill channels (Di Stefano et al., 2019a, 2019b, 2022), overland flows (Nicosia, Di Stefano, Pampalone, Palmeri, & Ferro, 2020; Nicosia, Di Stefano, Pampalone, Palmeri, Ferro, & Nearing, 2020; Nicosia, Di Stefano, Pampalone, Palmeri, Ferro, & Nearing, 2020; Nicosia, Di Stefano, Pampalone, Palmeri, Ferro, & Nearing, 2020; Nicosia, Di Stefano, Pampalone, Palmeri, Ferro, Polyakov, et al., 2020), and channels with grass-like and vegetated by riparian species (Ferro, 2019; Nicosia et al., 2021).

In this paper, the theoretical approach by Ferro (2017, 2018) is calibrated and tested by experimental runs

(Gualtieri et al., 2018) carried out in a flume where rigid cylinders were set in two arrangements (staggered, aligned) at high submergence ratios (i.e., ratio between the water depth and the vegetation height greater than 5). In other words, the main novel element is that the flow resistance equation is applied to study the hydrodynamic behavior of rigid submerged vegetation which is characterized by its arrangement and stem concentration.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Summarizing the theoretical flow resistance equation

In agreement with the results of previous papers (Ferro, 2017, 2018, 2019), by using the II-Theorem of the dimensional analysis (Barenblatt, 1979, 1987, 1993; Ferro, 1997) and the Incomplete Self-Similarity in u_*y/v_k (Barenblatt & Monin, 1979; Barenblatt & Prostokishin, 1993; Ferro & Pecoraro, 2000), the following expression of the velocity distribution can be deduced:

$$\frac{v}{u_*} = \Gamma\left(\frac{u_*\,h}{v_k}, \frac{h}{H_v}, M, s, F\right) \left(\frac{u_*\,y}{v_k}\right)^{\delta},\tag{2}$$

in which $\Gamma\left(\frac{u_*h}{v_k}, \frac{h}{H_v}, M, s, F\right)$ is a function to be defined by the velocity measurements, v_k is the water kinematic viscosity, M is the stem concentration which has to be considered as M/1 being 1 stems dm⁻² a reference concentration (Carollo et al., 2005), s is the energy slope, $F = V/\sqrt{g h}$ is the flow Froude number, and δ is a coefficient which can be calculated by the following theoretical equation (Barenblatt, 1991; Castaing et al., 1990):

$$\delta = \frac{1.5}{\ln Re},\tag{3}$$

in which $Re = V h/v_k$ is the flow Reynolds number.

Considering that the ratio between u_*h/v_k and h/H_v is equal to the shear Reynolds number Re_* , and F and Re_* are related (Baiamonte & Ferro, 1997; Ferro, 2018), Equation (2) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\frac{v}{u_*} = \Gamma\left(\frac{h}{H_v}, M, s, F\right) \left(\frac{u_* y}{v_k}\right)^{\delta},\tag{4}$$

in which $\Gamma\left(\frac{h}{H_v}, M, s, F\right)$ is a function to be defined by velocity measurements.

The velocity distribution (Equation 4) can be integrated, and the following expression of the Darcy–Weisbach friction factor f is deduced (Barenblatt, 1993; Ferro, 2017; Ferro & Porto, 2018a):

Setting into Equation (4) $y = \alpha h$, being αh the distance from the bottom at which the local velocity is equal to the cross-section average velocity V, the following estimate Γ_{ν} of Γ function (Ferro, 2017; Ferro & Porto, 2018a) is obtained:

$$\Gamma_{\nu} = \frac{V}{u_* \left(\frac{u_* \, \alpha \, h}{\nu_k}\right)^{\delta}}.\tag{6}$$

The coefficient $\alpha < 1$ takes into account that (i) a mean velocity profile in the cross-section is considered (i.e., the velocity profile is obtained by averaging for each distance *y* the velocity values *v* measured in different verticals, and its integration gives the cross-section average velocity), and (ii) the average velocity *V* is located below the water surface. Ferro (2017) proposed the following theoretical relationship to calculate the coefficient α :

$$\alpha = \left[\frac{2^{1-\delta}}{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)}\right]^{1/\delta}.$$
(7)

