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#### Abstract

The existence of at least one positive solution to a second-order nonlinear two-point boundary value problem, is established. Combining difference methods with Brouwer fixed point and Ascolì-Arzelà theorems, we get a solution as the limit of an appropriate sequence of piecewise linear interpolations. Furthermore, a priori bounds on the infinite norm of a solution and its derivatives are pointed out. Some examples are also discussed to illustrate our results.


## 1. Introduction

Consider the following two point nonlinear Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$
\left(P_{f}\right) \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-u^{\prime \prime}=f\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right), \quad x \in[a, b], \\
u(a)=u(b)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f:[a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. The aim of this paper is to establish the existence of at least one classical positive solution for problem $\left(P_{f}\right)$ combining some tools of functional analysis on a finite dimensional normed space, as Brouwer fixed point and Ascolì-Arzelà theorems, with the discrete difference methods and a priori estimates given in Theorem 2.2. Roughly speaking, our goal is obtained in two main steps.

First, putting together Brouwer's fixed point theorem with some ideas arising from [2], we get the existence of at least one solution for the associated standard difference Dirichlet problem

$$
\left(D_{h_{n}^{2} f}^{n}\right) \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta^{2} u_{n}(k-1)=h_{n}^{2} f_{k}^{n}\left(u_{n}(k), \frac{\Delta u_{n}(k-1)}{h_{n}}\right), \quad k \in[1, n], \\
u(0)=u(n+1)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $h_{n}=\frac{b-a}{n+1}$ is the step size, $x_{k}^{n}=a+k h_{n}$ are the grid points, and $f_{k}^{n}(t, s)=$ $f\left(x_{k}^{n}, t, s\right)$ for all $k=0, \ldots, n+1$ and for every $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, while $u_{n}(k):=u\left(x_{k}^{n}\right)$,

Key words and phrases. Difference methods; a priori bounds; fixed point methods; nonlinear two point boundary value problems.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39A27, 34B15. This work was started while the firstnamed author was visiting CMAFcIO, and he is grateful for the kind hospitality of the host institute.
$\Delta u_{n}(k-1):=u_{n}(k)-u_{n}(k-1), \Delta^{2} u_{n}(k-1):=\Delta\left(\Delta u_{n}(k-1)\right)$ are the forward and the second order differences, respectively, for $k=1, \ldots, n$.

Next, the existence of at least one solution to problem $\left(P_{f}\right)$ is obtained, by applying Ascolì-Arzelà theorem, as the limit of a sequence of piecewise linear interpolations of the solution $u_{n}$ of the discrete problem $D_{h_{n}^{2} f}^{n}$ (Theorem 3.1). Finally, the sign information on a solution has been obtained with truncation techniques in the case in which the problem does not admit the trivial one (Theorem 3.2). In particular, as consequence of our main results, we have the following

Theorem 1.1. Let $f:[a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous and bounded function such that $f(x, 0,0)>0$ for every $x \in[a, b]$. Then, problem $\left(P_{f}\right)$ admits at least one positive classical solution $u \in C^{2}([a, b])$ with

$$
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{8} M, \quad\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{(b-a)}{2} M
$$

where $M:=\sup _{(x, t, s) \in[a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}}|f(x, t, s)|$ and fulfills condition $(U)$ of Theorem 3.1.
It is understood that Theorem 1.1 (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2), as existence results are well known, while the approximation of the solution by using the solutions of the corresponding sequence of difference equations seems new, see for instance, [15, Theorem 9.2]. More precisely, we can see that our conclusion, concerning mere existence, is a special case of the result given in the vector case in [14, Theorem 4.2, p. 424] as an application of Schauder fixed point theorem, and it can essentially be traced to [11], where the author proves it by using Euler approximations satisfying the boundary conditions obtained through the intermediate value theorem. The bounds of the solutions are exactly the same, although obtained with different approaches. Moreover, in the one dimensional case, Theorem 1.1 gives a more precise version of [16, Theorem 2.3] obtained by using set-valued methods.

For the reader's convenience, we mention the historical paper [18] for a complete and exhaustive survey on the above mentioned classical existence results, as well as for an overview on classic methods developed to study boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations.

