

Peer Reviewed

Title:

Updated checklist and distribution of large branchiopods (Branchiopoda: Anostraca, Notostraca, Spinicaudata) in Tunisia

Journal Issue:

Biogeographia - The Journal of Integrative Biogeography, 31

Author:

Marrone, Federico Korn, Michael Stoch, Fabio Naselli-Flores, Luigi Turki, Souad

Publication Date:

2016

Permalink:

http://escholarship.org/uc/item/69j7t33v

DOI:

http://dx.doi.org/10.21426/B631132736

Keywords:

Branchinectella media, fauna of Maghreb, freshwater crustaceans, Mediterranean temporary ponds, regional biodiversity

Local Identifier:

biogeographia 32736

Abstract:

Temporary ponds are the most peculiar and representative water bodies in the arid and semiarid regions of the world, where they often represent diversity hotspots that greatly contribute to the regional biodiversity. Being indissolubly linked to these ecosystems, the so-called "large branchiopods" are unanimously considered flagship taxa of these habitats. Nonetheless, updated and detailed information on large branchiopod faunas is still missing in many countries or regions. Based on an extensive bibliographical review and field samplings, we provide an updated and commented checklist of large branchiopods in Tunisia, one of the less investigated countries of the Maghreb as far as inland water crustaceans are concerned. We carried out a field survey from 2004 to 2012, thereby collecting 262 crustacean samples from a total of 177 temporary water bodies scattered throughout the country. Large branchiopod crustaceans were observed in 61% of the sampled sites, leading to the identification of fifteen species. Among these, the halophilic anostracan *Branchinectella media* is here reported for the first time for the country; conversely, four



of the species reported in literature were not found during the present survey. Based on literature and novel data, the known large branchiopod fauna of Tunisia now includes 19 species, showing a noteworthy species richness when the limited extension of the country is considered. For each species, the regional distribution is described and an annotated list of references is provided. Under a conservation perspective, the particular importance of the temporary ponds occurring in the Medjerda river alluvial plain is further stressed. In this location, several large branchiopod taxa with different ecological requirements converge and form unique and species-rich assemblages that should be preserved.

Copyright Information:



Copyright 2016 by the article author(s). This work is made available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution4.0 license, http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/



Updated checklist and distribution of large branchiopods (Branchiopoda: Anostraca, Notostraca, Spinicaudata) in Tunisia

FEDERICO MARRONE^{1,*}, MICHAEL KORN², FABIO STOCH³, LUIGI NASELLI-FLORES¹, SOUAD TURKI⁴

¹ Dept. STEBICEF, University of Palermo, via Archirafi, 18, I-90123 Palermo, Italy

² Limnological Institute, University of Konstanz, Mainaustr. 252, D-78464 Konstanz & DNA-Laboratory, Museum of Zoology, Senckenberg Natural History Collections Dresden, Königsbrücker Landstrasse 159, D-01109 Dresden, Germany

Keywords: *Branchinectella media*, fauna of Maghreb, freshwater crustaceans, Mediterranean temporary ponds, regional biodiversity.

SUMMARY

Temporary ponds are the most peculiar and representative water bodies in the arid and semi-arid regions of the world, where they often represent diversity hotspots that greatly contribute to the regional biodiversity. Being indissolubly linked to these ecosystems, the so-called "large branchiopods" are unanimously considered flagship taxa of these habitats. Nonetheless, updated and detailed information on large branchiopod faunas is still missing in many countries or regions. Based on an extensive bibliographical review and field samplings, we provide an updated and commented checklist of large branchiopods in Tunisia, one of the less investigated countries of the Maghreb as far as inland water crustaceans are concerned. We carried out a field survey from 2004 to 2012, thereby collecting 262 crustacean samples from a total of 177 temporary water bodies scattered throughout the country. Large branchiopod crustaceans were observed in 61% of the sampled sites, leading to the identification of fifteen species. Among these, the halophilic anostracan Branchinectella media is here reported for the first time for the country; conversely, four of the species reported in literature were not found during the present survey. Based on literature and novel data, the known large branchiopod fauna of Tunisia now includes 19 species, showing a noteworthy species richness when the limited extension of the country is considered. For each species, the regional distribution is described and an annotated list of references is provided. Under a conservation perspective, the particular importance of the temporary ponds occurring in the Medjerda river alluvial plain is further stressed. In this location,

³ Dept. of Life, Health & Environmental Sciences, University of L'Aquila, Via Vetoio, I-67100 Coppito, L'Aquila, Italy

⁴ Institut National des Sciences et Technologies de la Mer, Rue du 02 mars 1934, 28, T-2025 Salammbô, Tunisia * e-mail corresponding author: federico.marrone@unipa.it

several large branchiopod taxa with different ecological requirements converge and form unique and species-rich assemblages that should be preserved.

INTRODUCTION

Temporary ponds, i.e. those water bodies naturally experiencing alternate phases of flooding and drought according to seasonal climatic patterns, are widespread in the arid and semi-arid regions of the world. In the Mediterranean region, they are widely recognised for the noteworthy biological diversity they host, which contributes disproportionately to the regional biodiversity when their limited extension is considered (e.g. Williams 2006, Naselli-Flores and Barone 2012). Temporary ponds host several peculiar aquatic taxa that are exclusive to them, and which present a set of adaptations for their survival during the dry phases of the water bodies, and for their passive dispersal (e.g. Incagnone et al. 2015). Although protected in Europe and included as 'Priority Habitats' under the auspices of the 'Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC', the number of temporary ponds drastically decreased in the last decades due to climate change, the increased demand of land for agriculture and urban development, and the overexploitation of water resources (Stoch and Naselli-Flores 2014). Being indissolubly linked to these ecosystems, and exerting a remarkable appeal on the public, the so-called "large branchiopods" are unanimously considered "flagship taxa" of these ecosystems (e.g. Brendonck et al. 2008) and subjected to the same threats.

In the Maghreb, Moroccan and Algerian large branchiopod faunas are relatively well-known (see Thiéry 1987, van den Broeck et al. 2015, Samraoui et al. 2006, and references therein), and are characterised by a high diversity and a pronounced degree of endemism. Conversely, to date an updated and comprehensive synoptic inventory of Tunisian Anostraca, Notostraca and Spinicaudata has not been compiled, and only scattered records are available for several species. This prevents from getting an exact knowledge of their local distribution, and from detecting the threatened taxa, e.g. those with a restricted distribution.

In an attempt to fill this gap, we performed an exhaustive review of the available literature dealing with Tunisian large branchiopods, and we carried out an extensive sampling campaign in Tunisia from 2004 to 2012.

In this paper, the most updated information on the presence and distribution of large branchiopods in Tunisian temporary ponds is reported, whereas an analysis of the ecological factors affecting their distribution has been published in Stoch et al. (2016).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

THE STUDY AREA

Tunisia is situated on the Mediterranean coast of North Africa, between Algeria and Libya, encompassing an area of about 164,000 km². Despite its relatively small size, the country has a noteworthy geographical and climatic diversity, ranging from Mediterranean to desert climates and ecosystems. The northern part of the country with a Mediterranean climate is characterised by the Medjerda mountains, the valley of the Medjerda river, the plain of Tunis (i.e. the alluvial plain of the Medjerda river) and the Cap Bon Peninsula. The northern slope of the Medjerda Mountains is the most rain-laden region of the country: in the western part of this region average precipitation ranges from 800 to 1,500 mm y⁻¹; the average annual temperature is 17-18 °C. The Cap Bon Peninsula and the plain of Tunis are characterised by lower rainfalls (400-600 mm y⁻¹) and an annual average temperature of

17-19 °C. South of the valley of the Medjerda river begins the Tell, a plateau representing the easternmost part of the Atlas Mountains. The average annual temperature in the Tell is between 15 and 19 °C, and the rainfall ranges from 200 to 600 mm y⁻¹. The Sahel, a hot arid lowland steppe, spreads from east of the Tell to the Mediterranean coast; it is characterised by annual rainfall of approximately 200-400 mm, and an average annual temperature of 19-20 °C. Finally, south of a direct line connecting the oases of Gafsa and Gabés there is the desert, comprising desert steppe, the Great Eastern Erg (sand-dune desert), the *hamada* (rocky desert) and the *chotts* (salt-lake depressions). It is characterized by rainfall values ranging from 75 to 200 mm y⁻¹ and average temperatures of 19 to 22 °C.

Based on the climatic and physiographic features outlined above, Gauthier (1928a; 1933a) classified the country and neighbouring Algeria into three zones: (1) a "zone pluvieuse", i.e. a humid area with Mediterranean climate, and mean annual rainfall >500 mm; (2) a "zone substeppique", including the eastern Mediterranean part of the country, the Tell plateau, and the northern coast of the Sahel, with mean annual rainfall between 300 and 500 mm, and (3) a "zone steppique", i.e. arid, steppe and semi-desert area, with mean annual rainfall below 300 mm. The importance of the isohyets of 300 and 500 mm y⁻¹ in determining different crustacean assemblages in the Maghreb has been widely accepted and implemented, e.g. by Dumont et al. (1979), Thiéry (1991), Turki and El Abed (1999), Samraoui and Dumont (2002), and Stoch et al. (2016).

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REVIEW AND NOMENCLATURE

A database of the large branchiopod crustaceans reported for Tunisia was compiled from an extensive literature search. The bibliographical services of the "Biodiversity Heritage Library" (http://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/) and of "The digital library of the Royal Botanic Garden (CSIC)" (http://bibdigital.rjb.csic.es/ing/presentacion.php) have been extensively used. Due to the economic importance of *Artemia*, literature about this genus is virtually enormous and mostly focused on aquaculture, so that producing an all-encompassing review on Tunisian brine shrimps was out of the scope of the present work. However, attention was paid to review all the references that include relevant systematics, as well as biogeographical and distributional data.

All obtained occurrence data were critically revised and, when possible, checked through dedicated sampling surveys. Large branchiopod nomenclature was based on Korn et al. (2006, 2010, 2013) and Korn and Hundsdoerfer (2006) for the Notostraca, and on Belk and Brtek (1995) and Rogers (2013) for the Anostraca, with the only exception of *Phallocryptus spinosus* (Milne-Edwards, 1840). In fact, the halophilic thamnocephalid originally described as *Branchipus spinosus* by Milne-Edwards (1840), transferred to the genus *Branchinecta* Verrill, 1869 by Simon (1886) and later to the genus *Branchinella* Sayce, 1903 by Daday (1910), was convincingly demonstrated to belong to the genus *Phallocryptus* Biraben, 1951 by Rogers (2003). However, Rogers (2003) did not apply the agreement in gender (ICZN Code, Art. 31.2, Fourth Edition in force since 1 January 2000), using the binomen *Phallocryptus spinosa*, i.e. maintaining the feminine Latin gender ending that was used when the species was transferred to the genus *Branchinecta* by Simon (1886). Such a binomen was erroneously adopted by subsequent workers (e.g. Ketmaier et al. 2008, Alonso and Ventura 2013, Rogers 2013, Atashbar et al. 2014). We herein correct this oversight; since the species is currently ascribed to the masculine genus *Phallocryptus*, its correct name is *Phallocryptus spinosus* (Milne-Edwards, 1840).

