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#### Abstract

Let $Y$ be a smooth, projective complex curve of genus $g \geqslant 1$. Let $d$ be an integer $\geqslant 3$, let $\underline{e}=$ $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{r}\right\}$ be a partition of $d$ and let $|\underline{e}|=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(e_{i}-1\right)$. In this paper we study the Hurwitz spaces which parametrize coverings of degree $d$ of $Y$ branched in $n$ points of which $n-1$ are points of simple ramification and one is a special point whose local monodromy has cyclic type $\underline{e}$ and furthermore the coverings have full monodromy group $S_{d}$. We prove the irreducibility of these Hurwitz spaces when $n-1+|\underline{e}| \geqslant 2 d$, thus generalizing a result of Graber, Harris and Starr [A note on Hurwitz schemes of covers of a positive genus curve, Preprint, math. AG/0205056].


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $Y$ be a smooth, connected, projective complex curve of genus $g \geqslant 1$ and let $b_{0} \in Y$. Let $d \geqslant 3$ be an integer and let $\underline{e}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{r}\right\}$ be a partition of $d, e_{1}+$ $e_{2}+\cdots+e_{r}=d$, where $e_{1} \geqslant e_{2} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant e_{r} \geqslant 1$. Let $|\underline{e}|=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(e_{i}-1\right)$.

Let us denote by $H_{d, n-1, \underline{e}}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ the Hurwitz space that parametrizes equivalence classes of pairs $[\pi, \phi]$ of a covering $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ and a bijection $\phi: \pi^{-1}\left(b_{0}\right) \rightarrow$ $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ satisfying the following: $\pi$ is a covering of degree $d$ of $Y$, the cover $X$ is smooth and connected, $\pi$ is unramified at $b_{0}$ and is branched in $n>0$ points, $n-1$ of which are points of simple branching and one is a special point whose local monodromy has cyclic type $e$. Denote by $D$ the branch locus of $\pi$ and denote by $m: \pi_{1}\left(Y-D, b_{0}\right) \rightarrow S_{d}$ the associated monodromy homomorphism. Because $X$ is irreducible, the image of $m$ is a transitive subgroup

[^0]of $S_{d}$. Associated to $[\pi, \phi]$ is an ordered $(n+2 g)$-tuple of elements of $S_{d}$, $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)$, satisfying the following: for some $j$ the permutation $t_{j}$ has cyclic type $\underline{e}, t_{i}$ are transpositions for each $i \neq j$ and $t_{1} \cdots t_{n}=$ $\left[\lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}\right] \cdots\left[\lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right]$. We call $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)$ a Hurwitz system and the group generated by $t_{i}, \lambda_{k}, \mu_{k}$ the monodromy group of the Hurwitz system. In this paper we are interested in $H_{d, n-1, e}^{o}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$, the subset of $H_{d, n-1, \underline{e}}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ parameterizing pairs $[\pi, \phi]$ whose monodromy group is all $S_{d}$. In a similar manner one defines the Hurwitz space $H_{d, n-1, e}^{o}(Y)$ which parametrizes coverings of the considered type without fixing a bijection $\phi$. We prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Let $Y$ be a smooth, connected, projective curve of genus $g \geqslant 1$ and let $b_{0} \in Y$. If $n-1+|\underline{e}| \geqslant 2 d$ then the Hurwitz spaces $H_{d, n-1 . \underline{e}}^{o}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ and $H_{d, n-1 . \underline{e}}^{o}(Y)$ are irreducible.

Coverings of curves of positive genus were studied by Graber, Harris, Starr in [4] and by Kanev in [6]. Graber, Harris and Starr considered Hurwitz spaces parameterizing irreducible degree $d$ covers of a genus $g \geqslant 1$ curve with $n$ simple branch points. When $n \geqslant 2 d$, they proved the Hurwitz spaces is irreducible. Kanev sharpened this result and proved the irreducibility of these spaces in the case $n \geqslant \max \{2,2 d-4\}$ if $g \geqslant 1$ and $n \geqslant \max \{2,2 d-6\}$ if $g=1$. Kanev also proved the irreducibility of $H_{d, n-1, e}^{o}(Y)$ when $n-1 \geqslant 2 d-2$.

The result of this paper is a generalization of that of Graber, Harris and Starr. Namely, we prove the irreducibility of the Hurwitz spaces for the same values of the genera of $X$ and $Y$ as they do, but furthermore we allow one special fiber. The irreducibility of $H_{d, n-1, e}^{o}(Y)$ follows immediately from the irreducibility of $H_{d, n-1, \underline{e}}^{o}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$. We prove the irreducibility of $H_{d, n-1, e}^{o}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ by proving the transitivity of the action of the braid group $\pi_{1}\left(\left(Y-b_{0}\right)^{(n)}-\Delta, D\right)$ on the set of Hurwitz systems ( $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}$ ) with monodromy group $S_{d}$. We follow the key idea of [4], i.e., we prove that applying a finite number of braid moves it is possible to replace every ( $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}$ ) by a new system of type ( $\bar{t}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{t}_{n} ; 1,1, \ldots, 1,1$ ). Then using only elementary transformations of the Artin's braid group, we reduce ( $\bar{t}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{i}_{n}$ ) to a normal form.

It seem likely the inequality in the hypothesis of Theorem 1 may be replace by the weaker one $n-1+|\underline{e}| \geqslant 2 d-2$. This inequality is necessary for coverings whose Hurwitz systems are braid equivalent to ones with $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}=\mu_{1}^{\prime}=\cdots=\lambda_{g}^{\prime}=\mu_{g}^{\prime}=1$. Unfortunately our method of proof does not allow to cover also the limiting case $n-1+|\underline{e}|=2 d-2$.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND BRAID MOVES

Let $Y$ and $X$ be smooth, connected, projective complex curves of genus $\geqslant 0$. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a covering of $Y$, i.e., $\pi$ is a finite holomorphic mapping.

