

A DERIVATION OF THE VLASOV-STOKES SYSTEM FOR AEROSOL FLOWS FROM THE KINETIC THEORY OF BINARY GAS MIXTURES

ETIENNE BERNARD

IGN-LAREG, Université Paris Diderot, Bâtiment Lamarck A
5 rue Thomas Mann, Case courrier 7071, 75205 Paris Cedex 13, France

LAURENT DESVILLETES

Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité
Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu — Paris Rive Gauche, UMR CNRS 7586, CNRS
Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, 75013, Paris, France

FRANÇOIS GOLSE

CMLS, Ecole polytechnique et CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay
91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France

VALERIA RICCI

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università degli Studi di Palermo
Via Archirafi 34, I90123 Palermo, Italy

(Communicated by Kazuo Aoki)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we formally derive the thin spray equation for a steady Stokes gas (i.e. the equation consists in a coupling between a kinetic — Vlasov type — equation for the dispersed phase and a — steady — Stokes equation for the gas). Our starting point is a system of Boltzmann equations for a binary gas mixture. The derivation follows the procedure already outlined in [Bernard, Desvillettes, Golse, Ricci, *Commun.Math.Sci.*,**15** (2017), 1703–1741] where the evolution of the gas is governed by the Navier-Stokes equation.

1. Introduction. An aerosol or a spray is a fluid consisting of a *dispersed phase*, usually liquid droplets, sometimes solid particles, immersed in a gas referred to as the *propellant*.

An important class of models for the dynamics of aerosol/spray flows consists of
(a) a kinetic equation for the dispersed phase, and
(b) a fluid equation for the propellant.

The kinetic equation for the dispersed phase and the fluid equation for the propellant are coupled through the drag force exerted by the gas on the droplets/particles. This class of models applies to the case of *thin* sprays, i.e. those for which the volume fraction of the dispersed phase is typically $\ll 1$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35Q20, 35B25; Secondary: 82C40, 76T15, 76D07.

Key words and phrases. Vlasov-Stokes system, Boltzmann equation, hydrodynamic limit, aerosols, sprays, gas mixture.

Perhaps the simplest example of this class of models is the Vlasov-Stokes system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F - \frac{\kappa}{m_p} \operatorname{div}_v((v - u)F) = 0, \\ -\rho_g \nu \Delta_x u = -\nabla_x p + \kappa \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (v - u)F \, dv, \\ \operatorname{div}_x u = 0. \end{cases}$$

The unknowns in this system are $F \equiv F(t, x, v) \geq 0$, the distribution function of the dispersed phase, i.e. the number density of particles or droplets with velocity v located at the position x at time t , and $u \equiv u(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^3$, the velocity field in the gas. The parameters κ , m_p , ρ_g and ν are positive constants. Specifically, κ is the friction coefficient of the gas on the dispersed phase, m_p is the mass of a particle or droplet, and ρ_g is the gas density, while ν is the kinematic viscosity of the gas. The aerosol considered here is assumed for simplicity to be *monodisperse* — i.e. all the particles in the dispersed phase are of the same size and of the same mass. In practice, the particles in the dispersed phase of an aerosol are in general distributed in size (and in mass).

The last equation in the system above indicates that the gas flow is considered as incompressible¹. The scalar pressure field $p \equiv p(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}$ is instantaneously coupled to the unknowns F and u by the Poisson equation

$$\Delta_x p = \kappa \operatorname{div}_x \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (v - u)F \, dv.$$

The mathematical theory of the Vlasov-Stokes system has been discussed in [22] — see in particular section 6 there, which treats the case of a steady Stokes equation as above.

Our purpose in the present work is to provide a rigorous derivation of this system from a more microscopic system.

Derivations of the Stokes equation with a force term including the drag force exerted by the particles on the fluid (known as the Brinkman force) from a system consisting of a large number of particles immersed in a viscous fluid can be found in [1, 15]. Both results are based on the method of homogenization of elliptic operators on domains with holes of finite capacity, pioneered by Khruslov and his school — see for instance [30, 11]. Unfortunately, this method assumes that the minimal distance between particles remains uniformly much larger than the particle radius $r \ll 1$. Specifically, this minimal distance is assumed in [15] to be of the order of $r^{1/3}$ in space dimension 3; this condition has been recently improved in [24]. Such particle configurations are of vanishing probability as the particle number $N \rightarrow \infty$: see for instance Proposition 4 in [23]. Moreover, the question of propagating this separation condition by the particle dynamics seems open so far — see however [25] for interesting ideas on a similar problem for a first order dynamics. Another serious drawback in this approach is that collisions between particles in the dispersed phase immersed in a viscous incompressible fluids seem to correspond to physically paradoxical analytical singularities: see [13, 18] for a detailed discussion of this point. This is a rather special feature of the dynamics of solids immersed in an incompressible viscous fluid, which does not seem to appear in the mathematical theory of

¹It is well known that the motion of a gas at a very low Mach number is governed by the equations of incompressible fluid mechanics, even though a gas is a compressible fluid. A formal justification for this fact can be found on pp. 11–12 in [28]. Rigorous proofs can be found in [26, 29].

the Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system, which has been studied rather extensively: see for instance [10, 9, 36, 37] on the existence theory for the Cauchy problem for the Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system, or [20, 21] which discuss interesting asymptotic limits of that system.

For that reason, we have laid out in [3] a program for deriving dynamical equations for aerosol flows from a system of Boltzmann equations for the dispersed phase and the propellant viewed as a binary gas mixture. In [3], we have given a complete formal derivation of the Vlasov-(incompressible) Navier-Stokes system from a scaled system of Boltzmann equations. We have identified the scaling leading to this system, which involves two small parameters. One is the mass ratio η of the gas molecule to the particle in the dispersed phase. The other small parameter is the ratio ϵ of the thermal speed of the dispersed phase to the speed of sound in the gas. The assumption $\eta \ll 1$ implies that the gas molecules impingement on the particles in the dispersed phase results in a slight deviation of these particles, and this accounts for the replacement of one of the collision integrals in the Boltzmann system by a Vlasov type term. This also accounts for the appearance of the drag force in the fluid equation. However, describing the drag force exerted on a system of solid particles immersed in a rarefied gas by a collision integral is a simplification of the rather complex reality: see for instance [33, 34, 35] for the interaction of a Boltzmann gas with a single solid particle. The assumption $\epsilon \ll 1$ explains why a low Mach number approximation is adequate for the motion equation in the propellant. In particular, the velocity field in the propellant is approximately divergence free, and the motion equation in the gas is the same as in an incompressible fluid with constant density.

However, a more intricate scaling is needed to derive the Vlasov-Stokes system above from the system of Boltzmann equations for a binary mixture. If the ratio μ of the mass density of the propellant to the mass density of the dispersed phase is very small, and the thermal speed in the dispersed phase is much smaller than that of the propellant, one can hope that the friction force exerted by the dispersed phase on the propellant will slow down the gas, so that Navier-Stokes motion equation can be replaced with a Stokes equation. Although this scenario sounds highly plausible, the asymptotic limit of the system of Boltzmann equations for a binary gas mixture leading to the Vlasov-Stokes system rests on a rather delicate tuning of the three small parameters ϵ, η, μ , defined in the statement of our main result, Theorem 4.1.

It is not hard to see that this approach to the derivation of the Vlasov-Stokes system corresponds to situations different from the ones covered by the homogenization method (assuming that the separation condition could be verified). See Remark 1 below for more details on this point. However, the homogenization method would derive the Vlasov-Stokes system from a different microscopic system than the one considered in this work. On the other hand, a detailed inspection of our analysis in the present paper suggests that the only scaling limit under which the Vlasov-Stokes system can be derived from the system of Boltzmann equation for the propellant and the dispersed phase is the one considered in the present paper. See Remark 5 at the end of the paper.

The outline of this paper is as follows: section 2 introduces the system of Boltzmann equation for binary gas mixtures, identifies the scaling parameters involved in the problem, and presents two classes of Boltzmann type collision integrals describing the interaction between the dispersed phase and the propellant. Section 3 formulates a few (specifically, five) key abstract assumptions on the interaction

between the dispersed phase and the propellant, which are verified by the models introduced in section 2. The main result of the present paper, i.e. the derivation of the Vlasov-Stokes system from the system of Boltzmann equations for a binary gas mixture, is Theorem 4.1, stated at the beginning of section 4. The remaining part of section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Obviously, the present paper shares many features with its companion [3] — we have systematically used the same notation in both papers. However, the derivation of the Vlasov-Stokes system differs in places from that of the Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system in [3]. For instance, some assumptions on the interaction between the propellant and the dispersed phase used in the present paper are slightly different from their analogues in [3]. We have therefore kept the unavoidable repetitions between [3] and the present paper to a strict minimum. Only the part of the proof of Theorem 4.1 that is special to the derivation of the Vlasov-Stokes system is given in full detail. The reader is referred to [3] for all the arguments which have been already used in the derivation of the Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system.

2. Boltzmann equations for multicomponent gases. Consider a binary mixture consisting of microscopic gas molecules and much bigger solid dust particles or liquid droplets. For simplicity, we henceforth assume that the dust particles or droplets are identical (in particular, the spray is monodisperse: all particles have the same mass), and that the gas is monatomic. We denote from now on by $F \equiv F(t, x, v) \geq 0$ the distribution function of dust particles or droplets, and by $f \equiv f(t, x, w) \geq 0$ the distribution function of gas molecules. These distribution functions satisfy the system of Boltzmann equations

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x)F &= \mathcal{D}(F, f) + \mathcal{B}(F), \\ (\partial_t + w \cdot \nabla_x)f &= \mathcal{R}(f, F) + \mathcal{C}(f). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The terms $\mathcal{B}(F)$ and $\mathcal{C}(f)$ are the Boltzmann collision integrals for pairs of dust particles or liquid droplets and for gas molecules respectively. The terms $\mathcal{D}(F, f)$ and $\mathcal{R}(f, F)$ are Boltzmann type collision integrals describing the deflection of dust particles or liquid droplets subject to the impingement of gas molecules, and the slowing down of gas molecules by collisions with dust particles or liquid droplets respectively.

Collisions between molecules are assumed to be elastic, and satisfy therefore the usual local conservation laws of mass, momentum and energy, while collisions between dust particles may not be perfectly elastic, so that $\mathcal{B}(F)$ satisfies only the local conservation of mass and momentum. Since collisions between gas molecules and particles preserve the nature of the colliding objects, the collision integrals \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{R} satisfy the local conservation laws of particle number per species and local balance of momentum. The local balance of energy is satisfied if the collisions between gas molecules and particles are elastic.

