

# A version of Hake's theorem for Kurzweil–Henstock integral in terms of variational measure

**Abstract:** We introduce the notion of variational measure with respect to a derivation basis in a topological measure space and consider a Kurzweil–Henstock-type integral related to this basis. We prove a version of Hake's theorem in terms of a variational measure.

**Keywords:** Topological measure space, derivation basis, Kurzweil–Henstock integral, variational measure, Hake property

**MSC 2010:** 26A39, 28C15

A classical Hake theorem in the theory of integration (see for example [10, Lemma 3.1, Chapter VIII]) states that, in contrast to the Lebesgue integral, the Perron integral on a compact interval is equivalent to the improper Perron integral. As the Perron integral on the real line is known to be equivalent to the Kurzweil–Henstock integral (see [9]), the same property is true for the latter integral. The general idea of computing the improper integral as a limit of the integral over increasing families  $\{A_\alpha\}$  of sets can be realized in the multidimensional case in several different ways depending on the type of integral and on what family  $\{A_\alpha\}$  is chosen to generalize the compact intervals of the one-dimensional construction. This gives rise to various types of the Hake property. A version of this property for certain Kurzweil–Henstock-type integrals in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  was studied in [3, 5, 8].

A generalized Hake theorem in terms of the limit of an integral over increasing families of sets for a Kurzweil–Henstock-type integral on a topological space with respect to an abstract derivation basis was considered in [15]. Another version of the Hake theorem in terms of so-called variational measures generated by an indefinite integral was proved in [11, 12] for the Kurzweil–Henstock integral in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and in a metric space, respectively.

In this paper, we obtain a generalization of the latter results to our case of a Kurzweil–Henstock-type integral on a topological space and show that the conditions for the Hake property in terms of increasing families of sets as in [15] and in terms of variational measures as in [11, 12] are in fact equivalent.

The ambient set  $X$  in this paper is a Hausdorff topological space with an outer regular Borel measure  $\mu$  on it. For any set  $E \in X$  we use the notation  $\text{int } E$ ,  $\bar{E}$  and  $\partial E$  for the interior, the closure and the boundary of  $E$ , respectively. The notation  $\text{int}_L(E)$  will mean the interior of  $E \subset L$  with respect to the topology in  $L$  induced by the topology of the space  $X$ .

We use the following version of the general definition of a derivation basis (see [9, 17, 18]): a *derivation basis* (or simply a *basis*)  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  is a filter base on the product space  $\mathcal{J} \times X$ , where  $\mathcal{J}$  is a family of closed subsets of  $X$  having finite positive measure  $\mu$  and called *generalized intervals* or  *$\mathcal{B}$ -intervals*. That is,  $\mathcal{B}$  is

a nonempty collection of subsets of  $\mathcal{J} \times X$  so that each  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  is a set of pairs  $(I, x)$ , where  $I \in \mathcal{J}$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  has the *filter base property*:  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{B}$  and for every  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  there exists  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\beta \subset \beta_1 \cap \beta_2$ . So, each basis is a directed set with the order given by “reversed” inclusion. We shall refer to the elements  $\beta$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  as *basis sets*. Some particular examples of a derivation basis of topological spaces of various types can be found in [1, 9, 13, 14, 16]. In this paper, we shall suppose that all pairs  $(I, x)$  making up each  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  are such that  $x \in I$ , although this is not the case in the general theory (see [6, 9]). We assume that  $\mu(\partial I) = 0$  for any  $\mathcal{B}$ -interval  $I$ . We say that two  $\mathcal{B}$ -intervals  $I'$  and  $I''$  are *non-overlapping* if  $\mu(I' \cap I'') = 0$ . We call a  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure a finite union of non-overlapping  $\mathcal{B}$ -intervals. We denote by  $\text{Sub}(L)$  the collection of all  $\mathcal{B}$ -subfigures of  $L$ . We suppose that the intersection of two overlapping  $\mathcal{B}$ -intervals is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure, so the intersection of two overlapping  $\mathcal{B}$ -figures is also a figure as well as the union of any two  $\mathcal{B}$ -figures. For a set  $E \subset X$  and  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  we write

$$\beta(E) := \{(I, x) \in \beta : I \subset E\} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta[E] := \{(I, x) \in \beta : x \in E\}.$$

We refer to  $\beta(E)$  as basis sets *in*  $E$ . We call  $\{\beta(E)\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}$  the basis *in*  $E$  using the same notation  $\mathcal{B}$  for it.

