

1 **Genomic diversity and selection signatures underlying tail fatness inferred from**
2 **genome-wide SNPs markers in local sheep in semi-arid area**

3 I. Baazaoui¹, S. Bedhiaf-Romdhani^{2*}, S., Mastrangelo³ and E. Ciani⁴

4 *¹Faculty of Sciences of Bizerte, University of Carthage, 7021 Jarzouna Bizerte, Tunisia;*

5 *²INRAT, Laboratory of Animal and Fodder Production, University of Carthage, 2049,*
6 *Ariana, Tunisia.*

7 *³Department of Agricultural, Food and Forest Sciences, University of Palermo, 90128*
8 *Palermo, Italy.*

9 *⁴Department of Biosciences, Biotechnologies and Biopharmaceutics, University of Bari,*
10 *70121 Bari, Italy.*

11 *Corresponding author: Sonia Bedhiaf-Romdhani, email: bedhiaf.sonia@gmail.com;
12 romdhani.sonia@iresa.agrinet.tn

13 Genomic characterization of local Tunisian sheep

14 **Abstract**

15 In climate change perspective, the genetic make-up of local breeds showing adaptive
16 traits of particular importance, should be explored and preserved as a priority. In this
17 context, this study highlights the potential of genomic analysis to i) provide an overview
18 of fine scale genomic variability within and among local breeds and ii) explore potential
19 breed-specific selection footprints of local sheep under semi-arid conditions. Thus, we
20 sampled 65 unrelated sheep breeds from Tunisia including 26 fat tailed Barbarine
21 samples and 39 thin tailed sheep from the Noire de Thibar, the Queue fine de l'Ouest
22 Thibar and the D'man breeds which are genotyped using Illumina OvineSNP50K array

23 data. The study of intra-breed genetic diversity based on estimation of genome-wide runs
24 of homozygosity (ROH) distribution and inbreeding estimation (F_{ROH}) showed that
25 Barbarine samples are less affected by inbreeding than other breeds. Moreover, the
26 genomic relationships among 60 individuals based on network-based approach, using
27 46 232 genotypes confirmed the recent admixture between Barbarine and Queue Fine de
28 l'Ouest animals resulting from the uncontrolled crossbreeding between two breeds, which
29 suggest paying more attention to preserve the genetic integrity of those local breeds. As
30 expected, all samples from Noire de Thibar breed were clearly differentiated from the
31 remaining individuals explained by past introgression of European gene flow into the
32 breed. The signals of selection identified across and within breeds were detected by
33 selecting the top 10% of SNPs most commonly observed in a ROH region. For genome-
34 wide scan of selective sweeps detection, we focused on the highest signal observed
35 across and within analysis. Therefore, results across samples identified three significant
36 ROH islands on chromosome 1,10 and 13 with highest signal on OAR 13. We uncover a
37 strong signal of positive selection of an overlapping 3.03 Mb homozygous region spanning
38 46.58 – 49.61 Mb on chromosome 13 detected within Barbarine samples analysis with a
39 peak of mean frequency $P=0.31$ harboring 20 candidate genes. These candidate putative
40 genes preferentially selected in fat tailed Barbarine animals were *CDS2*, *PROKR1* and
41 *BMP2* which are involved in fat deposition and lipid storage, a relevant sheep adaptive
42 trait in semi-arid area. Our findings will primarily assist policy makers and livestock
43 keepers for carrying out molecular breeding and conservation in sheep.

44

45 **Keywords:** local sheep, genomic relationships, selection footprints, fat deposition, *BMP2*
46 gene.

47 **Implications**

48 In climate change context, fine-scale characterization of local sheep stock showing
49 specific adaptive traits are urgently needed. Using ovine 50K SNP array data, this
50 research highlights a potential threat of genetic homogenization between most important
51 sheep breeds in Tunisia and uncover breed-specific footprints involved in fat tail formation
52 in Barbarine sheep. This case study revealed how genomic data could be used to
53 ascertain population structure, to exploit uniqueness of local sheep genetic stock to harsh
54 conditions and give relevance for the conservation of native livestock breeds.

