

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](https://www.sciencedirect.com)

Hellenic Journal of Cardiology

journal homepage: <http://www.journals.elsevier.com/hellenic-journal-of-cardiology/>



Editorial

Medical therapy or revascularization for patients with chronic total occlusion? A dilemma almost solved



Chronic total occlusion (CTO) of the coronary arteries are relatively common, observed in approximately 15–25% of patients with coronary artery disease undergoing coronary angiography.^{1–3} The right coronary artery represents the most common CTO vessel, which represents about half of the CTO cases.⁴ The CTO prevalence is much higher (90%) among patients with prior coronary artery bypass graft (CABG),⁴ while a CTO is found in only one tenth of patients referred for ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).⁵

Current guidelines recommend (class IIa B) that percutaneous revascularization of CTO of the coronary arteries should be considered in patients with angina resistant to medical therapy or with a large area of documented ischemia in the territory of the occluded vessel.⁶ Indeed, advances in the procedures, experience, techniques, devices, and wires used to recanalize CTO lesions have significantly improve success rate during the recent years up to 85%.⁷ Although, the procedure can be associated with complications, as success rates increased during the past years, the rate of major complications decreased to less than 2% and appears close to PCI of non-occluded coronary arteries.^{7–10} Therefore, it remains of critical importance to answer in which populations recanalization of CTO lesions can either improve patient symptoms and quality of life, or prognosis.

The generally accepted principle is that registries or observational studies in a propensity matched analysis complement the information provided by randomization trials, but only the rigor of the randomization process could eliminate confounding factors and ensures that true difference are present between conventional and novel therapies. Up to date symptoms improvement and quality of life is the primary indication for CTO revascularization. Indeed, two published randomized-controlled clinical trials, i.e. the Euro CTO trial¹¹ and the IMPACTOR-CTO trial¹² reported symptom improvement after successful CTO-PCI. The Euro CTO trial randomized 407 patients to CTO PCI vs optimal medical therapy alone. At 12 months, compared with patients randomized to medical therapy only, patients randomised to CTO PCI had greater improvement in angina frequency [subscale change difference: 5.23, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.75–8.71; $p = 0.003$], and quality of life [subscale change difference: 6.62, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.78–11.46; $p = 0.007$], as assessed by the Seattle Angina Questionnaire.¹¹ The IMPACTOR-CTO trial randomized 94 patients with isolated right coronary artery CTO to CTO PCI vs optimal medical therapy alone.¹² At 12 months, compared with optimal medical therapy, CTO PCI patients had significant reduction in ischemic burden

and improvement in six-minute walk distance and quality of life as assessed by the short Form-36 Health Survey.¹² Similar improvements have been observed in multiple observational studies and meta-analyses.^{13–16}

Regarding the second point that is prognosis it remains still undetermined whether CTO PCI improves endpoint of death and traditional composite endpoints of death, myocardial infarction, or revascularisation altogether indicated as MACE. In this issue of Hellenic Journal of Cardiology Guo et al¹⁷ from China add another important piece of information with an observational study where propensity score matching was performed to adjust for baseline characteristics analysis, and showed that CTO patients who underwent revascularization were not associated with a reduced risk of cardiac death alone but lower prevalence of target vessel revascularization and MACEs when compared to medical therapy. This is similar to what has been shown by other observational studies in a propensity matched analysis who demonstrated lower incidence of major adverse events with CTO PCI² as compared to medical therapy alone, even among patients with well developed collateral circulations.¹⁸ Conversely, a randomized study¹⁹ did not demonstrate any additional benefit of CTO PCI to medical therapy alone; however, this trial carried many caveats such as nearly 20% of patients in the no-CTO PCI group crossed over to CTO PCI within 3 days after randomization, and also the study was stopped early due to slow enrolment. Indeed, excessive scrutiny means heavy selection bias that may jeopardize the applicability of results to the general population with a majority of patients relatively asymptomatic, and vessels and lesions treated unlikely to be of prognostic relevance. Indeed, interpretation of randomized CTO PCI trials should also take into consideration that most of the time most symptomatic patients are excluded from trials, as nowadays revascularization “tout cour” is generally indicated by physicians and preferred by patients.