According to Equation (4), Γ theoretically depends on the submergence ratio, the stem concentration, the channel slope, and flow Froude number (Ferro, 2018) and its estimated value, Γ_{ν} , can be obtained by the following power equation (Ferro, 2019):

$$\Gamma_{\nu} = a \frac{F^b}{s^c} \left(\frac{h}{H_{\nu}}\right)^d M^e, \tag{8}$$

in which *a*, *b*, *c*, *d*, and *e* are coefficients to be determined from experimental measurements. The accuracy of Equation (8) depends on the accuracy of both the measured variables (*s*, *F*, h/H_v , and *M*) and the estimate of the listed coefficients. The numerousness of the available database affects this last estimate.

2.2 | Experimental data

The available experimental measurements were carried out in a flume at the laboratory of the Department of Civil, Architectural, and Environmental Engineering of the University of Naples "Federico II" (Figure 1). The flume, having a variable slope (0.01–0.03), was 8 m long and had a rectangular cross-section 0.4 m wide and 0.4 m high. Rigid vegetation, arranged in the whole channel bed, was simulated by rigid cylinders with height $H_v = 1.5$ cm and a diameter of 0.4 cm. The experimental runs were carried out using two arrangements (staggered ST and aligned AL) and three different concentration values M (4, 8, and 16 stems dm⁻²). The measurements (60 experimental runs)

FIGURE 1 View of the experimental installation.



TABLE 1 Characteristic data of the experimental runs by Gualtieri et al. (2018).

Arrangement	Run	slope <i>s</i>	Stem concentration M (stems dm ⁻²)	Re	F	Coefficient of Equation (13) <i>a</i>
Staggered	B3	0.03	8	11,757–92,781	1.08-1.50	0.3376
	B5	0.02	8	12,005–67,979	0.94–1.23	
	B 6	0.01	8	10,279–76,532	0.70–0.94	
	B 8	0.03	4	25,954–103,506	1.49–1.70	0.3381
	B10	0.01	4	12,998-63,625	0.88–1.08	
Aligned	B1	0.01	16	5467–103,051	0.53-0.88	0.3395
	B2	0.03	16	7507–103,189	0.88–1.37	
	B4	0.01	8	9156–101,768	0.71–0.95	0.3397
	B7	0.03	4	16,997–95,458	1.40–1.69	0.3387
	B9	0.01	4	12,998-88,274	0.88–1.10	

were done for submergence ratio h/H_v ranging from 1.53 to 8.78, that is, for marginally inundated flow regime $(1 \le h/H_v \le 2)$ and well-inundated flow regime $(h/H_v > 2)$ according to Lawrence, (1997, 2000) (Carollo & Ferro, 2021). The investigated flows were turbulent (5467 $\le Re \le 103,506$) and were characterized by Froude numbers ranging from 0.51 to 1.7. Further details on the experimental tests are reported in the paper by Gualtieri et al. (2018). The characteristic data of the experimental runs (slope *s*, stem concentration *M*, *Re*, and *F*) are listed in Table 1.

3 | RESULTS

The measurements carried out in different arrangements (staggered ST and aligned AL) were first represented by the $f - h/H_v$ plot (Figure 2). These measurements demonstrate that a strong relationship exists between the Darcy–Weisbach friction factor and the submergence ratio, and, for concentration values less than or equal to 8 stems dm⁻², the flow resistance law is not affected by the arrangement (staggered, aligned) of the vegetation elements.

Using the measurements (37 experimental runs) corresponding to the staggered arrangement with two concentration values M (4 and 8 stems dm⁻²) Equation (8) was calibrated:

$$\Gamma_{\nu} = 0.4491 \frac{F^{0.9406}}{s^{0.5088}} \left(\frac{h}{H_{\nu}}\right)^{0.0727} M^{-0.0378},\tag{9}$$

which is characterized by a coefficient of determination equal to 0.9984. The coefficients of Equation (9) were estimated by a least-squares technique which uses the linearization of Equation (8).