Concerning second order nonlinear differential problems with nonlinearities depending on the derivative there is a huge literature, involving more general quasilinear operators, as $p$-Laplacian, $\phi$-laplacian, strongly nonlinear differential operators, and/or functional boundary value conditions covering, amongst others, many interesting settings as Sturm-Liouville and multipoint boundary data. On these topics, deeper results are established in $[3,6,13,17,20]$. It is worth noting that compared to the approach developed in the above mentioned papers, to obtain a priori bounds on the derivative we do not make any assumptions about the existence of lower and upper solutions for problem $\left(P_{f}\right)$ as well as there are no requests of some Bernstein-Nagumo-Hartman type condition. We get the a priori estimates on the
derivative adapting some arguments contained in [2], where the non-linearity does not involve $u^{\prime}$ and the discrete problem $\left(D_{h_{n}^{2} f}^{n}\right)$ is solved by variational methods.

More precisely, exploiting the a priori estimates occurring for the unique solution of the linear problem $D_{h_{n}^{2} w}^{n}, w \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and its first differences, cfr. Theorem 2.2 below, we give a slightly more refined version of [12, Lemma 2.4], see also [15, 21, 22, 23], (Proposition 2.1). The latter result not only ensures that the discrete problem does not generate "irrelevant"solutions which tend to $+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but also allows us to obtain a solution $u$ of the continuous problem $\left(P_{f}\right)$, by using Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, as a uniform limit of an appropriate sequence of piecewise linear interpolations. In addition, in our setting, it gives also a priori estimates on the $L^{\infty}$ norms of a solution and its derivatives.

For further insights on second order differential problems with nonlinearities depending of the derivative of the solution, we also refer the reader to $[1,9,10,19]$ and the references therein.

## 2. Preliminaries

Consider the $n$-dimensional space

$$
X=\left\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}: u(0)=u(n+1)=0\right\},
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{X}:=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n+1}|\Delta u(k-1)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall u \in X \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to the norm

$$
\|u\|_{2}=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}|u(k)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall u \in X .
$$

In the sequel, we will also use the following (equivalent) norm

$$
\|u\|_{\infty}=\max _{k \in[1, n]}|u(k)| \quad \forall u \in X .
$$

To obtain the existence of at least one solution for problem ( $D_{h_{n}^{2} f}^{n}$ ), we will use the following consequence of Brouwer's fixed point theorem, see also [4] for an infinite dimensional version involving set-valued analysis.

Theorem 2.1. Let $X$, $Y$ be two finite dimensional normed spaces, let $K$ be a bounded, closed and convex subset of $X$ and let $L, G: K \rightarrow Y$ be two continuous functions. Assume that
(j) $L$ is one-to-one with $G(K) \subseteq L(K)$.

Then, there exists a point of coincidence $x_{0} \in K$ for $L$ and $G$, i.e. $L\left(x_{0}\right)=G\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Proof. We apply Brouwer's fixed point theorem, see [9], to the map $F: K \rightarrow K$ defined by

$$
F(x)=L^{-1}(G(x)) \quad \text { for all } x \in K
$$

which owing to $(j)$ is well-posed and continuous.
Now, we arrange to our goals some results contained in [2], see also [15, Theorem 6.8], which in addition to a priori estimations of the solution $u_{n}$ for a linear difference problem of type ( $D_{h_{n}^{2} f}^{n}$ ) give also a useful information on the sup-norm of its differences $\Delta u_{n}$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $n \geq 1$, and $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then, the problem
$\left(D_{h_{n}^{2} w}^{n}\right) \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}-\Delta^{2} u_{n}(k-1)=h_{n}^{2} w(k), \quad k \in[1, n], \\ u(0)=u(n+1)=0,\end{array}\right.$
admits a unique solution $u_{n} \in X$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(k)=h_{n}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} G(k, j) w(j) \quad \forall k \in[1, n], \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
G(k, j)= \begin{cases}\frac{j(n+1-k)}{n+1}, & \text { if } j \leq k  \tag{2.3}\\ \frac{k(n+1-j)}{n+1}, & \text { if } j \geq k\end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{8}\|w\|_{\infty} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\Delta u_{n}}{h_{n}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{(b-a)}{2}\|w\|_{\infty} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
B_{n}= \begin{cases}\frac{(n+1)^{2}}{8}, & \text { if } n \text { is odd }  \tag{2.6}\\ \frac{n(n+2)}{8}, & \text { if } n \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

and let $u_{n} \in X$ be the unique solution of the linear problem $\left(D_{h_{n}^{2} w}^{n}\right)$. Bearing in mind [2, Theorem 2.1, Remark 4.1], see also [15, Theorem 6.8], it remains to prove (2.5).