The system (1) is the starting point in our derivation of the Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system in [3]. We shall mostly follow the derivation in [3], and shall insist only on the differences between the limit considered there and the derivation of the Vlasov-Stokes system studied in the present paper.

2.1. Dimensionless Boltzmann systems. We assume for simplicity that the aerosol is enclosed in a periodic box of size $L > 0$, i.e. $x \in \mathbf{R}^3/L\mathbf{Z}^3$. The system of Boltzmann equations (1) involves an important number of physical parameters,

which are listed in the table below. The notation m_g designates the mass of the propellant gas molecule.

Parameter	Definition
L	size of the container (periodic box)
\mathcal{N}_p	number of particles/ L^3
\mathcal{N}_g	number of gas molecules/ L^3
V_p	thermal speed of particles
V_g	thermal speed of gas molecules
S_{pp}	average particle/particle cross-section
S_{pg}	average particle/gas cross-section
S_{gg}	average molecular cross-section
$\eta = m_g/m_p$	mass ratio (molecules/particles)
$\mu = (m_g\mathcal{N}_g)/(m_p\mathcal{N}_p)$	mass fraction (gas/dust or droplets)
$\epsilon = V_p/V_g$	thermal speed ratio (particles/molecules)

This table of parameters is the same as in [3], except for the mass fraction μ which does not appear in [3].

We first define a dimensionless position variable:

$$\hat{x} := x/L, \quad (2)$$

together with dimensionless velocity variables for each species:

$$\hat{v} := v/V_p, \quad \hat{w} := w/V_g. \quad (3)$$

In other words, the velocity of each species is measured in terms of the thermal speed of the particles in the species under consideration.

Next, we define a time variable, which is adapted to the slowest species, i.e. the dust particles or droplets:

$$\hat{t} := tV_p/L. \quad (4)$$

Finally, we define dimensionless distribution functions for each particle species:

$$\hat{F}(\hat{t}, \hat{x}, \hat{v}) := V_p^3 F(t, x, v)/\mathcal{N}_p, \quad \hat{f}(\hat{t}, \hat{x}, \hat{w}) := V_g^3 f(t, x, w)/\mathcal{N}_g.$$

The definition of dimensionless collision integrals is more complex and involves the average collision cross sections S_{pp} , S_{pg} , S_{gg} , whose definition is recalled below.

The collision integrals $\mathcal{B}(F)$, $\mathcal{C}(f)$, $\mathcal{D}(F, f)$ and $\mathcal{R}(f, F)$ are given by expressions of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}(F)(v) &= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} F(v')F(v'_*)\Pi_{pp}(v, dv' dv'_*) \\
&\quad - F(v) \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} F(v_*)|v - v_*|\Sigma_{pp}(|v - v_*|) dv_*, \\
\mathcal{C}(f)(w) &= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} f(w')f(w'_*)\Pi_{gg}(w, dw' dw'_*) \\
&\quad - f(w) \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} f(w_*)|w - w_*|\Sigma_{gg}(|w - w_*|) dw_*, \\
\mathcal{D}(F, f)(v) &= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} F(v')f(w')\Pi_{pg}(v, dv' dw')
\end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - F(v) \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} f(w) |v - w| \Sigma_{pg}(|v - w|) dw, \\ \mathcal{R}(f, F)(w) = & \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} F(v') f(w') \Pi_{gp}(w, dv' dw') \\ & - f(w) \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} F(v) |v - w| \Sigma_{pg}(|v - w|) dv. \end{aligned}$$

In these expressions, $\Pi_{pp}, \Pi_{gg}, \Pi_{pg}, \Pi_{gp}$ are nonnegative, measure-valued measurable functions defined a.e. on \mathbf{R}^3 , while $\Sigma_{pp}, \Sigma_{gg}, \Sigma_{pg}$ are nonnegative measurable functions defined a.e. on \mathbf{R}_+ . This setting is the same as in [3], and is taken from chapter 1 in [27] (see in particular formula (3.6) there).

The relation between the quantities Π and Σ is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \Pi_{pp}(v, dv' dv'_*) dv &= |v' - v'_*| \Sigma_{pp}(|v' - v'_*|) dv' dv'_*, \\ \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \Pi_{gg}(w, dw' dw'_*) dw &= |w' - w'_*| \Sigma_{gg}(|w' - w'_*|) dw' dw'_*, \\ \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \Pi_{pg}(v, dv' dw') dv &= |v' - w'| \Sigma_{pg}(|v' - w'|) dv' dw', \\ \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \Pi_{gp}(w, dv' dw') dw &= |v' - w'| \Sigma_{pg}(|v' - w'|) dv' dw'. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The dimensionless quantities associated to Σ_{pp}, Σ_{gg} and Σ_{pg} are $(i, j = p, g)$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Sigma}_{ii}(|\hat{z}|) &= \Sigma_{ii}(V_i |\hat{z}|) / S_{ii}, \\ \hat{\Sigma}_{ij}(|\hat{z}|) &= \Sigma_{ij}(V_j |\hat{z}|) / S_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Pi}_{pp}(\hat{v}, d\hat{v}' d\hat{v}'_*) &= \Pi_{pp}(v, dv' dv'_*) / S_{pp} V_p^4, \\ \hat{\Pi}_{gg}(\hat{w}, d\hat{w}' d\hat{w}'_*) &= \Pi_{gg}(w, dw' dw'_*) / S_{gg} V_g^4, \\ \hat{\Pi}_{pg}(\hat{v}, d\hat{v}' d\hat{w}') &= \Pi_{pg}(v, dv' dw') / S_{pg} V_g^4, \\ \hat{\Pi}_{gp}(\hat{w}, d\hat{v}' d\hat{w}') &= \Pi_{gp}(w, dv' dw') / S_{pg} V_g V_p^3. \end{aligned}$$

With the dimensionless quantities so defined, we arrive at the following dimensionless form of the multicomponent Boltzmann system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_i \hat{F} + \hat{v} \cdot \nabla_{\hat{x}} \hat{F} = \mathcal{N}_g S_{pg} L \frac{V_g}{V_p} \hat{\mathcal{D}}(\hat{F}, \hat{f}) + \mathcal{N}_p S_{pp} L \hat{\mathcal{B}}(\hat{F}), \\ \partial_i \hat{f} + \frac{V_g}{V_p} \hat{w} \cdot \nabla_{\hat{x}} \hat{f} = \mathcal{N}_p S_{pg} L \frac{V_g}{V_p} \hat{\mathcal{R}}(\hat{f}, \hat{F}) + \mathcal{N}_g S_{gg} L \frac{V_g}{V_p} \hat{\mathcal{C}}(\hat{f}). \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

2.2. Scaling assumptions. Throughout the present study, we shall always assume that

$$\mathcal{N}_p S_{pp} L \ll 1, \tag{8}$$

so that the collision integral for dust particles or droplets $\mathcal{N}_p S_{pp} L \hat{\mathcal{B}}(\hat{F})$ is considered as formally negligible (and will not appear anymore in the equations).

Remark 1. As mentioned in the introduction, one could hope to derive the Vlasov-Stokes model from a system of spherical particles moving in a Stokes fluid in the regime of homogenization of elliptic operators in a domain with small holes with finite capacity, provided that the distance between particles remains uniformly larger

than some threshold: see [1, 15, 24]. This seems to be a regime very different from the one considered here.

On the contrary, the derivation presented here can be extended without difficulty to situations involving collisions between particles in the dispersed phase. If the mean free path $(\mathcal{N}_p S_{pp})^{-1}$ in the dispersed phase is $\gg L$, then $\mathcal{N}_p S_{pp} L \ll 1$, so that the collision term $\mathcal{B}(F, F)$ in the dispersed phase, although not identically 0, scales as $\mathcal{N}_p S_{pp} L$ and is therefore negligible in the limit. Throughout the present paper, the collision term $\mathcal{B}(F, F)$ has been dropped for simplicity only. In particular, the derivation considered here does not assume that the distance between particles in the dispersed phase is bounded from below as in the homogenization approach.

Besides, the thermal speed V_p of dust particles or droplets is in general smaller than the thermal speed V_g of gas molecules; thus we denote their ratio by

$$\epsilon = \frac{V_p}{V_g} \in [0, 1]. \quad (9)$$

Recalling that the mass ratio $[0, 1] \ni \eta = m_g/m_p$ is supposed to be extremely small, since the particles are usually much heavier than the molecules, we also assume

$$\frac{\eta}{\mu} = \frac{\mathcal{N}_p}{\mathcal{N}_g} \in [0, 1], \quad (10)$$

where μ is the mass fraction of the gas with respect to the droplets, which is also supposed to be extremely small. This hypothesis on the mass ratio gives a scaling such that the mass density of the gas is very small with respect to the mass density of the dispersed phase.

In the case of elastic collisions, elementary computations show that the pre- to post-collision deflection in the velocity of a heavy particle impinging on a gas molecule is asymptotically proportional to the mass ratio $\eta \ll 1$ (see the first equality in (31) below). Based on this observation, we postulate the scaling condition

$$(\mathcal{N}_g S_{pg} L) \frac{V_g}{V_p} = \frac{1}{\eta}, \quad (11)$$

which is the key to deriving the Vlasov equation from the Boltzmann equation for the dispersed phase. (This idea already appeared in [12] in a different form, in the context of plasmas.)

With (10), the scaling condition (11) implies that

$$\mathcal{N}_p S_{pg} L = \frac{\mathcal{N}_p}{\mathcal{N}_g} \left(\mathcal{N}_g S_{pg} L \frac{V_g}{V_p} \right) \frac{V_p}{V_g} = \frac{\eta}{\mu} \frac{1}{\eta} \epsilon = \frac{\epsilon}{\mu}. \quad (12)$$

Finally, in the sequel, we shall assume that

$$\mathcal{N}_g S_{gg} L = \frac{\mu}{\epsilon}, \quad (13)$$

and that

$$\epsilon \ll \mu \ll 1. \quad (14)$$

The justification for the scaling assumption (13) is rather involved and requires a detailed knowledge of the Stokes limit of the Boltzmann equation described in [2]. See Remark 5 at the end of the paper.