55 **Introduction**

56 In climate change perspective, adaptation and resilience of domestic animals to
57 challenging environments is becoming increasingly important. In the last decades, many
58 practices lead to loss of sheep genetic diversity, which serves as a raw material for
59 livestock populations to adapt to changing environments (FAO, 2015). Therefore, an
60 effective management program for their monitoring and preservation of purebred genetic
61 stock are fundamental to meet future breeding needs, especially in the context of global
62 climate change. Recently, the genome-wide ovine SNP data have become the marker of
63 choice for underlying genetic diversity, inferring population structure and mapping
64 genomic regions subject to selection. Indeed, the mapping of certain genomic regions
65 often shows reduced genetic diversity and stretches of autozygosity at the individual and
66 population levels, called runs of homozygosity (ROH) due to identical by descent
67 chromosomal segments arising from a common ancestor, its identification and
68 characterization can elucidate about recent inbreeding (Peripolli *et al.*, 2018) and selection
69 pressure (Mastrangelo *et al.*, 2017) events. Sheep population in Maghreb represent a
70 perfect case study of local adaptive sheep breeds raised under traditional management

71 system, threatened by an extensive anarchic crossbreeding among breeds, hence
72 presenting a genetic homogenization and at risk to lose their adaptive traits to face future
73 unpredictable environment. Most of Tunisian sheep are managed under transhumance in
74 semi-arid area. Three adaptive local breeds make up the essential of meat sheep; there
75 are the Barbarine with its black and red headed ecotypes, the Queue fine de l'Ouest and
76 the Noire de Thibar in addition to small population of imported Moroccan D'man breed
77 (supplementary Table S1). Previous fine scale genetic diversity of Tunisian sheep breeds
78 has been to date investigated through RAPD-PCR (Khaldi *et al.*, 2010; Hentati *et al.*, 2012)
79 and microsatellites markers (Sassi-Zaidy *et al.*, 2014b; Kdidi *et al.*, 2015). Thus, the
80 present study is the first genome-wide study using 50K SNP ovine array in order to i)
81 provide an assessment of genetic variability within and among four local Tunisian sheep
82 population ii) identify genomic regions under selection within genomic ROH hotspots. This
83 investigation is an important prerequisite to maintain local breed's integrity and ensure
84 appropriate conservation

85 **Materials and Methods**

87 *Sampling*

88 A total of 65 sheep blood samples were randomly sampled from four meat breeds. The
89 Barbarine (BAR), the only Tunisian fat tailed sheep breed was represented by 26 samples
90 and 39 three thin-tailed sheep breeds including the Queue fine de l'ouest (QFO), the Noire
91 de Thibar (NDT) and the D'man (DMN) breed. To avoid the probability of relatedness
92 among individuals, samples were collected from different types of farms: public farms that
93 have been tasked by the ministry of Agriculture with preserving the breed and private
94 farms located mainly in the northern and central part of the country (Figure1). Details

95 about phenotypic descriptors, origin and specific traits of studied breeds were summarized
96 in supplementary Table S1.

97 *Genotyping and data quality control*

98 The genomic DNA was isolated using the standard phenol-chloroform protocol (Sambrook
99 *et al.*, 1989). Samples were genotyped using the Illumina *Ovine* SNP50 BeadChip (San
100 Diego, USA) and genotypic data was managed using PLINK 1.7 (Purcell *et al.*, 2007).
101 Only SNPs located on autosomes were considered in further analyses. A SNP was
102 removed from data if the following criteria were not met: (i) a SNP call rate > 90%, (ii)
103 minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0.01. Individuals with more than 10% of missing SNPs
104 were removed from further analysis.

105 *Identification of runs of homozygosity (ROH)*

106 *ROH estimation.* Runs of homozygosity (ROHs) were computed across autosomes for
107 each individual using PLINK 1.07. No pruning was performed based on linkage
108 disequilibrium (LD), but the minimum length that constituted the ROH was set to 1 Mb, to
109 exclude short ROH deriving from LD. The following criteria were used to define the ROH:
110 (1) one missing SNP was allowed in the ROH and up to one possible heterozygous
111 genotype (2) the minimum number of SNPs that constituted the ROH was set to 30 (3)
112 the minimum SNP density per ROH was set to 1 SNP every 100 kb (100 000pb) (4)
113 maximum gap between consecutive homozygous SNPs of 250 Kb.

