

An assessment of the hemorheological profile in patients with subclinical carotid atherosclerosis divided in relation to the number of cardiovascular risk factors and different degrees of insulin resistance

G. Caimi^{a,*}, C. Urso^b, S. Brucculeri^b, C. Amato^a, M. Carlisi^a and R. Lo Presti^c

^a*Department of Health Promotion and Child Care, Internal Medicine and Medical Specialties, Università Degli Studi Di Palermo, Palermo, Italy*

^b*Fondazione Istituto “G. Giglio” Cefalù, Palermo, Italy*

^c*Department of Psychology, Educational Science and Human Movement, Università Degli Studi Di Palermo, Palermo, Italy*

Abstract. We present a cohort of 100 subjects [43 men and 57 women; median age 66.00(25)] who were tested using carotid ultrasound to identify subclinical carotid atherosclerosis (SCA). We have evaluated the behaviour of whole blood viscosity (WBV) at high (450 s^{-1}) and low (0.51 s^{-1}) shear rates, plasma viscosity (450^{-1}), hematocrit and mean erythrocyte aggregation. When compared to normal control subjects, using the Mann-Whitney test, we observed in SCA patients a significant increase in WBV only. The results were substantial after having divided the SCA subjects according to the cardiovascular risk factors (CRFs) and the degree of insulin resistance; the research was performed using two surrogate indexes such as TG/HDL-C and TyG. With the division carried out according to CRFs, employing the Kruskal-Wallis test, results show a significant increase in WBV (at high and low shear rates), in plasma viscosity, in erythrocyte aggregation and plasma fibrinogen level. Whereas by dividing them into the median of TG/HDL-C and TyG, we noticed a significant increase in WBV (at high and low shear rates) and in erythrocyte aggregation in the two groups with high TG/HDL-C ratio and with high TyG; having found an increased level of plasma fibrinogen in the latter. The data underlines the role of the main hemorheologic aspects in subclinical carotid atherosclerosis being closely correlated to the CRFs and different degrees of insulin resistance.

Keywords: Subclinical carotid atherosclerosis, hemorheological determinants, traditional cardiovascular risk factors, insulin-resistance, uric acid

1. Introduction

Subclinical atherosclerosis may be investigated through different approaches such as: the ultrasonographic study with ecocolor Doppler, measurement of the ankle-brachial pressure index, the non-invasive evaluation of endothelial function, coronary calcium score and evaluation of the coronary artery with multidetector computed tomography [1].

*Corresponding author: Gregorio Caimi, Via Leonardo Da Vinci, 52, 90145, Palermo, Italy. E-mail: gregorio.caimi@unipa.it.; E-mail: gregoriocaimi2@gmail.com.

31 The identification of subclinical atherosclerosis is an early marker of vascular damage and expresses
32 the susceptibility to develop atherosclerotic disease, regardless of the presence of one or more risk
33 factors.

34 Many subjects, classified as at high risk by traditional assessment scales, have subclinical atheroscle-
35 rosis [2]. Subjects with objective evidence of subclinical atherosclerosis have a higher risk of short- and
36 long-term cardiovascular events than controls of the same age and sex. The early stages of atheroscle-
37 rosis therefore become a key target for early diagnosis and satisfactory prognostic results. All risk
38 factors are related to the presence of endothelial dysfunction and, at the same time, the hemorheologi-
39 cal alterations play a role in the pathogenesis and progression of the disease, as well as the prognostic
40 factors [3–5].

41 Fibrinogen, that is one of the most important determinants of plasma viscosity as it significantly
42 influences erythrocyte aggregation [6]. Although, several studies have confirmed the role of plasma
43 viscosity in the progression of cardiovascular diseases, plasma viscosity assessment has not always
44 been used in clinical practice [7, 8].

45 Hemorheological alteration appears to be a risk factor for the development of cardiovascular disease
46 through the effects on atherogenesis, thrombogenesis and tissue ischemia [5, 9, 10].

47 Several studies have demonstrated the relationship between blood viscosity alterations and the onset
48 as well as progression of cardiovascular diseases [11]. Plasma hyperviscosity limits tissue perfusion
49 in low-flow areas; it may be considered a marker of early atherosclerosis and a useful prognostic
50 parameter in identifying subjects at risk of cardiovascular complications [10, 12].

51 Hemorheological parameters may be correlated with the degree of carotid stenosis in both symp-
52 tomatic and asymptomatic subjects, without implications with such risk factors [13–17].

53 According to other authors, blood viscosity correlates with mean intimal thickening and reduced
54 flow-mediated dilation [18]. A secondary hyperviscosity condition may be present in several metabolic
55 and non-metabolic clinical disorders [19, 20]. Such observation would tend to complicate the analysis
56 of the cause-effect relationship between hemorheological alteration and atherosclerosis [21].

57 Taking into account the aforementioned considerations, we have examined some determinants of
58 the hemorheologic profile in a group of subjects with subclinical carotid atherosclerosis (SCA). Sub-
59 sequently, we divided them into two different subgroups in relation to the number of cardiovascular
60 risk factors and in relation to the different degrees of insulin resistance.

