1 The evolution of human synteny 4 by mapping sub-chromosomal specific probes in Primates

2 3 4

5

^aFrancesca Dumas and ^aLuca Sineo

^aDipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Biologiche, Chimiche e Farmaceutiche (STEBICEF), Università
degli Studi Palermo, via Archirafi 18. Palermo, Italy

- 8
- 9 Abstract

10 Comparative cytogenetic data concerning the ortholog to human chromosome 4 in primates shows that this 11 chromosome is conserved between humans and non-human primates. However, the degree of conservation is not 12 as high as previously estimated. In primates it is a large submetacentric chromosome but many exceptions are 13 known especially in taxa characterized by a high level of chromosomal rearrangements. The rearrangements that 14 have been visualized by chromosome painting so far, mostly interchromosomal changes, are only a fraction of 15 the actual chromosomal changes that have occurred during evolution. Intrachromosome changes can be analyzed 16 through classical cytogenetic approach or by mapping sub-chromosomal specific probes. In order to study 17 human synteny 4 evolution we mapped diverse subchromosomal specific probes, on chromosomes of 18 representative species of the main Primates taxa, with the aim to verify markers order conservation along the 19 orthologues to human chromosome 4 allowing us the detection of possible intra-chromosomal rearrangements. 20 The mapping of these probes permitted us to test previous cytogenetic hypothesis on human syntemy 4 21 evolution, and to show a markers order conservation between orthologues to human synteny 4 in 22 Catarrhini and Platyrrhini, but with a different position of the centromeres. This data permitted us to 23 hypothesize the occurrence of a new centromeres evolution in one of the two lineages. Moreover we 24 analysed literature data regarding HSA4 homologous in Primates with particular attention to Platyrrhini 25 allowing us the reconstruction of the changes that synteny 4 has undergone during evolution. Lastly we 26 highlight the value of the subchromososomal specific probes mapping approach in the detection of 27 intrachromosomal rearrangements that can be crucial for a more refined comparative mapping and for 28 phylogenetic reconstruction.

- 29
- ___

30

Keywords: human chromosome 4; chromosomal rearrangements; Platyrrhini, Phylogeny; Evolution.

31

32 Introduction

Molecular cytogenetics by chromosomal painting provides a tentative reconstruction of ancestral genomes for the major branching of Mammals trees. Starting from the proposed ancestral genome of Primates (Ferguson-Smith and Trifonov 2007; Robinson and Ruiz-Herrera 2008) it has been possible to

1 reconstruct the most important steps leading to the formation of human chromosomes over the last 100 2 million years (Stanyon et al. 2008). However, chromosome painting gives considerable data on inter-3 chromosomal rearrangements (translocations) but the knowledge of intra-chromosomal rearrangements 4 in the different lineages remains limited. This creates several problems on interpretation of results 5 applied to phylogeny. Intrachromosomal rearrangement can be hypothesized through the study of 6 classical cytogenetics data such as G-band patterns and can be confirmed, at the molecular level, using 7 subchromosomal probes (Sineo et al. 2007, Dumas and Sineo 2010) obtained or by cloning DNA in 8 vectors such as Yeast Artificial Chromosomes (YACs) and Bacterial Artificial Chromosomes (BACs) 9 or by microdissection. This approach is a useful tool as it allows researchers the definition of markers 10 order along chromosomes and eventually detect inversions and the occurrence of evolutionary new 11 centromeres (Stanyon et al. 2008), which are considered important genomic structures promoting 12 chromosomal evolution (Villasante et al. 2007). Indeed, it has been possible to appreciate that the 13 pericentromeric regions are rich in duplicons, transponsons, retroelements, all currently considered to 14 be characteristic of "hot spots" of chromosomes in both evolution and in diseases. Evolutionary new 15 centromeres (ENC) arise in a novel chromosomal region without any change in marker order and are 16 accompanied by the inactivation of the old centromere (Marshall et al. 2008; Rocchi et al. 2009).

17 One of the most debated topics of evolutionary history involves human chromosome 4. The human 18 synteny 4 evolution has been recently studied in Eutherian mammals by comparative karyological and 19 genomic data analysis (Picone et al. 2010, Dumas, 2012b). In most mammals the homologues to 20 human chromosome 4 are associated with the small arm of the human chromosome 8 (4/8p) (Richard et 21 al. 2001; Svartman et al. 2004; Wienberg et al. 2005; Dumas et al. 2012). For this reason, and because 22 of the 4/8 association is present in the marsupial Monodelphis domestica, (Mikkelsen et al. 2007) and 23 the bird Gallus gallus (Murphy et al. 2005; Robinson and Herrera 2008), it has been considered as an 24 ancestral association in the reconstruction of the ancestral karyotype of all eutherian mammals 25 (Ferguson- Smith and Trifonov, 2007; Stanyon et al. 2008). As already demonstrated (Graphodasky et 26 al. 2011), the 4/8 association has been subject to numerous rearrangements forming new associations 27 with other (human) syntenies in Muridae and Canidae or it has even been diversely disrupted in 28 Primates (Stanyon et al. 2008), Sirenia (Kellogs et al. 2007) and Proboscidea (Yang et al. 2003).

The ortholog to human synteny 4 in the ancestral primate karyotype is derived from the fission of the ancestral 4/8 association. In Primates, the HSA 4 homolog has been considered a conserved single submetacentric chromosome (Haig *et al.* 1999), but many exceptions are known especially in taxa characterized by a high level of chromosomal rearrangements such as Strephirrhini (Nie *et al.* 2006), New Word monkeys (De Oliveira *et al.* 2002, 2012) Cercopithecini (Dumas and Sineo 2010; Moulin *et al.* 2008) and Hylobatidae (Muller *et al.* 2003).

