

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PALERMO

DSEAS (Department of Economics, Management and Statistics)

ECONOMIC IMPACT OF EFF ON COMPETITIVENESS of the Sicilian fish companies

Fazio G., Fricano S., Giambona F., Vassallo E., Vassiliadis E.

XXIII Conference EAFE - Dublin, 25th April 2017





Research question (motivation and objectives):

What is the contribution of the measure 2.3 of EFF (Investments in fish processing and marketing) on competitiveness of the Sicilian fish processing industries?

What we did:

- Analysis of business performance during the EFF funding investment period
- Analysis and comparison of performance between funded and not funded firms







EFF Measure 2.3 in Sicily

Investments in production capacity expansion and modernization of fish processing

- Initial total grant: 36 million euros
- 4 Calls: 2009-2010-2011-2015
- Expenses certified: 25.70 million euro

Se	elected firms	Funded firms	Funded firms (2009-2011)
	154	111	94







Data sources:

- Exploratory survey (2015) (investment: timing, satisfaction)
- Data from the Regional Mediterranean Fisheries Department (projects, certification of expenses, etc.)
- Data set: 2006-2015 (AIDA-BvD database)

Reference period:

- 2006-2015: Financial data on firms
- For each single project: two years before the start of the

investment - two years after









Data and method

We use a Benefit-of-Doubt approach (BoD) to compute a composite indicator to synthesize the Business Performance (BP) of the Sicilian firms by aggregating four basic ratios:

ROA: return on assets
ROE: return on equity
ROI: return on investment
ROS: return on sales







The BP is obtained by applying a Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) linear programming model with proportion restrictions on weights calculated in a BoD approach.

(1)
$$BP_{c,score} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} W_{i,c} y_{i,c} / \sum_{i=1}^{m} W_{i,c} y_{i,best}$$
 $0 \le W_{i,j} \le 1$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m} W_{i,j} = 1$

j = 1, ..., c, ... n refer to the 63 sicilian firms

$$i = 1,...,4$$
 $y_i \in \{ROI, ROE, ROS, ROA\}$

 $BP_{c,score}$ is between 0 (the worst performance among the firms) and 1 (the best performance)

The weight $W_{i,c}$ may be chosen optimaly by ensuring the best combination of $y_{i,c}$ to get BP as high as possible on the BoD logic:

(2)
$$BP_{c,score}^{*} = \max\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} w_{i,c} y_{i,c} / \max_{y_{i,j}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_{i,c} y_{i,j}\right)$$

Finally, we prefer to add restrictions on weights to avoid zero weight on some indicators, that is:

(3)
$$\inf_{i,j} \le \left(w_{i,j} y_{i,j} / \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_{i,j} y_{i,j} \right) \le \sup_{i,j} e^{-2i\theta_{i,j}} e^{-2i\theta_{i,j}}} e^{-2i\theta_{i,j}} e^{-2i\theta_{i,j}}} e^{-2i\theta_{i,j}} e^{-2i\theta_{i,j}}} e^{-2i\theta_{i,j}}} e^{-2i\theta_{i,j}} e^{-2i\theta_{i,j}}} e^{-2i\theta_{i,j}} e^{-2i\theta_{i,j}}} e^{-2i\theta_{i,j}}}$$

Empirical evidence 1

BP trend (63 sicilian fish processing firms)



Empirical evidence 2

average BP values (funded vs. unfunded firms)



Empirical evidence 3

average BP indicator (funded firms – grouped by call year)



Empirical evidence 4 average standardized BP (before and after investment)

 $sBP_i(x) = BP_i(x + x_i^*) - \overline{BP_{unfunded,}(x + x_i^*)}$ $x_i^* = year when the investment of ith firm generates sales$



Concluding Remarks

- The performance of the funded firms is higher than the performance of the unfunded firms
- Innovative investments have resulted in improved performance by exploiting the positive economic phases better among the funded firms than the not funded firms
- Improving performance as a result of innovative investment also goes against national trends







Thank you for your attention





