

Manuscript Number: HORTI24274R1

Title: Toward the valorization of olive (*Olea europaea* var. *europaea* L.) biodiversity: horticultural performance of seven Sicilian cultivars in a hedgerow planting system

Article Type: Research Paper

Section/Category: Tree crops, Fruit, Postharvest biology and storage studies, Tree and fruit physiology

Keywords: Biodiversity conservation; resilient cultivars; nutraceutical food; crop efficiency; mechanical harvesting; free palmetta.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Giulia Marino, PhD

Corresponding Author's Institution: UC Davis

First Author: Giulia Marino, PhD

Order of Authors: Giulia Marino, PhD; Laura Macaluso; Filipa Grilo; Francesco Paolo Marra; Tiziano Caruso

Abstract: An intense survey of the Sicilian's olive growing areas for autochthonous germplasm, mainly represented by centennials olive trees (*Olea europaea* var. *europaea* L.) apparently older than III centuries, started at the beginning of the 1980s and resulted in the selection of more than 150 cultivars and accessions. This germplasm was propagated in a nursery, by grafting onto seedlings of *Olea europaea* L., and planted in an experimental orchard, in an olive district located in the South-west of the Island, where they were evaluated for over 30 years and selected for their early bearing, high and constant productivity, as well as high oil content of the fruits and excellent chemical (oleic acid and phenol content) and organoleptic profile of the oil. This paper reports data on the horticultural performances (production, vigor, crop efficiency and oil quality) of four cultivars ('Kalat', 'Olivo di Mandanici', 'Abunara' and 'Minuta'), selected within the Sicilian's autochthonous germplasm trained as "Free Palmetta" and tested in a hedgerow planting system at three different planting densities 500, 666 and 1000 trees/ha. The cultivar 'Nocellara del Belice', 'Cerasuola, and 'Biancolilla', widely cultivated in the area where the trials were carried out, were used as references.

The outstanding performance of the cultivar 'Kalat' at planting density of 1000 trees/ha, suggests that this cultivar can be a promising choice for the super high density orchards (SHD). The other cultivars tested did not performed as 'Kalat' and seem not suitable for SHD planting system due to their high vigor. The hedgerow planting systems tested, in the first 6 years of planting, increases productivity of all cultivars compared to traditional olive orchard typical of the area where the trial was conducted. This "hedgerow" olive orchard may represent a valid solution to increase orchard productivity and to reduce harvest costs by mechanization, depending on tree high, with straddle or side by side canopy contact machines. Achieve higher yield and reducing management

costs using autochthonous, resilient cultivars, could be a new strategy to counteract climate changes. The unique organoleptic profiles of the oils obtained from the cultivars tested, could improve the offer of tasty, flavored and nutraceutical extra virgin olive oils in the international markets. Results highlight the importance of preserving and valorizing biodiversity to increase productivity and resiliency of agricultural systems, facing continuous, fast and deep social and environmental changes.

Dear Editor,

Please consider our revised manuscript ID HORTI24274, entitled “Toward the valorization of olive (*Olea europaea* var. *europaea* L.) biodiversity: horticultural performance of seven Sicilian cultivars in a hedgerow planting system”, by Giulia Marino, Laura Macaluso, Filipa Grilo, Francesco Paolo Marra, and Tiziano Caruso for publication in the *Scientia Horticulturae* Journal.

Firstly, I would like to thank you and the reviewer for the careful and constructive comments and reviews. Based on that comments, I have made changes to the manuscript that has been deeply improved.

In the following pages are my point-by-point responses to each of the comments of the reviewer:

Reviewer #2:

1- Authors should take into consideration the requirement of *Scientia Horticulturae* for paper submission.

The paper was formatted accordingly

2- In Material and Methods section, authors did not give indications about the orchard surface, the experimental design, the replicates number in each block, the fertilization amount during the experimentation, the pruning application, hand harvest or mechanical harvest...

All the information requested were added in the material and method at line 142 and 177-195

3- A lack of legibility of the figures

Figure legibility was improved.

4- A better description of results and a better organization.

We improved the results paragraph following the reviewer’s suggestion to make it more organized and detailed

5- I ask authors why they not proceed to the measurement of oil content on dry matter basis. Indeed, the oil content on fresh matter will be affected by fruit humidity.

We thank the reviewer for this comment, effectively the expression ‘oil content’ that we used in the manuscript could be misleading. We substituted it with ‘oil yield’, which better represent what we aimed to characterize, that is the amount of oil produced per kg of fruits. We selected this method and extracted oil with a commercial mill because we wanted to have a value that could be comparable with what

observed in a previous year trial (Marino et al 2017, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2017.01.046>) where the oil was extracted mechanically on fresh fruits as well, with the main aim to verify productive potential of the different genotype in term of olive oil for the experimental management condition (that are typical of that specific growing area)

6-As authors have data on canopy volume, I recommend to add a new paragraph on yield efficiency (yield compared to canopy volume (Kg /m³).

We thank the reviewer for the suggestion but we prefer to standardize production based on cm² of TCSA because we measured this parameter yearly and from the beginning of the experiment, while the canopy volume was measured only in 2017 when tree shape was complete, the canopy totally developed and the space in the “hedgerow” system was finally filled at least for the highest planting density.

7-I recommend to add results on fruits characteristics of studied varieties as it will help to have idea on fruit retention and then the suitability of each variety to mechanical harvesting.

Table 1 was added in the material and method to describe the main characteristic of the different genotypes' fruits based on previous publications.

8- In general, the discussion section is well structured, follows a logical thread and uses varied bibliography to discuss its own results, however some paragraphs should be deleted as it discuss results that are not presented in the paper. For example, the paragraph which started with 'Changes in temperature' until deep changes have not relation with the paper content.

The paragraph was deleted accordingly. A second paragraph was also deleted to follow the suggestion of the reviewer (lines 435-441)

9-Authors have to classify studied cultivars following their suitability to each studied planting density.

Table 6 was added to the discussion, describing the main outstanding characteristics of the cultivars and the system they could fit better, based on this study

Thank you again for giving me the opportunity to revise and resubmit this manuscript. I hope this is now acceptable for publication in your journal.

Yours sincerely,

Giulia Marino, PhD

Postdoctoral Scholar

Department of Land, Air and Water Resources

213, Veihmeyer Hall

University of California Davis

One Shields Avenue

95616, Davis (CA)

cel: +15303044509

email: giumarino@ucdavis.edu

Highlights

- Autochthonous cultivars trained at free palmetta in hedgerow show high yield
- ‘Kalat’ has outstanding performance and can be planted at very high densities
- Higher yields were associated with unique organoleptic profiles of the oils
- This “pedestrian” system can improve olive farms sustainability in traditional areas

1 **Toward the valorization of olive (*Olea europaea* var. *europaea* L.) biodiversity:**
2 **horticultural performance of seven Sicilian cultivars ~~trained as Free Palmetta~~**
3 **~~palmetta~~ in a hedgerow planting system**

4 Giulia Marino^{a,b,*}, Laura Macaluso^b, Filipa Grilo^{be,c}, Francesco Paolo Marra^b, and Tiziano Caruso^b

5 ^a Department of Land Air and Water Resources, 213, Veihmeyer Hall, University California at Davis, One Shields Ave.,
6 95616 Davis, CA, US

7 ^b Department of Agricultural, Food and Forest Sciences, University of Palermo, Viale delle Scienze Ed. 4, 90128
8 Palermo, Italy

9 ^c Department of Food Sciences and Technology, University of California, Davis, One Shields Ave., 95616 Davis,
10 CA, US

11

12 **Abstract**

13 An intense survey of ~~the Sicilian's olive growing areas~~ ~~for~~ autochthonous germplasm, mainly
14 represented by centennials olive trees (*Olea europaea* var. *europaea* L.) apparently older than III
15 centuries, started at the beginning of the 1980s and resulted in the selection of more than 150
16 ~~cultivars and~~ accessions. This germplasm was propagated in a nursery, by grafting onto seedlings of
17 *Olea europaea* L., and planted in an experimental orchard, ~~in an olive district located in the South-~~
18 ~~west of the Island,~~ -where they were evaluated for over 30 years. ~~Four cultivars were finally and~~
19 selected for their early bearing, high and constant productivity, as well as high oil content of the
20 fruits and excellent chemical (oleic acid and phenol content) and organoleptic profile of the oil. ~~We~~
21 ~~report~~ ~~d~~ ~~This paper reports~~ data on the horticultural- performances (~~tree pp~~roduction, productivity,
22 vigor, ~~crop efficiency~~ and oil quality) of ~~the~~ four ~~selected~~ cultivars ('Kalat', 'Olivo di Mandanici',
23 'Abunara' and 'Minuta'), ~~selected within the Sicilian's autochthonous germplasm-~~ trained as "Free

24 Palmetta” and tested in a hedgerow planting system at three different planting densities 500, 666
25 and 1000 trees/ha. The cultivar ‘Nocellara del Belice’, ‘Cerasuola, and ‘Biancolilla’, widely
26 cultivated in the area where the trials were carried out, were used as references.

27 The outstanding performance of the cultivar ‘Kalat’ at planting density of 1000 trees/ha, suggests
28 that this cultivar can be a promising choice for the super high density orchards (SHD). The other
29 cultivars tested did not performed as ‘Kalat’ and seem not suitable for SHD planting system due to
30 their high vigor.

31 The hedgerow planting systems tested, ~~planted with trees trained as ‘free palmetta’, in the first 6~~
32 ~~years of planting,~~ increases productivity of all cultivars compared to traditional olive orchard
33 typical of the area where the trial was conducted. This “~~hedgerow~~”~~pedestrian~~” olive orchard may
34 represent a valid solution to increase ~~the level of orchard productivity and to reduce the harvest~~
35 ~~costs by mechanization, depending on tree high, -with straddle or side by side canopy contact~~
36 ~~machines. and a~~Achieve higher yield ~~and reducing management costs~~ using autochthonous,
37 ~~resilient~~ cultivars, ~~could be a new strategy to counteract climate changes.~~

38 The unique organoleptic profiles of the oils obtained from the ~~cultivars genotypes~~ tested, could
39 improve the offer of tasty, flavored and nutraceutical ~~Extra-extra v~~Virgin ~~Olive-olive O~~oils
40 ~~(EVOOs)~~ in the international markets.

41 Results highlight the importance of preserving ~~and valorizing~~ biodiversity to increase ~~productivity~~
42 ~~and~~ resiliency of agricultural systems, facing continuous, fast and deep ~~socials and~~ environmental
43 changes.

44
45 **Keywords:** Biodiversity conservation; resilient cultivars; nutraceutical food; crop efficiency;
46 mechanical harvesting; free palmetta.

47 1. Introduction

48 Mechanization and intensification of agricultural systems strongly increased in the last 30 years to
49 meet the higher request for food associated with population increase. In various crops, such as
50 apple, the intensification of planting densities has been obtained adopting dwarfing or weak
51 rootstocks (Brooks and Olmo, 1997; Dennis and Hull, 2003), but in olive the selection of rootstocks
52 able to reduce the size of the scion has still not been achieved ([Barranco, 2010](#); del Río et al.,
53 2005; ~~Barranco, 2010~~).

54 Super high density (SHD) of plantation (~~up to~~ ~ 1500-20500 tree/ha⁻¹) in olive are achieved through
55 the use of specific cultivars, characterized by very low vigor and high flexibility of woody branches
56 that allow full mechanization of harvesting with straddle machine. Currently, only few three
57 cultivars worldwide meet these requirements and most of the SHD plantings systems are still
58 planted with ~~one single~~ only one the cultivar: (‘Arbequina’).

59 The growing wide diffusion of SHD planting system in last 20 years deeply influenced the
60 horticultural and economical setting of olive industry. New olive producing countries, lacking of an
61 historical olive industry and associated typical oils, quickly adopted the new SHD system, because
62 it allows integral mechanization of harvest, ensuring their economic profitability ([Fontanazza and](#)
63 [Cappelletti, 1993](#); Mannino and Pannelli, 1990; ~~Fontanazza and Cappelletti, 1993~~; Planas et al.,
64 1997). In a SHD hedgerow planting systems ~~of~~ (1600 trees/ha producing ~ 12 t), harvest
65 mechanization strongly reduces production costs respect to hand harvest: the self-propelled straddle
66 machine can harvest one hectare in 3 hours (Tous et al 2010); ~~in~~ an intensive vase shaped planting
67 systems (of 300-400 trees/ha producing ~ 6 t of olives), the trunk shaker machine equipped with
68 reverse umbrella harvests one hectare in 12-14 hours ([Di Vaio et al 2012](#); [Famiani et al. 2004](#),
69 [2006](#); Pannelli et al. 1990, Tombesi et al. 1996; ~~Famiani et al. 2004, 2006; Di Vaio et al 2012~~); in a

70 traditional orchard ~~of~~ (277 trees/ha producing ~ 5 t.) hand harvest would last approximately 300
71 hours/ha (Sperandio et al., 2016). The situation was different for the traditional olive growing areas
72 of the world, characterized by small groves planted with autochthonous genotypes strictly related to
73 the territory (Marino et al., 2017). The final products of the “traditional” orchards are high quality
74 oils generally appreciated by a niche market, but the higher managing cost and lower productivity
75 make these systems not profitable in comparison to the ~~intensive and~~ SHD planting systems ~~and~~
76 ~~management~~.

77 Most of these traditional, extensive olive orchards were abandoned in the last years because of ~~their~~
78 ~~this~~ low profitability, causing dangerous losses of genetic resources and reduction of chemical and
79 organoleptic diversification of the oils available in the market.

80 The loss of biodiversity has negative ecological and social consequences, particularly in the
81 perspective of climate changes that are challenging agricultural system productivity. Increases in
82 the frequency and intensity of extreme climatic events will amplify agricultural production risks,
83 particularly among smallholder farmers in developing countries (Morton, 2017). In such scenario, it
84 is essential to increase the biodiversity ~~in the of~~ agricultural systems at the genetic and ecosystem
85 levels, to improve their resilience thereby reducing farmers’ vulnerability to climate related risks
86 (Jackson et al. 2010; Villanueva et al. 2017).

87 One solution to increase economical profitability of olive systems ~~s~~ and maintain their agro-
88 biodiversity and environmental sustainability is ~~the use~~ to increase planting density using local
89 genotypes characterized by reduced vigor and unique and high quality profiles of the oils.

90 With this knowledge, researchers carried out surveys of the autochthonous germplasm in different
91 regions of the world (Barranco et al., 2005; ~~Díez et al., 2011~~; ~~Muñoz-Díez, 2008~~; Rallo 2005;
92 ~~Muñoz-Díez, 2008~~; ~~Díez et al., 2011~~) and of Italy (Bellomo and Godini, 2003; ~~Camposeo et al.,~~

93 [2008; Farinelli and Tombesi, 2015; Godini et al., 2006; ~~Camposeo et al., 2008; Farinelli and~~](#)
94 [Tombesi 2015](#)) and developed trials to evaluate these autochthonous accessions at higher densities
95 in hedgerows planting systems.

96 For the Sicilian region, the survey for genetic diversity started in the 1980's (Barone et al., 1993)
97 and led to the characterization of 23 cultivars (Caruso et al., 2007). More recently, Marino et al.
98 (2017) tested ~~the~~ [these](#) 23 cultivars for their horticultural performance in a hedgerow planting system.
99 Only one cultivar ('Kalat') showed yield and vigor comparable to 'Arbequina', whereas other two
100 cultivars, although more vigorous and less productive than 'Arbequina', showed early bearing, high
101 and constant productivity in the SHD system associated to exclusive chemical composition and
102 flavor of their olive oils, [high oil quality](#).

103 The binomial "Arbequina vs SHD" is the most efficient system in economical term— but other
104 "genotype vs growing system" combinations may have highest environmental efficiency and adapt
105 better to different growing realities, such as different micro-climates or orographic conditions, or
106 traditional olive growing areas where orchards are generally small (around [21.5](#) ha) and rain fed.

107 One ~~excellent good~~ example is the Sicilian cultivar 'Olivo di Mandanici', that showed very high
108 resistance to drought (Marino et al. [2016](#)) [and diseases](#), would probably perform better in areas with
109 scarce water resources.

110 The management protocol commonly adopted in the SHD systems has been tailed to fit the
111 vegetative and productive requirements of the cultivar 'Arbequina' and to perform at large scale
112 and on ~~the~~ level terrains where [it](#) is possible to harvest with straddle machines. However, each
113 combination of environment-genotype-growing system needs its own specific management protocol
114 (Connor et al., 2014). There is hence the need to develop correct management protocols that fit the
115 specific needs of each genotype.

116 ~~It is known that p~~Productivity increases with planting density ([De la Rosa et al 2007](#); [Díez et al](#)
117 [2016](#); [Pastor et al 2005](#); [Tous et al 1999](#); ~~De la Rosa et al 2007~~; ~~Pastor et al 2005~~; ~~Díez et al 2016~~).

118 ~~This is mainly due to because of~~ the higher light interception that affects assimilation, ~~tree~~
119 ~~carbohydrate partitioning to fruits~~ and, as a consequence, yield ([Cherbiy-Hoffmann et al., 2012](#);
120 [Connor et al 2009](#); ~~;~~ [Marino et al., 2018](#)). However, when plantation densities are too high, shading
121 and competition between plants may affect leaf photosynthesis, flower initiation, fruit set, fruit
122 quality and size, as well as fruit yield and oil quality in olive ([Lémole et al., 2018](#); [Tombesi and](#)
123 [Cartechini, 1986](#); [Tombesi et al., 1999](#); ~~Lémole et al., 2018~~).

124 [Pastor et al. \(2007\)](#) monitored yield at several spacing distances, from 204 trees/ha to 1,904
125 trees/ha, and ~~–~~ demonstrated that intermediate densities produce more than the highest ones. In
126 addition, each genotype is characterized by a specific growing habit and canopy development that
127 may affect its yield response to planting density and shading ([Tombesi and Cartechini, 1986](#)).

128 In this experiment, we evaluated the vegetative and productive performance and olive oil quality
129 traits of seven different Sicilian cultivars planted in hedgerow systems at three different spacing
130 distances along the rows. Trees were trained at free palmetta, a very different shape with respect to
131 the cCentral axis commonly adopted in the SHD, that allows s to grow in hedgerow ~~also~~ cultivars
132 characterized by ~~more high~~ vigorous ~~and having thick and thus- not inflexible fruiting~~ branches.

133 Overall, the aim of the project is to develop ~~an~~ innovative but resilient planting systems for
134 promising Sicilian olive cultivars and ~~accessions, that~~ accessions that can improve competitiveness
135 of traditional olive growing ~~areas by: 1) developing new orchard models areas by~~ increasing
136 orchards' productivity ~~and,~~ 2) reducing production costs ~~by~~ increasing the level of harvest
137 mechanization ~~while and 3) maintaining the genetic diversification, for conteraact climate future~~
138 changes.

139

140 2. Materials and methods

141 2.1 Experimental site

142 The experimental orchard [was a 4000 m² block](#) located in a traditional growing area near
143 Sciacca (37° 32' N, 150 m above sea level) in South-West Sicily (Italy) ~~was~~ [and](#) planted in
144 2012 with one-year-old self-rooted olive plants, [60-80 cm tall](#), of 7 different Sicilian cultivars
145 and accessions:

146

147 | ‘Abunara’, ‘Kalat’ (a [candidate](#) clone of the Sicilian ~~minor~~ cultivar Calatina) and ‘Olivo di
148 | Mandanici’, accessions selected by the Department of Agricultural and Forest Sciences of
149 | the University of Palermo based on their performance in a SHD hedgerow system (Marino
150 | et al. 2017);

151 - ‘Biancolilla, Cerasuola and ‘Nocellara del Belice’, the main cultivated genotypes in Sicily,
152 | accounting for around 40% of total oil production in the region;

153 | [‘Minuta’](#), a minor cultivar under risk of erosion, native from an area with high altitudes. It
154 | has been recently included as ‘slow food’ presidium for the healthy proprieties of the oil
155 | and its exclusive flavor.

156 —

157 | [The main biometric parameters of fruits from these cultivars, previously reported by Caruso et](#)
158 | [al. 2007 and Bottari and Spina 1952, are summarized in Table 1.](#)

159

160 | [Accessions](#)

161 The area climate is characterized by hot and dry summers and cold winters, with yearly average
162 precipitation of approximately 520 mm and average monthly temperature of 18.2°C (period
163 1965-1994 meteorological station of Sciacca, Servizio Informativo Agrometeorologico
164 Siciliano).

165 Soil type was sandy clay loam (60% sand, 18% silt, and 22% clay) with pH 7.7 and less than
166 5% active carbonates.

167 The tree rows were oriented North to South. Distance between the rows was 5 m while three
168 different spacing were used between trees along the rows:

169

170 ~~2 m~~, (resulting in a planting density of 1000 trees ha⁻¹);

171 ~~3 m~~, (resulting in a planting density of 666 trees ha⁻¹);

172 ~~4 m~~, (resulting in a planting density of 500 trees ha⁻¹).

173

174 The trees were trained to a 'free palmetta' shape with a single central trunk with ~~two~~three main
175 ~~couple of~~ branches, oriented along the row, starting ~~by~~at 0.7 m height above ground. ~~The other two~~
176 ~~more~~ couples of main branches were inserted at 150 and 230 cm above ground, respectively. To
177 improve the rapid development of their canopy, trees were fasten to a trellis system, made of poles
178 and three horizontal wires. ~~Beside cutting few shoots oriented toward the alleys space, -P~~pruning
179 started only at the 4th year after plantation (YAP) and was made manually, removing branches
180 developed in wrong positions that caused shade to the selected main branches of the Palmetta. The
181 main branches were also cut back to maintain the plant in the assigned spaces within the row. A
182 manual topping was performed to keep plant height below 2.2 m. On the first wire were connected
183 The irrigation driplines were connected to the first wire of the trellis system, and~~with~~ two pressure-

184 compensating drippers per tree, with nominal flowrate of 24 l h^{-1} , distributed annually, about 800 -
185 1200 m³ of water, depending on orchard age, planting density and cropping values –and –through
186 weekly irrigation of about 80 m³ each. –All the trees received identical treatment from planting until
187 the beginning of the current experiment. Starting from the 3rd YAP a total amount –per tree of about
188 100 kg/ha of nitrogen, 30 kg/ha of phosphorous and 80 kg/ha of potassium were applied –with the
189 irrigation water starting 15 days after fool bloom (mid-May) and ending 15 days before ~~until~~
190 harvesting (by mid-October), depending on the cultivar/accessions).

191

192 2.2 Measurements

193 From the 2th to the 6th year after planting (YAP) yield per tree was measured –manually harvested on
194 87 randomly selected trees per cultivar selected within 4 different randomized blocks. In particular,
195 each block was made of 4 consecutive plants within the row and the two central plants of the block
196 were selected for measurements. All cultivars were harvested in a range of 2 to 2.5 maturity index,
197 calculated according to Hermoso et al. (1991), in order to exclude the effect of drupe maturation
198 variability on fruits and oil yield, oil accumulation and overall quality.

199 At the end of each growing season, trunk cross-sectional area (TCSA, cm^2) was measured at 15 cm
200 above the ground level. The TCSA and fruits yield per tree (kg) were used to calculate fruits yield
201 efficiency ($\text{YE}_{\text{fruits}} = \text{kg of fruit}/\text{cm}^2$ of TCSA).

202 At the beginning of the 2017 season, when trees where completely shaped and at the higher density
203 totally filled the allowed growing space –the height (h), width at first branch insertion level (w1)
204 width at distal part of the canopy (w2) and depth (d) were measured, on each monitored tree, and
205 used to calculate the tree canopy volume a as follows:

206

$$V_{tree}(m^3) = \frac{(w1 \cdot d) + (w2 \cdot d) + \sqrt{(w1 \cdot d) + (w2 \cdot d)}}{3} \cdot h$$

207 |
 208 Where w1, w2, h and d are shown in Fig 1. The V_{tree} was multiplied by the number of trees per row
 209 in one ha to obtain the total hedgerow volume per ha.

210 |
 211 The total hedgerow volume per ~~hectare a~~ obtained was then divided by the total canopy volume that
 212 the hedgerow would occupy if the space between trees would be completely filled- to obtain the
 213 percentage of filled hedgerow volume (V_{filled}) value per each genotype (Fig. 1):

$$V_{filled}(\%) = \frac{V_{tree} * \frac{100}{r}}{d * h}$$

214 |
 215 Immediately postharvest the olives fruits were washed, ~~and~~ crushed by a hammer mill, the obtained
 216 paste was mixed at 20-25 °C for 20 minutes and the oil was extracted by a continuous 2 phase
 217 system (Pieralisi Leopard Model 6 DMF Tec Jesi, Italy). The ~~resulting~~ oil extracted was weighted
 218 ~~weight~~ and ~~fruits yield data~~ the data obtained ~~were~~ used to calculate the oil yield as the ratio
 219 between oil weigh extracted and fruits weight (%). Oil production per tree and per ha ~~and oil yield~~
 220 ~~per tree was then calculated~~. The TCSA ~~and~~ and the oil yield production per tree (\oplus) were used to
 221 calculate oil yield efficiency ($YE_{oil} = \text{kg of oil/cm}^2$ of TCSA). Oil samples were stored in the dark at
 222 11 °C until analysis.

223 |

224 2.3 Virgin olive oil analysis

225 2.3.2 Determination of quality traits

226 Free acidity (% of oleic acid) and peroxide value (mEq O₂ kg⁻¹) were measured according to the
227 European Union standard methods (UE, 1989/2003 modifying the ECC 2568/91). Chlorophyll and
228 carotenoid contents were measured using a colorimetric method with a Beckman DU 640 UV
229 spectrophotometer at 476 nm and 670 nm, as described by Mineo et al. (2007). Total phenols
230 quantification was carried out according to the Folin–Ciocalteu colorimetric method and expressed
231 as mg of gallic acid/kg of oil (Montedoro et al., 1992).

232

233 2.3.3 Fatty acids determination

234 Fatty acids of oil samples were determined as methyl esters by gas chromatography using the
235 method described by the International Olive Oil Council (IOOC/T20 doc N33). Quantification was
236 carried out using a Focus GC equipped with a MEGA 10 column (50 m × 0.32 mm × 0.25 μm,
237 Agilent Technologies, USA) and helium as carrier gas. Data were expressed as percentage of total
238 area of the picks from each chromatogram.

239

240 2.4 Statistical analysis

241 Statistical analysis of the data (ANOVA) was done using R program (R Core Team, 2013). Tukey's
242 test was used to separate means. Statistical significance was set at $p \leq 0.05$.

243

244 3.0 Results

245 [Figure 2 shows the year to year variation in productivity \(yield, t ha⁻¹\) and vigor \(trunk cross section](#)
246 [area, cm²\) observed for the different cultivars independently from the planting densities.](#)

247 ~~Independently from the planting density,~~ ‘Kalat’ and ‘Olivo di Mandanici’ showed the highest
248 earliness of cropping, with 3.6 and 3.3 t ha⁻¹ at the 3rd YAP. ‘Abunara’ and ‘Biancolilla’ were the
249 only cultivars not bearing any fruits before the 4th YAP.

250 ~~‘Kalat’ in the 5th YAP had the~~The highest ~~productivity yield~~ of all the experimental period, ~~was~~
251 ~~recorded in the 5th YAP,~~ corresponding to ~~14.5 kg tree⁻¹ (9.5 t ha⁻¹), in ‘Kalat’.~~

252 In the 6th YAP production decreased for all cultivars except for ‘Biancolilla’. Particularly, ‘Minuta’
253 and ‘Nocellara del Belice’ showed no productivity this last year of study.

254 ~~The TCSA was significantly affected by the cultivar but not by the planting density.~~ With the
255 exception of ‘Kalat’, TCSA was relatively homogeneous among cultivars, ranging between 110 cm²
256 in ‘Nocellara del Belice’ and 125 cm² in ‘Minuta’ at the 6th YAP. ‘Kalat’ had TCSA of 65 cm²,
257 45% lower than the average of the other cultivars.

258 ~~Table 1 and 2 show the effect of planting density and cultivar on trees’ productive performance,~~
259 ~~independently from the year of observation.~~

260 ~~The planting density negatively affected yield per parameters on a tree basis (Table 2): and fruit~~
261 ~~yield efficiency (YE_{fruits}) yield per tree decreased from 21 kg tree⁻¹ at 5x3 and 5x4m were~~
262 ~~negatively affected by tree density (to 14 kg tree⁻¹ at 5x2 m. Similarly, fruit yield efficiency~~
263 ~~(YE_{fruits}, kg of fruits per cm² of TCSA,) decreased from 0.09 and to 0.06 kg cm⁻² at 5x2 m, 21 kg~~
264 ~~tree⁻¹ and 0.09 kg cm⁻² at 5x3 and 5x4m), while the cumulated yield per ha increased at the highest~~
265 ~~densities (14 t ha⁻¹ at 5x2m- and 11 t ha⁻¹ at 5x4m). Cumulated oil per ha and oil yield efficiency~~
266 ~~(YE_{oil}) were not affected by tree spacing (Tab. 2 and 3).~~

267 ‘Kalat’ was the most productive cultivar in all planting densities, ~~with an average yield of about 7.2~~
268 ~~t ha⁻¹, ~3 tons higher than the total average of all cultivars. The p~~Production variability among the
269 other cultivars was larger at the highest planting density (5x2m). In the two lowest planting

270 densities (mainly 5x4m but also 5x3m), apart from 'Kalat' that constantly had the highest values,
271 no significant differences were observed among cultivars.

272 ~~'Cerasuola' had the highest fruit oil content (19%), followed by 'Biancolilla', 'Kalat', 'Nocellara~~
273 ~~'del Belice' and 'Abunara' (15%). Other genotypes had 11% of fruit oil contains while 'Minuta'~~
274 ~~showed the lowest value (9%).~~

275 Considering only the 5x2m spacing and excluding 'Kalat', 'Olivo di Mandanici' had the second
276 highest cumulated fruits yield, followed by 'Cerasuola'; 'Olivo di Mandanici' and 'Biancolilla'
277 showed the highest YE_o; ~~'Cerasuola' had the highest cumulated oil yield per ha followed by 'Olivo~~
278 ~~di Mandanici'.~~

279 Cumulated oil production per ha and oil yield efficiency (YE_{oil}) were not affected by tree spacing
280 (Table 23 and 3).

281 ~~'Cerasuola' had the highest fruit oil yield content (19 %%), followed by 'Biancolilla', 'Kalat',~~
282 ~~'Nocellara del Belice' and 'Abunara' (15 %%). Other genotypes had 11 %% of fruit oil~~
283 ~~yield contains while 'Minuta' showed the lowest value (9 %%).~~ In terms of oil production 'Kalat'
284 was again the most productive cultivar with a yearly average production of 1.1 t ha⁻¹ of oil,
285 followed by 'Cerasuola' with 0.9 t ha⁻¹. Differences among cultivars were larger at the highest
286 planting density.

287 ~~Canopy volume was significantly different among cultivar, with lowest values in 'Kalat' and~~
288 ~~highest in 'Minuta' and 'Cerasuola'.~~ Tree canopy volume was highest at the lowest planting density
289 and it (Fig.3A) was significantly different among cultivars, with lowest values in 'Kalat' and
290 highest in 'Minuta' and 'Cerasuola' (Fig. 3A).

291 The percentage of free space filled by the canopy was almost 100% in the highest planting density
292 ~~(5x2-m-between-plants-along-the-row)~~ while it strongly decreased with decreasing planting density

293 to about 80 and 60% (~~5x3-m~~ and ~~5x4 m-between-plants~~, respectively) (Fig. 3B). No effect of the
294 cultivar was observed on this parameter.

295 According to the free acidity, peroxide number, spectrophotometric investigation in the ultraviolet
296 and fatty acid composition limits established by the International Olive Oil Council (Table 4)
297 (COI/T.15/NC No 3/Rev. 11, July 2016), all oils studied were classified as extra-virgin olive oils
298 (EVOOs). Chlorophyll content ranged from 4.8 to 7.6 ppm while carotenoid content ranged from
299 2.9 to 4.4 ppm. No differences were observed among cultivars, except for 'Cerasuola' that showed
300 the higher level of pigment, both chlorophyll (13 ppm) and carotenoid (10 ppm), than all other
301 cultivars studied.

302 Total phenols content of the oil (Table [34](#)) ranged from a maximum of 789 ppm in 'Minuta' to a
303 minimum of 229 ppm in 'Abunara'. 'Kalat' had the second highest total phenol content (531 ppm)
304 followed by 'Cerasuola' (429 ppm). In the remaining cultivars, total phenol content was between
305 320 and 366 ppm.

306 The fatty acid composition of olive oil samples from this study are shown in Table ~~-55~~. Saturated
307 fatty acids (SFA) ranged from 13 to 17% with 'Abunara' showing the highest value of this
308 parameter whereas 'Kalat' and 'Cerasuola' the lowest. Unsaturated fatty acid in the oils (UFA)
309 ranged between 80 to 86% and no significant differences were observed among the cultivars
310 studied.

311 'Cerasuola' had the highest UFA/SFA value with 6.9 and 'Abunara' the lowest one with 4.8. The
312 percentage of monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) in the oils was around 7-13% without
313 significant differences among cultivars. The percentage of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) was
314 highest in 'Abunara' and 'Biancolilla' followed by 'Cerasuola' while 'Kalat' had the lowest value
315 of this parameter. 'Kalat' was distinguished by the highest MUFA/PUFA value followed by

316 ‘Minuta’ whereas ‘Abunara’ had the lowest value. The linoleic/oleic acid ratio ranged between 25
317 and 48 with no differences observed among cultivars.

318

319 4.0 Discussion

320 4.1 Cultivars’ performance

321 The cultivar ‘Kalat’ confirmed the outstanding performance already observed in a previous, long
322 term, experiment carried out from 2006 to 2015 in a SHD orchard located in another area of the
323 same region (Marino et al., 2017). ‘Kalat’ showed early bearing and very high yield coupled with
324 the lowest tree vigor among the cultivars tested, just 65 cm² at the 6th YAP. These values resulted
325 in outstanding values of yield efficiency (0.20 kg cm²). Average yearly fruit yield at full production
326 (2015, 2016 and 2017 years) was similar (about 8-9 t/ha ha⁻¹) to what observed on ‘Arbequina’ at
327 similar planting density by Díez et al. (2016). Díez et al. (2016) showed that increasing densities up
328 to 2252 trees/ha ha⁻¹ increased productivity in ‘Arbequina’ and considering the similarity of the two
329 cultivars, ‘Kalat’ might perform similarly-analogously at such high density. Hence, ‘Kalat’
330 confirms to be a promising cultivar for SHD systems.

331 ‘Kalat’ is still the most productive among all the cultivars studied also in term of oil yield
332 production (1.3 t/ha ha⁻¹). ‘Kalat’ combined high productivity with good quality oils, characterized
333 by high total phenols content and high MUFA/PUFA ratio, differently to what observed in the
334 previous trial (Marino et al., 2017). This difference is probably related to the sensitivity of oil
335 chemical traits to microclimatic and management condition (Gargouri et al., 2013; Marra et al.,
336 2016; Ouni et al., 2011; Vinha et al., 2005; Ouni et al., 2011, Gargouri et al., 2013) and highlights
337 the high potential of this cultivar and the importance of testing genotypes in several locations and
338 for multiple years to precisely characterize their oil quality traits.

339 ‘Olivo di Mandanici’ and ‘Cerasuola’ resulted highly productive cultivars. ‘Olivo di Mandanici’
340 confirmed its bearing earliness as previously reported, with 3.3 t ha⁻¹ on the 3rd YAP and 6.9 t ha⁻¹
341 on the 4th YAP. It ranked second as for fruit yield, with yearly average yield at full production of
342 4.2 t ha⁻¹. ‘Cerasuola’ was less productive than ‘Olivo di Mandanici’ in term fruit per ha
343 (average value of 3.7 t ha⁻¹) but more productive in term of oil per ha (0.9 t ha⁻¹), thanks to the
344 highest oil ~~contentment-yield~~ (19%).- Also in the previous experiment, this cultivar was outstanding
345 for this parameter. ‘Cerasuola’ was also ~~showed-characterized by~~ very good oil quality, with the
346 highest pigment concentration (13 ppm of chlorophyll and 10.5 ppm of carotenoyds), very high
347 phenol content (429 ppm) and the highest UFA/SFA ration (6.9). Also in the previous experiment
348 ‘Cerasuola’ had the best oil quality profile particularly in term of fatty acid composition with a
349 UFA/SFA of 10% and the phenols of 380 ppm, the second highest concentration of all 27 genotypes
350 analyzed. The high vigor (see TCSA values) recorded in the present study for ‘Cerasuola’ and
351 ‘Mandanaci’ also confirms the previous study’s findings.
352 ‘Abunara’ and ‘Minuta’ showed some differences in comparison to what observed in the previous
353 experiment. ‘Abunara’ was less productive. This may be because it was more affected than other
354 cultivars by the adverse microclimatic condition that, during the productive season year 2014 and
355 2016, reduced olive oil production worldwide. In Sicily, olive production in 2014 was 48 % lower
356 with respect to the previous year (<http://agri.istat.it/>). According to a report of the International
357 Olive Council (IOC 2017/1), olive oil production worldwide was 20% less in 2016/2017 season
358 compared with previous year. In Spain, the biggest producer country, production decreased by 15.8
359 % whereas in Italy was observed a reduction of 49% respect to the previous year. This strong yield
360 reduction seems related to the adverse and unusual high humidity during fool bloom, associated ~~to~~
361 with climate change, that strongly affected pollen fertility and fruit set and also ~~creating~~ favorable

362 development condition for the olive fruit fly (*Bactrocera oleae*) (~~Ponti et al., 2014~~) further
363 impacting olive yield across the Mediterranean Basin (Olive oil Times, 2018). ~~Even if all the~~
364 ~~cultivars showed somehow such decrease of productivity in 2016, in some cultivars the yield~~
365 ~~decrease was more intense, leading to the total loss of yield, like in ‘Minuta’ and ‘Nocellara del~~
366 ~~Belice’, while other cultivars were just slightly affected. This is related to the different timing of~~
367 ~~phenological development of the various genotypes tested and it may also demonstrate a different~~
368 ~~resistance to *B. oleae* of the cultivar analyzed (Alzaghaf and Mustafa 1987 probably due to~~
369 ~~differences in fruit morphology (size and weight, color, fruit epicarp hardness), surface properties~~
370 ~~and chemical components (Donia et al., 1971; Iannotta et al 2007; Neuenschwander et al., 1985;~~
371 ~~Guzmán-Delgado et al., 2017).~~

372 ~~This unexpected response highlight the importance to preserve biodiversity to support agricultural~~
373 ~~systems that are facing continuous, fast and deep changes.~~

374 The minor cultivar ‘Minuta’, despite the low productivity, stood out from all the other genotypes for
375 ~~a~~ its very high content of total phenol ~~content~~, reaching almost 800 ppm. Again, as for ‘Kalat’, these
376 values do not correspond to the previous trial (Marino et al., 2017). Phenol content is strongly
377 influenced by climatic and management practice, particularly irrigation- (Caruso et al., 2014;
378 Gargouri et al., 2013; Ouni et al., 2011; Vinha et al., 2005; Ouni et al., 2011, Gargouri et al., 2013,
379 Caruso et al., 2014), and this results highlighting again the importance of performing several trials
380 to better characterize the potential of a genotype in term of oil quality at variable microclimatic
381 conditions.