Due to the lack of a sound revision of the Palaearctic Spinicaudata, in the present work we refer to the collected species by use of the most commonly used binomia in the recent literature.

A complete list of the references pertaining to each species is reported in the "Results" section.

SAMPLING

Tunisian mainland and its main islands (Kerkennah and Djerba) were sampled from 2004 to 2012; the vast majority of the sites was sampled in winter and early spring, when the probability of finding the temporary ponds in their "ponding phase" is highest. In order to account for the seasonal successions and the possible inter-annual differences of the faunal assemblages, about 30% of the sampled sites were visited several times in different months and years. Each sampled site was univocally identified by an alphanumeric code, and its coordinates were registered in situ with a GPS device. Respective altitude values were obtained through the software Google Earth© (www.google.com/earth/).

Crustacean samples were collected by means of three different nets: a 125 µm mesh-sized towing net was used in the open waters; a 200 µm hand net was used for the benthic and littoral zones, and a further 600 µm hand net was specifically used for catching adult large branchiopods, which are known to be able to actively avoid the denser nets and, especially if present in low densities, may be easily overlooked when using the conventional zooplankton sampling techniques. Attempts were made to sample every type of microhabitat present within each sampled site. Collected samples were fixed *in situ* in 95% ethanol in order to make them available for both morphological studies and molecular analyses.

When large branchiopod nauplii, juveniles and\or immature specimens were observed, the collected samples were duplicated and in part kept alive in order to let them reach sexual maturity in laboratory cultures.

Branchiopods were sorted under a stereomicroscope, prepared according to Alonso (1996) and Dumont and Negrea (2002), and then identified according to Thiéry (1987, 1996), Alonso (1996) and Korn et al. (2006, 2010).

Collected samples are presently stored in FM's crustacean collection at the University of Palermo, Italy and in the Museum of Zoology, Senckenberg Natural History Collections Dresden, Germany.

RESULTS

Overall, 262 samples were collected from a total of 177 temporary ponds (Fig. 1, Table 1), leading to the retrieval of 15 large branchiopod species. These, added to the four large branchiopod species reported in the literature, but not found in the course of the present survey, lead to a total of 19 species for the country (Table 2). The occurrence of large branchiopods was registered in 107 out of the 177 sites studied, i.e. in 61 % of the sampled ponds. The occurrence sites for each of the recorded species are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3. An annotated list of the Tunisian large branchiopod species is provided below.

TABLE 1. List and characteristics of the sampled sites. See Table 2 for the acronyms.

| | La Goulette La Goulette La Marsa Gammarth La Marsa La Marsa Djebel Oust Zana El Alia Tinja Tinja Oued El Amor Sejnane El Kraten - Kerkennah | 10.293178 10.290118 10.299812 10.291864 10.301739 10.300410 10.101859 9.995808 9.999483 9.760281 9.760802 8.755628 9.233482 11.257612 | 36.815549 36.813608 36.886894 36.894066 36.888036 36.882489 36.587455 37.031263 37.148530 37.165999 37.166355 36.927287 37.056287 | 0 0 3 0 3 1 64 7 30 3 3 | Cdia Cdia Cdia Cdia Cdia Bsch, Cdia, Lalu Cdia Cdia | |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| F003 F004 F005 F006 F007 F008 F009 F011 F013 F014 F015 F016 F017 F021 F022 F026 F027 | La Marsa Gammarth La Marsa La Marsa Djebel Oust Zana El Alia Tinja Tinja Oued El Amor Sejnane El Kraten - Kerkennah | 10.299812 10.291864 10.301739 10.300410 10.101859 9.995808 9.999483 9.760281 9.760802 8.755628 9.233482 | 36.886894 36.894066 36.888036 36.882489 36.587455 37.031263 37.148530 37.165999 37.166355 36.927287 37.056287 | 3 0 3 1 64 7 30 3 3 | Cdia Cdia Cdia Bsch, Cdia, Lalu Cdia | |
| F004 F005 F006 F007 F008 F009 F011 F013 F014 F015 F016 F017 F021 F022 F026 F027 | Gammarth La Marsa La Marsa Djebel Oust Zana El Alia Tinja Tinja Oued El Amor Sejnane El Kraten - Kerkennah | 10.291864 10.301739 10.300410 10.101859 9.995808 9.999483 9.760281 9.760802 8.755628 9.233482 | 36.894066 36.888036 36.882489 36.587455 37.031263 37.148530 37.165999 37.166355 36.927287 37.056287 | 0 3 1 64 7 30 3 3 | Cdia Cdia Cdia Bsch, Cdia, Lalu Cdia | |
| F005 F006 F007 F008 F009 F011 F013 F014 F015 F016 F017 F021 F022 F026 F027 | La Marsa La Marsa Djebel Oust Zana El Alia Tinja Tinja Oued El Amor Sejnane El Kraten - Kerkennah | 10.301739 10.300410 10.101859 9.995808 9.999483 9.760281 9.760802 8.755628 9.233482 | 36.888036 36.882489 36.587455 37.031263 37.148530 37.165999 37.166355 36.927287 37.056287 | 3 1 64 7 30 3 3 | Cdia Cdia Cdia Bsch, Cdia, Lalu Cdia | |
| F006 F007 F008 F009 F011 F013 F014 F015 F016 F017 F021 F022 F026 F027 | La Marsa Djebel Oust Zana El Alia Tinja Tinja Oued El Amor Sejnane El Kraten - Kerkennah | 10.300410 10.101859 9.995808 9.999483 9.760281 9.760802 8.755628 9.233482 | 36.882489 36.587455 37.031263 37.148530 37.165999 37.166355 36.927287 37.056287 | 1 64 7 30 3 3 3 | Cdia Cdia Cdia Bsch, Cdia, Lalu Cdia | |
| F007 F008 F009 F011 F013 F014 F015 F016 F017 F021 F022 F026 F027 | Djebel Oust Zana El Alia Tinja Tinja Oued El Amor Sejnane El Kraten - Kerkennah | 10.101859 9.995808 9.999483 9.760281 9.760802 8.755628 9.233482 | 36.587455 37.031263 37.148530 37.165999 37.166355 36.927287 37.056287 | 64 7 30 3 3 3 | Cdia Cdia Cdia Bsch, Cdia, Lalu Cdia | |
| F008 F009 F011 F013 F014 F015 F016 F017 F021 F022 F026 F027 | Zana El Alia Tinja Tinja Oued El Amor Sejnane El Kraten - Kerkennah | 9.995808 9.999483 9.760281 9.760802 8.755628 9.233482 | 37.031263 37.148530 37.165999 37.166355 36.927287 37.056287 | 7 30 3 3 3 | Cdia Cdia Bsch, Cdia, Lalu Cdia | |
| F009 F011 F013 F014 F015 F016 F017 F021 F022 F026 F027 | El Alia Tinja Tinja Oued El Amor Sejnane El Kraten - Kerkennah | 9.999483 9.760281 9.760802 8.755628 9.233482 | 37.148530 37.165999 37.166355 36.927287 37.056287 | 30 3 3 3 | Cdia Bsch, Cdia, Lalu Cdia | |
| F011 F013 F014 F015 F016 F017 F021 F022 F026 F027 | Tinja Tinja Oued El Amor Sejnane El Kraten - Kerkennah | 9.760281 9.760802 8.755628 9.233482 | 37.165999 37.166355 36.927287 37.056287 | 3 3 3 | Bsch, Cdia, Lalu Cdia | |
| F013 F014 F015 F016 F017 F021 F022 F026 F027 | Tinja Oued El Amor Sejnane El Kraten - Kerkennah | 9.760802 8.755628 9.233482 | 37.166355 36.927287 37.056287 | 3 | Cdia | |
| F014 F015 F016 F017 F021 F022 F026 F027 | Oued El Amor Sejnane El Kraten - Kerkennah | 8.755628 9.233482 | 36.927287 37.056287 | 3 | | |
| F015 F016 F017 F021 F022 F026 F027 | Sejnane El Kraten - Kerkennah | 9.233482 | 37.056287 | | Cdia, Lalu | |
| F016 F017 F021 F022 F026 F027 | El Kraten - Kerkennah | | | | | |
| F017 F021 F022 F026 F027 | | 11.257612 | | 138 | Cdia | |
| F021 F022 F026 F027 | El Kraten - Kerkennah | | 34.821888 | 0 | Bsch | |
| F022 F026 F027 | | 11.258134 | 34.823366 | 0 | Pspi | |
| F026 F027 | Al Hammah | 9.548813 | 33.822781 | 70 | | |
| F027 | Radouan | 9.251739 | 33.744458 | 85 | | |
| | Ghidma | 8.796029 | 33.426094 | 20 | | |
| F029 | Chott El Maseg | 8.633431 | 33.438654 | 18 | | |
| | Nouail | 8.844055 | 33.505862 | 25 | | |
| F030 | Bchelli | 8.898202 | 33.624237 | 19 | | |
| F032 | Hajeb El Ayoun | 9.542656 | 35.415363 | 304 | Bsch, Tper, Stor, Lmay, Tgra | |
| F034 | Kairouan | 10.116522 | 35.753299 | 34 | | |
| F037 | Raoued | 10.231609 | 36.954036 | 0 | Bsch, Cdia | |
| F039 | Gammarth | 10.288483 | 36.903883 | 0 | | |
| F040 | Raoued | 10.227447 | 36.955043 | 0 | | |
| F041 | Raoued | 10.183117 | 36.944956 | 5 | Cdia, Tsim | |
| F042 | Raoued | 10.212327 | 36.955595 | 1 | Bsch | |
| F043 | Sebkha El Ariana | 10.269296 | 36.926615 | 0 | | |
| F044 | Les Berges du Lac | 10.272840 | 36.850707 | 0 | | |
| F045 | Bou Hnach | 10.105755 | 36.947523 | 5 | Bsch, Cdia,Ctet, Tsim | |
| F046 | El Hisiane | 10.154198 | 37.002173 | 1 | Cdia, Ctet | |
| F047 | El Hisiane | 10.158451 | 36.995056 | 0 | Bsch, Stor, Cdia, Bfer, Ctet, Lmay, Tsim | |
| F048 | Raoued | 10.217618 | 36.966277 | 0 | Cdia, Ctet, Tsim | |
| F049 | | 10.163131 | 36.783188 | 3 | | |
| F050 | Sebkha Sedjoumi | 10.293716 | 36.818229 | 0 | Pspi | |

