Cluster visual field progression and its relationship with optic disc changes.

<u>Valeria Bono;</u> <u>Eduardo M Normando;</u> <u>Ben Davis;</u> <u>Laura Crawley</u>; <u>Faisal Ahmed</u>; <u>Salvatore Cillino;</u> <u>Philip</u> <u>Bloom</u>; <u>M Francesca Cordeiro</u>

Author Affiliations & Notes

Valeria Bono Glaucoma & Retinal Neurodegeneration Research Group, Visual Neuroscience, UCL, Institute of Ophthalmology, London, United Kingdom Experimental Biomedicine and Clinical Neuroscience, Ophthalmology Section, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy Eduardo M Normando Glaucoma & Retinal Neurodegeneration Research Group, Visual Neuroscience, UCL, Institute of Ophthalmology, London, United Kingdom Western Eye Hospital & ICORG, Imperial College Healthcare Trust, London, United Kingdom Ben Davis Glaucoma & Retinal Neurodegeneration Research Group, Visual Neuroscience, UCL, Institute of Ophthalmology, London, United Kingdom Laura Crawley Western Eye Hospital & ICORG, Imperial College Healthcare Trust, London, United Kingdom Faisal Ahmed Western Eye Hospital & ICORG, Imperial College Healthcare Trust, London, United Kingdom Salvatore Cillino Experimental Biomedicine and Clinical Neuroscience, Ophthalmology Section, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy Philip Bloom Western Eye Hospital & ICORG, Imperial College Healthcare Trust, London, United Kingdom M Francesca Cordeiro Glaucoma & Retinal Neurodegeneration Research Group, Visual Neuroscience, UCL, Institute of Ophthalmology, London, United Kingdom Western Eye Hospital & ICORG, Imperial College Healthcare Trust, London, United Kingdom Footnotes Commercial RelationshipsValeria Bono, None; Eduardo Normando, None; Ben Davis, None; Laura Crawley, None; Faisal Ahmed, None; Salvatore Cillino, None; Philip Bloom, None; M Francesca Cordeiro, None

SupportNone

Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science June 2015, Vol.56, 1044. doi:

Abstract

Purpose

<u>Glaucoma diagnosis and follow up of progression is often based on structural and</u> functional assessments. This study aimed to assess clustered progression and evaluate its correlation with the corresponding disc changes (RA sectors) obtained from HRT examinations.

Methods

51 eyes from OHT and glaucoma patients with a minimum of 5 reliable visual field tests (HFA II i 24-2) and HRT with a follow-up >4 years were analyzed retrospectively. Linear regression of clusters defined by the Glaucoma Hemifield Test (GHT) was performed based on the mean threshold in each cluster. Global and sectoral rates of change in RAs were assessed and correlated with functional clustered measures. Clustered rates of VF and RA change were flagged as statistically significant progression if the gradients over time were negative with p<0.05.

Results

<u>GHT</u> cluster analysis and RA rates classified 78,5% (clusters \geq 1) and 86.3% eyes as progressing, respectively, with poor agreement (k=0.06). The median number of progressing cluster was 1.3 and visual field progression was greater and more frequently seen in clusters 4 (23.1%) and cluster 1 (21.5%). 88.6% of eyes with progression in both clusters and rim areas showed correlation between rim area sectors and corresponding visual field clusters.

<u>Conclusions</u>

Visual field clusters successfully detected spatial locations of sensitivity loss showing concordance with structural rim area measures. This suggests GHT clusters to be a sensitive method for the early identification of glaucomatous visual field loss.

	Stable		Progressive	
	Number	(%)	Number	(%)
GHT clusters	11	(21.5%)	40	(78.5%)
Rim area (HRT)	7	(13.7%)	44	(86.3%)

View Original Download Slide

Percentage of subjects with progression in GHT cluster and HRT.

The Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology