

ROLE OF CANNABINOIDS IN THE TREATMENT OF TINNITUS

ANGELA CAVALLARO¹ (Graduate student), FRANCESCO MARTINES² (PhD), CARLA CANNIZZARO¹ (MD), GIANLUCA LAVANCO¹ (Graduate student), ANNA BRANCATO¹ (PhD), GAETANO CAROLLO¹ (Ungraduate student), FABIANA PLESCIA (PhD)³, PIETRO SALVAGO² (MD), EMANUELE CANNIZZARO (MD)¹, MARIANNA MUCIA² (MD), SERENA RIZZO² (MD), ALESSANDRO MARTINI (MD)⁴, and FULVIO PLESCIA¹ (PhD)

¹University of Palermo, Department of Sciences for Health Promotion and Mother and Child Care “Giuseppe D’Alessandro”, Palermo, Italy - ²University of Palermo, Bio.Ne.C. Department, ENT Section, Palermo Italy - ³University of Palermo, DIBIMED Department of Biotechnology and Biopathology Medicine, Palermo, Italy - ⁴ENT Surgery - Department of Neurosciences, University of Padua, Padua, Italy

ABSTRACT

Tinnitus is a frequent symptom in audiological clinical practice characterized by an abnormal noise perceived in one or both ears or in the head, in which a patient has a conscious hearing percept in absence of external sound. Tinnitus might be caused by a homeostatic response of central dorsal cochlear nucleus auditory neurons that makes them hyperactive in compensation to auditory input loss. One hypothesis suggests that tinnitus is a sensory form of epilepsy that involves the cochlear nucleus and the inferior colliculus, which display impairment in the electrical activity in the auditory system. This alteration determines a synaptic plasticity in the dorsal cochlear nucleus that becomes a target for pharmacological compounds able to treat tinnitus. There is no effective drug treatment for tinnitus, but different studies propose the use of cannabinoid receptors agonist for their anti-epileptic activity, although their practical effects are still unclear. In this review, we want to analyze the emerging pharmacological approaches of cannabinoid receptor agonists to the therapy of tinnitus.

Key words: cannabinoids, tinnitus, dorsal cochlear nucleus.

DOI: 10.19193/0393-6384_2016_4_108

Received January 30, 2016; Accepted June 02, 2016

Introduction

Tinnitus is a frequent symptom in audiological clinical practice, it happens alone, or in combination with other systemic or otologic diseases⁽¹⁻³⁾. It is defined as an abnormal noise perceived in one or both ears or in the head in which a patient has a conscious hearing percept in absence of external sound. The causes of the onset of disease can arise from damage to the inner ear as a results of physical trauma, excessive noise, vascular insufficiency, viral or bacterial infection and exposure to some toxic drugs, such as aspirin and chemotherapeutics, or as a result of aging⁽⁴⁻⁶⁾.

The mechanisms underlying the development of tinnitus are still not fully understood, the most likely cause of tinnitus is changes in neural activity in the brain, which is supported by both animal and human studies. It has been reported that exposure to intense sound, a manipulation able to modify the functional status of the ear, can induce plastic physiological changes which are more complex from those occurring peripherally^(4,7-10). Sound induced injury have been correlated with an increase in hyperactivity in the dorsal cochlear nucleus (DCN)^(11,12) the inferior colliculus and the primary auditory cortex⁽¹³⁻¹⁵⁾. Many researchers focused out the attention on the modifications that occur in

DCN following intense sound exposure inasmuch, this area, is prominent as primary auditory center that projects its output to the contralateral inferior colliculus⁽¹⁶⁻²⁰⁾. Fusiform cells of the DCN are responsible of tinnitus-related hyperactivity in the cochlear nucleus. Moreover, recent studies show that stimulation of the trigeminal nerve or ganglion can modulate spontaneous activity fusiform cells in DCN⁽²¹⁾. These cells have higher levels of spontaneous activity in sound exposed animals than in the unexposed controls^(22, 23). In particular exposure to salicylate as well as quinine cause increases in spontaneous activity at several levels of the auditory system and induced transient tinnitus⁽²⁴⁻³¹⁾. Evidence that hyperactivity in the DCN represents a source of tinnitus-generating signals comes from studies in which electrophysiological recordings from the DCN were complemented by behavioral tests for tinnitus, suggesting that noise-exposure conditions can also cause the development of tinnitus-like percepts⁽³¹⁻³³⁾. Moreover, when behavioral and electrophysiological tests were conducted in the same animals, a significant correlation was found between the level of activity in the DCN and the behavioral evidence for tinnitus⁽³⁴⁾.