61 2. Subjects

62 This group included 100 subjects (43 men and 57 women, median age 66.00 (25.00) years) with sub-
63 clinical carotid atherosclerosis (SCA). This vascular condition was demonstrated effecting a carotid
64 ultrasound examination. The common carotid artery, the bifurcation and the internal carotid artery
65 have been examined bilaterally with a linear 7.5 MHz ultrasound probe using an Esaote MyLab 25
66 and following standard hospital procedures. The carotid atherosclerotic plaques, unilateral in 43 and
67 bilateral in 57 subjects, were all of fibrocalcific type with no implications in terms of the hemody-
68 namic profile. In all the SCA subjects was examined also the ankle-brachial index being less than
69 0.90 in 3 subjects, and they resulted to be asymptomatic for peripheral arterial disease. The subject
70 group involved in the study had no evidence of clinically significant cardiovascular diseases by history,
71 physical examination, ECG, echocardiogram, or chest x-ray. The SCA subject group got later divided
72 according to the number of cardiovascular risk factors (hypercholesterolemia - 73%, arterial hyper-
73 tension - 66%, family history of cardiovascular disease - 65%, smoker or ex-smoker - 52%, metabolic
74 syndrome - 33%, obesity - 28%, diabetes mellitus - 15%) into two subgroups. 43 of them had 1 to 2
75 cardiovascular risk factors (CRF) and 57 had 3 to 5 CRFs. The same group was divided into different

Table 1
Medians (IQR) and ranges of anthropometric and laboratory parameters in the whole group of SCA subjects

	Median (IQR)	range
Age (years)	66 (25)	45–83
BMI (Kg/m ²)	28.08 (5.68)	17.99–43.25
WC (cm)	96.50 (16)	67–125
Fasting blood glucose (mg/dl)	94.5 (17)	77–237
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	199.5 (53.7)	108–338
HDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	50.5 (15)	22–103
LDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	122.2 (40.2)	51.4–246.8
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	102 (62.55)	44–309
Uric acid (mg/dl)	4.50 (1.175)	1.10–7.70
CRP (mg/l)	2.9 (2.5)	0.3–9.5
N/L ratio	1.856 (0.935)	0.624–5.243
Fibrinogen (mg/dl)	305 (36.8)	199–518
SBP (mmHg)	130 (20)	100–160
DBP (mmHg)	80 (10)	60–100

IQR = interquartile range; SCA = subclinical carotid atherosclerosis; BMI = body mass index; WC = waist circumference; CRP = C-reactive protein; N/L = neutrophil/lymphocyte; SBP = systolic blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure.

subgroups according to their TyG parameters. Such further division was carried out according to their triglycerides/HDL-cholesterol (TG/HDL-C) ratio and to their logarithm of the product of triglycerides and fasting plasma glucose level (TyG index). Both these related parameters are considered markers of insulin resistance [22]. The SCA group was divided into those with a low and high TG/HDL-C ratio and those with a low and high TyG index according to their median value respectively.

Medians, IQR and range of age, anthropometric parameters, glucometaabolic patterns, lipid profile and blood pressure values for the SCA subject group are shown in Table 1.

The control group consisted of 31 subjects (13 men and 18 women; median age 42; interquartile ranges 21) selected among the hospital staff who were free from disease.

3. Methods

Venous blood samples were collected by venous puncture in the morning from the antecubital vein of fasting subjects and immediately transferred to anticoagulated glass tubes for the evaluation of the following parameters:

- Whole blood viscosity (WBV) at the shear rate of 450 s^{-1} , by using the cone-on-plate viscometer Well-Brookfield DV III Ultra (Brookfield, Middleboro, MA, USA);
- Whole blood viscosity (WBV) at the shear rate of 0.51 s^{-1} employing a viscometer Contraves LS30 (proRheo GmbH, Althengstett, Germany);
- Plasma viscosity (PV) at the shear rate of 450 s^{-1} , by using the cone-on-plate viscometer Wells-Brookfield DV III Ultra (Brookfield Middleboro, MA, USA);
- Hematocrit (Ht), obtained by using a micromethod technique.
- Mean erythrocyte aggregation by using the Myrenne aggregometer MA-1 (Myrenne GmbH, Roetgen, Germany).

Table 2

Medians (IQR) of rheological parameters in control subjects and the whole group of SCA subjects

	Control subjects (n = 31)	SCA (n = 100)
WBV 450 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	3.090 (0.7)	3.350 (0.68) ***
WBV 0.51 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	24.22 (6.37)	24.36 (7.4)
PV 450 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	1.230 (0.08)	1.260 (0.1)
Ht (%)	42.00 (6.00)	40.00 (3.00)
MEA	11.60 (2.70)	12.60 (3.20)

*** $p < 0.001$ (Mann-Whitney test). IQR = interquartile range; SCA = subclinical carotid atherosclerosis; WBV = whole blood viscosity; mPa = milliPascal; PV = plasma viscosity; Ht = haematocrit; MEA = mean erythrocyte aggregation.

4. Statistical analysis

The statistical difference between control group and the SCA subject group was analysed according to the Mann-Whitney test. The correlation coefficients between hemorheological determinants and the other parameters were examined using the Spearman test. The Mann-Whitney test was also employed to analyse the differences in hemorheological parameters between each subgroup of SCA subjects. The statistical differences among normal controls and each subgroup of SCA subjects was examined using the Kruskal-Wallis test.

5. Results

When we compared the SCA subject group to the control group, we found an increase in whole blood viscosity (WBV) at high shear rate only; no variation in fact was evident in WBV at low shear rate, plasma viscosity, hematocrit and mean erythrocyte aggregation (Table 2). Afterwards, by employing the Spearman test we examined the correlation coefficients among the determinants of the hemorheological pattern with insulin resistance indexes (TG/HDL-C ratio and TyG), with anthropometric parameters (BMI and waist circumference) and with metabolic and inflammation markers (uric acid, fibrinogen, C-reactive protein and neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio). From this preliminary analysis emerges that both parameters reflecting insulin resistance are correlated to the WBV, at high and low shear rates, as well as both anthropometric parameters are correlated to the plasma viscosity while among the metabolic and inflammation markers only the uric acid is correlated, at low significance degree, with all the hemorheological determinants (Table 3).

