



ASA 2021 | Statistics and Information Systems for Policy Evaluation

BOOK OF SHORT PAPERS
of the on-site conference

edited by

Bruno Bertaccini

Luigi Fabbris

Alessandra Petrucci



PROCEEDINGS E REPORT

ISSN 2704-601X (PRINT) - ISSN 2704-5846 (ONLINE)

Scientific Program Committee

Luigi Fabbris (co-chair) (University of Padua)
Alessandra Petrucci (co-chair) (SIS - University of Florence)
Luciana Annarumma (Assirm)
Fabio Bacchini (ISTAT)
Rossella Berni (University of Florence)
Bruno Bertaccini (University of Florence)
Luigi Biggeri (University of Florence)
Eugenio Brentari (University of Brescia)
Maurizio Carpita (University of Brescia)
Giulia Cavrini (Free University of Bolzano-Bozen)
Alessandro Celegato (AICQ-AISS, PSV Project Service and Value)
Giuliana Coccia (Alleanza Sviluppo Sostenibile ASviS)
Cristina Davino (Federico II University of Naples)
Adriano Decarli (University of Milan)
Loretta Degan (Galgano Group, Milan)
Tonio Di Battista ("G. D'Annunzio" University of Chieti and Pescara)
Enrico Di Bella (University of Genoa)
Angela Maria Digrandi (CNR)
Simone Di Zio ("G. D'Annunzio" University of Chieti and Pescara)
Guido Ferrari (University of Florence)
Benito Vittorio Frosini (Sacred Heart Catholic University of Milan)
Antonio Giusti (University of Florence)
Gabriella Grassia (Federico II University of Naples)
Salvatore Ingrassia (University of Catania)
Michele Lalla (University of Modena and Reggio Emilia)
Corrado Lagazio (University of Genoa)
Paolo Mariani (University of Milan-Bicocca)
Stefania Mignani (University of Bologna)
Francesco Palumbo (Federico II University of Naples)
Alfonso Piscitelli (Federico II University of Naples)
Giorgio Tassinari (University of Bologna)
Laura Trinchera (NEOMA Business School, FR)
Venera Tomaselli (University of Catania)
Domenico Vistocco (Federico II University of Naples)

Local Program Committee

Bruno Bertaccini (chair) (University of Florence)
Silvia Bacci (University of Florence)
Chiara Bocci (University of Florence)
Federico Crescenzi (University of Florence)
Maria Veronica Dorgali (University of Florence)
Carla Galluccio (University of Florence)
Antonio Giusti (University of Florence)
Alessandra Petrucci (University of Florence)

ASA 2021 Statistics and Information Systems for Policy Evaluation

BOOK OF SHORT PAPERS
of the on-site conference

edited by
Bruno Bertaccini
Luigi Fabbris
Alessandra Petrucci

FIRENZE UNIVERSITY PRESS
2021

ASA 2021 Statistics and Information Systems for Policy Evaluation : BOOK OF SHORT PAPERS of the on-site conference / edited by Bruno Bertaccini, Luigi Fabbris, Alessandra Petrucci. – Firenze : Firenze University Press, 2021. (Proceedings e report ; 132)

<https://www.fupress.com/isbn/9788855184618>

ISSN 2704-601X (print)
ISSN 2704-5846 (online)
ISBN 978-88-5518-461-8 (PDF)
ISBN 978-88-5518-462-5 (XML)
DOI 10.36253/978-88-5518-461-8

Cover graphic design: Lettera Meccanica SRLs
Front cover: © man64|123rf.com



ASA 2021 On-site Conference on STATISTICS AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS FOR POLICY EVALUATION

University of Florence, September 6 - 8, 2021
Bruno Bertaccini, Luigi Fabbris and Alessandra Petrucci
(Editors)

Partners



FUP Best Practice in Scholarly Publishing (DOI https://doi.org/10.36253/fup_best_practice)

All publications are submitted to an external refereeing process under the responsibility of the FUP Editorial Board and the Scientific Boards of the series. The works published are evaluated and approved by the Editorial Board of the publishing house, and must be compliant with the Peer review policy, the Open Access, Copyright and Licensing policy and the Publication Ethics and Complaint policy.

Firenze University Press Editorial Board

M. Garzaniti (Editor-in-Chief), M.E. Alberti, F. Vittorio Arrigoni, E. Castellani, F. Ciampi, D. D'Andrea, A. Dolfi, R. Ferrise, A. Lambertini, R. Lanfredini, D. Lippi, G. Mari, A. Mariani, P.M. Mariano, S. Marinai, R. Minuti, P. Nanni, A. Orlandi, I. Palchetti, A. Perulli, G. Pratesi, S. Scaramuzzi, I. Stolzi.