Many pharmacological treatments are targeted to control the activity of neurotransmitters, neuromodulators and voltage-gated channels that play a pivotal role in the modulation of neuronal excitability. Furthermore, because of several co-morbidities of tinnitus, including anxiety, depression, dysfunction of the temporomandibular joint and modification of neuronal excitability, other drugs have been employed including antidepressants, benzodiazepines, antispasmodic drugs, drugs for neuropathic pain, local anesthetics, voltage-gated sodium channel blockers, antiepileptics⁽³⁵⁻³⁷⁾.

Currently, no drugs exists with an indication for tinnitus treatment, although many researches pointed out the attention on the antiepileptic effects played by the endocannabinoidergic system in some parts of the CNS that might be useful in the treatment of auditory injury.

Materials and methods

The author's search targeted evidence-based guidelines, evidence-based summaries, systematic reviews and recent experimental research on the hyperactivity of tinnitus-generating signals and endocannabinoidergic system. The keywords used were "Tinnitus" or "Tinnitus in the cochlear nucle-

us" or "Pharmacological treatment of tinnitus" or "Endocannabinoidergic system" or "Cannabinoid receptors". Through this simple strategy we identified more than 300 using two primary sources for identify relevant information: PubMed and SCOPUS (last accessed via PubMed and SCOPUS on December 2015)

Cannabinoid receptors distribution

Two types of cannabinoid receptors have been identified, distributed in different tissues. CB1 and CB2 receptors are distributed in many different cells, with different mechanisms of signaling. It is known that CB1 receptors are expressed mainly in the central nervous system (CNS), while the CB2 receptors are localized primarily in cells to the immune system⁽³⁸⁾, peripheral nervous system, testes and retina, but recently their presence has been detected in the brain, in particular microglial cells, though at low concentrations⁽³⁹⁾. Both receptors are coupled with Gi or Go protein, negatively to adenylyl cyclase and positively to mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase. CB1 receptors, are represented by two subtypes: CB1A and CB1B^(40,41). CB1 receptors are localized predominantly on pre-synaptic terminals⁽⁴²⁾ and expressed in areas involved in motor coordination and movement, such as cerebellum, basal ganglia and substantia nigra; attention and complex cognitive functions (cerebral cortex), learning, memory and emotions (amygdala and hippocampus)^(43,44). This receptor is coupled to ion channels through Gi/o proteins, positively to A-type and inwardly rectifying potassium channels, and negatively to N-type and P/Q-type calcium channels and to D-type potassium channels⁽⁴⁵⁾, in fact CB1 activation inhibits cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA), due to the decrease of cAMP.

Therefore, his mechanism causes inhibition of calcium influx at presynaptic terminals, inhibiting the release of classical neurotransmitters, such as gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), acetylcholine, noradrenaline and glutamate. It suggests how this mechanism preserves the CNS from over-stimulation or over-inhibition that may be caused by other neurotransmitters. Upon which, the presynaptic inhibition of neurotransmitter release by cannabinoids may turn out to be a key neuronal effect of cannabinoids. Based on the distribution of cannabinoids receptors, different studies focused the attention on the spatial distribution of CB1 receptors in

the auditory brain regions, in particular in the CN⁽²¹⁾. There is only a small literature on cannabinoid receptors in these regions and how they might affect auditory function. Different studies have been shown that CB1 receptor are expressed in both Dorsal and Ventral Cochlear Nuclei (DCN and VCN, respectively), modulating synaptic plasticity in auditory nuclei⁽⁴⁶⁻⁴⁷⁾ also reported the localization of CB2 receptor CN, in spite of this second subtype of cannabinoid receptor expression in the brain is controversial^(32,48). DCN fusiform cells are the principal output neurons of the DCN, which project to the contralateral inferior colliculus.