By comparing the control group to the SCA subject group divided by the number of cardiovascular risk factors (CRFs) we have observed, using the Kruskal-Wallis test, that WBV at high shear rate, plasma viscosity and erythrocyte aggregation had increased in SCA subjects with 3-5 CRFs, while no variation was found in WBV at low shear rate and hematocrit (Table 4). The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the subgroup of SCA subjects presenting 1-2 CRFs with those presenting 3-5 CRFs. We noticed an increase in WBV at high shear rate, plasma viscosity, hematocrit and fibrinogen in SCA subjects with 3-5 CRFs; with this subdivision no statistical variation was observed in WBV at low shear rate and in erythrocyte aggregation (Table 5).

When we compared the control group with the SCA subjects, who were divided according to their median of TG/HDL-C ratio, we found by employing the Kruskal-Wallis test an increase in WBV at high and low shear rates in the SCA subject subgroup with high TG/HDL-C ratio, although no alterations in plasma viscosity and hematocrit (Table 6) were present. Using the Mann-Whitney test,

Table 3

Correlation coefficients between hemorheological parameters and A) indices of insulin resistance, B) anthropometric indices, C) metabolic and inflammation indices

vs	WBV 450 sec ⁻¹	WBV 0.51 sec ⁻¹	PV	Ht	MEA
A)					
TG/HDL	0.2793**	0.2191*	0.1259	0.1237	0.1701
TyG	0.2269*	0.2470*	0.1062	0.0925	0.2557*
B)					
BMI	0.1644	0.1099	0.2822**	0.06617	0.1138
WC	0.1448	0.06776	0.3401***	0.06505	0.08480
C)					
Uric acid	0.2213*	0.2147*	0.2617**	0.2422*	0.2463*
Fibrinogen	0.1093	0.0705	0.1114	0.0340	-0.0559
CRP	-0.0050	-0.0072	-0.0182	0.0298	-0.1512
N/L ratio	0.0131	-0.0576	-0.1079	-0.0020	-0.0931

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$ (Spearman test). WBV = whole blood viscosity; PV = plasma viscosity; Ht = haematocrit; MEA = mean erythrocyte aggregation; BMI = body mass index; WC = waist circumference; CRP = C-reactive protein; N/L = neutrophil/lymphocyte.

Table 4

Medians (IQR) of rheological parameters in control subjects and in SCA subjects subdivided according to the number of RFs

	Control subjects (<i>n</i> = 31)	SCA with 1-2 RFs (<i>n</i> = 43)	SCA with 3-5 RFs (<i>n</i> = 57)	K-W statistic
WBV 450 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	3.090 (0.7)	3.150 (0.66)	3.600 (0.66)	16.86***
WBV 0.51 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	24.22 (6.37)	24.22 (6.93)	25.50 (8.79)	3.765
PV 450 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	1.230 (0.08)	1.22 (0.10)	1.28 (0.095)	11.39**
Ht (%)	42.00 (6.00)	40.00 (3.00)	41.00 (4.00)	5.919
MEA	11.60 (2.70)	11.60 (3.20)	13.00 (2.87)	7.631*

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$ (Kruskal-Wallis test). IQR = interquartile range; SCA = subclinical carotid atherosclerosis; RFs = risk factors; WBV = whole blood viscosity; mPa = milliPascal; PV = plasma viscosity; Ht = haematocrit; MEA = mean erythrocyte aggregation.

Table 5

Medians (IQR) of rheological parameters in SCA subjects subdivided according to the number of RFs

	SCA with 1-2 RFs (<i>n</i> = 43)	SCA with 3-5 RFs (<i>n</i> = 57)
WBV 450 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	3.150 (0.66)	3.600 (0.66)**
WBV 0.51 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	24.22 (6.93)	25.50 (8.79)
PV 450 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	1.22 (0.10)	1.28 (0.095)**
Ht (%)	40.00 (3.00)	41.00 (4.00)*
MEA	11.60 (3.20)	13.00 (2.87)
Fibrinogen (mg/dl)	301 (33)	310 (34)*

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$ (Mann-Whitney test). IQR = interquartile range; SCA = subclinical carotid atherosclerosis; RFs = risk factors; WBV = whole blood viscosity; mPa = milliPascal; PV = plasma viscosity; Ht = haematocrit; MEA = mean erythrocyte aggregation.

Table 6

Medians (IQR) of rheological parameters in control subjects and in SCA subjects subdivided according to the median of TG/HDL ratio

	Control subjects (n = 31)	SCA with low TG/HDL (n = 50)	SCA with high TG/HDL (n = 50)	K-W statistic
WBV 450 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	3.090 (0.7)	3.170 (0.63)	3.605 (0.587)	17.27***
WBV 0.51 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	24.22 (6.37)	23.07 (6.84)	26.77 (8.07)	9.657**
PV 450 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	1.230 (0.08)	1.260 (0.10)	1.255 (0.112)	3.684
Ht (%)	42.00 (6.00)	40.00 (4.00)	41.00 (4.00)	3.282
MEA	11.60 (2.70)	12.16 (2.60)	13.35 (3.74)	7.057*

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$ (Kruskal-Wallis test). IQR = interquartile range; SCA = subclinical carotid atherosclerosis; TG = triglycerides; HDL = high density lipoprotein cholesterol; WBV = whole blood viscosity; mPa = milliPascal; PV = plasma viscosity; Ht = haematocrit; MEA = mean erythrocyte aggregation.