We assume that the basis has the following properties:

- The basis  $\mathcal{B}$  *ignores no point*, i.e.,  $\beta[\{x\}] \neq \emptyset$  for any point  $x \in X$  and for any  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ .
- The basis  $\mathcal{B}$  has a *local character* by which we mean that for any family of basis sets  $\{\beta_\tau\}$ ,  $\beta_\tau \in \mathcal{B}$ , and for any pairwise disjoint sets  $E_\tau$  there exists  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\beta[\bigcup_\tau E_\tau] \subset \bigcup_\tau \beta_\tau[E_\tau]$ .
- The basis  $\mathcal{B}$  is a *Vitali basis* by which we mean that for any  $x$  and for any neighborhood  $U(x)$  of  $x$  there exists  $\beta_x \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $I \subset U(x)$  for each pair  $(I, x) \in \beta_x$ .

For a fixed basis set  $\beta$ , a  $\beta$ -partition is a finite collection  $\pi$  of  $\beta$ , where the distinct elements  $(I', x')$  and  $(I'', x'')$  in  $\pi$  have  $I'$  and  $I''$  non-overlapping. Let  $L \subset X$ . If  $\pi \subset \beta(L)$ , then  $\pi$  is called a  $\beta$ -partition *in*  $L$ . If  $\pi \subset \beta[L]$ , then  $\pi$  is called a  $\beta$ -partition *on*  $L$ . If  $\bigcup_{(I,x) \in \pi} I = L$ , then  $\pi$  is called  $\beta$ -partition *of*  $L$ . For a set  $E$  and a  $\beta$ -partition  $\pi$  we set  $\pi[E] := \{(I, x) \in \pi : (I, x) \in \beta[E]\}$ .

We also assume that the basis  $\mathcal{B}$  has the *partitioning property* by which we mean: (i) for each finite collection  $I_0, I_1, \dots, I_n$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ -intervals with  $I_1, \dots, I_n \subset I_0$  being non-overlapping there exists a finite number of  $\mathcal{B}$ -intervals  $I_{n+1}, \dots, I_m$  such that  $I_0 = \bigcup_{s=1}^m I_s$ , all  $I_s$  being pairwise non-overlapping  $\mathcal{B}$ -intervals; (ii) for each  $\mathcal{B}$ -interval  $I$  and for any  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  there exists a  $\beta$ -partition of  $I$ .

We note that condition (ii) of the partitioning property in fact implies the existence of a  $\beta$ -partition for any  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure. The union of all  $\mathcal{B}$ -intervals involved in a  $\beta$ -partition  $\pi$  will be called the  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure *generated by*  $\pi$ .

A typical example of a basis satisfying our condition is the basis formed by usual intervals in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . An interesting example of a basis in a metric space formed by closed balls, scalloped balls and their finite intersections was considered in [12].

The following lemma on an extension of a  $\beta$ -partition is a direct consequence of the partitioning property of the basis.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\pi_1$  be a  $\beta$ -partition in a  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure  $L$ . Then there exists a  $\beta$ -partition  $\pi_2$  in  $L$  such that  $\pi = \pi_1 \cup \pi_2$  is a  $\beta$ -partition of  $L$ .*

We call the  $\beta$ -partition  $\pi_2$  of the above lemma a  $\beta$ -complementary to  $\pi_1$  in  $L$ . If  $F$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure generated by the partition  $\pi_1$ , then the  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure generated by the partition  $\pi_2$  which is  $\beta$ -complementary to  $\pi_1$  in  $L$  is called  $\beta$ -complementary to  $F$  in  $L$  and is denoted by  $C_\beta F$ .

The following lemma is proved in [15].

**Lemma 2.** *For any  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  in a  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure  $L$  and any open set  $G \subset L$  there exists a basis set  $\beta' \subset \beta$  in  $L$  such that  $\beta'[G] \subset \beta'(G)$ , i.e.,  $I \subset G$  for each  $(I, x) \in \beta'[G]$ .*

**Definition 3** (see [9]). Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a basis having the partitioning property and let  $L$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure. A real-valued function  $f$  on  $L$  is said to be *Kurzweil–Henstock integrable with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{B}$*  (or  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable) on  $L$  with  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integral  $A$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  such that for any  $\beta$ -partition  $\pi$  of  $L$  we have

$$\left| \sum_{(I,x) \in \pi} f(x)\mu(I) - A \right| < \varepsilon. \quad (1)$$

We denote the integral value  $A$  by  $(H_{\mathcal{B}}) \int_L f$ .

