

# Forecasting the cell temperature of PV modules with an adaptive system

Giuseppina Ciulla<sup>\*</sup>, Valerio Lo Brano, Edoardo Moreci

DEIM, University of Palermo (Italy)

(<sup>\*</sup>) corresponding author: ina@dream.unipa.it; phone: +39 091 238 61918

## Abstract

The need to reduce energy consumptions and to optimize the processes of energy production has pushed the technology towards the implementation of hybrid systems for combined production of electric and thermal energy. In particular, recent researches look with interest at the installation of hybrid system PV/T. To improve the energy performance of these systems it is necessary to know the operating temperature of the photovoltaic modules. Furthermore, when photovoltaic (PV) systems replace the traditional building envelope materials and they are fully integrated (Building Integrated Photo-Voltaic, BIPV), it is very important to correctly assess their thermal behaviour. The determination of the operating temperature  $T_c$  is a key parameter for the assessment of the actual performance of photovoltaic panels. In the literature, it is possible to find different correlations that evaluate the  $T_c$  referring to standard test conditions and/or applying some theoretical simplifications/assumptions. Nevertheless, the application of these different correlations, for the same conditions, do not lead to unequivocal results.

In this work an alternative method, based on the employment of Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs), was proposed to predict the operating temperature of a PV module. This methodology does not require any simplifications or physical assumptions: on the contrary, the ANN is a black box that learn from actual data, allowing to obtain good results.

In the paper is described the ANN that obtained the best performances: a Multi-Layer Perception network. The results have been compared with experimental monitored data and with some of the most cited empirical correlations proposed by different authors.

**Keywords:** Artificial Neural Network, cell temperature, PV systems, BIPV, experimental setup

## 1. Introduction

In the world energy scenario affected by the reduction of fossil fuels supply used for the production of the electrical and thermal energy, the potential offered by renewable energy sources (RES) is strategic for the industrial countries [1]. The exploitation of RES has promoted several thermal and electric technologies, improving the overall energy conversion efficiency [2]. The development of PV systems and of solar thermal technology are playing an important role in the building integration, to cover the electricity and thermal needs for the production of heat water and the internal heating.

In recent years, several researches have led to the installation and the study of hybrid devices [3]: hybrid photovoltaic/thermal collector or hybrid (PV/T) system.

The temperature of PV module increases when it absorbs solar radiation; this temperature raising provokes a decrease in electrical conversion efficiency; a PV/T system is capable to partially avoid this undesirable effect. The hybrid technologies permit to control the temperature system; the presence of a water/air circulation system cools the PV module helping to increase the electrical output, and furthermore the hot water can be afterwards exploited, improving the overall efficiency of the whole system [4]. Furthermore, the operating temperature of the PV modules have a significant importance when used in Building Integrated Photovoltaic energy System (BIPV). Many authors have pointed how the operating cell temperature must be evaluated for the optimal sizing of BIPV system [5-9]. The assessment of  $T_c$  can therefore give important results in terms of indoor thermal quality in the sustainable building field, especially in the application of BIPV as smart windows [10].

For these reasons, the evaluation of the PV temperature is essential to ensure high performances.

As described by Sloplaki et al. in [11], many correlations for predicting the electrical performance of a photovoltaic module have been proposed and used by different authors. This report highlights the role of the temperature of the silicon as the main parameter that affects the conversion efficiency.

However, as better explained in the following, the equations that describe the energy balance of a PV system make not simple the determination of the operating temperature of a PV module. In fact, because of the different nature of the variables present in the balance (physical, thermo-electrical, environmental) and the uneasy determination of many key parameters (e.g. overall heat transfer coefficient, optical properties, etc.), the evaluation of the cell temperature, by using an empirical correlation, could lead to not reliable results.


In this work, the authors explore the possibility to offer an alternative method, to assess the operating temperature of PV devices by using adaptive techniques. Adaptive systems, such as ANN

should allow predicting, in a fast and reliable way, the temperature of the PV module varying all the boundary conditions. To validate the reliability of the proposed ANN, were tested two different modules and the results were compared with experimental monitored data.

## 2. The cell temperature of a PV module

In general, the performance of a photovoltaic module is defined according to the “peak power”, which identifies the maximum electric power supplied by a PV system when it receives a solar irradiance of  $1 \text{ kW/m}^2 (G_{ref})$  at the cell temperature is of  $25^\circ\text{C} (T_{ref})$ . These conditions are only nominal because the solar irradiance has a variable intensity and the module is subjected to considerable temperature changes [12].

Indeed, in actual conditions it is essential to evaluate the operating condition under all possible circumstances of solar irradiance  $G$ , cell temperature  $T_c$ , wind speed  $W$ , air temperature  $T_{air}$  and electric load  $R_L$ .



**Fig. 1.** Working point of a generic PV panel at constant irradiance ( $1000\text{W/m}^2$ ) varying temperature and electric load.