The comparison between the measured values of Γ_{ν} (Equation 6) and those calculated by Equation (9), distinguishing the pairs corresponding to different concentration and slope values, are plotted in Figure 3a. Coupling the theoretical expression of the Darcy–Weisbach friction factor (Equation 5) with Equation (9) allows for calculating f considering the effect of flow condition (F, h/H_{ν}) and the characteristics of vegetation (arrangement and stem concentration). The friction factor values calculated by Equations (5) and (9) are characterized by a good agreement with the measured f values (Figure 3b) and errors in the estimate E are always less than or equal to $\pm 2.5\%$ and less than or equal to $\pm 1.5\%$ for 81.1% of the examined cases.

As Equation (9) suggested a limited effect of M on the estimate of Γ_{ν} , the following equation was considered:

$$\Gamma_{\nu} = a \frac{F^b}{s^c} \left(\frac{h}{H_{\nu}}\right)^d.$$
 (10)



FIGURE 2 Relationship between the Darcy–Weisbach friction factor f and the submergence ratio h/Hv for the investigated arrangements (ST, AL) and different concentrations M.



Using the measurements (37 experimental runs) corresponding to the staggered arrangement the values a = 0.3390, b = 1.0715, c = 0.5682, and d = 0.0396 were obtained. Equation (10), with the listed values of the coefficients *a*, *b*, *c*, and *d*, is characterized by a coefficient of determination equal to 0.9982. For the staggered arrangement, the agreement between the measured friction factor values and those calculated by Equation (5) coupled with Equation (10) is characterized by errors in the estimate *E* which are always less than or equal to $\pm 2.5\%$ and 78.4% of the errors are less than or equal to $\pm 1.5\%$.

Using the measurements (40 experimental runs) corresponding to the aligned arrangement with three concentration values M (4, 8, and 16 stems dm⁻²), the coefficients a = 0.3371, b = 1.0708, c = 0.5687, and d = 0.0448 of Equation (10) were obtained. The comparison between the measured values of Γ_{ν} (Equation 10) and those calculated by Equation (9), distinguishing the pairs corresponding to different concentration and slope values, are plotted in Figure 4a. For the aligned arrangement, the agreement between the measured friction factor values and those calculated by Equation (5) coupled with Equation (10), plotted in Figure 4b, is characterized by errors in the estimate E which are less than or equal to $\pm 2.5\%$ for 77.5% of the cases and 57.5% of the errors are less than or equal to $\pm 1.5\%$.

Considering that the analysis of the friction factor measurements (Figure 2) demonstrated that flow resistance law is not affected by the arrangement (staggered, aligned) of the vegetation elements, and the exponents b, c, and d of Equation (10) of the two arrangements are similar, Equation (10) was calibrated using the whole database (77 experimental runs):

$$\Gamma_{\nu} = 0.3383 \frac{F^{1.0687}}{s^{0.5678}} \left(\frac{h}{H_{\nu}}\right)^{0.0437},$$
(11)

which is characterized by a coefficient of determination equal to 0.9979. Figure 5 shows the good agreement between the measured friction factor values and those calculated by Equation (5) coupled with Equation (11). Considering that Equation (11) establishes that the exponents b = 1.0687, c = 0.5678, and d = 0.0437 can be assumed independent of stem concentration and vegetation arrangement, Equation (8) can be rewritten as:

$$\Gamma_{\nu} = \varphi(M) \frac{F^{1.0687}}{s^{0.5678}} \left(\frac{h}{H_{\nu}}\right)^{0.0437} = \varphi(M)X, \qquad (12)$$

FIGURE 3 Comparison, for the staggered arrangement, between the measured values of Γ_{ν} (Equation 6) and those calculated by Equation (9), distinguishing the pairs corresponding to different concentration and slope values (a), and between measured friction factor values and those calculated by Equations (5) and (9) (b).

in which $\varphi(M)$ is a function of the stem concentration and $X = F^{1.0687}s^{-0.5678}(h/H_{\nu})^{0.0437}$.

For a given vegetation arrangement (staggered, aligned) and stem concentration, the corresponding experimental run was used to estimate the function $\varphi(M)$, and



FIGURE 4 Comparison, for the aligned arrangement, between the measured values of Γ_{ν} (Equation 10) and those calculated by Equation (9), distinguishing the pairs corresponding to different concentration and slope values (a), and between the measured friction factor values and those calculated by Equation (5) coupled with Equation (10) (b).