| Code | Location | Longitude (E) | Latitude (N) | Elevation (m a.s.l.) | Taxa | |
|------|------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| F051 | La Goulette | 10.297632 | 36.818394 | 0 | Pspi | |
| F052 | La Goulette | 10.294604 | 36.816994 | 0 | Pspi | |
| F054 | Tinja | 9.758928 | 37.169217 | 1 | Cdia, Ctet, Lalu | |
| F057 | Tinja | 9.762371 | 37.165517 | 3 | | |
| F059 | Protville | 10.048230 | 36.976907 | 7 | | |
| F060 | Fouchana | 10.180207 | 36.711302 | 10 | | |
| F061 | Fouchana | 10.184006 | 36.716664 | 11 | | |
| F063 | Ben Arous | 10.264861 | 36.735240 | 9 | Bsch, Cdia, Tsim | |
| F064 | Sulayman | 10.493709 | 36.690177 | 12 | | |
| F065 | Sulayman | 10.504716 | 36.690975 | 11 | Cdia, Tsim | |
| F066 | Sulayman | 10.504991 | 36.690729 | 11 | Bsch, Tsim | |
| F067 | Sulayman | 10.461404 | 36.699492 | 1 | | |
| F068 | Sulayman | 10.461033 | 36.700606 | 1 | | |
| F069 | Dar Belouar | 10.330632 | 36.045580 | 18 | Bsch, Tper, Stor, Tsim | |
| F070 | Metbassta | 10.158927 | 35.821102 | 28 | | |
| F071 | Garaa Metbassta | 10.146367 | 35.796014 | 26 | Bsch, Lmay | |
| F072 | El Bathen | 9.982058 | 35.765742 | 41 | Bsch, Stor, Tper, Lmay, Tgra | |
| F073 | Sidi Naji | 10.058864 | 36.041103 | 105 | Bsch, Tgra | |
| F074 | Sidi Naji | 10.058329 | 36.040990 | 105 | Bsch | |
| F076 | Rades | 10.274467 | 36.791206 | 0 | | |
| F077 | Rades | 10.275925 | 36.786548 | 0 | | |
| F078 | Rades | 10.273724 | 36.781577 | 0 | Bsch, Cdia | |
| F079 | Rades | 10.263266 | 36.769772 | 0 | Pspi | |
| F080 | Rades | 10.263266 | 36.769772 | 0 | Pspi | |
| F081 | Rades | 10.264426 | 36.769453 | 0 | | |
| F082 | Sebkha El Ariana | 10.267158 | 36.929054 | 0 | Pspi | |
| F083 | Sebkha El Ariana | 10.252743 | 36.937382 | 0 | Pspi | |
| F084 | Raoued | 10.220210 | 36.956236 | 1 | Bsch, Cdia, Ctet, Tsim | |
| F085 | Raoued | 10.214045 | 36.970442 | 0 | | |
| F086 | Raoued | 10.184419 | 36.947855 | 4 | Tsim | |
| F087 | Bou Hnach | 10.165290 | 36.978583 | 1 | Cdia, Ctet, Tsim | |
| F088 | Sulayman | 10.492831 | 36.674855 | 13 | Cdia, Ctet, Tsim | |
| F091 | Charfine | 10.695688 | 36.677916 | 242 | Cdia | |
| F092 | Errahma | 10.727949 | 36.718888 | 99 | Cdia | |
| F093 | Oum Dhouil | 10.790902 | 36.728837 | 91 | Stor, Tcan | |
| F094 | Oum Dhouil | 10.790545 | 36.729347 | 90 | Stor, Tsim | |
| F095 | Oum Dhouil | 10.790309 | 36.728819 | 91 | | |

| Code | Location | Longitude (E) | Latitude (N) | Elevation (m a.s.l.) | Таха |
|------|----------------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| F096 | Manzil Tamim | 10.894614 | 36.784799 | 15 | |
| F097 | Kelibia | 11.095134 | 36.836063 | 3 | |
| F099 | El Haouaria | 11.028908 | 37.023158 | 20 | Cdia |
| F101 | El Haouaria | 11.009341 | 37.043707 | 19 | Bsch, Cdia, Tsim |
| F102 | Zouiet el Mgaiez | 10.858409 | 36.924689 | 89 | |
| F103 | Enchaa | 10.849900 | 36.900072 | 98 | Cdia, Ctet |
| F104 | Manzil Hurr | 10.931882 | 36.728885 | 8 | Bsch, Stor, Cdia, Tsim |
| F105 | Sebkha Chibal | 10.914250 | 36.654575 | 0 | Pspi |
| F106 | Protville | 10.078471 | 36.963133 | 6 | Cdia, Ctet, Tsim |
| F108 | Parc de l'Ichkeul | 9.707563 | 37.111015 | 0 | Cdia |
| F109 | Sidi Othman | 9.933141 | 36.962526 | 6 | Cdia |
| F110 | Sidi Othman | 9.930017 | 36.961469 | 7 | |
| F111 | Sidi Othman | 9.937308 | 36.932214 | 9 | Pspi |
| F112 | Sebkha el Jem | 10.727158 | 35.166092 | 40 | Pspi |
| F113 | Sebkha Sidi el Hani | 10.417505 | 35.547783 | 32 | |
| F114 | Kerkennah | 11.180175 | 34.740341 | 0 | Pspi |
| F115 | Ouled Kacem - Kerkennah | 11.168808 | 34.692374 | 0 | |
| F118 | Al Hushayshinah | 10.228906 | 34.390504 | 3 | Pspi |
| F119 | Al Hushayshinah | 10.252606 | 34.386720 | 0 | Bmed, Pspi |
| F121 | Sidi Makhlouf | 10.417293 | 33.599618 | 2 | Asal |
| F122 | Sidi Makhlouf | 10.538237 | 33.598119 | 0 | |
| F123 | Sidi Makhlouf | 10.542966 | 33.596193 | 0 | Pspi |
| F124 | DJerba | 10.748290 | 33.760047 | 1 | Bsch |
| F131 | Chott El Guettar | 8.935240 | 34.319596 | 217 | Pspi |
| F136 | Jilmah | 9.306290 | 35.303194 | 443 | Bsch, Tper, Stor, Lmay, Tgra |
| F139 | Henchir el Haouareb | 9.764359 | 35.562475 | 191 | Bsch, Stor, Tgra |
| F147 | El Bathen | 9.939861 | 35.748336 | 45 | Bsch, Tper, Stor, Ctet, Lmay, Tsim |
| F149 | El Bathen | 10.030332 | 35.699531 | 67 | Bsch |
| F153 | Haffuz | 9.716648 | 35.638288 | 307 | Bsch, Stor |
| F154 | Dawwar Shaib | 9.619487 | 35.722778 | 346 | |
| F156 | Bir Khalifah | 9.199006 | 35.924475 | 870 | Cdia |
| F157 | El Kef | 8.855797 | 36.198843 | 514 | Cdia |
| F158 | Jendouba | 8.780255 | 36.473554 | 148 | Cdia, Tsim |
| F160 | Firnanah | 8.697033 | 36.641052 | 233 | Cdia |
| F164 | Ain Draham | 8.679090 | 36.743350 | 603 | |
| F166 | Ain Draham | 8.705565 | 36.727138 | 597 | |
| F168 | Jaballah | 8.745653 | 36.898059 | 17 | Lalu |

| Code | Location | Longitude (E) | Latitude (N) | Elevation (m a.s.l.) | Taxa | |
|------|------------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| F169 | Tabarka - Oued El Amor | 8.755804 | 36.928252 | 3 | Cdia, Lalu | |
| F170 | Tabarka | 8.755627 | 36.931136 | 4 | Cdia, Lalu | |
| F171 | Tabarka | 8.756221 | 36.931245 | 4 | | |
| F180 | Dawwar Mraf | 9.373443 | 37.138851 | 87 | Ctet, Lalu | |
| F184 | Ghezala | 9.580266 | 37.078381 | 20 | Cdia | |
| F185 | Mateur | 9.623718 | 37.055436 | 9 | Cdia | |
| F188 | Chott El Djerid | 8.476603 | 33.930777 | 14 | Asal | |
| F190 | Kalaat El Andalous | 10.187319 | 37.089569 | 0 | | |
| F192 | Kalaat El Andalous | 10.135314 | 37.032921 | 0 | | |
| F193 | El Hisiane | 10.142575 | 37.018556 | 0 | | |
| F195 | Oulad Cheikh Ayed | 9.767422 | 36.652830 | 88 | | |
| F196 | Oued Zarga | 9.417019 | 36.696022 | 140 | | |
| F198 | Bulla Regia | 8.755233 | 36.557274 | 160 | Cdia | |
| F199 | Bou Hnach | 10.138912 | 36.975729 | 2 | Stor, Ctet, Tsim | |
| F200 | Bou Hnach | 10.140491 | 36.976138 | 1 | Stor, Cdia, Ctet, Tsim | |
| F201 | Sebkha Bou Jemel | 11.162801 | 33.215326 | 0 | Pspi | |
| F202 | Chenini | 10.237606 | 32.867673 | 542 | | |
| F203 | Chenini | 10.237606 | 32.867673 | 540 | | |
| F204 | Chott el Djerid | 8.733384 | 33.813802 | 21 | | |
| F205 | Chott El Djerid | 8.540688 | 33.902514 | 15 | Asal | |
| F208 | La Marsa | 10.302282 | 36.883036 | 1 | | |
| F210 | Parc de l'Ichkeul | 9.673126 | 37.119058 | 20 | Cdia, Ctet, Tcan | |
| F217 | Sidi Bouzid | 9.291635 | 35.074755 | 395 | Bsch, Tper, Tgra | |
| F218 | Henchir el Haouareb | 9.764114 | 35.562278 | 189 | Bsch, Tgra | |
| F219 | Oued El Mekta | 10.169650 | 35.599940 | 64 | Bsch, Tper, Stor, Ctet, Lmay, Tgra | |
| F220 | Enfidha | 10.394997 | 36.104167 | 9 | Bsch | |
| F221 | Ouled Amer | 10.318323 | 36.013054 | 13 | Bsch, Stor, Tsim | |
| F222 | Garaa Metbassta | 10.147774 | 35.799165 | 32 | Bsch, Stor, Lmay, Tgra | |
| F223 | Tinja | 9.759166 | 37.170549 | 0 | | |
| F224 | Dawwar Mraf | 9.375271 | 37.137493 | 88 | Lalu | |
| F225 | Enchaa | 10.850495 | 36.902902 | 98 | Cdia | |
| F226 | Kairouan | 10.114025 | 35.734434 | 35 | Pspi | |
| F227 | Kairouan | 10.121209 | 35.763389 | 33 | | |
| F228 | Raoued | 10.208718 | 36.973155 | 0 | Stor | |
| F229 | Menzel Djemil | 9.940044 | 37.214411 | 3 | Cdia, Ctet, Tcan | |
| F230 | Menzel Abderrahmane | 9.834465 | 37.229895 | 15 | Cdia, Lalu | |
| F231 | Parc de l'Ichkeul | 9.697821 | 37.110325 | 0 | | |