114 *ROH distribution and inbreeding coefficient (F_{ROH}).* Samples from D'man breed were not
115 considered in this analysis because of the reduced sample size ($n=2$). The distribution of
116 genome-wide ROH is estimated by the mean number of ROH per individual (MN_{ROH}) and
117 per breed (NT_{ROH}), the average length of ROH (AL_{ROH}) and the total number of ROH per

118 animal. The genomic inbreeding coefficient (F_{ROH}) was calculated for each breed using
119 the following method (McQuillan *et al.*, 2008):

$$120 \quad F_{ROH} = \frac{L_{ROH}}{L_{AUT}}$$

121 where L_{ROH} is the total length of all ROH per animal while L_{AUT} refers to the length of total
122 autosomal SNP coverage.

123 *Population genetic analysis*

124 To better visualize the complex individual genetic relationship among sheep breeds, a
125 network-based approach was performed implemented in NetView v.1.1 software
126 (Neuditschko *et al.*, 2012; Steinig *et al.*, 2016) using identity by state (IBS) distance matrix
127 to construct population networks using increasing K (i.e. parameter determining the
128 number of mutual nearest neighbours) values up reaching an arbitrarily sufficient level of
129 connectedness among samples from different breeds. The TreeMix (Pickrell and
130 Pritchard, 2012) software uses allele frequencies at genome-wide polymorphisms and a
131 Gaussian approximation of the genetic drift among populations to construct a Maximum
132 Likelihood (ML) phylogeny connecting sampled populations by simple bifurcations. The
133 graph-based method developed in TreeMix was applied to determine the directionality
134 and quantify the extent of gene flow among species. To infer the history of population
135 splits and admixtures, formal tests three-population (f3) and the four-population (f4)
136 statistics, introduced in (Reich *et al.*, 2009).

137 *Detection of common ROHs and putative genes under selection*

138 Genomic regions subjected to selection represents reduced nucleotide diversity tending
139 to generate ROH islands or hotspots, which have high levels of homozygosity around a
140 selected locus compared with the rest of the genome (Purfield *et al.*, 2017). To identify

141 the genomic regions that were most commonly associated with ROH, the percentage (P)
142 of the occurrences of a SNP in ROH was calculated by counting the number of times the
143 SNP was detected in those ROH across individuals. The percentage values were plotted
144 against the SNP position along the chromosomes. The SNPs showing a percentage
145 higher than 10% were selected as an indication of a possible hotspot of ROH in the
146 genome. The series of adjacent significant SNPs ($P > 10\%$) formed long genomic regions,
147 called ROH islands. In order to annotate genes within the identified regions, we used the
148 *Ovis aries* reference genome version 4.1 from the NCBI database. An extensive accurate
149 literature search was conducted to investigate the biological function of annotated genes.

150 **Results**

151 *ROH statistics and coefficient inbreeding*

152 After filtering, the final number of animals and SNPs retained for analyses were 60 and
153 46,232 respectively (Supplementary Table S2). Analysis of ROH distribution for total
154 breeds harbor 607 ROHs with a mean of 5.58 per individual where 89% among them were
155 less than 5Mb (data not shown). The table 1 presented the descriptive statistics for runs
156 of homozygosity in BAR, NDT and QFO breeds. The average length of ROH (AL_{ROH})
157 values showed low variation among sheep breeds, indicating that this value is not a good
158 descriptor of ROH in Tunisian sheep population. The mean number of ROH per breed
159 ranged from 7.20 (BAR) to 12.60 (NDT). Distribution of runs of homozygosity inbreeding
160 coefficients $F_{ROH} > 1$ Mb for each sheep breed are reported in Supplementary Figure S1
161 and the mean values in Table 1. Indeed, the BAR breed showed the lowest value of
162 $F_{ROH} > 1$ Mb (0.017) in agreement with the MN_{ROH} results. However, the high standard
163 deviation values within QFO (0.024 ± 0.036) revealed high variability in autozygosity levels
164 where F_{ROH} values ranged from zero to 0.13. The average genomic inbreeding coefficients

165 based on the difference between the observed vs. expected number of homozygous
166 genotypes (F_{HOM}) were also estimated (Table 1). A high correlation was found between
167 F_{HOM} and F_{ROH} within BAR and QFO breeds, $r=0.974$ with the most significant was
168 mentioned for NDT breed ($Pvalue<0.001$).

