

10<sup>th</sup> European Congress of Chemical Engineering  
3<sup>rd</sup> European Congress of Applied Biotechnology  
5<sup>th</sup> European Process Intensification Conference

# ECCE 10+ECAB3+EPIC5

September 27<sup>th</sup> - October 1<sup>st</sup> 2015

Nice, France

Chemical Engineering and Biochemical Engineering  
for a new sustainable process industry in Europe

## ABSTRACT BOOK



Modular process	P.878
Plant intensification	P.883
Scale-up & industrial implementation of intensified technologies	P.893
Sustainability and safety	P.901

**Posters**

<b>ECAB3</b>	Agro-food biotechnology	P.904	
	Biocatalysis and biotransformation	P.914	
	Bioenergy, biofuels&renewables	P.938	
	Biopharmaceuticals	P.964	
	Bioproducts (or bio-based products)	P.968	
	Bioreactor engineering	P.997	
	Biorefineries	P.1023	
	Biosensors	P.1037	
	Downstream processing	P.1042	
	Marine biotechnology, algae and photobiotechnology	P.1061	
	Modeling and control	P.1071	
	Nanobiotechnology	P.1083	
	PAT	P.1087	
	Protein and enzyme stability	P.1092	
	Systems biotechnology and metabolic engineering	P.1100	
	<b>ECCE10</b>	Bulk Materials Handling & Processing	P.1106
		Chemical reaction engineering	P.1120
Computer-aided Engineering / Process Systems Engineering		P.1208	
Education (workshop)		P.1253	
Electrochemical Engineering (workshop)		P.1262	
Energy: conservation and management		P.1284	
Fluid separations processes and technologies		P.1311	
High pressure process technology and high temperature		P.1345	
Industrial systems		P.1366	
Industrial use of thermodynamics (symposium)		P.1370	
Innovation		P.1393	
Membrane Engineering		P.1395	
Mixing / Multiphase flow		P.1432	
New and unconventional resources		P.1462	
Particulate solids/solids processing		P.1471	
Polymer Reaction Engineering		P.1516	
Process safety and loss prevention (workshop)		P.1527	
Product Design and Engineering (symposium)		P.1556	
Soil remediation		P.1580	
Sustainable Management of Natural Resources (symposium)		P.1584	
Symposium of the European Academy of Food Engineering		P.1605	
Technological innovation for water management in the urban and industrial context (workshop)		P.1630	
Waste sludges treatment and disposal	P.1669		
<b>EPIC5</b>	Batch to continuous	P.1674	

# POSTERS



**TOP-COVERED UNBAFFLED STIRRED TANKS: EXPERIMENTS AND NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS**

Congress: ECCE10

Topic: Mixing / Multiphase flow

Presenting author: Alberto Brucato

Authors and affiliations : Francesca Scargiali:Dipartimento di Ingegneria chimica, gestionale, meccanica e informatica,Università di Palermo,Palermo,Italy|Alessandro Tamburini:Dipartimento di Ingegneria chimica, gestionale, meccanica e informatica,Università di Palermo,Palermo,Italy|Andrea Cipollina:Dipartimento di Ingegneria chimica, gestionale, meccanica e informatica,Università di Palermo,Palermo,Italy|Giorgio Micale:Dipartimento di Ingegneria chimica, gestionale, meccanica e informatica,Università di Palermo,Palermo,Italy|Michele Ciofalo:Dipartimento di Ingegneria chimica, gestionale, meccanica e informatica,Università di Palermo,Palermo,Italy|Alberto Brucato:Dipartimento di Ingegneria chimica, gestionale, meccanica e informatica,Università di Palermo,Palermo,Italy

**Abstract:**

Stirred tanks are widely employed in a large number of process industries. A correct design and operation of these systems is often crucial for the economical profitability of the process. In recent years many research efforts have been devoted to the seldom employed unbaffled stirred vessels. Although unbaffled tanks may exhibit poorer mixing rates than baffled vessels, they may show significant advantages in a number of applications: crystallization, bioremediation, biotechnology, ore industry, etc. Therefore, a better knowledge of the features of the flow field that characterizes these apparatuses is important for assessing the applications for which advantageous overcome drawbacks.

In this work Computational Fluid Dynamics was employed to predict the flow field of an unbaffled stirred tank from steady to turbulent conditions. The tank was stirred by a standard six-bladed Rushton turbine and provided with a top-cover to prevent the central air vortex formation. A corresponding baffled tank was also simulated in order to compare the flow fields of the two systems.

Both transient and steady state simulations were carried out. For the case of the unbaffled vessel a reference frame moving with the impeller was adopted. The well-known Multiple Reference Frame was employed for the baffled tank to account for the impeller-to-baffle relative rotation.

A grid dependence analysis was performed both in terms of local and global quantities of the flow field. A structured grid of about 4 million computational cells was found to be sufficient to provide results practically unaffected by any grid dependence.

The transient simulations exhibited velocity profiles perfectly steady up to the a Reynolds number equal to 150 thus implying that the flow field is still laminar, despite the significant departure of the relevant power number ( $N_p$ ) values from the well-known viscous inverse proportionality law. In particular the flow fields was creepy up to  $Re=3.5$ . The transient and the steady state simulations were found to provide identical results. Different turbulent models were employed to simulate the unbaffled system under turbulent conditions: in particular, for the first time the k-w SST model was employed to predict local and global quantities in a top-covered unbaffled stirred tank. The  $N_p$  values predicted were found to be in a very good agreement with purposely collected experimental data. The flow fields exhibited by the two tanks were very similar under steady conditions thus confirming both our experiments and those by Rushton (1950).

Reference 1: Rushton, J.H., Costich, E.W., Everett, H.J., Power characteristics of mixing impellers, part II. Chemical Engineering Process, 46 (9) (1950) 467-476.

Reference 2 :

Reference 3 :

Reference 4 :

Highlight 1: CFD was employed to predict the flow field of unbaffled tanks from steady to turbulence

Highlight 2: The transient and the steady state simulations were found to provide identical results

Highlight 3: The  $N_p$  values predicted were found to be in very good agreement with experimental data