This summary highlight once again the complexity of this issue when considering prognosis especially in relatively healthy population with heart disease and CTO of relatively low complexity as the one of Guo and colleagues, and that one size approach does not fit for all. It is also important to be added that in case of significant wall motion abnormalities of the myocardium subtended by the CTO artery the diagnostic work-up should be primarily focused on the detection of myocardial viability. Without signs of myocardial viability, no recovery of left ventricular function can be anticipated and CTO revascularization should considered inappropriate.^{20,21} Conversely, the presence of substantially myocardial viability prior to revascularization is a predictor for improvement of regional and

Peer review under responsibility of Hellenic Society of Cardiology.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hjc.2020.09.014>

1109-9666/© 2020 Hellenic Society of Cardiology. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

global left ventricular function.²² Myocardial ischemia and viability can be assessed using an array of non-invasive imaging tests^{23,24} and the choice of non-invasive imaging for CTO patients should be based on local availability and expertise. Indeed, it is reasonable to identify a cohort of CTO patients that stand to benefit from CTO PCI, both in symptoms and clinical endpoint especially those with a depressed left ventricular ejection fraction below 35%. As such, we have provided a strong rationale²⁵ for the next era of randomized trials evaluating the potential benefits of CTO PCI. As with all interventional therapies, the onus is on the physicians, companies involved with CTO products, and patients who will need to partner and advocate for the right trials with right endpoints.

Finally we concur with Tousoulis²⁶ view that in the presence of a CTO lesion, the decision-making process leading to revascularization passes through 3 steps: symptoms which are not only angina but also dyspnea, assessment of ischemic burden such as for any other significant lesion whether or not the CTO is collateralized, and demonstration of viability by any means especially for those left ventricular wall severely impaired seen in those patients with low ejection fraction who might benefit most from revascularization.