169 *Genomic relationship among breeds*

170 A total of 60 sheep (BAR=25, NDT= 20, QFO=13 and DMN=2) were analyzed through a
171 panel of 46,232 SNPs. In order to explore the genetic relationships among breeds, we
172 used NetView software to visualize the genetic structure of the dataset along a gradient
173 of k values (from 2 to 31), allowing for the investigation from fine to large scale population
174 structure, revealed respectively, by small and large value of k (Figure 2). At fine scale, the
175 topology of the network showed that most of samples fall in breed-specific clusters and at
176 $K=10$, a first link among BAR and QFO appears, mediated by the probably admixed
177 sample QF73. Thus, NetView from $k= 2$ to 30 (Figure 2) highlighted a clear differentiation
178 between NDT samples and the remaining breeds. Moreover, notable exception was
179 noticed in NetView at $K=5, 10$ and 20 , including four QFO samples (QF1, QF8, QF16,
180 QF58) which are originally reared in a public farm institutionally engaged in QFO genetic
181 conservation. The Treemix results at $M = 1$ (Supplementary Figure S2) no matter which
182 breed out of the considered three (BAR, NDT, QFO) was selected for rooting the tree, a
183 migration event was consistently detected between Barbarine and D'man, roughly
184 corresponding to an edge's weight of 0.09. No evidence of gene flow was provided by
185 both the f_3 (Supplementary Table S3) and f_4 (Supplementary Table S4) tests
186 implemented in the TREEMIX computer package.

187

188

189 *Genomic regions within runs of homozygosity*

190 The incidence of each SNP in a run of homozygosity (ROH) among sheep breeds (Figure
191 3A, Table 2) revealed three ROH signals positioned on chromosome OAR1, OAR10 and
192 OAR13 harbored by 84 significant SNPs ($P>10\%$). The ROH length vary across positions
193 in the genome ranging from 0.47 Mb in OAR 10 to 3.03 Mb in OAR 13. Indeed, the
194 chromosome 13 had the largest extent of overlapping ROH positions among all sheep
195 breeds spanning positions from 46.58 to 49.61 Mb encompassed the highest peak
196 consisted of seven adjacent SNPs with occurrence in ROH of ($P= 16.67\%$). In order, to
197 identify the characteristics of several genomic regions of potential autozygosity islands in
198 each breed, the top 10% of SNPs was also plotted within each breed (Figure 3). The
199 genomic distribution of ROH islands was clearly non-uniform among breeds and
200 chromosomes. The chromosome position, number of SNPs, start and end position of ROH
201 and number of annotated genes within the genomic regions of extended homozygosity
202 were reported in Supplementary Table S5. The longest ROH island was observed in QFO
203 breed on OAR 16 (42.16 Mb), while the shortest one was observed in NDT on OAR 13
204 (0.15Mb) where most of SNPs occurred in poor gene content regions. Interestingly, the
205 within breed results show the highest ROH signal within Barbarine samples (mean
206 frequency = 0.31) overlapping to the previously founded significant ROH peak across
207 breeds (Figure 3A, Figure 3B). Indeed, this homozygous region starts at position 46.270
208 456 and ends at 49 619 573 pb with a total size of 3.3 Mb including 57 loci, out of them,
209 28 consecutives homozygous SNPs showing the highest SNP frequency ($P=36\%$).
210 Moreover, common ROHs hotspots between breeds were detected between QFO and
211 BAR breeds on OAR 1 (51 027 822 – 54 156 664 pb) and between QFO and NDT on
212 OAR 10 (30 908 858 – 34 551 330pb) separated into three ROH batches.

213 **Discussion**

214 *Genetic diversity and genomic relationship among breeds*

215 The study of intra-breed genetic diversity based on ROH abundance, length and
216 inbreeding estimation highlighted that BAR appeared as the less consanguineous breed
217 whereas the NDT breed suggest that recent inbreeding typically produces the observed
218 ROH patterns. Moreover, the high correlation between F_{ROH} and F_{HOM} pointed out that
219 F_{ROH} is a good alternative to estimate the IBD (identity by descent) autozygosity level in
220 the absence of pedigree data, as may be the case for most local breeds in developing
221 countries. Indeed, (Iniguez, 2005) reported that an increase of inbreeding in NDT breed
222 is evidenced by cases of lamb genetic defect, due to the lack of scheme providing farmers
223 with unrelated males. Moreover, the distribution of F_{ROH} for each breed reveal that QFO
224 individuals show high variability in autozygosity level due probably to mating scheme
225 adopted in their related farms. These results reflect in general the breed management
226 practices that allow for uncontrolled mating of related individuals especially in smallholder
227 farms where the exchange of rams among flocks is quite unusual.

