

CORRESPONDENCE

On the interpretability and computational reliability of frequency-domain Granger causality [version 1; referees: awaiting peer review]

Luca Faes ¹, Sebastiano Stramaglia^{2,3}, Daniele Marinazzo⁴

¹BlOtech, Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Trento, Trento, Italy
 ²Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy
 ³Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy
 ⁴Department of Data Analysis, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

First published: 20 Sep 2017, 6:1710 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.12694.1) Latest published: 20 Sep 2017, 6:1710 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.12694.1)

Abstract

This Correspondence article is a comment which directly relates to the paper "A study of problems encountered in Granger causality analysis from a neuroscience perspective" (Stokes and Purdon, 2017). We agree that interpretation issues of Granger causality (GC) in neuroscience exist, partially due to the historically unfortunate use of the name "causality", as described in previous literature. On the other hand, we think that Stokes and Purdon use a formulation of GC which is outdated (albeit still used) and do not fully account for the potential of the different frequency-domain versions of GC; in doing so, their paper dismisses GC measures based on a suboptimal use of them. Furthermore, since data from simulated systems are used, the pitfalls that are found with the used formulation are intended to be general, and not limited to neuroscience. It would be a pity if this paper, even if written in good faith, became a wildcard against all possible applications of GC, regardless of the large body of work recently published which aims to address faults in methodology and interpretation. In order to provide a balanced view, we replicate the simulations of Stokes and Purdon, using an updated GC implementation and exploiting the combination of spectral and causal information, showing that in this way the pitfalls are mitigated or directly solved.

Open Peer Review

Referee Status: AWAITING PEER

Discuss this article

Comments (0)

Corresponding author: Luca Faes (faes.luca@gmail.com)

Author roles: Faes L: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Stramaglia S: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Review & Editing; Marinazzo D: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

How to cite this article: Faes L, Stramaglia S and Marinazzo D. On the interpretability and computational reliability of frequency-domain Granger causality [version 1; referees: awaiting peer review] *F1000Research* 2017, 6:1710 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.12694.1)

Copyright: © 2017 Faes L *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

Grant information: The work was funded by the Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Trento, Italy (40300314). *The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.*

First published: 20 Sep 2017, 6:1710 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.12694.1)

Correspondence

Granger causality (GC)¹ is an extremely popular statistical tool used to analyze directed interactions from multivariate time series measured from coupled dynamical systems. A particularly appealing aspect of the notion of GC is that it can be formulated in the frequency domain, and is thus eligible for the analysis of signals that are rich of oscillatory content such as those commonly encountered in neuroscience and physiology^{2,3}. The spectral formulation of GC is obtained by elaborating in the frequency domain the parameters of the linear vector autoregressive (VAR) model that fit the observed multivariate time series. A main approach to do this was developed by Geweke^{4,5}, yielding bivariate and conditional frequency domain measures of the so-called Granger-Geweke causality (GGC). An alternative framework stems from the works of Kaminski et al⁶ and Baccalà et al^{7,8}, who derived measures like the directed coherence (DC) and the partial DC (PDC), quantifying the total and direct directed influence of one time series over another in a fully multivariate setting (see references 9–11 for comprehensive treatments).

In their recent work¹², Stokes and Purdon performed a critical evaluation of frequency-domain GC computed within the Geweke framework, evidencing in two simulation studies some computational and interpretational problems associated with the GGC measures. Specifically, they showed that – even when the systems generating the observed data belong to the finite-order VAR model class – spectral GGC cannot be reliably estimated and cannot recover the functional oscillatory structure underlying the data. These observations led the authors to conclude that the notion of causality quantified by GGC, and by other Granger causality measures in general, often yield counterintuitive and misleading results, thus being incompatible with the objectives of many neuroscience studies.

We definitely agree that GC and lag-based data-driven methods in general cannot provide measures of "causality" as intended in other applications (see references 9 and 13 for a thoughtful distinction between data-driven and model-based approaches). We also share the view that the assumptions of linearity and stationarity, as well as the presence of unobserved variables, noise or inappropriate sampling may pose theoretical and practical problems which can severely impair both the formulation and the computation of spectral GC measures – this has been stated by Stokes and Purdon¹² and in previous studies^{2,3,14}. On the other hand we think that, based on the way simulated data have been analyzed and interpreted by Stokes and Purdon¹², frequency domain GC methods have been dismissed based on a suboptimal (even though frequently applied) formulation of GGC, and based on the lack of direct consideration of the DC/PDC framework.

In this contribution, we repeat the simulations of Stokes and Purdon¹², and suggest that the negative conclusions based on the results of such simulations are overstated. We show that spectral GGC estimates can be obtained with a high computational

reliability if proper estimation approaches are employed, and the interpretation of frequency domain causality measures can be meaningfully performed if spectral and causal information are properly combined. The codes for running our analyses are based on existing Matlab[®] toolboxes^{11,15,16} and are provided as supplementary data alongside this article.

The first simulation of Stokes and Purdon¹² shows that, due to the modeling choices required to compute spectral GGC, this measure cannot be reliably estimated even for simple systems. By generating 100 realizations of this simulation with the same parameters and data length we confirm that, by applying the standard method of fitting separate full and reduced VAR models, spectral GGC estimates display a strong bias (Figure 1A) or a very large variability (Figure 1B), depending on the choice of the model order. As explained by Stokes and Purdon¹², this tradeoff between bias and variance arises from the incorrect representation of the reduced model as a VAR process of finite order. Exactly for this reason however, the problem can be overcome employing the state-space (SS) approach¹⁶, which allows to compute GGC in closed form from the SS parameters of any observed VAR process. Here we show that this approach yields highly accurate spectral estimates of GGC, which closely follow the expected profiles over the coupled directions and have negligible magnitude over the uncoupled direction (Figure 1C); the higher reliability of the SS estimator compared with the standard VAR-based method is evident also looking at single process realizations (Figure 1D).