In Fig. 1, it is possible to observe how the intersection between the load line  $R_L$  and  $I-V$  curves identifies the working point; with the same graphical method, it is possible to identify the working point in terms of electric power; the red circles identify the maximum power points. As it

is easy to understand, the  $T_c$  is a key parameter that affects the energy conversion efficiency of a PV module: increasing the temperature decreases the delivered power.

In the literature, there are several available empirical correlations that employ the PV module operating temperature, as the expression proposed by Evans [13] that describes the module's efficiency  $\eta$  in correspondence of given values of the  $T_c$  and  $G$  :

$$\eta = \eta_{ref} \left[ 1 - \chi (T_c - 25) + \varepsilon \log_{10} \left( \frac{G}{G_{ref}} \right) \right] \quad (1)$$

where  $\eta_{ref}$  is the efficiency at Standard Test Conditions (STC) and the temperature coefficient  $\chi$  and the insolation coefficient  $\varepsilon$  have values of  $0.004 \text{ K}^{-1}$  and  $0.12$ , respectively for crystalline silicon modules [14]. Other authors [15-17], instead, proposed the use of empirical constants, whose values are only referred to few models of PV panels.

The most common procedure to determine the cell temperature  $T_c$  consists in using the Normal Operating Cell Temperature  $NOCT$  [18-20]. The value of this parameter is given by the PV module manufacturer:  $T_c$  is then dependent on the air temperature  $T_{air}$  and on the solar irradiance  $G$  according to Eq.(2):

$$T_c = T_{air} + (T_{NOCT} - 20) \frac{G}{800} \quad (2)$$

This very simple method yields satisfying results only if the PV modules are not roof-integrated.

However, the  $NOCT$  approach estimate  $T_c$  basing only on the passive behaviour of the  $PV$ , not taking into account at the same time the actual weather variables and the electricity production regimes of the PV module. This approach neglects the fact that not all the absorbed solar irradiance is converted into electricity: generally, only 15-18% is converted into electricity; the remaining part of the insolation is transformed into heat contributing to increase the temperature cell.

The heat transfer between the PV panel and the surrounding environment is driven by a global heat transfer coefficient, which describes the radiative and convective exchange processes.

For these reasons, in this work after a simplified description of the energy balance of a  $PV$ , which highlights the dependence of the operating temperature by some environmental parameters and by the thermo-physical properties of a  $PV$  system, the authors underline how the determination of  $T_c$  using conventional calculation procedures is often complex and difficult to solve. On the other hand, the use of any empirical relationship, typically characterized by some assumptions and or

simplifications, often affect the results. In the following, the application of the ANN approach, to predict the operating temperature of a PV module is proposed.

Recently, this methodology have received attention and increased their use very successfully in the implementation for MPP searching [21-30]. As above already written, this method do not need exact mathematical models, can work with vague inputs and can handle nonlinearities [31].

### 3. The energy balance of a PV module

As already noted, the efficiency of a photovoltaic panel depends mainly on the intensity of the solar irradiance and cell temperature. Considering a generic PV system the energy exchanges can be depicted by Fig. 2:




Fig.2 Energy balance in a PV system

In heat transfer analysis, some body can be observed to behave as a “lump” whose interior temperature remains essentially uniform at all times during a heat transfer process. The temperature of such bodies can be taken to be a function of time only [32]. Applying this approach at the energy balance of a photovoltaic panel, the lumped system analysis permits to describe the heat exchange as follows:

$$(P_{sol} - P_{ele})dt = CdT + AU(T_c - T_{ari})dt \quad (3)$$

where:

$P_{ele}$  is the electrical power product of the module [W];

$P_{sol}$  is the absorbed solar power [W];

$C$  is the thermal capacity of the PV system (glass, silicon and plastic layers) [J];

$A$  is the surface of the panel [m<sup>2</sup>];

$U$  is the global heat exchange coefficient between the module and the surrounding environment [W/m<sup>2</sup>K];

$t$  is the time [s].

Assuming that:

$$P_{sol} = \tau\alpha \cdot G \cdot A \quad (4)$$

and

$$P_{ele} = I \cdot V \quad (5)$$

where  $\tau$  is the transmission coefficient of the glass,  $\alpha$  is the absorption coefficient of the silicon,  $V$  is the voltage [V] and  $I$  is the current [A] produced by the panel. Generally, a PVcell is represented as a current generator, connected in parallel with a diode and two resistances  $R_s$  and  $R_{sh}$  connected in series and a parallel respectively, and the  $I$ - $V$  characteristic can be described by the following equation:

$$I = I_L - I_0 \left( e^{\frac{V+I \cdot R_s}{nT}} - 1 \right) - \frac{V + I \cdot R_s}{R_{sh}} \quad (6)$$

where  $I_L$  is the photocurrent,  $I_0$  is the diode saturation current and  $n$  is the ideality factors of the diode. Applying the definition of the electric power as describe in Eq.(5)and considering the one diode approach, represented by Eq.(6), the  $P_{ele}$  can be stated as:

$$P_{ele} = V \left\{ I_L - I_0 \left( e^{\frac{V+I \cdot R_s}{nT}} - 1 \right) - \frac{V + I \cdot R_s}{R_{sh}} \right\} = V \cdot I_L + V \left( 1 - e^{\frac{P_{ele} \cdot R_s + V^2}{V \cdot nT}} \right) I_0 - \frac{V^2 - P_{ele} \cdot R_s}{R_{sh}}$$