References

1. Fefer P, Knudtson ML, Cheema AN, et al. Current perspectives on coronary chronic total occlusions: the Canadian Multicenter Chronic Total Occlusions Registry. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;59(11):991–997.4.
2. Tomasello SD, Boukhris M, Giubilato S, et al. Management strategies in patients affected by chronic total occlusions: results from the Italian Registry of Chronic Total Occlusions. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36(45):3189–3198.
3. Råmunddal T, Hoebers LP, Henriques JP, et al. Chronic total occlusions in Sweden—a report from the Swedish Coronary Angiography and Angioplasty Registry (SCAAR). *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2016;9:1535–1544.
4. Azzalini L, Jolicœur EM, Pighi M, et al. Epidemiology, Management Strategies, and Outcomes of Patients With Chronic Total Coronary Occlusion. *Am J Cardiol*. 2016;118(8):1128–1135.
5. Claessen BE, van der Schaaf RJ, Verouden NJ, et al. Evaluation of the effect of a concurrent chronic total occlusion on long-term mortality and left ventricular function in patients after primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2009;2(11):1128–1134.
6. Neumann FJ, Sousa-Uva M, Ahlsson A, et al. ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial Revascularization. *Eur Heart J*. 2019;40:87–165.
7. Galassi AR, Werner GS, Boukhris M, et al. Percutaneous recanalization of chronic total occlusions: 2019 consensus document from the Euro CTO Club. *Eurointervention*. 2019;15:198–208.
8. Galassi AR, Sianos G, Werner GS, et al. Retrograde Recanalization of Chronic Total Occlusions in Europe: Procedural, In-Hospital, and Long-Term Outcomes From the Multicenter ERCTO Registry. *JACC (J Am Coll Cardiol)*. 2015;65(22):2388–2400.
9. Galassi AR, Boukhris M, Azzarelli S, Castaing M, Marza F, Tomasello SD. Percutaneous Coronary Revascularization for Chronic Total Occlusions: A Novel Predictive Score of Technical Failure Using Advanced Technologies. *JACC Interv*. 2016;9(9):911–922.
10. Patel SM, Menon RV, Burke MN, et al. Current Perspectives and Practices on Chronic Total Occlusion Percutaneous Coronary Interventions. *J Invasive Cardiol*. 2018;30(2):43–50.
11. Werner GS, Martin-Yuste V, Hildick-Smith D, et al. A randomized multicentre trial to compare revascularization with optimal medical therapy for the treatment of chronic total coronary occlusions. *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39(26):2484–2493.
12. Obedinskiy AA, Kretov EI, Boukhris, et al. The IMPACTOR-CTO Trial. *JACC Interv*. 2018;11(13):1309–1311.
13. Sapontis J, Salisbury AC, Yeh RW, et al. Early procedural and health status Outcomes after chronic totala occlusion angioplasty : a Report from the OPEN-CTO Registry (Outcomes, Patient Health Status, and Efficiency in Chronic Total Occlusion Hybrid Proceures). *JACC Interv*. 2017;10:1523–1524.
14. Christakopoulos GE, Christopoulos G, Carlino, et al. Meta-analysis of clinical outcomes of patients who underwent percutaneous coronary interventions for chronic total occlusions. *Am J Cardiol*. 2015;115:1367–1375.
15. Joyal D, Afilalo J, Rinfret S. Effectiveness of recanalization of chronic total occlusions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am Heart J*. 2010;160:179–187.
16. Ybarra LF, Dautov R, Gibrat C, Dandona S, Rinfret S. Midterm angina-related Quality of life benefits after percutaneous coronary intervention of chronic total occlusions. *Can J Cardiol*. 2017;33:1668–1674.
17. Guo L, Wu J, Ding H, et al. Two-year clinical outcomes of medical therapy vs revascularization for patients with coronary chronic total occlusion. *Hellenic J Cardiol*. 2019 Apr 3. S1109-9666(18)30578-5.
18. Jang WJ, Yang JH, Choi Sh, et al. Long-term survival benefit of revascularization compared with medical therapy in patients with coronary chronic total occlusions and well-developed collateral circulation. *JACC Interv*. 2015;8:271–279.
19. Lee SW, Lee PH, Ahn JM, et al. Randomized Trial Evaluating Percutaneous Coronary Intervention for the Treatment of Chronic Total Occlusion. *Circulation*. 2019;139(14):1674–1683.
20. Stuijzand WJ, Biesbroek PS, Raijmakers PG, et al. Effects of successful percutaneous coronary intervention of chronic total occlusions on myocardial perfusion and left ventricular function. *Eurointervention*. 2017;13(3):345–354.
21. Baks T, van Geuns RJ, Duuncker DJ, et al. Prediction of left ventricular function after drug-eluting stent implantation for chronic total coronary occlusions. *JACC (J Am Coll Cardiol)*. 2006;47(4):721–725.
22. Schumaker SP, Stuijzand WJ, Oploski MP, van Rossum AC, Nap A, Knaapen P. Percutaneous coronary intervention of chronic total occlusions: when and how to treat. *Cardiovasc Revascularization Med*. 2019;20:513–522.
23. Galassi AR, Werner GS, Tomasello SD, et al. Prognostic value of exercise myocardial scintigraphy in patients with coronary chronic total occlusion. *J Intervent Cardiol*. 2010;23(2):139–148.
24. Stuijzand WJ, Raijmakers PG, Driessen RS, et al. Value of hybrid imaging with PET/CT to guide percutaneous revascularization of chronic total occlusions. *Curr Cardiovasc Imag Rep*. 2015;8(7):26.
25. Galassi AR, Boukhris M, Toma A, et al. Percutaneous Coronary Intervention of Chronic Total Occlusions in Patients With Low Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction. *JACC Interv*. 2017;10(21):2158–2170.
26. Tousoulis D. Chronic total occlusions: the puzzle is not yet solved. *Hellenic J Cardiol*. 2018;59:251–253.

Alfredo R. Galassi*, Vincenzo Sucato, Davide Diana,
Giuseppina Novo
Chair of Cardiology, Department of Health Promotion, Mother and
Child Care, Internal Medicine and Medical Specialties, University of
Palermo, Italy

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: argalassi@gmail.com, alfredo.galassi@unipa.it
(A.R. Galassi).

4 September 2020

Available online 8 October 2020