Therefore, hyperactivity of these neurons could influence functional properties of inferior colliculus neurons that could influence activity in order structures such as the medial geniculate body and auditory cortex⁽¹⁶⁻²⁰⁾. Fusiform cells receive excitatory glutamatergic input via parallel fibers from the granule cells of the DCN, as well as inhibitory glycinergic input from cartwheel cells. In this manner, fusiform cell hyperactivity associated with tinnitus could result from an increase in glutamatergic excitation from the granule cells or a reduction in glycinergic inhibition from the cartwheel cells, or some combination of both. Zhao et al.⁽⁴⁷⁾ demonstrated that both fusiform and cartwheel cells expressed diacylglycerol lipase (DAGL) a and b, enzymes necessary for the production of the endocannabinoid, 2- arachidonyl glycerol (2-AG). The two forms of DAGL were found in the dendritic spines of cartwheel but not fusiform cells. It suggests that the production of 2-AG is closer to parallel fiber synapses in cartwheel cells compared to fusiform cells. Tzounopoulos and colleagues⁽⁴⁹⁾ demonstrated that CB1 receptors localized to parallel fibers inhibited the release of glutamate onto cartwheel and fusiform cells, but they also inhibit the release of glycine on cartwheel cells (from other cartwheel cells) and from cartwheel cells on fusiform cells^(23, 36) Zhao et al.⁽⁴⁷⁾ also showed that glutamatergic terminals in the DCN expressed more CB1 receptors on glutamatergic terminals than glycinergic terminals, suggesting that the effects of activation of CB1 receptors in the DCN would be due to the increasing of excitation signals of fusiform cells over their inhibition and that endocannabinoid signaling might be a major factor affecting the balance of excitation and inhibition in this part of the central auditory system.

Moreover the increased activation of CB1 receptors in the DCN could lead to an increased

excitation of fusiform cells and to a possible hyperactivity in the inferior colliculus (36). This increase in multiunit spontaneous activity (hyperactivity) in the DCN could be play a significant role in the onset of tinnitus-generating signals.

Endocannabinoids and the neurotransmission in the Brain

Endocannabinoids (ECs), lipid-derived molecules, produced on demand, activate CB receptors, operating as retro negative feedback system^(50,51) via a Ca²⁺- dependent activation of endocannabinoid synthesizing enzymes. These enzymes induce the activation of pre-synaptic CB1⁽⁵²⁻⁵⁵⁾, that, upon activation, attenuate Ca²⁺ influx into the pre-synaptic terminal and thus transmitter release by blocking vesicle fusion⁽⁵⁶⁾. This feedback mechanism is called depolarization-induced suppression of inhibition⁽⁵⁷⁻⁵⁸⁾ (DSI) or depolarization-induced suppression of excitation (DSE)⁽⁵⁹⁾, whether the released neurotransmitter exerts an inhibitory or an excitatory action on the post-synaptic neurons⁽⁵⁸⁾. DSI and DSE have been observed throughout the brain for GABAergic and glutamatergic synapses to provide a means for a cell to down-regulate its inputs in an activity-dependent manner. In this way ECs modulate the neurotransmission in the brain, inducing suppression of inhibitory and excitatory inputs^(60, 61, 62-64). CB1 receptors in the DCN regulate the development of DSI and DSE, as well as Long-Term Depression (LTD), indicating that the endocannabinoid system is involved in the control of plasticity in this part of the central auditory system^(47, 65). Little is known about the organization and function of ECs signaling on auditory circuits^(66, 67), despite the knowledge of the effects of cannabinoids in the regulation of acoustic discrimination and auditory perception. Some studies have been reported that CB1, CB2 receptors, and the endogenous cannabinoid, 2-arachidonylglycerol (2-AG), are expressed in the cochlear nucleus and that they are involved in the regulation of plasticity⁽⁶⁸⁾.

Cannabinoid drugs might be useful in the treatment of tinnitus

Several studies showed that the DCN is involved in the modulation of tinnitus in humans, playing an important role in the etiology of this disease. Studies conducted in hamsters, displayed that neurons become hyperactive in the DCN following

exposure to intense sound⁽⁶⁹⁾. This hyperactivation was originally observed as increase in spontaneous activity at the multiunit level, although more recent studies have demonstrated sound exposure, induced hyperactivity in the DCN at the single unit level⁽³³⁾. Respect to the evidence that the DCN is a possible source of tinnitus-generating signals, as reported by different studies⁽⁷⁰⁾; and consider the hyperactivation of it, following exposure to intense noise, it is suitable investigate upon the role of cannabinoids in the treatment of tinnitus. Based on theories that tinnitus is a form of sensory epilepsy that occurs as a result of neuronal hyperactivity in certain parts of the auditory CNS, particularly in CN and IC, increasing evidences suggest the use of cannabinoid drugs in the treatment of tinnitus. Lutz⁽⁷¹⁾ reported that cannabinoid drugs have antiepileptic effects in some parts of the CNS and he has been demonstrated that in the hippocampus, CB1 receptor agonists have anticonvulsant activity and exert antiepileptic effects^(36,72,73).