Knowing the electric power and the absorbed solar power is possible to evaluate the thermal power that contributes to heat the PV panel:

$$P_{thermal} = (P_{sol} - P_{ele}) = [(\tau\alpha \cdot G \cdot A) - (I \cdot V)] \quad (7)$$

In this way the Eq.(3) can be rewritten as:

$$P_{thermal} dt = C dt + U(T_c - T_{air}) dt \quad (8)$$

Moreover:

$$\frac{dT}{[U(T_c - T_{air}) - P_{thermal}]} = -\frac{dt}{C} \quad (9)$$

Assuming that:

$$(T_c - T_{air}) = \mathcal{G}$$

$$d(T_c - T_{air}) = dT = d\mathcal{G}$$

If at the time  $dt$  we assume constant  $T_{air}$ , the  $C$  of the system, the coefficient  $U$  and the quantity  $P_{thermal}$  it is possible to write:

$$\frac{d(U\mathcal{G} - P_{thermal})}{(U\mathcal{G} - P_{thermal})} = -\frac{U}{C} dt$$

integrating:

$$\ln \frac{(U\mathcal{G} - P_{thermal})}{(U\mathcal{G} - P_{thermal})_0} = -\frac{U}{C} (t - t_0)$$

If the values of the thermo-physical variables are known, the expression of the temperature of the PV system is:

$$T_c = T_{air} + \frac{P_{thermal}}{U} + \left( T|_{t-\Delta} - T_{air}|_{t-\Delta} - \frac{P_{thermal}}{U} \right) \cdot e^{-\frac{U}{C}\Delta} \quad (10)$$

As it is possible to observe, also in this simply lumped parameters approach, the evaluation of the cell temperature is not immediate and it strongly depends from the solar irradiance and air temperature. Furthermore, the presence of exponential terms and implicit expression of electric power complicates the resolution procedure, not allowing the direct mathematical calculation. In addition, the determination of the global heat transfer coefficient  $U$  must be taken into account.

Unfortunately, the case study of hot inclined rear surfaces is still an open problem [33].

If we give up the idea to analytically solve the problem, as previously described in Section 2, in literature it is possible to find different correlation about the  $T_c$  value [11,17, 34-37]. However, each

correlation is characterised by some simplifications and/or assumptions that not represented accurately the complexity of the *PV* energy balance.

#### 4. Definition of ANN

ANNs are computational intelligence architectures based on emulating biological neural networks and have the capability of “learning” the behaviour of input data. The basic unit of an ANN is a neuron. An artificial neuron (AN) acts in the same way as a biological neuron; each has a set of inputs and produces an output based on these inputs. A biological neuron produces an output by comparing the sum of each input to a threshold value; based on that comparison it produces an output. In addition, it is able to vary the weigh for each input according to the priority of the input. The inputs and outputs of a biological neuron are called synapses; they may act as inputs to other neurons or as outputs. Thus, the set of neurons and synapse creates an interconnected network, which produce an output based on weights, sums and comparisons [22].

Generally, an artificial neural network consists of multiple interconnected artificial neurons, arranged in several layers; Fig. 3 shows a schema of a typical arrangement of neurons in an ANN.




Fig. 3 generic schema of an ANN

The use of ANNs often makes possible to identify correlations between data that are very complex to assess.



## 5. Experimental setup

To apply a neural approach it is necessary to have a large database of specific data that represents the analysed system. For this reason, to build and trained a specific ANN, a specific test facility situated on the roof of the Energy Department of University of Palermo (38° 1070N, 13° 1220E) has been made up. The experimental device was built up to permit to acquire the thermo-electrical parameters of photovoltaic modules and the weathers variables that define the energy balance of a PV system. The test facility consists of two silicon panels (Kyocera KC175GHT-2 and Sanyo HIT 240 HDE4) connected with a precision resistance set (Fig.4).The technical data of the two panels provided by the manufacture are showed in the following Tabs. 1 and 2.