382

383 4.2 Planting density

384 Higher planting densities affected positively the production per ha and negatively the production
385 per tree ([Guerfel et al., 2010a](#); [Kmicha et al., 2008](#); Pastor et al., 1998; Tous et al. 1999; ~~Kmicha et~~
386 ~~al., 2008~~; ~~Guerfel et al., 2010a~~). This was more evident in cultivars with lower vigor such ‘Kalat’,
387 who increased cumulated [fruits](#) yield by 30 % (from 21 to 30 t [ha⁻¹ha](#)), and less evident in more
388 vigorous cultivars, such as ‘Minuta’ or ‘Cerasuola’ that performed better at the lowest or medium
389 densities. Vigorous cultivars filled the assigned space in the hedgerow earlier due to faster
390 vegetative growth ~~that affect~~ [and higher](#) canopy volume. ~~This may decrease, and may have lower~~
391 ~~their~~ efficiency because of shading when the trees became adult ~~and old~~ (Pastor et al. 2007).
392 Increasing planting density improved early orchard productivity (3rd and 4th YAP), since canopies
393 filled earlier the available space in the row (Fig. [2b2B](#)) increasing light interception at the beginning
394 of orchard life (~~-~~Gomez-del-Campo et al., 2017).
395 Density did not affect significantly TCSA, probably because ~~the planting canopy densities volumes~~
396 were still ~~no so high too small~~ to limit vegetative growth ~~of closer trees~~. ~~Canopy Canopies~~ at the
397 beginning of the 2017 were just starting to fill all the space available in the row, so probably
398 increasing light competition will affect more plants growth in the next years. However, it is also
399 possible that difference in tree density among treatments were not large enough to affect this
400 parameter. Similarly, Tous et al. ([2005](#)) in a density experiment carried out with ‘Arbequina’ ~~in~~
401 ~~2005???~~ ~~Not clear~~, found biggest TCSA only in the lowest density treatment (238 tree ha⁻¹) while
402 for density higher than 555 tree ha⁻¹ no difference were found. Msallem et al. (2008) found similar
403 response with higher TCSA when tree spacing was 312 and 416 trees ha⁻¹.

404

405 4.3 Potential impact of different cultivar-management solutions

406 Several trials have been carried out in the last years all around the world, with the aim to find local
407 cultivars that could fit the requirement for hedgerow, SHD planting system (Allalout et al., 2011;
408 [Camposeo et al., 2008](#); De la Rosa et al., 2007; [Dias et al., 2011](#); ~~[Camposeo et al., 2008](#)~~; [Godini et](#)
409 [al., 2011](#); [Larbi et al. 2011](#); Maia et al. 2008; [Proietti et al., 2011](#); [Tombesi et al., 2011a, b](#); Tous et
410 al. 2008; ~~[Allalout et al., 2011](#)~~; ~~[Dias et al., 2011](#)~~; ~~[Godini et al., 2011](#)~~; ~~[Larbi et al. 2011](#)~~; ~~[Proietti et al.,](#)~~
411 ~~[2011](#)~~; ~~[Tombesi et al., 2011a, b](#)~~). However, to our knowledge, none of these trials succeeded in
412 discovering other autochthonous genotypes well suited for ~~hedgerow~~ this systems. Generally, the
413 main limit that various researchers reported was the excessive vigor and the tree architecture that
414 did not fit the requirements for such kind of plantings (Rallo et al., 2013).

415 This trial confirmed the outstanding performance of ‘Kalat’ at the highest planting density,
416 indicating this cultivar as an actual option for SHD systems ([Table 6](#)). The binomial ‘Kalat-
417 Hedgerow plantings system’ can be used to improve profitability of Sicilian olive production,
418 achieving early and high productivity. Moreover, the use of this cultivar, having flavored and
419 healthy olive oils, would contribute to preserve the local biodiversity of the agro systems. However,
420 before introducing this cultivar in new areas, previous comparative field trials need to be
421 performed, to avoid failures of adaptation due specific climatic conditions (Rallo et al., 2013).

422 The other Sicilian genotypes would not be suitable for hedgerow planting systems as a consequence
423 of high vigor and specific growing habit, characterized by the presence of few, thick and strong
424 branches that may interfere with mechanical harvesters, rather than many, thin and flexible
425 branchlets that fit the requirements of the straddle machines (T. Caruso, personal observation).

426 Despite the impossibility of full harvest mechanization, the growing system tested in this
427 experiment, with trees trained at palmetto in hedgerow with medium-high densities, allowed to
428 significantly increase densities with respect to traditional olive system typical of this area

429 (approximately 200 trees ~~ha⁻¹ per ha~~), improving early light interception, thus orchard efficiency in
430 the first years after plantation. Trees showed early and high productivity starting from the 4th YAP,
431 with average production of 4 t~~ha ha⁻¹~~ of fruits. This make this system more profitable of the
432 traditional orchards ~~that~~ in these growing area produce on the average about 2 t~~ha ha⁻¹~~ (ISTAT;
433 FAO, 2011). ~~Furthermore, the reduced height of the trees (230 cm) and the hedgerow design of the~~
434 ~~orchard would facilitated and accelerated hand harvesting operations, further increasing crop value~~
435 ~~(Lavee et al., 2012). Trees can be harvested without the use of ladder adopting man handled~~
436 ~~mechanical harvesters, bore on a telescopic pole. The hedgerow tree shaping, would also allow the~~
437 ~~use of self propelled “side by side”, trunk shaker or “canopy contact” harvesters. Details about the~~
438 ~~suggested system for the studied cultivars are reported in Table 6.~~

439

440 5.0 Conclusions

441 The results reported here confirm the importance of preserving and evaluating local genetic
442 resources.

443 The availability of the cultivar ‘Kalat’ for SHD system would lead several advantages to the
444 regional and international olive industry and growers. At regional level, it would increase
445 profitability of olive farms without renouncing to the possibility to produce a Sicilian exclusively
446 flavored and healthy olive oil. At the international level, it would increase the resiliency of
447 agricultural systems with higher biodiversity, introduce in the market oils with different chemical
448 and organoleptic quality at lower price and facilitate harvesting operation, extending the harvesting
449 period in large farms or olive growing areas.

450 Despite the other genotypes tested were too vigorous to meet the standard ~~on for~~ a straddle
451 machine, although the growing system tested allowed a significant increase of productivity when

452 compared to traditional olive system typically used in the studied area, improving orchard
453 productivity, particularly in the first years after plantation.- [The reduced height of the trees \(230 cm\)](#)
454 [and the hedgerow design of the orchard would accelerated hand-harvesting operations, making this](#)
455 [system particularly profitable for table olive production, where manual harvesting is preferred to](#)
456 [avoid mechanical damage to fruits.](#) Furthermore, [partial](#) mechanical harvesting with alternatives
457 machines (side by side trunk shakers; canopy contact) are under evaluation as a possible solution to
458 facilitate harvesting operations ~~and further improve economic profitability of this system.~~ This
459 ~~system that could be named~~ “pedestrian² olive system” may also represent a valuable solution for
460 ~~all the~~ marginal areas, like moderately slope hills ([up to 15%](#))-, where olive traditionally has been
461 cultivated and ~~total~~ mechanical harvesting is not possible.

462 [The wider use of alternative genotypes will increase the resilience of agricultural systems, thanks to](#)
463 [the different drought and disease resistance of some of these cultivars.](#)

464 ~~Finally, The~~ [exclusive high top](#) quality ([flavor, nutritional and nutraceuticals value](#)) of the oils
465 obtained from these genotypes, would increase the offer of ~~Extra-extra Virgin-virgin Olive-olive~~
466 ~~Oils (EVOOs)~~ in the international markets.

467

468 [Acknowledgements](#)

469 [This work was funded by the research project LIFE15 CCM/IT/000141 - OLIVE4CLIMATE -](#)
470 [LIFE “Climate Change Mitigation Through a Sustainable Supply Chain For The Olive Oil Sector”.](#)

471

472 **References**

473 Allalout, A., ~~D.~~-Krichene, ~~D.K.~~ Methenni, ~~K.~~ ~~A.~~Taamalli, ~~A.~~~~D.~~ Daoud, ~~D., M.~~ Zarrouk-, ~~M.~~, 2011.
474 Behavior of super-intensive Spanish and Greek olive cultivars grown in northern Tunisia. J. Food
475 Biochem. 35 (1): 27–43.

476 ~~Alzaghal, K., Mustafa, T., 1987. Studies on the pupation of the olive fruit fly, Dacus oleae Gmel~~
477 ~~(Diptera, Tephritidae), in Jordan. J. Appl. Entomol. 103:452–56.~~

478 Barone, E., Di Marco, L., Motisi, A.,– Caruso, T., 1993. The Sicilian olive germplasm and its
479 characterization by using statistical methods. ~~in:~~ II International Symposium on Olive Growing
480 356, pp. 66-69.

481 Barranco, D., 2010. “Varieties and rootstocks” ~~in:~~ Barranco, D., Fernández-Escobar, R., Rallo, L.
482 (Eds.), Olive Growing, Junta de Andalucía and Mundi-Prensa, Madrid, pp. 59–82.