In order to refine the dynamic of human synteny 4 in Primates, we hybridized a panel of subchromosomal specific probes, (arm probes, BACs and single locus probes) on the orthologous to human chromosome 4 in a representative group of haplorrhini species (table 1). The mapping of these probes permits us to test previous cytogenetic hypothesis on human synteny 4 evolution, and to analyse markers order and intrachromosomal rearrangements. The results, compared and associated with previously published data regarding HSA4 homologous in Primates, allowed us to propose the changes that synteny 4 has undergone during evolution, with a special focus on Platyrrhini.

10

11 Materials and methods

12

Following the standard protocol (Small *et al.* 1985) metaphases of the taxa listed in table 1, were obtained from primary cultures of lymphoblast or fibroblast cell lines and successively fixed on slides:

15

Infraorder	Scientific	abbreviations	Common name	Sample Source	
	name of Taxa	of names			
Platyrrhini					
	Saimiri sciureus	SSC	Common squirrel monkeys	National Cancer Institute, United States of America	
	Saguinus oedipus	SOE	Cotton top- tamarins	University of Bari, Italy National Cancer Institute, United States of America National Cancer Institute, United States of America National Cancer Institute, United States of America Tokyo University, Japan	
	Callimico goeldii	CGO	Goeldi's tamarin		
	Cebuella pygmaea	СРҮ	Pygmy marmoset		
	Callithrix jacchus	CJA	Common marmoset white- tufted-ear		
	Aotus lemurinus griseimembra	ALE	Owl monkeys		
	Lagothrix lagotricha	LLA	Woolly monkeys	National Cancer Institute, United States of America	
Catarrhini					
	Chlorocebus aethiops	CAE	Grivet monkey	National Cancer Institute, United States of America	
	Erythrocebus	EPA	Patas monkey	National Cancer Institute,	

patas			United States of America		
Cercopithecus	CAL	Afromontane	Fort Hare University, South		
albogularis		samango monkey	Africa		
labiatus					
Macaca	MAR	Bear macaca	National Cancer Institute,		
arctoides			United States of America		
Pongo p.	PPY	Borneo orangutan	National Cancer Institute,		
pygmaeus			United States of America		
Gorilla gorilla	GGO	Gorilla	National Cancer Institute,		
			United States of America		
Pan	PTR	Common	National Cancer		
troglodytes		chimpanze	Institute, United States of		
irogioayies			America		

Table 1. list of platyrrhini and catarrhini taxa analysed in the present study and samples source.
 Primates species classification follows Perelman et al. [2011].

3

The human BAC clones, kindly provided by Prof. M. Rocchi from Bari University, were chosen on the UCSC browser (hg18 assembly, UCSC March 2006 release) and previously used in FISH experiments on human metaphases to validate their mapping. The validated BACs were co- hybridized in FISH experiments.

8 Two supplementary subchromosomal specific probes commercially available have been mapped on 9 the Primates taxa analysed: the human 4(HSA) p-arm probe (Q-BIOgene – rhodamine labeled/ 10 PlatinumBright) and the single locus probe FIP1L1-CHIC2-DDGFRA –HSA 4 q12, (Q-BIOgene – 11 rhodamine labeled/ PlatinumBright).

12

Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) using subchromosomal probes on primates metaphases
 fixed on slides.

15

16 FISH with HSA, BACs probe

Metaphases fixed on slides were performed in 50% formamide (v/v), 10% dextran sulphate, $2 \times SSC$ at 37°C, in the presence of human Cot1 DNA (Gibco-BRL). Hybridization of BACs probes on Primates Post-hybridization washing included 50% formamide, $2 \times SSC$ at 42°C, or 50% formamide, 1 $\times SSC$ at 37°C, followed by three washes in $1 \times SSC$ at 42°C. The chromosomes were stained with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2- phenylindole).

- 23
- 24
- 25

FISH with HSA 4p-arm probe

5 Primates metaphases fixed on slides where incubated in 2X SSC 0.5% NP-40, pH 7.0 at 37°C for 15 6 minutes and dehydrated in ethanol series (70%, 85%, 100%) at room temperature for 2 minutes each. 7 Metaphases were denatured in 70% formamide/ 2X SSC, pH 7.0 at 72°C (± 2°C) for 2 minutes; 8 dehydrated in a 4°C ethanol series (70%, 85% and 100%) for 2 minutes each. The probe was denatured 9 at 90°C for 5-10 minutes and hybridized. Slides after hybridization where incubated overnight at 37°C 10 in a wet chamber. After hybridization slides were washed in 1X Wash buffer (0,4X SSC/0,3% NP-40) 11 for 2 minutes at 72°C without agitation followed by a wash of 2XSSC/0,1% Igepal for a minute at 12 room temperature. Slides were then dehydrated in ethanol series (70%, 85%, 100%) at room 13 temperature for 1 minutes each. On the wet slides was applied 15 µl DAPI antifade (final concentration 14 $0.02 \ \mu\text{g/ml}$) or PI/antifade (0.3 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), and a glass cover slip.

15 16

17

1 2 3

4

FISH with HSA, FIP1L1-CHIC2-DDGFRA, 4p12 probe

18 Primates metaphases fixed on slides where incubated in 2X SSC 0.5% NP-40, pH 7.0 at 37°C for 30 19 minutes and a dehydrated in ethanol series (70%, 80%, 95%) at room temperature for 2 minutes each. 20 Metaphases were denatured in 70% formamide/ 2X SSC, pH 7.0 at 72°C (± 2°C) for 2 minutes; and 21 dehydrated in a 4°C ethanol series (70%, 80% and 95%) for 2 minutes each. The probe was denatured 22 at 75°C for 5-10 minutes and hybridized. Slides after hybridization were incubated overnight at 37°C in 23 a wet chamber. After hybridization slides were washed in 1X Wash buffer (0,5 X SSC/ 0,1% SDS) for 24 5 minutes at 65°C without agitation. On the wet slides was applied 15 µl DAPI antifade (final 25 concentration 0.02 µg/ml) or PI/antifade (0.3 µg/ml), and a glass cover slip.

26

All digital images were obtained using a Leica DMRXA2 epifluorescence microscope equipped with a cooled CCD camera (Princeton Instruments). Cy3-dCTP, FluorXdCTP, Cy5-dCTP, and DAPI Pseudocoloring; merging of images were performed using Adobe Photoshop software.

