### CLINICAL UTILITY OF HFABP IN ACUTE MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION

GIULIA BIVONA<sup>1</sup>, LUISA AGNELLO<sup>1</sup>, DANIELA BUTERA<sup>1</sup>, MARCELLO CIACCIO<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Section of Clinical Biochemistry and Clinical Molecular Medicine, Department of Biopathology and Medical Biotechnologies, University of Palermo, Italy - <sup>2</sup>Department and U.O.C. Laboratory Medicine, University Hospital "Paolo Giaccone" of Palermo, Italy

#### ABSTRACT

Assessing chest pain patients presenting to the emergency area (EA) is still a clinical challenge, as acute myocardial infarction (AMI) diagnosis is not adjudicated in the majority of patients. New generation high sensitivity troponin assays (hs-cTn) still present some limitations, thus, novel biomarkers to early rule-in and rule- out myocardial infarction in chest pain patients presenting to the EA are sought after. Among all, heart- type fatty acid binding protein (h-FABP) has been largely investigated. Studies performed on HFABP in these patients present marked heterogeneity. However, it can be stated that HFABP is clearly not a reliable marker for AMI diagnosis, neither as a stand-alone test nor in combination with hs- cTn. More interventional trials are needed and more homogeneous studies are required to understand whether HFABP can add incremental value in rule- out AMI and risk stratify chest pain patients, however, available data may not encourage going on investigating.

Keywords: AMI, HFABP, chest pain, diagnosis, rule-out.

DOI: 10.19193/0393-6384\_2018\_6\_250

Received December 30, 2018; Accepted February 20, 2018

## Introduction

Assessing chest pain patients presenting to the emergency area (EA) is still a clinical challenge<sup>(1)</sup>, as acute myocardial infarction (AMI) diagnosis is not adjudicated in the majority of patients<sup>(2)</sup>. Diagnostic tools include history, electrocardiography (ECG) and biomarkers. Cardiac Troponins (cTn) are deemed as a cornerstone for the diagnosis of AMI<sup>(3)</sup>, and new generation high sensitivity c-Tn assays (hs-cTn) have been shown to outpace the standard one<sup>(4)</sup>, albeit presenting some limitations<sup>(5)</sup>. Indeed, undetectable- hs-cTn in a single on admission-collected blood specimen does not allow safely discharge, thus serial measurements are required to correctly rule-in or safely rule-out AMI<sup>(6)</sup>. Major limitation of hs-cTn is a lag time in its rise, peaking at 10-13 hours after AMI<sup>(5)</sup>; secondarily, hs-cTn also presents suboptimal specificity<sup>(7)</sup>, consequently leading to inappropriate hospitalization of untruly positive results.

This all leads to EA overcrowd and healthcosts rise. Considering such hs-cTn disadvantages, novel biomarkers to early rule-in and rule-out AMI are required. Copeptin, brain natriuretic peptide (BNP), Galectin 3, growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF 15), ST2, IL16, ADMA, and heart- type fatty acid binding protein (h-FABP) have been considered as early markers of myocardial ischemic injury<sup>(8-15)</sup>.

Among all, H-FABP has been largely investigated. It is a small (15kDa) soluble protein, present in cardiomyocites cytoplasm at high concentrations<sup>(16)</sup>. It is rapidly released into plasma after the onset of myocardial injury, with a peak at approximately 6-8 hours<sup>(17)</sup>.

Studies performed on HFABP in chest pain patients reported controversial findings, mainly because most of the studies present some pitfalls. Firstly, there's a marked heterogeneity in terms of clinical features of the recruited patients, timing of presentation from the onset of pain and chosen threshold for positivity. Then, observational studies have been mostly carried out<sup>(18-23)</sup>, while randomized trials are the best suited to assess the clinical utility of any biomarker. Finally, it should be noted that the influence of demographic and clinical variables including age and renal function on HFABP plasma levels has not been fully investigated<sup>(19,24)</sup>.

Further, it should be taken in count that HFABP is not fully cardio-specific, being expressed by other tissues as skeletal muscle, brain and kidney, albeit at lower concentrations than in myocardium<sup>(25)</sup>.

Given such considerations, most relevant results can be summarized as follows.