In this way, it is conceivable consider the use of cannabinoids in the treatments of tinnitus, because of their antiepileptic effects. Current studies confirm that many neurons in the DCN and VCN have CB1 receptors and that therefore this receptor type may be significant for auditory function. Subjective tinnitus and Cannabis have had a long relationship and it has been suggested to cause tinnitus, but anecdotal evidence suggests that tinnitus sufferers sometimes use it to relieve the condition. There are very few publications on this subject. Kempel et al.⁽⁶⁶⁾ reported that Cannabis reduced the ability of humans to discriminate target tones of specific frequency, location and duration. Hajos et al.⁽⁶⁷⁾ reported that agonists at the cannabinoid CB1 receptor caused impairment in auditory sensory gating in rats. About the treatment of tinnitus in human, it had been published only one case report, in which tinnitus was treated and eliminated by the administration of Dronabinol, a CB1 receptor agonist, at a dose of 10 mg twice a day and then reduced to 5 mg twice a day^(74,75) investigate the relationship between CB1 receptor and tinnitus, using an animal model of tinnitus, in which it had been induced by salicylate injections. Rats displayed a significant decrease in the number of neurons expressing CB1 receptor and in one of the only two studies, the authors investigated the effects of two synthetic CB1 receptor agonist, WIN55,212-2 and CP-55940.⁽⁷⁶⁾

CB1 receptors appear to negatively regulate the release of glutamate and it is possible that their down-regulation during the development of tinnitus is responsible for the neuronal hyperactivity associated with the condition. WIN55,212-2, and CP55,940 could inhibit the behavioral manifestations of salicylate-induced tinnitus in rats in a conditioned suppression task, but neither WIN55, 212-2 (3.0 mg/kg s.c) nor CP55, 940 (0.1 or 0.3 mg/kg s.c), significantly reduced conditioned behavior associated with tinnitus. However, both 3 mg/kg WIN55, 212-2 and 0.3 mg/kg CP55,940 did significantly increase tinnitus-related behavior compared to the vehicle control groups. To investigate tinnitus-related behavior, that realistically simulates the common form of tinnitus in humans, it had been caused by an acoustic injury. This study examined the effect of 1:1 ratio of delta-9-THC (1.5mg/Kg, s.c) and cannabidiol (1.5mg/kg, s.c),⁽⁷⁷⁾. All these studies on experimental animal model displayed an exacerbation of tinnitus and not a relieve. These results suggest that CB receptors agonists may not be useful in the treatment of tinnitus and that at certain doses; they could actually exacerbate the condition⁽⁷⁶⁾.

Conclusion

The practical effects of cannabinoids for the treatment of tinnitus, such a central injury, are still unclear. Based on the hypothesis that tinnitus is a form of sensory epilepsy that arise from neuronal hyperactivation in auditory regions of the brain, researchers focus their attention on the antiepileptic mechanisms of cannabinoids on CB1 receptors, that are very abundant in some areas of the brain. Although cannabinoids exert anti-epileptic effects, in the circuit of DCN, they facilitate an increase of the excitation, rather than an inhibition, suggesting that this hyperactivity is part of the cause of tinnitus, such as it is still not clear whether the down-regulation of CB1 receptors, might be part of a hyperactivity or compensatory response to it. Nevertheless, study of model of partial epilepsy in adult male rats, prompt the possibility to use antagonists/inverse agonist CB receptors in co-administration with agonists to increase their antiepileptic effects^(78, 79). This prompt the possibility to use antagonists or inverse agonists CB1 receptors might relieve the hyperactivity in the DCN and then relieve tinnitus.

