Maintaining everyday life praxis in the time of COVID-19 pandemic measures (ELP-COVID-19 survey)

A cross-sectional comparison study of 9 European countries

Saša Pišot[1], Ivana Milovanović[2]*, Boštjan Šimunič[1], Ambra Gentile [3], Ksenija Bosnar [4,] Franjo Prot[1], Antonino Bianco[3], Gianluca Lo Coco[4], Sunčica Bartoluci[4], Darko Katović[4], Peter Bakalár[5], Terézia Kovalik Slančová[5], Lenka Tlučáková[5], Cristina Casals[6,7], Kaltrina Feka[3], Aikaterini Christogianni[8], Patrik Drid[2]

[1] Institute for Kinesiology Research, Science and Research Centre Koper, Garibaldijeva 1, 6000 Koper, Slovenia; sasa.pisot@zrs-kp.si; bostjan.simunic@zrs- kp.si; franjo.prot@gmail.com;

[2] Faculty of Sport and Physical Education; University of Novi Sad, Lovćenska 16, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia, i.a.milovanovic@gmail.com; patrikdrid@gmail.com;

[3] Department of Psychology, Educational Science and Human Movement, Università degli Studi di Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, ed. 15, 90128, Palermo, Italy; ambra.gentile91@gmail.com; gianluca.lococo@unipa.it; antonino.bianco@unipa.it; kaltrina.feka92@gmail.com;

[4,] Faculty of Kinesiology, University of Zagreb, Horvaćanski zavoj 15, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia; ksenija.bosnar@kif.unizg.hr; suncica.bartoluci@kif.unizg.hr; darko.katovic@kif.unizg.hr;

[5] Faculty of Sports, University of Presov; Ul. 17. novembra 15, 080 01 Prešov, Slovakia; peter.bakalar@unipo.sk; terezia.slancova@unipo.sk; lenka.tlucakova@unipo.sk

[6] MOVE-IT Research Group and Department of Physical Education, Faculty of Education Sciences, University of Cadiz, Centro Cultural Reina Sofía C/ Paseo Carlos III, nº 9, 11003, Cádiz, Spain; cristina.casals@gm.uca.es;

[7] Biomedical Research and Innovation Institute of Cadiz (INiBICA) Research Unit, Puerta del Mar University Hospital, University of Cadiz, Edificio Hospital Real Plaza Falla, 8, 11003, Cádiz, Spain;

[8] TERMOSENSELAB; Environmental Ergonomics Research Centre, LE11 3TULougborough, UK; A.Christogianni@lboro.ac.uk;

* Correspondence: Ivana Milovanović, Faculty of Sport and Physical Education, University of Novi Sad, Lovćenska 16, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia, Tel: +38121450188, Fax: +38121450190; email: i.a.milovanovic@gmail.com

Background: The extreme social circumstances caused by declared COVID-19 pandemic deeply intervene people's everyday life and should not be neglected but seen through the view of social reality pinpointing the "ordinary" people. In this paper, authors explored basic segments of everyday and their subjective perception to what extent sleeping habits, physical inactivity, physical activity, nutritional habits and smoking have changed. **Methods**: The online survey was conducted in nine European countries (Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Greece, Kosovo, Italy, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia and Spain) in 4108 participants, aged 15-82 years. The survey took place 30 to 40 days after WHO declared COVID-19 pandemic state, from April 15th to May 3rd, 2020. **Results**: The results have shown 30 minutes longer sleeping time, 50% longer physical inactivity time, 65% longer screen time, 43% shorter walking time, 24% shorter sport time and 37% longer physical work time. Additionally, body mass gains (0.3 kg) could be explained in 20.6% with meals sizes, unhealthy food consumption, screen time and sport time. Further, respondents reported more regular meals (44%) and healthier meals with less alcohol consumption and less smoking, which have been positive outcomes of home confinement. **Conclusion**: The findings draw attention to negative changes in everyday praxis (inactivity, body mass gain) after such a short period. Because of possible risk to population's health (especially of countries such as Italy and Spain with serious threat and more stringent measures), findings enable development of recommendations for maintaining healthy lifestyle habits with minimal negative health consequences in similar pandemic circumstances.