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}(k-1):=\frac{\Delta u_{n}(k-1)}{h_{n}}, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we define two functions $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n}(x):=u_{n}(k-1)+v_{n}(k-1)\left(x-x_{k-1}^{n}\right), \quad \forall x_{k-1}^{n} \leq x \leq x_{k}^{n}, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n}(x):=v_{n}(k-1)+\frac{\Delta v_{n}(k-1)}{h_{n}}\left(x-x_{k-1}^{n}\right), \quad \forall x_{k-1}^{n} \leq x \leq x_{k}^{n} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end, it easy to show that, for every $k \in[2, n]$, one has

$$
\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n}[G(k, j)-G(k-1, j)]\right| \leq \frac{1}{n+1}\left(\frac{k(k-1)}{2}+\frac{(n+2-k)(n+1-k)}{2}\right)
$$

while, if $k=1$ or $k=n+1$, if we agree that $G(0, j)=G(n+1, j)=0$,

$$
\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n}[G(k, j)-G(k-1, j)]\right| \leq \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{n(n+1)}{2}
$$

From this, for every $k \in[1, n+1]$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Delta u_{n}(k-1)\right|= & h_{n}^{2}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n}[G(k, j)-G(k-1, j)]\right||w(k)| \\
& \leq \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{(n+1)^{3}}\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)\|w\|_{\infty} \\
& =\frac{(b-a)}{(n+1)} \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{(b-a)}{2}\|w\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

which clearly ensures (2.5) and our conclusion is achieved.
Now, we recall a slightly more refined version of [12, Lemma 2.4], which represents the core of the so-called constructive method for determining a solution to problem $\left(P_{f}\right)$ as the limit of piecewise linear interpolations generated starting from the solutions of the discrete problem $\left(D_{h_{n}^{2} f}^{n}\right)$. On this argument, for a detailed proof, we refer to $[22,23]$, see also [2, Proposition 4.1].

Hereafter, when we fix $n$, unless explicitly stated, it means that $n$ is as large as needed.

For $n \geq 1$ and $k=1, \ldots, n+1$, we put
for all $x \in[a, b]$.
Proposition 2.1. Assume that there exists $n_{0} \geq 1$ such that problem ( $D_{h_{n}^{2} f}^{n}$ ) admits at least one solution $u_{n} \in X$, for all $n \geq n_{0}$. In addition, we suppose that there exist two positive constants $R$ and $Q$ such that
$\left(A_{1}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq R$ and $\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq Q$, for all $n \geq n_{0}$.

Then, arguing by sub-sequences if necessary, there exist two functions $\alpha, \beta \in C([a, b])$ fulfilling the following conditions:
$\left(U_{1}\right) \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\alpha_{n}-\alpha\right\|_{\infty}=0$,
$\left(U_{2}\right) \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\beta_{n}-\beta\right\|_{\infty}=0$,
where, for all $x \in[a, b]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(x)=\int_{a}^{x} \beta(s) d s \quad \text { and } \quad \beta(x)=\beta(a)-\int_{a}^{x} f\left(s, \alpha(s), \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right) d s . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\alpha \in C^{2}([a, b])$ and it turns out that $\alpha$ is a solution of problem $\left(P_{f}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\alpha\|_{\infty} \leq R, \quad\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq Q, \quad\left\|\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq M \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M=\max _{(x, t, s) \in[a, b] \times[-R, R] \times[-Q, Q]}|f(x, t, s)|$.
Proof. Since we are arguing in a standard way, for the reader's convenience we limit ourselves to recalling the fundamental steps of the proof. First, we point out that the sequences $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}_{n}$ are equi-bounded and equi-continuous in $[a, b]$, that is, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a_{1}\right)\left\|\alpha_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq R,\left\|\beta_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq Q ; \\
& \left(a_{2}\right)\left|\alpha_{n}\left(s_{1}\right)-\alpha_{n}\left(s_{2}\right)\right| \leq Q\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right| \text { for all } s_{1}, s_{2} \in[a, b] ; \\
& \left(a_{3}\right)\left|\beta_{n}\left(s_{1}\right)-\beta_{n}\left(s_{2}\right)\right| \leq M\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right| \text { for all } s_{1}, s_{2} \in[a, b] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, $\left(U_{1}\right)$ and $\left(U_{2}\right)$ are easily proved by applying Ascoli-Arzelà's Theorem, while (2.10) can be easily proved by using $\left(U_{1}\right),\left(U_{2}\right)$ and bearing in mind that