**Table.1** Datasheet of the Kyocera KC175GH-2 module

<b>KYOCERA KC 175 GH-2</b>		
MAXIMUM POWER	$P_{max}$ [W]	175
MAXIMUM VOLTAGE	$V_{mp}$ [V]	23.6
MAXIMUM CURRENT	$I_{mp}$ [A]	7.42
OPEN CIRCUIT VOLTAGE	$V_{oc}$ [V]	29.2
SHORT CIRCUIT CURRENT	$I_{sc}$ [A]	8.09
THERMAL VOLTAGE COEFFICIENT	$\mu_{Voc}$ [V/°C]	-0.109
THERMAL CURRENT COEFFICIENT	$\mu_{Isc}$ [mA/°C]	3.18

**Table.2** Datasheet of the Sanyo HIT 240 HDE-4 module

<b>SANYO HIT 240 HDE-4</b>		
MAXIMUM POWER	$P_{max}$ [W]	240
MAXIMUM VOLTAGE	$V_{mp}$ [V]	35.5
MAXIMUM CURRENT	$I_{mp}$ [A]	6.77
OPEN CIRCUIT VOLTAGE	$V_{oc}$ [V]	43.6
SHORT CIRCUIT CURRENT	$I_{sc}$ [A]	7.37
THERMAL VOLTAGE COEFFICIENT	$\mu_{Voc}$ [V/°C]	-0.109
THERMAL CURRENT COEFFICIENT	$\mu_{Isc}$ [mA/°C]	2.21

The measurements were performed with a data acquisition module National Instruments NIUSB-9221 and a Delta Ohm pyranometer (mod. LPPYRA 02 AV)linked to an Advantech ADAM 6024 module. A DavisVantage PRO 2 Plus Weather station was used to collect the measurements of air temperature and relative humidity, wind speed and direction, horizontal global solar irradiance and atmospheric pressure. The temperature of the panels was measured using some thermocouples (type T, copper-constantan) put in three different points of each panel [34].




Fig.4 Experimental Set up

In this way, in addition to the weather data climate collected by the weather station and to the cell temperature measured by the thermocouples, it was also possible to measure and collect electric data related to the operation panel: the power delivered, the short circuit current  $I_{SC}$  and the open circuit voltage  $V_{OC}$ .

## 6. Preliminary analysis of data collected

To correct apply the neural approach, all data must be subject to a pre-processing step that consists in a preliminary analysis that permits to identify possible outliers, to remove uncorrected values, to carry out a statistical analysis and to perform a correlation analysis. The analysis of the common current-voltage curves given by manufactures at constant temperature or constant solar irradiance does not allow a correct evaluation of the thermo-electrical behaviour of a photovoltaic panel because in actual conditions, the temperature and the solar irradiance change simultaneously.

Indeed to predict the yield of a photovoltaic system, it is essential to evaluate the operating condition under all possible circumstances of solar irradiance, cell temperature, wind speed  $W$ , air temperature and electric load  $R_L$ , when the photovoltaic elements are working and producing electricity. To identify the operation regimes of the panel as a function of electricity production regimes, the authors have chosen to compare the operating voltage  $V$  with the maximum power point voltage  $V_{mpp,panel}$  for given solar irradiance and cell temperature (Fig.1). In this way, the ratio  $V/V_{mpp,panel}$  easily allows to identify the operating regimes of the panel:

- when the ratio between the working voltage  $V$  and the voltage of maximum power  $V_{mpp,panel}$  is less than 0.95, the  $I$ - $V$  characteristic is almost horizontal, and the power output is proportional to the incident insolation;
- when the ratio  $V/V_{mpp,panel}$  is greater than 1.05, the  $I$ - $V$  characteristic of the panel decreases much more rapidly and the influence of insolation becomes less significant (saturation conditions);
- the regimen identified by a ratio  $0.95 < V/V_{mpp,panel} < 1.05$ , characterises the state of a PV panel connected to a maximum power point tracking system (MPPT) in which the load dynamically adapts to generate the maximum power.

The evaluation of the maximum voltage was carried out by using the following correlations:

$$V_{mpp} = a \ln \left( \frac{G}{G_{ref}} \right) + V_{oc} \quad (11)$$

$$V_{mpp} = b + \mu_{V_{oc}} \cdot T \quad (12)$$

where the constant  $a$  and  $b$  were determined by a logarithmic interpolation and applying the least squares technique between the values of the power output at difference solar irradiance and by a linear interpolation and applying the least squares technique at difference temperature respectively.

In this case, the two couples of constants pertaining the two modules have been evaluated using the Tab.3 and Tab.4 for the Kyocera module and Tab.5 e Tab.6 for the Sanyo module.

**Table 3:**Characteristic data values of Kyocera KC175GHT-2 at constant temperature (25°C) varying solar irradiance

	Solar Irradiance [ $W/m^2$ ]					
	1000	800	600	400	200	40
$P_{mpp}$ [W]	175.112	138.418	102.236	66.7111	32.1507	5.8841
$V_{mpp}$ [V]	23.6	23.3241	22.977	22.501	21.7091	19.9224
$I_{mpp}$ [A]	7.41999	5.93455	4.4495	2.9648	1.48098	0.295351

**Table 4:**Characteristic data values of Kyocera KC175GHT-2 at constant solar irradiance (1000  $W/m^2$ ) varying temperature

	Cell Temperature [°C]		
	25	50	75
$P_{mpp}$ [W]	175.112	156.099	137.09
$V_{mpp}$ [V]	23.6	20.975	18.4243
$I_{mpp}$ [A]	7.41999	7.44215	7.44069

For the Kyocera module, the mathematical interpolations of the data collected in the previous tables permitted to evaluate the following values:  $a = 1.1395$  and  $b = 26.172$ .