| Code | Location | Longitude (E) | Latitude (N) | Elevation (m a.s.l.) | Таха |
|------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| F232 | Teskraia | 9.520817 | 37.206117 | 17 | Cdia, Ctet, Lalu |
| F233 | Dawwar Mraf | 9.375803 | 37.138077 | 85 | Cdia |
| F234 | Dawwar Mraf | 9.338640 | 37.138865 | 87 | Cdia, Ctet, Lalu |
| F235 | Kchatlia | 8.846171 | 36.967102 | 10 | |
| F238 | Jendouba | 8.776094 | 36.484553 | 145 | Cdia |
| F239 | Sidi Hassoun | 10.846156 | 36.840147 | 94 | Cdia, Ctet, Tsim |
| F240 | Garaa El Khala | 10.849002 | 36.847052 | 98 | Cdia, Tsim |
| F241 | Kairouan | 10.106397 | 35.706564 | 47 | Bsch |
| F242 | Bou Hsan | 9.984556 | 35.804985 | 44 | Bsch, Tper, Tgra |
| F243 | Sidi Salem | 9.551206 | 37.132686 | 20 | Cdia |
| F244 | Teskraia | 9.578804 | 37.192282 | 9 | Cdia |
| F245 | Teskraia | 9.554502 | 37.206334 | 10 | Lalu |
| F246 | Dawwar Mraf | 9.324672 | 37.150623 | 99 | Lalu |
| F247 | Oued Bou Hsan | 9.988914 | 35.813740 | 45 | Bsch, Stor, Tgra |
| F248 | Garaa Machaar | 9.199651 | 37.086655 | 153 | |
| F249 | Tabarka | 8.761124 | 36.936295 | 1 | |
| F250 | Chott El Gharsa | 8.069397 | 34.153165 | -10 | Apar |
| F251 | Dawwar Mraf | 9.262662 | 37.122932 | 98 | Cdia, Ctet, Lalu |
| M027 | Protville | 10.076986 | 36.965331 | 7 | Tsim |
| M037 | Dawwar Mraf | 9.387517 | 37.137803 | 87 | Cdia, Lalu |
| M039 | Dawwar Mraf | 9.386086 | 37.137672 | 86 | |
| M042 | Bou Salem | 8.928211 | 36.583283 | 130 | Cdia, Tcan |
| M043 | Essebt | 8.871919 | 36.547789 | 132 | Tcan |
| M044 | Jendouba | 8.778864 | 36.480525 | 146 | Tsim |
| M045 | Jendouba | 8.779967 | 36.472531 | 149 | Tsim |
| M048 | Menzel Guemoudi | 8.989708 | 34.632492 | 406 | Bsch |
| M053 | Kerker | 10.643233 | 35.484819 | 44 | Bsch |
| M062 | Sidi el Hani | 10.258450 | 35.680553 | 84 | Stor |
| M063 | Sidi el Hani | 10.254961 | 35.683981 | 77 | Stor, Tsim |
| M064 | Znetti | 10.221464 | 35.682031 | 46 | Bsch, Stor, Lmay, Tgra, Tsim |

TABLE 2. List of large branchiopod species occurring in Tunisia. For each species, the number of sites at which the species was observed in the course of the current survey is reported. The acronyms refer to the codes used in Table 1.

| Species and taxonomical hierarchy | Number of sites | Acronym |
|--|-----------------|---------|
| Anostraca | | |
| Family Artemiidae | | |
| Artemia franciscana Kellogg, 1906 | 0 | - |
| Artemia salina (Linnaeus, 1758) | 3 | Asal |
| Artemia sp. (parthenogenetic strain) | 1 | Asp |
| Family Branchinectidae | | |
| Branchinecta ferox (Milne-Edwards, 1840) | 1 | Bfer |
| Family Branchipodidae | | |
| Branchipus schaefferi Fischer, 1834 | 36 | Bsch |
| Family Chirocephalidae | | |
| Subfamily Chirocephalinae | | |
| Chirocephalus diaphanus Prévost, 1803 | 55 | Cdia |
| Chirocephalus recticornis (Brauer, 1877) | 0 | - |
| Subfamily Branchinectellinae | | |
| Branchinectella media (Schmankewitsch, 1873) | 1 | Bmed |
| Family Streptocephalidae | | |
| Streptocephalus bimaris Gurney, 1909 | 0 | - |
| Streptocephalus torvicornis (Waga, 1842) | 21 | Stor |
| Family Tanymastigidae | | |
| Tanymastigites perrieri (Daday, 1910) | 8 | Tper |
| Family Thamnocephalidae | | |
| Phallocryptus spinosus (Milne-Edwards, 1840) | 18 | Pspi |
| Notostraca | | |
| Family Triopsidae | | |
| Triops cancriformis (Bosc, 1801) | 5 | Tcan |
| Triops granarius (Lucas, 1864) | 12 | Tgra |
| Triops simplex Ghigi, 1921 | 28 | Tsim |
| Lepidurus apus lubbocki Brauer, 1873 | 15 | Lalu |
| Spinicaudata | | |
| Family Cyzicidae | | |
| Cyzicus tetracerus (Krynicki, 1830) | 21 | Ctet |
| Family Leptestheriidae | | |
| Leptestheria mayeti (Simon, 1885) | 9 | Lmay |
| Family Limnadiidae | | |
| Eulimnadia sp. | 0 | - |




FIGURE 1. Location of the sampled sites. Red dots: sites where at least one large branchiopod species was collected; white dots: sites where no large branchiopods were collected.

Order ANOSTRACA

Family Artemiidae Grochowski, 1895

Artemia franciscana Kellogg, 1906

This alien anostracan species of Nearctic origin was reported for the country by Ben Naceur et al. (2010a). Unfortunately, no accurate description of the methods used for the identification of the species were reported by the authors, so that the presence of the species in Tunisia should be confirmed. *Artemia franciscana* is already known to occur in several circum-Mediterranean countries, where it proved to be able to replace the native *Artemia* strains (e.g., Amat et al. 2005, Muñoz et al. 2014), so that its possible presence in Tunisia does not appear unlikely.

Other references: Ben Naceur et al. (2012a, 2013a)

This species was not found during our survey.




FIGURE 2. Distribution maps of selected Tunisian large branchiopod species based on present survey.

Artemia salina (Linnaeus, 1758)

The presence of the autochthonous Mediterranean gonochoric *Artemia* species was first reported for the country by Daday (1910). *A. salina* was sometimes reported in the literature under the junior synonym *Artemia tunisiana* Bowen and Sterling, 1978 (e.g.: Mura 1990, Barigozzi and Baratelli 1993, Triantaphyllidis et al. 1997a, 1997b). It is widespread in the

country, occurring wherever suitable habitats are available, sometimes co-occurring with *Phallocryptus spinosus* (Ben Naceur et al. 2009b).

In the light of the impressive amount of published papers dealing with *Artemia salina* in Tunisia, the list of references reported below is admittedly incomplete.

Other references: Gurney (1909); Seurat (1922); Gauthier (1928a); Dumont et al. (1979); Van Ballaer et al. (1987); Vanhaecke et al. (1987); Triantaphyllidis et al. (1998); Toumi et al. (2005); Kaiser et al. (2006); Ben Naceur et al. (2008a, 2008b, 2009a, 2009b, 2010a, 2010b, 2011a, 2011b, 2012a, 2012b, 2012c, 2012d, 2013a, 2013b); Guermazi et al. (2008, 2009); Muñoz et al. (2008); Mahdhi et al. (2010, 2012); Ghomari et al. (2012); Ladhar et al. (2014).

During the present survey the species was collected at 3 sites (Table 1, Table 2; Fig. 2a).

Artemia sp. (parthenogenetic population)

The binomen *Artemia parthenogenetica* Bowen and Sterling, 1978 comprises a non-monophyletic assemblage of parthenogenetic lineages with different levels of ploidy (e.g. Baxevanis et al. 2006, Muñoz et al. 2010, Maniatsi et al. 2011). In accordance with Baxevanis et al. (2006) and Rogers (2013) we herein refer to asexual forms of *Artemia* as populations instead of treating them as separate taxa. The occurrence of parthenogenetic populations of *Artemia* is known for the whole Maghreb, including Tunisia, where it was to date recorded from a single locality, i.e. the Sebkha Sedjoumi (Ghomari et al. 2012) (reported as "Sebkha Sijoumi" by the authors).

In the course of our samplings, a parthenogenetic population of *Artemia* was observed in a single pool within the Chott el Gharsa (Table 1, Table 2; Fig. 2a).

Family Branchinectidae Daday, 1910

Branchinecta ferox (Milne-Edwards, 1840)

This rare but widely distributed species was reported for the alluvial plain of the Medjerda river by Massal (1951). *B. ferox* is regionally extremely localised, and its Tunisian occurrence localities are situated about 1000 km east of the closest Algerian sites (i.e. the environs of Mécheria, Gauthier 1928a), and 600 km south-east of the Balearic occurrence sites of the species (Pretus 1990). It is known to occur in Spain (Alonso 1996), western Maghreb (Gauthier 1928a, Thiéry 1991, van den Broeck et al. 2015), in the Middle East, Turkey, Ukraine, and from the Balkans to the Pannonian lowland (cf. Daday 1910, Petkvoski 1991, Mura et al. 2005, and references therein). The observed "pincers-like" distribution closely resembles that of the anostracan *Streptocephalus torvicornis* (Waga, 1842) (Dumont et al. 1995) and of the calanoid copepod *Neolovenula alluaudi* (Guerne & Richard, 1890) (Alfonso and Belmonte 2013), thus suggesting a shared post-glacial northward overland dispersal through two separate routes (a western and a eastern one) for these temporary pond-dwelling crustaceans (see Incagnone et al. 2015).

During the present survey, the species was collected at a single site (Table 1, Table 2; Fig. 2a), which lies in the same area where the species was observed by Massal (1951).




FIGURE 3. Distribution maps of selected Tunisian large branchiopod species based on present survey.

Family Branchipodidae Milne-Edwards, 1840

Branchipus schaefferi Fischer, 1834

The occurrence of *B. schaefferi* in Tunisia was first reported by Simon (1886). The species was later collected by Seurat (1922), Gauthier (1928a), Massal (1951) and Dumont et al. (1979). Cottarelli and Mura (1983) reported the occurrence of the closely related species *Branchipus pasai* Cottarelli, 1968 in "Northern Africa" without providing any precise occurrence locality. However, the latter species occurs in Lampedusa island, which is located on the Tunisian shelf, so that a possible occurrence of *B. pasai* in Tunisia should be checked. However, as stressed by Gandolfi et al. (2015), the taxonomy of some closely related *Branchipus* species (i.e. *B. schaefferi*, *B. pasai*, and *B. visnyai* Kertész, 1956) is to date quite confuse. Accordingly, pending a sound revision of the genus, in the present work we retain the use of the binomen *B. schaefferi* for all Tunisian populations.

Other reference: Turki and Turki 2010.

In the course of our survey, the species was collected at 36 sites (Table 1, Table 2; Fig. 2b).

Family Chirocephalidae Daday, 1910 Subfamily Chirocephalinae Daday, 1910

Chirocephalus diaphanus Prévost, 1803

40

The species was first reported for the country by Gurney (1909). All the localities of the species are limited to the northern part of the country, so that Gauthier (1928a) indicated *C. diaphanus* as one of the characteristic species of the "zone pluvieuse". In neighbouring Algeria, the

Marrone et al., 2016

occurrence of the closely related *C. salinus* Daday, 1913 was reported by Samraoui et al. (2006). However, the taxonomy of the *Chirocephalus* species of the *diaphanus*-group is currently under revision, and it is possible that the taxa occurring in Maghreb belong in fact to several different species (Reniers et al. 2013).

Nourisson and Lenel (1968) reported the occurrence of a gynandromorph specimen of *C. diaphanus* collected near Ez-Zahra, northern Tunisia.

Other references: Daday (1910); Seurat (1922); Massal (1951); Turki and Turki (2010).

In the course of our survey, the species was collected at 55 sites (Table 1, Table 2; Fig. 2c), proving to be the commonest anostracan species in the country.