228 The results of population structure among breeds overshadow two clear genetic patterns
229 of local sheep breeds in Tunisia i) clear differentiation of NDT breed from the remaining
230 breeds ii) high genetic closeness between BAR and QFO breeds. Indeed, the genetic
231 distinction of NDT breed from local Tunisian breeds resulted from high European gene
232 flow when the breed is created through crossbreeding between the French Merino d'Arles
233 and QFO breed originated from Ouled Djellal sheep in Algeria (Porter *et al.*, 2016). In
234 addition, the investigation of genomic variation between NDT breed and its parental breed

235 (QFO), using genotyping-by-sequencing data, was assumed as a consequence of
236 selection implicated in tolerance to photosensitization by local toxic weed (Baazaoui *et*
237 *al.*, 2020). In fact, the genetic closeness between BAR and QFO would not be surprising,
238 if we consider the size reduction observed in Barbarine population in favor to QFO in
239 recent decades (Sassi-Zaidy *et al.*, 2014a) caused by massive cross-breeding between
240 two breeds. In fact, the shift toward thin tail sheep breeds at the expense of the fat tail
241 Barbarin was mainly due to the butchers' interests. Because of the difficulty in selling the
242 fat of the carcass tail, butchers were reluctant to buy fat tail animals and farmers admit
243 that butchers' preferences are influencing income because they are paying favorably thin
244 tailed animals. This shift to thin tail breeds, if not controlled, will have a negative impact
245 on the Barbarin breed, which is perfectly adapted in a variety of production systems
246 (Bedhiat-Romdhani *et al.*, 2008). Indeed, crossbreeding among autochthonous Northern
247 African sheep breeds is a current practice (Othman *et al.*, 2016; Harkat *et al.*, 2017) in
248 order to improve production performances and cope with economic pressure. Moreover,
249 this results are consistent with those observed in Maghrebin breeds which evidenced a
250 clear genetic homogenization among local sheep populations (Belabdi *et al.*, 2019),
251 attesting for similar anthropological and demographic events of Northern African sheep
252 resources.

253 *Detection of fat tail selection sweeps*

254 The detection of ROH hotspots across and within sheep breeds consistently highlighted
255 relevant genomic regions under positive selection shared between QFO and other
256 breeds in OAR 1 and 10 and the most significant was exclusively detected in chromosome
257 13 highlighted in Barbarine breed, distinguished from other local breeds by its fat tail as

258 adaptness trait to harsh environment. Thus, in what follows, we will focus our discussion
259 on annotated genes with putative selection sweeps involved in fat deposition in Tunisian
260 sheep and specifically the tail type in Barbarine breed.

261 Gene annotation of genomic region within runs of homozygosity (ROHs) hotspots
262 spanning 46.5–49.6 Mb on OAR 13 commonly shared with fat-tailed Barbarine
263 samples (Table 2, Supplementary Table S5) has encompassed several known genes
264 with specific relevance in lipid metabolic process elucidating the mechanism of tail fat
265 deposition in sheep which provide insights into fat tail formation. It is evident that the
266 fat tail phenotype is the result of the action of more than one gene. Among the
267 candidate genes detected within this ROH islands were the *CDS2* gene that is
268 involved in the phospholipid biosynthetic process is an important novel regulator of
269 lipid storage and has a crucial role in mammalian lipid storage (Qi *et al.*, 2016). The
270 prokineticin receptor1 (*PROKR1*) controls obesity through suppression of
271 preadipocyte proliferation and differentiation in an animal model and humans (Yuan
272 *et al.*, 2017). A recent study by (Pan *et al.*, 2019) suggested that the fixation of fat tail
273 in domestic sheep is caused by a selective sweep near a retro-transposable hotspot,
274 IBH region (47 993 040- 49 270 447 pb) on chromosome 13 affecting the expression
275 of *BMP2* gene which is differentially expressed in fat-tailed in tissues. Moreover, the
276 same study *LOC101117953* is a novel gene copy derived from a retro-transposable
277 event, originated from protein phosphatase 1 catalytic subunit gamma (*PPP1CC*)
278 gene, located also at ovine chromosome 13, which was evidenced as determinant of
279 fat deposition and tail type differences in Chinese sheep (Wei *et al.*, 2015). Indeed,
280 the *BMP2* gene played an important role in fat tail development in sheep breeds. It