FIGURE 5 Comparison between the measured friction factor values and those calculated by Equation (5) coupled with Equation (11).



FIGURE 6 Comparison, as an example for the staggered arrangement and M = 8 stems dm⁻², between the pairs (*X*, Γ) and Equation (13).

the developed analysis demonstrated that Equation (12) has the following expression:

$$\Gamma_{v} = aX, \tag{13}$$

in which *a* is a coefficient, depending on vegetation element arrangement and stem concentration, whose values are listed in Table 1. Figure 6 shows, as an example for the staggered arrangement and M = 8 stems dm⁻², the agreement between the pairs (X, Γ) and Equation (13), while the *a* values listed in Table 1 demonstrate that this coefficient can be considered independent on stem concentration and equal to 0.3380. For the aligned arrangement, the low variability of the *a* values listed in Table 1 allows assuming an average value of 0.3393.

The frequency distribution of the errors in the estimate of the Darcy–Weisbach friction factor calculated by Equation (5) coupled with Equation (11) and with Equations (5) and (13) are plotted in Figure 7. This figure demonstrates that using Equations (11) or (13) for estimating Γ_{ν} gives *f* values characterized by similar errors and always less than or equal to ±5% and less than or equal to ±2.5% for 88% of the investigated cases.

4 | DISCUSSION

The comparison between the pairs (h/H_{ν} , f) corresponding to different arrangements and the same stem concentration (M = 4 and 8 stems dm⁻² in Figure 2) demonstrates that, for the investigated stem concentration values, the flow resistance law is independent of the vegetation element arrangement. This result agrees with previous studies (Ferro, 2019), obtained for the same arrangements (staggered, aligned) and M values ranging from 1.8 to 32 stems dm⁻², which established that the flow resistance is not affected by the vegetation arrangement and increases with M. This result can be justified considering that when



FIGURE 7 Frequency distribution of the errors in the estimate of the Darcy–Weisbach friction factor calculated by Equation (5) coupled with Equations (11) and (13).

the stem concentration is low the vegetation elements are so distant that no wake interference mechanism occurs (a wake generated downstream a cylinder extinguishes before of encountering the subsequent cylinder in the stream direction) and the dissipation is only controlled by the stem concentration.

As Equation (9) shows that Γ_{ν} decreases for increasing values of the stem concentration, the Darcy-Weisbach friction factor increases with M. This result, for the staggered arrangement and the concentration range 4-8 stems dm⁻², demonstrates that the investigated stem concentration is low and, as consequence, f increases monotonically with M (Figure 3b). In other words, the stem concentration is low and the quasiskimming flow regime (Carollo & Ferro, 2021; Carollo et al., 2005; Ferro, 2019), which corresponds to the invariance of flow resistance with M, is not yet reached. For the staggered arrangement, neglecting the effect of M on the estimate of Γ_{v} (Equation 10) does not affect the agreement between the measured friction factor values and those calculated by Equation (5) coupled with Equation (10). This effect is due to the low investigated values of concentration M and the limited range of M values explored for the staggered arrangement (Table 1).

For the aligned arrangement, Figure 4 demonstrates that, for increasing stem concentration values from 4 stems dm⁻² (B7 and B9 arrangement) to 8 stems dm⁻² (B4 arrangement) and 16 stems dm⁻² (B1 and B2 arrangement), the Darcy–Weisbach friction factor shows an increasing trend. The differences in f values corresponding to 4 and 8 stems dm⁻² are limited, while they become appreciable for the highest investigated stem concentration. This result can be justified considering that, for the aligned arrangement, the investigated stem concentration values are low, and the quasiskimming flow regime is not yet reached. Figure 5, which shows the comparison between the measured friction factor values and those calculated by Equation (5) coupled with Equation (11), confirms that the hydraulic behavior of the two investigated arrangements is very similar, and the flow resistance equation is able to reproduce this hydraulic condition. For the two investigated arrangements, the *a* values listed in Table 1 demonstrate that the theoretical flow resistance law is able to estimate comparable values of the Darcy–Weisbach friction factor values confirming that this result is due to a low *M* value. The flow resistance law is also able to estimate the greatest *f* values (Figure 5) which, were measured for the aligned arrangement with M = 16 stems dm⁻².