30

31 **Results**

32 All the probes mapped in the present study and the taxa on which they were appropriately 33 hybridized are listed in Table 2. Hybridization are in agreement with painting data regarding the

- 1 orthologous to human chromosome 4 in Primates. The primates syntenies reconstructed in this work
- 2 have been done using the homologies with humans as reference.
- 3

HSA				Human	Taxa and
chromosomal				banding	chromosomes
arm international	Alphabetic			position of	on which
code	order and		UCSC browser	probes	probes map
	labelling of		position of HSA,		
	probes	Clone name	DNA probes		
					SSC1, CJA3,
					SOE7, CGO9,
					CPY9, LLA19,
					MAR4, CAE27,
			chr4:1,850,027-		EPA2, GGO10,
2	А	RP11-1150B4	2,000,642	4p16	PPY3, PTR3,
р					CAL 24
		4p- arm			CJA3, SSC1,
		probe (Whole			SOE7, ALE 9
		arm)			
с	centromere				
	F	FIP1L1-		4q12	SSC1,SOE7,
		CHIC2-			ALE9
		DDGFRA			
			chr4:135,127,036-	q28.3	PPY3, SSC1
			135,329,748		
	Ι	RP11-637n1			
a			chr4:145,428,129-	q31.22	PPY3, SSC1
q			145,602,514		
	L	RP11-166k6			
			chr4:157,931,025-	q32.1	PPY3, SSC1
	М	RP11-70L18	158,098,577		
			chr4:166,447,984-	q32.3	SSC1, SOE7
	Ν	RP11-433J23	66,559,008		

Table 2. list of probes used to track HSA 4 evolution. In the first column are listed in order and portioned by the indication of the centromeres position the HSA probes in p and q arms. In the second column are reported the alphabetic letters labelling the probes used in text and figures of the work for the sake of simplicity. In third and fourth columns are listened the specific BAC clones name and their HSA sequence position reported in the UCSC browser. The fifty column is listened the G banding regions from which HSA probes derive. Acronyms in the last column refer to the taxa and the chromosome on which the probes were mapped.

8

9

The results can be resumed as follow:

Probes A has been mapped on various catarrhini and platyrrhini species (Fig1a-d); it 10 1) 11 falls in a p-terminal position on submetacentric chromosomes of all Old Word monkeys (PPY 3, 12 PTR 3, GGO 10, MAR 5, EPA 2) with the exception of CAE, where it falls on the acrocentric 13 chromosome 27 and CAL, where it maps in a terminal position of the acrocentric chromosome 14 24. Probe A falls on New Word monkeys in a q terminal position on the submetacentric 15 chromosomes (SSC 1, SOE 7, CPY 9, CGO 9) but on LLA acrocentric chromosome 19, and on ALE submetacentric chromosome 9 where synteny 4 is fissioned and associated with HSA 16 17 synteny 15 (imagines not shown in the picture).

18 2) The human 4 p-arm (Fig 1 e-h) and F (HSAq12) probes (Fig 1 i-l) on Platyrrhinae maps
19 in a q position on the submetacentric chromosome of CJA (ch. 3), SSC (ch. 1), SOE (ch.7) and
20 ALE (ch. 9),

3) The hybridization of probes I, L, M, N was repeated on *Saimiri sciureus* (Platyrrhini) and *Pongo pygmaeus* (Catarrhini) (Fig. 1 m). A co-hybridization of L, M, N probes were performed to assess the relative order of markers with certainty. They mapped respectively in a q arm position on chromosome 3 of PPY and in the p arm position on chromosome 1 of SSC with an opposite orientation (Dumas and Sineo 2011). The obtained data have been compared with BAC probes previously mapped on the homolog to human chromosome 4 in CJA (ch. 3) (Stanyon *et al.* 2008).

28

29 **Discussion**

30 Sinteny 4 evolution in Primates

31 On the basis of previous molecular cytogenetics results present in literature, mainly painting data, 32 we reconstruct a scenario regarding chromosome 4 evolution in Primates (Fig 2). The ortholog to

1 human synteny 4 in the ancestral primate karyotype, a submetacentric chromosome, is derived from the 2 fission of the ancestral 4/8 association. In Strepsirrhini, synteny 4 was divided into two segments 3 (Stanyon et al., 2002, Stanyon et al., 2006), which in various species have been subject to traslocations 4 (Rumpler et al., 2008). In Platyrrhini the orthologous segments are conserved as a single 5 submetacentric chromosome in Cebidae and Pithecidae (Stanyon et al., 2000, Neusser et al., 2001, 6 Dumas et al., 2007) except in Atelidae. In this last family synteny 4 exhibits a high degree of 7 reshuffling and presents up to three fragments (Dumas et al., 2005, De Olivera et al., 2012). In 8 Catarrhini infraorder the ortholog to human chromosome 4 is a submetacentric chromosome in all the 9 species analysed through painting (Ruiz Herrera et al. 2002; Stanyon et al. 2005; Bigoni et al. 1997a,b, 2003, 2004) with the exception of Cercopithecinae and Hylobatidae (Finelli et al. 1999; Moulin et al 10 11 2008; Muller et al. 2003; Dumas and Sineo 2010).

12 Marker order along synteny 4 in Primates

13 The mapping of subchromosomal specific probes in a few representative of Primates and the 14 comparison with an outgroup (*Felis catus*) leads researchers to hypothesized a markers order 15 conservation in the ancestral form of human synteny 4 in Primates (Stanyon et al. 2008) with some 16 exception in Catarrhini; indeed, through subchromosomal probes mapping in various Old Word 17 monkeys such as Macaca (Ventura et al. 2007), Pongo pygmaeus, Gorilla gorilla and Pan troglodytes 18 (Marzella et al. 2000; Clemente et al. 1990) those exception have been demonstrate as previously 19 suggested (Yunish and Prakash 1982) on the base of high resolution GTG banding analysis, probably 20 as result of peri-centromeric inversions.