We say that a function  $f$  is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on a set  $E \subset L$  if the function  $f \cdot \chi_E$  is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on  $L$  and  $\int_E f := \int_L f \cdot \chi_E$ .

We shall need the following result proved in [15].

**Proposition 4.** *A function which is equal to zero almost everywhere on a  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure  $L$  is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on  $L$  with integral value zero.*

It follows from this proposition that if we change the value of a function  $f$  on a set of measure zero, then it does not influence the  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrability of  $f$  and the value of the integral.

We note that if  $f$  is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on a  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure  $L$ , then it is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable also on any  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure  $J \subset L$ . It can be easily proved that the  $\mathcal{B}$ -interval function  $\Phi : J \mapsto (H_{\mathcal{B}}) \int_J f$  is additive on the family of all  $\mathcal{B}$ -figures and we call it the *indefinite  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integral* of  $f$ .

An essential part of the classical theory of the Kurzweil–Henstock-type integral is based on the so-called Kolmogorov–Henstock lemma (see [7], the name is justified by the fact that one version of this lemma was stated by Kolmogorov in [4]). This lemma can be extended also to the case of our basis in a topological space.

**Lemma 5.** *If a function  $f$  is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on a  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure  $L$ , with  $\Phi$  being its indefinite  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integral, then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  such that for any  $\beta$ -partition  $\pi$  in  $L$  we have*

$$\sum_{(I,x) \in \pi} |f(x)\mu(I) - \Phi(I)| < \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows the lines of the proof in the classical case of a usual interval basis on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (see [6, Theorem 3.2.1] and [9, Theorem 1.6.1]).

Take  $\beta$  for which (1) holds for any  $\beta$ -partition  $\pi$  of  $L$  with  $\varepsilon$  replaced by  $\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ . By the additivity of the  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integral we can rewrite this inequality in the form

$$\left| \sum_{(I,x) \in \pi} (f(x)\mu(I) - \Phi(I)) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \quad (2)$$

Now take any subpartition  $\pi_1 \subset \pi$ . Let  $F_1$  be a figure generated by  $\pi_1$  and let  $F_2$  be the figure  $\beta$ -complementary to  $F_1$  in  $L$ . As  $f$  is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on  $F_2$ , there exists  $\beta_1$  in  $F_2$  such that for any  $\beta_1$ -partition  $\pi_2$  of  $F_2$  we have

$$\left| \sum_{(I,x) \in \pi_2} (f(x)\mu(I) - \Phi(I)) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

We can assume that  $\beta_1 \subset \beta(F_2)$ . Then  $\pi_1 \cup \pi_2$  is a  $\beta$ -partition of  $L$  and (2) holds. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{(I,x) \in \pi_1} (f(x)\mu(I) - \Phi(I)) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{(I,x) \in \pi_1 \cup \pi_2} (f(x)\mu(I) - \Phi(I)) \right| + \left| \sum_{(I,x) \in \pi_2} (f(x)\mu(I) - \Phi(I)) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Now we split  $\pi$  into the two subpartitions

$$\pi^+ = \{(I, x) \in \pi : f(x)\mu(I) - \Phi(I) \geq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^- = \{(I, x) \in \pi : f(x)\mu(I) - \Phi(I) < 0\}$$

and apply (3), taking  $\pi_1 = \pi^+$  and  $\pi_1 = \pi^-$ . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(I,x) \in \pi} |(f(x)\mu(I) - \Phi(I))| \\ &= \sum_{(I,x) \in \pi^+} |(f(x)\mu(I) - \Phi(I))| + \sum_{(I,x) \in \pi^-} |(f(x)\mu(I) - \Phi(I))| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Let  $\Phi$  be an additive set function on  $\mathcal{J}$  and let  $E$  be an arbitrary subset of  $X$ . For fixed  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ , we set

$$\text{Var}(E, \Phi, \beta) := \sup_{\pi \subset \beta[E]} \sum |\Phi(I)|.$$

We put also

$$V_{\Phi}(E) = V(E, \Phi, \mathcal{B}) := \inf_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \text{Var}(E, \Phi, \beta).$$

The extended real-valued set function  $V_{\Phi}(\cdot)$  is called a *variational measure* generated by  $\Phi$ , with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ .

By following the proof given in [19] for the interval bases in  $\mathbb{R}$ , it is possible to show that  $V_{\Phi}(\cdot)$  is an outer measure and a metric outer measure in the case of a metric space  $X$  (in the latter case the Vitali property of the basis is essential).

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $f$  be an  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable function on  $L$  and let  $\Phi$  be its indefinite  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integral. Let  $f(x) = 0$  on some set  $E \subset L$ . Then  $V_{\Phi}(E) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* For an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we choose  $\beta$  according to Lemma 5. Then for any partition  $\pi \subset \beta[E]$  we get

$$\sum_{(I,x) \in \pi} |\Phi(I)| < \varepsilon.$$

Now from the definition of  $\text{Var}(E, \Phi, \beta)$  and  $V_{\Phi}$  we get the assertion of the lemma.  $\square$

We remind that the variational measure generated by an additive set function  $\Phi$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the measure  $\mu$  if  $V_{\Phi}(E) = 0$  for any set  $E$  with  $\mu(E) = 0$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $f$  be an  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable function on  $L$  and let  $\Phi$  be its indefinite  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integral. Then  $V_{\Phi}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E \subset L$  be any set of measure zero. By Proposition 4 we can assume that  $f(x) = 0$  if  $x \in E$ . Now, the absolute continuity of  $V_{\Phi}$  follows from the preceding lemma.  $\square$

Now we prove our versions of the Hake-type theorem.

**Theorem 8.** *Suppose that in a  $\mathcal{B}$ -interval  $L$  there exists a closed set  $E$  and an increasing sequence of  $\mathcal{B}$ -figures  $\{F_k\}$  such that  $L \setminus E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{int}_L F_k$ , the function  $f(x)$  equals 0 on  $E$  and is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on any  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure  $F \subset L \setminus E$ , with  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integral  $\Phi(F) = \int_F f$ . Then  $f$  is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on  $L$  if and only if there exists an extension of the function  $\Phi$  to  $\text{Sub}(L)$  such that  $V_{\Phi}(E) = 0$ . In this case  $\int_L f = \Phi(L)$ .*

*Proof.* The necessity follows from Lemma 6. To prove the sufficiency, suppose that the required extension of  $\Phi$  with  $V_{\Phi}(E) = 0$  exists. For an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  we choose, according to the definition of a variational measure,  $\beta_0$  such that for any  $\beta_0[E]$ -partition  $\pi_0$  in  $L$  we have

$$\sum_{(I,x) \in \pi_0} |\Phi(I)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (4)$$

Consider the increasing sequence of  $\mathcal{B}$ -figures  $\{F_k\}$  given by the assumption and put  $T_k = \text{int}_L(F_k) \setminus \text{int}_L(F_{k-1})$  (where  $F_0 = \emptyset$ ). Then  $\bigcup_k^{\infty} T_k = L \setminus E$  and  $T_r \cap T_s = \emptyset$  for every  $r \neq s$ .

By the assumption,  $f$  is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on each  $F_k$  and so, by Lemma 5, there exists a basis set  $\beta_k$  in  $F_k$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{(I,x) \in \pi_k} f(x)\mu(I) - \Phi(S_k) \right| \leq \sum_{(I,x) \in \pi_k} |f(x)\mu(I) - \Phi(I)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}} \quad (5)$$

for any  $\beta_k$ -partition  $\pi_k$  in  $F_k$ , where  $S_k$  is the  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure generated by the partition  $\pi_k$ . Using Lemma 2, for each  $k$  we define  $\beta'_k \subset \beta_k$  such that  $I \subset \text{int}_L F_k$  for each  $(I, x) \in \beta'_k$  with  $x \in \text{int} F_k$ . Now by the local character of  $\mathcal{B}$  we determine  $\beta$  in  $L$  such that  $\beta[T_k] \subset \beta'_k[T_k]$  for every  $k$  and  $\beta[E] \subset \beta_0[E]$ .