The frequency analysis of the errors (Figure 7) demonstrated that the theoretical flow resistance equation (Equation 5) coupled with Equation (11), or Equation (13) allows an accurate estimate of the Darcy–Weisbach friction factor, which is characterized by errors that are always less than $\pm 5\%$. This result can be justified considering that the investigated stem concentrations are low and the effect on the friction factor estimate is limited.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, flume measurements collected by Gualtieri et al. (2018) were used to study the effect of rigid submerged vegetation on the estimate of flow resistance. The theoretical flow resistance equation was calibrated and tested by the available experimental runs carried out in a flume where rigid cylinders were set in two arrangements (staggered, aligned) at high submergence ratios (ratio between the water depth and the vegetation height greater than 5). In particular, the Γ function of the power velocity profile was empirically related to the channel slope, the flow Froude number, and the submergence ratio. This calibration was carried out by distinguishing measurements corresponding to different vegetation arrangements (staggered, aligned) (Equation 10), joining all available data (Equation 11), and using only a scale factor representing the effect of vegetation arrangements (Equation 13). The analysis demonstrated that the theoretical flow resistance equation coupled with Equations (11) or (13) allows an accurate estimate of the Darcy-Weisbach friction factor, which is characterized by errors that are always less than 5% and less than or equal to 2.5% for 88% of the investigated cases. The results of this research are limited by the investigated arrangements and the M values ranging from 4 to 16 stems dm^{-2} . Other experimental investigations should be developed using higher stem concentration values to detect the trend with M and starting point of a skimming flow regime.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

All the authors developed the theoretical analysis, analyzed the results, and contributed to writing the paper. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-forprofit sectors.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ETHIC STATEMENT

None declared.

ORCID

Vito Ferro D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3020-3119

REFERENCES

- Armanini, A., Righetti, M., & Grisenti, P. (2005). Direct measurement of vegetation resistance in prototype scale. *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, 43, 481–487.
- Augustijn, D. C. M., Huthoff, F., & Van Velzen, E. H. (2008). Comparison of vegetation roughness descriptions. In M. S. Altinakar, M. A. Kokpinar, I. Aydin, S. Cokgor, & S. Kirkgoz (Eds.), *River flow* (pp. 343–350). Kubaba Congress Department and Travel Services.
- Baiamonte, G., & Ferro, V. (1997). The influence of roughness geometry and shields parameter on flow resistance in gravel-bed channels. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 22, 759–772. https://doi.org/10. 1002/(SICI)1096-9837(199708)22:8<759::AID-ESP779>3.0.CO;2-M
- Baptist, M. J., Babovic, V., Rodríguez Uthurburu, J., Keijzer, M., Uittenbogaard, R. E., Mynett, A., & Verwey, A. (2007). On inducing equations for vegetation resistance. *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, 45, 435–450.
- Barenblatt, G. I. (1979). Similarity, self-similarity and intermediate asymptotics. Consultants Bureau.
- Barenblatt, G. I. (1987). *Dimensional analysis*. Gordon & Breach, Science Publishers Inc.
- Barenblatt, G. I. (1991). On the scaling laws (incomplete self-similarity with respect to Reynolds numbers) for the developed turbulent flows in tubes. *Comptes rendus de l'Académie des sciences. Série II*, 313, 307–312.
- Barenblatt, G. I. (1993). Scaling laws for fully developed turbulent shear flows. Part 1. Basic hypotheses and analysis. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 248, 513–520.
- Barenblatt, G. I., & Monin, A. S. (1979). Similarity laws for turbulent stratified shear flows. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 70, 307–317.
- Barenblatt, G. I., & Prostokishin, V. M. (1993). Scaling laws for fully developed turbulent shear flows, part 2. Processing of experimental data. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 248, 521–529.
- Carollo, F. G., & Ferro, V. (2021). Experimental study of boulder concentration effect on flow resistance in gravel bed channels. *Catena*, 205, 105458.
- Carollo, F. G., Ferro, V., & Termini, D. (2005). Flow resistance law in channels with flexible submerged vegetation. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 131(7), 554–564. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2005)131:7(554)
- Carollo, F. G., Ferro, V., & Termini, D. (2008). Determinazione del profilo di velocità e di intensità della turbolenza in canali vegetati. Proceedings of 31° Convegno Nazionale di Idraulica e Costruzioni Idrauliche (in Italian), 9–12 September, Perugia (Italy).
- Castaing, B., Gagne, Y., & Hopfinger, E. J. (1990). Velocity probability density functions of high Reynolds number turbulence. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 46, 177–200.
- Cheng, N.-S. (2011). Representative roughness height of submerged vegetation. Water Resources Research, 47, W08517. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2011WR010590
- Chiaradia, E. A., Gandolfi, C., & Bischetti, G. B. (2019). Flow resistance of partially flexible vegetation: A full-scale study with natural plants. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering*, 50, 55–65.
- Errico, A., Lama, G. F. C., Francalanci, S., Chirico, G. B., Solari, L., & Preti, F. (2019). Flow dynamics and turbulence patterns in a