📄 The online digital edition is published in Open Access on www.fupress.com.

Content license: except where otherwise noted, the present work is released under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC BY 4.0: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>). This license allows you to share any part of the work by any means and format, modify it for any purpose, including commercial, as long as appropriate credit is given to the author, any changes made to the work are indicated and a URL link is provided to the license.

Metadata license: all the metadata are released under the Public Domain Dedication license (CC0 1.0 Universal: <https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/legalcode>).

© 2021 Author(s)

Published by Firenze University Press
Firenze University Press
Università degli Studi di Firenze
via Cittadella, 7, 50144 Firenze, Italy
www.fupress.com

*This book is printed on acid-free paper
Printed in Italy*

Table of contents

Preface	9
SESSION	
EVALUATION OF EDUCATIONAL SYSTEMS	
Determinants of the transition to upper secondary school: differences between immigrants and Italians <i>Patrizio Frederic, Michele Lalla</i>	13
The top candidate is an intermediate one: An analysis of online posts of Veneto industries <i>Luigi Fabbris</i>	19
Psychometric properties of a new scale for measuring academic positive psychological capital <i>Pasquale Anselmi, Daiana Colledani, Luigi Fabbris, Egidio Robusto, Manuela Scioni</i>	25
Gender and Information and Communication Technologies interest: results from PISA 2018 <i>Mariangela Zenga</i>	31
A structural equation model to measure logical competences <i>Silvia Bacci, Bruno Bertaccini, Riccardo Bruni, Federico Crescenzi, Beatrice Donati</i>	37
Clustering students according to their proficiency: a comparison between different approaches based on item response theory models <i>Rosa Fabbriatore, Francesco Palumbo</i>	43
Sustainable Innovation: worldwide trends in the scientific production through a bibliometric study <i>Rosanna Cataldo, Corrado Crocetta, Maria Gabriella Grassia, Paolo Mazzocchi, Antonella Rocca, Claudio Quintano</i>	49
Personal weaknesses recognized by high school students in the North-West of Italy <i>Luigi Bollani</i>	55
Emergency remote teaching: an explorative tool <i>Emma Zavarrone, Maria Gabriella Grassia, Rocco Mazza, Alessia Forciniti</i>	61
Effects of an experimental online education support on lectures fruition and teaching effectiveness <i>Maria Cristiana Martini, Marco Furini, Giovanna Galli</i>	67

SESSION

DECISION MAKING

Measuring the effectiveness of COVID-19 containment policies in Italian regions: are we doing enough? <i>Demetrio Panarello, Giorgio Tassinari</i>	75
Motivation of basketball players: a random-effects logit model for the probability of winning <i>Silvia Bacci, Tijan Juraj Cvetković</i>	81
Reducing inconsistency in AHP by combining Delphi and Nudge theory and network analysis of the judgements: an application to future scenarios <i>Simone Di Zio</i>	87
Mapping and factoring the 2007 ATECO categories in regard to specialised human capital <i>Luigi Fabbris, Paolo Feltrin</i>	93
Modelling the spatio-temporal dynamic of traffic flows with gravity models and mobile phone data <i>Maurizio Carpita, Rodolfo Metulini</i>	99
The effectiveness of marketing tools in a consumer goods market in Italy during the Great Recession (2010-2015) <i>Giorgio Tassinari, Demetrio Panarello</i>	105
The role of the extra-man play actions in elite water polo matches: which elements lead to a good shot? <i>Alessandro Lubisco</i>	111
Big data analysis and labour market: an analysis of Italian online job vacancies data <i>Francesca Giambona, Adham Khalawi, Lucia Buzzigoli, Laura Grassini, Cristina Martelli</i>	117
Sizing & Allocation in Labour Market: business strategies and multivariate analysis <i>Andrea Marletta</i>	121
Post-stratification as a tool for enhancing the predictive power of classification methods <i>F.D. d'Ovidio, A.M. D'Uggento, R. Mancarella, E. Toma</i>	125
A statistical information system in support of job policies orientation <i>Adham Kahlawi, Francesca Giambona, Lucia Buzzigoli, Laura Grassini, Cristina Martelli</i>	131
Linear regression pathmox segmentation tree: the case of visitors' satisfaction to attend a Spanish football match at the stadium <i>Cristina Davino, Giuseppe Lamberti</i>	137
Exploring competitiveness and wellbeing in Italy by spatial principal component analysis <i>Carlo Cusatelli, Massimiliano Giacalone, Eugenia Nissi</i>	141
Total Process Error framework: an application to economic statistical registers <i>Roberta Varriale, Fabiana Rocci, Orietta Luzi</i>	147