A branch point is a point $b \in Y$ such that some point of $\pi^{-1}(b)$ is a ramification point of $\pi$. A branch point $b \in Y$ is called a point of simple branching for $\pi$ if $\pi$ is ramified at only one point $x \in \pi^{-1}(b)$ and the ramification index $e(x)$ of $\pi$ at $x$ is 2 .

A branch point $b \in Y$ is called a special point if it is not simple. The set of branch points is called the branch locus of $\pi$.

Let $d$ be a positive integer. Two $d$-sheeted branched coverings $\pi_{1}: X_{1} \rightarrow Y$ and $\pi_{2}: X_{2} \rightarrow Y$ are called equivalent if there exists a biholomorphic map $f: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ such that $\pi_{2} \circ f=\pi_{1}$. The equivalence class containing $\pi_{1}$ is denoted by $\left[\pi_{1}\right]$.

Let $\underline{e}=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{r}\right)$ be a partition of $d$ where $e_{1} \geqslant e_{2} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant e_{r} \geqslant 1$. Associate to $\underline{e}$ the following element in $S_{d}$ having cycle type $\underline{e}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon:=\left(12 \ldots e_{1}\right)\left(e_{1}+1 \ldots e_{1}+e_{2}\right) \cdots\left(\left(e_{1}+\cdots+e_{r-1}\right)+1 \ldots d\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $b_{0}$ be a point of $Y$, let us denote by $H_{d, n-1, e}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ the Hurwitz space that parametrizes equivalence classes of pairs $[\pi, \phi]$ of a covering $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ and a bijection $\phi: \pi^{-1}\left(b_{0}\right) \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, d\}$ satisfying the following: $\pi$ is a covering of degree $d$ of $Y, \pi$ is unramified at $b_{0}$ and it is branched in $n>0$ points, $n-1$ of which are points of simple branching and one is a special point whose local monodromy belongs to the conjugacy class of $\varepsilon$.

Let $Y^{(n)}$ be the $n$-fold symmetric product of $Y$ and let $\Delta$ be the codimension 1 locus of $Y^{(n)}$ consisting of nonsimple divisors. Let $\Psi: H_{d, n-1, e}\left(Y, b_{0}\right) \rightarrow(Y-$ $\left.b_{0}\right)^{(n)}-\Delta$ be the map which assigns to each $[\pi, \phi]$ the reduced branch locus of $\pi$.

Convention. The natural action of $S_{d}$ on $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ here is on the right and multiplication of permutations is by $\sigma \cdot \tau=\tau \circ \sigma$, e.g., (12)(13) $=(123)$.

Let $[\pi, \phi] \in H_{d, n-1, \underline{e}}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$, let $D$ be the reduced branch divisor, let $[\gamma] \in \pi_{1}(Y-$ $D, b_{0}$ ), and for every $i=1, \ldots, d$, denote $x_{i}=\phi^{-1}(i)$ in $\pi^{-1}\left(b_{0}\right)$. For every $i=$ $1, \ldots, d, i^{t}$ equals $\phi(y)$, where $y$ is the terminal point of the unique lift of $\gamma$ whose initial point is $x_{i}$.

For the rest of the paper we suppose $n \geqslant 2$. Let $D=\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}$ and let $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{g}, \beta_{g}$ be the closed arcs oriented counterclockwise represented in Fig. 1.

The corresponding homotopy classes of these arcs yield a system of generators for $\pi_{1}\left(Y-D, b_{0}\right)$ which satisfy the only relation

$$
\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \cdots \gamma_{n} \simeq\left[\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right] \cdots\left[\alpha_{g}, \beta_{g}\right] .
$$

Definition 1. An ordered sequence $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)$ of permutations of $S_{d}$ such that $t_{i} \neq 1$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{n}=\left[\lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}\right] \cdots\left[\lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right]$ is called a Hurwitz system. The subgroup $G \subseteq S_{d}$ generated by $t_{i}, \lambda_{k}, \mu_{k}$ with $i=$ $1, \ldots, n$ and $k=1, \ldots, g$ is called the monodromy group of the Hurwitz system. An $\underline{e}$-Hurwitz system is a Hurwitz system such that 1 of $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ has cycle type $\underline{e}$, and the other $n-1$ elements in $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ are transpositions.

The images via the monodromy homomorphisms $m$ of $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{g}$, $\beta_{g}$ determine $\underline{e}$-Hurwitz systems

$$
\left(m\left(\gamma_{1}\right), \ldots, m\left(\gamma_{n}\right), m\left(\alpha_{1}\right), m\left(\beta_{1}\right), \ldots, m\left(\alpha_{g}\right), m\left(\beta_{g}\right)\right)
$$



Figure 1.
with transitive monodromy group.
Let us denote by $A_{d, n+2 g}$ the set of all $\underline{e}$-Hurwitz systems $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}\right.$, $\ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}$ ) with transitive monodromy group. The Riemann existence theorem determines a bijection from the fiber of $\Psi$ over $D$ to $A_{d, n+2 g}$.

Definition 2. Let $G \subset S_{d}$ be a transitive subgroup. A decomposition for $G$ is a partition $\left(\Sigma_{1}, \ldots, \Sigma_{k}\right)$ of $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ into sets of equal size $v \neq 1, d$ such that $\left(\Sigma_{i}\right)^{g} \in$ $\left\{\Sigma_{1}, \ldots, \Sigma_{k}\right\}$ for every $g \in G$ and $i=1, \ldots, k$. If there exists a decomposition for $G, G$ is imprimitive, otherwise $G$ is primitive.