INTRODUCTION

The term "everyday life" in social sciences has long been considered trivial, platitude and therefore irrelevant for "serious" research (1, 2), its main purpose being to "capture life as it is lived" (3) within few common denominators: the exposure of regular, repetitive phenomena and situations should be exposed. That is why research concerning everyday life should not be neglected as the view of social reality that pinpoints the "ordinary" people and how they subjectively perceive their own and other's actions. Therefore, in the research of everyday life, one should emphasize "the inevitability of an individual actor as an analytical focus" (4). Lifestyles as "relatively coherent sets of material and symbolic practices in everyday life" are to some extent the creators of people's personal identity. This is reflected, among other things, in eating habits, sleeping habits, sports and recreational activities, body care, free time habits, forms of sociality, cultural consumption etc. (5, 6). In this paper, we explored basic segments of everyday life in extraordinary/extreme social circumstances, during the COVID-19 pandemic, declared as global health outbreak by the representatives of the World Health Organization on March 11, 2020. Each country has adopted its own precautionary measures either strict or mild, for their citizens during the pandemic they undoubtedly had on everyday life of citizens. These measures affected all segments of everyday life; the way the active population works (e.g. work from home wherever possible), the closure of kindergartens, schools, colleges and dormitories imposed (home schooling), the ban on visits to the elderly in homes as well as lockdown public life (public transport, cultural events and non-essential consumer services). The daily life was suddenly concentrated on home and screen media connections.

Having in mind the described features of everyday life on one hand and the COVID-19 pandemic measures on the other, the focus of this paper is on everyday life of citizens of nine European countries during the COVID-19 epidemic. Due to specific and unique circumstance social actors have lived in, we

searched for and found limited evidence of research on effect of safety measures and restrictions (home confinement) in general everyday life praxis. The importance to investigate sleeping habits, PA, eating habits and sedentary behaviour is to find out how people can be affected by restrictive measurements and what can we recommend to people how to adapt better to the new situation in terms of health-oriented lifestyle.

Recent evidence showed that COVID-19 pandemic home confinement together with restricted or prohibited physical participation (schools, sport events and sports clubs' activities lockdown) imposed increasing risk of sedentary behaviours or level of inactivity (7, 8). Just a few days of sedentary lifestyle are sufficient to induce a lot of negative physiological adaptations of the human body (9, 10). Along the negative effect on PA, Covid-19 pandemic state has impact on diet behaviour (11). Feeling forced to stay indoors (public life lockdown, quarantine) could be considered as psychological risk factor for consuming more quantities of food of poorer quality compared to standard living conditions. This induced changes in nutrition habits and challenged the energy balance proportions resulting in gaining weight (12).

In addition to PA and balanced food habits, the appropriate sleeping habits have changed. When individual habits and quality of one's own sleep change during life, it may significantly contribute to alterations in people's healthy lifestyle. Psychosocial stressors may affect sleep indirectly through unhealthy behaviours such as poor diet, lack of PA, increased sedentary behaviours, or other diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, or depressive symptoms (13-15). On the other hand, getting enough sleep improves appetite and functioning of the immune system (16).

Concerning the situation people faced in COVID-19 pandemic, we hypothesised that "Lefebvre" attitude (17) which states that individuals and groups live their everyday life according to the principle of ambiguity, simply does not apply in the conditions of the COVID-19 crisis. It means that everyday life praxis changed and that the adaptation of everyday life praxis to the new situation was necessary. Certain features of everyday life that we highlighted in the survey were ways of spending time during the day, with special emphasis on adjusting sleeping habits, eating habits, ways of expressing physical activity and inactivity time (with emphasis on screen time) of respondents.