SESSION

HEALTH AND WELL-BEING

- Development of an innovative methodology to define patient-designed quality of life: a new version of a wellknown concept in healthcare 155
Barbara Bartolini, Serena Bertoldi, Laura Benedan, Carlotta Galeone, Paolo Mariani, Francesca Sofia, Mariangela Zenga
- Measuring the impact of healthcare indicators on academic medical centers' scientific production 161
Corrado Cuccurullo, Luca D'Aniello, Massimo Aria, Maria Spano
- EGIPSS model for the evaluation of performance in healthcare 167
Pietro Renzi, Alberto Franci
- Unsupervised spatial data mining for the development of future scenarios: a Covid-19 application 173
Yuri Calleo, Simone Di Zio
- Supporting decision-makers in healthcare domain. A comparative study of two interpretative proposals for Random Forests 179
Massimo Aria, Corrado Cuccurullo, Agostino Gnasso
- Media and fake news: An analysis of citizens' attitudes toward misinformation in European countries 185
Mauro Ferrante, Anna Maria Parroco
- Longitudinal profile of a set of biomarkers in predicting Covid-19 mortality using joint models 191
Matteo Di Maso, Monica Ferraroni, Pasquale Ferrante, Serena Delbue, Federico Ambrogi
- Assessment of agricultural productivity change at country level: A stochastic frontier approach 197
Alessandro Magrini
- Patient-generated evidence in Epidermolysis Bullosa (EB): Development of a questionnaire to assess the Quality of Life 203
Laura Benedan, May El Hachem, Carlotta Galeone, Paolo Mariani, Cinzia Pilo, Gianluca Tadini
- A Prospective Sustainability Indicator for Pension Systems 209
Fabrizio Culotta
- Unemployment dynamics in Italy: a counterfactual analysis at Covid time 215
Illya Bakurov, Fabrizio Culotta

SESSION

TOURISM AND GASTRONOMY

- Understanding the sensory characteristics of edible insects to promote entomophagy: A projective sensory experience among consumers 223
Alfonso Piscitelli, Roberto Fasanelli, Elena Cuomo, Ida Galli
- Experience, sensorial skills and personality qualifying a wine consumer as an expert 229
Luigi Fabbris, Alfonso Piscitelli
- Prediction of wine sensorial quality: a classification problem 235
Maurizio Carpita, Silvia Golia

Tourism of Italians in Italy through crisis and development: the last 15 years, region by region	239
<i>Fabrizio Antolini, Antonio Giusti</i>	
Assessment of visitors' perceptions in protected areas through a model-based clustering	245
<i>Annalina Sarra, Adelia Evangelista, Tonio Di Battista</i>	

Media and fake news: An analysis of citizens' attitudes toward misinformation in European countries

Mauro Ferrante, Anna Maria Parroco

1. Introduction

The rapid changes determined by the rise of Internet and by the recent development of social media in everyday life have led to profound consequences on the quantity and quality of information made available and on the mechanisms of their dissemination. Today, information is increasingly shared through decentralized mechanisms in which social media play a role as a distribution channel, thanks to tools and platforms that enable peer-to-peer sharing mechanisms (Baldacci & Pelagalli, 2017). The rapid spread of on-line misinformation is one of the most-discussed issue today and has been identified as one of the top-trends in modern societies by the World Economic Forum (2013), partly because of the link between these processes and political communication. Among the reasons behind the relevance of this phenomenon, in addition to the already mentioned process of decentralization of the information, it is possible to identify also: the loss of control by the media on the dissemination process, now increasingly determined by algorithms that decide what, when and to whom to show in an unpredictable way; the growing power of Internet giants, such as Google, Facebook, and Twitter; to mention but a few, in deciding who to allow to publish news, what news to show, to whom to show it and how to earn from this process. This because among the scope of on-line disinformation, it is possible to identify the intention of generating interaction on social media, to gain profits from advertising or to discredit someone image (Figueira & Oliveira, 2017). It is therefore important to better understand citizens' attitude and trust toward media, and eventually to identify the potential determinants of different attitudes.

Starting from these premises, the present work aims at analysing the attitude of European citizens toward fake news and disinformation. After briefly discussing the growing literature on fake news and disinformation, by virtue of the availability of micro-data from the Flash Eurobarometer survey on "Fake news and disinformation online" (European Commission, 2018), a segmentation of users is proposed according to their attitude towards different types of media. Secondly, clusters are characterized both in terms of socio-demographic characteristics and in relation to users' behaviour and opinions regarding misinformation. In consideration of the social and political relevance of misinformation, potential strategies to face with fake news and online misinformation are discussed.