Let $A_{d, n+2 g}^{0}$ be the set of all $\underline{e}$-Hurwitz systems $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)$ in $A_{d, n+2 g}$ with primitive monodromy group. We denote by $H_{d, n-1, \underline{e}}^{o}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ the set of all the pairs $[\pi, \phi]$ in $H_{d, n-1, \underline{e}}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ such that if $D$ is the reduced branch locus of $\pi$ and $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{g}, \beta_{g}$ is a system of closed arcs as in the figure, then the monodromy group of $\left(m\left(\gamma_{1}\right), \ldots, m\left(\gamma_{n}\right), m\left(\alpha_{1}\right), m\left(\beta_{1}\right), \ldots, m\left(\alpha_{g}\right), m\left(\beta_{g}\right)\right)$ is a primitive group. Therefore by Riemann's existence theorem we can identify the fiber of $H_{d, n-1, e}^{o}\left(Y, b_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y-b_{0}\right)^{(n)}-\Delta$ over $D$ with $A_{d, n+2 g}^{o}$.

There is a unique topology on $H_{d, n-1, e}^{o}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ such that $H_{d, n-1, e}^{o}\left(Y, b_{0}\right) \rightarrow(Y-$ $\left.b_{0}\right)^{(n)}-\Delta$ is a topological covering map, cf. [3]. Therefore the braid group $\pi_{1}((Y-$ $\left.\left.b_{0}\right)^{(n)}-\Delta, D\right)$ acts on $A_{d, n+2 g}^{o}$. If this action is transitive then $H_{d, n-1, e}^{o}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ is connected.

Shortly we recall some notion on braid groups.
The braid groups of orientable 2-manifolds of genus $g \geqslant 1$ were studied by J.S. Birman, E. Fadell and G.P. Scott (see $[1,2,9]$ ). Let $Y$ be a smooth, connected, projective complex curve of genus $g \geqslant 1$. The generators of $\pi_{1}\left(\left(Y-b_{0}\right)^{(n)}-\Delta, D\right)$ are the elementary braids $\sigma_{i}$ with $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ and the braids $\rho_{a k}, \tau_{b k}$ with $1 \leqslant a, b \leqslant n$ and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant g$. The calculation of the action of the elementary braids $\sigma_{i}$ on Hurwitz systems is due to Hurwitz [5].

The elementary moves $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$, relative to the elementary braids $\sigma_{i}$, bring

$$
\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{i-1}, t_{i}, t_{i+1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)
$$

to

$$
\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{i-1}, t_{i} t_{i+1} t_{i}^{-1}, t_{i}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)
$$

Therefore their inverses bring $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{i-1}, t_{i}, t_{i+1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)$ to

$$
\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, t_{i+1}^{-1} t_{i} t_{i+1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)
$$

The braid moves that correspond to the generators $\rho_{i k}, \tau_{i k}$ were studied by Graber, Harris, Starr in [4] and by Kanev in [6]. We make use of some results proved in [6]. In this paper to each generator $\rho_{i k}$ or $\tau_{i k}$ is associated a pair of braid moves $\rho_{i k}^{\prime}$, $\rho_{i k}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\rho_{i k}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ and $\tau_{i k}^{\prime}, \tau_{i k}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\tau_{i k}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$, respectively.

Let $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)$ be a Hurwitz system. The braid move $\rho_{i k}^{\prime}$ leaves unchanged $\lambda_{l}$ for each $l, t_{j}$ for each $j \neq i$ and $\mu_{l}$ for each $l \neq k$, while changing $t_{i}$ and $\mu_{k}$. Analogously the braid move $\tau_{i k}^{\prime \prime}$ changes $t_{i}$ and $\lambda_{k}$, leaving unchanged $\mu_{l}$ for each $l, \lambda_{l}$ for each $l \neq k$ and $t_{j}$ for each $j \neq i$.

We use the following result.
Proposition 1 [6, Corollary 1.9]. Let $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)$ be a Hurwitz system. Let $u_{k}=\left[\lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}\right] \cdots\left[\lambda_{k}, \mu_{k}\right]$ for $k=1, \ldots, g$ and let $u_{0}=1$. The following formulae hold:
(i) For $\rho_{1 k}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\rho_{1 k}^{\prime}: \mu_{k} \rightarrow \mu_{k}^{\prime}=\left(b_{1}^{-1} t_{1}^{-1} b_{1}\right) \mu_{k},
$$

where $b_{1}=u_{k-1} \lambda_{k}$.
(ii) For $\tau_{1 k}^{\prime \prime}$ :

$$
\tau_{1 k}^{\prime \prime}: \lambda_{k} \rightarrow \lambda_{k}^{\prime \prime}=\left(u_{k-1}^{-1} t_{1}^{-1} u_{k-1}\right) \lambda_{k} .
$$

## In particular

$$
\tau_{11}^{\prime \prime}: \lambda_{1} \rightarrow t_{1}^{-1} \lambda_{1} .
$$

3. IRREDUCIBILITY OF $H_{d, n-1, e^{o}}^{\left(Y, b_{0}\right)}$

In this section we will prove the irreducibility of $H_{d, n-1, e}^{o}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ for $n-1+|\underline{e}| \geqslant 2 d$. Since $H_{d, n-1, e}^{o}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ is smooth in order to prove its irreducibility it suffices to prove it is connected. In Section 1 we observed that if $\pi_{1}\left(\left(Y-b_{0}\right)^{(n)}-\Delta, D\right)$ acts transitively on $A_{d, n+2 g}^{o}$ then $H_{d, n-1, \underline{e}}^{o}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ is connected. In order to prove the transitivity of the action of $\pi_{1}\left(\left(Y-b_{0}\right)^{(n)}-\Delta, D\right)$ on $A_{d, n+2 g}^{o}$ it is sufficient to prove that, acting by braid moves, it is possible to bring every $\underline{e}$-Hurwitz system in $A_{d, n+2 g}^{o}$ to a given
normal form. So first we prove that every $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)$ in $A_{d, n+2 g}^{o}$ can be transformed into $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-1}^{\prime}, t_{n}^{\prime} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)$ where $t_{n-1}^{\prime}, t_{n}^{\prime}$ are equal transpositions and $\left\langle t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-2}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-2}^{\prime}, t_{n-1}^{\prime}, t_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Then we apply the Main Lemma of [6] which states that $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-1}^{\prime}, t_{n}^{\prime} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)$ can be replaced by $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots,\left(t_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)^{h},\left(t_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{h} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)$ where $h \in\left\langle t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-2}^{\prime}, \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right\rangle$.