While conducting the research, we tried to determine whether and (if so) to what extent above mentioned behaviour of the respondents have changed, and what connections the possible changes have to do with the recommended measures in the state COVID–19 pandemic. Following these, the main research question is "Are there any changes in everyday life praxis of sleeping, PA and inactivity behaviours, and eating habits that occurred during the COVID–19 pandemic restriction?"

METHODS

A cross-sectional comparative study was conducted in nine European countries (Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Greece, Kosovo, Italy, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia and Spain) with an aim to observe and analyse some of everyday life praxes in the time of COVID-19 restrictions in comparison with the time before the outbreak of COVID–19 pandemic. ELP (Everyday life praxis) COVID-19 consortium of six partners from Science and Research Centre Koper (Slovenia), Faculties of sport, University of Novi Sad (Serbia), University of Palermo (Italy), University of Zagreb (Croatia), University of Prešov (Slovakia), University of Cadiz (Spain) has been established to identify determinants of common everyday life routines: sleeping habits, inactivity with screen time, ways of physical activity (PA) and eating habits in a new questionnaire.

Due to physical restrictions, the on-line survey was one of very few possibilities to reach as many respondents as possible. The snowballing approach was used for recruitment of participants 15 years of age and above. The survey questions were formed in 1KA, an open source application that enables services for online surveys, developed by the Centre for Social Informatics, at the Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia (https://www.1ka.si/d/en/about/general-description).

The basic form of questionnaire was officially translated into eight different languages and re-translated in English by each partner to check the relevance of translations. Before the open access of on-line survey was launched, a test of on-line questionnaire on at least 6 participants per country was provided by research group to assure correctness and understanding. Data collection lasted 14 days, from 15th to 28th of April 2020, with exception of Kosovo where it lasted 10 days, from 24th April to 3rd May

2020 and Greece for 6 days from 28 April to 3 May 2020. The current cross-sectional study followed the STROBE statement (18).

Survey participants were invited through different ways: personal e-mail addresses, official pages of the partners organizations, local on-line newspapers, etc. By clicking on the survey, the presentation of the main purpose with detailed instructions to fill the survey in was provided. Participants were also informed that all data will be processed and managed in accordance with the legislation on the protection of personal data and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). Participant's responses were anonymous and confidential according to 1KA (on (https://www.1ka.si/d/en/help/manuals/gdpr-and-data-collection), and survey did not collect any personal data (names, birth date, contact information) to enable the respondent's identification. The participants were able to leave the questionnaire at any stage before the submission process. Only surveys with completed mandatory questions were taken into further analysis.

New questionnaire "Everyday life in the time of COVID–19 pandemic restriction" (ELP COVID–19 study) was made in English language for additional translation. The questionnaire consists of 24 questions and provides the adapted parts of validated questionnaires. The part of validated SIMPAQ – Simple Physical Activity Questionnaire (19) was adapted to collect data on sleeping time, PA, inactivity time as *time before COVID–19 pandemic* (BDC) and time *during COVID– 19 pandemic measures (DDC).*

Besides the SIMPAQ, additional questions and scales to assess eating habits and indicators of quality of life were taken from EHIS (European Health Interview Survey, National institute for public health in Slovenia [NIJZ], 2007). In the view of this, we approached the research with the following research questions: What changes occurred in daily praxis (in everyday life) regarding the new situation of COVID–19 pandemic measures. (*before COVID–19 pandemics* and *during COVID–19 pandemic measures*):

a)   in sleeping habits ("time to go to bed", "time to wake up", "sleeping time";

b)   "inactivity time" and "screen time"

c)   "time of walking", "sports PA" and "physical work habits".