Our BACs mapping is in agreement with the chromosome painting results, as all probes fall on the orthologous to human chromosomes. The ortholog to human chromosome 4 in the species here considered is a submetacentric chromosome with the exception of *Chlorocebus aethiops* and *Cercopithecus albogularis labiatus* (Catarrhini), where it is fissioned (Finelli *et al.* 1999, Moulin *et al.* 2008) with *Lagothrix lagotricha* and *Aotus lemurinus griseimembra* (Platyrrhini), where respectively human paint 4 maps on two or more chromosomes in association with others syntenies (Neusser et al, 2001; Stanyon *et al.* 2011).

We found probe A on apparent opposite location in the species analyzed (Fig. 3a): in a terminal position of the short arm (4p), in Catarrhini (*P. pygmaeus* 3, *P. troglodytes* 3, *M. arctoides* 5, *E. patas* 2 and *G. gorilla* 10), and in a terminal position of the long arm (4q) in Platyrrhini (*S. sciureus* 3, *S. oedipus* 7, *C. goeldii* 9 and *C. pygmaea* 9); even in *C. albogularis labiatus, C. aethiops* (Catarrhini), *A. lemurinus griseimembra* and *L. lagotrica* (Platyrrhini) where human synteny 4 has been split in two or

1 more fragments and, and in association with syntemy 15, the probe maintained its original location. 2 Indeed probe A falls in a terminal position, on acrocentric chromosomes of L. lagotricha 19, C. 3 aethiops 27, C. albogularis labiatus 24, and in the q arm position on a submetacentric chromosome of 4 A. lemurinus griseimembra 9, without other evident rearrangements. The different position of the probe 5 signal in the two lineages can be explained as the result of a large pericentromeric inversion or of the 6 occurrence of a new centromeres activation as it was previously hypothesized through classic banding 7 analysis for the homologues to human chromosome 4 in Cebus capucinus (Platyrrhini) (Dutrillaux et 8 al. 1976). To test the two hypothesis we hybridized human 4 p-arm (including the HSA 4 p16.3 region-9 probe A) and probe F (being in a region close to the centromeres in HSA chromosome -4 but on the 10 other arm, q) in Platyrrhini (C. jacchus 3, S. sciureus 1, S. oedipus 7 and A. lemurinus griseimembra 9). 11 We show that both the probes map on the q arm in platyrrhini species; furthermore both in a region far 12 from their centromere position but maintaining their reciprocal position and orientation (Fig. 3b); this 13 evidence shows that the HSA markers order is conserved in the species analysed and the different 14 position seen for probe A in Platyrrhini and Catarrhini is only apparent Those results allow us to 15 support the hypothesis of a conservation of markers orders as any inversion of the markers occurred, 16 supporting the previous results reported for a few platyrrhini 4 orthologs analysed (Stanyon *et al.* 2008) 17 and furthermore to suggest that the different apparent position of the A probe signals in New and Old 18 World monkeys considered, as like the differences of 4p-arm and F probes signal position in Platyrrhini 19 and Catarrhini is due to a new centromere activation occurred in one of the two lineages and the two 20 form of chromosome are inverted (upside down). In evaluating the orientation of synteny segments in 21 non-human primates with respect to humans, it is important to note that chromosomes are usually 22 represented with the short arm (p) on top and for each chromosomes the base-pair count conventionally 23 starts from the tip of the short arm; In several Primates chromosomes (Roberto et al., 2008) the 24 centromere index in the genome release could be incorrect because of rearrangements or simply 25 because of centromere repositioning events as it is possible to appreciate in the CCJ chromosome 4 26 homologues released in the UCSC browser when compared with the present evidences.

In the present work we repeated a previous BACs hybridizations [(4q 28.3), L (4q 31.22), M (4q32.1),

N (4q32.3)] on *S. sciureus* and *P. pygmeus* (Dumas and Sineo 2010). The results has been compared with data present in literature regarding *C. jacchus* (Ch.3), where marker order had been demonstrate to be conserved (Stanyon *et al.* 2008, Rocchi *et. Al.* 2009). The comparison permitted us to show a different position and orientation of the probes (block I to N), along the chromosomes homologues of

32 the two platyrrhini species, explainable as result of a large pericentric inversion occurred in *S. sciureus*

1 (Fig 3c). This data permits us to underline that there are exceptions respect the conservative status of
synteny 4 even in Platyrrhini.

3 Furthermore based on cytogenetic data present in literature (Stanyon et al. 2008, Dumas and Sineo 4 2011, Ruiz Herrera et al. 2005; Stanyon et al. 2011, Stanyon et al. 2001; Stanyon et al. 2008) we 5 define the chromosomes rearrangements occurred during evolution in New Word monkeys (Fig 3d). 6 We recognize, through classic banding pattern analysis (Dutrillaux et al. 1979), a first genomic 7 organization of synteny 4 in cebidae species such as *Cebus capucinus* from which derived the others 8 forms by: a new centromere formation in C. jacchus 3 as demonstrate by Stanyon and Collegues 9 (2008); a large pericentric inversion in S. sciureus 1 (Cebidae) (present work); a robertsonian fission 10 and successive traslocation to form a new syntenic association with human synteny 15 (4a, 4bc/15) in 11 A. lemurinus griseimembra (Aotinae- Cebidae); two non centromeric fissions with the production of 12 tree fragments and a traslocation to form the 4/15 association (4a, 4b/15, 4c) in L. lagotricha (Atelidae) 13 with chromosome LLA19 (4c) showing a new centromeres. Note that the association 4/15 in L. 14 lagotricha has different breakpoints if compared with the one in Aotus and does not represent a 15 synapomorphy linking the two species (Picone and Sineo 2010) as supposable even in the A. lemurinus 16 griseimembra subspecies.