**Table 5:** Calculated characteristic data values of Sanyo HIT240HDE-4 at constant temperature (25°C) varying solar irradiance

	Solar Irradiance [ $W/m^2$ ]
--	------------------------------

	1000	800	600	400	200	40
$P_{mpp}$ [W]	240.335	189.975	140.323	91.5759	44.1493	8.08932
$V_{mpp}$ [V]	35.4998	35.0848	34.5641	33.8511	32.6691	30.0095
$I_{mpp}$ [A]	6.77004	5.41475	4.0598	2.70526	1.35141	0.269559

**Table 6:** Calculated characteristic data values of Sanyo HIT240HDE-4 at constant solar irradiance (1000 W/m<sup>2</sup>) varying temperature

	Cell Temperature [°C]		
	25	50	75
$P_{mpp}$ [W]	240.335	222.492	204.759
$V_{mpp}$ [V]	35.4998	32.7991	30.1697
$I_{mpp}$ [A]	6.77004	6.78349	6.78691


For this last module, the mathematical interpolations of the data collected in the previous tables permitted to evaluate the following values:  $a = 1.7007$  and  $b = 26.153$ .

In this way filtering the data for the operating regimen represented by a ratio  $0.95 < V / V_{mpp,panel} < 1.05$  it was possible to identify the data close to the maximum power points. Filtering the data collect for both modules, two dataset, indicated in Tab.7, have been created;the 15% of the filtered data will be used as a test dataset (not used for the ANN training phase):


**Table 7.**Datasheet and dataset vectors

	Kyocera panel	Sanyo panel
<b>Original datasheet vectors</b>	3832	2310
<b>Filtered dataset vectors</b>	333	205
<b>Test dataset vectors</b>	50	31

The correlation analysis for the two different PVmodules (Figs.5 and 6) permits a first evaluation of the mutual relationships between  $T_c$  and all the other features. The preliminary correlation analysis has identified a strong correlation between  $T_c$  and the solar irradiance  $G$ , the short circuit current  $I_{SC}$ , the open circuit voltage  $V_{OC}$  and the electrical power  $P_{ele}$ ; on the other hand, a moderate correlation with air temperature and wind speed.



**Fig. 5.**Correlation analysis between operating temperature and all input data for Kyocera module.



**Fig. 6.**Correlation analysis between operating temperature and all input data for Sanyo module.

A statistical analysis permitted to assess the maximum (Max), mean (Mean), minimum (Min) values and the standard deviation (StDev) of all considered features (Tabs. 8 and 9).

**Table 8.**Statistics evaluation of Kyocera panel.

	T <sub>air</sub> [°C]	P[W]	G [W/m <sup>2</sup> ]	W [m/s]	I <sub>sc</sub> [A]	V <sub>oc</sub> [V]
<b>Max</b>	27.27	165.56	1078.20	7.20	8.73	30.24
<b>Min</b>	9.90	22.17	126.41	0.00	1.01	26.50
<b>Mean</b>	19.57	110.21	729.30	2.32	5.91	28.13
<b>StDev</b>	2.39	48.35	293.22	1.231	2.38	0.74
<b>Sample</b>	333	333	333	333	333	333

**Table 9.**Statistics evaluation of Sanyo panel.

	T <sub>air</sub> [°C]	P[W]	G [W/m <sup>2</sup> ]	W [m/s]	I <sub>sc</sub> [A]	V <sub>oc</sub> [V]
<b>Max</b>	30.93	222.27	1044.33	5.23	3.84	64.44
<b>Min</b>	17.87	23.14	129.89	0.00	0.48	62.11
<b>Mean</b>	25.83	156.63	725.44	2.509	2.77	63.75
<b>StDev</b>	1.89	58.25	259.68	1.14	0.95	0.47
<b>Sample</b>	205	205	205	205	205	205

## 7. Application of ANN

According to the type and nature of data that have been collected from the experimental set, it was possible to choose several topologies of neural networks. Different simulations relating to several topologies of ANNs have been tested, but in this work, only the best ANN will be described.

### 7.1 One Hidden layer MLP

A Multi-Layer Perception (*MLP*) is a kind of ANN consisting of multiple layers of ANs in which each layer is fully connected to the next one. Except for the input ANs, each node is a neuron with a non-linear activation function. *MLP* utilizes a common supervised learning technique for training the network. This topology is one of the simplest available for ANNs and our *MPL* is composed by: two

input sources, two function block, two weight layer, one hidden weight layer and one error criterion block. In Fig.7 is schematized our One Hidden layer *MLP* topology to evaluate the cell temperature.