References

- 1) Ballacchino A, Salvago P, Cannizzaro E, Costanzo R, Di Marzo M, Ferrara S, et al. *Association between sleep-disordered breathing and hearing disorders: Clinical observation in Sicilian patients*. Acta Medica Mediterranea 2015; 31: 607-614.
- 2) Martines F, Sireci F, Cannizzaro E, Costanzo R, Martines E, Mucia M, et al. *Clinical observations and risk factors for tinnitus in a Sicilian cohort*. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2015a; 272 (10): 2719-2729.
- 3) Cannizzaro E, Cannizzaro C, Plescia F, Martines F, Soleo L, Pira E, et al. *Exposure to ototoxic agents and hearing loss: A review of current knowledge*. Hearing Balance and Communication 2014; 12 (4): 166-175.
- 4) Eggermont JJ, Roberts LE. *The neuroscience of tinnitus*. Trends Neurosci. 2004; 27: 676-682.
- 5) Baguley D, McFerran D, Hall D. *Tinnitus*. The Lancet . 2013; 382: 1600-1607.
- 6) Martines F, Messina G, Patti A, Battaglia G, Bellafiore M, Messina A, et al. *Effects of tinnitus on postural control and stabilization: A pilot study*. Acta Medica Mediterranea 2015b; 31(4): 907-912.
- 7) Wang J, Salvi RJ., Powers N. *Plasticity of response properties of inferior colliculus neurons following acute cochlear damage*. J Neurophysiol. 1996; 75, 171-183.
- 8) Irvine DR, Rajan R. *Injury-induced reorganization of frequency maps in adult auditory cortex: the role of unmasking of normally-inhibited inputs*. Acta Otolaryngol. 1997; 532 (Suppl.), 39-45.
- 9) McFadden SL, Kasper C, Ostrowski J, Ding D, Salvi RJ. *Effects of inner hair cell loss on inferior colliculus evoked potential thresholds, amplitudes, and forward masking functions in chinchillas*. Hear Res 1998; 120, 121-132.
- 10) Gerken GM, Saunders SS, Simhadri-Sumithra R, Bhat KH. *Behavioral thresholds for electrical stimulation applied to auditory brainstem nuclei in cat are altered by injurious and noninjurious sound*. Hear Res. 1985; 20, 221-223.
- 11) Zhang JS., Kaltenbach JA. *Increases in spontaneous activity in the dorsal cochlear nucleus of the rat following exposure to high intensity sound*. Neurosci Lett. 1998; 250: 197-200.
- 12) Kaltenbach JA, Afman CE. *Hyperactivity in the dorsal cochlear nucleus after intense sound exposure and its resemblance to tone-evoked activity: a physiological model for tinnitus*. Hear Res. 2000; 140(1-2): 165-172.
- 13) Wang J, Ding D, Salvi RJ. *Functional reorganization in chinchilla inferior colliculus associated with chronic and acute cochlear damage*. Hear Res. 2002; 168: 238-249.
- 14) Basta D and Ernst A *Effects of salicylate on spontaneous activity in inferior colliculus brain slices*. Neurosci Res. 2004; 50: 237-243.
- 15) Norena AJ. and Eggermont JJ. *Changes in spontaneous neural activity immediately after an acoustic trauma: implications for neural correlates of tinnitus*. Hear Res. 2003;183: 137-153.
- 16) Osen KK. *Projection of the cochlear nuclei on the inferior colliculus in the cat*. J Comp Neurol. 1972; 144, 355-372.
- 17) Adams JC. *Ascending projections to the inferior colliculus*. J Comp Neurol. 1979; 183, 519-538.
- 18) Brunso-Bechtold JK, Thompson GC, Masterton RB. *HRP study of the organization of auditory afferents ascending to central nucleus of inferior colliculus in cat*. J Comp Neurol.1981; 197, 705-722.
- 19) Oliver DL. *Dorsal cochlear nucleus projections to the inferior colliculus in the cat: a light and electron microscopic study*. J Comp Neurol. 1984; 224, 155-172.
- 20) Ryugo DK, Willard FH, Fekete DM. *Differential afferent projections to the inferior colliculus from the cochlear nucleus in the albino mouse*. Brain Res.1981; 210, 342-349.
- 21) Kanold PO, Young ED. *Proprioceptive information from the pinna provides somatosensory input to cat dorsal cochlear nucleus*. J Neurosci. 2001; 21, 7848-7858.
- 22) Finlayson PG, Kaltenbach JA. *Alterations in the spontaneous discharge patterns of single units in the dorsal cochlear nucleus following intense sound exposure*. Hear Res. 2009; 256, 104-117.
- 23) Shore SE, Koehler S, Oldakowski M, Hughes, LF., Syed S. *Dorsal cochlear nucleus responses to somatosensory stimulation are enhanced after noise-induced hearing loss*. Eur J Neurosci. 2008; 27, 155-168.
- 24) Wallhäusser-Franke E. *Salicylate evokes c-fos expression in the brain stem: implications for tinnitus*. Neuroreport. 1997;8(3): 725-8.
- 25) Ochi K, Eggermont JJ. *Effects of quinine on neural activity in cat primary auditory cortex*. Hear Res. 1997;105(1-2): 105-18.
- 26) Eggermont JJ, Kenmochi M. *Salicylate and quinine selectively increase spontaneous firing rates in secondary auditory cortex*. Hear Res. 1998;117(1-2): 149-60.
- 27) Bauer CA, Brozoski TJ, Rojas R, Boley J, Wyder M. *Behavioral model of chronic tinnitus in rats*. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1999 Oct;121(4): 457-62.
- 28) Jastreboff PJ, Brennan JF, Coleman JK, Sasaki CT. *Phantom auditory sensation in rats: an animal model for tinnitus*. Behav Neurosci. 1988; 102(6): 811-22.
- 29) Jastreboff PJ, Brennan JF, Sasaki CT. *Quinine-induced tinnitus in rats*. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1991; 117(10): 1162-6.
- 30) Jastreboff PJ, Hazell JW, Graham RL. *Neurophysiological model of tinnitus: dependence of the minimal masking level on treatment outcome*. Hear Re. 1994; 80, 216-232.
- 31) Bauer CA. *Animals models of tinnitus*. Otolaryngol Clin N Amer. 2003; 36, 267-285.
- 32) Heffner HE., Harrington, IA. *Tinnitus in hamsters following exposure to intense sound*. Hear. Res. 2002; 170, 83-95. 57.
- 33) Brozoski T.J, Bauer C A, Caspary D M *Elevated fusiform cell activity in the dorsal cochlear nucleus of chinchillas with psycho- physical evidence of tinnitus*. J. Neurosci. 2002; 22, 2383-2390.
- 34) Kaltenbach JA, Zacharek MA, Zhang J, Frederick S. *Activity in the dorsal cochlear nucleus of hamsters previously tested for tinnitus following intense tone exposure*. Neurosci Lett. 2004; 355, 121-125.