Chirocephalus recticornis (Brauer, 1877)

The species was described by Brauer (1877) as *Branchipus recticornis* based on some female specimens sent him by M. Fischer, and a single male obtained by Brauer himself upon culturing some mud collected "*in einem Tümpel in Tunis*" (in a pool in Tunis). No further records of this quite peculiar chirocephalid species have ever been published. Pesta (1921) reported on some specimens of the species stored in the natural history Museum of Vienna that likely represent the material studied by Brauer (1877).

Other references: Simon (1886), Daday (1910), Brtek (1966), Belk and Brtek (1995), Mura (2001), Rogers (2013).

This species has not been found in the course of the present survey.

Family Chirocephalidae Daday, 1901 Subfamily Branchinectellinae Brtek, 1966

Branchinectella media (Schmankevitsch, 1873)

Gauthier (1928a) reported a halophilic anostracan species which he referred to under the binomen *Branchinella media* Schmankevitsch, 1873 to have occurred in Sebkha Sedjoumi, a site located in northern Tunisia. Interestingly, in contrast to the currently used taxonomical arrangement (Belk and Brtek 1995, Rogers 2013), Gauthier considered *B. media* Schmankevitsch, 1873 (now: *Branchinectella media* (Schmankevitsch, 1873)) and *Branchinectella salina* Daday, 1908 to be two different species, which sometimes co-occur (cf. Gauthier 1928a, pages 362, 374, 375). Furthermore, he is not consistent with the genus he attributes the species to, e.g. at page 359 (Gauthier 1928a) he wrote "... et *Branchinecta* sp. (vraisemblablement *Branchinella media*)...". In fact, Gauthier considers *Branchinella media* as a synonym of *Branchipus spinosus* Milne-Edwards, 1840 (see note 2, page 90, in: Gauthier 1928b), so that we should actually consider Gauthier's records of '*Branchinella media*' as pertaining to *Phallocryptus spinosus* (Milne-Edwards, 1840). Consequently, no reliable record of *Branchinectella media* in Tunisia exists in the available literature. However, the nomenclature pertaining to this taxon distributed from the African deserts to the Arctic is likely to be revised.

In the course of our survey, the species was collected at a single site (Table 1, Table 2; Fig. 2d), which constitutes the first reliable record of the species for the country.

Family Streptocephalidae Daday, 1910

Streptocephalus bimaris Gurney, 1909

The species was described based on specimens once collected near Oued Tinja by Gurney (1909), and never collected again. Linder (1941) questioned that the female and male specimens described by Gurney (1909) did in fact belong to the same species, and suggested that the presumptive *S. bimaris* females could actually have been immature *Chirocephalus* specimens. Conversely, according to Belk and Brtek (1995), *S. bimaris* might be a senior synonym of the Ethiopian species *Streptocephalus chappuisi* Brehm, 1935.

Other references: Daday 1910, Hamer et al. 1994, Rogers 2013.

This species has not been found in the course of the present survey.

Streptocephalus torvicornis (Waga, 1842)

Gauthier (1928a) is the first author who collected the species in Tunisia, although it is possible that the *Streptocephalus* sp. collected near Tindja by Gurney (1909) was, in fact, *S. torvicornis*. The presumptive subspecies *S. torvicornis torvicornis* (Waga, 1842) and *S. torvicornis bucheti* Daday, 1910 appear to be indistinguishable and thus lack any taxonomical meaning (see: Daday 1910, Thiéry 1987, 1996, Dumont et al. 1995, Alonso 1996, Rogers et al. 2013), so that we refer to the taxon as *S. torvicornis*. Beladjal and Mertens (2003) provided data on the morphological variability of the resting eggs of a Tunisian population of the species.

Other references: Massal 1951, Dumont et al. 1991, 1995, Hamer et al. 1994, Turki and Turki 2010.

In the course of our survey, the species was collected at 21 sites (Table 1, Table 2; Fig. 2d).

Family Tanymastigidae Brtek, 1972

Tanymastigites perrieri (Daday, 1910)

Tanymastigites perrieri was first collected in the country by Gauthier (1928a). It is a taxon typical of steppe and desert regions, known for the whole Maghreb (Gauthier 1928a, Thiéry 1987, 1991).

Other references: Turki and Turki 2010 (as "*Tanymastigites* sp.), Machado and Sala 2013, Cohen 2013.

In the course of our survey, the species was collected at 8 sites (Table 1, Table 2; Fig. 2e).

Family Thamnocephalidae Packard, 1883

Phallocryptus spinosus (Milne-Edwards, 1840)

This halophilic anostracan species was collected in Sebkha Sedjoumi by Gurney (1909, as "*Branchinecta* sp."; the name of the salt lake in question is misspelled as "Sebkha Sedjouma" by this author) and Gauthier (1928a, as "*Branchinella media*", see also Gauthier, 1928b). Unfortunately, due to the instability inherent to the nomenclature of this species and of the other halophilic anostracan *Branchinectella media* (see also the comments above related to this

species), respective records apparently were overlooked, so that Ben Naceur et al. (2009b) reported the presence of the species in Tunisia as a first record for the country. Interestingly, Ben Naceur et al. (2009b) collected the species in Sebkha Sedjoumi as well, in good accordance with the findings of Gurney (1909) and Gauthier (1928a).

Other reference: Turki and Turki 2010.

In the course of our survey, the species was collected at 18 sites (Table 1, Table 2; Fig. 2e).

Order NOTOSTRACA

Family Triopsidae Keilhack, 1909

Triops cancriformis (Bosc, 1801)

Simon (1886) and Seurat (1922) are the first authors who reported the occurrence of 'Apus cancriformis' in the country; however, it cannot be ascertained whether their records are to be ascribed to T. cancriformis, T. simplex, or to both species. Gauthier (1934) explicitly excluded the possibility that T. cancriformis s.s. was among his samples collected in Tunisia, however, a comparison of molecular and morphological characters suggests that the latter are in part misleading and may lead to erroneous determinations so that identifications should ideally be supported by investigations of the reproductive mode and/or molecular data (see Korn et al. 2006). The first reliable records of the species for Tunisia are thus the ones reported by Korn et al. (2006).

Other reference: Korn et al. (2010).

In the course of our survey, the species was collected at 5 sites (Table 1, Table 2; Fig. 2f).

Triops granarius (Lucas, 1864)

The species was first reported for Tunisia by Simon (1886) and Gauthier (1928a) under the binomen *Apus numidicus* Grube, 1865. *Triops numidicus* was synonymised with *Triops granarius* (Lucas, 1864) by Longhurst (1955). Later, Brtek (1997) listed *T. numidicus* as the valid species name. However, Korn and Hundsdoerfer (2006) showed that Longhurst's (1955) treatment was correct and that *Triops granarius* (Lucas, 1864) is indeed the valid species name for this notostracan under the ICZN rule of priority. Korn and Hundsdoerfer (2006) further emphasized the noteworthy cryptic diversity lumped under this binomen. Korn et al. (2013) even demonstrated that *T. granarius* is paraphyletic with respect to the remaining congeneric species, thus further highlighting the need for a revision of the taxon.

Other references: Seurat (1922), Longhurst (1955), Turki and Turki (2010).

In the course of our survey, the species was collected at 12 sites (Table 1, Table 2; Fig. 2f).

Triops simplex Ghigi, 1921

The species was described based on Libyan specimens, and Ghigi (1921) himself attributed to this species certain Tunisian notostracan samples stored in the Museum of Genova (Italy). The species was confirmed to be present in the country by Gauthier (1934) and Longhurst (1955) (using the trinomen *T. cancriformis simplex*) and by Korn et al. (2006; revising its name to '*T. mauritanicus simplex*'). Korn et al. (2010) reinstated it to full species status. The available information regarding the taxonomical identity of the *Triops* populations reported by Simon (1886), Seurat (1922), and Gauthier (1928a) does not allow for an exact determination.

Other references: Gurney (1923), Gauthier (1928a), Colosi (1923), Ghigi (1924), Longhurst (1958).

In the course of our survey, the species was collected at 28 sites (Table 1, Table 2; Fig. 3a).

Lepidurus apus lubbocki Brauer, 1873

This taxon, originally described as *L. lubbocki*, was first collected in Tunisia by Gurney (1909). Longhurst (1955) treated it as a subspecies of *L. apus*. Although recent molecular evidence suggests that this taxon probably deserves species status (e.g. Mantovani et al. 2009, Korn et al. 2013), further evidence is needed in order to clarify which of the populations presently attributed to this subspecies might actually refer to the former *L. lubbocki* described by Brauer (1873). The situation is complicated by the fact that *L. a. lubbocki* turned out to be paraphyletic with respect to the remaining species in the genus hitherto studied via molecular tools (see Figs. 1 and 2 in Korn et al. 2013). Furthermore, no specimens from the type locality have ever been investigated using modern methods, so that a possible taxonomical re-instatement should await a profound re-investigation of Circum-Mediterranean populations. Pending a formal revision of the Palaearctic species of the genus *Lepidurus*, we provisionally retain the trinomen proposed by Longhurst (1955).

Other references: Seurat (1922), Longhurst (1955), Turki and Turki (2010). In the course of our survey, the species was collected at 15 sites (Table 1, Table 2; Fig. 3a).

Order SPINICAUDATA

Family Cyzicidae Stebbing, 1910

Cyzicus tetracerus (Krynicki, 1830)

This spinicaudatan species was first reported for the country by Grube (1865) and Simon (1886) (as *Estheria cycladoides* Joly, 1841), and later confirmed by Gurney (1909), Daday (1915; as *Cyzicus cycladoides*), Gauthier 1928a, 1933b (as *E. cycladoides*). More recently, it was recorded by Dumont et al. (1979) and Turki and Turki (2010) who, following Šrámek-Hušek et al. (1962), treated *E. cycladoides* as a junior synonym of *Cyzicus tetracerus*, thus opting for the use of the latter name. Consequently, in the present survey we use the binomen *C. tetracerus* for the Tunisian populations of this species.

Other references: Seurat (1922), Straškraba (1966).

In the course of our survey, the species was collected at 21 sites (Table 1, Table 2; Fig. 3b).

Family Leptestheriidae Daday, 1923

Leptestheria mayeti Simon, 1885

The species was first recorded for the country by Gauthier (1928a) and recently reported by Turki and Turki (2010). No further records for the country are available. In Algeria, the presence of the closely related *Leptestheria cortieri* Daday, 1923 is reported (Samraoui et al. 2006), and the presence of *L. lybica* Colosi, 1920 was reported in Libya (Colosi 1920), although both might in fact be synonyms of *L. mayeti* (see Thiéry 1996, but see also Straškraba 1966).

Other references: Daday (1915), Thiéry (1987), Jaume (1989), Alonso (1996).

In the course of our survey, the species was collected at 9 sites (Table 1, Table 2; Fig. 3c).

Family Limnadiidae Burmeister, 1843

Eulimnadia sp.

Rabet et al. (2015) reported the occurrence of some juvenile limnadiid spinicaudatans in central Tunisia. Unfortunately, it was not possible to ascertain whether they belong to a new, yet undescribed species or if they might be ascribed to one of the *Eulimnadia* species known to date. The collection and characterization of further samples of this taxon would be desirable.