With these assumptions, one has

$$(\mathcal{N}_p S_{pg} L) \frac{V_g}{V_p} = \frac{1}{\mu}, \quad (\mathcal{N}_g S_{gg} L) \frac{V_g}{V_p} = \frac{\mu}{\epsilon^2},$$

so that we arrive at the scaled system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \hat{F} + \hat{v} \cdot \nabla_{\hat{x}} \hat{F} = \frac{1}{\eta} \hat{\mathcal{D}}(\hat{F}, \hat{f}), \\ \partial_t \hat{f} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \hat{w} \cdot \nabla_{\hat{x}} \hat{f} = \frac{1}{\mu} \hat{\mathcal{R}}(\hat{f}, \hat{F}) + \frac{\mu}{\epsilon^2} \hat{\mathcal{C}}(\hat{f}). \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Henceforth, we drop hats on all dimensionless quantities and variables introduced in this section. Only dimensionless variables, distribution functions and collision integrals will be considered from now on.

We also use V, W as variables in the positive part of the collision operators \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{R} , in order to avoid confusions.

We define therefore the (ϵ - and η -dependent) dimensionless collision integrals

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(f)(w) &= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} f(w') f(w'_*) \Pi_{gg}(w, dw' dw'_*) \\ &\quad - f(w) \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} f(w_*) |w - w_*| \Sigma_{gg}(|w - w_*|) dw_*, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(F, f)(v) &= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} F(V) f(W) \Pi_{pg}(v, dV dW) \\ &\quad - F(v) \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} f(w) |\epsilon v - w| \Sigma_{pg}(|\epsilon v - w|) dw, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(f, F)(w) &= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} F(V) f(W) \Pi_{gp}(w, dV dW) \\ &\quad - f(w) \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} F(v) |\epsilon v - w| \Sigma_{pg}(|\epsilon v - w|) dv, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

with Σ_{gg}, Σ_{pg} satisfying (6). Notice that Π_{pg} and Π_{gp} depend in fact on ϵ and η , and will sometimes be denoted by $\Pi_{pg}^{\epsilon, \eta}$ and $\Pi_{gp}^{\epsilon, \eta}$, whenever needed.

With the notation defined above, the scaled Boltzmann system (15) is then recast as:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = \frac{1}{\eta} \mathcal{D}(F, f), \\ \partial_t f + \frac{1}{\epsilon} w \cdot \nabla_x f = \frac{1}{\mu} \mathcal{R}(f, F) + \frac{\mu}{\epsilon^2} \mathcal{C}(f). \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

At this point, it may be worthwhile explaining the difference between the scalings considered in the present paper and in [3].

In [3], we implicitly assumed that $\mu = 1$, while we have assumed in the present paper that $\mu \ll 1$.

When $\mu \ll 1$, the density of the dispersed phase is much higher than that of the propellant, and since $\epsilon \ll 1$, the thermal speed of the dispersed phase is much smaller than that of the gas. Therefore the dispersed phase slows down the motion of gas molecules, so that the Reynolds number in the gas becomes small and the material derivative in the Navier-Stokes equation becomes negligible.

Indeed, if one compares the scaling used in this paper, leading to the Vlasov-Stokes system, with the one leading to the Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system, corresponding to $\mu = 1$, one sees that the assumption that $\mu \ll 1$ enhances the term $\mathcal{R}(f, F)$ (the slowing of gas molecules due to friction on particles in the dispersed phase), leading to the friction term in the gas motion equation, and weakens the collision integral $\mathcal{C}(f)$, leading to the nonlinear part of the material derivative in the gas.

This qualitative argument explains why the scaling considered in the present paper leads to a steady Stokes equation in the gas, while the assumption $\mu = 1$ as in [3] leads to a Navier-Stokes equation. Additional scaling assumptions used in the derivation of the Vlasov-Stokes system will be stated in Theorem 4.1 — see also Remark 2 after Theorem 4.1 and Remark 5 at the end of the paper.

2.3. The explicit form of collision integrals in two physical situations.

2.3.1. *The Boltzmann collision integral for gas molecules.* The dimensionless collision integral $\mathcal{C}(f)$ is given by the formula

$$\mathcal{C}(f)(w) = \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^2} (f(w')f(w'_*) - f(w)f(w_*))c(w - w_*, \omega) dw_* d\omega, \quad (20)$$

for each measurable f defined a.e. on \mathbf{R}^3 and rapidly decaying at infinity, where

$$\begin{aligned} w' &\equiv w'(w, w_*, \omega) := w - (w - w_*) \cdot \omega \omega, \\ w'_* &\equiv w'_*(w, w_*, \omega) := w_* + (w - w_*) \cdot \omega \omega, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

(see formulas (3.11) and (4.16) in chapter II of [5]). The collision kernel c is of the form

$$c(w - w_*, \omega) = |w - w_*| \sigma_{gg}(|w - w_*|, |\cos(\widehat{w - w_*}, \omega)|), \quad (22)$$

where σ_{gg} is the dimensionless differential cross-section of gas molecules. In other words,

$$\Sigma_{gg}(|z|) = 4\pi \int_0^1 \sigma_{gg}(|z|, \mu) d\mu,$$

while

$$\Pi_{gg}(w, dW dW_*) = \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^2} \delta_{w'(w, w_*, \omega)} \otimes \delta_{w'_*(w, w_*, \omega)} c(w - w_*, \omega) dw_* d\omega, \quad (23)$$

where this last formula is to be understood as explained in section 2.3.1 of [3]. Specifically, for each test function $\phi \equiv \phi(W, W_*) \in C_b(\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} \phi(W, W_*) \Pi_{gg}(w, dW dW_*) \\ &= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^2} \phi(w'(w, w_*, \omega), w'_*(w, w_*, \omega)) c(w - w_*, \omega) dw_* d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

We also assume that the molecular interaction is defined in terms of a hard potential satisfying Grad's cutoff assumption. In other words, we assume:

Assumption A1. *There exists $c_* > 1$ and $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < c(z, \omega) &\leq c_*(1 + |z|)^\gamma, & \text{for a.e. } (z, \omega) \in \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^2, \\ \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} c(z, \omega) d\omega &\geq \frac{1}{c_*} \frac{|z|}{1 + |z|}, & \text{for a.e. } z \in \mathbf{R}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

We next review in detail the properties of the linearization of the collision integral \mathcal{C} about a uniform Maxwellian M , which is defined by the formula

$$\mathcal{L}\phi := -M^{-1}DC(M) \cdot (M\phi), \quad (25)$$

where D designates the (formal) Fréchet derivative. Without loss of generality, one can choose the uniform Maxwellian to be given by the expression

$$M(w) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-|w|^2/2}, \quad (26)$$

after some Galilean transformation eliminating the mean velocity of M , and some appropriate choice of units so that the temperature and pressure associated to this Maxwellian state are both equal to 1.

We recall the following theorem, due to Hilbert (in the case of hard spheres) and Grad (in the case of hard cutoff potentials): see Theorem I on p.186 and Theorem II on p.187 in [5].

Theorem 2.1. *The linearized collision integral \mathcal{L} is an unbounded operator on $L^2(Mdv)$ with domain*

$$\text{Dom } \mathcal{L} = L^2((\bar{c} \star M)^2 Mdv), \quad \text{where } \bar{c}(z) := \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} c(z, \omega) d\omega.$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^* \geq 0$, with nullspace

$$\text{Ker } \mathcal{L} = \text{span}\{1, w_1, w_2, w_3, |w|^2\}. \quad (27)$$

Finally, \mathcal{L} is a Fredholm operator, so that

$$\text{Im } \mathcal{L} = \text{Ker } \mathcal{L}^\perp.$$

Defining

$$A(w) := w \otimes w - \frac{1}{3}|w|^2 I \quad (28)$$

the traceless part of the quadratic tensor field $w \otimes w$, elementary computations show that

$$A \perp \text{Ker } \mathcal{L} \quad \text{in } L^2(Mdv).$$

Hence there exists a unique $\tilde{A} \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{L}$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}\tilde{A} = A, \quad \tilde{A} \perp \text{Ker } \mathcal{L}, \quad (29)$$

by the Fredholm alternative applied to the Fredholm operator \mathcal{L} .

Using that the linearized collision integral and the tensor field A are equivariant under the action of the orthogonal group, one finds that the matrix field \tilde{A} is in fact of the form

$$\tilde{A}(w) = \alpha(|w|)A(w). \quad (30)$$

See [14] or Appendix 2 of [19].

In the sequel, we shall present results which are specific to the case when both α and its derivative α' are bounded. More precisely, we make the following assumption.

Assumption A2. *there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$|\tilde{A}(w)| \leq C(1 + |w|^2), \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \tilde{A}(w)| \leq C(1 + |w|^2).$$

We recall that, in the case of Maxwell molecules, that is, for a collision kernel c of the form

$$c(z, \omega) = C(|\cos(\widehat{z, \omega})|)$$

the scalar α is a positive constant, so that Assumption A2 is satisfied in that case.

2.3.2. *The collision integrals \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{R} for elastic collisions.* For each measurable F and f defined a.e. on \mathbf{R}^3 and rapidly decaying at infinity, the dimensionless collision integrals $\mathcal{D}(F, f)$ and $\mathcal{R}(f, F)$ are given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}(F, f)(v) &= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^2} (F(v'')f(w'') - F(v)f(w))b(\epsilon v - w, \omega) \, dw d\omega, \\ \mathcal{R}(f, F)(w) &= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^2} (f(w'')F(v'') - f(w)F(v))b(\epsilon v - w, \omega) \, dv d\omega,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}v'' &\equiv v''(v, w, \omega) := v - \frac{2\eta}{1+\eta} \left(v - \frac{1}{\epsilon} w \right) \cdot \omega \omega, \\ w'' &\equiv w''(v, w, \omega) := w - \frac{2}{1+\eta} (w - \epsilon v) \cdot \omega \omega,\end{aligned}\tag{31}$$

(see formula (5.10) in chapter II of [5]).

The collision kernel b is of the form

$$b(\epsilon v - w, \omega) = |\epsilon v - w| \sigma_{pg}(|\epsilon v - w|, |\cos(\widehat{\epsilon v - w}, \omega)|),\tag{32}$$

where σ_{pg} is the dimensionless differential cross-section of gas molecules. In other words,

$$\Sigma_{pg}(|z|) = 4\pi \int_0^1 \sigma_{pg}(|z|, \mu) \, d\mu,\tag{33}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{pg}(v, dV dW) &= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^2} \delta_{v''(v, w, \omega)} \otimes \delta_{w''(v, w, \omega)} b(\epsilon v - w, \omega) \, dw d\omega, \\ \Pi_{gp}(w, dV dW) &= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^2} \delta_{v''(v, w, \omega)} \otimes \delta_{w''(v, w, \omega)} b(\epsilon v - w, \omega) \, dv d\omega,\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

where the equalities (34) are to be understood in the same way as (23).