# Rule-in and rule-out AMI and risk stratification strategies

Collinson<sup>(26)</sup> measured cTnT, hs-TnT, Copeptin and HFABP biomarkers in 850 low- risk- chest pain- patients with non- diagnostic ECG. Samples for the analysis were drawn on admission and after 90 minutes from the presentation to the EA. The authors showed that simultaneous determination of HFABP and hs-cTnT on admission achieved lower sensitivity than that of presentation- and at 90 minutes- cTnT (AUC: 0.92 vs 0.94, respectively). Authors concluded that hs-cTnT shows superior diagnostic performance compared to HFABP. Even splitting patients into <3 and <6 hours- groups according to the duration of pain, the diagnostic accuracy of HFABP has been not demonstrated to outperform that of hs-cTnT (AUC: 0.84 vs 0.92, respectively). To note that Collinson population was a low-risk patients- cohort, which may be not a surrogate population of chest pain- patients presenting to the EA.

When Reiter<sup>(44)</sup> performed a prospective, multicentered study on 1074 consecutive patients presenting to the EA within 12 hours following acute chest pain, results showed that using HFABP did not increase the diagnostic accuracy of hs- cTnT (AUC: 0.88 combination vs 0.94 hs-cTnT alone).

Kilcullen<sup>(27)</sup> performed a prognostic study on 1448 high-risk, confirmed acute coronary syndrome (ACS) patients. The all-cause 12- months mortality was 2.1% in patients having HFABP < 5.8 µg/l, compared to 22.9% in those having HFABP > 5.8 µg/l (HR: 11.35). However, Kilcullen population is quite different from an EA- unknown origin- chest pain patients population, consequently making his findings difficult to compare to those of other studies. Further, Kilcullen maintains that HFABP provides additive information to that provided by the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE) risk score<sup>(28)</sup>, but it should be observed that the GRACE score is a relatively old risk score and it is considered as not adequate to correctly identify patients suitable for discharge<sup>(29)</sup>.

Body's group derived and validated in 2014 a clinical decision rule (the Manchester Acute Coronary Syndrome Rule- MACS rule), combining 6 clinical variables and 2 biomarkers (hs-TnT and HFABP)<sup>(30,31)</sup>. The MACS rule had an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.96 for diagnosing AMI; however, it should be noted that MACS population was recruited within 24 hours from the onset of pain, which is a very large time span when evaluating an early biomarker.

Dupuy<sup>(19)</sup> reported an overall diagnostic accuracy of HFABP in AMI lower than that of hs-TnT (AUC: 0.79 vs 0.85, respectively), showing HFABP to add small incremental value in rule- out AMI in combination with hs-TnT (AUC: 0.86 for combination vs 0.85 for hs-TnT alone). Moreover, the Authors pointed out that the best diagnostic performance of HFABP was reached at 3 to 6 hours following symptoms. Also Kitamura<sup>(32)</sup> analyzed their chest pain patients populations based on timing of presentation, finding that HFABP best performance over hs- cTnT was reached within 2 hours (AUC: 0.69 HFABP vs 0.48 hs-TnT). Conversely, after stratifying his population according to the time of presentation, Shoenenberger<sup>(33)</sup> documented HFABP to be not able to outperform hs-cTnT in the very early presenters (<1 hour) (0.83 for HFABP vs 0.90), as also Collinson<sup>(26)</sup> reported.

Two recent meta-analysis performed by Xu et al.<sup>(34)</sup> and Liou et al.<sup>(35)</sup>, including, respectively, 22 studies on 6602 patients and 8 studies on 3395 patients, showed that HFABP does not improve the diagnostic accuracy of hs-cTn and demonstrated its incremental value over hs-cTn to be much small and of uncertain clinical significance. Both the studies concluded that HFABP should not be recommended as a biomarker either for diagnosis or rule out AMI into the clinical practice.

Generally, it can be stated that an optimal performance of HFABP in AMI has been reported by studies using low threshold for positivity (18,36-38), or comparing the biomarker to cTn at 3-6 hours and non-specific markers<sup>(23,32,39,48</sup>), or by studies with small sample size<sup>(20,21,32,37,43,49,50)</sup>, whose results should be confirmed on larger populations. Finally, although HFABP has been shown to predict short- and long-term mortality<sup>(22,36,44,51,52)</sup> in both unknown origin-chest pain- and confirmed ACS-patients, such evidences on the prognostic value should be taken with a grain of salt, as HFAPB is actually deemed to be not a reliable prognostic marker in chest pain patients<sup>(53,54)</sup>.

### Conclusions

HFABP is clearly not a reliable marker in AMI, as it is unable to diagnosis AMI, neither as a stand-alone test nor in combination with hs- cTn. To better understand whether or not HFABP can risk stratify chest pain patients presenting to EA, more interventional trials are needed and more homogeneous studies are required in terms of clinical features and choice of timing between symptom onset and blood draw. However, available data may not encourage going on investigating.