drainage channel colonized by common reed (*Phragmites australis*) under different scenarios of vegetation management. *Ecological Engineering*, 133, 39–52.

- Errico, A., Pasquino, V., Maxwald, M., Chirico, G. B., Solari, L., & Preti, F. (2018). The effect of flexible vegetation on flow in drainage channels: Estimation of roughness coefficients at the real scale. *Ecological Engineering*, 120, 411–421.
- Ferro, V. (1997). Applying hypothesis of self-similarity forflow-resistance law of small-diameter plastic pipes. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, 123, 175–179.
- Ferro, V. (2017). New flow resistance law for steep mountain streams based on velocity profile. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, 143(8), 04017024. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)IR. 1943-4774.0001208
- Ferro, V. (2018). Assessing flow resistance in gravel bed channels by dimensional analysis and self-similarity. *Catena*, 169, 119–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2018.05.034
- Ferro, V. (2019). Assessing flow resistance law in vegetated channels by dimensional analysis and self-similarity. *Flow Measurement and Instrumentation*, 69, 101610. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst. 2019.101610
- Ferro, V., & Pecoraro, R. (2000). Incomplete self-similarity and flow velocity in gravel bed channels. *Water Resources Research*, 36, 2761–2769.
- Ferro, V., & Porto, P. (2018a). Applying hypothesis of self-similarity for flow resistance law in Calabrian gravel bed rivers (Fiumare). *Journal* of Hydraulic Engineering, 144, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE) HY.1943-7900.0001385
- Ferro, V., & Porto, P. (2018b). Assessing theoretical flow velocity profile and resistance in gravel bed rivers by field measurements. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering*, 49, 220–227.
- Florineth, F., Meixner, H., Rauch, H. P., & Vollsinger, S. (2003). Prove di forza. *Ingegneria Naturalistica*, 2, 73–78.
- Freeman, G. E., Rahmeyer, W. H., & Copeland, R. R. (2000). Determination of resistance due to shrubs and woody vegetation. US Army Corps of Engineers, Engineer Research and Development Centre Report No. ERDC/CHL TR-00-25.
- Ghisalberti, M., & Nepf, H. (2006). The structure of the shear layer in flows over rigid and flexible canopies. *Environmental Fluid Mechanics*, 6(3), 277–301.
- Gourlay, M. R. (1970). Discussion of "Flow Retardance in Vegetated Channels". Journal of the Irrigation and Drainage Division, 96(3), 351–357.
- Govers, G., Gimenez, R., & Oost, K. V. (2007). Rill erosion: Exploring the relationship between experiments, modeling and field observations. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 8, 87–102.
- Green, J. C. (2005). Modelling flow resistance in vegetated streams: Review and development of new theory. *Hydrological Processes*, 19, 1245–1259.
- Gualtieri, P., Felice, S. D., Pasquino, V., & Doria, G. P. (2018). Use of conventional flow resistance equations and a model for the Nikuradse roughness in vegetated flows at high submergence. *Journal of Hydrology and Hydromechanics*, 66(1), 107–120. https:// doi.org/10.1515/johh-2017-0028
- Huthoff, F., Augustijn, D. C. M., & Hulscher, S. J. M. H. (2007). Analytical solution of the depth-averaged flow velocity in case of submerged rigid cylindrical vegetation. *Water Resources Research*, 43, W06413. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006WR005625.
- Ikeda, S., & Kanazawa, M. (1996). Three dimensional organized vortices above flexible water plants. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 122(11), 634–640.
- Kouwen, N. (1988). Field estimation of the biomechanical properties of grass. Journal of Hydraulic Research, 26(5), 559–568.
- Kouwen, N. (1992). Modern approach to design of grassed channels. Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering, 118(5), 733–743.
- Kouwen, N., & Li, R. M. (1980). Biomechanics of vegetative channel linings. Journal of the Hydraulics Division, 106(6), 1085–1103.