We remember that the monodromy group $G$ of a $\underline{e}$-Hurwitz system in $A_{d, n+2 g}^{0}$ is a primitive group which contains a transposition. In [6] it is proved that a primitive group $G \subseteq S_{d}$ which contains a transposition is all $S_{d}$. Therefore the monodromy group of every $\underline{e}$-Hurwitz system in $A_{d, n+2 g}^{\prime \prime}$ is $S_{d}$.

Using these results and braid moves we are ready to normalize ( $\lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots$, $\lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}$ ). The proof follows by applying a sequence of braid moves and inverse braid moves and then using Mochizuchi's proposition [8, pp. 369-370].

Definition 3. We call two Hurwitz systems braid equivalent if one is obtained from the other by a finite sequence of braid moves $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}, \rho_{j k}^{\prime}, \tau_{j k}^{\prime},\left(\sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}, \rho_{j k}^{\prime \prime}, \tau_{j k}^{\prime \prime}$ where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1,1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$ and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant g$. We denote the braid equivalence by $\sim$.

Definition 4. Two ordered $n$-tuples (or sequences) of permutations $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ and $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ are called braid equivalent if $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ is obtained from $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ by a finite sequence of braid moves of type $\sigma_{i}^{\prime},\left(\sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$. Note that if $t_{1} \cdots t_{n}=s$ then $t_{1}^{\prime} \cdots t_{n}^{\prime}=s$.

Lemma 1 [6, Main Lemma 2.1]. Let $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)$ be a Hurwitz system of permutations of $S_{d}$. Suppose that $t_{i} t_{i+1}=1$. Let H be the subgroup of $S_{d}$ generated by $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+2}, \ldots, t_{n}, \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right\}$. Then for every $h \in H$ the given Hurwitz system is braid equivalent to

$$
\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{i-1}, t_{i}^{h}, t_{i+1}^{h}, t_{i+2}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)
$$

For the rest of the paper we suppose $d \geqslant 3$. We now want to prove that every ( $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}$ ) in $A_{d, n+2 g}^{o}$ can be transformed, by a finite number of braid moves $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ and of their inverses, into ( $t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-2}^{\prime}, t_{n-1}^{\prime}, t_{n}^{\prime} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}$ ) where $t_{1}^{\prime}$ has cyclic type $\underline{e}, t_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n}^{\prime}$ are transpositions, $t_{n-1}^{\prime}=t_{n}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\left\langle t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime},, \ldots, t_{n-2}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-2}^{\prime}, t_{n-1}^{\prime}, t_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle .
$$

Lemma 2. Let $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ be an ordered 2-tuple such that $t_{1}$ is a d-cycle and $t_{2}$ a transposition. Let $a_{k}^{\prime}$ be a fixed element of the set $\{1, \ldots, d\}$. Then $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ is braid equivalent to $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ where $t_{1}^{\prime}$ is a d-cycle and $t_{2}^{\prime}$ a transposition that moves $a_{k}^{\prime}$.

Proof. It is not restrictive to assume that $a_{k}^{\prime}$ is the element that occupies the first place in $t_{1}$. Let $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\left(\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{i} \ldots a_{j} \ldots a_{d}\right),\left(a_{i} a_{j}\right)\right)$ where $a_{1}=a_{k}^{\prime}$. Acting twice with the elementary move $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}$ we obtain

$$
\left(\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{i} \ldots a_{j} \ldots a_{d}\right),\left(a_{i} a_{j}\right)\right) \sim\left(\left(b_{1} \ldots b_{i-1} \ldots b_{j-1} \ldots b_{d}\right),\left(b_{i-1} b_{j-1}\right)\right)
$$

where $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, \hat{b}_{i-1}, \ldots, \hat{b}_{j-1}, \ldots, b_{d}\right)=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, \hat{a}_{i}, \ldots, \hat{a}_{j}, \ldots, a_{d}\right) \quad$ and $\left(b_{i-1}, b_{j-1}\right)=\left(a_{j}, a_{i}\right)$.

Acting with $\left(\sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ another $i-2$ times we obtain the required result, i.e., $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ is braid equivalent to $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ where $t_{1}^{\prime}$ is a $d$-cycle and $t_{2}^{\prime}$ a transposition that moves $a_{1}$.

Lemma 3. Let $\left(t_{1}, \tau, \tau\right)$ be a sequence such that $t_{1}$ is an arbitrary permutation of $S_{d}$ and $\tau$ a transposition. Then $\left(t_{1}, \tau, \tau\right)$ is braid equivalent to $\left(\tau, \tau, t_{1}\right)$.

Proof. Applying the elementary moves $\left(\sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1},\left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ we obtain

$$
\left(t_{1}, \tau, \tau\right) \sim\left(\tau, \tau^{-1} t_{1} \tau, \tau\right) \sim\left(\tau, \tau, t_{1}\right)
$$

Lemma 4. Let $\left(t_{1}, \tau, \tau\right)$ be a sequence such that $t_{1}$ is the $d$-cycle $\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{i} \ldots a_{j} \ldots\right.$ $a_{d}$ ) and $\tau$ the transposition $\left(a_{i} a_{j}\right)$. Then $\left(t_{1}, \tau, \tau\right)$ is braid equivalent to ( $t_{1}, \tau^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}$ ) where $\tau^{\prime}=\left(a_{i} a_{j^{\prime}}\right)$ and $j^{\prime} \equiv(2 i-j)(\bmod d)$. If $j-i \neq d / 2$ then $\tau^{\prime} \neq \tau$.