DISCUSSION

THE LARGE BRANCHIOPOD FAUNA OF TUNISIA

In the course of this survey, 14 out of the 18 formerly reported species could be confirmed for the fauna of the country. Furthermore, the halophilic anostracan *Branchinectella media* is herein reported for the first time for the country, leading to a total of 19 large branchiopod species (Table 2). No evidence for the presence of *Chirocephalus recticornis* and *Streptocephalus bimaris* was found, in spite of an extensive sampling effort spent in the localities where these species had been reported from. The species *Artemia franciscana* and *Eulimnadia* sp., both recently reported for the country (Ben Naceur et al. 2010a, Rabet et al. 2015) were not recovered as well. Conversely, *Branchinecta ferox* is here reported several decades after its last report for the country (Massal 1951).

The large branchiopod fauna of Tunisia is rich and diverse, and it is rather similar to that of the neighbouring North African countries Algeria and Libya. Conversely, the fauna of Morocco, in particular that found in the parts of the country that are situated west of the High Atlas mountains, is peculiar and well-characterised. This is mainly due to the high incidence of endemic and sub-endemic species such as *Linderiella africana* Thiéry, 1986, *Tanymastix affinis* Daday, 1910, *Tanymastigites brteki* Thiéry, 1986, *Cyzicus bucheti* (Daday, 1913), *Maghrebestheria maroccana* Thiéry, 1988, *Eocyzicus saharicus* Gauthier, 1937 and *Triops mauritanicus* Ghigi, 1921.

However, the high incidence of species in Tunisia that to date are only known from single occurrence localities, i.e. *B. ferox, B. media, C. recticornis, S. bimaris,* and *Eulimnadia* sp., stresses the possibility that we are still far from getting an exhaustive picture of the actual diversity of large branchiopod crustaceans in the country, which might in fact constitute a hotspot for large branchiopod diversity in the central Mediterranean area.

The composition and distribution of the fauna of the Sahel and of the Mediterranean part of the country are presently known quite accurately. However, further attempts should be made in order to check for a possible presence of *Tanymastix stagnalis* (Linnaeus, 1758) in the country, particularly in the governorate of Jendouba, which is geographically close to the *T. stagnalis* populations reported for Algerian Numidia, (e.g. Samraoui and Dumont 2002) and appears to provide suitable conditions for the species (F.M., own observations). Similarly, future studies should intensify sampling efforts in order to investigate the fauna of the Tell plateau and of the southern part of the country in more detail, since only a few water bodies could hitherto be investigated in these areas; this is in part due to the fact that temporary ponds are often difficult to identify in regions with low and irregular precipitation.

Regarding the southern part of the country, it would in particular be desirable to locate and study suitable habitats in the south-easternmost part of the country, in order to check for the possible presence of some steppe species reported for neighbouring Algeria and Libya, e.g. *Streptocephalus rubricaudatus* (Klunzinger, 1867) and *Tanymastigites* spp. (see Brtek 1972, Samraoui et al. 2006).

LARGE BRANCHIOPOD DISTRIBUTION WITHIN THE COUNTRY

Based on the available data, it is possible to differentiate four major ecological groups within the Tunisian large branchiopods (see Stoch et al. 2016 for a discussion). These show, in fact, quite a sharp latitudinal gradient, with some species being confined to the more arid areas of Tunisia that are located in the southern and central portion of the country (i.e., *Tanymastigites perrieri*, *Triops granarius* and *Leptestheria mayeti*), whereas others are strictly linked to the more humid areas of northern Tunisia (i.e., *Chirocephalus diaphanus* and *Lepidurus apus lubbocki*). A third group comprises the halophilic taxa linked to the heavily mineralised water of sebkhas and chotts, i.e., *Artemia salina*, *Phallocryptus spinosus*, and *Branchinectella media*, whose distribution is mostly driven by the occurrence of suitable saline habitats themselves. A fourth and last group comprises the more euryecious species, occurring throughout most of the country without a clear geographical pattern. Finally, the scarce data presently available for the Tunisian populations of *Branchinecta ferox*, *Chirocephalus recticornis*, *Streptocephalus bimaris* and *Eulimnadia* sp. prevent us from formulating any hypothesis on their ecological preferences and distribution.

Hitherto, the ranges of *Streptocephalus torvicornis* and *Leptestheria mayeti* in Tunisia were considered to be confined to the Sahel, whereas in the course of this survey we collected these species as far north as in the plain of the Medjerda river, and in the Cap Bonn Peninsula. The floodplain of the Medjerda river is in fact a hot-spot of large branchiopod diversity in the Mediterranean bioregion: an area of a few square kilometres between the northern shore of the Sebkha El Ariana and the mouth of the Medjerda river itself hosts 9 large branchiopod species, with large branchiopod multiple coexistence of up to 7 species at a single site. Such a high diversity is comparable to that of the Chaouia Plain in Morocco (Thiéry 1991, van den Broeck et al. 2015).

It is noteworthy that the Medjerda floodplain is the only region within Tunisia where it is possible to observe the co-existence of large branchiopod species typical of the steppe and of the temperate areas of the country, these two species assemblages being usually strictly allopatric. The high faunal diversity of the Medjerda floodplain, which has been observed also in other crustacean taxa (F. Marrone, unpubl. data) and amphibians (Sicilia et al. 2009), is likely due to the location of the area at the boundary of two climatic regions (i.e. the steppic and the Mediterranean ones, referring to the "zone substeppique" and "zone pluvieuse" of Gauthier 1928a), and to the existence of a local patchwork of temporary ponds with different characteristics. An attentive management of this area and the conservation of its network of natural and semi-natural water bodies would thus be desirable in order to grant the long-term conservation of this diversity hotspot of Tunisian large branchiopods. Strict control of land reclamation and urban development planning are the only effective approaches to preserve existing Tunisian wetlands and their biota. For this reason, we suggest to implement some environmental obligations in selected parts of Medjerda floodplain. In particular, preserving and encouraging traditional agricultural and pastoral practices could be an effective tool for the protection of large branchiopod habitats in the area.

REFERENCES

- Alfonso, G. & Belmonte, G. (2013) *Neolovenula alluaudi* (Guerne & Richard, 1890) (Calanoida: Diaptomidae: Paradiaptominae): first record in Italy and review of geographical distribution. Journal of Limnology, 72, 251–261. DOI:10.4081/jlimnol.2013.e20
- Alonso, M. (1996) Crustacea, Branchiopoda. In: Fauna Iberica, Vol. 7. Ramos, M.A. et al (Eds.). Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales. CSIC. Madrid. 486 pp.
- Alonso, M. & Ventura, M. (2013) A new fairy shrimp *Phallocryptus tserensodnomi* (Branchiopoda: Anostraca) from Mongolia. Zootaxa, 3670, 349–361. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3670.3.5
- Amat, F., Hontoria, F., Ruiz, O., Green, A.J., Sánchez, M.I., Figuerola, J. & Hortas, F. (2005) The American brine shrimp as an exotic invasive species in the western Mediterranean. Biological Invasions, 7, 37–47. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10530-004-9634-9
- Atashbar, B., Agh, N., Stappen, G., Mertens, J. & Beladjal L. (2014) Combined effect of temperature and salinity on hatching characteristics of three fairy shrimp species (Crustacea: Anostraca). Journal of Limnology, 73, 574–583. DOI:10.4081/jlimnol.2014.954
- Barigozzi, C. & Baratelli, L. (1993) New data for defining the species *Artemia tunisiana* Clark and Bowen. Rendiconti Lincei, 4, 39–42. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF03001182
- Baxevanis, A.D., Kappas, I. & Abatzopoulos, T.J. (2006) Molecular phylogenetics and asexuality in the brine shrimp *Artemia*. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 40, 724–738. DOI:10.1016/j.ympev.2006.04.010
- Beladjal, L. & Mertens, J. (2003) Interstitial remains for fauna reconstruction of desert pools using fairy shrimps as example (Anostraca). Journal of Crustacean Biology, 23, 60–68. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/20021975-99990317
- Belk, D. & Brtek, J. (1995) Checklist of the Anostraca. Hydrobiologia, 298, 315–353. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00033826
- Ben Naceur, H., Ben Rejeb Jenhani, A. & Romdhane, M.S. (2008b) Valorisation de l'*Artemia* (Crustacea; Branchiopoda) de la saline de Sahline (Sahel Tunisien). Bulletin de la Société zoologique de France, 133, 185–192.
- Ben Naceur, H., Ben Rejeb Jenhani, A. & Romdhane M.S. (2009a) New distribution record of the brine shrimp *Artemia* (Crustacea, Branchiopoda, Anostraca) in Tunisia. Check List, 5(2), 281–288. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.15560/5.2.281
- Ben Naceur, H., Ben Rejeb Jenhani, A. & Romdhane, M.S. (2009b) Note on the distribution of *Branchinella spinosa* (H. Milne Edwards, 1840) (Branchiopoda, Anostraca) in Tunisia. Crustaceana, 82, 635–641. DOI:10.1163/156854009X404833
- Ben Naceur, H., Ben Rejeb Jenhani, A. & Romdhane, M.S. (2010a) Biological characterization of the new invasive brine shrimp *Artemia franciscana* in Tunisia: Sabkhet Halk El-Menzel. International Journal of Biological, Biomolecular, Agricultural, Food and Biotechnological Engineering, 4(1), 107–113.
- Ben Naceur, H., Ben Rejeb Jenhani, A. & Romdhane, M.S. (2010b) Variability of *Artemia salina* cysts from Sabkhet El Adhibet (Southeast Tunisia) with special regard to their use in aquaculture. Inland Water Biology, 3, 70–78. DOI:10.1134/S1995082910010098
- Ben Naceur, H., Ben Rejeb Jenhani, A. & Romdhane, M.S. (2011a) In situ study of adult *Artemia salina* morphometry and its relationship to the physicochemical water parameters in the saltwork of Sahline (Tunisia). International Journal of Oceanography and Hydrobiology, 40, 44–51. DOI:10.2478/s13545-011-0040-5