Being one of the most important life basic needs, the provision of food and related eating habits were additional variables investigated in this research. Firstly, we would like to know whether changes in everyday life also had effect on gaining and reducing body weight and whether changes occurred on some indicators of eating habits. Participant were asked to estimate: a) precisely the change (in kg) if they regularly monitor their body weight, or if they don't, to estimate of weight changes: "my body weight…1- decreased a lot; 2- decreased a little, 3- stays the same, 4- increased a little, 5- increased a lot"(during the one month of pandemic restrictions time) .

Additionally, the changes in eating and other health related habits (alcohol and tobacco consumption) were collected by estimating of variables: "regular meals" , "meal size" (quantity), "unhealthy food (sweets, fast food) consumption" , "alcohol consumption ", "smoking habits" with the scale: 1- much less, 2- less, 3- stays the same, 4- a little more, 5- much more, 6-can't estimate and 7-not applicable (for alcohol and smoking). Due to the open on-line availability of the survey we were aware that survey will not reach less educated, less affluent people, men and older people, not skilled or equipped with information technology (IT) and we take a risk of non-representative sample.

Data were analysed using software of STATISTIKA (version 13.0, TIBCO, USA) and SPSS (version 24.0, IBM, USA). All data were presented as mean (standard deviation) values. Data were grouped for nine countries and time before and after COVID-19 pandemic measures and checked for normal distribution (Histogram, Q-Q-plot, Skewness, Kurtosis, Shapiro-Wilk test) and homogeneity of variance (Levene test) were met. The multivariate difference in all 12 everyday praxis variables were tested by multivariate Hotelling's T^2 test (20), while differences in each variable was tested by 2-way ANOVA (time, country) at statistical significance of $p<0.01$ and after Bonferroni correction p-value was accepted as $p<0.0008$. A Multiple Linear Regression was used to identify significant predictors of body mass changes, where predictors passed also non-multicollinearity assumptions (variance inflation factor<2). Additionally, we presented frequency analysis of changes in eating habits, alcohol consumption and smoking in supplementary file. For that purpose, only subjects that responded from 1-5 on a 5-point

Likert scale were analysed: 1-much less, 2-less, 3-the same, 4-little more, and 5-much more. While those that indicated 6-cannot estimate or 7-not applicable were excluded.

2. RESULTS

The sample consisted of respondents of 9 European countries (N= 4108, male =1527 (37.2%), age 32.0 (13.2), ranged from 15 to 82 years (Table 1, see supplementary Table 1 for details description of study sample). Most of the respondents were between 21-30 years (44.4%), and representing the active population (employed, self-employed) (46.5%) and students (46.7%) Education level of the sample is quite high, majority exceed the secondary level, probably because the primary channel of on-line destinations was among students and university workers. Respondents answered the survey in the period of 34.6 (7.0) days after the state governments declared Pandemic measures, in the period when most changes could become latent.

INSERT TABLE 1 HERE –

Everyday life praxis, primarily sleeping and eating habits and PA behaviours as regular, repetitive phenomena were interrupted by sudden changes of COVID–19 pandemic lockdown of public life, and transportation with strict restriction of movement and recommended mantra "stay at home". Consequences after a month of the newly introduced state showed that social reality from the position of "ordinary" people and subjective meaning of "new" everyday life has changed significantly.

The multivariate Hotelling's T^2 test confirmed differences in 12 main variables related to everyday praxis (p<0.001). Additionally, post hoc analysis in 12 variables indicated changes in sleeping habits, physical inactivity with screen time and PA habits.

Sleeping habits

There was a visible difference in all scores of nine countries in sleeping habits in "go to bed" time (M_{BDC}=23.4 (1.2) vs. M_{DDC}=24.5 (1.7) o'clock; p<0.0008), in "wake up" time (M_{BDC}=7.2 (1.3) vs.

M_{DDC}=8.7 (1.3) o'clock; p<0.0008), and sleeping time (M_{BDC}=7.6 (1.2) vs. M_{DDC}=8.1 (1.3) hours; p<0.0008). In summary, during the COVID-19 pandemic measures participants went to bed a 1.0 (1.5) hour later, woke up 1.4 (1.6) hours later and consequently slept 0.5 (1.4) hours longer (Figure 1A). Post hoc analysis confirmed increase in sleeping time in all countries, except Italy.