River-IWHR-WILEY-

- Kouwen, N., & Unny, T. E. (1973). Flexible roughness in open channels. Journal of the Hydraulics Division, 99(5), 713–728.
- Kouwen, N., Unny, T. E., & Hill, H. M. (1969). Flow retardance in vegetated channels. *Journal of the Irrigation and Drainage Division*, 95(2), 329–342.
- Kowobary, T. S., Rice, C. E., & Garton, J. E. (1972). Effect of roughness elements on hydraulic resistance for overland flow. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 15(5), 979–984.
- Lawrence, D. S. L. (1997). Macroscale surface roughness and frictional resistance in overland flow. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 22, 365–382.
- Lawrence, D. S. L. (2000). Hydraulic resistance in overland flow during partial and marginal surface inundation: Experimental observations and modeling. *Water Resources Research*, 36(8), 2381–2393.
- Liu, D., Diplas, P., Fairbanks, J. D., & Hodges, C. C. (2008). An experimental study of flow through rigid vegetation. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113, F04015. https://doi.org/10.1029/ 2008JF001042
- Nepf, H. M. (2012). Hydrodynamics of vegetated channels. Journal of Hydraulic Research, 50(3), 262–279.
- Nepf, H. M., & Vivoni, E. R. (2000). Flow structure in depth-limited, vegetated flow. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 105(C12), 28547–28557. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JC900145
- Nicosia, A., Bischetti, G. B., Chiaradia, E., Gandolfi, C., & Ferro, V. (2021). A full-scale study of Darcy-Weisbach friction factor for channels vegetated by riparian species. *Hydrological Processes*, 35, e14009.
- Nicosia, A., Di Stefano, C., Pampalone, V., Palmeri, V., & Ferro, V. (2020). Flow resistance of overland flow on a smooth bed under simulated rainfall. *Catena*, 187, 104351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. catena.2019.104351
- Nicosia, A., Di Stefano, C., Pampalone, V., Palmeri, V., Ferro, V., & Nearing, M. A. (2020). Testing a theoretical resistance law for overland flow on a stony hillslope. *Hydrological Processes*, 34, 2048–2056. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13709
- Nicosia, A., Di Stefano, C., Pampalone, V., Palmeri, V., Ferro, V., Polyakov, V. O., & Nearing, M. A. (2020). Testing a theoretical resistance law for overland flow under simulated rainfall with different types of vegetation. *Catena*, 189, 104482. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.catena.2020.104482
- Nouwakpo, S. K., Williams, C. J., Al-Hamdan, O. Z., Weltz, M. A., Pierson, F., & Nearing, M. (2016). A review of concentrated flow erosion processes on rangelands: Fundamental understanding and knowledge gaps. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*, 4, 75–86.
- Pasquino, V., Saulino, L., Pelosi, A., Allevato, E., Rita, A., Todaro, L., Saracino, A., & Chirico, G. B. (2018). Hydrodynamic behaviour of European black poplar (*Populus nigra* L.) under coppice management along Mediterranean river ecosystems. *River Research and Applications*, 34, 586–594. https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3276
- Powell, D. M. (2014). Flow resistance in gravel-bed rivers: Progress in research. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 136, 301–338.
- Raffaelli, S., Domenichini, F., & Solari, L. (2002). Resistenza al moto in un alveo vegetato: indagine sperimentale di laboratorio, Italy. In *Proceedings of XXVIII Convegno di Idraulica e Costruzioni Idrauliche* (in Italian) (Vol. 5, pp. 223–230).
- Rhee, D. S., Woo, H., Kwon, B. A., & Ahn, H. K. (2008). Hydraulic resistance of some selected vegetation in open channel flows. *River Research and Applications*, 24, 673–687. https://doi.org/10.1002/ rra.1143
- Righetti, M., & Armanini, A. (2002). Flow resistance in open channel flows with sparsely distributed bushes. *Journal of Hydrology*, 269(1–2), 55–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(02)00194-4

