



Congresso Scientifico: Ricerca di base, interdisciplinare e traslazionale in ambito Biologico e Biotecnologico



BACTERIA CONSORTIA AND DETERIORATION OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL WATERLOGGED WOOD: IDENTIFICATION BY MOLECULAR AND MICROSCOPY TECHNIQUES

Giovanna Barresi, Enza Di Carlo, Franco Palla

Dipartimento STEBICEF, sezione di Botanica ed Ecologia Vegetale, Laboratorio di Biologia e Biotecnologie per i Beni Culturali, Via Archirafi 28, 90123 Palermo, e-mail: franco.palla@unipa.it

In this study molecular tools were applied to reveal and identify bacterial colonization in samples from archaeological waterlogged wood. The results obtained by observation of wooden sections, shown the presence of black and dark-brown areas and mineral concretions. The SEM analysis revealed a specific cell walls alteration, attributable to bacterial activity, other than abundant pyrite framboids (FeS₂). Molecular methods allow us to extract microbial genomic DNA from wood samples and *in vitro* amplify (PCR) bacteria DNA target sequences (16S, ITS-rRNA). Through sequences analysis of PCR products cellulosolytic and ligninolytic bacteria, such as Pseudomonas, Cellulomonas, Xanthomonas and Bacillus genera, have been revealed. Moreover the presence of *Marinobacter* spp. and *Desulforudis audaxviator*, respectively iron-oxidizing and sulfate-reducing bacteria, were identify.

OM OBSERVATIONS and SEM ANALYSIS

DETERIORATION OF WOOD STRUCTURE



Fig.1 Small fragments (Pinus sp.) sampled from different areas (pointed in red), of wooden structure (upper figure). OM observations at different magnifications show chromatic alterations of wood fragments (dark areas) and mineral concretions/deposits, must probably due to sulphur compounds. Data show common alterations revealed in waterlogged wood, indicating both long-term anoxic burial.

Fig.2 SEM micrographs. A-D) radial view: cracks and fractures in cell walls (arrows) probably due to mechanical deterioration; B-C) single or cluster of pyrite framboids; E) transverse section: framboid is inside the wall cell; primary and secondary walls (S1, S2) are shown, S1 is degradated, while S2 is divided from middle lamella (arrow); cell walls profile appears degraded by erosion bacteria (arrow); F) presence of channels (arrows) and the aspect of secondary wall is typical of the bacterial decay. The identification of state of conservation indicate both long-term burial in anoxic environment and colonization by sulphatereducing bacteria.

MOLECULAR ANALYSIS

IDENTIFICATION OF BACTERIAL CONSORTIA

PRIMERS	ITS1f: 5'- TCC GTA GGT GAA CCT GCG G- 3'(forward)ITS1r: 5'- GCT GCG TTC TTC ATC GAT GC-3'(reverse)16S rRNA specific gene for: Pseudomonas (PSEUB1-PSEUB2),Cellulomonas (CEL1-CEL3), Bacillus (BFP1-BFP2)	ITS	Desulforudis audaxviator Marinobacter Xanthomonas	Sulphate- reducing bacteria Iron-oxiding bacteria Ligninolytic bacteria
		1.00	Cellulomonas	Cellulosolytic bacteria commonly
REACTION MIXTURE	Genomic DNA, 1X Reaction Buffer, 10 μ M primer <i>f</i> 10 μ M primer <i>r</i> , 2 Mm dNTP mix, 2 mM MgCl2, 5 u/ μ l Taq DNA polimerase	168	Bacillus Pseudomonas	recovered in degradeted wood
REACTION CYCLES	1 cycle: 95 ° C for 5 minutes 30 cycles: 94 ° C for 1 min; 50°C - 58 ° C for 1 min; 72 ° C for 2 min final extension step: 72 °C for 7 min	Total bacter	rial DNA was extracted dire	ectly from wood fragments by Stool



Fig.3 Gel electrophoresis of PCR products (agarose gel, 2%). A) Amplification products of ITS bacterial region; molecular investigation results confirm the presence of bacteria such as Desulforudis audaxviator (220 bp), Marinobacter spp. (750 bp) and Xanthomonas spp. (680 bp); B) amplification products of 16S-rRNA bacterial gene, specific primers for (lane 4) Cellulomonas spp. (201 bp); (lane 5) Bacillus spp. (181 bp); (lane 6) Pseudomonas spp. (338 bp). Marker Sharpmass (M).

mini Kit (Quiagen), partially modified. Genomic DNA was utilized as template in PCR reactions. Specific ribosomal DNA sequences, 16S gene or ITS, were respectively amplified by specific or universal primers.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study allowed:

evaluate alterations of ✓ to waterlogged through microscopy fragments wood techniques

 \checkmark to develop a suitable protocol for extraction of microbial DNA directly from wood samples

✓ identification of bacteria with ligninolytic and cellulosolytic activity through molecular techniques

REFERENCES

◆Safa A. et al (2012) Using SEM in monitoring changes in archaeological wood: A review. Current Microscopy Contributions to Advances in *Science and Technology* (A. Méndez-Vilas, ed)

◆Palla F. (2012) Analytical techniques: analysis of microbial colonization. In Science and Conservation in Museum Collections, B. Fabbri (ed), Nardini, Firenze, 14, 459-470

◆Blanchette R. A. (2000) A review of microbial deterioration found in archaeological wood from different environments. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation 46, 189-204



Many thanks to S. Tusa, C. Buccellato and Soprintendenza del Mare, Regione Siciliana, Palermo. A.M. Mannino, STEBICEF,

(University of Palermo) for Scanning Electron Microscopic observations; B. Megna (University of Palermo) for analysis and

identification of wood samples.