#### References

- Pope JH, Aufderheide TP, Ruthazer R et al. Missed diagnoses of acute cardiac ischemia in the emergency department. N Engl J Med, 2000; 342(16): 1163-70.
- Nichols M, Townsend N, Scarborough P et al. Cardiovascular disease in Europe 2014: epidemiological update. Eur Heart J. 2014 Nov 7; 35(42): 2950-9. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu299
- Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS et al. Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. Circulation, 2012; 126(16): 2020-35.
- Reichlin T, Hochholzer W, Bassetti S et al. Early diagnosis of myocardial infarction with sensitive cardiac troponin assays. N Engl J Med, 2009; 361(9): 858-67. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0900428.
- 5) Laugaudin G, Kuster N, Petiton A et al. Kinetics of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T and I differ in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction treated by primary coronary intervention. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care, 2016; 5(4): 354-63. doi: 10.1177/2048872615585518.
- 6) Roffi M, Patrono C, Collet JP et al. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent STsegment elevation: Task Force for the Management of Acute Coronary Syndromes in Patients Presenting without Persistent ST-Segment Elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J, 2016; 37(3): 267-315. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv320.
- Reiter M, Twerenbold R, Reichlin T et al. Early diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction in the elderly using more sensitive cardiac troponin assays. Eur Heart J, 2011; 32(11): 1379-89. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehr033.
- Collinson P, Gaze D, Goodacre S. Comparison of contemporary troponin assays with the novel biomarkers,

heart fatty acid binding protein and copeptin, for the early confirmation or exclusion of myocardial infarction in patients presenting to the emergency department with chest pain. Heart, 2014; 100(2): 140-5.

- 9) Martin-Reyes R, Franco-Peláez JA, Lorenzo Ó et al. Plasma Levels of Monocyte Chemoattractant Protein-1, n-Terminal Fragment of Brain Natriuretic Peptide and Calcidiol Are Independently Associated with the Complexity of Coronary Artery Disease. PlosOne, 2016; 12; 11(5): e0152816.
- 10) Bivona G, Bellia C, Lo Sasso B et al. Short-term Changes in Gal 3 Circulating Levels After Acute Myocardial Infarction. Arch Med Res, 2016 Oct; 47(7): 521-525. doi: 10.1016/j.arcmed.2016.12.009.
- Agnello L, Bivona G, Lo Sasso B et al. Galectin-3 in acute coronary syndrome. Clin Biochem, 2017; 50(13-14): 797-803.
- 12) Richards AM, Di Somma S, Mueller T. ST2 in stable and unstable ischaemic heart disease. Am J Cardiol, 2015; 115(7):48B-58B.
- Schernthaner C1, Paar V, Wernly B et al. Elevated plasma levels of Interleukin-16 in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Medicine (Baltimore), 2017; 96(44): e8396. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000008396.
- 14) Zinellu A, Sotgia S, Porcu P et al. Carotid restenosis is associated with plasma ADMA concentrations in carotid endarterectomy patients. Clin Chem Lab Med, 2011 May; 49(5): 897-901. doi: 10.1515/CCLM.2011.121.
- 15) Bivona G, Agnello L, Bellia C et al. Diagnostic and prognostic value of H-FABP in acute coronary syndrome: still evidence to bring. Clin Biochem, 2018; 58: 1-4.
- 16) Alhadi HA, Fox KA. Do we need additional markers of myocyte necrosis: the potential value of heart fattyacid-binding protein. QJM, 2004; 97(4): 187-98.
- 17) Ishii J, Wang JH, Naruse H et al. Serum concentrations of myoglobin vs human heart-type cytoplasmic fatty acid-binding protein in early detection of acute myocardial infarction. Clin Chem, 1997; 43(8): 1372-8.
- 18) Young JM, Pickering JW, George PM et al. Heart Fatty Acid Binding Protein and cardiac troponin: development of an optimal rule-out strategy for acute myocardial infarction. BMC Emerg Med, 2016; 16(1): 34. doi: 10.1186/s12873-016-0089-y.
- 19) Dupuy AM, Cristol JP, Kuster N et al. Performances of the heart fatty acid protein assay for the rapid diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction in ED patients. Am J Emerg Med, 2015; 33(3): 326-30. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2014.11.012.
- 20) Cappellini F, Da Molin S, Signorini S et al. Heart-type fatty acid-binding protein may exclude acute myocardial infarction on admission to emergency department for chest pain. Acute Card Care, 2013; 15(4): 83-7. doi: 10.3109/17482941.2013.841947.
- Vupputuri A, Sekhar S, Krishnan S et al. Heart-type fatty acid-binding protein (H-FABP) as an early diagnostic biomarker in patients with acute chest pain. Indian Heart J, 2015; 67(6): 538-42. doi: 10.1016/j.ihj.2015.06.035.
- 22) Kilcullen N, Viswanathan K, Das R et al. Heart-type fatty acid-binding protein predicts long-term mortality after acute coronary syndrome and identifies high-risk patients across the range of troponin values. JACC,

2007; 50(21): 2061-7.