2. Background

Fake news and misinformation are not new phenomena. However, starting from U.S. Presidential election in November 2016 a rapid increase in the use of the term "fake news" has been observed (Rose, 2020). Also, terms such as "post-fact" and "alternative facts" emerged in new media communications. These terms are referred to deliberate distortion of the news with the aim of having an influence in public opinion and to exasperate the internal divisions in the society (Martens et al., 2018). This determined a rise in preoccupation for fake news and for their capability in generating confusion among the public. When the term fake news is used, the reference is generally to deliberate fraudulent media products. It is indeed a more severe judgement compared to "biased news". Also, fake news is something different from on-line satire. However, except for striking situations, in many cases it is not easy to identify the border between satire and discredit

Mauro Ferrante, University of Palermo, Italy, mauro.ferrante@unipa.it, 0000-0003-1287-5851

Anna Maria Parroco, University of Palermo, Italy, annamaria.parroco@unipa.it, 0000-0003-3213-7805

FUP Best Practice in Scholarly Publishing (DOI 10.36253/fup_best_practice)

Mauro Ferrante, Anna Maria Parroco, *Media and fake news: An analysis of citizens' attitudes toward misinformation in European countries*, pp. 185-190, © 2021 Author(s), CC BY 4.0 International, DOI 10.36253/978-88-5518-461-8.35, in Bruno Bertaccini, Luigi Fabbris, Alessandra Petrucci (edited by), *ASA 2021 Statistics and Information Systems for Policy Evaluation. Book of short papers of the on-site conference*, © 2021 Author(s), content CC BY 4.0 International, metadata CC0 1.0 Universal, published by Firenze University Press (www.fupress.com), ISSN 2704-5846 (online), ISBN 978-88-5518-461-8 (PDF), DOI 10.36253/978-88-5518-461-8

intention. Allcott and Gentzkow (2017) define fake news as “news articles that are intentionally and verifiably false and could mislead readers” (Allcott and Gentzkow, 2017, p. 213) with facts entirely false. Dentith (2016) points out that fake news is an “allegation that some story is misleading – it contains significant omissions – or even false – it is a lie – designed to deceive its intended audience” (Dentith, 2016, p. 66), with facts that may be entirely false, contain partial truths, or omissions that would undermine the real fact. Fake news and misinformation have attracted the interest of researchers and institutions in identifying the mechanisms of dissemination of fake news and, eventually, potential strategies for their identification (Shao, 2018). The use of social media to get information has even more amplified the fake news issue: the news, due to its bounce rate, is likely to be contaminated, that is to undergo considerable changes until it becomes itself a fake news. It is undisputed that nowadays mainstream media have been progressively displaced by social media as a source of information. Consequently, individuals must be able to select reliable or unreliable information.

Some studies focused on the principal factors causing fake news and they found that both micro and contextual variables act (Kim & Kim, 2020). People’s attitudes and citizens perception towards fake news have been recently investigated by several authors (Reuter et al., 2019; Borges-Tiago et al., 2020; Quan-Haase et al., 2018; Dinev et al., 2009; Fletcher et al., 2018). They agree that age, education, tech-profile, and cultural and ideological differences among users are relevant variables in shaping the attitudes towards fake news and disinformation. Reuter et al. (2018), referring to a survey conducted in Germany, find that people who are younger or more educated show more ability to identify fake news, and liberal or left-wing persons are more critical; Borges-Tiago et al. (2020) show that citizens attitude towards fake news is different among European countries and report that younger and tech savvy users recognize fake news most likely than others. Quan-Haase et al. (2018), highlight the importance of information literacy characteristics and information technology skills and Dinev et al. (2009) focus on cultural dimension. Finally, Fletcher et al. (2018), in presenting the results on Italian and French attitude towards fake news, stress the relevance of policy makers, private and public companies in acting to regulate information sources. Nonetheless, few studies have assessed whether populations can be segmented according to their attitude toward media. The present work aims at filling this gap and to assess whether these segments exhibit specific characteristics, both in terms of socio-demographic profile and according to media use.

3. Data and Methods

This study uses micro-data from the European Commission Flash Eurobarometer 464 on “fake news and disinformation online” (European Commission, 2018). The survey carried out in 28 Member States in 2018 on a sample of about 26 thousand respondents interviewed via telephone, aims at exploring EU citizens awareness and attitude toward fake news and disinformation online. Detailed information on the survey, as well as the questionnaire and micro-data are made available by the European Commission through the official portal for European data: <https://data.europa.eu>.