As in the case of the molecular collision kernel c , we assume that b is a cutoff kernel associated with a hard potential, i.e. we assume that there exists $b_* > 1$ and $\beta^* \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}0 < b(z, \omega) &\leq b_*(1 + |z|)^{\beta^*}, & \text{for a.e. } (z, \omega) \in \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^2, \\ \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} b(z, \omega) \, d\omega &\geq \frac{1}{b_*} \frac{|z|}{1 + |z|}, & \text{for a.e. } z \in \mathbf{R}^3.\end{aligned}\tag{35}$$

We also assume that, for any $p > 3$,

$$\iiint |b(\epsilon v - w, \omega) - b(w, \omega)| (1 + |v|^2 + |w|^2) M(w) (1 + |v|^2)^{-p} \, d\omega \, dv \, dw = O(\epsilon).\tag{36}$$

This assumption is satisfied as soon as the angular cutoff in the hard potential is smooth, or in the case of hard spheres collisions.

2.3.3. *An inelastic model of collision integrals \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{R} .* Dust particles or droplets are macroscopic objects when compared to gas molecules. This suggests using the classical models of gas surface interactions to describe the impingement of gas molecules on dust particles or droplets. The simplest such model of collisions has been introduced by F. Charles in [6], with a detailed discussion in section 1.3 of [7] and in [8]. A similar, although slightly more involved collision rule has been used earlier in numerical simulations in the work of Gallis-Torczyński-Rader [17]. We briefly recall the model in the notation used by F. Charles in the discussion below.

The core idea leading to this inelastic model is to view particles in the dispersed phase as macroscopic objects, and to express the diffuse reflection of gas molecules from the surface of these macroscopic particles, assumed to be spherical for simplicity. This model does not involve any notion of internal energy of the particles in the dispersed phase other than a surface temperature, assumed to be constant in time and on the surface of each particle, and independent of the particle considered.

First, the (dimensional) particle-molecule cross-section is

$$S_{pg} = \pi(r_g + r_p)^2,$$

where r_g is the molecular radius and r_p the radius of dust particles or droplets. In other words, we assume that the collisions between gas molecules and the particles in the dispersed phase are hard sphere collisions. Then, the dimensionless particle-molecule cross-section is

$$\Sigma_{pg}(|\epsilon v - w|) = 1.$$

The formulas for S_{pg} and Σ_{pg} correspond to a binary collision between two balls of radius r_p and r_g .

Next, the measure-valued functions Π_{pg} and Π_{gp} are defined by the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{pg}(v, dV dW) &:= K_{pg}(v, V, W) dV dW, \\ \Pi_{gp}(w, dV dW) &:= K_{gp}(w, V, W) dV dW, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_{pg}(v, V, W) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{1+\eta}{\eta}\right)^4 \beta^4 \epsilon^3 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 \left(\frac{1+\eta}{\eta}\right)^2 \left|\epsilon v - \frac{\epsilon V + \eta W}{1+\eta}\right|^2\right) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} (n \cdot (\epsilon V - W))_+ \left(n \cdot \left(\frac{\epsilon V + \eta W}{1+\eta} - \epsilon v\right)\right)_+ dn, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_{gp}(w, V, W) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} (1+\eta)^4 \beta^4 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 (1+\eta)^2 \left|w - \frac{\epsilon V + \eta W}{1+\eta}\right|^2\right) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} (n \cdot (\epsilon V - W))_+ \left(n \cdot \left(w - \frac{\epsilon V + \eta W}{1+\eta}\right)\right)_+ dn. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

In the formulas above,

$$\beta = \sqrt{\frac{m_g}{2k_B T_{surf}}},$$

where k_B is the Boltzmann constant and T_{surf} the surface temperature of the particles.

3. Hypothesis on Π_{pg} and Π_{gp} . In the sequel, we shall provide a theorem which holds for all diffusion and friction operators satisfying a few assumptions described below. We recall that Π_{pg} and Π_{gp} are nonnegative measure valued functions of the variable $v \in \mathbf{R}^3$ and of the variable $w \in \mathbf{R}^3$ respectively, which depend in general on ϵ and η (cf. formulas (31), (34), and (37) – (39)). We do not systematically mention this dependence, unless absolutely necessary, as in Assumptions (H4)-(H5) below. In that case, we write $\Pi_{pg}^{\epsilon, \eta}$ and $\Pi_{gp}^{\epsilon, \eta}$ instead of Π_{pg} and Π_{gp} , as already explained.

Assumption (H1). *There exists a nonnegative function $q \equiv q(r)$, such that $q(r) \leq C(1+r)$ for some constant $C > 0$, and such that the measure-valued functions Π_{pg} and Π_{gp} satisfy*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \Pi_{pg}(v, dVdW) dv = \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \Pi_{gp}(w, dVdW) dw = q(|\epsilon V - W|) dVdW.$$

Observe that Assumption (H1) is consistent with the fact that the same cross section Σ_{pg} appears in the last two lines of (6). In particular, (H1) implies the local conservation law of mass.

Assumption (H2). *There exists a nonnegative function $Q \equiv Q(r)$ in $C^1(\mathbf{R}_+^*)$ such that $Q(r) + |Q'(r)| \leq C(1+r)$ for some constant $C > 0$, and such that the measure-valued functions Π_{pg} and Π_{gp} satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (v - V) \Pi_{pg}(v, dVdW) dv &= -\eta \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (w - W) \Pi_{gp}(w, dVdW) dw \\ &= -\frac{\eta}{1+\eta} (\epsilon V - W) Q(|\epsilon V - W|) dVdW. \end{aligned}$$

This assumption implies the conservation of momentum between molecules and particles.

Assumption (H3): *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the measure-valued function Π_{pg} satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \left| \epsilon v - \frac{\epsilon V + \eta W}{1+\eta} \right|^2 \Pi_{pg}(v, dVdW) dv \leq C \eta^2 (1 + |\epsilon V - W|^2) q(|\epsilon V - W|),$$

where q is the function in Assumption (H1).

Assumption (H4): *In the limit as $(\epsilon, \eta) \rightarrow (0, 0)$, one has $\Pi_{gp}^{\epsilon, \eta}(w, \cdot) \rightarrow \Pi_{gp}^{0, 0}(w, \cdot)$ weakly in the sense of probability measures for a.e. $w \in \mathbf{R}^3$, and the limiting measure $\Pi_{gp}^{0, 0}$ satisfies the following invariance condition:*

$$\mathcal{T}_R \# \Pi_{gp}^{0, 0} = \Pi_{gp}^{0, 0} \quad \text{for each } R \in O_3(\mathbf{R}), \quad (40)$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_R : (w, V, W) \mapsto (Rw, V, RW). \quad (41)$$

Besides, for each $p > 3$ and each $\Phi := \Phi(w, W)$ in $C^1(\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3)$ such that

$$|\Phi(w, W)| + |\nabla_w \Phi(w, W)| \leq C(1 + |w|^2 + |W|^2) M(W)$$

for some $C > 0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (1 + |V|^2)^{-p} \left| \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} \Phi(w, W) (\Pi_{gp}^{\epsilon, \eta}(w, dVdW) dw - \Pi_{gp}^{0, 0}(w, dVdW) dw) \right| \\ = O(\epsilon + \eta) \end{aligned}$$

as $(\epsilon, \eta) \rightarrow 0$.

Assumption (H5): *There exists a positive constant $C > 0$ (independent of ϵ, η) such that, for all (ϵ, η) close to $(0, 0)$ and for all $h \in L^2(M(w)dw)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \iiint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} \frac{(1 + |W|^2)}{(1 + |V|^2)^p} M(W) |h(W)| (1 + |w|^2) \Pi_{gp}^{\epsilon, \eta}(w, dVdW) dw \\ \leq C \|h\|_{L^2(M(w)dw)}. \end{aligned}$$

Assumptions (H1)-(H3) and (H5) are the same as the assumptions introduced in section 3 of [3]. Assumption (H4) differs from its counterpart in [3]: while the

asymptotic invariance condition (40) is the same as in assumption (H4) in section 3 of [3], the second part of (H4) in the present paper postulates a convergence rate $O(\epsilon + \eta)$, whereas the second part of assumption (H4) in [3] only requires that the same quantity should vanish in the limit as $(\epsilon, \eta) \rightarrow 0$. Besides, assumption (H4) in [3] involved some additional decay condition on $\Pi_{gp}^{0,0}$ which is useless here.

We recall that the elastic and inelastic models previously introduced (in subsections 2.3.2 and 2.3.3 resp.) satisfy the assumptions (H1)-(H3) and (H5): see section 3 of [3] for a detailed verification. It remains to verify (H4), with the modified asymptotic condition used in the present work. These verifications are summarized in the following propositions.

We begin with the elastic collision model.

Proposition 1. *We consider a cross-section b of the form (32) satisfying (35)-(36), and the quantities Σ_{pg} , Π_{pg} and Π_{gp} defined by (31), (33) and (34). Then, assumptions (H1) – (H5) are satisfied, with*

$$q(|\epsilon v - w|) = 4\pi \int_0^1 |\epsilon v - w| \sigma_{pg}(|\epsilon v - w|, \mu) d\mu, \quad (42)$$

$$Q(|\epsilon v - w|) = 8\pi \int_0^1 |\epsilon v - w| \sigma_{pg}(|\epsilon v - w|, \mu) \mu^2 d\mu. \quad (43)$$

Proof. The reader is referred to the proof of Proposition 1 in section 3 of [3]. We present only the part of the argument concerning the second condition in Assumption (H4), which is new. For each $p > 3$ and each $\Phi := \Phi(w, W)$ in $C^1(\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3)$ such that

$$|\Phi(w, W)| + |\nabla_w \Phi(w, W)| \leq C(1 + |w|^2 + |W|^2)M(W),$$

we deduce from the mean value theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (1 + |V|^2)^{-p} \left| \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} \Phi(w, W) (\Pi_{gp}^{\epsilon, \eta}(w, dV dW) dw - \Pi_{gp}^{0,0}(w, dV dW) dw) \right| \right. \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (1 + |v|^2)^{-p} \left| \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^2} (\Phi(w'', w) b(\epsilon v - w, \omega) - \Phi(S_\omega w) b(w, \omega)) dv d\omega \right| dv \\ &\leq C \iiint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^2} \frac{(1 + |w|^2 + |v|^2)}{(1 + |v|^2)^p} M(w) |b(\epsilon v - w, \omega) - b(w, \omega)| dv d\omega \\ &\quad + C \iiint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^2} \frac{(\eta|w| + \epsilon|v|)}{(1 + |v|^2)^p} \phi_{\epsilon, \eta}(w, \omega, \theta) b(w, \omega) dv d\omega \\ &\leq C(\eta + \epsilon) + C'(\eta + \epsilon) \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} \frac{(1 + |w|)(|w| + |v|)(1 + |w|^2 + |v|^2)}{(1 + |v|^2)^p} M(w) dv d\omega \\ &\leq C''(\eta + \epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_\omega w := w - 2(w \cdot \omega)\omega,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\epsilon, \eta}(w, \omega, \theta) &:= \sup_{0 < \theta < 1} |\nabla_w \Phi(S_\omega w + \frac{2\theta}{1+\eta}(\eta w + \epsilon v) \cdot \omega \omega, w)| \\ &\leq C(1 + 2|w|^2 + 4|\eta w + \epsilon v|^2 + |w|^2)M(w) \\ &\leq C(1 + 11|w|^2 + 8|v|^2)M(w) \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < \epsilon, \eta < 1$. □

Next we check assumptions (H1)-(H5) on the inelastic collision model.