- 23) McMahon CG, Lamont JV, Curtin E et al. Diagnostic accuracy of heart-type fatty acid-binding protein for the early diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction. Am J Emerg Med, 2012; 30(2):267-74. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2010.11.022.
- 24) Niizeki T, Takeishi Y, Takabatake N et al. Circulating levels of heart-type fatty acid-binding protein in a general Japanese population: effects of age, gender, and physiologic characteristics. Circ J, 2007; 71(9): 1452-7.
- 25) Wang J, Tan GJ, Han LN et al. Novel biomarkers for cardiovascular risk prediction. J Geriatr Cardiol, 2017; 14(2): 135-150. doi: 10.11909/j.issn.1671-5411.2017.02.008.
- 26) Collinson PO, Gaze DC, Thokala P et al. Randomised assessment of treatment using panel assay of cardiac markers- Contemporary biomarker evaluation (RAT-PAC CBE). Health Technology Assessment, 2013; 17 (15):v-vi,1-122. doi: 10.3310/hta17150.
- 28) GRACE Investigators. Rationale and design of the GRACE (Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events) Project: a multinational registry of patients hospitalized with acute coronary syndromes. Am Heart J, 2001 Feb; 141(2): 190-9.
- 29) Cullen LA, Mills NL, Mahler S et al. Early Rule-Out and Rule-In Strategies for Myocardial Infarction. Clin Chem, 2017; 63(1): 129-139. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2016.254730.
- 30) Body R, Carley S, McDowell G et al. The Manchester Acute Coronary Syndromes (MACS) decision rule for suspected cardiac chest pain: derivation and external validation. Heart, 2014; 100(18): 1462-8. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2014-305564.
- 31) Body R, Carlton E, Sperrin M et al. Troponin-only Manchester Acute Coronary Syndromes (T-MACS) decision aid: single biomarker re-derivation and external validation in three cohorts. Emerg Med J, 2017; 34(6): 349-356. doi: 10.1136/emermed-2016-205983.
- 32) Kitamura M, Hata N, Takayama T et al. High-sensitivity cardiac troponin T for earlier diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction in patients with initially negative troponin T test--comparison between cardiac markers. J Cardiol, 2013; 62(6): 336-42. doi: 10.1016/j.jjcc.2013.06.005.
- 33) Schoenenberger AW, Stallone F, Walz B et al. Incremental value of heart-type fatty acid-binding protein in suspected acute myocardial infarction early after symptom onset. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care, 2016; 5(2): 185-92. doi: 10.1177/2048872615571256.
- 34) Xu LQ, Yang YM, Tong H et al. Early Diagnostic Performance of Heart-Type Fatty Acid Binding Protein in Suspected Acute Myocardial Infarction: Evidence From a Meta-Analysis of Contemporary Studies. Heart Lung Circ, 2017; pii: S1443-9506(17)30336-0. doi: 10.1016/j.hlc.2017.03.165.
- 35) Liou K, Ho S, Ooi SY. Heart-type fatty acid binding protein in early diagnosis of myocardial infarction in the era of high-sensitivity troponin: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Clin Biochem, 2015; 52(Pt 3): 370-81. doi: 10.1177/0004563214553277.
- 36) Reiter M, Twerenbold R, Reichlin T et al. Heart-type fatty acid-binding protein in the early diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction. Heart, 2013; 99(10): 708-14. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2012-303325.