With the aim of identifying the main determinants of consumer attitudes towards misinformation and fake news, in a first step clusters users have been identified in relation to their attitude toward media, for the six different media types considered (i.e. Printed newspapers and news magazines; Online newspapers and news magazines; Online social networks and messaging apps; Television; Radio; Video hosting websites and podcasts). Secondly, the degree of association of socio-demographic characteristics and of media usage with the proposed cluster is explored in order to characterize different profiles of users across European countries.

In consideration of the categorical nature of data concerning the level of trust in media k -mode clustering (Huang, 1997) has been implemented. According to this approach, let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_6 the set of attributes, describing the categorical space Ω , representing the users’ opinion on the different media types considered, where the domain $\text{DOM}(A_j)$ of each categorical attribute A_j is given by the three answers’ categories, namely: *trust*, *don’t trust*, *don’t use that media type*. A categorical object $X \in \Omega$ is represented by the set of attribute-value pair for each of the set of attributes considered,

and it can be represented as a vector $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_6]$. Let $X = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ be the set of n categorical objects observed in the n sample units. We write $X_i = X_z$ if $x_{i,j} = x_{z,j}$, for $1 \leq j \leq 6$, i.e. if two generic sample units, i and z , have the same value for any of the 6 considered attributes.

The k -mode algorithm is an extension of the k -means clustering procedure to categorical variables (Chaturvedi et al., 2001) and it aims to partition the objects into k groups such that the distance from objects to the assigned cluster modes is minimized. A mode of X is a vector $Q = [q_1, q_2, \dots, q_6]$ that minimises a dissimilarity measure d , which is computed by counting the number of mismatches in all variables (simple-matching distance). The k -mode algorithm works iteratively by selecting initial k -modes of each cluster, allocating each unit to the cluster with the nearest mode according to d , then retesting the dissimilarity of units against the current mode and eventually reallocating the units to the cluster with the nearest mode iteratively, until no unit has changed cluster after a full cycle test of the whole dataset (Huang, 1997). In the present work the package *KlaR* implemented in *R* software has been used for the analysis.

Having been identified the clusters which characterise our sample according to their attitude toward different types of media, a regression modeling approach was undertaken to quantify the degree of association of socio-demographic characteristics and of users' behaviour and opinions regarding misinformation with cluster membership. The covariates included in the model were: 1) *Gender*, 2) *Age*, 3) *Occupation*, 4) *Social network use (How often do you use online social networks?)*, 5) *Reading and sharing attitude on social network (Do you read or share things when using social network?)* 6) *Presence of fake news and misinformation in the media (Do you come across news which misrepresent reality or are even false?)* 7) *Confidence in the ability to detect fake news (Are you confident that you are able to identify news or information that misrepresent reality or is even false?)* 8) *Perception on the danger of misinformation and fake news (Is the existence of news or information that misrepresent reality a problem in your country or for democracy in general?)*. By considering the categorical nature of the response variable, a multinomial logistic regression model was implemented.

4. Results

The dataset under analysis is constituted by 26,576 respondents residing in one of the EU28 countries. As also reported by the EU Commission, at an aggregate level, most respondents tend to trust news and information they receive through radio (70%), television (66%) and printed media (63%). However, less than half (47%) trust online newspapers and magazines, and lower proportions trust video hosting websites and podcasts (27%) and online social networks and messaging apps (26%). Also, these results are consistent across all the Member States (European Commission, 2018). Before implementing the clustering algorithm, those cases containing missing data for at least one of the covariates examined for the analyses were removed. This reduced the dataset to 22,384 cases. Then, to implement k -mode algorithm, according to the elbow method, a number of clusters equal to 5 was fixed. Modes of each item corresponding to the attitude for the different types of media considered are reported in table 1.

The results of the k -mode clustering procedure highlight different segments of users according to their attitude toward different type of media. Those here called *Impatients* are constituted by users which tend to trust on online social network, radio, and television, whereas they tend to do not trust on printed or online newspapers or magazines. On the contrary, it is possible to define as *Traditionalists* those who trust mainly on traditional sources of information, such as printed or online newspapers and radio. Also, they tend not to trust on social network, television, and they do not use video-hosting websites or podcasts. A particular group of users constitute those who can be defined *Sceptics*, which tend not to trust to any type of media. A fourth group are here named as the *News buff*. They trust on media coming from printed or online newspapers, radio, and television. They are very similar to the *Traditionalists*, except for a trust on television compared to *Traditionalists* who do not. Finally, the last group can be labelled as *Credulous*. They believe in almost any type of media; the only exception being represented by video hosting websites which

generally are not used by this type of users.