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{n}\left(x_{k}^{n}\right) & =u_{n}(k)=u_{n}(0)+h_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{n}(i-1)  \tag{2.12}\\
& =\alpha_{n}(a)+h_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{n}\left(x_{i-1}^{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$
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$$
\begin{align*}
\beta_{n}\left(x_{k}^{n}\right) & =v_{n}(k)=v_{n}(0)+h_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\Delta v_{n}(i-1)}{h_{n}}  \tag{2.13}\\
& =\beta_{n}(a)-h_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}^{n}\left(u_{n}(i), v_{n}(i-1)\right) \\
& \left.=\beta_{n}(a)-h_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}^{n}\left(\alpha_{n}\left(x_{i}^{n}\right)\right), \beta_{n}\left(x_{i}^{n}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, (2.10), clearly ensures that $\alpha$ is a solution of problem $\left(P_{f}\right)$. Finally, the estimates $(2.11)$ are a direct consequence of conditions $\left(A_{1}\right)$ above.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{(x, t, s) \in[a, b] \times\left[-r_{1}, r_{1}\right] \times\left[-r_{2}, r_{2}\right]}|f(x, t, s)| \leq \min \left\{\frac{8 r_{1}}{(b-a)^{2}}, \frac{2 r_{2}}{(b-a)}\right\} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Main results

Our main results are the following.
Theorem 3.1. Assume that there exist two positive constants $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ such that

Then, problem $\left(P_{f}\right)$ admits at least one classical solution $u \in C^{2}([a, b])$ with

$$
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq r_{1}, \quad\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq r_{2}, \quad\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \min \left\{\frac{8 r_{1}}{(b-a)^{2}}, \frac{2 r_{2}}{(b-a)}\right\}
$$

Moreover, the following conditions hold:
$(U) u(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \alpha_{n}(x)$ and $u^{\prime}(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \beta_{n}(x)$ uniformly in $[a, b], \alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{n}$ being the functions described in (2.8) and (2.9), respectively.

Proof. Claim. Let $n \geq 1$. Then, problem ( $D_{h_{n}^{2} f}^{n}$ ) has a solution $u_{n} \in X$ fulfiling

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq r_{1}  \tag{3.2}\\
\left\|\frac{\Delta u_{n}}{h_{n}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq r_{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}:=\left\{u_{n} \in X:\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq r_{1},\left\|\frac{\Delta u_{n}}{h_{n}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq r_{2} \cdot\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We look for a solution $u_{n} \in X$ of problem ( $D_{h_{n}^{2} f}^{n}$ ) as a point of coincidence $u_{0} \in K_{n}$ between the continuous vector fields $L, G: K_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ defined by putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(u)_{k}:=-\Delta^{2} u(k-1) \quad \text { and } \quad G(u)_{k}:=h_{n}^{2} f_{k}^{n}\left(u_{n}(k), \frac{\Delta u_{n}(k-1)}{h_{n}}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $n \geq 1$ and let $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ be as in (3.1). In the finite dimensional normed space $X$, we consider the bounded closed and convex subset
for all $k=1, \ldots, n$.
Clearly, Theorem 2.2 ensures that $L$ is one-to-one. To show that $G\left(K_{n}\right) \subseteq L\left(K_{n}\right)$, take $z \in G\left(K_{n}\right)$ and prove that there exist a unique $u \in K_{n}$ such that $L(u)=z$.