Physical inactivity with screen time

During COVID–19 pandemic measures, participants spent 50% more time in physical inactivity (M_{BDC}=5.6 (3.5) vs. M_{DDC}=8.4 (3.9) hours; p<0.0008) and had 65% longer screen time (M_{DDC}=4.9 (2.3) vs. M_{BDC}=8.1 (3.7) hours; p<0.0008). Post-hoc analysis indicated increased physical inactivity and screen time in all countries (Figure 1B and 1C). The increase in physical inactivity is closely related to increased screen time, such as TV, smart phone, computer, or tablet.

INSERT FIGURE 1 HERE

Legend: ■ Before Covid-19   □ During COVID-19 pandemic measures

Figure 1. Comparison of: (A) sleeping time, (B) physical inactivity, (C) screen time, (D) walking time, (E) sport time, (F) physical work (PW) time before and during COVID-19 pandemic measures.

Physical activity behaviour

During COVID–19 pandemic measures daily walking decreased for 43% (M_{BDC}=116.6 (98.8) vs. M_{DDC}=66.0 (78.9) minutes; p<0.0008), sports activities decreased for 24 % (M_{BDC}=79.9 (86.2) vs. M_{DDC}=60.8 (67.4) minutes, p<0.0008); However, daily physical work increased for 37% (M_{BDC}=38.7 (71.2) vs. M_{DDC}=52.9 (83.0) minutes; p<0.0008). Post hoc analysis revealed that walking time decreased in all countries except Slovenia (Figure 1D). The time spent in sport activities decreased in majority of countries, except in Slovenia, Slovakia and Kosovo (Figure 1E); and the time spent in physical working (e.g. gardening) increased also in majority of countries, except in Italy, Spain and Greece (Figure 1F).

Eating habits

Firstly, we analysed body mass adaptation and found that participants that monitored their body mass (N=2208) had an increase for 0.3 (2.2) kg during COVID-19 pandemic measures (p<0.0008). Those who did not use scale regularly (N=1746) reported subjective change on 5-point Likert scale (3.31 (0.74); (p<0.0008)), being between "stays the same" and "gain a little"; however, results again indicate gaining body mass (p<0.0008). To explain body mass gains in a subsample of 2208 participants that reported exact body mass changes we used multiple linear regression and found that the model explained 20.6% of body mass change variance (p<0.001) with increased meal sizes, unhealthy eating, increased screen time and decreased sport time during COVID-19 restriction measures (Table 2).

INSERT TABLE 2 HERE –

When comparing eating habits during COVID–19 restriction measures with those prior to restriction, we found more regular meals, larger meal sizes, less unhealthy food, lower alcohol, and tobacco consumption (Figure 2). Descriptive analysis is presented in Supplementary Figure 1, where we found that 44% of the participants adopted eating habits with more regular meals, while 16% had fewer regular meals. Further, 29% of the participants enlarged meal size, while 20% of them decreased meal size. Unhealthy food consumption was increased in 33% of respondents, while 35% reported a decrease. Unexpectedly, increase of the alcohol consumption and smoking behaviour were reported in less than 10% of the participants, while at the same time 36% drink and 14% smoke less or much less than before.

INSERT FIGURE 2 HERE

Figure 2. Changes of food, alcohol, and smoking consumption during COVID-19 restriction measures from before (see details in supplementary Figure 1).

3. DISCUSSION

The results of this study focus on the changes in everyday life praxis after 30–40 days of COVID–19 restriction measures. We found marginal changes in all studied everyday life praxis. Participants reported 0.5 hours longer sleeping time, 50% longer physical inactivity most likely due to 65% longer screen time, whereas walking time decreased for 43%, sport time decreased for 24% and physical work time decreased for 37%. Abovementioned changes were consistent in almost all countries, with some exceptions, which will be discussed below.