17

18 Conclusion

19 We investigated the evolutionary steps of human synteny 4 by performing original hybridizations 20 and interrogating our data with respect to previous findings on orthologous to human chromosome 4 in 21 primates. We mapped sub-chromosomal probes of interesting critical points on chromosomes of 22 representative group of Anthropoidea (Primates), in order to define and verify marker clustering and 23 possible chromosomal rearrangements. We performed banding pattern and BACs pattern study together 24 that are of great help in joint analysis. Furthermore we reconstructed the evolutionary steps that synteny 25 4 has undergone during primate evolution with particular attention to Platyrrhini by analysing literature data on painting and BACs probes mapping. 26

Through the mapping of different probes of critical interest we tested previously cytogenetics hypothesis on synteny 4 in New and Old word monkeys allowing us to support the general conservative status of the synteny but with some exceptions. In particular:

1) the mapping of A, p-arm and F probes in catarrhini and platyrrhini species analysed permit us to
 exclude the hypothesis of a pericentromeric inversion as responsible of the apparent differences in
 between the syntenies 4 in Neotropical and Old word monkeys; conversely we support the hypothesis

about the markers order conservation in the orthologues to human chromosome 4 in anthropoidea species; indeed we single out that the chromosomes homologous to human synteny 4 in the two lineages are just inverted and they differ merely in the position of the centromeres; this evidence stimulates a innovative hypothesis in which the activation of a new centromere occurred in one of the two lineages;

6 2) Our analysis of cytogenetic data present in literature regarding human synteny 4 allow us to show 7 the main evolutionary steps that synteny 4 has undergone during Primates evolution with particular 8 attention to Platyrrhini. In New Word monkeys we show a high level of genomic changes including 9 inter and intrachromosomes rearrangements such as traslocation, fissions, pericentromeric inversion 10 and new centromere activation; rearrangements potentially useful in phylogenetic and genomic studies 11 of sequence assembly.

12

13 Acknowledgments

14 The authors would like to R. Stanyon from the University of Florence (Italy) for providing cell lines 15 cultured while in NCI; T. Ishida from the University of Tokyo (Japan) for providing a cell line; M. 16 Rocchi from the University of Bari for providing BACs probes. Research has been supported by FFR 17 funds 2012 attributed to LS from the University of Palermo.

18

19 **References**

20

Amaral P.J.S., Finotelo L.F.M., De Oliveira E.H.C., Pissinati A., Nagamachi C.Y., and Piezarcka
 J.C. 2008. *Phylogenetic studies of the genus Cebus (Cebidae-Primates) using chromosome painting* and G-banding. BMC Evolutionary Biology, 8:169-178.

Barros R.M., Nagamachi C.Y, Pieczarka, J.C, Rodrigues L.R.R., Neusser, M. De Oliveira E.H.C.,
Wienberg J, Muniz J.A.P.C., Rissino D.J., and Muller S. 2003. *Chromosomal studies in Callicebus donacophilus pallescens, with classic and molecular cytogenetic approaches: multicolour FISH using human and Saguinus oedipus painting probes.* Chromosome Research, 11: 327-334.

Bigoni F., Koehler U., Stanyon R., Ishida T., and Wienberg J. 1997a. *Fluorescence in situ* hybridization establishes homology between human and silvered leaf monkey chromosomes, reveals reciprocal translocations between chromosomes homologous to human Y/5, 1/9, and 6/16, and delineates an X1X2Y1Y2/ X1X1X2X2 sex-chromosome system. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 102: 315-327.

Bigoni F., Koehler U., Stanyon R., Morescalchi A.M., and Wienberg J. 1997b. *Mapping homology between human and black and white colobine monkey chromosomes by fluorescent in situ hybridization*. American Journal of Primatology, 42: 289-298.

Bigoni F., Stanyon R., Wimmer R., and Schempp W. 2003. *Chromosome painting shows that the proboscis monkey (Nasalis larvatus) has a derived karyotype and is phylogenetically nested within Asian Colobines.* American Journal of Primatology, 60: 85-93.

Bigoni F., Houck M.L., Ryder O.A., Wienberg J., and Stanyon R. 2004. *Chromosome painting* shows that Pygathrix nemaeus has the most basal karyotype among Asian Colobinae. International Journal of Primatology, 25: 679-688.