Fig. 7. Schema of One hidden layer MLP topology for the cell temperature evaluation

The input source represents all the available data that can be used for training or testing; in this case contains the total vectors obtained after applying the filter (Tab.7): 85% of the total filtered vectors were used for the training phase and 15% of the total filtered vectors were used for the testing phase.

The Function blocks can be seen as non-linear thresholds for the propagation of the signals. They give the adaptive system its non-linear computing capabilities and those used in the following proposed network have a sigmoidal function.

The weights layer represents the long-term memory of the system and are adjusted during the learning phase. Finally, the error criterion is a block that takes two signals and compares them according to a specific criterion; the signals terminates to flow across the system. The training phase has been optimised concerning the number of epochs to avoid over-fitting.


In Table 10 are reported the number of epochs in order to avoid the over-fitting for the two different PV panel.

Table 10. Number of epochs and learning time.


	Kyocera panel	Sanyo panel
Learning Time [s]	6.00	1.56
Epochs	3,326	1,542

After the training, for each ANN the post-processing phase evaluate the Mean Error (*ME*) and the Mean Absolute Error (*MAE*) that represents the quantity used to measure how close forecasts or predictions are to the eventual outcome. Furthermore, was evaluated the standard deviation (*StDev*) that shows how much variation or "dispersion" exists from the average (mean, or expected value)

Figures 8 and 9 shown the ME distribution for the Kyocera and Sanyo modules respectively in terms of cell temperature.




**Fig. 8.** Error distribution over 50 vectors of  $T_c$  with One Hidden layer MLP topology for Kyocera module




**Fig. 9.** Error distribution over 31 vectors of  $T_c$  with One Hidden layer MLP topology for Sanyo module

Figures 10 and 11 shown the MAE distribution for the Kyocera and Sanyo modules respectively in terms of cell temperature.



**Fig. 10.** Absolute Error distribution over 50 vectors of  $T_c$  with One Hidden layer MLP topology for Kyosera module.



**Fig. 11.** Absolute Error distribution over 31 vectors of  $T_c$  with One Hidden layer MLP topology for Sanyo module.


In Table 11 the values of  $ME$ ,  $MAE$  and  $StDv$  of  $T_c$  cases, for the two different PV panels are reported:

**Table 11.** Mean Error and Mean Absolute Error of the One Hidden layer MLP topology.


	Kyosera module		Sanyo module	
	T [°C]	StDv (T)	T [°C]	StDv (T)
<b>ME</b>	-0,21	0,22	-0,06	0,16
<b>MAE</b>	0,23	0,20	0,11	0,13

Furthermore, it was evaluated the confidence plot that gives an estimated range of values, which is likely to include the calculated cell temperature with a probability of 95%. Due to the physics of the analysed problem, the confidence plot was evaluated only for daylight hours neglecting the temperature trend during the night. In Fig. 12 the confidence plot of  $T_c$  for Kyocera module is  $\pm 0.23$  °C and in Fig.13 the confidence plot of  $T_c$  for Sanyo module is  $\pm 0.74$  °C.





**Fig. 12.** Confidence Plot of calculated output versus Tc measured data for Kyocera module



**Fig. 13.** Confidence Plot of calculated output versus Tc measured data for Sanyo module

In these figures the range of the confidence plot was indicated by the red (high) and blue (low) lines, while the measured data are indicated by the black line and those obtained from the ANN by the purple line. As it is possible to see the output data coincide perfectly with the desired data with a very narrow confidence band.

## 8. Results and discussion

Based on analysis of the results obtained, it is clear as the proposed ANN approach, for evaluating the operating temperature gives very good performances, characterized by an extremely narrow confidence band that does not exceed  $\pm 0.7366^{\circ}\text{C}$ . This methodology is characterized by a great flexibility and reliability providing excellent results for any kind of modules: mono-crystalline and/or polycrystalline. Furthermore, this approach does not consider any simplifications nor assumptions; finally, the learning time is very short.

To validate the ANN methodology, a comparison between ANN results and the  $T_c$  calculated with same of the most cited empirical correlations was carried out extracting the MAE values.

In this work were chosen the following correlations:

*Servant correlation* [35]:

$$T_c = T_{air} + \alpha G(1 + \beta T_a)(1 - \gamma W)(1 - 1.053\eta) \quad (13)$$

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are the constants, defined by the model of the author.

*Duffie-Beckman correlation* [36-38]:

$$T_c = T_{air} + G(\tau\alpha/U)(1 - \eta/\tau\alpha) \quad (14)$$

where  $\eta$  is the efficiency and  $\tau\alpha/U$  is defined constant by the model of the authors.

*Hove correlation* [39]:

$$T_c = T_a + G(\tau\alpha - \eta)/U \quad (15)$$

where  $\eta$  is the efficiency and  $\tau\alpha/U$  is determined experimentally.