- Ben Naceur, H., Ben Rejeb Jenhani, A. & Romdhane, M.S. (2011b) Influence of environmental factors on the life cycle and morphology of *Artemia salina* (Crustacea: Anostraca) in Sabkhet El Adhibet (SE Tunisia). Biological Letters, 48, 67–83. DOI:10.2478/v10120-011-0008-6,
- Ben Naceur, H., Ben Rejeb Jenhani, A. & Romdhane, M.S. (2012a) Biometrical study of *Artemia* spp. (Anostraca: Artemiidae) cysts harvested from different salt lakes in Tunisia. North-Western Journal of Zoology, 8(2), 308–314.
- Ben Naceur, H., Ben Rejeb Jenhani, A. & Romdhane, M.S. (2012b) Reproduction characteristics, survival rate and sex-ratio of four brine shrimp *Artemia salina* (Linnaeus, 1758) populations from Tunisia cultured under laboratory conditions. Invertebrate Reproduction & Development, 57, 156–164. DOI:10.1080/07924259.2012.713399
- Ben Naceur, H., Ben Rejeb Jenhani, A. & Romdhane, M.S. (2012c) Impacts of Salinity, Temperature, and pH on the Morphology of *Artemia salina* (Branchiopoda: Anostraca) from Tunisia. Zoological Studies, 51, 453–462.
- Ben Naceur, H., Ben Rejeb Jenhani, A. & Romdhane, M.S. (2012d) Quality characterization of cysts of the brine shrimp *Artemia salina* from Tunisia focusing on their potential use in aquaculture. Journal of Biological Research-Thessaloniki, 17, 16–25.
- Ben Naceur, H., Ben Rejeb Jenhani, A. & Romdhane, M.S. (2013a) Morphometric characterization of adult *Artemia* (Crustacea: Branchiopoda) populations from coastal and inland Tunisian salt lakes. African Invertebrates, 54, 453–555.
- Ben Naceur, H., Ben Rejeb Jenhani, A., El Cafsi, M. & Romdhane, M.S. (2008a) Determination of biological characteristics of *Artemia salina* (Crustacea: Anostraca) population from Sabkhet Sijoumi (NE Tunisia). Transitional Waters Bulletin, 3, 65–74.
- Ben Naceur, H., Ghazali, N., Ben Rejeb Jenhani, A. & Romdhane, M.S. (2013b) Study of the fatty acid composition of *Artemia salina* cysts from Tunisia. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 93, 1795–1803. DOI:10.1017/S0025315413000623
- Bowen, S.T. & Sterling, G. (1978) Esterase and malate dehydrogenase isozyme polymorphisms in 15 Artemia populations. Comparative Biochemistry and physiology 61B-4 p. 593–595.
- Brauer, F. (1873) Die europäischen Arten der Gattung *Lepidurus* Leach, nebst einigen biologischen Bemerkungen über Phyllopoden. Verhandlungen der Zoologisch-Botanischen Gesellschaft in Wien, 23, 193–200.
- Brauer, F. (1877) Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Phyllopoden. Sitzungsberichte der Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Classe der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften Wien., 75, 583–619.
- Brendonck, L., Rogers, D.C., Olesen, J., Weeks, S. & Hoeh, W.R. (2008) Global diversity of large branchiopods (Crustacea, Branchiopoda) in freshwater. Hydrobiologia, 595, 167–176. DOI:10.1007/978-1-4020-8259-7_18
- Brtek, J. (1966) Einige Notizen zur Taxonomie der Familie Chirocephalidae Daday, 1910. Annotationes Zoologicae et Botanicae (Bratislava), 33, 1–65.
- Brtek, J. (1972) *Tanymastigites cyrenaica* n.g., n.sp. und Notizen zur Taxonomie der Familie Branchipodidae. Annotationes Zoologicae et Botanicae (Bratislava), 80, 1–6.
- Brtek, J. (1997) Checklist of the valid and invalid names of the "large branchiopods" (Anostraca, Notostraca, Spinicaudata and Laevicaudata), with a survey of the taxonomy of all Branchiopoda. Zborník Slovenského národného Múzea Prírodné Vedy, 43, 3–66.
- Cohen, R.G. (2013) A nomenclatorial confusion in Tanymastigidae (Crustacea: Branchiopoda: Anostraca). Zootaxa, 3670, 99–100. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3670.1.12

- Colosi, G. (1920) Contributo alla conoscenza degli Entomostrachi libici. Monitore Zoologico Italiano, 31, 120–124.
- Colosi, G. (1923) Note sopra alcuni eufillopodi. Atti Società italiana di Scienze Naturali e del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Milano, 61, 287–297.
- Cottarelli, V. & Mura, G. (1983) Anostraci, Notostraci, Concostraci (Crustacea: Anostraca, Notostraca, Conchostraca). Guide per il riconoscimento delle specie animali delle acque interne italiane. 18, AQ/1/194 Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Verona, 71 pp.
- Daday de Dées, E. (1910) Monographie Systématique des Phyllopodes Anostracés. Annales des Sciences Naturelles, Zoologie series 9, 11, 91–489.
- Daday de Dées, E. (1915) Monographie systématique des Phyllopodes Conchostracés. 1.re partie, Caenestheridae. Annales des Sciences Naturelles, Zoologie series 9, 20, 39–330.
- Dumont, H.J. & Negrea, S. (2002) Introduction to the class Branchiopoda. Guides to the Identification of the Microinvertebrates of the Continental Waters of the World, 19. Backhuys Publishers.
- Dumont, H.J., Laureys, P. & Pensaert, J. (1979) Anostraca, Conchostraca, Cladocera and Copepoda from Tunisia. Hydrobiologia, 66, 259–274. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00020908
- Dumont, H.J., De Walsche C. & Mertens, J. (1991) Distribution and morphological variation of *Streptocephalus torvicornis* (Waga, 1842) in Northern Africa. Hydrobiologia, 212, 203–208. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00026002
- Dumont, H.J., Mertens, J. & Maeda-Martinez, A.M. (1995) Historical biogeography and morphological differentiation of *Streptocephalus torvicornis* (Waga) since the Würm III glaciation. Hydrobiologia, 298, 281–286. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00033822
- Gandolfi, A., Rossi, V. & Zarattini, P. 2015. Re-evaluation of three related species of the genus *Branchipus* Schaeffer, 1766 (Branchiopoda: Anostraca) by morphological and genetic analyses. Journal of Crustacean Biology, 35, 804–813. DOI:10.1163/1937240X-00002382
- Gauthier, H. (1928a) Recherches sur la faune des eaux continentales de l'Algérie et de la Tunisie. Imp. Minerva, Alger: 416 pp.
- Gauthier, H. (1928b) Description du *Tanymastix mzabica*, nouveau Phyllopode Anostracé du Sahara et liste des Anostracés récoltes récemment par l'auteur en Algérie et en Tunisie. Bulletin de la Société d'Histoire Naturelle de l'Afrique du Nord, 19, 87–90.
- Gauthier, H. (1933a) Nouvelles recherches sur la faune des eaux continentales de l'Algérie et de la Tunisie. Introduction. Bulletin de la Société d'Histoire Naturelle de l'Afrique du Nord, 24, 63–68.
- Gauthier, H. (1933b) Notes sur certains Conchostracés de l'Algérie et de la Tunisie. Bulletin de la Société d'Histoire Naturelle d'Afrique du Nord, 24: 117–126.
- Gauthier, H. (1934) Contribution à l'étude de *l'Apus cancriformis* et de ses variations dans l'Afrique du Nord (Euphyllopode notostracé). Bulletin de la Société des sciences naturelles du Maroc, 14, 125–139.
- Ghigi, A. (1921) Ricerche sui notostraci di Cirenaica e di altri paesi del Mediterraneo. Atti della Società italiana di Scienze naturali, 60, 161–188.
- Ghigi, A. (1924) Ancora sulla sistematica delle specie mediterranee del genere *Triops*. Atti della Società italiana di Scienze naturali, 63, 193–202.
- Ghomari, S.M., Selset-Attou, G., Hontoria, F., Moncef, M. & Amat, F. (2012) Note on the biogeography of the genus *Artemia* biodiversity in the north western region of Africa (Algeria, Morocco and Tunisia). Ecologia Mediterranea, 38, 29–38.

- Grube, E. (1865) Ueber die Gattungen *Estheria* und *Limnadia* und einen neuen *Apus*. Archiv für Naturgeschichte, 31, 203–282.
- Guermazi, W., Ayadi, H. & Aleya, L. (2009) Correspondence of the seasonal patterns of the brine shrimp, *Artemia salina* (Leach, 1819) (Anostraca) with several environmental factors in an arid solar saltern (Sfax, Southern Tunisia). Crustaceana, 82, 327–348. DOI:10.1163/156854008X390227
- Guermazi, W., Elloumi, J., Ayadi, H., Bouain, A. & Aleya, L. (2008) Coupling changes in fatty acid and protein composition of *Artemia salina* with environmental factors in the Sfax solar saltern (Tunisia). Aquatic Living Resources, 21, 63–73. DOI:10.1051/alr:2008013
- Gurney, R. (1909) On the freshwater Crustacea of Algeria and Tunisia. Journal of the Royal Microscopical Society, 443, 273–305. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2818.1909.tb01699.x
- Gurney, R. (1923) Notes on some British and North African specimens of *Apus cancriformis*, Schaeffer. The Annals and magazine of Natural History, 9 ser., 11, 496–502. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222932308632875
- Hamer, M., Brendonck, L., Appleton, C.C. & Coomans, A. (1994) A review of African Streptocephalidae (Crustacea: Branchiopoda: Anostraca). Part 2: North of Zambezi and Kunene rivers, and Madagascar. Archiv für Hydrobiologie, Supplement, 99, 279–311.
- Incagnone, G., Marrone, F., Barone, R., Robba, L. & Naselli-Flores, L. (2015) How do freshwater organisms cross the "dry ocean"? A review on passive dispersal and colonization processes with a special focus on temporary ponds. Hydrobiologia, 750, 103–123. DOI:10.1007/s10750-014-2110-3
- Jaume, D. (1989) *Metadiaptomus chevreuxi* (Copepoda: Calanoida: Diaptomidae) and *Leptestheria mayeti* (Branchiopoda: Conchostraca: Leptestheriidae), two African freshwater crustaceans recorded in Majorca. Limnetica, 5, 101–109.
- Kaiser, H., Gordon, A.K. & Paulet, T.G. (2006) Review of the African distribution of the brine shrimp genus *Artemia*. Water SA, 32 (4), 597–603.
- Ketmaier, V., Pirollo, D., De Matthaeis, E., Tiedemann, R. & Mura, G. (2008) Large-scale mitochondrial phylogeography in the halophilic fairy shrimp *Phallocryptus spinosa* (Milne-Edwards, 1840) (Branchiopoda: Anostraca). Aquatic Sciences, 70, 65–76. DOI:10.1007/s00027-007-7028-7
- Korn, M. & Hundsdoerfer, A.K. (2006) Evidence for cryptic species in the tadpole shrimp *Triops granarius* (Lucas, 1864) (Crustacea: Notostraca). Zootaxa, 1257, 57–68.
- Korn, M., Rabet, N., Ghate, H.V., Marrone, F. & Hundsdoerfer, A.K. (2013) Molecular phylogeny of the Notostraca. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 69, 1159–1171. DOI:10.1016/j.ympev.2013.08.006
- Korn, M., Marrone, F., Pérez-Bote, J.L., Machado, M., Cristo, M., Cancela da Fonseca, L. & Hundsdoerfer, A.K. (2006) Sister species within the *Triops cancriformis* lineage (Crustacea, Notostraca). Zoologica Scripta, 35, 301–322. DOI:10.1111/j.1463-6409.2006.00230.x
- Korn, M., Green, A.J., Machado, M., García-de-Lomas, J., Cristo, M., Cancela da Fonseca, L., Frisch, D., Pérez-Bote, J.L. & Hundsdoerfer, A.K. (2010) Phylogeny, molecular ecology and taxonomy of southern Iberian lineages of *Triops mauritanicus* (Crustacea: Notostraca). Organisms Diversity and Evolution, 10, 409–440. DOI:10.1007/s13127-010-0026-y
- Ladhar, C., Ayadi, H., Denis, F., Tastard, E. & Sellami, I. (2014) The effect of environmental factors on the fatty acid composition of copepods and *Artemia* in the Sfax solar saltern (Tunisia). Biochemical Systematics and Ecology, 56, 237–245. DOI:10.1016/j.bse.2014.06.005
- Linder, F. (1941) Contributions to the morphology and the taxonomy of the Branchiopoda Anostraca. Zoologiska Bidrag från Uppsala, 20, 101–303.