Table 1. Cluster modes according to the attitude toward different types of media.

Cluster ID	Printed newspapers and news magazines	Online newspapers and news magazines	Online social networks and messaging apps	Television	Radio	Video hosting websites and podcasts
Impatients (n = 3024)	Don't trust	Don't trust	Trust	Trust	Trust	Don't trust
Traditionalists (n = 3401)	Trust	Trust	Don't trust	Don't trust	Trust	Don't know
Sceptics (n = 3594)	Don't trust	Don't trust	Don't trust	Don't trust	Don't trust	Don't trust
News buff (n = 6428)	Trust	Trust	Don't trust	Trust	Trust	Don't trust
Credulous (n = 5937)	Trust	Trust	Trust	Trust	Trust	Don't know

Table 2 summarizes beta-coefficients, odds ratios (OR) and related *p*-values of the multinomial logistic regression model. From an analysis of the results in Table 2, all the considered factors appear significant, although differences emerge in their effects in relation to the various clusters considered. Conditionally to the other variables, and considering the cluster of *Traditionalists* as baseline, *Gender* is significantly associated to the cluster of *Sceptics*, with a risk of being “Male” of about 1.30 higher compared to the baseline, whereas being “Female” is associated with the cluster of *Credulous* (OR=1.11). In terms of *Age*, being of an age comprised between “25 and 39 years old” and “older than 55 years old”, decreases the ‘risk’ of belonging to the *Impatients*, compared to the other categories. Also being older than 55 years old decreases the risk of belonging to the *News buff*, which tend to be younger compared to the *Traditionalists*. Different occupation profiles characterize the various clusters. Being “manual worker” or “not worker” increases the ‘risk’ of belonging to the *Impatients* (OR=1.46 and 1.23, respectively); similarly, “not workers” are more likely to belong to the *Sceptics* (OR=1.23), compared to the other occupation categories. Whereas being “self employed” is negatively associated with the cluster of *Credulous* (OR=0.75). Regarding *social network use*, frequent users are associated with the *Credulous* and *News buff* clusters, whereas being a non-frequent user is associated with the *Sceptics*. A more active behaviour in terms of *reading or sharing things on social media* characterizes the *Impatients*, the *Sceptics* and the *News buff*, compared to *Traditionalists*. On the other hand, *Credulous* tend not to read or share things on social media, thus indicating a more passive behaviour. *Sceptics*, as expected, tend to come across news which they think misrepresent reality or are even false. The other clusters perceive less this risk, compared to the *Traditionalists*. Nonetheless, the *Sceptics* are less confident on their capability in identifying fake news; the same holds for the *Impatients*, whereas *News buff* and *Credulous* are more confident from this perspective. Finally, a perception of fake news and disinformation as a problem in the country or for democracy in general characterizes mainly the *News buff*. On the contrary, those who do not perceive this problem are more likely to belong to the *Credulous*.

In summary, the analysis of the segmentation results reported in Table 1, jointly with the results of the logistic regression, suggest that *Impatients* and *Credulous* seems to be those at more risk for fake news and misinformation on-line. Also, they do not perceive misinformation as a problem for democracy in general. In the case of the *Impatients* an active behaviour in terms of on-line sharing emerged, thus potentially determining an active role in the spreading of on-line misinformation, also in consideration that both groups are constituted by regular social network users.

Table 2. Multinomial regression coefficients, odds ratios ($Exp(\beta)$), and p-values. The baseline is the cluster of “Traditionalists” for the response variable.