- 35) Wright DD, Ryugo DK. *Mossy Wber projections from the cuneate nucleus to the cochlear nucleus in the rat.* J Comp Neurol. 1996; 365, 159-172.
- 36) Smith PF. *Cannabinoids as potential anti-epileptic drugs.* Curr Opin Invest Drugs. 6. 2005; 680-685.
- 37) Plescia F, Cannizzaro C, Sireci F, Salvago P, Martines F. *Emerging pharmacological treatments of tinnitus.* Cap.4 Nova Science Publishers 2016 pp. 43-64.
- 38) Munro S, Thomas KL., Abu-Shaar M. *Molecular characterization of a peripheral receptor for cannabinoids.* Nature. 1993; 365, 61-65.
- 39) Atwood BK, Mackie K. *CB2: a cannabinoid receptor with an identity crisis.* Br J Pharmacol. 2010; 160: 467-479.
- 40) Shire D, Carillon C, Kaghad M, Calandra B, Rinaldi-Carmona M, Le Fur G, et al. *An amino-terminal variant of the central cannabinoid receptor resulting from alternative splicing.* J Biol Chem 1995; 270, 3726-3731.
- 41) Ryberg E, Vu HK., Larsson N, Groblewski T, Hjorth S, Elebring T, et al. *Identification and characterization of a novel splice variant of the human CB1 receptor.* FEBS Lett 2005; 579, 259-264.
- 42) Katona I, Sperl agh B, S ik A, K afalvi A, Vizi ES, Mackie K, Freund TF. *Presynaptically located CB1 cannabinoid receptors regulate GABA release from axon terminals of specific hippocampal interneurons.* J Neurosci. 1999; 1; 19(11): 4544-58.
- 43) Biegan A, Kerman I A. *Autoradiographic study of pre- and postnatal distribution of cannabinoid receptors in human brain.* NeuroImage. 2001; 14, 1463-1468.
- 44) Glass M, Dragunow M, Faull RL. *Cannabinoid receptors in the human brain: A detailed anatomical and quantitative autoradiographic study in the fetal, neonatal and adult human brain.* Neuroscience. 1997; 77, 299-318.
- 45) Howlett AC, Mukhopadhyay S *Cellular signal transduction by anandamide and 2-arachidonoylglycerol.* Chem Phys Lipids. 2000; 108(1-2): 53-70.
- 46) Penzo MA, Pe a JL. *Endocannabinoid-mediated long-term depression in the avian midbrain expressed presynaptically and postsynaptically.* J Neurosci. 2009; 1; 29(13): 4131-9.
- 47) Zhao Y, Rubio ME., Tzounopoulos T. *Distinct functional and anatomical architecture of the endocannabinoid system in the auditory brainstem.* Jneurophysiol. 2009; 101(5): 2434-46. Erratum in: J Neurophysiol. 2010 May; 103(5): 2931.
- 48) Brozoski T, Odintsov B., Bauer C. *Gamma-aminobutyric acid and glutamic acid levels in the auditory pathway of rats with chronic tinnitus: a direct determination using high resolution point-resolved proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (H-MRS).* Front Syst Neurosci. 2012; 24; 6: 9.
- 49) Tzounopoulos T, Rubio ME, Keen JE, Trussell LO *Coactivation of pre- and postsynaptic signaling mechanisms determines cell-specific spike-timing-dependent plasticity.* Neuron. 2007; 54: 291-301.
- 50) Herkenham M, Lynn AB, Little MD, Johnson MR, Melvin LS, De Costa BR. et al. *Cannabinoid receptor localization in brain.* Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1990; 87, 1932-1936.
- 51) Katona I and Freund TF. *Multiple functions of endocannabinoid signaling in the brain.* Annu Rev Neurosci. 2012; 35, 529-558.