- Bodega B., Cardone MF., Müller S., Neusser M., Orzan ,Müller S., Hollaz M., and Wienberg J.
 2003. *Chromosomal phylogeny and evolution of gibbons (Hylobatidae)*. Human Genetics, 113: 493 501.
- 4 Cardone M.F., Ventura M., Tempesta S., Rocchi M., and Archidiacono N. 2002. Analysis of 5 chromosome conservation in Lemur catta studied by chromosome paints and BAC/PAC probes.
- 6 Chromosoma, 111: 267-272.
- Clemente I.C., Ponsa M., Garcia M., and Egozcue J. 1990. *Evolution of the Similformes and the phylogeny of human chromosomes*. Hum. Genet., 84: 493-506
- 9 Consigliere S., Stanyon R., Koelher U., Agoramoorthy G., Wienbreg J. 1996. Chromosome painting
- *defines genomic rearrangements between red howler monkey subspecies.* Chromosome Res. 4(4): 264 70.
- 12 Consigliere S., Stanyon R., Koelher U., Arnold N., and Wienberg J. 1998. In situ hybridization (FISH)
- 13 maps chromosomal homologies between Alouatta belzebul (Platyrrhini, Cebidae) and other primates
- and reveals extensive interchromosomal rearrangements between howler monkey genomes. American
 Journal of Primatology, 46 (2): 119-133
- 16 De Oliveira E.H.C., Neusser M., Figueireido WB., Nagamachi C., Pieczarca JC., Sbalquiero IJ., 17 Wienberg J., and Muller S. 2002. *The phylogeny of howler monkeys (Alouatta, Platyrrhini):*
- 18 reconstruction by multicolor cross-species chromosome painting. Chromosome Research 10: 669-683
- 19 De Oliveira E.H.C., Neusser M., Nagamachi C., Pieczarca J.C., Sbalquiero I.J., and Muller S. 2005.
- 20 Phylogenetic inferences of Atelinae (Platyrrhini) based on multi-directional chromosome painting in
- 21 Brachyteles arachnoides, Ateles paniscus paniscus and Ateles b. marginatus. Cytogenetic and Genome
- 22 Research, 108 (1-3): 183-190.
- De Oliveira E.H., Neusser M., and Müller S. 2012. *Chromosome evolution in new world monkeys* (*Platyrrhini*). Cytogenet Genome Res.;137(2-4):259-72.
- 25 Dumas F., Bigoni F., Stone G., Sineo L., and Stanyon R. 2005. *Mapping genomic rearrangements in*
- *titi monkeys by chromosome flow sorting and multidirectional in-situ hybridization.* Chromosome
 Research, 13 (1): 85-96.
- Dumas F., Bigoni F., Stone G., Sineo L., and Stanyon R. 2007. *Phylogenomics of species from four genera of New World monkeys by flow sorting and reciprocal chromosome painting*. BMC
 Evolutionary Biology, 7 (Suppl 2): 11-25.
- Dumas F., and Sineo L. 2011. *Chromosomal dynamics in Platyrrhinae by mapping BACs probes*. In:
 Volume degli Atti XIX congresso AAI Torino 21-24 settembre 2011. Journal of Biological Research,
- 33 formerly Bollettino della Società Italiana di Biologia Sperimentale. n.1 Vol. LXXXIV p.299-3012-
- 34 Dumas F. 2011. Antropo, 25, 43-.64 www.didac.ehu.es/antropo 58
- 35 Dumas F. 2011. Antropo, 25, 43-.64 www.didac.ehu.es/antropo 63
- 36 Dumas F. 2012. *Riarrangiamenti cromosomici: i nuovi centromeri evolutivi nei primati.*
- 37 (*Chromosomal rearrangements: evolutionary new centromeres in primates*). Antropo, 28.
- Dumas F., and Sineo L. 2010. *Chromosomal dinamycs in Cercopithecini studied by Williams beuren probe mapping*. Caryologia (vol 3, 4:435-442).
- Dumas F., Houck M.L., Bigoni F., Perelman P., Romanenko S.A., and Stanyon R. (2012). *Chromosome painting of the pygmy tree shrew shows that no derived cytogenetic traits link Primates and Scandentia*. Cytogenet Genome Res 136:175–179.
- 43 Dutrillaux B. 1979. *Chromosomal evolution in primates: tentative phylogeny from Microcebus* 44 *murinus (Prosimian) to man.* Hum Genet 48:251–314.
- 45 Ferguson-Smith M.A., and Trifonov V. 2007. *Mammalian karyotype evolution*. Nature Reviews 46 Genetics, 8: 950-962.

1 Finelli P., Stanyon R., Plesker R., Ferguson-Smith M.A., O'Brien P.C., and Wienberg J. 1999. 2 Reciprocal chromosome painting shows that the great difference in diploid number between human 3 and African green monkey is mostly due to non-Robertsonian fissions. Mammal Genome, 10: 713-8. 4 Finotelo L., Amaral P., Pieczarka, J., De Oliveira E., Pissinati A., Neusser M., Muller S., 5 Nagamachi C. 2010. Chromosome phylogeny of the subfamily Pitheciinae (Platyrrhini, Primates) by 6 classic cytogenetics and chromosome painting. BMC Evolutionary Biology 10:189. Garcia F., Ruiz-Herrera A., Egzocue M., Ponsa' M., and Garcia M. 2002. Chromosomal homologies 7 between Cebus and Ateles (Primates) based on ZOO-FISH and G-banding comparison. American 8 9 Journal of Primatology, 57: 177-188. 10 Graphodatsky A., Ferguson-Smith M.A., and Stanyon R. 2012. A short introduction to cytogenetic 11 studies in mammals with reference to the present volume. Cytogenet Genome Res. 137(2-4):83-96. Haig D. 1999. A brief history of human autosomes. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.B. 354, 1447-1470. 12 13 Jauch A., Wienberg J., Stanyon R., Arnold N., Tofanelli S., Ishida T., and Cremer T. 1992. 14 Reconstruction of genomic rearrangements in great apes and gibbons by chromosome painting. 15 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 89: 8611-8615. Kellogg M., Burket S., Dennis T.R., Stone G., Gray B.A., Mcguire P.M., Zori R.T., and Stanyon R. 16 17 2007. Chromosome painting in the manatee supports Afrotheria and Paenungulata. BMC Evolutionary 18 Biology, 7: 6-13. 19 Koelher U., Arnold N., Wienberg J., Tofanelli S., and Stanyon R. 1995. Genomic reorganizationand 20 disrupted chromosomal synteny in the siamang (Hylobates syndactylus) revealed by fluorescence insitu 21 hybridization. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 97(1): 37-47. 22 Marshall O.J., Chueh A.C., Wong L.H., and Choo K.H. 2008. Neocentromeres: new insights into 23 centromeres structure, disease development, and karyotype evolution. Am J Hum Genet, 82(2): p.261-24 82. 25 Marzella R., Viggiano L., Miolla V., Storlazzi CT., Ricco A., Gentile E., Roberto R., Surace C., 26 Fratello A., and Mancini M et al. 2000. Molecular cytogenetic resources for chromosome 4 and 27 comparative analysis of Phylogenetic Chromosome IV in Great Apes. Genomics, 63: 307–313. 28 Mikkelsen TS., Wakefield MJ., Aken B., Amemiya CT., Chang JL., Duke S., Garber M., Gentles 29 AJ., Goodstadt L., Heger A., Jurka J., Kamal M., Mauceli E., Searle Sm, Sharpe T., Baker Ml., Batzer 30 Ma., Benos Pv., Belov K., Clamp M., Cook A., Cuff J., Das R., Davidow L., Deakin Je., Fazzari Mj., 31 Glass Jl., Grabherr M., Greally Jm., Gu W., Hore Ta., Huttley Ga., Kleber M., Jirtle Rl., Koina E., Lee 32 Jt., Mahony S., Marra Ma., Miller Rd., Nicholls Rd., Oda M., Papenfuss At., Parra Ze., Pollock Dd., 33 Ray Da., Schein Je., Speed Tp., Thompson K., Vandeberg J.I, Wade Cm., Walker Ja., Waters Pd., 34 Webber C., Weidman Jr., Xie X., Zody Mc.; Broad Institute Genome Sequencing Platform; Broad Institute Whole Genome Assembly Team, Graves JA., Ponting CP., Breen M., Samollow PB., Lander 35 ES., and Lindblad TK. 2007. Genome of the marsupial Monodelphis domestica reveals innovation in 36 37 noncoding sequences. Nature 447: 167-177. 38 Morescalchi M.A, Schempp W., Consigliere S., Bigoni F., Wienberg J., and Stanyon R. 1997. 39 chromosomal homology between humans and the black-handed spider monkey by Mapping 40 fluorescence in situ hybridization. Chromosome Research, 5: 527-536. 41 Moulin S., Gerbault-Seureau M., Dutrilluaux B., and Richard F.A. 2008. Phylogenomics of African 42 guenons. Chromosome Research, 16 (5): 783-99. 43 Muller S., O'Brien P.C.M., Ferguson-Smith M.A, Wienberg J. 1997. Reciprocal chromosome painting between human and prosimians (Eulemur macaco macaco and E. fulvus mayottensis). 44 Cytogenet. Cell Genet. 78: 260-271. 45 Müller S., Neusser M., O'Brien P.C.M., and Wienberg J. 2001a. Molecular cytogenetic 46 47 characterization of the EBV-producing cell line B 95-8 (S. oedipus, Platyhrrini) by chromosome