Cluster	Variable	Categories	β	p-value	$Exp(\beta)$
Impatients	Gender (Male = Ref.)	Female	0.000	0.997	1.000
		25 - 39	-0.280	0.022	0.756
	Age (15-24= Ref.)	40 - 54	-0.204	0.082	0.815
		>=55	-0.304	0.006	0.738
		Self-employed	-0.028	0.754	0.973
	Occupation (Employees = Ref.)	Manual workers	0.376	0.000	1.456
		Not working	0.208	0.002	1.231
		Almost everyday	0.044	0.580	1.045
	Social network use (several time a month or less= Ref.)	At least once a week	-0.026	0.789	0.974
		Read, or share on social network (No = Ref.)	Yes	0.216	0.005
	News misrepresent reality? (No = Ref.)	Yes	-0.152	0.031	0.859
Able to identify news that misrepresent reality (No=Ref.)	Yes	-0.204	0.000	0.816	
Misinformation is a problem for democracy? (No=Ref.)	Yes	-0.060	0.551	0.942	
Intercept			0.180	0.283	
Sceptics	Gender (Male = Ref.)	Female	-0.266	0.000	0.767
		25 - 39	-0.010	0.932	0.990
	Age (15-24= Ref.)	40 - 54	0.010	0.930	1.010
		>=55	-0.202	0.065	0.817
		Self-employed	0.221	0.006	1.248
	Occupation (Employees = Ref.)	Manual workers	0.129	0.222	1.138
		Not working	0.210	0.001	1.234
		Almost everyday	-0.142	0.062	0.868
	Social network use (several time a month or less= Ref.)	At least once a week	-0.219	0.020	0.803
		Read, or share on social network (No = Ref.)	Yes	0.280	0.000
	News misrepresent reality? (No = Ref.)	Yes	0.449	0.000	1.568
Able to identify news that misrepresent reality (No=Ref.)	Yes	-0.266	0.000	0.766	
Misinformation is a problem for democracy? (No=Ref.)	Yes	-0.105	0.281	0.901	
Intercept			-0.042	0.799	
News buff	Gender (Male = Ref.)	Female	-0.068	0.122	0.935
		25 - 39	-0.216	0.039	0.806
	Age (15-24= Ref.)	40 - 54	-0.173	0.088	0.841
		>=55	-0.390	0.000	0.677
		Self-employed	-0.245	0.001	0.783
	Occupation (Employees = Ref.)	Manual workers	-0.166	0.077	0.847
		Not working	-0.312	0.000	0.732
		Almost everyday	0.236	0.001	1.266
	Social network use (several time a month or less= Ref.)	At least once a week	0.159	0.057	1.172
		Read, or share on social network (No = Ref.)	Yes	0.271	0.000
	News misrepresent reality? (No = Ref.)	Yes	-0.254	0.000	0.775
Able to identify news that misrepresent reality (No=Ref.)	Yes	0.103	0.037	1.108	
Misinformation is a problem for democracy? (No=Ref.)	Yes	0.005	0.955	1.005	
Intercept			0.928	0.000	
Credulous	Gender (Male = Ref.)	Female	0.104	0.020	1.109
		25 - 39	-0.041	0.719	0.960
	Age (15-24= Ref.)	40 - 54	0.090	0.409	1.094
		>=55	0.156	0.128	1.169
		Self-employed	-0.291	0.000	0.748
	Occupation (Employees = Ref.)	Manual workers	0.052	0.588	1.054
		Not working	0.022	0.707	1.022
		Almost everyday	0.306	0.000	1.358
	Social network use (several time a month or less= Ref.)	At least once a week	-0.192	0.029	0.825
		Read, or share on social network (No = Ref.)	Yes	-0.141	0.035
	News misrepresent reality? (No = Ref.)	Yes	-0.772	0.000	0.462
Able to identify news that misrepresent reality (No=Ref.)	Yes	0.281	0.000	1.325	
Misinformation is a problem for democracy? (No=Ref.)	Yes	-0.227	0.008	0.797	
Intercept			0.987	0.000	

5. Conclusion


The results of the present work show different attitudes of European citizens towards the media, and this is related not only to socio-demographic characteristics, but also to their behavior and

opinions regarding misinformation. In considering the relevance of misinformation and fake news in contemporary times, it is important to identify potential strategies for tackling misinformation. Indeed, the role of countering misinformation is the responsibility of a variety of actors. Policymakers could promote a climate of calm discussion around decision that have to be made. The media could make greater efforts to promote unbiased reporting and ensure high standards of quality. It is incumbent on public institutions to provide support and monitoring misinformation, just as social media should pay more attention to the content disseminated through their platforms, playing a role that increasingly resembles that of a publisher. But a key role is represented by education and training, to act on the side of the final recipients of information and make the effects of misinformation less dangerous.

Reflecting on the limitations of this study and future research, it was not possible to include other potentially relevant information, such as the ones regarding tech-profile and cultural background of users since no information are provided from the Eurobarometer survey. It is likely that these aspects markedly affect users' attitude toward media. Finally, the proposed clusters have not been validated in other contexts, a deeper analysis through other data sources and in relation to different geographical areas is required to investigate the validity of the proposed users' segments.