Going to bed half an hour after midnight and later waking up times were probably the effect of home confinement since people needed to compensate for having to organize working and schooling from home, which allowed a bit looser timetable. The results could be reported in two ways, the later bedtime could be related with increased screen time and physical inactivity or poor diet (13–15), but on the other hand, people who used home confinement and got sufficient sleep could improve appetite and immune system functioning (16).

The increased time of physical inactivity and on the other side the decrease of all studied phenotypes of PA have been confirmed also by other studies reporting PA levels during COVID-19 pandemic (8, 10, 11). Because of emphasized serious negative physiological effect on human body caused by physical inactivity and sedentary behaviour, these can be disruptive also to disease parameters (i.e. rheumatic diseases), cardiovascular risk profile, physical capacity and function, and mental health (21-23).

Indeed, we determined decrease in daily levels of PA (walking and sports), with an exception in Slovenia and Slovakia where reported slightly increase of sports engagement (3-6 minutes/day) probably because of less strict movement restriction posed by government and additional free time. On the other hand, we were determined the increase in physical work in some countries that could be explained by additional "free time", nice spring weather and typical tasks at home (e.g. house repairs, gardening), with exception of decrease in all level of PA in Italy, Spain and Greece where government imposed most strictly measures of movement. We assume that the estimated differences in level of PA depends on the level of COVID–19 measurements (quarantine, movement restriction, curfew) or additional analysis

should be made on correlation of country's specific cultural tradition or habits. Nevertheless, the reported results show that after 34–40 days of restrictive measures, people observed mostly negative differences in PA behaviour and that is a problem that should be highlighted.

Regarding the body mass, we found the increase of 0.3 kg of those who monitored and was similar to those that reported their subjective estimates towards gaining a little weight. We found that body mass gains could be explained in 20.6% with meals sizes, unhealthy food consumption, screen time and sport time. Indeed, we found increased meal sizes, higher screen time and lower sport time during COVID – 19 restriction measures, which was also reported by Butler & Barrientos (2020), but in opposite participants reported more regular meals and less unhealthy food consumption. The latter was found to be in contradiction with Butler and Barrientos (2020) and Ammar et al. (2020); however, the changes we reported were rather small but significant. Alcohol consumption and smoking decline could be explained by severe restrictions in order to control the pandemic outbreak reflected in social life and the accompanied social habits, drinking and smoking (especially in young people).

Despite all professional recommendations in mass media how to stay healthy and active in the time of quarantine, declines of PA levels with large increases in physical inactivity were reported. Consequently, the most evident change in the study was in physical inactivity and screen time which increased for approx. three hours, where physical inactivity reached above the threshold area (6–8 hours daily). It is already known that sedentary lifestyle is one of the strongest factors for increasing risk of disease or mortality (24–27), going along with increased screen time.

The strength of this research is to include a standardised survey in 9 European countries which enables a broader insight into the research question. Some limitations and bias were mentioned above and coincide with the characteristics of participants of on-line surveys while more educated and more affluent people, women and younger people are more likely to participate, what Smith (27) confirmed. On the other hand, we are aware that on-line surveys bypass the vulnerable groups who are not skilled or equipped with information technology (IT).

Nevertheless, the results of the survey clearly indicate significant differences in some important everyday life praxis (sleep, sedentary and PA behaviour and eating habits) and regarding the countries state of threat, because the results imply that the problem of especially increased inactivity (sedentary behaviour with screen time) and opposite decline of PA became legitimate as people were unable to adequately maintain their ordinary PA patterns during the pandemic restriction.