- Sandercock, P. J., & Hooke, J. M. (2010). Assessment of vegetation effects on hydraulics and of feedbacks on plant survival and zonation in ephemeral channels. *Hydrological Processes*, 24, 695–713.
- Di Stefano, C., Nicosia, A., Palmeri, V., Pampalone, V., & Ferro, V. (2019a). Comparing flow resistance law for fixed and mobile bed rills. *Hydrol. Process.* 33, 3330–3348. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13561
- Di Stefano, C., Nicosia, A., Palmeri, V., Pampalone, V., & Ferro, V. (2019b). Rill flow resistance law under equilibrium bed-load transport conditions. *Hydrological Processes*, 33, 1317–1323. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13402
- Di Stefano, C., Nicosia, A., Palmeri, V., Pampalone, V., & Ferro, V. (2022). Rill flow velocity and resistance law: A review. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 231, 104092. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2022.104092
- Stone, B. M., & Shen, H. T. (2002). Hydraulic resistance of flow in channels with cylindrical roughness. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 128, 500–506.
- Strohmeier, S. M., Nouwakpo, S. K., Huang, C. H., & Klik, A. (2014). Flume experimental evaluation of the effect of rill flow path tortuosity on rill roughness based on the Manning-Strickler equation. *Catena*, 118, 226–233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena. 2014.01.011
- Termini, D. (2015). Flexible vegetation behaviour and effects on flow conveyance: Experimental observations. *International Journal of River Basin Management*, 13(4), 401–411.
- Tsujimoto, T., & Kitamura, T. (1992). Transverse mixing associated with surface wave in open-channel flow with longitudinal zone of vegetation. *Proceedings of Hydraulic Engineering*, 36, 273–280.
- Vargas-Luna, A., Crosato, A., Calvani, G., & Uijttewaal, W. S. J. (2016). Representing plants as rigid cylinders in experiments and models. *Advances in Water Resources*, 93(Part B), 205–222.
- Västilä, K., Järvelä, J., & Aberle, J. (2013). Characteristic reference areas for estimating flow resistance of natural foliated vegetation. *Journal* of Hydrology, 492(492), 49–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol. 2013.04.015
- Wilson, C. A. M. E., & Horritt, M. S. (2002). Measuring the flow resistance of submerged grass. *Hydrological Processes*, 16, 2589–2598.
- Wilson, C. A. M. E., Stoesser, T., Bates, P. D., & Pinzen, A. B. (2003). Open channel flow through different forms of submerged flexible vegetation. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 129(11), 847–853. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2003)129:11(847)
- Wu, F. C., Shen, H. W., & Chou, Y. J. (1999). Variation of roughness coefficients for unsubmerged and submerged vegetation. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 125(9), 934–942. https://doi.org/10.1061/ (ASCE)0733-9429(1999)125:9(934)
- Yagci, O., Tschiesche, U., & Kabdasli, M. S. (2010). The role of different forms of natural riparian vegetation on turbulence and kinetic energy characteristics. *Advances in Water Resources*, 33(5), 601–614. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2010.03.008
- Yen, B. C. (Ed.). (1992). Hydraulic resistance in open channels. Channel flow resistance: Centennial of Manning's formula (pp. 1–135). Water Resources Publications.

How to cite this article: Nicosia, A., Carollo, F. G., Di Stefano, C., Pasquino, V., & Ferro, V. (2023). Flow resistance law in channels with fully submerged and rigid vegetation. *River*, 2, 79–87. https://doi.org/10.1002/rvr2.32