Take any  $\beta$ -partition  $\pi$  of  $L$ . We can represent  $\pi$  as a union of disjoint subpartitions  $\pi[E]$  and  $\pi[T_k]$  for a finite number of  $k$ . Applying (4) to  $\pi_0 = \pi[E]$  and (5) to each  $\pi[T_k]$ , we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{(I,x) \in \pi} f(x)\mu(I) - \Phi(L) \right| &\leq \sum_{(I,x) \in \pi[E]} |\Phi(I)| + \sum_k \left| \sum_{(I,x) \in \pi[T_k]} (f(x)\mu(I) - \Phi(I)) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}} = \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that  $f$  is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable and  $\Phi(L)$  is the value of the  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integral of  $f$  over  $L$ .  $\square$

Using this theorem, we obtain its generalization stated as follows.

**Theorem 9.** *Suppose that in a  $\mathcal{B}$ -interval  $L$  there exists an increasing sequence of  $\mathcal{B}$ -figures  $\{F_k\}$  such that a function  $f$  is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on each  $F_k$ , on the set  $E = L \setminus (\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{int}_L F_k)$  and on any  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure  $F \subset L$ ,  $F \cap E = \emptyset$ , with  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integral  $\Phi(F) = \int_F f$ . Then  $f$  is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on  $L$  if and only if there exists an extension of the function  $\Phi$  to  $\text{Sub}(L)$  such that  $V_{\Phi}(E) = 0$ . In this case  $\int_L f = \Phi(L) + \int_E f$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $G = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{int}_L F_k$  and apply Theorem 8 to the function  $f\chi_G$ . We obtain that this function is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable with integral value  $\Phi(L)$  if and only if there exists an additive  $\mathcal{B}$ -interval function  $\Phi$  for which  $V_{\Phi}(E) = 0$  and  $\Phi(L) = \int_L f\chi_G$ . Since  $f = f\chi_G + f\chi_E$ , the  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrability of  $f$  on  $L$  is equivalent, under the condition of the theorem, to the  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrability of  $f\chi_G$ , and moreover  $\int_L f = \Phi(L) + \int_E f$ .  $\square$

A particular case of the above result is the case where  $E = \partial L$ . In this case, by our assumption  $\mu(\partial L) = 0$  and by Theorem 7, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 10.** *Suppose that in a  $\mathcal{B}$ -interval  $L$  there exists an increasing sequence of  $\mathcal{B}$ -figures  $\{F_k\}$  such that a function  $f$  is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on each  $F_k$ , on the set  $\text{int } L = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{int } F_k$  and on any  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure  $F \subset \text{int } L$ , with  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integral  $\Phi(F) = \int_F f$ . Then  $f$  is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on  $L$  if and only if there exists an extension of the function  $\Phi$  to  $\text{Sub}(L)$  such that the variational measure generated by  $\Phi$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . In this case  $\int_L f = \Phi(L)$ .*

In [15], the Hake property theorem was formulated using the so-called  $\beta$ -bordering (here we prefer the term  $\beta$ -halo as in [2]).

**Definition 11.** Given a  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure  $L$ , a closed set  $E \subset L$  and a basis set  $\beta$  in  $L$ , we say that a  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure  $O_E = \bigcup_{j=1}^k I_j$  is a  $\beta$ -halo of  $E$  if  $E \subset \text{int}_L O_E$  and  $O_E$  is generated by the  $\beta[E]$ -partition  $\{(I_j, x_j)\}_{j=1}^k$ .

It is easy to check, by using Lemma 2, that for any  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  in the  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure  $L$  and a closed set  $E \subset L$  there exists a  $\beta$ -halo  $O_E$ .

**Theorem 12** ([15, Theorem 1]). *Under the conditions of Theorem 9, the function  $f$  is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on  $L$  with integral value  $A + \int_E f$  if and only if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  such that for any  $\beta$ -halo  $O_E$  the function  $f$  is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on a  $\beta$ -complementary  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure  $C_{\beta}(O_E)$  and the following inequality holds:*

$$\left| \int_{C_{\beta}(O_E)} f - A \right| < \varepsilon.$$

As a result we get the equivalence of two forms of the Hake-type theorem and we can summarize our results in the following statement.