Proof. Applying successively the elementary moves $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{2}^{\prime}$ and using Lemma 3 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(t_{1},\left(a_{i} a_{j}\right),\left(a_{i} a_{j}\right)\right) & \sim\left(\left(a_{i-1} a_{j-1}\right),\left(a_{i-1} a_{j-1}\right), t_{1}\right) \\
& \sim\left(t_{1},\left(a_{i-1} a_{j-1}\right),\left(a_{i-1} a_{j-1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the sequence of elementary moves $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{2}^{\prime}$ and using Lemma 3 another ( $j-i$ ) -1 times we obtain the lemma.

Lemma 5. Let $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{i}, t_{i+1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of permutations in $S_{d}$ such that $t_{i}, t_{i+1}$ are two equal transpositions of $S_{d}$. Then we can move to the right (respectively, to the left) the pair $\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)$ leaving unchanged other permutations of the sequence.

Proof. The proof follows by Lemma 3.
Notice that applying braid moves $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ or their inverses we can move one arbitrary transposition of the sequence $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{i}, t_{i+1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ where we want. In this way, however, we change also other permutations of the sequence.

Lemma 6. Let $t_{1}$ be a $d$-cycle, let $t_{2}, \ldots, t_{l}$ be transpositions and let a be an element moved by at least one of the transpositions. Then $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)$ is braid equivalent to a sequence ( $\tilde{t}_{1}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{z}, \alpha_{z}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}$ ) such that
(i) $\tilde{t}_{1}$ is a d-cycle,
(ii) for every $i=1, \ldots, z$, respectively, $j=1, \ldots, m$, the element $\alpha_{i}$, respectively, $\beta_{j}$, is a transposition moving $a$,
(iii) the elements $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}$ are distinct, and
(iv) if $l-1 \geqslant d$ then $z$ is at least 1 .

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on $l$. If $l=2, t_{2}$ is a transposition moving $a$. So $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ is a sequence as we want in which $z=0$ and $m=1$. Let $l>2$. By way of induction, we suppose the lemma is proved for all smaller values of $l$. Acting with braid moves $\sigma_{j}^{\prime}$, we bring the transpositions that move the element $a$ to the end of the sequence, obtaining

$$
\left(t_{1}, t_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{v-1}^{\prime}, t_{v}^{\prime}=(a *), \ldots,(a *)\right) .
$$

Applying Lemma 2 we replace $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ by $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ where $t_{1}^{\prime}$ is a $d$-cycle and $t_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ is a transposition that moves $a$. By braid moves $\sigma_{j}^{\prime}$ we move $t_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ to the left of $t_{v}^{\prime}$. Proceeding in this way successively for every transposition of the sequence that does not move $a$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t_{1}^{\prime \prime},(a *), \ldots,(a *)\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the transpositions in (2) are all distinct, the (2) is a sequence as we want in which $z=0$. If instead in (2) there are two equal transpositions, using inverses of elementary moves $\sigma_{j}^{\prime}$, we move them to the front obtaining

$$
\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}, \bar{t}_{1}, \bar{t}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{t}_{l-2}\right) .
$$

We can then apply the induction hypothesis to the sequence $\left(\bar{t}_{1}, \bar{i}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{i}_{l-2}\right)$. The proof follows by applying Lemma 5 . Observe that if $l-1 \geqslant d$, because there are only $d-1$ distinct transpositions that move $a$, some transposition occurs twice in (2). So if $l-1 \geqslant d, z$ is at least 1 .

Proposition 2. Let $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)$ be a sequence such that $t_{1}$ is a $d$-cycle and $t_{2}, \ldots, t_{l}$ are transpositions. If $d$ is odd and $l-1 \geqslant d$ or if $d$ is even and $l-1>d$ then $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)$ is braid equivalent to $\left(\bar{t}_{1}, \tau, \tau, \tau, \bar{t}_{5}, \ldots, \dot{t}_{l}\right)$ where $\dot{t}_{1}$ is a d-cycle and $\tau, \bar{t}_{5}, \ldots, \bar{t}_{l}$ are transpositions.

Proof. We suppose by way of contradiction there is not a sequence braid equivalent to ( $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{l}$ ) with three equal transpositions. By Lemmas 6 and 5 we have that $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)$ is braid equivalent to a sequence ( $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{z}, \alpha_{z}, \tilde{t}_{1}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}$ ) where the elements $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j}$ are transpositions moving $a, \beta_{j} \neq \beta_{h}$ for $h \neq j$ and $z$ is at least 1 .

Let $\alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \alpha_{z}^{\prime}$ be the transpositions that one obtains applying Lemma 4 to the sequence $\left(\tilde{t}_{1}, \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, z$. Let $\alpha_{i}=\left(a c_{i}\right), \alpha_{i}^{\prime}=\left(a c_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\beta_{j}=\left(a d_{j}\right)$. Let $C=\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{z}\right\}, C^{\prime}=\left\{c_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, c_{z}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $D=\left\{d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right\}$. The following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp\left(C \cup C^{\prime}\right)+\sharp D>d-1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

whatever $d$ is, odd or even.

In fact, let $d$ be odd. In this case $C \cap C^{\prime}=\emptyset$, because otherwise there would be a braid equivalent sequence with 4 equal transpositions, so $\sharp\left(C \cup C^{\prime}\right)=\sharp C+\sharp C^{\prime}=$ $2 z . \sharp D=(l-1)-2 z$, thus

$$
\sharp\left(C \cup C^{\prime}\right)+\sharp D=2 z+(l-1)-2 z=l-1 .
$$

By hypothesis $l-1 \geqslant d$ therefore (3) holds.
Let $d$ be even. In this case $0 \leqslant \sharp\left(C \cap C^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 1$, so $2 z-1 \leqslant \sharp\left(C \cup C^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 2 z$. Then $l-2 \leqslant \sharp\left(C \cup C^{\prime}\right)+\sharp D \leqslant l-1$. Because, by hypothesis, $l-1>d$ the inequality (3) holds in this case as well.

