

Article



Tolerance, Adaptation, and Cell Response Elicited by *Micromonospora* sp. Facing Tellurite Toxicity: A Biological and Physical-Chemical Characterization

Elena Piacenza ^{1,*}, Simona Campora ¹, Francesco Carfi Pavia ², Delia Francesca Chillura Martino ¹, Vito Armando Laudicina ³, Rosa Alduina ¹, Raymond Joseph Turner ⁴, Davide Zannoni ⁵ and Alessandro Presentato ^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Biological, Chemical and Pharmaceutical Science and Technologies, University of Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, Ed. 16, 90128 Palermo, Italy
- ² Department of Engineering, University of Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, Ed. 8, 90128 Palermo, Italy
- ³ Department of Agricultural, Food and Forest Sciences, University of Palermo, Viale Delle Scienze, Ed. 4, 90128 Palermo, Italy
- ⁴ Department of Biological Sciences, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, AB T2N 1N4, Canada
- ⁵ Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology, University of Bologna, Via Irnerio 42, 40126 Bologna, Italy
- * Correspondence: elena.piacenza@unipa.it (E.P.); alessandro.presentato@unipa.it (A.P.)

Abstract: The intense use of tellurium (Te) in industrial applications, along with the improper disposal of Te-derivatives, is causing their accumulation in the environment, where oxyanion tellurite (TeO3²⁻) is the most soluble, bioavailable, and toxic Te-species. On the other hand, tellurium is a rare metalloid element whose natural supply will end shortly with possible economic and technological effects. Thus, Te-containing waste represents the source from which Te should be recycled and recovered. Among the explored strategies, the microbial TeO32- biotransformation into less toxic Te-species is the most appropriate concerning the circular economy. Actinomycetes are ideal candidates in environmental biotechnology. However, their exploration in TeO32biotransformation is scarce due to limited knowledge regarding oxyanion microbial processing. Here, this gap was filled by investigating the cell tolerance, adaptation, and response to $TeO_{3^{2-}}$ of a Micromonospora strain isolated from a metal(loid)-rich environment. To this aim, an integrated biological, physical-chemical, and statistical approach combining physiological and biochemical assays with confocal or scanning electron (SEM) microscopy and Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy in attenuated total reflectance mode (ATR-FTIR) was designed. Micromonospora cells exposed to TeO₃²⁻ under different physiological states revealed a series of striking cell responses, such as cell morphology changes, extracellular polymeric substance production, cell membrane damages and modifications, oxidative stress burst, protein aggregation and phosphorylation, and superoxide dismutase induction. These results highlight this Micromonospora strain as an asset for biotechnological purposes.

Keywords: tellurite; bacterial cell membrane; cell morphology changes; fatty acids; FTIR spectroscopy; oxidative stress; heavy metals; multivariate statistical analysis

1. Introduction

Tellurium (Te) is a metalloid and rare element (1–5 ppm estimated abundance) belonging to the chalcogen group (XVI) and *p*-block of the periodic table that is naturally present in association with minerals, rocks, or sediments [1]. For quite some time, Te has been a neglected element compared to other metals and metalloids, but, in recent years,

Citation: Piacenza, E.; Campora, S.; Carfi Pavia, F.; Chillura Martino, D.F.; Laudicina, V.A.; Alduina, R.; Turner, R.J.; Zannoni, D.; Presentato, A. Tolerance, Adaptation, and Cell Response Elicited by *Micromonospora* sp. Facing Tellurite Toxicity: A Biological and Physical-Chemical Characterization. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 12631. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms232012631