Taking into account that $z \in G\left(K_{n}\right)$ one has that there exists $y \in K_{n}$ such that $z=G(y)$, so to achieve our goal we need to prove that the linear system $L(u)=G(y)$ admits a unique solution $u \in K_{n}$. Applying Theorem 2.2, with $w(k)=f_{k}^{n}\left(y_{n}(k), \frac{\Delta y_{n}(k-1)}{h_{n}}\right)$, for all $k=1, \ldots, n$, one has that the previous system admits an unique solution $u$.

Moreover, since $y \in K_{n}$, by (2.4) and (2.5), it is easy to see that

$$
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq h_{n}^{2}\|w\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{8} \max _{(x, t, s) \in[a, b] \times\left[-r_{1}, r_{1}\right] \times\left[-r_{2}, r_{2}\right]}|f(x, t, s)| \leq r_{1}
$$

$$
\left\|\frac{\Delta u}{h_{n}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{(b-a)}{2} \max _{(t, s, \xi) \in[a, b] \times\left[-r_{1}, r_{1}\right] \times\left[-r_{2}, r_{2}\right]}|f(x, t, s)| \leq r_{2},
$$

that is, $u \in K_{n}$ and the claim is proved.
Therefore, combining the previous claim with (3.1), our conclusions follow by applying Proposition 2.1 with $R=r_{1}, Q=r_{2}$.

Remark 3.1. Theorem 3.1 in addition to [4, Theorem 3.1] shows that $u$ fulfills condition $(U)$. In particular, in [4], the main result is shown by applying an abstract coincidence point theorem and the estimates on the $L^{\infty}$ norms are obtained involving the embedding of the space $W_{0}^{2,2}(0,1)$ in $C^{1}(0,1)$.

To look for a positive solution, we need to combine Theorem (3.1) with some truncation techniques as in [7] and [8].

Theorem 3.2. Let $f:[a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that $f(x, 0,0)>0$ for every $x \in[a, b]$. Assume that there exist two positive constants $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{(x, t, s,) \in[a, b] \times\left[0, r_{1}\right] \times\left[-r_{2}, r_{2}\right]}|f(x, t, s)| \leq \min \left\{\frac{8 r_{1}}{(b-a)^{2}}, \frac{2 r_{2}}{(b-a)}\right\} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\hat{f}(x, t, s)= \begin{cases}f(x, t, s) & \text { if } t \geq 0  \tag{3.7}\\ f(x, 0, s) & \text { if } t<0\end{cases}
$$

Clearly, applying Theorem 3.1, problem $\left(P_{\hat{f}}\right)$, admits at least one non-trivial classical solution $\tilde{u} \in C^{2}([a, b])$ which satisfies our conclusions provided that $\tilde{u}$ is positive in $(a, b)$. Since, $\tilde{u}$ is continuous in $[a, b]$, Weierstrass Theorem ensures that there exists $x_{1} \in[a, b]$ such that $\tilde{u}\left(x_{1}\right)=\min _{x \in[a, b]} \tilde{u}(x)$. If $x_{1}=a$ or $x_{1}=b$, we are done. If $x_{1} \in(a, b)$ we have $\tilde{u}\left(x_{1}\right) \leq 0$ and, since Fermat's Theorem implies that $\tilde{u}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=0$, one has

$$
0 \geq-\tilde{u}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, 0,0\right)=f\left(x_{1}, 0,0\right)>0
$$

We have obtained a contradiction and this completes the proof.
In the applications of the previous theorem, the following is very useful, where roughly speaking we work only with one parameter.

Corollary 3.1. Let $f:[a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that $f(x, 0,0)>0$ for every $x \in[a, b]$. Assume that there exists $r>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right) \max \left\{\frac{(b-a)}{4}, 1\right\} \leq \frac{r}{\max _{(x, t, s,) \in[a, b] \times[0, r] \times[-r, r]}|f(x, t, s)|} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, problem $\left(P_{f}\right)$ admits at least one positive classical solution $u \in C^{2}([a, b])$ fulfilling condition $(U)$ with

$$
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq r, \quad\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq r, \quad\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{2}{b-a} \min \left\{\frac{4}{b-a}, 1\right\} r
$$

Proof. Clearly, since $f(x, 0,0)>0$, (3.8) is well posed. Moreover, (3.8) implies that (3.6) is satisfied with $r_{1}=r_{2}=r$. So, applying Theorem 3.2 the proof is completed.