The following results were obtained applying the previously correlations at the same modules (Kyocera and Sanyo) for the same data set (filtered data) and calculating the Mean Absolute Error between the measured data and calculated data:

**Table 12.** Mean Absolute Error results for different  $T_c$  correlations.

Correlation	Mean Absolute Error [°C]		Note
	Kyocera	Sanyo	
ANN	0.23	0.11	MLP topology
Servant	4.62	4.01	$\alpha = 0.0138$ , $\beta = 0.031$ , $\gamma = 0.042$ , $W = 1\text{m/s}$
Duffie and Beckman	16.36	14.38	$\tau\alpha/U$ taken as a constant
Hove	16.09	16.32	$\tau\alpha/U$ determined experimentally

## 9. Conclusion

In this paper, an artificial neural network approach has been proposed to determine the operative temperature of PV modules. As previously described, the energy balance of a generic PV system, because of the different nature of the parameters (thermo-electric, environmental, physic) and the complex mathematical formulation, it is no easy to solve. Different authors proposed several empirical correlations should permit to obtain directly the PV module operating temperature, developed from common geometries and weather conditions. Generally, if the correlations are in

implicit form an iteration procedure is necessary for the calculation; if the correlations are in explicit form, can be referred only to reference conditions or considering some constant values and/or assumptions that could affect the results. The application of the ANN approach, instead, represents a simple and fast solution to correctly evaluate the operative regimen of a PV module varying all the boundary conditions.

In this work the authors proposed a one hidden layer *MLP* to determine the  $T_c$  of a generic *PV* panel. The *ANN* has been tested and trained with experimental data consisting in: air temperature, wind speed, solar irradiance, power output, open circuit voltage, short circuit current and cell temperature. The results obtained of the *ANN* issued a reliable tool to forecast the cell temperature of the *PV* panel. Comparing the performances of this network with some of the most cited empirical correlations, the *ANN* results presents a significant lower *MAE*. Furthermore, the very short time requested for the training phase, suggests that the *ANN* could be integrated in a software for run-time evaluation of the cell temperature.

## References

- [1] IEA: International Energy Agency; “World Energy Outlook 2012” ISBN: 978-92-64-18084-0.
- [2] Di Dio V., Favuzza S., La Cascia D., Miceli R. Economical Incentives and Systems of Certification for the Production of Electrical Energy from Renewable Energy Resources. *Clean Electrical Power*, ICCEP'07, 277-282, IEEE (2007).
- [3] Hui-Feng Tsai, Huan-Liang Tsai. Implementation and verification of integrated thermal and electrical models for commercial *PV* modules. *Solar Energy*, 86, 654–665 (2012).
- [4] Palaskar V.N., Deshmukh S.P., Design configurations of hybrid photovoltaic/thermal solar system technology (Review). *Proceedings of the Indian National Science Academy*, 78, Issue 4, 725-734, (2012).
- [5] Seung-Ho Yoo. Simulation for an optimal application of *BIPV* through parameter variation. *Energy Procedia*, 12, 593 – 600, (2011)
- [6] J.J. Bloem. Evaluation of a *PV*-integrated building application in a well-controlled outdoor test environment, *Building and Environment*, 43, 205–216, (2008).

- [7] Paul D., Mandal S.N., Mukherjee D., Bhadra Chaudhuri S.R. Optimization of significant insolation distribution parameters -A new approach towards BIPV system design. *Renewable Energy*, 35, 2182- 2191, (2010).
- [8] Arvind Chel, Tiwari G.N., Avinash Chandra. Simplified method of sizing and life cycle cost assessment of building integrated photovoltaic system. *Energy and Buildings*, 41, 1172–1180, (2009).
- [9] Cellura M., Campanella L., Ciulla G., Guarino F., Lo Brano V., Cesarini D.N., Orioli A. The redesign of an Italian building to reach net zero energy performances: A case study of the SHC Task 40 - ECBCS Annex 52. *ASHRAE Transactions*, 117 (PART 2), 331-339 (2011).
- [10] Hsuan-Jui Chena, Chun-Ming Shua, Che-Ming Chiangb, Shin-Ku Leec. The Indoor Thermal Research of the HCRI-BIPV Smart Window. *Energy Procedia*, 12 ,593 – 600(2011).
- [11] Skoplaki E., Palyvos J.A.. Operating temperature of photovoltaic modules: A survey of pertinent correlations. *Renewable Energy*, 34 (1), 23-29 (2009).
- [12] Cellura M., Ciulla G., Lo Brano V., Marvuglia A., Orioli A. Photovoltaic panel coupled with a phase changing material heat storage system in hot climates. *Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Passive and Low Energy Architecture*, Dublin, Ireland, (2008).
- [13] Evans D.L. Simplified method for predicting photovoltaic array output. *Solar Energy*, 27, 555-560 (1981).
- [14] Notton G., Cristofari C., Mattei M., Poggi P. Modelling of a double-glass photovoltaic module using finite differences. *Applied Thermal Engineering* 25, 2854-2877, (2005).
- [15] Mattei M., Notton G., Cristofari C., Muselli M., Poggi P. Calculation of the polycrystalline PV module temperature using simple method of energy balance. *Renewable Energy* 31, 553-567, (2006).
- [16] Koehl M., Heck M., Wiesmeier S., Wirth J. Modelling of the nominal operating cell temperature based on outdoor weathering. *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells*, 95 (7), 1638-1646, (2011).
- [17] Skoplaki E., Palyvos J.A. On the temperature dependence of photovoltaic module electrical performance: A review of efficiency/power correlations. *Solar Energy* 83, 614-624 (2009).
- [18] Nolay P. (1987). Développement d'une méthode générale d'analyse des systèmes photovoltaïques, (Doctoral dissertation). (1987).