281 has been detected in fat tailed Chinese sheep (Wei *et al.*, 2015; Yuan *et al.*, 2017), in
282 Italian Laticauda breeds and in Mediterranean breeds including the Lybian Barbarine
283 (Mastrangelo *et al.*, 2019). Therefore, the strong signal of selection is widespread in
284 fat tailed sheep breeds from different geographic origins, suggesting that those breeds
285 may share a common ancestor and the selection for tail fatness was occurred during
286 the sheep domestication event.

287 **Conclusion**

288 The present SNP based molecular study shows that fat tailed Barbarine sheep is a
289 perfectly adapted breed to harsh conditions in semi-arid area, is not affected by
290 inbreeding practices. However, genomic structure results revealed that this purebred
291 is threatened by a genetic erosion due to genetic homogenization with thin-tailed
292 Queue fine de l'Ouest breed, resulting of crossbred animals with intermediate tail size.
293 Interestingly, the genomic selection sweeps the potential genetic make-up of fat tail
294 deposition which is considered as a valuable trait that should be conserved as a
295 priority for the purpose to valorize this ancient irreversible heritage.

296 **Acknowledgements**

297 This work was supported by LABERPAR” Project (code 67), financed by the Apulia
298 Region, Italy. We would like to thank the following members of AU-IBAR, Simplice Nouala,
299 Mary Mbole-Kariuki and Edward Nengomasha for partial funding through the “Genetics”
300 project. The authors are grateful to OEP members' Mohsen Ben Sassi, Mounir Hemdène
301 and Zied Abdennebi who contributed samples used in this study. The mobility grant was

302 supported by GLOBAL-DOC Project (CUP H96J17000160002) funded by University of
303 Bari,Italy.

304 **Declaration of interest**

305 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

306 **Ethics statement**

307 Blood samples from animals were collected by veterinarians during routine blood
308 sampling for medical care or follow up. All the samples in our study were obtained upon
309 the breeder's and breeding organizations' consent. Those animals were not linked to any
310 experimental trials. The manuscript is not currently being considered for publication in
311 another journal.

312 **Software and data repository resources**

313 The data generated in the current study are available from the corresponding author on
314 reasonable request.

315
316 **References**
317
318 Baazaoui I, McEwan J, Anderson R, Brauning R, McCulloch A, Van Stijn T, Bedhiaf-Romdhani
319 S 2020. GBS Data Identify Pigmentation-Specific Genes of Potential Role in Skin-
320 Photosensitization in Two Tunisian Sheep Breeds. *Animals* 10, 5.

321 Bedhiaf-Romdhani S, Djemali M, Zaklouta M, Iniguez L 2008. Monitoring crossbreeding trends
322 in native Tunisian sheep breeds. *Small ruminant research* 74, 274-278.

323 Belabdi I, Ouhrouch A, Lafri M, Gaouar SBS, Ciani E, Benali AR, Ouelhadj HO, Haddioui A,
324 Pompanon F, Blanquet V 2019. Genetic homogenization of indigenous sheep breeds in
325 Northwest Africa. *Scientific reports* 9, 7920.

326 FAO 2015. The Second Report on the State of the World's Animal Genetic Resources for Food
327 and Agriculture, edited by B.D. Scherf & D. Pilling. FAO Commission on Genetic
328 Resources for Food and Agriculture Assessments. Rome.

329 Harkat S, Laoun A, Belabdi I, Benali R, Outayeb D, Payet-Duprat N, Blanquet V, Lafri M, Da
330 Silva A 2017. Assessing patterns of genetic admixture between sheep breeds: Case study
331 in Algeria. *Ecology and evolution* 7, 6404-6412.

332 Hentati H, Hamouda MB, Chriki A 2012. Genetic diversity of two Tunisian sheep breeds using
333 random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 11,
334 Iniguez L 2005 Characterization of small ruminant breeds in West Asia and North Africa, Vol 1.
335 ICARDA Aleppo.