483 Barranco, D., Trujillo, I., Rallo, L., 2005. Libro I. Elaiografía Hispanica. ~~in:~~ Rallo, L., Barranco,
484 D., Caballero, J.M., Del Río, C., Martín, A. Tous, J., Trujillo, I. (Eds.), Variedades de olivo en
485 España. Junta de Andalucía, MAPA y Ediciones Mundi-Prensa, Madrid, pp. 45–229.

486 Bellomo, F., Godini, A., 2003. Primeros campos experimentales de olivosuperintensivo en Puglia-
487 Italia. Olint 7, 29–30.

488 [Bottari, V., Spina, P., 1952. Le varietà di olivo coltivate in Sicilia. Annali della Sperimentazione](#)
489 [Agraria, 7: 937-1004.](#)

490 Brooks, R.M., Olmo, H.P., 1997. The Brooks and Olmo register of fruit & nut varieties. ASHS
491 Press, Alexandria, VA, USA. 743 pp.

492 Camposeo, S., Ferrara, G., Palasciano, M., Godini, A., 2008. Varietal behavior according to the
493 superintensive oliveculture training system. *Acta Horticulturae* 791,271–274.

494 Caruso, G., Gucci, R., Urbani, S., Esposto, S., Taticchi, A., Di Maio, I., ... & Servili, M., 2014.
495 Effect of different irrigation volumes during fruit development on quality of virgin olive oil of cv.
496 Frantoio. *Agricultural Agr. water Water managementmanag.*; 134, 94-103.

497 Caruso, T., Cartabellotta, D., Motisi, A., Campisi, G., Occorso, G., Bivona, G., Cappello, A., Pane,
498 G., Pennino, G., Ricciardo, G., Patti, M., La Mantia, M., Lain, O., Testolin, R., Finoli, C., Cacioppo,
499 L., Corona, O., Catagnano, L., Savino, V., Saponari, M., 2007. Cultivar di Olivo Siciliane.
500 Identificazione validazione, caratterizzazione morfologica e molecolare e qualità degli oli. Contiene
501 manuale per la caratterizzazione primaria di cultivar di olivo siciliane, Palermo, Italy.

502 Cherbiy-Hoffmann, S.U., Searles, P.S., Hall, A.J., Rousseaux, C.M., 2012. Influence of light
503 environment on yield determinants in large olive hedgerows following mechanical pruning in the
504 subtropics of the Southern Hemisphere. *Scientia-Sci. Horticulturae.*; 137, pp. 36-42.

505 Connor, D.-J., Centeno, A., Gómez-del-Campo, M., 2009. Yield determination in olive hedgerow
506 orchards. II. Analysis of radiation and fruiting profiles. *Crop and Pasture Science.*; 60(5), 443-452.

507 Connor, D.J., Gómez-del-Campo, M., Rousseaux, M.C., Searles, P.S., (2014). Structure,
508 management and productivity of hedgerow olive orchards: a review. *Scientia-Sci. Horticulturae.*
509 169, 71-93.

510 De la Rosa, R., León, L., Guerrero, N., Rallo, L., Barranco, D., 2007. Preliminary results of an olive
511 cultivar trial at high density. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 58, 392–395. [doi:10.1071/AR06265](https://doi.org/10.1071/AR06265).

512 Del Río, C., Caballero, J.M., García-Fernández, M.D., 2005. Vigor (Banco de germoplasma de
513 Córdoba). ~~in~~: Rallo, L. et al., (Eds.), Variedades de olivo en España (Libro II: Variabilidad y
514 selección), Junta de Andalucía-MAPA-Mundi-Prensa, Madrid, pp. 247-256.

515 Dennis, F.G., Hull, J., 2003. Deciduous tree fruit. HortScience 38, 901-910.

516 Di Vaio, C., Marallo, N., Nocerino, S., Famiani, F., 2012. Mechanical harvesting of oil olives by
517 trunk shaker with a reversed umbrella interceptor. ~~Advances in Horticultural Horticulture ScienceSci;~~
518 176-179.

519 Dias, A.B., Pinheiro, A., Peca, J.O., Boteta, L., Maia, J., Picarra, I., Figueira, M., Borges, F., -2011.
520 Evaluation of Portuguese olive tree cultivars in high density planting system. An account of five
521 years. p. 370. XXVIII Intl. Hort. Congr., Lisbon, August 22–27.

522 Díez, C.M., I. Trujillo, E. Barrio, A. Belaj, D. Barranco, L. Rallo, 2011. Centennial olive trees as a
523 reservoir of genetic diversity. ~~Annals Ann. of Botany Bot.~~ 108:797–807.

524 Díez, C. M., Moral, J., Cabello, D., Morello, P., Rallo, L., Barranco, D., 2016. Cultivar and tree
525 density as key factors in the long-term performance of super high-density olive orchards. ~~Frontiers~~
526 ~~Front.in Plant ScienceSci;~~ 7, 1226.

527 ~~Donia, A.R., El Sawaf, S.K., Abou Ghadir, M.F., 1972. Number of generations and seasonal~~
528 ~~abundance of the olive fruit fly, *Dacus oleae* (Gmel.) and the susceptibility of different olive~~
529 ~~varieties to infestation. diptera: trypetidae. Soc Entomol Egypte Bull.~~

530 Famiani, F., Farinelli, D., Proietti, P., 2004. Raccolta delle olive. Atti “Aggiornamenti sulle
531 Tecniche Colturali in Olivicoltura”, Accademia Nazionale dell’Olivo e dell’Olio, Spoleto (PG):
532 123-162.

533 Famiani, F., De Marco, I., Proietti, P., Farinelli, D., Nasini, L., Pennacchi, F., 2006. Valutazioni
534 tecniche ed economiche sulla raccolta meccanica ed agevolata delle olive in centro Italia. Atti del
535 Convegno Nazionale “Maturazione e raccolta delle olive: strategia e tecnologie per aumentare la
536 competitività in olivicoltura”. Pescara, 1 aprile 2006, 215-220.

537 FAO. 2011. FAOSTAT online database, available at link <http://faostat.fao.org/>. Accessed on
538 December 2011.

539 Farinelli, D., Tombesi, S., 2015. Performance and oil quality of ‘Arbequina’ and four Italian olive
540 cultivars under super high density hedgerow planting system cultivated in central Italy. [Scientia Sci.
541 Horticulturae Hort.](#) 192, 97–107.

542 Fontanazza, G., Cappelletti, M., 1993. Evolucion sistemas de cultivo del olivo: de los Olivares
543 intensivos mecanizados a las plantaciones densas. *Olivae*, 48 (10): 28-36.

544 Gargouri, B., Ammar, S., Zribi, A., Mansour, A.-B., & Bouaziz, M., 2013. Effect of growing region
545 on quality characteristics and phenolic compounds of chemlali extra-virgin olive oils. *Acta
546 physiologicae plantarum*, 35(9), 2801-2812.

547 Godini, A., Palasciano, M., Ferrara, G., Camposeo, S., 2006. Prime osservazioni sul
548 comportamento agronomico di cultivar d’olivo allevate con il modello superintensivo. *Frutticoltura
549 3*, 40–44.

550 Godini, A., G.A. Vivaldi, and S. Camposeo. 2011. Olive cultivars field-tested in super high-density
551 system in southern Italy. *Calif. Agric.* 65 (1): 39–40.

552 Gomez-del-Campo, M., Connor, D.J., Trentacoste, E.R., 2017. Long-term Effect of Intra-Row
553 Spacing on Growth and Productivity of Super-High Density Hedgerow Olive Orchards (cv.
554 Arbequina). [Front. Plant Sci.](#) ~~Frontiers in plant science~~, 8, 1790.

555 Guerfel, M., ~~Y.~~ Ouni, ~~Y.~~ ~~D.~~ Boujnah, ~~D.~~, and ~~M.~~ Zarrouk, ~~M.~~, 2010. Effects of the planting
556 density on water relations and production of ‘Chemlali’ olive trees (*Olea europaea* L.). *Trees*
557 *Struct. Funct.* 24:1137–1142.

558 ~~Guzmán-Delgado, P., Fernández, V., Venturas, M., Rodríguez Calcerrada, J., & Gil, L. (2017).~~
559 ~~Surface properties and physiology of *Ulmus laevis* and *U. minor* samaras: implications for seed~~
560 ~~development and dispersal. *Tree physiology*, 37(6), 815–826.~~

561 Hermoso, M., Uceda, M., Garcia, A., Morales, B., Frias, M.L., Fernandez, A., 1991. Elaboracion de
562 aceite de calidad. *Cons. Agric. y Pesca.*

563 ~~Iannotta, N., Noce, M.E., Ripa, V., Scalercio, S., Vizzarri, V., 2007. Assessment of susceptibility of~~
564 ~~olive cultivars to the *Bactrocera oleae* (Gmelin, 1790) and *Camarosporium dalmaticum* (Thum.)~~
565 ~~Zachos & Tzav. Klon. attacks in Calabria (Southern Italy). *J. Environ. Sci. Health Part B* 42:789–~~
566 ~~93.~~

567 Jackson, L., van Noordwijk, M., Bengtsson, J., Foster, W., Lipper, L., Pulleman, M., ... & Vodouhe,
568 R., (2010). Biodiversity and agricultural sustainagility: from assessment to adaptive management.
569 [Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.](#) ~~Current opinion in environmental sustainability~~, 2(1-2), 80-87.

570 Kmicha, I., Chaieb, M., Bader, B., Mahjoub, Z., Lamari, S., Ben Mariem, F., Boujnah, D., 2008.
571 Impact of the planting density on the sap flow and water state of the olive tree in rainfall conditions.
572 p. 214. The Sixth Intl. Symp. on Olive Growing, Evora, Portugal, Sept. 9–13. Book of abstracts.