- 52) Liu J, Wang L, Harvey-White J, Osei-Hyiaman D, Razdan R, Gong Q, et al. *A biosynthetic pathway for anandamide.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006; 5; 103(36): 13345-50.
- 53) Alger BE and Kim J. *Supply and demand for endocannabinoids.* Trends Neurosci. 2011; 34, 304-315.
- 54) Ueda N, Tsuboi K, Uyama T, Ohnishi T. *Biosynthesis and degradation of the endocannabinoid 2-arachidonoylglycerol.* BioFactors. 2011; 37, 1-7.
- 55) Castillo PE, Younts TJ, Chavez AE and Hashimoto-dani Y *Endocannabinoid signaling and synaptic function.* Neuron. 2012; 76, 70-81.
- 56) Guo J and Ikeda S R. *Endocannabinoids modulate N-type calcium channels and G-protein-coupled inwardly rectifying potassium channels via CB1 cannabinoid receptors heterologously expressed in mammalian neurons.* Mol. Pharmacol. 2004; 65, 665-674.
- 57) Pitler TA. and Alger BE. *Postsynaptic spike firing reduces synaptic GABA responses in hippocampal pyramidal cells.* J. Neurosci. 1992; 12, 4122-4132.
- 58) Ohno-Shosaku T, Maejima T. and Kano M. *Endogenous cannabinoids mediate retrograde signals from depolarized postsynaptic neurons to presynaptic terminals.* Neuron. 2001; 29, 729-738.
- 59) Kreitzer AC, Regehr WG. *Cerebellar depolarization-induced suppression of inhibition is mediated by endogenous cannabinoids.* J Neurosci. 2001a; 21.
- 60) Freund TF, Katona I, Piomelli D. *Role of endogenous cannabinoids in synaptic signaling.* Physiol 2003; Rev 83: 1017-1066, 2003.
- 61) Piomelli D. *The molecular logic of endocannabinoid signalling.* Nat Rev Neurosci. 2003; 4: 873-884, 2003.
- 62) Kreitzer AC, Regehr WG. *Cerebellar depolarization-induced suppression of inhibition is mediated by endogenous cannabinoids.* J Neurosci. 2001; 21(20): RC174.
- 63) Wilson RI, Nicoll RA. *Endogenous cannabinoids mediate retrograde signalling at hippocampal synapses.* Nature. 2001;410(6828): 588-92. Erratum in: Nature 2001 Jun 21; 411(6840): 974.
- 64) Carletti F, Gambino G, Rizzo V, Ferraro G, Sardo P. *Cannabinoid and nitric oxide signaling interplay in the modulation of hippocampal hyperexcitability: Study on electrophysiological and behavioral models of temporal lobe epilepsy in the rat.* Neuroscience. 2015; 303: 149-59.
- 65) Zheng Y, Hooton K, Smith PF., Darlington CL. *Carbamazepine reduces the behavioural manifestations of tinnitus following salicylate treatment in rats.* Acta Otolaryngol. 2008; 125, 48-52.
- 66) Kempel P, Lampe K, Parneord R, Hennig J, Kunert HJ. *Auditory-evoked potentials and selective attention: Different ways of information processing in Cannabis users and controls.* Neuropsychobiol. 2003; 48: 95-101.
- 67) Haj os M, Homann WE, Kocsis B. *Activation of cannabinoid-1 receptors disrupts sensory gating and neuronal oscillation: Relevance to schizophrenia.* Biol Psychiatry. 2008; 63: 1075-1083.
- 68) Smith PF., Zhengh Y. *Cannabinoids, cannabinoid receptors and tinnitus.* Hear Res. 2015; pii: S0378-5955(15)30036-8.
- 69) Kaltenbach JA, McCaslin DL. *Increases in spontaneous activity in the dorsal cochlear nucleus following*