sorting and painting. Chromosome Research, 9: 689-693.

48

1 Müller S., and Wienberg J. 2001b. "*Bar-coding*" primate chromosomes: molecular cytogenetic 2 screening for the ancestral hominoid karyotype. Human Genetics, 109 (1): 85-94.

Müller S., Hollaz M., and Wienberg J. 2003. *Chromosomal phylogeny and evolution of gibbons* (*Hylobatidae*). Human Genetics, 113: 493-501

5 Murphy W., Larkin DM., Everts-Van Der Wind A., Bourque G., Tesler G., Auvil L., Beever J.E., 6 Chowdhary B.P., Galibert F., and Gatze L, *et al.* 2005. *Dynamics of mammalian chromosome evolution* 7 *inferred from multispecies comparative maps.* Science, 309: 613-617.

Neusser M., Stanyon R., Bigoni F., Wienberg J., and Muller S. 2001. Molecular cytotaxonomy of
New World monkeys (Platyrrhini) a comparative analysis of five species by multi-color chromosome
painting gives evidence for a classification of Callimico goeldii within the family of Callitrichidae.
Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics, 94: 206-215.

Nie W., Liu R., Chen Y., Wang J., and Yang F. 1998. *Mapping chromosomal homologies between humans and two langurs (Semnopithecus francoisi and S. phayrei) by chromosome painting*.
Chromosome Research, 6 (6): 447-453.

Nie W., Rens W., Wang J., and Yang F. 2001. Conserved chromosome segments in Hylobates
 hoolock revealed by human and H. leucogenys paint probes. Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics, 92(3 4):248-53.

Nie W., O'Brien PCM., Fu B., Wang J., Su W., Ferguson-Smith MA., Robinson TJ., and Yang F.
2006. *Chromosome painting between human and lorisiform prosimians: evidence for the HSA 7/16 synteny in the primate ancestral karyotype*. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 129 (2): 250259.

Picone B., and Sineo L. 2010. *Reconstructing the Phylogeny of the Human Chromosome 4 Synteny using Comparative Karyology and Genomic Data Analysis*. Caryologia Vol. 63, no. 3: 314-334.
Research,10 (8): 669-683.

Richard F., Lombard M., and Dutrillaux B. 1996. ZOO-FISH suggests a complete homology
 between human and capuchin monkey (Platyrrhini) euchromatin. Genomics, 36: 417-423.

27 Richard F., Lombard M., and Dutrillaux B. 2000. *Phylogenetic origin of human chromosomes 7, 16,*28 and 19 and their homologs in placental mammals. Genome Research, 10(5): 644-651.

Richard F.\$, Messaoudi C., Lombard M., and Dutrillaux B. 2001. Chromosome homologies between
 man and mountain zebra (Equus zebra hartmannae) and description of a new ancestral synteny
 involving sequences homologous to human chromosome 4 and 8. Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics, 93:
 291-296.

Roberto R., Misceo D., D'Addabbo P, Archidiacono N., and Rocchi M. 2008. *Refinement of macaque synteny arrangement with respect to the official rheMac2 macaque sequence assembly.* Chromosome Research, 16:977–985

Robinson T.J., and Ruiz-Herrera A. 2008. Defining the ancestral eutherian karyotype: A cladistic
 interpretation of chromosome painting and genome sequence assembly data. Chromosome Research,
 16: 1133-1141.

Rocchi M., Stanyon R., and Archidiacono N. 2009. *Evolutionary new Centromere in Primates*.Prog
 Mol Subcell Biol.;48:103-52.

Ruiz-Herrera A., Ponsà M., Garcia F., Egozcue J., Garcia M. 2002a. *Fragile sites in human and Macaca fascicularis chromosomes are breakpoints in chromosome evolution*. Chromosome Res, 10:
 33-44.

44 Ruiz-Herrera A., Garcia F., Aguilera M., Garcia M., and Ponsa' F.M. 2005. *Comparative* 45 *chromosome painting in Aotus reveals a highly derived evolution*. American Journal of Primatology, 46 65: 173-185.

47 Ruiz-Herrera A, Castresana J-. and Robinson T.J., 2006. *Is mammalian chromosomal evolution* 48 *driven by regions of genome fragility?* Genome Biology, 7 (12): 115-131.