**Theorem 13.** *Suppose that in a  $\mathcal{B}$ -interval  $L$  there exist a closed set  $G$  and an increasing sequence of  $\mathcal{B}$ -figures  $\{F_k\}$  such that  $L \setminus E = \bigcup \text{int}_L F_k$ , the function is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on  $E$  with integral value  $A + \int_E f$  and is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on any  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure  $F \subset L \setminus E$ , with  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integral  $\Phi(F) = \int_F f$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists an extension of the function  $\Phi$  to  $\text{Sub}(L)$  such that  $V_{\Phi}(E) = 0$ .*
- (ii) *For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  such that for any  $\beta$ -halo  $O_E$  the function  $f$  is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on a  $\beta$ -complementary  $\mathcal{B}$ -figure  $C_{\beta}(O_E)$  and the following inequality holds:*

$$|\Phi(C_{\beta}(O_E)) - A| < \varepsilon.$$

- (iii) *The function  $f$  is  $H_{\mathcal{B}}$ -integrable on  $L$  with integral value  $\int_L f = \Phi(L) + \int_E f = A + \int_E f$ .*

Note that the equivalence of conditions (i) and (ii) can be established directly. So the results of [12] could be obtained from the results of [15] as a particular case. By the same argument, [11, Theorem 6.1] can be deduced from [8, Theorem 1].

## References

- [1] S. I. Ahmed and W. F. Pfeffer, A Riemann integral in a locally compact Hausdorff space, *J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **41** (1986), no. 1, 115–137.
- [2] A. Boccuto, V. A. Skvortsov and F. Tulone, A Hake-type theorem for integrals with respect to abstract derivation bases in the Riesz space setting, *Math. Slovaca* **65** (2015), no. 6, 1319–1336.
- [3] C.-A. Faure and J. Mawhin, The Hake’s property for some integrals over multidimensional intervals, *Real Anal. Exchange* **20** (1994/95), no. 2, 622–630.
- [4] A. Kolmogoroff, Untersuchungen über den Integralbegriff, *Math. Ann.* **103** (1930), no. 1, 654–696.
- [5] J. Kurzweil and J. Jarník, Differentiability and integrability in  $n$  dimensions with respect to  $\alpha$ -regular intervals, *Results Math.* **21** (1992), no. 1–2, 138–151.
- [6] P. Y. Lee and R. Výborný, *Integral: An Easy Approach After Kurzweil and Henstock*, Austral. Math. Soc. Lect. Ser. 14, Cambridge University, Cambridge, 2000.
- [7] T. P. Lukashenko, A. Solodov and V. A. Skvortsov, *Generalized Integrals* (in Russian), URSS, Moscow, 2010.
- [8] P. Mal’doni and V. A. Skvortsov, An improper Riemann integral and the Henstock integral in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (in Russian), *Mat. Zametki* **78** (2005), no. 2, 251–258; translation in *Math. Notes* **78** (2005), no. 1-2, 228–233.
- [9] K. M. Ostaszewski, Henstock integration in the plane, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **63** (1986), no. 353, 1–106.
- [10] S. Saks, *Theory of the Integral*, Hafner, New York, 1937.
- [11] S. P. Singh and I. K. Rana, The Hake’s theorem and variational measures, *Real Anal. Exchange* **37** (2011/12), no. 2, 477–488.
- [12] S. P. Singh and I. K. Rana, The Hake’s theorem on metric measure spaces, *Real Anal. Exchange* **39** (2013/14), no. 2, 447–458.
- [13] V. A. Skvortsov and F. Tulone, The P-adic Henstock integral in the theory of series in systems of characters of zero-dimensional groups (in Russian), *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.* **2006** (2006), no. 1, 25–29, 70; translation in *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* **61** (2006), no. 1, 27–31.
- [14] V. A. Skvortsov and F. Tulone, A Henstock-type integral on a compact zero-dimensional metric space and the representation of a quasi-measure (in Russian), *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.* **2012** (2012), no. 2, 11–17; translation in *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* **67** (2012), no. 2, 55–60.
- [15] V. A. Skvortsov and F. Tulone, Generalized Hake property for integrals of Henstock type (in Russian), *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.* **2013** (2013), no. 6, 9–13; translation in *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* **68** (2013), no. 6, 270–274.
- [16] V. A. Skvortsov and F. Tulone, Multidimensional dyadic Kurzweil–Henstock- and Perron-type integrals in the theory of Haar and Walsh series, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **421** (2015), no. 2, 1502–1518.
- [17] B. S. Thomson, Derivation bases on the real line. I, *Real Anal. Exchange* **8** (1982/83), no. 1, 67–207.
- [18] B. S. Thomson, Derivation bases on the real line. I, *Real Anal. Exchange* **8** (1982/83), no. 2, 278–442.
- [19] B. S. Thomson, Derivates of interval functions, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **93** (1991), no. 452, 1–96.