Additionally, the new ways (probably with information technology [IT] tools) to maintain a more optimal PA as regular low/medium intensity volume exercise, also in the time pandemic restriction, together with a 15-25% reduction in caloric intake have to be promoted in order to preserve neuromuscular, cardiovascular, metabolic and endocrine health (10) The findings definitely impose the need to draw attention to the negative changes in everyday practices and their risk to the health of population, which was evident in the more endangered countries (Italy and Spain) with stricter measures on everyday life (quarantine, movement restriction). Consequently the perspective of this "ELP COVID–19 study" is also to target the extent of effect of pandemic measure in each country following the demographic characteristics. Knowing the specific reaction in adopting the "new normality" by participated countries will enable us to prepare recommendations for positive outcomes in similar situations with minimal consequences to health of population.

Key points:

- During COVID–19 pandemics, respondents increased physical inactivity (for 50%) and screen time (for 65%)

- The study showed increased the sleeping and physical work (daily tasks);

- The reduced time for physical activity (waking, sports) resulted in an overall weight gain;

- The results from this study can advise public health policy representatives when developing and implementing recommendations for maintaining healthy lifestyle habits with minimal negative health consequences in extreme daily life context such as COVID-19 pandemic.

References

1.   Ebrey J. The mundane and insignificant, the ordinary and the extraordinary: Understanding everyday participation and theories of everyday life. Cultural trends. 2016;25(3):158-68.

2.   Gardiner M. Critiques of everyday life: an introduction: Routledge; 2002.

3.   Bolger N, Davis A, Rafaeli E. Diary methods: Capturing life as it is lived. Annual review of psychology. 2003;54(1):579-616.

4.   Spasić I. Sociologies of everyday life [Sociologije svakodnevnog života]. Beograd: Zavod za udžbenike. 2004. [In Serbian]

5.   Radenović S., Mijatov N. Healthy lifestyle and the Belgrade marathon: Recreational runners of fanatics. Sociološki pregled/Sociological Review. 2019: LIII(1): 178–198.

6.   Spasić, I. Lifestyle. In: A. Mimica, M. Bogdanović (prir) *Sociological Dictionary* Beograd: Zavod za udžbenike [In Serbian]. 2007: 593-594.

7. Giustino V, Parroco AM, Gennaro A, Musumeci G, Palma A, Battaglia G. Physical Activity Levels and Related Energy Expenditure during COVID-19 Quarantine among the Sicilian Active Population: A Cross-Sectional Online Survey Study. Sustainability. 2020;12(11):4356.

8.   Pinto AJ, Dunstan DW, Owen N, Bonfá E, Gualano B. Combating physical inactivity during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nature Reviews Rheumatology. 2020:1-2.

9.   Lakicevic N, Moro T, Paoli A, Roklicer R, Trivic T, Cassar S, et al. Stay fit, don't quit: Geriatric Exercise Prescription in COVID-19 Pandemic. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2020:1-2.

10.   Narici M, De Vito G, Franchi M, Paoli A, Moro T, Marcolin G, et al. Impact of sedentarism due to the COVID-19 home confinement on neuromuscular, cardiovascular and metabolic health: Physiological and pathophysiological implications and recommendations for physical and nutritional countermeasures. European Journal of Sport Science. 2020:1-22.

11.   Ammar A, Brach M, Trabelsi K, Chtourou H, Boukhris O, Masmoudi L, et al. Effects of COVID-19 home confinement on physical activity and eating behaviour Preliminary results of the ECLB-COVID19 international online-survey. medRxiv. 2020.

12.   Hill JO, Wyatt HR, Peters JC. Energy balance and obesity. Circulation. 2012 Jul 3;126(1):126-32. PubMed PMID: 22753534. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3401553.

13.   Grandner MA, Jackson N, Gerstner JR, Knutson KL. Dietary nutrients associated with short and long sleep duration. Data from a nationally representative sample. Appetite. 2013; 64:71-80.

14.   Loprinzi PD, Cardinal BJ. Association between objectively-measured physical activity and sleep, NHANES 2005–2006. Mental Health and Physical Activity. 2011;4(2):65-9.