Table 7

Medians (IQR) of rheological parameters in SCA subjects subdivided according to the median of TG/HDL ratio

	SCA with low TG/HDL (n = 50)	SCA with high TG/HDL (n = 50)
WBV 450 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	3.170 (0.63)	3.605 (0.587)**
WBV 0.51 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	23.07 (6.84)	26.77 (8.07)**
PV 450 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	1.260 (0.10)	1.255 (0.112)
Ht (%)	40.00 (4.00)	41.00 (4.00)
MEA	12.16 (2.60)	13.35 (3.74)
Fibrinogen (mg/dl)	305 (32)	308.5 (51.7)

** $p < 0.01$ (Mann-Whitney test). IQR = interquartile range; SCA = subclinical carotid atherosclerosis; TG = triglycerides; HDL = high density lipoprotein cholesterol; WBV = whole blood viscosity; mPa = milliPascal; PV = plasma viscosity; Ht = haematocrit; MEA = mean erythrocyte aggregation.

we compared the SCA subject group with low and high TG/HDL-C ratio and observed that in those with high TG/HDL-C ratio there was a significant increase in WBV at two shear rates only (Table 7).

The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare the control group to the SCA subject group who were divided according to the median of TyG index and we noticed an increase in WBV at high and low shear rates and in fibrinogen in the SCA subject subgroup with high TyG index (Table 8). Making use of the Mann-Whitney test and comparing SCA subjects with low and high TyG index we found a rise in WBV viscosity at both shear rates and fibrinogen in those with high TyG index (Table 9).

6. Discussion

In the SCA subject group, there is a significant rise in WBV at high shear rate; in the same survey no variation was observed with regard to the other hemorheological determinants. Even if only at high shear rate, the behaviour of WBV was predictable in subjects with SCA.

Over the years, the role of blood viscosity in this specific vascular area and also its role in the pathophysiology of atherothrombosis has been examined and discussed by several authors [12–14, 16, 23–27]. As it is known, the vascular geometry and in particular the presence of curves, bifurcations and

Table 8
Medians (IQR) of rheological parameters in control subjects and in SCA subjects subdivided according to the median of TyG index

	Control subjects (n = 31)	SCA with low TyG (n = 50)	SCA with high TyG (n = 50)	K-W statistic
WBV 450 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	3.090 (0.7)	3.170 (0.74)	3.590 (0.547)	15.64***
WBV 0.51 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	24.22 (6.37)	23.86 (6.60)	26.77 (9.00)	7.123*
PV 450 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	1.230 (0.08)	1.250 (0.10)	1.275 (0.112)	3.933
Ht (%)	42.00 (6.00)	40.00 (3.00)	40.00 (4.00)	1.946
MEA	11.60 (2.70)	12.10 (2.60)	13.20 (3.74)	6.453*

* $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.001$ (Kruskal-Wallis test). IQR = interquartile range; SCA = subclinical carotid atherosclerosis; WBV = whole blood viscosity; mPa = milliPascal; PV = plasma viscosity; Ht = haematocrit; MEA = mean erythrocyte aggregation.

Table 9
Medians (IQR) of rheological parameters in SCA subjects subdivided according to the median of TyG index

	SCA with low TyG (n = 50)	SCA with high TyG (n = 50)
WBV 450 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	3.170 (0.74)	3.590 (0.547)*
WBV 0.51 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	23.86 (6.60)	26.77 (9.00)*
PV 450 sec ⁻¹ (mPa·s)	1.250 (0.10)	1.275 (0.112)
Ht (%)	40.00 (3.00)	40.00 (4.00)
MEA	12.10 (2.60)	13.20 (3.74)
Fibrinogen (mg/dl)	304 (30)	310 (57.5)*

* $p < 0.05$ (Mann-Whitney test). IQR = interquartile range; SCA = subclinical carotid atherosclerosis; WBV = whole blood viscosity; mPa = milliPascal; PV = plasma viscosity; Ht = haematocrit; MEA = mean erythrocyte aggregation.

stenosis modifies the district hemodynamic profile, and this sudden variation affects wall shear stress and consequently blood viscosity. In this regard, many papers have analysed the role of the modified shear stress in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis [28]. In vascular bifurcations and in particular in those of the carotid artery [29], there is a remarkable flow recirculation which slows down the blood flow and consequently the shear stress while it increases blood viscosity. Blood viscosity at low shear is especially correlated to the erythrocyte aggregation that depends, apart from the intrinsic erythrocyte characteristics, also by the concentration of plasma protein levels and especially by fibrinogen.

Recently, Sloop's [30] studies have resulted in the elaboration of the hemorheological-hemodynamic theory of atherogenesis, which has been useful to explain the first stages of atherothrombosis.

An interesting starting point emerges from the analysis of correlations among the two indexes reflecting insulin resistance, anthropometric parameters and metabolic and inflammation markers with the main hemorheological determinants.

In the SCA subject group, the indexes of insulin resistance are significantly correlated to the WBV, at high and low shear rates, while no correlation was found with plasma viscosity and hematocrit; a positive correlation was observed instead between TyG index and mean erythrocyte aggregation. Subsequently, in the course of the discussion, we have examined the influence of the insulin resistance on blood viscosity and vice versa.