- exposure to high intensity sound: a possible neural correlate of tinnitus. *Audit Neurosci.* 1996; 3, 57-78.
- 70) Kaltenbach JA. *The dorsal cochlear nucleus as a participant in the auditory, attentional and emotional components of tinnitus.* *Hear Res.* 2006; 216-217: 224-34.
- 71) Lutz B. *On-demand activation of the endocannabinoid system in the control of neuronal excitability and epileptiform seizures.* *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2004; 1;68(9): 1691-8.
- 72) Wallace MJ., Balir RE, Falenski KW, Martin BR, DeLorenzo RJ. *The endogenous cannabinoid system regulates seizure frequency and duration in a model of temporal lobe epilepsy.* *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2003; 307, 129-137.
- 73) Ludanyi A, Eross L, Czirjak S, Vajda J, Halasz P, Watanabe M, et al. *Down regulation of the CB1 cannabinoid receptor and related molecular elements of the endocannabinoid system in epileptic human hippocampus.* *J. Neurosci*2008;28, 2976-2990.
- 74) Raby WN, Modica PA, Wolintz RJ, Murtaugh K. *Dronabinol reduces signs and symptoms of idiopathic intracranial hypertension: a case report.* *J Ocul Pharmacol Ther.*2006; 22(1): 68-75.
- 75) Zheng Y, Baek JH., Smith PF., Darlington CL. *Cannabinoid receptor down-regulation in the ventral cochlear nucleus in a salicylate model of tinnitus.* *Hear.*2007; 228: 105-111.
- 76) Zheng Y, Stiles L, Hamilton E, Smith PF, Darlington CL. *The effects of the synthetic cannabinoid receptor agonists, WIN55,212-2 and CP55,940, on salicylate-induced tinnitus in rats.* *Hear Res.* 2010; 268(1-2): 145-50.
- 77) Zheng Y, Reid P, Smith PF. *Cannabinoid CB1 Receptor Agonists Do Not Decrease, but may Increase Acoustic Trauma-Induced Tinnitus in Rats.* *Front Neurol.* 2015; 6: 60.
- 78) Rizzo V, Carletti F, Gambino G, Schiera G, Cannizzaro C, Ferraro G, et al. *Role of CB2 receptors and cGMP pathway on the cannabinoid-dependent antiepileptic effects in an in vivo model of partial epilepsy.* *Epilepsy Research* 2014; 108(10): 1711-8.
- 79) Carletti F, Gambino G, Rizzo V, Ferraro G, Sardo P. *“Involvement of TRPV1 channels in the activity of the cannabinoid WIN 55, 212-2 in an acute rat model of temporal lobe epilepsy”.* *Epilepsy Research.* 2016; 122C, 56-65.

Corresponding author

Dr. FULVIO PLESCIA

Department of Sciences for Health Promotion and Mother and Child Care “G. D’Alessandro”, University of Palermo
Via del Vespro 129
90127 Palermo
(Italy)