Since the element $a$ is not in $C \cup C^{\prime} \cup D$, the inequality (3) assures that $\sharp((C \cup$ $\left.\left.C^{\prime}\right) \cap D\right) \geqslant 1$. Then there exist $j, j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, such that either $\beta_{j}=\alpha_{i}$ or $\beta_{j}=\alpha_{i}^{\prime}$, for some $1 \leqslant i \leqslant z$. If $\beta_{j}=\alpha_{i}$ we obtain a braid equivalent sequence with three equal transpositions which is a contradiction. If $\beta_{j}=\alpha_{i}^{\prime}$ we arrive at a contradiction in the same way applying Lemma 4 to ( $\tilde{t_{1}}, \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}$ ). This proves the proposition.

Definition 5. Let $\sigma$ be a permutation of $S_{d}$ and let $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{r}$ be a factorization of $\sigma$ into a product of independent cycles. Define the norm of $\sigma$ as follows

$$
|\sigma|:=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(\sharp \sigma_{i}-1\right) .
$$

Lemma 7 [10, Corollary 4.1]. Let $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ be a sequence such that $t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}$ are transpositions, $t_{1}$ is an arbitrary permutation of $S_{d}$ and $G=\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\rangle$ is transitive. Then $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is braid equivalent to $\left(t_{1}, \tilde{t}_{2}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{k}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{n}\right)$ where $\tilde{t}_{2}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{n}$ are transpositions and

$$
\left|t_{1}\right|<\left|t_{1} \tilde{t}_{2}\right|<\cdots<\left|t_{1} \tilde{t}_{2} \cdots \tilde{t}_{k}\right|=d-1 .
$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma_{1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{m}$ be the domains of transitivity of the permutation $t_{1}$. We prove the lemma by induction on $m$. If $m=1$ then $t_{1}$ is a $d$-cycle, so $\left|t_{1}\right|=d-1$. Let $m>1$. By way of induction, we suppose the lemma is proved for $m>1$ and we prove it for $m+1$. Because by hypothesis $G$ is transitive at least one transposition $t_{i}, 2 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, is such that $t_{i}=(a b)$ with $a \in \Gamma_{s}, b \in \Gamma_{l}$ and $s \neq l$. Acting by inverses of elementary moves $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ we bring $(a b)$ to the right of $t_{1}$, obtaining a new sequence

$$
\left(t_{1}, \tilde{t}_{2}, t_{3}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{t}_{2}=(a b),\left|t_{1}\right|<\left|t_{1} \tilde{t}_{2}\right|$ and $t_{1} \tilde{t}_{2}$ has $m$ domains of transitivity. We can then apply the induction hypothesis and so we obtain the lemma.

Proposition 3. Let $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of permutations in $S_{d}$ such that $t_{1}$ is a permutation that belongs to the conjugacy class of $\varepsilon$ (cf. Eq. (1)) and $t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}$ are transpositions.

If $n-1+|\underline{e}| \geqslant 2 d$ then $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is braid equivalent to

$$
\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-2}^{\prime}, t_{n-1}^{\prime}, t_{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $t_{1}^{\prime}$ belongs to the conjugacy class of $\varepsilon, t_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n}^{\prime}$ are transpositions, $t_{n-1}^{\prime}=t_{n}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\left\langle t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-2}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-2}^{\prime}, t_{n-1}^{\prime}, t_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle .
$$

Proof. Let $G=\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\rangle$ and let $\Sigma_{1}, \ldots, \Sigma_{v}$ be the domains of transitivity of $G$. Acting by braid moves $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ and their inverses we place the transpositions $t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}$ so that $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is braid equivalent to

$$
\left(t_{1}, t_{11}, \ldots, t_{1 n_{1}}, t_{21}, \ldots, t_{2 n_{2}}, \ldots, t_{v 1}, \ldots, t_{v n_{v}}\right)
$$

where $t_{i 1}, \ldots, t_{i n_{i}}$ are the only transpositions that move the elements of $\Sigma_{i}$.
For every $i=1, \ldots, v$, denote by $\bar{i}_{i}$ the permutation preserving the partition ( $\Sigma_{1}, \ldots, \Sigma_{v}$ ) set-by-set whose restriction to $\Sigma_{i}$ equals $t_{1_{\mid \Sigma_{i}}}$, and whose restriction to $\Sigma_{j}$ is the identity for $j \neq i$. For every $i=1, \ldots, v$, denote $d_{i}=\sharp \Sigma_{i}$; observe $d_{1}+d_{2}+\cdots+d_{v}=d$.

Notice that $\sum_{i=1}^{v}\left|\bar{t}_{i}\right|=|\underline{e}|$ and $n-1=\sum_{i=1}^{v} n_{i}$.
By Lemma 7 we have that ( $\bar{t}_{i}, t_{i 1}, \ldots, t_{i n_{i}}$ ) is braid equivalent to a sequence $\left(\bar{t}_{i}, \tilde{t}_{i 1}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{i k_{i}}, \tilde{i}_{i k_{i}+1}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{i n_{i}}\right)$ where $\bar{t}_{i} \tilde{t}_{i} \cdots \tilde{t}_{i k_{i}}$ is a $d_{i}$-cycle and moreover only braid moves among $t_{i 1}, \ldots, t_{i n_{i}}$ were used.

Because $\sum_{i=1}^{v}\left(n_{i}-k_{i}\right)=n-1+|\underline{e}|+v-d$, which is greater than $n-$ $1+|\underline{e}|-d$, and because $\sum_{i=1}^{v} d_{i}=d$, the hypothesis $n-1+|\underline{e}| \geqslant 2 d$ implies $\sum_{i=1}^{v}\left(n_{i}-k_{i}\right)>\sum_{i=1}^{v} d_{i}$, in particular there exists $j$ such that $n_{j}-k_{j}>d_{j}$. Moving the transpositions $t_{j 1}, \ldots, t_{j n_{j}}$ to the front we obtain that $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is braid equivalent to $\left(t_{1}, t_{j 1}, \ldots, t_{j n_{j}}, \ldots\right)$.