Proposition 2. *Consider the measure-valued functions Π_{pg} and Π_{gp} defined in (37)-(39). Then, assumptions (H1)-(H5) are satisfied, with*

$$q(|\epsilon v - w|) = |\epsilon v - w|$$

and

$$Q(|\epsilon v - w|) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{3\beta} + |\epsilon v - w|.$$

Proof. Here again, we present only the argument justifying the second part of (H4) which is new, and refer to Proposition 2 in section 3 of [3] for a complete proof of the remaining statements.

With the substitution $w \mapsto z = (1 + \eta)w - \epsilon V - \eta W$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} \Phi(w, W) (1 + \eta)^4 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\beta^2(1 + \eta)^2 \left|w - \frac{\epsilon V + \eta W}{1 + \eta}\right|^2\right) \\ & \times \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} ((\epsilon V - W) \cdot n)_+ \left(\left(\frac{\epsilon V + \eta W}{1 + \eta} - w\right) \cdot n\right)_+ \, dn \, dw \, dW \\ & = \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} \Phi\left(\frac{z + \epsilon V + \eta W}{1 + \eta}, W\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta^2|z|^2} J_\epsilon(V, W) \, dz \, dW, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J_\epsilon(V, W, z) := \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} ((\epsilon V - W) \cdot n)_+ (n \cdot z)_+ \, dn.$$

We shall also denote

$$J(W, z) := J_\epsilon(0, W, z), \quad \hat{J}(W, z) = J(W, z) + J(-W, z).$$

Then, for each $p > 3$ and each $\Phi := \Phi(w, W)$ in $C^1(\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3)$ satisfying

$$|\Phi(w, W)| + |\nabla_w \Phi(w, W)| \leq C(1 + |w|^2 + |W|^2)M(W),$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (1 + |V|^2)^{-p} \left| \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} \Phi(w, W) (\Pi_{gp}^{\epsilon, \eta}(w, dV dW) \, dw - \Pi_{gp}^{0, 0}(w, dV dW) \, dw) \right| \right| \\ & \leq C \iiint \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta^2|z|^2}}{(1 + |V|^2)^p} |\Phi\left(\frac{z + \epsilon V + \eta W}{1 + \eta}, W\right) J_\epsilon(V, W, z) - \Phi(z, W) J(W, z)| \, dz \, dV \, dW \\ & \leq C\epsilon \iiint \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta^2|z|^2}}{(1 + |V|^2)^p} |\Phi\left(\frac{z + \epsilon V + \eta W}{1 + \eta}, W\right)| \hat{J}(V, z) \, dz \, dV \, dW \\ & + C \iiint \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta^2|z|^2}}{(1 + |V|^2)^p} |\Phi\left(\frac{z + \epsilon V + \eta W}{1 + \eta}, W\right) - \Phi(z, W)| J(W, z) \, dz \, dV \, dW. \end{aligned}$$

By the mean value theorem

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Phi\left(\frac{z + \epsilon V + \eta W}{1 + \eta}, W\right) - \Phi(z, W) \right| \leq |\epsilon V + \eta(W - z)| \sup_{0 < \theta < 1} |\nabla_w \Phi(z + \theta \frac{\epsilon V + \eta(W - z)}{1 + \eta})| \\ & \leq |\epsilon V + \eta(W - z)| (1 + (|z| + |\epsilon V| + \eta|W - z|)^2 + |W|^2) M(W) \\ & \leq (\eta|z| + \eta|W| + \epsilon|V|) (1 + 6|z|^2 + 3|V|^2 + 7|W|^2) M(W), \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Phi\left(\frac{z + \epsilon V + \eta W}{1 + \eta}, W\right) \right| \leq C(1 + |z + \epsilon V + \eta W|^2 + |W|^2) M(W) \\ & \leq C(1 + 3|z|^2 + 3|V|^2 + 4|W|^2) M(W), \end{aligned}$$

if $0 < \epsilon, \eta < 1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (1 + |V|^2)^{-p} \left| \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} \Phi(w, W) (\Pi_{gp}^{\epsilon, \eta}(w, dV dW) dw - \Pi_{gp}^{0,0}(w, dV dW) dw) \right| \right. \\
& \quad \leq 4C\epsilon \iiint \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta^2|z|^2}}{(1 + |V|^2)^p} [[z, V, W]] M(W) \hat{J}(V, z) dz dV dW \\
& \quad + 7C \iiint \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta^2|z|^2}}{(1 + |V|^2)^p} (\eta|z| + \eta|W| + \epsilon|V|) [[z, V, W]] M(W) J(W, z) dz dV dW \\
& \quad \leq 4C\epsilon \iiint \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta^2|z|^2}}{(1 + |V|^2)^p} [[z, V, W]] M(W) |V||z| dz dV dW \\
& \quad + 7\sqrt{3}C \max(\epsilon, \eta) \iiint \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta^2|z|^2}}{(1 + |V|^2)^p} [[z, V, W]]^{3/2} M(W) J(W, z) dz dV dW \\
& \quad \leq C'(\epsilon + \eta).
\end{aligned}$$

We have denoted

$$[[z, V, W]] := 1 + |z|^2 + |V|^2 + |W|^2$$

and used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\eta|z| + \eta|W| + \epsilon|V| \leq \sqrt{3} \max(\epsilon, \eta) (|z|^2 + |W|^2 + |V|^2)^{1/2}.$$

□

4. Passing to the limit.

4.1. Statement of the main result. We now consider a sequence of solutions $f_n \equiv f_n(t, x, w) \geq 0$, and $F_n \equiv F_n(t, x, v) \geq 0$ to the system of kinetic-fluid equations (19), with ϵ, η, μ replaced with sequences $\epsilon_n, \eta_n, \mu_n \rightarrow 0$ respectively. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t F_n + v \cdot \nabla_x F_n &= \frac{1}{\eta_n} \mathcal{D}(F_n, f_n), \\
\partial_t f_n + \frac{1}{\epsilon_n} w \cdot \nabla_x f_n &= \frac{1}{\mu_n} \mathcal{R}(f_n, F_n) + \frac{\mu_n}{\epsilon_n^2} \mathcal{C}(f_n),
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{R} are defined by (20), (22), (17) and (18).

Our main result is stated below.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $g_n \equiv g_n(t, x, w)$ and $F_n \equiv F_n(t, x, v) \geq 0$ be sequences of smooth (at least C^1) functions. Assume that F_n and f_n defined by*

$$f_n(t, x, w) = M(w)(1 + \epsilon_n g_n(t, x, w)), \tag{45}$$

where M is given by (26), are solutions to the system (44), where \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{R} are defined by (20)-(22) and (17) and (18). We assume moreover that $\Pi_{pg}^{\epsilon_n, \eta_n}$ and $\Pi_{gp}^{\epsilon_n, \eta_n}$ in (17)-(18) satisfy assumptions (H1)-(H5) (with Σ_{pg} defined by (6) in accordance with Assumption (H1)). We also assume that the molecular interaction verifies assumptions (A1) and (A2).

Assume that

$$\eta_n / \epsilon_n^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \epsilon_n / \mu_n^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \mu_n \rightarrow 0, \tag{46}$$

that

$$F_n \rightharpoonup F \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3) \text{ weak-}^*,$$

and that

$$g_n \rightharpoonup g \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^2(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3) \text{ weak.}$$

(a) Assume that F_n decays sufficiently fast, uniformly in n , in the velocity variable; in other words assume that, for some $p > 3$,

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \sup_{t, |x| \leq R} \sup_{v \in \mathbf{R}^3} (1 + |v|^2)^p F_n(t, x, v) < \infty$$

for all $R > 0$.

(b) Assume that, for some $q > 1$,

$$\sup_{t, |x| < R} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (1 + |w|^2)^q M(w) g_n^2(t, x, w) dw < \infty$$

for all $R > 0$.

(c) the sequence of velocity averages of g_n

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} g_n \phi(w) M(w) dw \rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} g \phi(w) M(w) dw \quad (47)$$

strongly in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3)$ for each $\phi \in C_c(\mathbf{R}^3)$.

Then there exist L^∞ functions $\rho \equiv \rho(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}$, $\theta \equiv \theta(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}$ and a velocity field $u \equiv u(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^3$ s.t. for a.e $t, x \in \mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3$,

$$g(t, x, w) = \rho(t, x) + u(t, x) \cdot w + \theta(t, x) \frac{1}{2}(|w|^2 - 3), \quad (48)$$

while u, F satisfies the Vlasov-Stokes system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = \kappa \operatorname{div}_v((v - u)F), \\ \operatorname{div}_x u = 0, \\ -\nu \Delta_x u + \nabla_x p = \kappa \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (v - u)F dv, \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

in the sense of distributions, with

$$\nu := \frac{1}{10} \int \tilde{A} : \mathcal{L} \tilde{A} M dw > 0, \quad \kappa := \frac{1}{3} \int Q(|w|)|w|^2 M dw > 0, \quad (50)$$

where Q is defined in assumption (H2), and \tilde{A}, \mathcal{L} are defined by (29), (25).

Remark 2. Notice that the ordering of the scaling parameters in assumption (46) is more restrictive than the condition (14) postulated so far in section 2.2. The assumption $\eta_n/\epsilon_n^2 \rightarrow 0$ is used in the analysis of the term

$$\frac{1}{\eta_n} \mathcal{D}(F_n, f_n)$$

in the kinetic equation for the distribution of the dispersed phase, and is already present in our derivation of the Vlasov-Navier-Stokes limit from the coupled system of Boltzmann equation for the propellant and the dispersed phase in [3]. This assumption is used in the proof of Proposition 4 in Step 2 below.

