International Journal for the Semiotics of Law

Editor-in-Chief

Anne Wagner, Université Lille - Nord de France Centre de Recherche Droits et Perspectives du Droit, équipe René Demogue valwagnerfr@yahoo.com

Editors

Deborah Cao, Griffith University, Australia **Le Cheng,** Zheijiang University, China

Book Review Editors

English Book Review Editors

Sandy Lamalle, Concordia University, Montreal, Canada

Jeffrey Ellsworth, Ramapo College of New Jersey, USA For queries related to book reviews in English,

please contact: books@ijsl-risj.com

French Book Review Editors Ksenia Galuskina, University of Silesia, Poland, ksenia.galuskina@us.edu.pl Arnaud Paturet, CNRS UMR 7074 CTAD, École Normale Supérieure, ENS-EHESS Campus Paris Jourdan, France, Arnaud.Paturet@ens.fr

Asian Book Review Editor

Ning YE, Centre for Police Discourse and Behaviour Research, Zhejiang Police College, Hangzhou, China, staryening@163.com

Associate Editors

Janet Ainsworth, Seattle University School of Law, USA Rafat Alwazna, King Abdulaziz University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia Kristian Bankov, New Bulgarian University, Bulgaria Jan M. Broekman, Penn State Dickinson School of Law, USA Vijay K. Bhatia, City University of Hong Kong SAR, China Mathieu Devinat. Université de Sherbrooke, Canada Jan Engberg, University of Aarhus, Denmark Laura Ervo, The University of Örebro, Sweden Maurizio Gotti, University of Bergamo, Italy Jean-Claude Gémar, Université de Montréal, Canada

Bernard S. Jackson, University of Manchester, UK Miklós Könczöl, Pázmány Péter Catholic University, Hungary Massimo Leone, University of Torino, Italy Ronnie Lippens, Keele University, UK Shaun McVeigh, University of Melbourne, Australia Sarah Marusek, University of Hawaii at Hilo, USA Aleksandra Matulewska, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poland Evandro Menezes de Carvalho, Fundação Getulio Vargas, Brazil Claudius Messner, Lecce University, Italy Olivier Moréteau, Louisiana State University, USA Timothy D. Peters, University of the Sunshine Coast. Australia José de Sousa e Brito, Tribunal Constitucional, Portugal Julia J.A. Shaw, De Montfort University, UK Richard K. Sherwin, New York Law School, USA Lawrence M. Solan, Brooklyn Law School, USA Kieran Tranter, Griffith University, Australia Andreas Ventsel, University of Tartu, Estonia Lin Zhu, China University of Political Science

International Advisors

Africa

and Law, China

Jacques de Ville, University of the Western Cape, South Africa

Alex Kasonde, Africa University, Zimbabwe

Australia

Kirsty Duncanson, La Trobe University, Australia

Muhammad Gamal, University of Canberra, Australia

Ben Hightower, University of Wollongong, Australia

William P. MacNeil, Southern Cross University, Australia

Joseph Pugliese, Macquarie University, Australia David Rolph, University of Sydney, Australia

Terry Royce, University of Technology Sydney, Australia

Robbie Sykes, Griffith University, Australia Gary Wickham, Murdoch University, Australia Asia

Shulamit Almog, University of Haifa, Israel Sol Azuelos-Atias, University of Haifa, Israel Han-Liang Chang, National Taiwan University, Taiwan Christopher Hutton, The University of Hong Kong, China Maya Khemlani David, University of Malaya, Malaysia Ahmad Pakatchi, Imam Sadeqh University, Iran Richard Powell, Nihon University, Japan Lijin Sha, The University of Hong Kong, China Marco Wan, The University of Hong Kong, China Zhenhua Wang, Shanghai Jiaotong University, China Europe Angela Condello, University of Roma Tre, Italy Thomas Giddens, St Mary's University, UK Philippe Greciano, Université de Grenoble, France

Joanna Jemielniak, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

Stephan Kirste, University of Salzburg, Austria **Alexander Kozin,** Freie Universität Berlin, Germany

Sylvie Monjean-Decaudin, Université de Cergy-Pontoise, France

Isabelle Richard, Nantes University, France

Stephen Skinner, University of Exeter, UK Marty Slaughter, University of London, UK Anita Soboleva, National Research University Higher School of Economic, Russia Vadim Verenich, University of Tartu, Estonia