- [19] ASTM. 1998. Standard test methods for electrical performance of non concentrator terrestrial photovoltaic modules and arrays using reference cells, standard E1036. West Conshohocken, PA: American Society for Testing and Materials.
- [20] Myers DR., Emery K., Gueymard C. Revising and validating spectral irradiance reference standards for photovoltaic performance. ASES/ASME solar 2002 conference proceeding, Reno, Nevada, (2002).
- [21] Karamirad M., Omid M., Alimardani R., Mousazadeh H., Heidari S. N. ANN based simulation and experimental verification of analytical four-and five-parameters models of PV modules. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 34, 86-98, (2013).
- [22] Wijayasekara D., Manic M., Sabharwall P., Utgikar V. Optimal artificial neural network architecture selection for performance prediction of compact heat exchanger with the EBaLM-OTR technique. *Nuclear Engineering and Design*, 241(7), 2549-2557, (2011).
- [23] VeeracharyM., SenjyuT., UezatoK. Neural-network-based maximum-power-point tracking of coupled- inductor interleaved-boost-converter-supplied PV system using fuzzy controller. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron*, 50 (4), 749–758, (2003).
- [24] Binfet J., Wilamowski B. M. Microprocessor implementation of fuzzy systems and neural networks. In *Neural Networks, 2001. Proceedings. IJCNN'01. International Joint Conference*, 1, 234-239, IEEE (2001).
- [25] WonC.-Y., KimD.-H., KimS.-C., KimW.-S., KimH.-S., A new maximum power point tracker of photovoltaic arrays using fuzzy controller. 25<sup>th</sup> Annual IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference, PESC '94 Record, 1, 396–403, (1994).
- [26] Abd El-ShafyA.N., FatenH.F., Abou El-ZahabE.M. Evaluation of a proper controller performance for maximum-power point tracking of a stand-alone PV system. *Solar Energy Mater. Solar Cells*, 75 (3–4), 723–728, (2003).
- [27] PatcharaprakitiN., PremrudeepreechacharnS., SriuthaisiriwongY., Maximum power point tracking using adaptive fuzzy logic control for grid-connected photovoltaic system. *Renew. Energy*, 30 (11), 1771–1788, (2005).
- [28] Hiyama T., Kouzuma S., Imakubo T. Identification of optimal operating point of PV modules using neural network for real time maximum power tracking control. *Energy conversion, IEEE transactions*, 10(2), 360-367 (1995).

- [29] Hiyama T., Kouzuma S., Imakubo T., Ortmeyer T. H. Evaluation of neural network based real time maximum power tracking controller for PV system. *Energy Conversion, IEEE Transactions*, 10 (3), 543-548 (1995).
- [30] Hiyama T., Kitabayashi K. Neural network based estimation of maximum power generation from PV module using environmental information. *Energy Conversion, IEEE Transactions*, 12 (3), 241-247 (1997).
- [31] Cocconi A., Rippe W., Lectures from GM sunracer case history, lecture 3-1: the Sunracer power systems. Number M-101, Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc., Warrendale, PA, (1990).
- [32] Bergman T. L., Incropera F. P., Lavine A. S., DeWitt D. P. *Fundamentals of heat and mass transfer*. John Wiley & Sons (2011).
- [33] Bejan, A. *Convection heat transfer*. New York: Wiley (2004).
- [34] Lo Brano V.; Orioli A.; Ciulla G. On the experimental validation of an improved five-parameter model for silicon photovoltaic modules. *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells*, 105, 27-39 (2012).
- [35] Servant JM. Calculation of the cell temperature for photovoltaic modules from climatic data. 9<sup>th</sup> biennial congress of ISES-Intersol85, Montreal, Canada, 370 (1985).
- [36] Duffie JA., Beckman EA. *Solar energy thermal processes*. 3rd ed. Hoboken (NJ): Wiley (1991).
- [37] Tiwai GN., *Solar Energy-Fundamentals, design, modeling and applications*. Pangbourne (UK): Alpha Science, 450, (2002).
- [38] TRNSYS – Type 194. Five-parameter module. Solar Energy Laboratory, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- [39] Hove T. A method for predicting long-term average performance of photovoltaics systems. *Renewable Energy*, 21, 207-29 (2000).