Remark 3.2. In the spirit of the above results, we explicitly observe that the conclusions of Theorem (3.2) continue to hold provided that at least one of the following conditions is verified:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{8}<\sup _{r>0} \frac{r}{\max _{(x, t, s,) \in[a, b] \times[0, r] \times\left[-\frac{4}{b-a} r, \frac{4}{b-a} r\right]}|f(x, t, s)|} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(b-a)}{2}<\sup _{r>0} \frac{r}{\max _{(x, t, s,) \in[a, b] \times\left[0, \frac{b-a}{4} r\right] \times[-r, r]}|f(x, t, s)|} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq r, \quad\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{4}{b-a} r, \quad\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{8}{(b-a)^{2}} r
$$

and

$$
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{b-a}{4} r, \quad\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq r, \quad\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{2}{b-a} r
$$

according to either (3.9) or (3.10) is satisfied, respectively. Indeed, in the first case, it is enough to apply Theorem 3.2 with $r=r_{1}$ and $r_{2}=\frac{4}{b-a} r$ and, in the second one, $r_{1}=\frac{b-a}{4} r$ and $r_{2}=r$.
Finally, it is worth noticing that (3.9) or (3.10) are always satisfied if the size of the interval $[a, b]$ is small enough.

Proof. of Theorem 1.1. It is enough to apply Theorem (3.2) with $r_{1}=\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{8} M$ and $r_{2}=\frac{(b-a)}{2} M$ that is $M=\frac{8}{(b-a)^{2}} r_{1}=\frac{2}{(b-a)} r_{2}$.
Remark 3.3. In the previous results, in order to study the sign of the solution found, the principle of the discrete strong maximum is not used, as for example in
[5, Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 2.2], but the dependence of the non-linearity from the convective term $u^{\prime}$ is fully exploited.

To illustrate the results obtained we present some examples. For simplicity, we drop the dependence on $x$. Clearly, for the autonomous equation $-u^{\prime \prime}=f\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)$, because translates of solutions are again solutions, without loss of generality, problem $\left(P_{f}\right)$ may be set in an interval $[-a, a]$, for some $a>0$.

Example 3.1. Let $a>0$. The function $u(x)=\frac{M}{2}\left(a^{2}-x^{2}\right)$ is the unique solution of the problem

$$
-u^{\prime \prime}(x)=M, \quad u(-a)=u(a)=0, \quad \text { for all } x \in[-a, a],
$$

where $M>0$, so that

$$
\|u\|_{\infty}=\frac{M}{2} a^{2}, \quad\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=M a
$$

So, the estimates furnished by Theorem 1.1 seem to be sharp.
Example 3.2. If $a \leq \frac{1}{2 e}$, then problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-u^{\prime \prime}=e^{u+u^{\prime}}, \\
u(-a)=u(a)=0,
\end{array} \quad \text { in }(-a, a),\right.
$$

admits at least one classical and positive solution $u$ fulfilling condition $(U)$ and with

$$
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2 a}
$$

To this end, it is enough to apply Corollary 3.1, with $r=\frac{1}{2}$.
Example 3.3. If $0<a \leq \frac{\sqrt{17}-1}{4}$, then problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-u^{\prime \prime}=\frac{u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+1}{1+u^{2}}, \\
u(-a)=u(a)=0,
\end{array} \quad \text { in }(-a, a)\right.
$$

admits at least one classical and positive solution $u$ fulfilling condition $(U)$ with

$$
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq a, \quad\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 2, \quad\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{2}{a}
$$