North America

Kirsten Anker, McGill University, Canada James Archibald, McGill University, Canada Ronald R. Butters, Duke University, USA Clara Chapdelaine-Feliciati, York University, Toronto, Canada Christine Corcos, Louisiana State University, USA Marcel Danesi, University of Toronto, Canada Neal Feigenson, Quinnipiac University School of Law, USA Jack B. Hamlin, National University, USA Nancy Marder, Chicago-Kent College of Law, USA Jessica Silbey, Suffolk University Law School, USA Christina Spiesel, Yale Law School, USA Amy Swiffen, Concordia University, Canada South America Celina Frade, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Farid Samir Benavides Vanegas, Universidad Naciónal de Colombia, Colombia

International Journal for the Semiotics of Law Revue Internationale de Sémiotique Juridique

Volume 31 · Number 3 · September 2018

Special Issue: Animals in Law

Guest Editors: Alice Giannitrapani · Francesco Mangiapane

Animals In Law: Introduction A. Giannitrapani · F. Mangiapane 401

SECTION 1 - FACING ANIMALS

Staring Animals, Animal Staring: Semiotic Enquiry on Animal Enunciation E Marsciani 411

From a Cockroach's Point of View: The Metamorphosis of Perception in Kafka L Pezzini 421

Looking Forward to Play: The Persuasive Strategies of a Dog M.P. Pozzato 441

Peppa Pig and Friends · Semiotic Remarks Over Meaning-Making of Some Cartoons Targeted to the Early-Childhood in the Italian Television F. Mangiapane 451

Who Run the World? Cats: Cat Lovers, Cat Memes, and Cat Languages Across the Web M. Thibault · G. Marino 473

SECTION 2 - ANIMAL POLITICS

Animal Biopolitics: How Animals Vote A. Pennisi · L. Giallongo 491

The Politics and the Demographics of Veganism: Notes for a Critical Analysis D. Martinelli · A. Berkmanienė 501

To Eat or Not to Eat? A Short Path from Vegetarianism to Cannibalism A. Giannitrapani 531

"Sealfie", "Phoque you" and "Animism": The Canadian Inuit Answer to the United-States Anti-sealing Activism E. Battistini 561

SECTION 3 - LEGAL INCOMES

Ironic Animals: Bestiaries, Moral Harmonies, and the 'Ridiculous' Source of Natural Rights M. Ricca 595

Lovely Beasts, Bestial Lovers: Animals, Righteous Men and the Semiotics of Musical Mirrors

S. Jacoviello 621

Food, Meaning, and the Law: Confessions of a Vegan Semiotician M. Leone 637

Bestialitatis and the New Ethics on "Human" Animals G. Bassano **659**

SECTION 4 - THE ONTOLOGIC TURN

On Some Difficulties of Putting in Dialogue Animal Rights with Anthropological Debates: A Historical View in Three Episodes A. Mancuso 677

Zoosemiotics 2.0 P. Delahaye 707

RECENT PUBLICATION

Recent Developments J. Ellsworth · S. Lamalle 715

CORRECTION

Correction to: 'Darker than the Dungeon': Music, Ambivalence, and the Carceral Subject

C. Waller **719**

Further articles can be found at link.springer.com

Indexed/Abstracted in SCOPUS, Google Scholar, EBSCO, CSA, Academic OneFile, Bibliography of Linguistic Literature, CSA Environmental Sciences, Gale, International Bibliography of Book Reviews (IBR), International Bibliography of Periodical Literature (IBZ), Legal Journals Index, Linguistics Abstracts, OCLC, SCImago, Summon by ProQuest

Instructions for Authors for Int J Semiot Law are available at www.springer.com/11196

The 20th International Roundtable for the Semiotics of Law (IRSL 2019)

23rd-25th May, 2019

Hosted by Instituto Jurídico da Faculdade de Direito da Universidade de Coimbra (UCILeR - University of Coimbra Institute for Legal Research) / Portugal