By Lemma 7, the sequence $\left(t_{1}, t_{j 1}, \ldots, t_{j n_{j}}\right)$ is braid equivalent to a sequence,

$$
\left(t_{1}, \tilde{t}_{j 1}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{j k_{j}}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{j n_{j}}\right)
$$

such that $\tilde{t}_{1} \tilde{t}_{j 1} \cdots \tilde{t}_{j k_{j}}$ is a $d_{j}$-cycle. Since $n_{j}-k_{j}>d_{j}$, by Proposition 2 one has that

$$
\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \sim\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{j 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{j k_{j}}^{\prime}, \tau, \tau, \tau, \ldots, t_{j n_{j}}^{\prime}, \ldots\right) .
$$

In this way we obtain a new sequence where there are three equal transpositions. Therefore cancelling two of these three transpositions the group generated by the remaining ones remains unchanged. The proof follows by moving two of these three transpositions to the end of the sequence.

Remark 1. If $d$ is odd or if $d$ is even and $v \geqslant 2$, Proposition 3 is true for $n-1+$ $|\underline{e}| \geqslant 2 d-1$. If $d$ is odd and $v \geqslant 2$ or if $d$ is even and $v \geqslant 3$, Proposition 3 is true for $n-1+|\underline{e}| \geqslant 2 d-2$.

Let $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right)$ be a sequence of transpositions such that $t_{1} \cdots t_{n-1}=s$ and $\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right\rangle$ is transitive. Let $s=s_{1} \cdots s_{q}$ be a factorization of $s$ into a product of independent cycles and let $\Gamma_{1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{q}$ be the domains of transitivity of $s$. If
$\sharp \Gamma_{i}=e_{i}$ for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant q$ and $1_{i}$ is the minimal number in $\Gamma_{i}$, then we write $s_{i}=\left(1_{i} 2_{i} \ldots\left(e_{i}\right)_{i}\right)$. Let us order the $\Gamma_{i}$ so that $1_{1}<1_{2}<\cdots<1_{q}$ and denote by $Z_{i}$ the sequence $\left(\left(1_{i} 2_{i}\right),\left(1_{i} 3_{i}\right), \ldots,\left(1_{i}\left(e_{i}\right)_{i}\right)\right)$. Let $Z$ be the concatenation $Z_{1} Z_{2} \ldots Z_{q}$. We use the following result.

Proposition 4 ([7] or [8, pp. 369-370]). Let $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right)$ be a sequence of transpositions such that $t_{1} \cdots t_{n-1}=s$ and $\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right\rangle$ is transitive. Then $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right)$ is braid equivalent to

$$
\left(Z, \bar{t}_{N+1}, \ldots, \bar{t}_{n-1}\right)
$$

where $(n-1)-N \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and
(i) if $q=1$ then $\bar{t}_{i}=\left(1_{1} 2_{1}\right)$ for each $i \geqslant N+1$,
(ii) if $q>1$ then

$$
\left(\bar{t}_{N+1}, \ldots, \bar{t}_{n-1}\right)=\left(\left(1_{1} 1_{2}\right),\left(1_{1} 1_{2}\right),\left(1_{1} 1_{3}\right),\left(1_{1} 1_{3}\right), \ldots,\left(1_{1} 1_{q}\right),\left(1_{1} 1_{q}\right)\right)
$$

where each $\left(1_{1} 1_{i}\right)$ appears twice if $2 \leqslant i \leqslant q-1$ and $\left(1_{1} 1_{q}\right)$ appears an even number of times.

Proof of Theorem 1. The forgetful map $H_{d, n-1, \underline{e}}^{o}\left(Y, b_{0}\right) \rightarrow H_{d, n-1, \underline{e}}^{o}(Y)$ given by $[X \rightarrow Y, \phi] \rightarrow[X \rightarrow Y]$ has a dense image, so it suffices to prove the irreducibility of $H_{d, n-1, \underline{e}}^{o}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$. Since $H_{d, n-1, \underline{e}}^{o}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ is smooth in order to prove its irreducibility it suffices to prove it is connected. If we show that every $\underline{e}$-Hurwitz system in $A_{d, n+2 g}^{o}$ is braid equivalent to the normal form ( $Z, \bar{i}_{N+1}, \ldots, \bar{i}_{n-1}, \varepsilon^{-1}, 1, \ldots, 1$ ), where $\left(Z, \bar{t}_{N+1}, \ldots, \bar{t}_{n-1}\right)$ is the sequence in Proposition 4, then $\pi_{1}\left(\left(Y-b_{0}\right)^{(n)}-\right.$ $\Delta, D)$ acts transitively on $A_{d, n+2 g}^{o}$ and so $H_{d, n-1, \underline{e}}^{o}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ is connected.

Step 1 . Let $\tau$ be an arbitrary transposition of $S_{d}$ and let $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}\right.$, $\left.\mu_{g}\right) \in A_{d, n+2 g}^{o}$. Because $n-1+|\underline{e}| \geqslant 2 d$, by Proposition 3 we can replace $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)$ by $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-2}^{\prime}, t_{n-1}^{\prime}, t_{n}^{\prime} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)$ where $t_{1}^{\prime}$ belongs to the conjugacy class of $\varepsilon, t_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n}^{\prime}$ are transpositions, $t_{n-1}^{\prime}=t_{n}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\left\langle t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-2}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-2}^{\prime}, t_{n-1}^{\prime}, t_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

Therefore we can apply Lemma 1 and because the monodromy group of a Hurwitz system in $A_{d, n+2 g}^{o}$ is $S_{d}$ we obtain that $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-2}^{\prime}, t_{n-1}^{\prime}, t_{n}^{\prime} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}$ ) is braid equivalent to ( $t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-2}^{\prime}, \tau, \tau ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}$ ).