The assumption $\epsilon_n/\mu_n^2 \rightarrow 0$ is used in proving that the asymptotic fluctuation of distribution function for the propellant is of the form (48): see the proof of Proposition 3 in Step 1 below.

Remark 3. The Vlasov-Stokes system is used in the modeling of medical aerosols in the trachea and of drug deposition in the upper part of the lungs. The volume in the upper part of the lung being of the order of 10^{-4}m^3 , we assume that the

propellant (air), with $m_g = 5 \cdot 10^{-26}$ kg is in the normal conditions of pressure and temperature, so that $V_g = 5 \cdot 10^2 \text{ms}^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{N}_g = 2.7 \cdot 10^{25} \text{m}^{-3}$.

A typical example of dispersed phase would consist of droplets of volume 10^{-18}m^3 with density twice that of liquid water, so that $m_p = 2 \cdot 10^{-15}$ kg, and with a number of droplets per unit volume $\mathcal{N}_p = 10^{16} \text{m}^{-3}$. With these data, one finds that

$$\eta = m_g/m_p = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-11}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = \eta \mathcal{N}_g/\mathcal{N}_p = 6.75 \cdot 10^{-2}.$$

With $V_p = 10^{-1} \text{ms}^{-1}$, one finds that $\epsilon = 2 \cdot 10^{-4}$, so that

$$\eta = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-11} \ll 2 \cdot 10^{-8} = \epsilon^2$$

and

$$\epsilon = 2 \cdot 10^{-4} \ll 4.55 \cdot 10^{-3} < \mu^2.$$

The assumption that $\eta \ll \epsilon^2$ can be recast as $T_p \ll T_g$, where T_g is the gas temperature, while $T_p := m_p V_p^2 / 3k_B$ is a notion of temperature corresponding to the thermal agitation of droplets in the dispersed phase. As explained in [3] (see the remark following Theorem 4.1), in the situation described by the Vlasov-Stokes system, the dispersed phase is not in equilibrium with the propellant. Therefore, one should not expect that T_p and T_g should be of the same order of magnitude. Besides, collisions in the dispersed phase are assumed to be negligible, so that there is no thermalization effect in the dispersed phase, and the temperature T_p contains little physical information.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.1. We split this proof in several steps, summarized in Propositions 3 to 5, and a final part in which the convergence of all the terms in eq. (44) is established.

4.2.1. Step 1: Asymptotic form of the molecular distribution function. We first identify the asymptotic structure of the fluctuations of molecular distribution function about the Maxwellian state M .

Proposition 3. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 4.1, there exist two functions $\rho \equiv \rho(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}$, $\theta \equiv \theta(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}$ and a vector field $u \equiv u(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^3$ satisfying*

$$\rho, \theta \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^3), \quad u \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^3; \mathbf{R}^3)$$

such that the limiting fluctuation g of molecular distribution function about M is of the form (48) for a.e $t, x \in \mathbf{R}_+^ \times \mathbf{R}^3$.*

Moreover, u satisfies the divergence-free condition

$$\text{div}_x u = 0.$$

Finally

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \tilde{A}(w)(w \cdot \nabla_x g) M \, dw = \nu(\nabla_x u + (\nabla_x u)^T),$$

where \tilde{A} is defined in (29), and ν is defined in (50).

Proof. Since \mathcal{C} is a quadratic operator, its Taylor expansion terminates at order 2, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(M(1 + \epsilon_n g_n)) &= \mathcal{C}(M) + \epsilon_n D\mathcal{C}(M) \cdot (M g_n) + \epsilon_n^2 \mathcal{C}(M g_n) \\ &= -\epsilon_n M \mathcal{L} g_n + \epsilon_n^2 M \mathcal{Q}(g_n), \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{L} is defined by (25) and

$$\mathcal{Q}(\phi) := M^{-1} \mathcal{C}(M \phi). \quad (51)$$

Then the kinetic equation for the propellant (second line of eq. (44)) can be recast in terms of the fluctuation of the distribution function g_n as follows:

$$\partial_t g_n + \frac{1}{\epsilon_n} w \cdot \nabla_x g_n + \frac{\mu_n}{\epsilon_n^2} \mathcal{L} g_n = \frac{1}{\mu_n \epsilon_n} M^{-1} \mathcal{R}(M(1 + \epsilon_n g_n), F_n) + \frac{\mu_n}{\epsilon_n} \mathcal{Q}(g_n). \quad (52)$$

Multiplying each side of this equation by ϵ_n^2/μ_n shows that

$$\mathcal{L} g_n = \frac{\epsilon_n}{\mu_n^2} M^{-1} \mathcal{R}(M(1 + \epsilon_n g_n), F_n) + \epsilon_n \mathcal{Q}(g_n) - \frac{\epsilon_n^2}{\mu_n} \partial_t g_n - \frac{\epsilon_n}{\mu_n} w \cdot \nabla_x g_n. \quad (53)$$

The last two terms of this identity clearly converge to 0 in the sense of distributions, since $g_n \rightharpoonup g$ in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3)$ weak.

Next, we observe that, for w', w'_* defined by (21) and $\phi \in C_c(\mathbf{R}^3)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \mathcal{Q}(g_n)(w) \phi(w) dw \\ &= \iiint \left(\frac{\phi(w')}{M(w')} - \frac{\phi(w'_*)}{M(w'_*)} \right) M(w_*) g_n(w_*) M(w) g_n(w) c(w - w_*, \omega) d\omega dw_* dw. \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \mathcal{Q}(g_n)(w) \phi(w) dw \right| \\ & \leq C \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} M(w_*) g_n(w_*) M(w) g_n(w) (1 + |w| + |w_*|) dw_* dw \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} M(w) g_n(w)^2 dw \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} M(w) (1 + |w|)^2 dw. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \mathcal{Q}(g_n)(w) \phi(w) dw \text{ is bounded in } L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3)$$

for each $\phi \in C_c(\mathbf{R}^3)$, so that

$$\epsilon_n \mathcal{Q}(g_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3).$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \mathcal{R}(f_n, F_n) M^{-1}(w) \phi(w) dw \\ &= \iiint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} \left(\frac{\phi(w)}{M(w)} - \frac{\phi(v)}{M(v)} \right) f_n(W) F_n(V) \Pi_{gp}(w, dV dW) dw \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \mathcal{R}(f_n, F_n) M^{-1}(w) \phi(w) dw \right| & \leq C \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} F_n(V) f_n(W) q(|\epsilon_n V - W|) dV dW \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} M(W) (1 + |g_n|)(W) (1 + |W|) dW, \end{aligned}$$

because of assumptions (a)-(b). Therefore, the sequence

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \mathcal{R}(f_n, F_n) M^{-1}(w) \phi(w) dw$$

is bounded in $L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3)$, and hence

$$\frac{\epsilon_n}{\mu_n^2} M^{-1} \mathcal{R}(f_n, F_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3).$$

Hence, we deduce from (53) that

$$\mathcal{L}g_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3).$$

On the other hand, assumption (b) and the fact that $g_n \rightarrow g$ in $L_{loc}^2(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3)$ imply that

$$\mathcal{L}g_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}g \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^2(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3) \text{ weak.}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{L}g = 0$.

Since $\text{Ker } \mathcal{L}$ is the linear span of $\{1, v_1, v_2, v_3, |v|^2\}$, this implies the existence of $\rho, \theta \in L_{loc}^2(\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^3)$ and of $u \in L_{loc}^2(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3; \mathbf{R}^3)$ such that (48) holds. That $\rho, \theta \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^3)$ and $u \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3; \mathbf{R}^3)$ follows from assumption (b) and the formulas

$$\rho = \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} gM \, dw, \quad u = \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} wgM \, dw, \quad \theta = \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{3}|v|^2 - 1\right)gM \, dw.$$

The remaining statements, i.e. the divergence free condition satisfied by u and the computation of

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \tilde{A}(w)(w \cdot \nabla_x g)M \, dw$$

are obtained as in [3] — specifically, as in Propositions 6 and 7 of [3] respectively. \square

Remark 4. In the case of elastic collisions between the gas molecules and the particles in the dispersed phase, using more carefully the symmetries in the collision integrals leads to an estimate of the form

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \mathcal{R}(f_n, F_n)M^{-1}(w)\phi(w) \, dw \right| \leq C(\epsilon_n + \eta_n),$$

so that the assumption $\epsilon_n/\mu_n \rightarrow 0$ (instead of $\epsilon_n/\mu_n^2 \rightarrow 0$) is enough to guarantee that

$$\frac{\epsilon_n}{\mu_n^2} M^{-1} \mathcal{R}(f_n, F_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3).$$

The same is true for the inelastic collision model if $\beta = 1$, i.e. if the surface temperature of the particles or droplets is equal to the temperature of the Maxwellian around which the distribution function of the gas is linearized.

4.2.2. Step 2: Asymptotic deflection and friction terms. The following result can then be proved exactly as in [3] (more precisely, see Propositions 4 and 5 in [3]).

Proposition 4. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 4.1,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\eta_n} \mathcal{D}(F_n, f_n) &\rightarrow \kappa \operatorname{div}((v-u)F) && \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3), \\ \frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \int w \mathcal{R}(f_n, F_n) \, dw &\rightarrow \kappa \int (v-u)F \, dv && \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3), \end{aligned}$$

with κ defined by eq. (50).

Without entering details, we briefly sketch the main ideas in the (rather lengthy) proof of this result.

The second convergence follows from the first because of the

$$\frac{1}{\eta_n} \int v \mathcal{D}(F_n, f_n)(v) \, dv + \frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \int w \mathcal{R}(f_n, F_n)(w) \, dw = 0,$$

which is the momentum balance identity implied by assumption (H2).