Theme: The Limits of Law

In a time of plurality and difference which is also, significantly, a time of aproblematic (if not *naif*) panjuridism, the discussion of the limits of law is not a frequent or obvious explicit topos. On the one hand, the diagnosis of plurality and difference favours the conclusion-claim that «the sense of the expression the "law" is constructed internally, and separately, within the system of semantic values of each [semiotic] group» (B.F. Jackson) - which means arguing that only «the signifier» is common, not the «signified», as well as admitting an implacable diversity of interpretative communities (involving incommensurable cultural-civilizational, political, ethical and professional codes or canons). On the other hand, the celebration of *panjuridism*, successfully corroborated by the relentless emergence of ultra-specialized dogmatic fields (from health law to biolaw, from robotics law to geo-law), justifies a passive assimilation of hetero-referentially constructed interpretations of social need, reducing law to a mere conventional order (with contingently settled frontiers) or even to an ensemble of institutionally effective coactive resources which in any case means depriving juridicity or juridicalness of any practical-cultural specific or intrinsic (non-contingent) sense claim. However, do our present circumstances condemn us to this complacent nominalism, preventing us from attributing any effective relevance to the problem of the limits of law? Even without departing from the "semio-narrative" ground (and its external point of view), it may be said that plurality and difference do not exclude a productive exploration of *inter-semiotic aspirations* (if not *inter-semiocity*) — relating differently contextualized claims of *juridicity* and paving the way for the reconstruction of plausible arguments of continuity. These arguments may, in turn, justify a return to the wellknown questions on the concept and/or the nature of law (in the sense in which, in an all or nothing approach, Hart and Raz have taught us to understand this), and may also, conversely, lead to the reinvention of an archetypal or aspirational perspective (Fuller, Simmonds), in relation to which the reconstituted *features* of the autonomy and the limits of law do not represent characteristics but rather guiding intentions or constitutive aspirations or promises (if not desiderata), with reference to which past or present expressions and their institutional instances should permanently be judged. Following this path in fact means acknowledging how the problem of *limits* becomes an indispensable thematic core whenever the reflexive agenda involves rethinking law's autonomy (or rethinking this autonomy beyond the possibilities of *legal formalism*), as an autonomy or claim to autonomy which should be seriously considered in terms of its cultural-civilizational specific (non-universal) base, as a decisive manifestation of European identity and European heritage (Castanheira Neves). It is precisely this critical-reflexive connection between issues of sense and limits (aspirations and borders) which, in terms of law, as well as considering the challenges of a société post-juridique (F. Ost), our roundtable aims to explore. This means discussing the growing weight of hetero-referential elements (invoking philosophy and economics, literary criticism and sociology, epistemology and ethics, politics, political morality and social engineering as plausible key arenas), which not only interfere (as contextual conditions) with juridical discursive practices but also *wound* these practices (and their autonomous intelligibility) by functionalizing them (diluting their specificity in a new practical holism), or at least condemning them to permanent «boundary disputes» (David Howarth). However, this discussion also leads directly to the consideration of specific (real, hypothetical and even fictionalized) case-exempla, including the so-called «tragic cases» (Atienza), which enable us to experience the limits of law's responsivity or even the impossibility of obtaining plausible correct legal answers. The roundtable will, as usual, favour a practical-cultural context open to multiple perspectives and involving the productive intertwining of juridical and non-juridical approaches.

Confirmed plenary speakers: François Ost (Université Saint-Louis - Bruxelles), Manuel Atienza (Universidad de Alicante)

Abstracts of 300 words (max.) should be submitted by January 15th, 2019 to José Manuel Aroso Linhares (Organizer) (<u>imarolinh@gmail.com</u>) and Anne Wagner (<u>valwagnerfr@yahoo.com</u>) with participation decisions made by January 30th, 2019. Selected papers will be invited for publication in a special issue of the International Journal for the Semiotics of Law (Springer: <u>http://www.springer.com/lawjournal11196</u>) or for inclusion in an edited volume.

http://www.springer.com/law/journal/11196/PSE?detailsPage=societies

CALL FOR PAPERS

21st International Roundtables for the Semiotics of Law & 15th Conference on Legal Translation and Interpreting and Comparative Legilinguistics (Legal Linguistics)

GENOCIDE AND LAW – COMMUNICATING THROUGH VISUAL ARTS AND LANGUAGE

Conference venue: 26th to 28th June (Friday-Sunday) 2020 in Poznan, Poland

Conference website: <u>www.lingualegis.amu.edu.pl</u> *Languages:* Polish, English, French, Spanish, Russian and German

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Jerzy Bańczerowski, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland Aleksandra Matulewska, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland Anne Wagner, International Roundtables for the Semiotics of Law, CRDP équipe René Demogue, Lille University, France.