159 Going on to examining the correlations in the SCA subject group, interesting are the data con-
160 cerning BMI and WC with plasma viscosity. Up to now, other researchers [31] had discovered a
161 positive correlation between WC and plasma viscosity in patients with metabolic syndrome (MS)
162 while others [32] in MS subjects have found a positive correlation between WC with WBV but not
163 with plasma viscosity. Others [33] have observed a positive correlation between BMI and plasma
164 viscosity.

165 Keeping in mind the abovementioned, the data observed by us in the SCA subject group seems to
166 confirm such findings, although in our survey there were 33 subjects who suffered with metabolic
167 syndrome and 28 subjects were obese.

168 The only significant correlation concerned the uric acid with all the hemorheological determinants.
169 A positive correlation between uric acid and WBV has been described in healthy adults living on
170 mountains [34] while in subjects with abdominal obesity [35] no relationship has been found between
171 uric acid and plasma viscosity. Others [36] have described a positive correlation between uric acid
172 and hematocrit in subjects with untreated borderline hypertension and in patients with established
173 hypertension. In the SCA subject group we have found a positive correlation between uric acid and
174 mean erythrocyte aggregation. To this regard, some researchers [37], in experimental models, have
175 demonstrated that the uric acid increases erythrocyte aggregation. Such hemorheological phenomenon
176 may be mainly attributed to the uric acid, and in particular to urate anions, i.e., the reduction of the
177 zeta potential facilitates aggregation [30]. In fact, the interaction of the erythrocyte surface charge and
178 the plasma ions cause the dimension of the ion cloud and the electric potential at the border of this
179 cloud is the zeta potential [30].

180 The hemorheological profile results to be majorly altered in the group with 3-5 CRFs, besides
181 a remarkable increase in WBV at high shear rate and a rise in plasma viscosity and in the mean
182 erythrocyte aggregation. Such results became evident after having observed the results obtained from
183 the comparison between normal control and SCA subjects, who have been divided according to the
184 number of cardiovascular risk factors (CRFs), and also after having compared the two SCA subject
185 groups. By comparing exclusively, the two SCA subject groups, also the values of the plasma fibrinogen
186 and of the hematocrit are significantly higher in those with 3-5 CRFs.

187 The division based on the number of CRFs present in our cohort of SCA subjects indicates distinctly
188 how the hemorheological profile is dependent on the amount of these traditional CRFs. Each of these
189 CRFs influence the hemorheological profile or at least any of its determinants.

190 To this regard, in fact, many authors have examined the complex role carried out by the plasma lipid
191 pattern on the hemorheological profile [38–40] and others have examined the way in which the arterial
192 hypertension might influence the hemorheologic profile or any of its determinants [41–44].

193 In our cohort of SCA subjects, 52 were smokers or ex-smokers and some authors [45, 46] have
194 demonstrated not only the dose-effect correlation between smoking and hemorheological profile, but
195 also how the abstention from smoking normalizes the hemorheological determinants.

196 Also, subjects with metabolic syndrome (MS) present an alteration of the hemorheological profile,
197 an aspect which has been fully studied. Considering that the subjects included in this survey seem to
198 exhibit a cardiovascular or cardiometabolic clustering as judged by the percentage of CRFs discovered
199 in each of these subjects. The hemorheological alteration in this metabolic condition, up to now,
200 has been observed by many authors [47, 48]. It is interesting to underscore how some researchers
201 [49] have ascertained that WBV at high shear rate increased in relation to the number of MS main
202 components, while others [50] have demonstrated that the variation that correlated with the number
203 of MS components regarded not only WBV (corrected for hematocrit) but also plasma viscosity,
204 erythrocyte aggregation and fibrinogen levels.

205 Among the traditional CRFs, the one which has been less represented among the cases of SCA, is
206 surely the diabetic disease, in fact, only in 15 subjects with such metabolic disorder were diagnosed.

207 Diabetes mellitus is characterized by an impairment of the hemorheological profile, as reported by
208 several authors [51, 52].

209 Recently, particular attention has been addressed to the examination of the hemorheologic profile
210 also in prediabetes condition [53], considering that the prediabetes seems associated with subclinical
211 carotid atherosclerosis [54]. Interesting are the observations concerning the role of the hemoglobin
212 A1c level on the erythrocyte deformability and blood viscosity [55] but also as a predictor of carotid
213 artery plaques [56].

214 Between SCA subjects with 1-2 CRFs and those with 3-5 CRFs there is also a significant variation,
215 even if at low significance degree, of the plasma fibrinogen level. Plasma fibrinogen plays its role
216 in atherothrombosis through different mechanisms and it is also a marker of clinical and subclinical
217 carotid atherosclerosis [57–59]. To date, many researchers have also ascertained that in several clinical
218 disorders the plasma fibrinogen level results to be associated with the number of CRFs [60].

219 In our cohort of SCA subjects, attractive are the values obtained from the division carried out
220 according to the parameters reflecting the insulin resistance (TG/HDL-C and TyG). To examine the
221 trend of the hemorheologic profile with regard to these two parameters, it is necessary to consider
222 the positive relationships between TG/HDL-C and TyG with WBV and for TyG also with mean
223 erythrocyte aggregation. Moreover, many authors have demonstrated a negative correlation between
224 insulin sensitivity and blood viscosity and a positive relationship between insulin resistance and blood
225 viscosity [61, 62]; and this data seems to confirm previous literature. The same confirmation concerns
226 also the positive relationship between TyG and erythrocyte aggregation [63]. Differently from what
227 has been found previously by us, in a small group of MS patients [64], no correlation had been noticed
228 between TG/HDL-C and TyG with plasma viscosity.