Step 2. We claim that every $\underline{e}$-Hurwitz system in $A_{d, n+2 g}^{o}$ is braid equivalent to $\left(t_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}, t_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \ldots, t_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime} ; 1, \ldots, 1\right)$ where for some $j$ the permutation $t_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ has cycle type $\underline{e}$ and $t_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is a transposition for every $i \neq j$.

We prove this using induction on $\sum_{h=1}^{g}\left(\left|\lambda_{h}\right|+\left|\mu_{h}\right|\right)$. If $\sum_{h=1}^{g}\left(\left|\lambda_{h}\right|+\left|\mu_{h}\right|\right)=0$ then $\lambda_{h}=1$ and $\mu_{h}=1$ for each $h=1, \ldots, g$.

Let $\sum_{h=1}^{g}\left(\left|\lambda_{h}\right|+\left|\mu_{h}\right|\right)>0$. At least one $\lambda_{h}$ or one $\mu_{h}$ is different by 1 . If $\lambda_{1} \neq 1$, let $\lambda_{1}=r_{1} \cdots r_{s}$ be a factorization of $\lambda_{1}$ as a product of nontrivial independent
cycles. Let us choose a transposition $\sigma$ such that $\left|\sigma r_{1}\right|=\left|r_{1}\right|-1$. According to step 1 one has

$$
\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right) \sim\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-2}^{\prime}, \sigma, \sigma ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)
$$

Moving $\sigma$ to the front we obtain ( $\sigma, t_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, t_{n}^{\prime \prime} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}$ ). Applying the braid move $\tau_{11}^{\prime \prime}$ (see Proposition 1) we transform, without changing others, $\lambda_{1}$ into $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}=\sigma \lambda_{1}$ where $\left|\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right|<\left|\lambda_{1}\right|$, so the proof follows by applying the induction.
If $\lambda_{1}=1$ and $\mu_{1} \neq 1$, we choose a transposition $\sigma$ such that $\left|\sigma \mu_{1}\right|<\left|\mu_{1}\right|$. Again by step 1 and acting with inverses of elementary moves we obtain ( $\sigma, t_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, t_{n}^{\prime \prime}, \lambda_{1}$, $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}$ ). Applying the braid move $\rho_{11}^{\prime}$ we transform $\mu_{1}$ into $\mu_{1}^{\prime}=\sigma \mu_{1}$. The proof follows by applying the induction hypothesis.
If $\lambda_{k} \neq 1$ and $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{k-1}=1, \mu_{1}=\cdots=\mu_{k-1}=1$, one has $u_{k-1}=1$. Proceeding in the same way and applying the braid move $\tau_{1 k}^{\prime \prime}$ one transforms $\lambda_{k}$ into $\sigma \lambda_{k}$. If $\mu_{k} \neq 1$ and $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{k}=1, \mu_{1}=\cdots=\mu_{k-1}=1$ one applies $\rho_{1 k}^{\prime}$ which transforms $\mu_{k}$ into $\sigma \mu_{k}$. In both cases by the induction hypothesis we obtain the claim of step 2.

Step 3. Starting by ( $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}$ ) and applying step 2 we obtain ( $t_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}, t_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \ldots, t_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime} ; 1,1, \ldots, 1$ ). Acting with braid moves $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ and their inverses, we may replace this Hurwitz system with a new system ( $\tilde{t}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{n-1}, \tilde{t}_{n} ; 1, \ldots, 1$ ) such that the cycle type of $\tilde{t}_{n}$ is $\underline{e}$. The permutation $\varepsilon^{-1}$ has the same cyclic type of $\tilde{t}_{n}$, so $\varepsilon^{-1}=a^{-1} \tilde{t}_{n} a$ with $a \in S_{d}$. Let $a=\gamma_{1} \cdots \gamma_{r}$ with $\gamma_{i}$ transpositions.

Because $\left\langle\tilde{t}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{n-1}, \tilde{t}_{n}\right\rangle=S_{d}$ and $\tilde{t}_{1} \cdots \tilde{t}_{n-1}=\tilde{t}_{n}^{-1}$ we have that $\left\langle\tilde{t}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{n-1}\right\rangle=$ $S_{d}$. Then applying Mochizuchi's lemma [8, Lemma 2.4] and braid moves $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$, we obtain that

$$
\left(\tilde{t}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{n-1}\right) \sim\left(\ldots, \gamma_{1}\right), \quad \text { so } \quad\left(\tilde{t}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{n-1}, \tilde{t}_{n}\right) \sim\left(\ldots, \gamma_{1}, \tilde{t}_{n}\right) .
$$

Acting twice by $\sigma_{n-1}^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\left(\gamma_{1}, \tilde{t}_{n}\right) \sim\left(\gamma_{1} \tilde{t}_{n} \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right) \sim\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{1} \tilde{t}_{n} \gamma_{1}\right)
$$

and therefore one has that $\left(\tilde{t}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{n-1}, \tilde{t}_{n}\right) \sim\left(\ldots, \gamma_{1} \tilde{t}_{n} \gamma_{1}\right)$.
Proceeding in this way also for $\gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}$, we obtain that $\left(\tilde{t}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{n-1}, \tilde{t}_{n} ; 1,1\right.$, $\ldots, 1$ ) is braid equivalent to ( $\bar{t}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{t}_{n-1}, \varepsilon^{-1} ; 1,1, \ldots, 1$ ) where $\left\langle\bar{t}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{t}_{n-1}\right\rangle=$ $S_{d}$ and $\bar{t}_{1} \cdots \bar{t}_{n-1}=\varepsilon$. To conclude it is sufficient to apply Proposition 4 to the sequence $\left(\bar{t}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{t}_{n-1}\right)$.
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