Rumpler Y., Warter S., Hauwy M., Fausser JL., Roos C., and Zinner D. 2008. Comparing
 chromosomal and mitochondrial phylogenies of sportive lemurs (genus Lepilemur, Primates).
 Chromosome Research, 16: 1143-1158.

Serreau M., Bonnet-Garnier A., Richard F., and Dutrillaux B. 2004. *Chromosome painting comparison of Leontopithecus chrysomelas (Callitrichinae, Platyrrhini) with man and its phylogenetic position*. Chromosome Research, 12(7): 691-701.

Sineo L., Dumas F., Vitturi R., Picone B., Privitera O., and Stanyon R. 2007. Williams-Beuren
mapping in Callithrix argentata and Callicebus cupreus, and Alouatta caraya indicate different
patterns of chromosomal rearrangements in Neotropical Primates. Journal of Zoological Systematics
and Evolutionary. Research, 45(4). 366-371.

Small M.F., Stanyon R., Smith D.G., and Sineo L. 1985. *High-resolution chromosomes of rhesus macaques (Macaca mulatta)*. American J Primat 9:63–67.

13 Stanyon R., Consigliere S., Muller S., Morescalchi A., Neusser M., and Wienberg J., 2000,

14 *Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) maps chromosomal homologies between the dusky titi and* 15 *squirrel monkey.* American Journal of Primatololgy, 50: 95-107.

Stanyon R., Consigliere S., Bigoni F., Ferguson-smith M., O'Brien P.C.M., and Wienberg J. 2001.
 Reciprocal chromosome painting between a New World primate, the woolly monkey, and humans. Chromosome Research, 9: 97-106.

19 Stanyon R., Koelher U., and Consigliere S. 2002. *Chromosome painting reveals that galagos have* 20 *highly derived karyotypes*. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 117: 319-326.

Stanyon R., Stone G., Garcia M., Froenicke L. 2003. *Reciprocal chromosome painting shows that squirrels, unlike murid rodents, have a highly conserved genome organization*. Genomics 82: 245–249

23 Stanyon R., Bonvicino C.R, Svartman M., and Seuanez H.N. 2003. Chromosome painting in 24 Callicebus lugens, the species with the lowest diploid number (2n=16) known in primates. 25 Chromosoma, 112: 201-206.

Stanyon R., Yang F., Morescalchi A., Galleni L. 2004. *Chromosome painting in the long-tailed field mouse provides insights into the ancestral murid karyotype*. Cytogenet Genome Res 105: 406-411.

Stanyon R., Bigoni F., Slaby T., Muller S., Stone G., Bonvicino C.R., Neusser M., and Seuanez
H.N. 2004. *Multi-directional chromosome painting maps homologies between species belonging to*three genera of New World monkeys and humans. Chromosoma, 113: 305-315.

Stanyon R., Bruening R., Stone G., Shearin A., and Bigoni F. 2005. *Reciprocal painting between humans, De Brazza's and patas monkeys reveals a major bifurcation in the Cercopithecini phylogenetic tree.* Cytogenetic and Genome Research, 108: 175-182.

Stanyon R., Dumas F., Stone G., and Bigoni F. 2006. *Multidirectional chromosome painting reveals a remarkable syntenic homology between the greater galagos and the slow loris*. American Journal of
 Primatology, 68: 349-359.

Stanyon R., Rocchi M., Capozzi O., Roberto R., Misceo D., Ventura M., Cardone MF., Bigoni F.,
 and Archidiacono N. 2008. *Primate chromosome evolution: Ancestral karyotypes, marker order and neocentromeres*. Chromosome Research, 16:17-39

40 Stanyon R., Garofalo F., Steinberg ER., Capozzi O., Di Marco S., Nieves M., Archidiacono N.,
41 and Mudry M.D. 2011. *Chromosome Painting in Two Genera of South American Monkeys: Species*42 *Identification, Conservation, and Management.* Cytogenetics and genome research. DOI:

43 Svartman M., Stone G., Page JE., and Stanyon R. 2004. *A chromosome painting test of the basal* 44 *eutherian karyotype*. Chromosome Research, 12: 45-53.

45 Ventura M., Antonacci F., Cardone M.F., Stanyon R., D'Addabbo P., Cellamare A., Sprague L.J.,

46 Eichler E., Archidiacono N., and Rocchi M. 2007. Evolutionary formation of new centromeres in
47 macaque. Science 316: 243–246.

- Villasante A., Abad J.P., and Mendez-Lago M. 2007. Centromeres were derived from telomeres 1 2 during the evolution of the eukaryotic chromosome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 104(25): p.10542-7.
- 3 Warter S., Hauwy M., Dutrillaux B., and Rumpler Y. 2005. Application of molecular cytogenetics for chromosomal evolution of the Lemuriformes (Prosimians). Cytogenetic and Genome Research, 108: 4 5 197-203.
- 6 Wienberg J., Stanyon R., Jauch A., and Cremer T. 1992. Homologies in human and Macaca fuscata 7 chromosomes revealed by in situ suppression hybridization with human chromosome-specifi c DNA libraries. Chromosoma, 101: 265-270. 8
- 9 Wienberg J., and Stanyont R. 1997. Comparative painting of mammalian chromosomes. Current 10 Opinion in Genetics & Development, 7:784-791.
- 11 Wienberg J. 2005. Fluorescence in situ hybridization to chromosomes as a tool to understand human and primate genome evolution. Cytogenet Genome Res 108:139-160. 12
- 13 Yang F., Alkalaeva E.Z., Perelman P.L., Pardini A.T., Harrison WR., O'Brien P.C.M., Fu B.,
- 14 Graphodatsky A.S., Ferguson-Smith M.A., and Robinson T.J. 2003. Reciprocal chromosome painting
- 15 among human, aardvark, and elephant (superorder Afrotheria) reveals the likely eutherian ancestral
- karyotype. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 100: 16
- 17 1062-1066.
- 18 Yunis J.J., and Prakash O. 1982. The origin of man: a chromosomal pictorial legacy. Science, 215: 19
- 1525-153