229 In this cohort of subjects with asymptomatic carotid plaques, the behaviour of some hemorheologic
230 determinants is closely influenced by insulin resistance, and these observations, also including the
231 influence on fibrinogen, were underlined previously by different researchers [65].

232 In conclusion, in subjects with subclinical carotid atherosclerosis the hemorheologic determinants
233 and in particular whole blood viscosity, at high and low shear rates, and mean erythrocyte aggregation
234 seem to depend on the number of cardiovascular risk factors and the different degrees of insulin
235 resistance, such data was explored by employing two surrogate indexes.

236 References

- 237 [1] Novo S. Aterosclerosi preclinica e rischio cardiovascolare globale. Monografie di cardiologia-Società italiana di cardiologia, Roma 2008.
- 238 [2] Fernandez F, Penalvo JL, Fernandez-Ortiz A, Ibanez B, Lopez-Melgar B, Laclaustra M, et al. Prevalence, Vascular Distribution, and Multiterritorial Extent of Subclinical Atherosclerosis in a Middle-Aged Cohort: The PESA (Progression of Early Subclinical Atherosclerosis) Study. *Circulation*. 2015;131(24):2104-13.
- 240 [3] Lorenz MW, Markus HS, Bots ML, Rosvall M, Sitzer M. Prediction of clinical cardiovascular events with carotid intima-media thickness. A systemic review and meta-analysis. *Circulation*. 2007;115:459-67.
- 242 [4] Cai H, Harrison DG. Endothelial dysfunction in cardiovascular diseases: the role of oxidant stress. *Circ Res*. 2000;87(10):840-4.
- 244 [5] Ernst E, Koenig W. Hemorheology, thrombogenesis, and atherosclerosis. *Semin Thromb Hemost*. 1993;19:99-103.
- 246 [6] Lee AJ. The role of rheological and hemostatic factors in hypertension. *J Hum Hypertens* 1997;11(12):767-6.
- 248 [7] Junker R, Heinrich J, Ulbrich H, Schulte H, Schonfeld R, Kholer E, et al. Relationship between plasma viscosity and the severity of coronary heart disease. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 1998;18:870-5.
- 250 [8] Kesmarky G, Kenyeres P, Rabai M, Toth K. Plasma viscosity: a forgotten variable. *Clinical Hemorheology and Microcirculation*. 2008;39:243-6.
- 252 [9] Lowe GD. Rheological influences on thrombosis. *Baillieres Best Pract Res Clin Hematol*. 1999;12(3):435-49.
- 254 [10] Koenig W, Sund M, Filipiak B, Doring A, Lowel H, Ernst E. Plasma viscosity and the risk of coronary heart disease: results from the MONICA-Augsburg Cohort Study, 1984 to 1992. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 1998;18(5):768-72.