To apply Theorem 3.2 with $r_{1}=a$ and $r_{2}=2$ we need to have

$$
1 \leq \frac{4 a+1}{1+a^{2}} \leq \frac{2}{a}
$$

which is satisfied for $0<a \leq \frac{\sqrt{17}-1}{4}$.
Acknowledgments. P. Candito and R. Livrea are members of the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM). L. Sanchez was supported by National Funding from FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, under
the project: UIDB/04561/2020. This study was partly funded by: Research project of MIUR (Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research) Prin 2022 "Nonlinear differential problems with applications to real phenomena" (Grant Number: 2022ZXZTN2).
[1] R.P. Agarwal, Difference Equations and Inequalities, Marcel Dekker, New York, Basel, 2000.
[2] E. Amoroso, P. Candito, J. Mawhin, Existence of a priori bounded solutions for discrete twopoint boundary value problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 519 (2023), no. 2, Paper No. 126807.
[3] P. Amster, J. Haddad, A Hartman-Nagumo type condition for a class of contractible domains, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 41 (2013), no. 2, 287-304.
[4] G. Bonanno, P. Candito, D. Motreanu, A coincidence point theorem for sequentially continuous mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 435, (2016), no. 1, 606-615.
[5] G. Bonanno, P. Candito, G. D'Aguì, Variational methods on finite dimensional Banach spaces and discrete problems, Advanced Nonlinear Studies 14 (2014), 915-939.
[6] A. Cabada, D. O'Regan, L. Pouso, Rodrigo, Second order problems with functional conditions including Sturm-Liouville and multipoint conditions, Math. Nachr. 281 (2008), no. 9, 1254-1263.
[7] P. Candito, R. Livrea, An existence result for a Neumann problem, Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst. Ser. A Math. Anal. 22 (2015), no. 6, 481-488.
[8] P. Candito, R. Livrea, Existence results for periodic boundary value problems with a convection term. Differential and difference equations with applications, 593-602, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 333, Springer, Cham, [2020].
[9] G. Dinca and J. Mawhin, Brouwer Degree. The Core of Nonlinear Analysis, Birkhäuser, Basel, (2021).
[10] G. Feltrin, F. Zanolin, Bound sets for a class of $\phi$-Laplacian operators. J. Differential Equations 297 (2021), 508-535.
[11] M. Fukuhara, Quelques recherches sur les équations différentielles du second ordre, Japanese J. Math. 5 (1928), 351-367.
[12] R. Gaines, Difference equations associated with boundary value problems for second order nonlinear ordinary differential equations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 11 (1974), 411-434.
[13] M. R. Grossinho, F. Minhós, A. I. Santos, A note on a class of problems for a higher-order fully nonlinear equation under one-sided Nagumo-type condition, Nonlinear Anal. 70 (2009), no. 11, 4027-4038.
[14] P. Hartman, Ordinary differential equations, second edition, The Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia (2002)
[15] W. G. Kelley, A. C. Peterson, Difference Equations: An introduction with applications, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, (1991).
[16] S. A. Marano, Existence theorems for a multivalued boundary value problem, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 45 (1992), no. 2, 249-260.
[17] C. Marcelli, F. Papalini, Boundary value problems for strongly nonlinear equations under a Wintner-Nagumo growth condition, Bound. Value Probl. 2017, Paper No. 183, 15 pp.
[18] J. Mahwin, Boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations: From successive approximations to topology, Development of Mathematics 1900-1950, Birkhäuser, Basel, (1994), 443477.
[19] J. Mawhin, The Bernstein-Nagumo problem and two-point boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations. Qualitative theory of differential equations, Vol. I, II (Szeged, 1979), pp. 709-740, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, 30, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1981.
[20] J. Mawhin, H. B. Thompson, Nagumo conditions and second-order quasilinear equations with compatible nonlinear functional boundary conditions, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 41 (2011), no. 2, 573-596.
[21] I. Rachůnková, C. C. Tisdell, Existence of non-spurious solutions to discrete Dirichlet problems with lower and upper solutions, Nonlinear Anal. 67 (2007), no. 4, 1236-1245.
[22] C. C. Tisdell, A discrete approach to continuous second-order boundary value problems via monotone iterative techniques, Int. J. Difference Equ. 12 (2017), no. 1, 145-160.
[23] C. C. Tisdell, Y. Liu, Z. Liu, Existence of solutions to discrete and continuous second-order boundary value problems via Lyapunov functions and a priori bounds, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. (2019), Paper No. 42, 11 pp.

Department Diceam, University of Reggio Calabria, 89122 Reggio Calabria (Italy).

Email address: pasquale.candito@unirc.it
Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Palermo, Via Archirafi, Palermo - (Italy).

Email address: roberto.livrea@unipa.it
CMAFciO - Centro de Matemática, Aplicações Fundamentais e Investigação Operacional, Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal

Email address: lfrodrigues@ciencias.ulisboa.pt