References

- Allcott, H., & Gentzow, M. (2017). Social media and fake news in the 2016 election. *Journal of economic perspectives*, **31**(2), pp. 211-36.
- Baldacci, E. & Pelagalli, F. (2017). *Communication of statistics in post-truth society: the good, the bad and the ugly*. Luxemburg: Publications Office of the European Union. KS-TC-17-005, 2017.
- Borges-Tiago, T., Tiago, F., Silva, O., Guaita Martinez, J. M., & Botella-Carrubi, D. (2020). Online users' attitudes toward fake news: Implications for brand management. *Psychology & Marketing*, **37**(9), pp. 1171-1184.
- Dinev, T., Goo, J., Hu, Q., & Nam, K. (2009). User behaviour towards protective information technologies: the role of national cultural differences. *Information Systems Journal*, **19**(4), 391-412.
- Dentith, M. R. (2016). The problem of fake news. *Public Reason*, **8**(1-2), pp. 65-79.
- European Commission (2018) *Fake news and disinformation online*. *Flash Eurobarometer 464*. Document available at: <https://op.europa.eu/it/publication-detail/-/publication/2d79b85a-4cea-11e8-be1d-01aa75ed71a1/language-en> [last accessed 07/2021]
- Figueira, Á., & Oliveira, L. (2017). The current state of fake news: challenges and opportunities. *Procedia Computer Science*, **121**, pp. 817-825.
- Fletcher, R., Cornia, A., Graves, L., & Nielsen, R. K. (2018). Measuring the reach of “fake news” and online disinformation in Europe. *Australasian Policing*, **10**(2), pp. 25-33.
- Kim, S., & Kim, S. (2020). The Crisis of public health and infodemic: Analyzing belief structure of fake news about COVID-19 pandemic. *Sustainability*, **12**(23), 9904, pp.1-23.
- Martens, B., Aguiar, L., Gomez-Herrera, E., & Mueller-Langer, F. (2018). The digital transformation of news media and the rise of disinformation and fake news—an economic perspective. *JRC Digit. Econ. Work. Pap*, **2**, 57.
- Quan-Haase, A., Williams, C., Kicevski, M., Elueze, I., & Wellman, B. (2018). Dividing the grey divide: Deconstructing myths about older adults' online activities, skills, and attitudes. *American Behavioral Scientist*, **62**(9), pp. 1207-1228.
- Reuter, C., Hartwig, K., Kirchner, J., & Schlegel, N. (2019). Fake news perception in Germany: A Representative Study of People's Attitudes and Approaches to Counteract Disinformation. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Wirtschaftsinformatik*, Siegen, Germany,
- Rose, J. (2020). To believe or not to believe: An epistemic exploration of fake news, truth, and the limits of knowing. *Postdigital Science and Education*, **2**(1), pp. 202-216.
- Shao, C., Ciampaglia, G. L., Varol, O., Yang, K. C., Flammini, A., & Menczer, F. (2018). The spread of low-credibility content by social bots. *Nature communications*, **9**(1), pp. 1-9.



This book includes 40 peer-reviewed short papers submitted to the Scientific Conference titled *Statistics and Information Systems for Policy Evaluation*, aimed at promoting new statistical methods and applications for the evaluation of policies and organized by the Association for Applied Statistics (ASA) and the Dept. of Statistics, Computer Science, Applications DiSIA “G. Parenti” of the University of Florence, jointly with the partners AICQ (Italian Association for Quality Culture), AICQ-CN (Italian Association for Quality Culture North and Centre of Italy), AISS (Italian Academy for Six Sigma), ASSIRM (Italian Association for Marketing, Social and Opinion Research), Comune di Firenze, the SIS – Italian Statistical Society, Regione Toscana and Valmon – Evaluation & Monitoring.

Bruno Bertaccini is Associate Professor of Statistics at the “G. Parenti” Department of Statistics, Computer Science and Applications of the University of Florence. After earning his PhD in Applied Statistics, he became an expert in the effectiveness assessment of public policies, with particular attention to the evaluation of the quality of higher education and academic policies.

Luigi Fabbris is a freelance researcher in the field of statistics and social science; President of the ASA, (Italian) Association for Applied Statistics; formerly Professor of Social Statistics at the University of Padua; he has authored or co-authored more than 400 papers or books.

Alessandra Petrucci is Professor of Social Statistics and she holds a PhD in Applied Statistics. Her research interests include survey sampling methods, spatial statistics, multivariate statistical analysis applied to social and environmental issues. She is the author and co-author of numerous scientific papers published in national and international journals, and she has been a member of research projects and scientific committees for several national and international conferences.

ISSN 2704-601X (print)
ISSN 2704-5846 (online)
ISBN 978-88-5518-461-8 (PDF)
ISBN 978-88-5518-462-5 (XML)
DOI 10.36253/978-88-5518-461-8
www.fupress.com