- 255 [11] Velcheva I, Antonova N, Titianova E, Damianov P, Dimitrov N, Ivanov I. Hemorheological parameters in correlation
256 with the risk factors for carotid atherosclerosis, Clin Hemorheol Microcirc. 2006;35:195-8.
- 257 [12] Gori T. Viscosity, platelet activation and hematocrit: progress in understanding their relationship with clinical and
258 subclinical vascular disease. Clinical Hemorheology and Microcirculation. 2011;49:37-42.
- 259 [13] Levenson J, Garipey M, Del-Pino, Salomon J, Denarie N, Simon A, et al. Association of plasma viscosity and carotid
260 thickening in a French working cohort. Am J Hypertens. 2000;13:753-8.
- 261 [14] Assayag EB, Bova I, Kesler A, Berliner S, Shapira I, Bornstein NM. Erythrocyte aggregation as an early biomarker in
262 patients with asymptomatic carotid stenosis. Disease Markers. 2008;24:33-9.
- 263 [15] Szapary L, Horvath B, Marton Z, Alexy T, Demeter N, Szots M, Klabuzai A, Kesmarky G, Juricska I, Gaal V, Czopf
264 J, Toth K. Hemorheological disturbances in patients with chronic cerebrovascular disease. Clinical Hemorheology and
265 Microcirculation. 2004;31:1-9.
- 266 [16] Totsimon K, Nagy A, Sandor B, Biro K, Csatho A, Szapary L. Hemorheological alterations in carotid artery stenosis.
267 Clinical Hemorheology and Microcirculation. 2016;64:55-63.
- 268 [17] Carallo C, Pujia A, Irace C, De Franceschi MS, Motti C, Gnasso A. Whole blood viscosity and hematocrit area associated
269 with internal carotid atherosclerosis in men. Coronary Artery Disease. 1998;9:113-7.
- 270 [18] Zhu W, Li M, Huang X, Neubauer H. Association of hyperviscosity and subclinical atherosclerosis in obese school
271 children. Eur J Pediatr. 2005;164:639-45.
- 272 [19] Sloop G, Holsworth Jr RE, Weidman JJ, St Cyr JA. The role of chronic hyperviscosity in vascular disease. Ther Adv
273 Cardiovasc Dis. 2015;9:19-25.
- 274 [20] Caimi G, Hopps E, Urso C, Montana M, Lo Presti R, Canino B. The role of secondary hemorheological disorders in
275 the pathophysiology of leg ulcers in several clinical conditions. Wounds International. 2018;9:22-9.
- 276 [21] Vosseler M, Beutel A, Schafer S. Parameters of blood viscosity do not correlate with the extent of coronary and carotid
277 atherosclerosis and with endothelial function in patients undergoing coronary angiography Clinical Hemorheology and
278 Microcirculation. 2012;52:245-25.
- 279 [22] Fiorentino TV, Marini MA, Succurro E, Andreozzi F, Sesti G. Relationships of surrogate indexes of insulin resistance
280 with insulin sensitivity assessed by euglycemic hyperinsulinemic clamp and subclinical vascular damage. BMJ Open
281 Diab Res Care. 2019;7:e000911.
- 282 [23] Koenig W, Ernst E. The possible role of hemorheology in atherothrombogenesis. Atherosclerosis. 1992;94:93-107.
- 283 [24] Spring S, van der Loo B, Krger E, Amann-Vesti BR, Rousson V, Koppensteiner R. Decreased wall shear stress in
284 the common carotid artery of patients with peripheral arterial disease or abdominal aortic aneurysm: relation to blood
285 rheology, vascular risk factors, and intima-media thickness. J Vasc Surg. 2006;43:56-63.
- 286 [25] Cowan AQ, Cho DJ, Rosenson RS. Importance of blood rheology in the pathophysiology of atherothrombosis. Cardiovasc
287 Drugs Ther. 2012;26:339-48.
- 288 [26] Sloop GD, Weidman JJ, Shechter LM, St Cyr JA. The interplay of aging, aortic stiffness and blood viscosity. Journal
289 of Cardiol Ther. 2015;2:350-4.
- 290 [27] Mury P, Faes C, Millon A, Mura M, Renoux C, Skinner S, Nicaise V, Joly P, Della Schiava N, Lermusiaux P, Connes
291 P, Pialoux V. Higher daily physical activity level is associated with lower RBC aggregation in carotid artery disease
292 patients at high risk of stroke. Frontiers in Physiology. 2017;8 article 1043.
- 293 [28] Spanos K, Petrocheilon G, Karathanos C, Labropoulos N, Mikhailidis D, Giannoukas A. Carotid bifurcation geometry
294 and atherosclerosis. Angiology. 2017;68:757-64.
- 295 [29] Ramiar A, Larimi MM, Ranjbar AA. Investigation of blood flow rheology using second-grade viscoelastic model
296 (Phan-Thien-Tanner) within carotid artery. Acta Bioeng Biomech. 2017;19:27-41.
- 297 [30] Sloop GD. The role of blood viscosity and hemodynamics in atherothrombosis. In: Blood Viscosity. Its role in car-
298 diovascular pathophysiology and hematology. Sloop G.D. Editor- Nova Science Publishers, Inc. New York. 2017; pp.
299 35-53.
- 300 [31] Ucak S, Basat O, Cetinkaya E. Plasma viscosity in patients with metabolic syndrome. Clinical Hemorheology and
301 Microcirculation. 2012;51:1-8.
- 302 [32] Irace C, Scavelli F, Carallo C, Serra R, Gnasso A. Plasma and blood viscosity in metabolic syndrome. Nutri-
303 tion, Metabolism & Cardiovascular Disease. 2009;19:476-80.
- 304 [33] Guiraudou M, Varlet-Marie E, Raynaud de Mauverger E, Brun J-F Obesity –related increase in whole blood viscos-
305 ity includes different profiles according to fat localization. Clinical Hemorheology and Microcirculation. 2013;55:
306 63-73.
- 307 [34] Salazar-Lugo R, Barahona A, Tarupi Y, Victoria C, Santamaria M, Oleas M. Biochemical and nutritional factors
308 associated with blood viscosity in adults living in a mountain chain, (Imbabura), Ecuador. Invest Clin. 2016;57:293-304.
- 309 [35] Herranz B, Alvarez MD, Perez-Jemenez J. Association of plasma and urine viscosity with cardiometabolic risk factors
and oxidative status. A pilot study in subjects with abdominal obesity. PloS One. 2018;13:e0204075.