573 Larbi, A., Ayadi, M., Ben Dhiab, A., Msallen, M., Caballero, J.M., 2011. Olive cultivars suitability
574 for high-density orchards. Span. J. Agric. Res. 9(4):1279–1286.

575 Lavee, S., Haskal, A., Avidan, B., 2012. The effect of planting distances and tree shape on yield
576 and harvest efficiency of cv. Manzanillo table olives. [Scientia Horticulturae Hort.](#), 142, 166-173.

577 Lémole, G., Weibel, A.-M., & Trentacoste, E.R., 2018. Effect of shading in different periods from
578 flowering to maturity on the fatty acid and phenolic composition of olive oil (cv. Arbequina).
579 [Scientia Horticulturae Hort.](#), 240, 162-169.

580 Maia, J., Boteta, L., Guerreiro, C., Infante, J., Dias, A.B., Pinheiro, A., Picarra, I., Figueira, M.,
581 Peca, J.O., 2008. Adaptability of Portuguese *Olea europaea* varieties to super high density
582 production system. p. 183. The Sixth Intl. Symp. on Olive Growing, Evora, Portugal), Sept. 9–13.
583 Book of abstracts.

584 Mannino, P., Pannelli, G., 1990. Fully mechanized harvesting of olive fruit. Technical and
585 agronomic preliminary evaluations. [Acta Horticulturae](#), 286-(12), 437-440.

586 Marino, G., Pernice, F., Marra, F.P., Caruso, T., 2016. Validation of an online system for the
587 continuous monitoring of tree water status for sustainable irrigation managements in olive (*Olea*
588 *europaea* L.). [Agricultural Water Management Man.](#) 177, 298-307.

589 Marino, G., Macaluso, L., Marra, F. P., Ferguson, L., Marchese, A., Campisi, G., ... & Caruso, T.,
590 2017. Horticultural performance of 23 Sicilian olive genotypes in hedgerow systems: vegetative
591 growth, productive potential and oil quality. [Scientia Horticulturae Hort.](#), 217, 217-225.

592 Marino, G., La Mantia, M., Caruso, T., Marra, F.P., 2018. Seasonal dynamics of photosynthesis and
593 total carbon gain in bearing and nonbearing pistachio (*Pistacia vera* L.) shoots. *Photosynthetica*,
594 56(3), 932-941.

595 Marra, F.P., Marino, G., Marchese, A., Caruso, T., 2016. Effects of different irrigation regimes on a
596 super-high-density olive grove cv. "Arbequina": vegetative growth, productivity and polyphenol
597 content of the oil. *Irrigation Science* 34, 313–325.

Formatted: English (United States)

598 Mineo, V., Planeta, D., Finoli, C., Giuliano, S., 2007. Fatty acids, sterols and antioxidant
599 compounds of minor and neglected cultivar of Sicilian virgin olive oils. *Prog. Nutr.* 9 (4), 259–263.

600 Montedoro, G., Servili, M., Baldioli, M., Miniati, E., 1992. Simple and hydrolyzable phenolic
601 compounds in virgin olive oil. 1. Their extraction, separation, and quantitative and semiquantitative
602 evaluation by HPLC. [J. Agric. Food Chem. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry](#), 40(9), 1571-
603 1576.

604 Morton, J.F., 2007. The Impact of Climate Change on Smallholder and Subsistence Agriculture.
605 *PNAS* 104, 19680–19685. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0701855104>

Field Code Changed

606 Msallem, M., ~~A.~~ Larbi, ~~A.~~ M. Ayadi, ~~M.~~ A. Ben Dhiab, ~~A.~~ J.M. Caballero, ~~J.M.~~, 2008. Influence
607 of planting densities on the behavior of 'Arbequina' olive variety. p. 181. The Sixth Intl.
608 Symposium on Olive Growing, Evora, Portugal, Sept. 9–13. Book of abstracts.

609 Muñoz-Díez, C., 2008. Prospección, diversidad genética y conservación de ejemplares
610 monumentales y poblaciones silvestres de olivo (*Olea europaea* L.). PhD Thesis, University of
611 Cordoba, Spain.

612 ~~Neuenschwander, P., Michelakis, S., Holloway, P., Berchtold, W., 1985. Factors affecting the
613 susceptibility of fruits of different olive varieties to attack by *Dacus oleae* (Gmel.) (Dipt.,
614 Tephritidae). J. Appl. Entomol. 100:174-88.~~

615 Olive Oil times, 2018. Coming Off a Strong Year, Growers Ready for Harvest in Italy. (Accessed
616 27 January 2018). [https://www.oliveoiltimes.com/olive-oil-making-and-milling/coming-off-a-](https://www.oliveoiltimes.com/olive-oil-making-and-milling/coming-off-a-
617 strong-year-growers-ready-for-harvest-in-italy/64276)

618 Ouni, Y., Taamalli, A., Gómez-Caravaca, A. M., Segura-Carretero, A., Fernández-Gutiérrez, A.,
619 Zarrouk, M., 2011. Characterization and quantification of phenolic compounds of extra-virgin olive
620 oils according to their geographical origin by a rapid and resolute LC-ESI-TOF MS method.
621 Food [chemistry](#), 127(3), 1263-1267.

622 Pannelli, G., Famiani, F., Pennacchi, F., 1990. Valutazioni tecniche ed economiche sulla raccolta
623 delle olive in ambienti ad elevata vocazione qualitativa. L'Informatore Agrario, XLVI(47): 27-35.

624 Pastor, M., Humanes, J., Vega, V., Castro, J., 1998. Diseño y manejo de plantaciones de olivar.
625 Series monográficas 22/98, Consejería de Agricultura y Pesca. Junta de Andalucía, Sevilla, Spain.
626 59-79.

627 Pastor, M., Vega, V., Hidalgo, J.C., 2005. Ensayos en plantaciones de olivar superintensivas e
628 intensivas. Vida Rural 218, 30-34.

629 Pastor, M., García-Vila, M., Soriano, M.A., Vega, V., Fereres, E., 2007. Productivity of olive
630 orchards in response to tree density. [J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol. Journal of Horticulture Science and
631 Biotechnology](#) 82, 555–562. [doi:10.1080/14620316.2007.11512273](#).

632 Planas, S., Fillat, A., Pelegrí, C., 1997. Avances en la recolección— mecanizada del olivar.
633 *Fructicultura Profesional (Especial Olivicultura II)*, 88: 106-111.

634 ~~Ponti L., Gutierrez A. P., Ruti, P.M., Dell’Aquila, A., (2014). Fine-scale ecological and economic
635 assessment of climate change on olive in the Mediterranean Basin reveals winners and losers.
636 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 201314437.~~

637 Proietti, P., Nasini, L., Ilarioni, L.L., Balduccini, A.M., 2011. Photosynthesis and vegetative—
638 Productive activities of the olive cultivars ‘Arbequina’, ‘Leccino’ and ‘Maurino’ in a very high
639 density olive grove in central Italy. *Acta Hortic.* 924:111–116.

640 R Core Team R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical
641 Computing, URL <http://www.R-project.org/>; Vienna, Austria. 2013.

642 Rallo, L., 2005. Variedades de olivo en España: una aproximación cronológica—, [In](#): Rallo, L.,
643 Caballero, J.M., Del Rio, C., Martin, A., Tous, J., Trujillo, I., (Eds.), *Variedades de olivo en*
644 *España*. Madrid: Junta de Andalucía, MAPA y Ediciones Mundi-Prensa, [pp.](#) 17–44.

645 Rallo, L., Barranco, D., Castro-García, S., Connor, D. J., Gómez del Campo, M., Rallo, P., 2013.
646 *High-Density Olive Plantations*. *Horticultural Reviews* Volume 41, 303-384.

647 Sperandio, M., Biocca, M., Fedrizzi, P., Toscano 2016. Tre livelli di meccanizzazione per la
648 raccolta delle olive. *L’Informatore Agrario*, 35: 33-38.

649 Tombesi, A., Cartechini, A., 1986. L'effetto dell'ombreggiamento della chioma sulla
650 differenziazione delle gemme a fiore dell'olivo. *Rivista di Ortoflorofruitticoltura Italiana*, 70, 277–
651 285.

652 Tombesi, A., Famiani, F., Proietti, P., Guelfi, P., 1996. Manual, integrated and mechanical olive
653 harvesting: efficiency and effects on trees and oil quality. *Ezzaitouna, Revue scientifique de*
654 *l'oléiculture et de l'oléotechnie*, Vol. 2, n° 1 et 2: 93-101.

655 Tombesi, A., Boco, M., Pilli, M., 1999. Influence of light exposure on olive fruit growth and
656 composition. *Acta HorticulturaeHortic.*, 474, 255–259.

657 Tombesi, S., Molfese, M., Cipolletti, M., Visco, T., Tombesi, A., 2011a. Evaluation of four
658 varieties regarding their suitability in high-intensity olive orchards. *Acta Hortic.* 924:321–326.

659 Tombesi, A., Proietti, P., Iacovelli, G., Tombesi, S. Farinelli, D., 2011b. Vegetative and productive
660 behavior of four olive Italian cultivars and 'Arbequina' according to super intensive olive training
661 system in central Italy. *Acta Hortic.* 924 :211– 218.

662 Tous, J., Romero, A., Plana, J. Baiges, F., 1999. Planting density trial with 'Arbequina' olive
663 cultivar in Catalonia (Spain). *Acta HorticulturaeHortic.*, 474, 177–180.

664 Tous, J., A. Romero, J. Plana, and F.J. Hermoso. 2005. Ensayo de densidades altas deplantación en
665 la variedad de olivo 'Arbequina'. *V Congreso Iberico de Ciencias Hortícolas* 2:596–601.

666 Tous, J., Romero, A., Plana, J., Hermoso, J.F., 2008. Olive oil cultivars suitable for very high
667 density planning conditions. *Acta Hortic.* 791:403–408.