- Longhurst, A.R. (1955) A review of the Notostraca. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History). Zoology, 3, 1–57.
- Longhurst, A.R. (1958) Abnormal variation in the Notostraca. Systematic Zoology, 7, 84–88. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2411795
- Machado, M. & Sala, J. (2013) *Tanymastigites lusitanica* sp. nov. (Crustacea, Branchiopoda, Anostraca) from Portugal, first representative of the genus in Europe. Zootaxa, 3681, 501–523. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3681.5.1
- Mahdhi, A., Messina, C., Kamoun, F., Santulli, A. & Bakhrouf, A. (2012) Determination of biological characteristics of Tunisian *Artemia salina* populations. Biologia, 67, 143–150. DOI:10.2478/s11756-011-0149-4
- Mahdhi, A., Chaieb, K., Charfeddine, R., Laachkar, H., Kammoun, F. & Bakhrouf, A. (2010) Preliminary characterization of two Tunisian *Artemia salina* populations. Marine Life, 17, 3–11.
- Maniatsi, S., Baxevanis, A.D., Kappas, I., Deligiannidis, P., Triantafyllidis, A., Papakostas, S. et al. (2011) Is polyploidy a persevering accident or an adaptive evolutionary pattern? The case of the brine shrimp *Artemia*. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 58, 353–364. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2010.11.029
- Mantovani, B., Cesari, M. & Scanabissi, F. (2009) Molecular taxonomy and phylogeny of Italian *Lepidurus* taxa. Italian Journal of Zoology, 76, 358–365. DOI:10.1080/11250000802687461
- Massal, L. (1951) Note sur la présence en Tunisie de *Branchinecta ferox* (M. Edw.) (Crustacé Phyllopode Anostracé). Bulletin de la Société des Sciences Naturelle de Tunisie, 4, 41–44.
- Milne-Edwards, M. (1840) Histoire naturelle des Crustacés. T III.
- Muñoz, J., Gómez, A., Green, A.J., Figuerola, J., Amat, F. & Rico, C. (2008) Phylogeography and local endemism of the native Mediterranean brine shrimp *Artemia salina* (Branchiopoda: Anostraca). Molecular Ecology, 17, 3160–3177. DOI:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03818.x
- Muñoz, J., Gómez, A., Green, A.J., Figuerola, J., Amat, F. & Rico, C. (2010) Evolutionary origin and phylogeography of the diploid obligate parthenogen *Artemia parthenogenetica* (Branchiopoda: Anostraca). PLoS ONE 5(8): e11932. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0011932
- Muñoz, J., Gómez, A., Figuerola, J., Amat, F., Rico, C. & Green, A.J. (2014) Colonization and dispersal patterns of the invasive American brine shrimp *Artemia franciscana* (Branchiopoda: Anostraca) in the Mediterranean region. Hydrobiologia, 726, 25–41. DOI:10.1007/s10750-013-1748-6
- Mura, G. (1990) *Artemia salina* (Linnaeus, 1758) from Lymington, England: frontal knob morphology by scanning electron microscopy. Journal of Crustacean Biology, 10, 364–368. DOI:10.1163/193724090X00159
- Mura, G. (2001) Morphological diversity of the resting eggs in the anostracan genus *Chirocephalus* (Crustacea, Branchiopoda). Hydrobiologia, 450, 173–185. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1017592810825
- Mura, G., Özkütük, S. & Tanatmiş, M. (2005) A contribution to the knowledge of the Anostraca fauna of Turkey. Crustaceana, 78, 487–494. DOI:10.1163/1568540054473549
- Naselli-Flores, L. & Barone, R. (2012) Phytoplankton dynamics in permanent and temporary Mediterranean waters: is the game hard to play because of hydrological disturbance? Hydrobiologia, 698, 147–159. DOI:10.1007/s10750-012-1059-3
- Nourisson, M. & Lenel, R. (1968) Sur un cas de gynandromorphisme naturel chez *Chirocephalus diaphanus* Prev. (Crustacé, Branchiopode). Annales de Limnologie, 4, 105–112. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/limn/1968020

- Pesta, O. (1921) Kritische Revision der Branchipodidensammlung des Wiener naturhistorischen Staatsmuseums. Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien, 34, 80–98.
- Petkvoski, S. (1991) On the presence of the genus *Branchinecta* Verrill, 1869 (Crustacea, Anostraca) in Yugoslavia. Hydrobiologia, 226, 17–27. DOI:10.1007/BF00007776
- Pretus, J.L. (1990) A commented check-list of the Balearic Branchiopoda (Crustacea). Limnetica, 6, 157–164.
- Rabet, N., Clarac, F., Lluch, P., Gallerne, E. & Korn, M. (2015) Review of the *Eulimnadia* (Branchiopoda: Spinicaudata) from North Africa and adjacent regions, with two new species from Mauritania. Journal of Crustacean Biology, 35, 461–472. DOI:10.1163/1937240X-00002340
- Reniers, J., Vanschoenwinkel, B., Rabet, N. & Brendonck, L. (2013) Mitochondrial gene trees support persistence of cold tolerant fairy shrimp throughout the Pleistocene glaciations in both southern and more northerly refugia. Hydrobiologia, 714, 155–167. DOI:10.1007/s10750-013-1533-6
- Rogers, D.C. (2003) Revision of the thamnocephalid genus *Phallocryptus* (Crustacea: Branchiopoda: Anostraca). Zootaxa, 257, 1–14.
- Rogers, D.C. (2013) Anostraca catalogus (Crustacea : Branchiopoda). The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology, 61, 525–546.
- Samraoui, B. & Dumont, H.J. (2002) The large branchiopods (Anostraca, Notostraca and Spinicaudata) of Numidia (Algeria). Hydrobiologia, 486, 119–123. DOI:10.1023/A:1021338432186
- Samraoui, B., Chakri, K. & Samraoui, F. (2006) Large branchiopods (Branchiopoda: Anostraca, Notostraca and Spinicaudata) from the salt lakes of Algeria. Journal of Limnology, 65, 83–88. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.4081/jlimnol.2006.83
- Seurat, L.G. (1922) Faune des eaux continentales de la Berbérie. Bulletin de la Société d'histoire naturelle de l'Afrique du Nord, 13, 48–140.
- Sicilia, A., Marrone, F., Sindaco, R., Turki, S. & Arculeo, M. (2009) Contribution to the knowledge of Tunisian amphibians: notes on distribution, habitat features and breeding phenology. Herpetology Notes, 2, 107–132.
- Simon, E. (1886) Étude sur les crustacés du sous-ordre des phyllopodes. Annales de la Société Entomologique de France, 6, 393–460.
- Šrámek-Hušek, R., Straškraba, M. & Brtek, J. (1962) Fauna ČSSR, Svazek 16. Lupenonožci Branchiopoda. Nakadatelství Českislovenské Akademie Věd, Praha. 467 pp.
- Stoch, F. & Naselli-Flores, L. (2014) Acque temporanee: biodiversità, funzioni ecosistemiche, vulnerabilità e sensibilità ai cambiamenti climatici. Biologia Ambientale, 28, 188–194.
- Straškraba, M. (1966) Taxonomical studies on Czechoslovak Conchostraca. III. Family Leptestheridae. Hydrobiologia, 27, 571–589.
- Stoch, F., Korn, M., Turki, S., Naselli-Flores, L. & Marrone, F. (2016) The role of spatial and environmental factors as determinants of large branchiopod distribution in Tunisian temporary ponds. Hydrobiologia, DOI 10.1007/s10750-015-2637-y
- Thiéry, A. (1987) Les Crustacés Branchiopodes Anostraca, Notostraca et Conchostraca des milieu limniques temporaires (Dayas) au Maroc. Taxonomie, Biogéographie, Ecologie. 405 pp. Thèse Doctorat d'Etat ès Sciences, Université Aix-Marseille III.
- Thiéry, A. (1991) Multispecies coexistence of Branchiopods (Anostraca, Notostraca and Spinicaudata) in temporary ponds of Chaouia plain (western Morocco): sympatry or syntopy between usually allopatric species. Hydrobiologia, 212, 117–137. DOI:10.1007/BF00025994
- Thiéry, A. (1996) Large branchiopods (Crustacea: Anostraca, Notostraca, Spinicaudata, Laevicaudata) from temporary inland waters of the Arabian Peninsula. Fauna of Saudi Arabia, 15, 37–98.

- Toumi, N., Ayadi, H., Abid, O., Carrias, J.F., Sime-Ngando, T., Boukhris, M. & Bouain, A. (2005) Zooplankton distribution in four ponds of different salinity: a seasonal study in the solar salterns of Sfax. Hydrobiologia, 534, 1–9. DOI:10.1007/s10750-004-9356-0
- Triantaphyllidis, G.V., Abatzopoulos ,T.J. & Sorgeloos, P. (1998) Review of the biogeography of the genus *Artemia*. Journal of Biogeography, 25, 213–226. DOI:10.1046/j.1365-2699.1998.252190.x
- Triantaphyllidis, G.V., Criel, G.R.J., Abatzopoulos, T.J. & Sorgeloos, P. (1997a) International study on Artemia. LIII. Morphological study of *Artemia* with emphasis to Old World strains. I. Bisexual populations. Hydrobiologia, 357, 139–153. DOI:10.1023/A:1003190905100
- Triantaphyllidis, G.V., Criel, G.R.J., Abatzopoulos, T.J., Thomas, K.M., Peleman, J., Beardmore, J.A. & Sorgeloos, P. (1997b) International Study on *Artemia*. LVII. Morphological and molecular characters suggest conspecificity of all bisexual European and North African Artemia populations. Marine Biology, 129, 477–487. DOI:10.1007/s002270050188
- Turki, S. & El Abed, A. (1999) Nouvelles informations sur les Copépodes calanoïdes et cyclopoïdes des eaux continentales tunisiennes. Crustaceana, 72, 157–169. DOI:10.1163/156854099503258
- Turki, S. & Turki, B. (2010) Copepoda and Branchiopoda from Tunisian temporary waters. International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation, 2, 86–97.
- Van Ballaer, E., Versichele, D., Vanhaecke, P., Léger, P., Ben Abdelkader, N., Turki, S. & Sorgeloos, P. (1987)
 Characterization of *Artemia* from different localities in Tunisia with regard to their use in local aquaculture.
 Pp. 199-209 in: *Artemia* Research and its Applications. Vol. 1. Morphology, Genetics, Strain characterization, Toxicology. P. Sorgeloos, D.A. Bengston, W. Decleir, and E. Jaspers (Eds.). Universa Press, Wetteren, Belgium, 380 p.
- van den Broeck, M., Waterkeyn, A., Rhazi, L. & Brendonck, L. (2015) Distribution, coexistence, and decline of Moroccan large branchiopods. Journal of Crustacean Biology, 35, 355–365. DOI:10.1163/1937240X-00002316
- Vanhaecke, P., Tackaert, W. & Sorgeloos, P. (1987) The biogeography of *Artemia*: an updated review. *Artemia* Research and its Applications, 1, 129–155.
- Williams, D.D. (2006) The Biology of Temporary Waters. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.

Submitted: 14 May 2016

First decision: 8 June 2016

Accepted: 21 June 2016

Edited by Diego Fontaneto