Academic Editor: Sergey Dobretsov

Received: 13 September 2022 Accepted: 18 October 2022 Published: 20 October 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/). its exploitation increased drastically [2]. Indeed, the unique physical-chemical properties of Te per se, Te-containing compounds, and Te-based nanomaterials guarantee their broad application in metallurgy, material science, and chemical and electronic industries, to name a few [2]. Te is an energy-critical element as, in its association with cadmium (CdTe), it is involved in producing photovoltaic thin-film solar cells fundamental to generating renewable energy [3,4]. The current use and improper disposal of Te and its derivatives, alongside the increasing worldwide demand for renewable energy, is causing – and will continue to cause – the building-up of these compounds and materials in the environment as toxic and hazardous waste [2,5]. Specifically, Te-containing compounds can leak from smelters, leach (i.e., solubilization and dissolution under acidic or alkaline conditions) from tailing piles, and more from landfills nearby processing facilities across the trophic chain [2]. The most toxic Te chemical species in the environment are the highly soluble and bioavailable oxyanions tellurate (TeO42-) and tellurite (TeO_{3²⁻}), which threaten human health and ecosystem fitness [6]. Additionally, recent projections highlighted that Te demand will exceed its supply by 2029 [7], posing economic concerns that could determine energy and technological crises. Thus, it is imperative to recover and recycle this element from the environment. CdTe photovoltaic thin-film solar cells represent a large reservoir for Te, yet suitable processes for its recovery are still under study and implementation [2]. However, most of these strategies are multi-step procedures that involve toxic compounds and additives and, more importantly, generate toxic Te oxyanions [2], which can seep into the environment, contributing to the contamination of ecological niches.

According to the concept of the circular economy, the biological reduction by microorganisms of Te oxyanions into the less toxic and bioavailable elemental Te (Te⁰) represents a green and economically effective alternative to chemical and physical approaches [2]. Most of this field of research has been focused on the bacterial transformation of TeO₃²⁻ into Te⁰, as, considering *Escherichia coli* as a reference bacterial strain, this oxyanion is more toxic than TeO₄²⁻ [6]. The biotechnological added value of biological approaches is the generation by microorganisms of thermodynamically stable Te nanomaterials [6,8]. Nevertheless, Te bioreclamation is still in its infancy, as several parameters need further optimization to ensure an efficient and cost-effective Te recovery. In turn, the optimization of these conditions relies on a proper and exhaustive understanding of the cell response to TeO₃²⁻ and the mechanism(s) that diverse microorganisms can elicit to tolerate the oxyanion presence; however, these outlines are still less investigated and elucidated.

Among microorganisms often exploited for biotechnological purposes, members of the Actinobacteria phylum feature genome heterogeneity, which results in different physiological and metabolic properties that make them highly tolerant and resistant to metal and metalloid compounds [9]. Indeed, several Actinobacteria are suitable for the bioremediation and bioreclamation of metals and metalloids [9]. Specifically, *Micromonospora* species inhabit environmental niches such as plant rhizospheres, pyritepolluted soil, and marine sediments as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB), metal(loid)-detoxifiers, and nanoparticle producers [10–13]. Thus, the *Micromonospora* genus holds the genetic versatility required to become an asset from a biotechnological perspective. Nevertheless, only a few *Micromonospora* species have been described to date for their ability to tolerate and/or resist metal(loid) compounds, and none report on the handling of TeO₃²⁻ by bacterial strains belonging to this genus.

In light of these premises, the present study reports on a detailed characterization of a *Micromonospora* strain isolated from a XIX-century Japanese wallpaper rich in metals and metalloids [14] facing different TeO₃²⁻ concentrations. Specifically, the mechanisms of oxyanion toxicity and cell adaptation, resistance, and recovery were evaluated by exploring two physiological conditions (i.e., exponentially grown cells and those growing

in the presence of tellurite) through a biological and physical-chemical approach supported by statistical analysis.