668 Tous, J., Romero, A., Hermoso, J.F., 2010. New trends in olive orchard design for continuous
669 mechanical harvesting. [Adv. Hortic. Sci. Advances in Horticultural Science](#). 24 (1):43-52.

670 Villanueva, A.B., Halewood, M., Noriega, I.L., 2017. Agricultural biodiversity in climate change
671 adaptation planning. [Eur. j. sustain. dev. European Journal of Sustainable Development](#), 6(2), 1-8.

672 Vinha, A. F., Ferreres, F., Silva, B. M., Valentao, P., Gonçalves, A., Pereira, J. A., ... & Andrade, P.
673 B., 2005. Phenolic profiles of Portuguese olive fruits (*Olea europaea* L.): Influences of cultivar and
674 geographical origin. [Food chemistry](#), 89(4), 561-568.

675

676

677 [Figures captions](#)

678 Figure 1 - Canopy measurement taken to evaluate the canopy volume per ha (h = height of the tree
679 canopy less the free space of the trunk; w1 = width at the insertion of the first branches; w2= width
680 at the distal part of the canopy; d = depth of the canopy, r = planting distance in the row). On the
681 left part of the figure an example highlighting the area filled by the tree canopy and the area still not
682 filled.

683

684 Figure 2. Fruit production per ha (A) and trunk cross section area (TCSA) (B) measured from the
685 3rd, to the 6th year after plantation (YAP) for the 7 selected cultivars. Vertical bars represent the
686 standard error of the mean (n=8).

687

688 Figure 3 – Tree canopy volume (A) and percentage of free space filled by the canopy (data
689 collected at the beginning of spring 2017) (B) for the 7 Sicilian cultivars at three different planting
690 distances (5x2, 5x3 and 5x4m). Vertical bars represent the standard error of the mean (n=8).

691

Table 1. Biometric parameters of the 7 cultivars/accessions analyzed, adapted from Caruso et al. 2007 and Bottari and Spina 1952.

Cultivar	Fruit weight (g)	Longitudinal diameter (cm)	Transversal diameter (cm)	Endocarp weight (g)	Flesh/stone
Abunara	6.3	2.9	2.2	NA	NA
Biancolilla	4.5	2.3	1.8	0.57	5.2
Kalat	3.6	2.4	1.5	0.54	5.7
Cerasuola	4.3	2.2	1.7	0.56	6.8
Minuta	2.1	1.8	1.3	0.41	4.1
N.del Belice	7.4	2.5	2.0	0.82	8.1
O. di Mand.	0.41	1.5	0.65	0.41	2.9

Table 2. Cumulative fruit yield per tree and per ha (from the 2nd to the 6th YAP), yearly average fruit yield at tree maturity (average from the 4th to the 6th YAP) and fruit yield efficiency (from the 2nd to the 6th YAP) for the 7 Sicilian cultivars at three different planting distances (5x2, 5x3 and 5x4m). Significant differences among cultivars are denoted by different letters within a column; significant differences among the planting densities are denoted by different letters in the average row.

Spacing (m)	Cum. yield per tree			YE _{fruits}			Ave. yield per ha			Cum. yield per ha		
	(Kg)			(Kg cm ⁻²)			(t)			(t)		
	5x2	5x3	5x4	5x2	5x3	5x4	5x2	5x3	5x4	5x2	5x3	5x4
Cultivar												
Abunara	9.7cd	17.6bc	18.4b	0.03bc	0.05b	0.05b	3.2cd	3.9bc	3.1	9.7cd	11.7bc	9.2b
Biancolilla	11.1cd	16.6bc	17.2b	0.03b	0.04b	0.05b	3.7bc	3.7bc	2.9bc	11.1cd	11.1bc	8.6b
Kalat	30.7a	36.4a	42.2a	0.20a	0.26a	0.25a	8.5a	7.0a	6.1a	30.7a	24.2a	21.1a
Cerasuola	11.9c	19.7bc	20.8b	0.04bc	0.06b	0.08b	3.7bc	4.1b	3.2b	11.9c	13.1bc	10.4b
Minuta	7.5d	17.5bc	18.6b	0.02c	0.06b	0.07b	2.1d	3.3bc	2.7bc	7.5d	11.6bc	9.3b
N.del Belice	8.0cd	11.9c	11.8b	0.03bc	0.04b	0.05b	2.4cd	2.3c	1.9c	8.0cd	7.9c	5.9b
O. di Mand.	18.6b	22.5b	22.6b	0.07b	0.08b	0.09b	4.8b	4.0b	3.1bc	18.6b	15.0b	11.3b
Average	13.9b	20.3a	21.7a	0.06b	0.09a	0.09a	4.1ns	4.1	3.3	13.9a	13.5ab	10.8b

Table 3. Oil content in the fruits (average between 2015 and 2016 seasons), cumulative oil yield per ha (from the 2nd to the 6th YAP), yearly average oil yield at tree maturity (average from the 4th to the 6th YAP) and oil yield efficiency (from the 2nd to the 6th YAP) for the 7 Sicilian cultivars at three different planting distances (5x2, 5x3 and 5x4m). Significant differences among cultivars are denoted by different letters within a column; significant differences among the planting densities are denoted by different letters in the average row.

Cultivar	Oil yield			Cum. Oil production			Ave. Oil production			YE _{oil}		
	5x2	5x3	5x4	5x2	5x3	5x4	5x2	5x3	5x4	5x2	5x3	5x4
	(%)			(t/ha)			(t/ha)			(g cm ⁻²)		
Abunara	14.83	14.83	14.83	1.4d	1.7b	1.4c	0.5cd	0.6b	0.5c	0.5c	0.7c	0.7c
Biancolilla	15.04	15.04	15.04	1.7cd	1.7b	1.3c	0.6c	0.6bc	0.4cd	0.5bc	0.7c	0.7c
Kalat	15.73	15.73	15.73	4.8a	3.8a	3.3a	1.3a	1.1a	1.0a	3.1a	4.1a	3.9a
Cerasuola	19.00	19.00	19.00	2.8b	3.0a	2.4b	0.9b	1.0a	0.7b	0.8b	1.3b	1.8b
Minuta	8.70	11.35	8.70	0.7e	1.3b	0.8c	0.2e	0.4bc	0.2e	0.2c	0.7c	0.6c
N.del Belice	15.00	11.35	15.00	1.2de	0.9b	0.9c	0.4de	0.3c	0.3de	0.5bc	0.5c	0.7c
O. di Mand.	11.35	11.35	11.35	2.1c	1.7b	1.3c	0.5cd	0.5c	0.3cde	0.8b	0.9bc	1.0bc
Average				2.1ns	2.0	1.6	0.6ns	0.6	0.5	0.9ns	1.3	1.4

Table 4. Chemical characteristics of oils of the cultivars studied. Data are means (n=6) recorded from 2015 and 2016 seasons and for all the three spacing treatments. Different letter within a column represent significant differences among the cultivars.



Cultivar	Free acidity (% m/m)	Peroxide (mEq of O ₂ Kg ⁻¹)	K ₂₃₂ (nm)	K ₂₇₀ (nm)	ΔK	Chl (ppm)	Car (ppm)	Phenols (ppm)
Abunara	0.5a	7.9b	2.2 a	0.1ns	0.002ns	5.4b	3.4b	229d
Biancolilla	0.3b	6.4b	1.5 ab	0.1	-0.089	4.8b	3.0b	366cd
Kalat	0.4ab	6.1b	1.6 ab	0.1	-0.002	5.6b	4.2b	531b
Cerasuola	0.3b	5.2b	1.4 b	0.1	-0.002	13.4a	10.5a	429bc
Minuta	0.4ab	7.2b	1.7 ab	0.1	0.000	7.1b	4.0b	789a
N. del Belice	0.5a	5.8b	1.7 ab	0.1	-0.003	7.6b	4.4b	355cd
O. di Mandanici	0.5a	13.4a	1.2 b	0.1	-0.085	6.6b	2.9b	320cd
IOC 2016	<0.8	<20	<2.5	<0.22	<0.01			

Table 5. Fatty acid composition of the cultivars evaluated. Data are means (n=6) recorded from 2015 and 2016 seasons and for all the three spacing treatments. Different letter within a column represent significant differences among the cultivars

Cultivar	SFA	UFA	UFA/SFA	MUFA	PUFA	MUFA/PUFA	Linoleic/oleic
Abunara	16.8a	80.5	4.8b	66.8	13.7a	4.9c	48.3
Biancolilla	16.4ab	81.9	5.0ab	69.1	12.7a	5.5bc	42.2
Kalat	12.7b	84.0	6.7 ab	77.3	6.7c	12.1a	19.4
Cerasuola	12.7b	86.9	6.9a	76.6	10.3ab	8.2 abc	24.9
Minuta	16.0ab	80.0	5.1 ab	72.5	7.6bc	9.7ab	25.3
N. del Belice	13.8ab	83.2	6.1 ab	74.5	8.7bc	8.6 abc	27.2
O. di Mandanici	15.7ab	83.1	5.8 ab	73.8	9.3bc	9.1abc	25.7
IOC 2016	<0.8	<20	<2.5	<0.22	<0.01		

Table 6. Main characteristic and suggested growing system for the cultivar analyzed

Cultivar	Main characteristic	Suggested system
Abunara	None	Low-medium density, vase or palmetta shaped tree
Biancolilla	Sweet fresh oils	Medium density, palmetta shaped trees
Kalat	Low tree vigor, high crop efficiency	High and super high density, palmetta shaped tree
Cerasuola	Good oil yield and quality	Low-medium density, vase or palmetta shaped tree
Minuta	Very high polyphenols	Low-medium density, vase or palmetta shaped tree
N.del Belice	Fruits good for table olive	Low-medium density, vase or palmetta shaped tree
O. di Mand.	Early and good fruit production	Medium density, palmetta shaped tree

 NON FILLED VOLUME
 FILLED VOLUME