15.   Nuutinen T, Ray C, Roos E. Do computer use, TV viewing, and the presence of the media in the bedroom predict school-aged children's sleep habits in a longitudinal study? BMC public health. 2013;13(1):684.

16.   Linz D, Kadhim K, Kalman JM, McEvoy RD, Sanders P. Sleep and cardiovascular risk: how much is too much of a good thing? 2019.

17.   Lefebvre H, Levich C. The everyday and everydayness. Yale French Studies. 1987 (73):7

18.   Vandenbroucke JP, Von Elm E, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Mulrow CD, Pocock SJ, et al. Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE): explanation and elaboration. Annals of internal medicine. 2007;147(8):W-163-W-94.

19.   Rosenbaum S, Ward PB. The simple physical activity questionnaire. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2016;3(1):e1.

20.   Hotelling H. The generalization of Student's ratio. Breakthroughs in statistics: Springer; 1992. p. 54-65.

21.   Hammami A, Harrabi B, Mohr M, Krustrup P. Physical activity and coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): specific recommendations for home-based physical training. Managing Sport and Leisure. 2020:1-6.

22.   Holmes EA, O'Connor RC, Perry VH, Tracey I, Wessely S, Arseneault L, et al. Multidisciplinary research priorities for the COVID-19 pandemic: a call for action for mental health science. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2020.

23.   Liu S, Yang L, Zhang C, Xiang Y-T, Liu Z, Hu S, et al. Online mental health services in China during the COVID-19 outbreak. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(4):e17-e8.

24.   Ekelund U, Steene-Johannessen J, Brown WJ, Fagerland MW, Owen N, Powell KE, et al. Does physical activity attenuate, or even eliminate, the detrimental association of sitting time with mortality? A harmonised meta-analysis of data from more than 1 million men and women. The Lancet. 2016;388(10051):1302-10.

25.   Patterson R, McNamara E, Tainio M, de Sá TH, Smith AD, Sharp SJ, et al. Sedentary behaviour and risk of all-cause, cardiovascular and cancer mortality, and incident type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and dose response meta-analysis. Springer; 2018.

26.   Stamatakis E, Hamer M, Dunstan DW. Screen-based entertainment time, all-cause mortality, and cardiovascular events: population-based study with ongoing mortality and hospital events follow-up. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2011;57(3):292-9.

27.   Smith G. Does gender influence online survey participation?: A record-linkage analysis of university faculty online survey response behavior. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No ED 501717. 2008.

Table 1. The characteristics of the sample for each country (see details in Supplementary Table 1).

| Country	Total respondents N	%	Male population N	%	Age Mean (SD)
Slovenia	445	10.8	117	26.3	42.1 (14.9)
Croatia	1646	40.1	545	37.9	31.2 (12.4)
Italia	512	12.5	258	50.4	29.7 (11.0)
Serbia	366	8.9	183	50.3	30.2 (12.7)
Slovakia	530	12.9	112	21.1	28.5 (11.1)
Spain	313	7.6	157	50.2	31.3 (13.1)
Greece	97	2.4	50	51.6	42.3 (16.6)
Bosnia and Herzegovina	92	2.2	33	36.0	33.5 (11.6)
Kosovo	107	2.6	42	39.2	30.6 (11.3)
TOTAL	4108	100	1497	36.4	32.0 (13.2)

Table 2. Multiple linear regression of body mass gains in a subsample of 2208 participants.

Variable	B	β	Partial r	VIF	p-value
Constant	-3.385				
Meal size	0.845	0.339	0.332	1.168	<0.001
Unhealthy food	0.330	0.183	0.167	1.192	<0.001
Screen time	0.031	0.052	0.051	1.022	0.006
Sport time	-0.002	-0.046	-0.050	1.034	0.017

404x356mm (144 x 144 DPI)

155x70mm (150 x 150 DPI)