As for the first convergence, start from the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\eta_n} \int \mathcal{D}(F_n, f_n)(v) \phi(v) dv \\
&= \frac{1}{\eta_n} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} F_n(V) f_n(W) \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (\phi(v) - \phi(V)) \Pi_{pg}(v, dV dW) dv \\
&= \frac{1}{\eta_n} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} F_n(V) f_n(W) \nabla \phi(V) \cdot \int (v - V) \Pi_{pg}(v, dV dW) dv \\
&+ \frac{1}{\eta_n} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} F_n(V) f_n(W) \int H(v, V) : (v - V)^{\otimes 2} \Pi_{pg}(v, dV dW) dv \\
&=: I_n + J_n,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$H(v, V) := \int_0^1 (1-t) \nabla^2 \phi((1-t)V + tv) dt.$$

This equality is obtained by using the Taylor expansion at order 2 for the test function $\phi \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^3)$, chosen so that $\nabla \phi$ and $\nabla^2 \phi$ belong to $L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3)$. By (H2)

$$I_n = - \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} F_n(V) \nabla \phi(V) \cdot \frac{K_n(V)}{1 + \eta_n} dV,$$

where

$$K_n(V) := \frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} f_n(W) (\epsilon_n V - W) Q(|\epsilon_n V - W|) dW.$$

The most important term in I_n is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} F_n(V) \frac{\nabla \phi(V)}{1 + \eta_n} \cdot \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} M(W) g_n(W) W Q(|W|) dW dV \\
& \rightarrow \kappa u \cdot \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} F(V) \nabla \phi(V) dV \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3)
\end{aligned}$$

by assumptions (a)-(c) in Theorem 4.1. Notice that this step involves the only nonlinearity in the Vlasov-Stokes system, so that the strong convergence of velocity averages of g_n (i.e. assumption (c)) is needed in order to pass to the limit.

The other dominant term in I_n converges to

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} F(V) \nabla \phi(V) \cdot V \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} M(W) (Q(|W|) + \frac{1}{3} |W| Q'(|W|)) dW dV$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3)$. Observing that

$$W \cdot \nabla M(W) = -|W|^2 M(W),$$

so that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} M(W) (Q(|W|) + \frac{1}{3} |W| Q'(|W|)) dW = \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} M(W) |W|^2 Q(|W|) dW = \kappa,$$

we conclude that this term converges to

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} F(V) \nabla \phi(V) \cdot V \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \frac{1}{3} |W|^2 M(W) Q(|W|) dW dV \\
& = -\kappa \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} F(V) \nabla \phi(V) \cdot V dV
\end{aligned}$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3)$.

Finally, one disposes of the remainder by using assumption (H3).

The reader interested in the details of this argument is referred to the proofs of Propositions 4 and 5, in steps 2 and 3 resp. of section 4 in [3].

4.2.3. *Step 3: Asymptotic friction flux.* The asymptotic friction flux is handled as in Proposition 9 in [3], with some modifications due to the differences in the scalings used here and in [3].

Proposition 5. *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 4.1,*

$$\frac{1}{\mu_n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \tilde{A}(w) \mathcal{R}(f_n, F_n)(w) dw \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3).$$

Proof. First, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \tilde{A}(w) \mathcal{R}(M, F_n)(w) dw \\ &= \iiint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} F_n(V) M(W) (\tilde{A}(w) - \tilde{A}(W)) \Pi_{gp}^{\epsilon_n, \eta_n}(w, dV dW) dw. \end{aligned}$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int \tilde{A}(w) \mathcal{R}(M, F_n)(w) dw - \iiint F_n(V) M(W) (\tilde{A}(w) - \tilde{A}(W)) \Pi_{gp}^{0,0}(w, dV dW) dw \right| \\ & \leq C \int (1 + |V|^2)^{-p} \left| \iint \Phi(w, W) (\Pi_{gp}^{\epsilon_n, \eta_n}(w, dV dW) - \Pi_{gp}^{0,0}(w, dV dW)) dw \right|, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\Phi(w, W) = M(W) (\tilde{A}(w) - \tilde{A}(W)).$$

By assumption (A2), $\Phi \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3)$ and

$$|\Phi(w, W)| + |\nabla_w \Phi(w, W)| \leq C(1 + |w|^2 + |W|^2) M(W).$$

Therefore, the second part of Assumption (H4) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \tilde{A}(w) \mathcal{R}(M, F_n)(w) dw \\ &= \iiint F_n(V) M(W) (\tilde{A}(w) - \tilde{A}(W)) \Pi_{gp}^{0,0}(w, dV dW) dw + O(\epsilon_n + \eta_n). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude by using the symmetry assumption (first part of Assumption (H4)) as in [3], and arrive at the bound

$$\sup_{t+|x|<R} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \tilde{A}(w) \mathcal{R}(M, F_n)(w) dw = O(\epsilon_n + \eta_n) \quad (54)$$

for all $R > 0$.

Finally, observe that, for some $p > 3$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \mathcal{R}(M g_n, F_n) \tilde{A}(w) dw \right| \\ & \leq C_p \iiint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} \frac{|w|^2 + |W|^2}{(1 + |V|^2)^p} M(W) |g_n(W)| \Pi_{gp}(w, dV dW) dw, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_p \equiv C_p(t, x) \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3)$. The integral on the right hand side of this last inequality is bounded in $L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3)$ by (H5) and assumption (b) in Theorem 4.1.

Since $\frac{\epsilon_n}{\mu_n} \rightarrow 0$,

$$\frac{\epsilon_n}{\mu_n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \tilde{A}(w) \mathcal{R}(Mg_n, F_n) dw \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3).$$

With (54), this concludes the proof since $f_n = M(1 + \epsilon_n g_n)$. \square

4.2.4. *Step 4: End of the proof of Theorem 4.1.* For simplicity, we henceforth use the notation

$$\langle \phi \rangle := \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \phi(w) M(w) dw.$$

Since $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^*$

$$\frac{\mu_n}{\epsilon_n} \langle A(w) g_n \rangle = \frac{\mu_n}{\epsilon_n} \langle (\mathcal{L} \tilde{A})(w) g_n \rangle = \left\langle \tilde{A}(w) \frac{\mu_n}{\epsilon_n} \mathcal{L} g_n \right\rangle.$$

Then, we use the Boltzmann equation for g_n written in the form (53) to express the term $\frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \mathcal{L} g_n$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu_n}{\epsilon_n} \langle A(w) g_n \rangle &= \mu_n \langle \tilde{A}(w) \mathcal{Q}(g_n) \rangle - \langle \tilde{A}(w) (\epsilon_n \partial_t + w \cdot \nabla_x) g_n \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\mu_n} \langle \tilde{A}(w) M^{-1} \mathcal{R}(f_n, F_n) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We first pass to the limit in $\langle \tilde{A}(w) (\epsilon_n \partial_t + w \cdot \nabla_x) g_n \rangle$ in the sense of distributions, using assumption (A2) and assumption (b) in Theorem 4.1:

$$\langle \tilde{A}(w) (\epsilon_n \partial_t + w \cdot \nabla_x) g_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle \tilde{A}(w) w \cdot \nabla_x g \rangle, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3).$$

Let

$$P(w, w_*) := \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} (\tilde{A}(w') - \tilde{A}(w)) c(w - w_*, \omega) d\omega.$$

Then

$$\langle \tilde{A} \mathcal{Q}(g_n) \rangle = \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} P(w, w_*) M(w_*) g_n(w_*) M(w) g_n(w) dw_* dw.$$

Clearly $|P(w, w_*)| \leq C(1 + |w|^3 + |w_*|^3)$ by assumption (A2). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \tilde{A}(w) \mathcal{Q}(g_n) \rangle| &\leq \left(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} M(w_*) g_n^2(w_*) M(w) g_n^2(w) dw_* dw \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} M(w_*) M(w) (1 + |w|^3 + |w_*|^3)^2 dw_* dw \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\mu_n \langle \tilde{A}(w) \mathcal{Q}(g_n) \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3).$$

By Proposition 5,

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \langle A(w) g_n \rangle \rightarrow -\nu ((\nabla_x u) + (\nabla_x u)^T) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^3),$$

so that

$$\operatorname{div}_x \frac{\mu_n}{\epsilon_n} \langle A(w) g_n \rangle \rightarrow -\nu \Delta_x u - \nu \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x u = -\nu \Delta_x u$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3)$ since u is divergence free by Proposition 3.

Hence, for each divergence free test vector field $\xi \equiv \xi(x) \in \mathbf{R}^3$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \frac{\mu_n}{\epsilon_n} \langle w \otimes w g_n \rangle : \nabla \xi dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \frac{\mu_n}{\epsilon_n} \langle A(w) g_n \rangle : \nabla \xi dx \rightarrow -\nu \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \nabla_x u : \nabla \xi dx$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+^*)$.

Multiplying both sides of (52) by $wM(w)$ and integrating over \mathbf{R}^3 , we see that

$$\partial_t \langle wg_n \rangle + \frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \operatorname{div}_x \langle w \otimes wg_n \rangle = \frac{1}{\mu_n \epsilon_n} \langle wM^{-1} \mathcal{R}(f_n, F_n) \rangle. \quad (55)$$

By Proposition 3,

$$\langle wg_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle wg \rangle = u$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3)$, while, by Proposition 4,

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \langle wM^{-1} \mathcal{R}(f_n, F_n) \rangle \rightarrow \kappa \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (v - u) F \, dv$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3)$. Thus, for each divergence free test vector field $\xi \equiv \xi(x) \in \mathbf{R}^3$, passing to the limit in the weak formulation (in x) of the momentum balance law (55), i.e.

$$\mu_n \partial_t \int \xi \cdot \langle wg_n \rangle - \frac{\mu_n}{\epsilon_n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \langle A(w)g_n \rangle : \nabla \xi \, dx = \frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \xi \cdot \langle wM^{-1} \mathcal{R}(f_n, F_n) \rangle \, dx,$$

results in

$$0 = -\nu \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \nabla_x u : \nabla \xi \, dx + \kappa \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3} \xi \cdot (v - u) F \, dv \, dx. \quad (56)$$

In other words, let $T = (T_1, T_2, T_3) \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^3; \mathbf{R}^3)$ be defined as follows:

$$T := \nu \Delta_x u + \kappa \iint_{\mathbf{R}^3} \xi \cdot (v - u) F \, dv.$$

Then (56) is equivalent to the fact that

$$\sum_{k=1}^3 \ll T_k, \xi_k \gg_{\mathcal{D}', C_c^\infty} = 0$$

for each test vector field $\xi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3)$ such that $\operatorname{div} \xi = 0$. (In the identity above, we have denoted $\ll, \gg_{\mathcal{D}', C_c^\infty}$ the pairing between distributions and compactly supported C^∞ functions.)

By de Rham's characterization of currents homologous to 0 (see Thm. 17' in [31]), this implies the existence of $p \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^3)$ such that

$$T = \nabla_x p.$$

Thus, the fact that the identity (56) holds for each test vector field $\xi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3)$ such that $\operatorname{div} \xi = 0$ is the weak formulation (in the sense of distributions) of the last equation in (49).