The second simulation of Stokes and Purdon¹² shows that, due to the independence of GGC measures from the intrinsic dynamics of the "receiver" process, the spectral GGC profiles linking this process to its putatively causal "transmitter" process are often misleading, because different systems can have identical causality functions but different receiver dynamics. In Figure 2 we confirm this result both in terms of GGC and using the DC, a spectral causality measure taken from the VAR framework⁷ that for bivariate processes like the one simulated here is analytically related to the spectral GGC¹⁵. However, this invariance property is in our view absolutely reasonable, because the DC has a clear-cut interpretation as the relative amount of spectral power that, at each frequency, arrives to the receiver starting from the transmitter¹¹. Nevertheless, the DC is also useful to fully recover the functional oscillatory structure of the observed processes, because it shapes the receiver spectrum to reveal the portion of its spectral power that is "causally" due to the transmitter; this is depicted in the spectral decomposition of Figure 2.

In conclusion, while thanking Stokes and Purdon¹² for pointing out some weaknesses of GGC measures, we think that proper formulations can provide meaningful results of directed dynamical influence, whose interpretation still is bound to the knowledge and good faith of those who write and read related scientific literature.









Dataset 1. Codes to compute frequency-domain Granger causality in linear stochastic processes

http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12694.d178159

The package contains Matlab scripts and functions that allow to reproduce the simulations of Stokes and Purdon, and to compare for these simulations: (i) the standard vector autoregressive estimator and the updated state space estimator of the spectral Granger-Geweke causality measure; (ii) the spectral Granger-Geweke causality measure with the directed coherence measure.

Data availability

Dataset 1: Codes to compute frequency-domain Granger causality in linear stochastic processes. The package contains Matlab scripts and functions that allow to reproduce the simulations of Stokes and Purdon, and to compare for these simulations: (i) the standard vector autoregressive estimator and the updated state space estimator of the spectral Granger-Geweke causality measure; (ii) the spectral Granger-Geweke causality measure with the directed coherence measure.

DOI, 10.5256/f1000research.12694.d17815917.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

The work was funded by the Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Trento, Italy (40300314).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

References

- Granger CWJ: Investigating Causal Relations by Econometric Models and Cross-spectral Methods. Econometrica. 1969; 37(3): 424–438.
 Publisher Full Text
- Seth AK, Barrett AB, Barnett L: Granger Causality Analysis in Neuroscience and Neuroimaging. J Neurosci. 2015; 35(8): 3293–3297.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Porta A, Faes L: Wiener–Granger causality in network physiology with applications to cardiovascular control and neuroscience. *Proc IEEE*. 2016; 104(2): 282–309.
 Publisher Full Text
- Geweke J: Measurement of Linear Dependence and Feedback between Multiple Time Series. J Am Stat Assoc. 1982; 77(378): 304–313.
 Publisher Full Text
- Geweke JF: Measures of Conditional Linear Dependence and Feedback between Time Series. J Am Stat Assoc. 1984; 79(388): 907–915. Publisher Full Text
- Kamiński M, Ding M, Truccolo WA, et al.: Evaluating causal relations in neural systems: Granger causality, directed transfer function and statistical assessment of significance. Biol Cybern. 2001; 85(2): 145–157. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Baccalá LA, Sameshima K, Ballester G, et al.: Studying the Interaction Between Brain Structures via Directed Coherence and Granger Causality. Appl Signal Process. 1998; 5(1): 40–48.
 Reference Source
- Baccalá LA, Sameshima K: Partial directed coherence: a new concept in neural structure determination. *Biol Cybern.* 2001; 84(6): 463–474.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 9. Bressler SL, Seth AK: Wiener-Granger causality: a well established

methodology. Neuroimage. 2011; 58(2): 323–329. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Chicharro D: On the spectral formulation of Granger causality. Biol Cybern. 2011; 105(5–6): 331–347.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Faes L, Erla S, Nolo G: Measuring connectivity in linear multivariate processes: definitions, interpretation, and practical analysis. *Comput Math Methods Med*. 2012; 2012: 18, 140513.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Stokes PA, Purdon PL: A study of problems encountered in Granger causality analysis from a neuroscience perspective. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017; 114(34): E7063–E7072.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

 13.
 Valdes-Sosa PA, Roebroeck A, Daunizeau J, et al.: Effective connectivity: influence, causality and biophysical modeling. Neuroimage. 2011; 58(2): 339–361. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Florin E, Gross J, Pfeifer J, et al.: Reliability of multivariate causality measures for neural data. J Neurosci Methods. 2011; 198(2): 344–358.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

 15.
 Faes L, Nollo G: Measuring frequency domain granger causality for multiple blocks of interacting time series. Biol Cybern. 2013; 107(2): 217–232.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
 16. Barnett L, Seth AK: Granger causality for state-space models. Phys Rev E Stat
- Nonlin Soft Matter Phys. 2015; 91(4): 040101. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text 17. Faes L, Stramaglia S, Marinazzo D: Dataset 1 in: On the interpretability
- and computational reliability of frequency-domain Granger causality. *F1000Research*. 2017. Data Source