- 310 [36] Hoieggen A, Fossum E, Reimes H, Kjeldsen E. Serum uric acid and hemorheology in borderline hypertensives and in
311 subjects with established hypertension and left ventricular hypertrophy. *Blood Press.* 2003;12:104-10.
- 312 [37] Sloop GD, Bialczak JK, Weidman JJ, St Cyr JA. Uric acid increases erythrocyte aggregation: implication for cardiovas-
313 cular disease. *Clinical Hemorheology and Microcirculation.* 2016;63:349-59.
- 314 [38] Caimi G, Lo Presti R, Canino B, Montana M, Francavilla G, Grifò G., Romano A, Catania A, Sarno A, Vitto-
315 rio C. Hemorheological profile of hyperlipidemic patients treated with gemfibrozil. *Current Therapeutic Research.*
316 1996;57:327-35.
- 317 [39] Slyper A, Le A, Jurva J, Gutterman D. The influence of lipoproteins on whole-blood viscosity at multiple shear rates.
318 *Metabolism.* 2005;54:764-8.
- 319 [40] Irace C, Carallo C, Scavelli F, Esposito T, De Franceschi MS, Tripolino C, Gnasso A. Influence of blood lipids on plasma
320 and blood viscosity. *Clinical Hemorheology and Microcirculation.* 2014;57:267-74.
- 321 [41] Caimi G, Contorno A, Serra A, Catania A, Lo Presti R, Sarno A, et al. Red cell metabolic parameters and rheological
322 determinants in essential hypertension. *Clinical Hemorheology.* 1993;13:35-44.
- 323 [42] Ajmani RS. Hypertension and hemorheology. *Clinical Hemorheology and Microcirculation.* 1997;17:397-420.
- 324 [43] Lo Presti R, Hopps E, Caimi G. Hemorheological abnormalities in human arterial hypertension. *Korea-Australia*
325 *Rheology Journal.* 2014;26:199-204.
- 326 [44] Gori T, Wild PS, Schnabel R, Schulz A, Pfeiffer N, Blettner M, et al. The distribution of whole blood viscosity and
327 relationship with arterial blood pressure in the community: cross-sectional analysis from the Gutenberg Health Study.
328 *Therapeutic Advances in Cardiovascular Disease.* 2015;9:354-65.
- 329 [45] Ernst E, Matrai A, Schmolzl C, Magyarosy I. Dose-effect relationship between smoking and blood rheology. *Br J Hematol*
330 1987;65:485-7.
- 331 [46] Ernst E, Matrai A. Abstention from chronic cigarette smoking normalizes blood rheology. *Atherosclerosis.* 1987;64:
332 75-7.
- 333 [47] Lo Presti R, Sinagra D, Montana M, Scarpitta AM, Catania A, Caimi G. Hemorheological profile in metabolic syndrome.
334 *Clinical Hemorheology and Microcirculation.* 2002;26:241-7.
- 335 [48] Zhang G, Zhang T, Sun X, Tang F, Lin H, Liu Y, Zhang C, Xue F. Whole blood viscosity is an independent early predictor
336 for metabolic syndrome and its components in men: A prospective cohort study Northern Chinese population. *Clinical*
337 *Hemorheology and Microcirculation.* 2020; doi:10.3233/CH-15120
- 338 [49] Zhang L, Pu K, Zhang S-Y., Ren W-Q. Blood rheology properties are strongly related to the metabolic syndrome in
339 middle-aged Chinese. *International Journal of Cardiology.* 2006;112:229-233.
- 340 [50] Vaja A, Hernandez-Mijares A, Bonet E, Sendra R, Sola E, Perez R, Corella D, Laiz B. Association between hemorhe-
341 ological alterations and metabolic syndrome. *Clinical Hemorheology and Microcirculation.* 2011;49:493-503.
- 342 [51] Caimi G. Blood viscosity and erythrocyte filterability: their evaluation in diabetes mellitus. *Horm Metabol Res.*
343 1983;15:467-70.
- 344 [52] Caimi G, Lo Presti R. Techniques to evaluate erythrocyte deformability in diabetes mellitus. *Acta Diabetol.* 2004;41:
345 99-103.
- 346 [53] Dhas Y, Banerjee J, Misgra N. Blood viscosity, glycemic markers and blood pressure: A study in middle-aged nor-
347 motensive and hypertensive type 2 diabetics. *Ind J Clin Biochem.* 2020;35:102-8.
- 348 [54] Irace C, Carallo C, Scavelli F, De Franceschi MS, Esposito T, Gnasso A. Blood viscosity in subjects with normoglycemia
349 and prediabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2014;37:488-92.
- 350 [55] Vilanova MB, Franch-Nadal J, Falguera M, Marsal JR, Canivell S, Rubinat E, Mirò N., Mollò A., Mata-Cases M,
351 Gratacos M, Castelblanco E, Mauricio D. Prediabetes is independently associated with subclinical carotid atherosclerosis:
352 An observational study in a non-urban Mediterranean population. *J Clin Med.* 2020;9:2139.
- 353 [56] Li Q, Yang LZ. Hemoglobin A1c level higher than 9.05% causes a significant impairment of erythrocyte deformability
354 in diabetes mellitus. *Acta Endocrinologica (Buc).* 2018;14:66-75.
- 355 [57] Xu R, Zhang T, Wan Y., Fan Z, Gao X. Prospective study of hemoglobin A1c and incident carotid artery plaque in
356 Chinese adults without diabetes. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2019;18:153.
- 357 [58] Ernst E, Koenig W, Lowe GDO, Meade TW. Editors. *Fibrinogen: a "new" cardiovascular risk factor*; Blackwell-MZV,
358 Wien 1992.
- 359 [59] Heinrich J, Assmann G. Fibrinogen and cardiovascular risk. *J Cardiovasc Risk.* 1995;2:197-205.
- 360 [60] Batagini NC, da Silva ES, Pinto CA, Puech-Leao P, de Lucia N. Analysis of risk factors and diseases associated with
361 atherosclerosis in the progression of carotid artery stenosis. *Vascular.* 2016;24:59-63.
- 362 [61] Brun JF, Monnier JF, Kabbaj H, Orsetti A. Blood viscosity is correlated with insulin resistance. *J Mal Vasc.* 1996;21:
363 171-4.
- 364 [62] Hoieggen A, Fossum E, Moan A, Enger E, Kjeldsen SE. Whole-blood viscosity and the insulin-resistance syndrome. *J*
365 *Hypertens.* 1998;16:203-10.

- 366 [63] Brun JF, Varlet-Marie E, Raynaud de Mauverger E, Relationships between insulin sensitivity measured with the oral
367 minimal model and blood rheology. *Clinical Hemorheology and Microcirculation*. 2012;51:29-34.
- 368 [64] Caimi G, Sinagra D, Scarpitta AM, Lo Presti R. Plasma viscosity and insulin resistance in metabolic syndrome.
369 *International Journal of Obesity*. 2001;25:1856-7.
- 370 [65] Sola E, Vaya A, Simò M, Hernandez-Mijares A, Morillas C, Espana F, Estelles A, Corella D. Fibrinogen, plasma vis-
371 cosity and blood viscosity in obesity. Relationship with insulin resistance. *Clinical Hemorheology and Microcirculatio*.
372 2007;37:309-18.

Uncorrected Author Proof