Finally, the equation for the distribution function F of the dispersed phase (i.e. the first line of (44)) is

$$\partial_t F_n + v \cdot \nabla_x F_n = \frac{1}{\eta_n} \mathcal{D}(F_n, f_n).$$

Since $F_n \rightarrow F$ in $L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3)$, one has

$$\partial_t F_n + v \cdot \nabla_x F_n \rightarrow \partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_+^* \times \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3).$$

By Proposition 4,

$$\partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = \kappa \operatorname{div}_v((v - u)F),$$

which is the first equation in (49).

This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Remark 5. The analysis in Step 4 above explains our choice of the scaling for $\mathcal{N}_g S_{gg} L$ a posteriori. Here is a quick argument explaining this choice. According to equation (1.47) in [32], the general dimensionless form of the Boltzmann equation is

$$\text{Sh} \partial_t f + w \cdot \nabla_x f = \frac{1}{\text{Kn}} \mathcal{C}(f) + \frac{\epsilon}{\mu} \mathcal{R}(f, F)$$

after adding the friction term to the right hand side of the Boltzmann equation. Here Sh designates the Strouhal number defined in formula (1.48a) of [32], while $\text{Kn} = (\mathcal{N}_g S_{gg} L)^{-1}$ is the Knudsen number in the gas. Our choice of the time scale \hat{t} and of the dimensionless velocity variable w implies that $\text{Sh} = \epsilon$. On the other hand, we assume that the (fluctuation of) bulk velocity in the gas is of the same order as the typical particle velocity in the dispersed phase, i.e. V_p , so that the Mach number in the gas is $\text{Ma} = V_p/V_g = \epsilon$.

The general theory of hydrodynamic limits of the Boltzmann equation described in [2] rests on the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text{Sh}}{\text{Kn}} \partial_t \langle wg \rangle + \frac{\text{Ma}}{\text{Kn}} \nabla_x \cdot \langle \hat{A} Q(g) \rangle - \nabla_x \cdot \langle \hat{A} w \cdot g \rangle - \text{Sh} \nabla_x \cdot \langle \hat{A} \partial_t g \rangle \\ & + \frac{\epsilon}{\mu \text{Ma}} \nabla_x \cdot \int \hat{A} \mathcal{R}(f, F) dw = \frac{\epsilon}{\mu \text{Ma} \text{Kn}} \int w \mathcal{R}(f, F) dw \text{ mod. gradient fields.} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Sh} = \text{Ma} = \epsilon$, the fourth term on the left hand side scales to 0. If $\text{Ma} \ll \text{Kn}$, the first and second terms on the left hand side also scale to 0. The term on the right hand side should contribute the friction term in the Stokes equation; assumption (H2) implies that

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \int v \mathcal{D}(F, f) dv + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int w \mathcal{R}(f, F) dw = 0,$$

which is the momentum conservation for collisions between the particles in the dispersed phase and the gas molecules (see also Proposition 4). Since

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \mathcal{D}(F, f) \rightarrow \kappa \nabla_v \cdot ((v - u)F)$$

the term

$$\frac{\epsilon}{\mu \text{Ma} \text{Kn}} \int w \mathcal{R}(f, F) dw$$

will have a finite limit if

$$\frac{\epsilon}{\mu \text{Ma} \text{Kn}} = \frac{1}{\epsilon}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\text{Kn} = (\mathcal{N}_g S_{gg} L)^{-1} = \epsilon/\mu.$$

This justifies the scaling assumption (13).

Acknowledgments. The research leading to this paper was funded by the French “ANR blanche” project Kibord: ANR-13-BS01-0004, and by Université Sorbonne Paris Cité, in the framework of the “Investissements d’Avenir”, convention ANR-11-IDEX-0005. V.Ricci acknowledges the support by the GNFM (research project 2015: “Studio asintotico rigoroso di sistemi a una o più componenti”). Finally we thank the referees for several helpful remarks on the first version of this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Allaire, Homogenization of the Navier-Stokes equations in open sets perforated with tiny holes. I. Abstract framework, a volume distribution of holes, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **113** (1990), 209–259.
- [2] C. Bardos, F. Golse and C. D. Levermore, Fluid dynamic limits of kinetic equations. I. Formal derivations, *J. Stat. Phys.*, **63** (1991), 323–344.
- [3] E. Bernard, L. Desvillettes, F. Golse and V. Ricci, A derivation of the Vlasov-Navier-Stokes model for aerosol flows from kinetic theory, *Commun. Math. Sci.*, **15** (2017), 1703–1741.
- [4] J. A. Carrillo, Y.-P. Choi and T. K. Karper, On the analysis of a coupled kinetic-fluid model with local alignment forces, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **33** (2016), 273–307.
- [5] C. Cercignani, *Theory and Applications of the Boltzmann Equation*, Elsevier, New York, 1975.
- [6] F. Charles, Kinetic modelling and numerical simulations using particle methods for the transport of dust in a rarefied gas, in *Proceedings of the 26th International Symposium on Rarefied Gas Dynamics, AIP Conf. Proc.* **1084** (2009), 409–414.
- [7] F. Charles, Modélisation Mathématique et Étude Numérique d’un Aérosol dans un Gaz Raréfié. Application à la Simulation du Transport de Particules de Poussière en Cas d’Accident de Perte de Vide dans ITER, Ph.D thesis, ENS Cachan, 2009.
- [8] F. Charles, S. Dellacherie and J. Segré, Kinetic modeling of the transport of dust particles in a rarefied atmosphere, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, **22** (2012), 1150021, 60 pp.
- [9] Y.-P. Choi, Finite-time blow-up phenomena of Vlasov/Navier-Stokes equations and related systems, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, (2017).
- [10] Y.-P. Choi and B. Kwon, Global well-posedness and large-time behavior for the inhomogeneous Vlasov-Navier-Stokes equations, *Nonlinearity*, **28** (2015), 3309–3336.
- [11] D. Cioranescu and F. Murat, Un terme étrange venu d’ailleurs, (French) [A strange term brought from somewhere else], in *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and their Applications*, Collège de France Seminar, vol. 2, Research Notes in Mathematics, Pitman, **60** (1982), 98–138.
- [12] P. Degond and B. Lucquin-Desreux, The asymptotics of collision operators for two species of particles of disparate masses, *Math. Models Meth. Appl. Sci.*, **6** (1996), 405–436.
- [13] B. Desjardins and M. J. Esteban, Existence of weak solutions for the motion of rigid bodies in a viscous fluid, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **146** (1999), 59–71.
- [14] L. Desvillettes and F. Golse, A remark concerning the Chapman-Enskog asymptotics, in *Advances in Kinetic Theory and Computing, Series on Advances in Mathematics for Applied Sciences*, World Scientific Publications, Singapour, **22** (1994), 191–203.
- [15] L. Desvillettes, F. Golse and V. Ricci, The mean-field limit for solid particles in a Navier-Stokes flow, *J. Stat. Phys.*, **131** (2008), 941–967.
- [16] L. Desvillettes and J. Mathiaud, Some aspects of the asymptotics leading from gas-particles equations towards multiphase flows equations, *J. Stat. Phys.*, **141** (2010), 120–141.
- [17] M. A. Gallis, J. R. Torczyński and D. J. Rader, An approach for simulating the transport of spherical particles in a rarefied gas flow via the direct simulation Monte-Carlo method, *Phys. Fluids*, **13** (2001), 3482–3492.
- [18] D. Gérard-Varet and M. Hillairet, Regularity issues in the problem of fluid structure interaction, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **195** (2010), 375–407.
- [19] F. Golse, Fluid dynamic limits of the kinetic theory of gases, in *From Particle Systems to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., **75** (2013), 3–91.
- [20] T. Goudon, P.-E. Jabin and A. Vasseur, Hydrodynamic limit for the Vlasov-Navier-Stokes equations. I. Light particles regime, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **53** (2004), 1495–1515.
- [21] T. Goudon, P.-E. Jabin and A. Vasseur, Hydrodynamic limit for the Vlasov-Navier-Stokes equations. II. Fine particles regime, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **53** (2004), 1517–1536.
- [22] K. Hamdache, Global existence and large time behaviour of solutions for the Vlasov-Stokes equations, *Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math.*, **15** (1998), 51–74.
- [23] M. Hauray, Wasserstein distances for vortices approximation of Euler-type equations, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, **19** (2009), 1357–1384.
- [24] M. Hillairet, On the homogenization of the Stokes problem in a perforated domain, preprint, arXiv:1604.04379 [math.AP].
- [25] P.-E. Jabin and F. Otto, Identification of the dilute regime in particle sedimentation, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **250** (2004), 415–432.

- [26] S. Klainerman and A. Majda, Compressible and incompressible fluids, *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **35** (1982), 629–651.
- [27] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Physical Kinetics. Course of Theoretical Physics*, Vol. 10, Pergamon Press, 1981.
- [28] P.-L. Lions, *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 1. Incompressible Models, Oxford University Press Inc., New York, 1996.
- [29] P.-L. Lions and N. Masmoudi, Incompressible limit for a compressible fluid, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **77** (1998), 585–627.
- [30] V. A. L'vov and E. Ya. Khruslov, Perturbation of a viscous incompressible fluid by small particles, (Russian), *Theor. Appl. Quest. Differ. Equ. Algebra*, **267** (1978), 173–177.
- [31] G. de Rham, *Differentiable Manifolds: Forms, Currents, Harmonic Forms*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [32] Y. Sone, *Molecular Gas Dynamics. Theory, Techniques and Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2007.
- [33] S. Taguchi, On the drag exerted on the sphere by a slow uniform flow of a rarefied gas, in *Proc. of the 29th Internat. Symp. on Rarefied Gas Dynamics*, AIP Conf. Proc., **1628** (2014), 51–59.
- [34] S. Taguchi, Asymptotic theory of a uniform flow of a rarefied gas past a sphere at low Mach numbers, *J. Fluid Mech.*, **774** (2015), 363–394.
- [35] S. Takata, Y. Sone and K. Aoki, Numerical analysis of a uniform flow of a rarefied gas past a sphere on the basis of the Boltzmann equation for hard-sphere molecules, *Mathematical Analysis of Phenomena in Fluid and Plasma Dynamics*, **824** (1993), 64–93.
- [36] D. Wang and C. Yu, Global weak solution to the inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes-Vlasov equations, *J. Diff. Equations*, **259** (2015), 3976–4008.
- [37] C. Yu, Global weak solutions to the incompressible Navier-Stokes-Vlasov equations, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **100** (2013), 275–293.