336 Kdidi S, Calvo J, González-Calvo L, Sassi MB, Khorchani T, Yahyaoui M 2015. Genetic
337 relationship and admixture in four Tunisian sheep breeds revealed by microsatellite
338 markers. *Small Ruminant Research* 131, 64-69.

339 Khaldi Z, Rekik B, Haddad B, Zourgui L, Souid S 2010. Genetic characterization of three ovine
340 breeds in Tunisia using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA markers. *Livest. Res. Rural*
341 *Dev* 22.

342 Mastrangelo S, Bahbahani H, Moioli B, Ahbara A, Al Abri M, Almathen F, Da Silva A, Belabdi I,
343 Portolano B, Mwacharo JM 2019. Novel and known signals of selection for fat deposition
344 in domestic sheep breeds from Africa and Eurasia. PloS one 14, e0209632.

345 Mastrangelo S, Tolone M, Sardina MT, Sottile G, Sutera AM, Di Gerlando R, Portolano B 2017.
346 Genome-wide scan for runs of homozygosity identifies potential candidate genes
347 associated with local adaptation in Valle del Belice sheep. Genetics Selection Evolution
348 49, 84.

349 McQuillan R, Leutenegger A-L, Abdel-Rahman R, Franklin CS, Pericic M, Barac-Lauc L, Smolej-
350 Narancic N, Janicijevic B, Polasek O, Tenesa A 2008. Runs of homozygosity in European
351 populations. The American Journal of Human Genetics 83, 359-372.

352 Neuditschko M, Khatkar MS, Raadsma HW 2012. NetView: a high-definition network-
353 visualization approach to detect fine-scale population structures from genome-wide
354 patterns of variation. PloS one 7, e48375.

355 Othman OEM, Payet-Duprat N, Harkat S, Laoun A, Maftah A, Lafri M, Da Silva A 2016. Sheep
356 diversity of five Egyptian breeds: Genetic proximity revealed between desert breeds: Local
357 sheep breeds diversity in Egypt. Small Ruminant Research 144, 346-352.

358 Pan Z, Li S, Liu Q, Wang Z, Zhou Z, Di R, An X, Miao B, Wang X, Hu W 2019. Rapid evolution
359 of a retro-transposable hotspot of ovine genome underlies the alteration of *BMP2*
360 expression and development of fat tails. BMC genomics 20, 261.

361 Peripolli E, Stafuzza NB, Munari DP, Lima ALF, Irgang R, Machado MA, do Carmo Panetto JC,
362 Ventura RV, Baldi F, da Silva MVGB 2018. Assessment of runs of homozygosity islands
363 and estimates of genomic inbreeding in Gyr (*Bos indicus*) dairy cattle. BMC genomics 19,
364 34.

365 Pickrell JK, Pritchard JK 2012. Inference of population splits and mixtures from genome-wide
366 allele frequency data. PLoS genetics 8, e1002967.

367 Porter V, Alderson L, Hall SJ, Sponenberg DP 2016 .Mason's World Encyclopedia of Livestock
368 Breeds and Breeding, 2 Volume Pack. Cabi.

369 Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar P, De
370 Bakker PI, Daly MJ 2007. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-
371 based linkage analyses. *The American journal of human genetics* 81, 559-575.

372 Purfield DC, McParland S, Wall E, Berry DP 2017. The distribution of runs of homozygosity and
373 selection signatures in six commercial meat sheep breeds. *PLoS One* 12, e0176780.

374 Qi Y, Kapterian TS, Du X, Ma Q, Fei W, Zhang Y, Huang X, Dawes IW, Yang H 2016. CDP-
375 diacylglycerol synthases regulate the growth of lipid droplets and adipocyte development.
376 *Journal of lipid research* 57, 767-780.

377 Reich D, Thangaraj K, Patterson N, Price AL, Singh L 2009. Reconstructing Indian population
378 history. *Nature* 461, 489.

379 Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T 1989 *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*. Cold spring
380 harbor laboratory press.

381 Sassi-Zaidy YB, Maretto F, Zanetti E, Hajji G, Charfi-Cheikrouha F, Cassandro M 2014a.
382 Genetic structure and variability within and among populations of the fat-tailed Barbarine
383 sheep breed using microsatellites markers. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 13

384 Sassi-Zaidy YB, Maretto F, Charfi-Cheikrouha F, Cassandro M 2014b. Genetic diversity,
385 structure, and breed relationships in Tunisian sheep. *Small Ruminant Research* 119, 52-
386 56.