The *Institute of Linguistics at Adam Mickiewicz University* together with the *International Roundtables for the Semiotics of Law, CRDP – équipe René Demogue, Lille University (France)* will hold an international conference devoted to language and the law. Our aim is to provide a forum for discussion in these scientific fields where linguistic and legal interests converge, and to facilitate integration between linguists, computer scientists and lawyers from all around the world.

The main topic of the Conference will be

GENOCIDE AND LAW - COMMUNICATING THROUGH VISUAL ARTS AND LANGUAGE

- Genocide and Music, Photography, Painting, Sculpture, Architecture, Movies and Documentaries

- Genocide and Flags, Badges, Markings, Colours
- Genocide and Literature
- Genocide and Commemorative stamps
- Narratives of Genocide: Pre-Genocide Language, Language of Genocide, After-Genocide Language, Propaganda and Ideology

- Genocide and Human Rights, Human Rights Organizations, International Tribunals, Other Genocide-related Organizations

- Genocide and Law, Discrimination, Misappropriation / Disappropriation, Private and National Property and Heritage, Evidence (Verbal and Visual),
- Genocide and Torture
- Genocide and Translation / Interpretation
- Genocide and National Remembrance
- Remembrance and Persecution
- Genocide, victimization and stereotypes and prejudice
- Genocide forgiveness, remembrance, apology, shame and revenge
- Genocide in Social Media
- New territories, new geopolitical and geo-social issues and conflicts

- Genocide – forced migration, deportation, stateless people, small motherlands, identity deprivation

- Genocide and National Resistance, Demonstrations, Freedom, Personal Testimony, Fate of Children

Justifying Genocide – Religion, Wealth, Exploitation, Social Gaps, Threat, Crime, Otherness, Exclusiveness – Inclusiveness, Foreignness, Philosophical Viewpoints, Political Viewpoints, Xenophobia.

But we also invite papers on the following topics:

LEGAL TRANSLATION AND INTERPRETING

- (i) legal translation;
- (ii) legal interpreting;
- (iii) teaching legal translation and interpreting
- (iv) certified translators and interpreters in legal proceedings
- (v) mistranslation and misinterpreting in legal context

LEGAL LANGUAGES AND LEGAL DISCOURSE

- (i) legal linguistics
- (ii) history of legal language
- (iii) legal terminology
- (iv) legal genres
- (v) EU legal language
- (vi) analysis of legal discourse
- (vii) structure and semantics of statutes and other legal instruments;
- (viii) development of legal languages
- (ix) legal and linguistic interpretation of texts formulated in legal language
- (x) teaching legal language
- (xi) speech style in the courtroom
- (xii) comprehensibility of legal instruments
- (xiii) Plain Language Campaigns

(xiv) linguistic aspects of cross-examination

(xv) technicality in legal language

LAWS ON LANGUAGES

(i) language rights

- (ii) linguistic minorities and linguistic human rights
- (iii) language and disadvantage before the law

Abstracts should be sent by **28th February 2020** to (<u>lingua.legis@gmail.com</u>) and Anne Wagner (<u>valwagnerfr@yahoo.com</u>)

Abstract acceptance notification: **10th March 2020**.

Submission of full papers: **30th June 2020**.

Presentation slots should not exceed 30 minutes (20 minutes long presentation plus 10 minutes for questions). Power Point presentations are invited. Papers from the conference will be published after positive reviews in a special issue of *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law* (Springer: http://www.springer.com/lawjournal11196) and in an edited volume.

Further information is available online: www.lingualegis.amu.edu.pl

Organizational Committee (Department of Legilinguistics and Languages for Special Purposes): Aleksandra Matulewska, prof. dr hab. Yuki Horie, PhD Paulina Kozanecka, MA Paula Trzaskawka, MA

Organizational Committee (Co-operating with the Department of Legilinguistics and Languages for Special Purposes): Emilia Wojtasik-Dziekan, MA Daria Zozula, MA

Call for Papers

Journal Website: http://www.springer.com/law/journal/11196

Guest editors: Mate Paksy, Edina Vinnai

The guest editors for the International Journal for the Semiotics of Law invite scholars to submit to Special Issue: *Hungarian Language and Law: Developing a Grammar for Social Inclusion, a Vocabulary for Political Emancipation*.