- 37) Cappellini F, Da Molin S, Signorini S et al. Heart-type fatty acid-binding protein may exclude acute myocardial infarction on admission to emergency department for chest pain. Acute Card Care, 2013; 15(4) :83-7. doi: 10.3109/17482941.2013.841947.
- 38) Willemsen RT, van Severen E, Vandervoort PM et al. Heart-type fatty acid binding protein (H-FABP) in patients in an emergency department setting, suspected of acute coronary syndrome: optimal cut-off point, diagnostic value and future opportunities in primary care. Eur J Gen Pract, 2015; 21(3): 156-63. doi: 10.3109/13814788.2015.1013934.
- 39) Haltern G, Peiniger S, Bufe A et al. Comparison of usefulness of heart-type fatty acid binding protein versus cardiac troponin T for diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol, 2010; 105(1): 1-9. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2009.08.645.
- 40) Body R, McDowell G, Carley S et al. A FABP-ulous 'rule out' strategy? Heart fatty acid binding protein and troponin for rapid exclusion of acute myocardial infarction. Resuscitation, 2011; 82(8): 1041-6. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2011.03.015.
- 41 Abbasi WA1, Saleem M1, Rasheed S et al. Utility Of Heart Type Fatty Acid Binding Protein (H-Fabp) Point Of Care Test In The Early Hours Of Stemi Compared With Troponin-I In Pakistani Population. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad, 2017; 29(1): 107-111.
- 42) Cubranic Z, Madzar Z, Matijevic S et al. Diagnostic accuracy of heart fatty acid binding protein (H-FABP) and glycogen phosphorylase isoenzyme BB (GPBB) in diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction in patients with acute coronary syndrome. Biochem Med (Zagreb), 2012; 22(2): 225-36.
- 43) Gerede DM, Güleç S, Kiliçkap M et al. Comparison of a qualitative measurement of heart-type fatty acid-binding protein with other cardiac markers as an early diagnostic marker in the diagnosis of non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Cardiovasc J Afr, 2015; 26(6): 204-9. doi: 10.5830/CVJA-2015-028.
- 44) McCann CJ, Glover BM, Menown IB et al. Prognostic value of a multimarker approach for patients presenting to hospital with acute chest pain. Am J Cardiol, 2009; 103(1): 22-8. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2008.08.026.
- 45) Daly MJ, McCann CJ, Owens CG et al. Heart fatty acid-binding protein in combination with the 80-lead body surface potential map improves early detection of acute myocardial infarction in patients who are cardiac troponin T-negative at presentation. J Electrocardiol, 2011; 44(4): 432-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jelectrocard.2011.03.001.
- Freund Y, Chenevier-Gobeaux C, Leumani F et al. Heart-type fatty acid binding protein and the diagnosis of acute coronary syndrome in the ED. Am J Emerg Med, 2012; 30(8): 1378-84. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2011.10.001.
- 47) Garcia-Valdecasas S, Ruiz-Alvarez MJ, Garcia De Tena J et al. Diagnostic and prognostic value of heart-type fatty acid-binding protein in the early hours of acute myocardial infarction. Acta Cardiol, 2011; 66(3): 315-21.
- 48) Li CJ, Li JQ, Liang XF et al. Point-of-care test of hearttype fatty acid-binding protein for the diagnosis of early acute myocardial infarction. Acta Pharmacol Sin, 2010; 31(3): 307-12. doi: 10.1038/aps.2010.2.

- 49) Agnello L, Bivona G, Novo G et al. Heart-type fatty acid binding protein is a sensitive biomarker for early AMI detection in troponin negative patients: a pilot study. Scand J Clin Lab Invest, 2017; 77(6): 428-432. doi: 10.1080/00365513.2017.1335880.
- 50) Gami BN, Patel DS, Haridas N et al. Utility of Hearttype Fatty Acid Binding Protein as a New Biochemical Marker for the Early Diagnosis of Acute Coronary Syndrome. J Clin Diagn Res, 2015; 9(1):BC22-4. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2015/11006.5451.
- 51) Viswanathan K, Kilcullen N, Morrell C et al. Hearttype fatty acid-binding protein predicts long-term mortality and re-infarction in consecutive patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome who are troponin-negative. JACC, 2010; 55(23): 2590-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2009.12.062.
- 52) Hai-Long W, Xiao-Hua P, Jian-Jun Y. The Prognostic Value of Heart-Type Fatty Acid Binding Protein in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndrome. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak, 2018; 28(1): 56-60. doi: 10.29271/jcpsp.2018.01.56.
- 53) Jones JD, Chew PG, Dobson R et al. The prognostic value of heart type fatty acid binding protein in patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome: a systematic review. Curr Cardiol Rev, 2017; 13(3): 189-198. doi: 10.2174/1573403X13666170116121451.
- 54) Leung YK, Cheng NM, Chan CP et al. Early Exclusion of Major Adverse Cardiac Events in Emergency Department Chest Pain Patients: A Prospective Observational Study. J Emerg Med, 2017; 53(3): 287-294. doi: 10.1016/j.jemermed.2017.05.006.

Corresponding author Professor MARCELLO CIACCIO, MD, PhD Department of Medical Biotechnologies and Biopathology University of Palermo, Italy Via del Vespro, 129 90127 Palermo marcello.ciaccio@unipa.it (*Italy*)