387 Steinig EJ, Neuditschko M, Khatkar MS, Raadsma HW, Zenger KR 2016. netview p: a network
388 visualization tool to unravel complex population structure using genome-wide SNP s.
389 *Molecular Ecology Resources* 16, 216-227.

390 Wei C, Wang H, Liu G, Wu M, Cao J, Liu Z, Liu R, Zhao F, Zhang L, Lu J 2015. Genome-wide
391 analysis reveals population structure and selection in Chinese indigenous sheep breeds.
392 *Bmc Genomics* 16, 194.

393 Yuan Z, Liu E, Liu Z, Kijas J, Zhu C, Hu S, Ma X, Zhang L, Du L, Wang H 2017. Selection
394 signature analysis reveals genes associated with tail type in Chinese indigenous sheep.
395 *Animal genetics* 48, 55-66.
396

397
 398 **Table 1.** *Descriptive statistics for runs of homozygosity (ROH) and inbreeding coefficient*
 399 *in the Tunisian sheep breeds.*

400

Breed	NT _{ROH} ¹	MN _{ROH} ²	AL _{ROH} ³	F _{ROH>1Mb} ⁴	F _{HOM} ⁵	r(F _{ROH} - F _{HOM}) ⁶
BAR	180	7.20	5.87	0.017±0.028	0.027±0.034	0.974
NDT	252	12.60	4.31	0.021±0.009	0.005±0.013	0.793***
QFO	128	9.85	6.58	0.024±0.036	0.033±0.042	0.974

401
 402 ¹ Total number of ROH per breed
 403 ² Mean number of ROH per individual
 404 ³ Average length of ROH in Mb;
 405 ⁴ Mean ROH-based inbreeding coefficient with standard deviation
 406 ⁵ Inbreeding coefficient based on the difference between observed vs. expected number of homozygous
 407 genotypes
 408 ⁶ Correlation between F_{ROH} and F_{HOM}
 409 *** P-value<0.001

410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418

419 **Table 2.** *Details of Runs of homozygosity (ROH) hotspots detected across Tunisian*
 420 *sheep breeds*

OAR	Mean percent ¹	SNPs ²	ROH length (Kb)	Positions (pb)	Genes	Genes in ROH hotspot
1	11.67	25	1185	49,985,611 - 51,170,678	8	<i>LOC101120030, ERICH3, TRNAW-CCA, CRYZ, TYW3, LHX8, SLC44A5, LOC105611835</i>
10	11.11	9	473	32,442,135 - 32,915,074	10	<i>LOC101113604, LOC101114283, LOC101114533, LNX2, MTIF3, GTF3A, RASL11A, RPL21, LOC105609570, USP21</i>
13*	14.77	50	3037	46,582,744 - 49,619,573	20	<i>CDS2, LOC101109379, PROKR2, GPCPD1, LOC101109635, C13H20orf196, TRNAF-GAA, CHGB, TRMT6, MCM8, LOC105609936, CRLS1, LRRN4, FERMT1, LOC106991507, LOC101117437, BMP2, LOC101117953, LOC101118207, LOC101110166</i>

421
 422 ¹ Mean percent of significant SNPs (P>10%) of occurrence in a ROH region,

423 ² Number of loci identified within ROH hotspots.

424 *chromosome 13 include the highest SNPs frequency in ROH region

425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431

432 **Figure captions**

433

434 **Figure 1** Sampling sites and sheep breeds

435 **Figure 2** Mutual nearest-neighbour graphs obtained from NetView considering the
436 following k values: k = 2 , k = 5, k = 10, k = 20, k=30 and k=31 in Tunisian sheep
437 breeds. Color shades code for different sheep breeds. BAR= Barbarine,
438 QFO = Queue Fine de l'ouest; NDT= Noire de Thibar; DMN=D'man.

439 **Figure 3** Genome-wide frequency of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)
440 occurrence into runs of homozygosity (ROH) (A) across and within (B) Barbarine (C)
441 Noire de Thibar) and (D) Queue fine breeds sheep breeds.

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455