The so-called 'law and language' movement has attracted a great deal of attention from academic lawyers in Hungary since a while ago. In their papers, a good number of scholars have been elaborating literature overviews, assessing arguments known from text books or sketching the putative or real influence of this or that popular social scientist. Studies purported to draw out general conclusions from empirically well-founded case studies are rare. In order to fill this important gap now we are providing interdisciplinary scholars with a unique opportunity to publish their findings gained from the analysis of the Hungarian legal discourse using empirical methodology. We describe 'empirical' any sufficiently coherent fact-based research reflecting the language of legal discourse. This methodological feature may be manifested through a paper classified usually as 'law and language' or legal semiotics, legal history or comparative law, sociology and anthropology of law. Moreover we are convinced that main challenge today in law and language as a critical social science is to assess empirically cases when vulnerable people are participating in legal discourses; they are the ones who are real shortage of a new grammar attempting at a better social inclusion, and a new vocabulary for a more extended political emancipation.

This special issue invites contributions that address some of the questions listed below with a special focus on ethnic, sexual, religious and other minority members belonging to Hungarian linguistic communities, particularly if they don't live in the 'mainland' Hungary but elsewhere in the world. In selecting contributors, priority will be given to those who will fulfil the listed methodological requirements. Independent scholars demonstrating proficiency in Hungarian language but not belonging to the mainland Hungarian academic circles are especially encouraged to send proposals. Submissions on the following topics are particularly welcome:

- An Early Attempt to Develop a Multicultural Law: Assessing the Statute on Nationalities (1868)
- Codification as Socio-linguistic Phenomenon in Hungary: Between Paternalism and Libertarianism
- Lost in Transition because Lost in Translation: Transplanting Vague Western Legal Concepts into Hungarian Public Law
- Linguistic Representations of Vulnerability: Children, Women, Sexual Minorities, Disabled and Elderly People
- Social Inclusion through Law and Language: How to Fight against Discrimination if a Member of the Roma Population Involved in Legal Adjudication?
- Legacies in Competition: Turkish, German, Russian and Anglo-Saxon influences on Hungarian Legal Language (in one specific law-field)
- Multimodality in Hungarian Law: Transfer between Written and Spoken Media in Legal Contexts

Submission instructions

Please email a brief, 600-700 word abstract as attached pdf or doc files to <u>HUNLawLang@uni-miskolc.hu</u>. Abstracts should be suitable for anonymous review. The email's body must include the author's name, title of the paper, and contact information. The submission deadline is June 30, 2018. Decisions can be expected by July 31, 2018.



Università degli Studi di Torino

alor



IRSL 2019, University of Torino 19-20 September 2019

Hosted by the University of Torino, LabOnt, Circe

THE REASONABLE INTERPRETER Perspectives on legal and non legal semiotics

Legal normativity is nowadays characterized by many forms. Multi-level governance amplifies this attitude: there are different judges, different courts, and many codes (aesthetic, digital, etc) can now be recalled as valid arguments in legal reasoning.

The 2019 Roundtable for the Semiotics of Law addresses this plural and many-sided attitude of legal discourse from the perspective of the interpreter of the legal text. What is the role of reasonableness in legal hermeneutics today?

Against this background, the conference will address issues such as: can algorithms be considered as the *new topoi* of legal science? How are legal semiotics and legal rhetorics interconnected? Is there still room for pathos and ethos within a reasoning that makes reference to big data? Therefore, and, most importantly: how are legal and non-legal semiotics connected today? And can this relationship be traced back to antiquity?

Abstracts of 300 words (max.) should be submitted by March 28th, 2019 to Angela Condello (Organizer) (<u>angelacondello@gmail.com</u>), Paolo Heritier (Organizer) (<u>paolo.heritier@unito.it</u>), Massimo Leone (Organizer) (<u>massimo.leone@unito.it</u>), Jenny Ponzo (Organizer) (<u>jenny.cuk@hotmail.it</u>), and Anne Wagner (President of IRSL) (<u>valwagnerfr@yahoo.com</u>) with

participation decisions made by April 15th, 2019.

Selected papers will be invited for publication in a special issue of the International Journal for the Semiotics of Law (Springer: http://www.springer.com/lawjournal1196) or for inclusion in an edited volume.