2. Results

2.1. Micromonospora Exponentially Grown Cells Facing Tellurite

2.1.1. Tellurite Uptake, Thiol Oxidation, and Reactive Oxygen Species Production

Micromonospora exponentially grown cells (Section 4.1) proficiently handled 100 µM TeO_{3²⁻} toxicity, as any difference in the total protein content of these cells than those unchallenged was not observed during the 6-h incubation (Figure S1a). Bacterial cells exposed to 100 μ M TeO₃²⁻ showed substantial removal of the oxyanion, which constantly increased over time, reaching its maximum value of ca. 100 nmol g protein⁻¹ of TeO₃²⁻ at 6-h (Figure 1a). To evaluate whether TeO₃²⁻ uptake was driven by the membrane potential [15], the effect of the protonophore and electron-transfer uncoupler carbonyl-cyanide mchlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP) on the oxyanion transport within bacterial cells, was evaluated. As a result, CCCP addition (50 μ M) led to a drastic decrease in TeO_{3²⁻} removal by bacterial cells that plateaued from 2-h of incubation onwards. A low amount of oxyanions (ca. 6 nmol g protein⁻¹) was taken up by bacterial cells upon incubation with CCCP. This outline is likely consistent with either sorption of $TeO_{3^{2-}}$ occurring at the cell surface or incomplete accessibility of the CCCP to the entire cell population. Additionally, the difference observed in oxyanion removal by *Micromonospora* exponentially grown cells exposed to 100 μ M TeO₃²⁻ in the absence or presence of CCCP indicated that ca. 90 nmol g protein⁻¹ of TeO₃²⁻ entered the cells within 6-h of incubation. Once TeO₃²⁻ reached the intracellular milieu of Micromonospora cells, it led to a loss of the thiol (RSH) pool (Figure 1b). The effect of such an oxidized intracellular environment was also reflected by the increased level of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) – detected by the oxidative stress-sensitive probe 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCF)-starting from 10 min up to 1-h exposure to TeO_{3²⁻} that perdured till 6-h of incubation (Figure 1c) as compared to unchallenged cells. These effects highlight a clear situation of intracellular stress deriving from oxyanions' entry and their subsequent processing.

2.1.2. Effect of Tellurite on the Membrane Potential

The cationic dye 5,5',6,6'-tetrachloro-1,1',3,3'-tetraethylbenzimidazolylcarbocyanine iodide (JC-1) is membrane-permeant, and it can be used as an indicator of the electrical component ($\Delta\psi$) of membrane potential [16]. Here, we used the JC-1 to assess whether the physiological membrane potential of *Micromonospora* cells was affected by exposure to TeO₃²⁻. Specifically, depending on the membrane potential, the JC-1 dye undergoes a variation in its conformation and, therefore, fluorescence emission, shifting from red (dye aggregates) to green (dye monomers) at high and low membrane potential values, respectively [17]. Unchallenged *Micromonospora* cells displayed both a high 590 (red)/530 (green) emission ratio and a diffuse red fluorescence (Figure 2a,b), which can be ascribed to healthy and viable cells. Conversely, bacterial exposure to 100 µM TeO₃²⁻ caused a partial decrease in the 590/530 emission ratio (Figure 2a,c). This result indicates that TeO₃²⁻ uptake does not induce a total depolarization of the membrane potential as vice versa observed with CCCP (Figure 2a,d). Therefore, as is also shown in other bacterial systems [15], TeO₃²⁻ uptake in *Micromonospora* cells is likely driven by the ΔpH component of the proton motive force (pmf).



Figure 1. Oxyanion uptake profiles (**a**), evaluation of RSH depletion (**b**), and kinetic of ROS production (**c**) upon exposure of *Micromonospora* exponentially grown cells to 100 μ M TeO₃²⁻. All data points in panel (**c**) referring to ROS produced by TeO₃²⁻-challenged cells are significantly different (*p* < 0.05) as compared to unchallenged cells.

2.1.3. Tellurite Effect on the Fatty Acid Profile

Considering the ability of *Micromonospora* exponentially grown cells in processing 100 μ M TeO₃^{2–}, the influence of this oxyanion on the whole-cell fatty acids was assessed by incubating bacterial cells to a high TeO₃^{2–} concentration (5 mM; Table 1). As a result, challenged cells featured a slight increase in saturated fatty acid content. Specifically, there was an evident shift from odd-numbered fatty acids toward even-numbered ones compared to control cells. Concomitantly, the relative percentage abundance of unsaturated fatty acids decreased. This effect was due to the low content of the monounsaturated fatty acid C_{17:1}, whereas the saturated C_{18:0} and the branched *iso*-C_{16:0} ones significantly increased; indeed, the latter doubled their content in TeO₃^{2–}-exposed cells. Additionally, *iso*-C_{15:0} and *iso*-C_{17:0} slightly increased in challenged cells compared to unchallenged ones. Thus, this evidence highlights how *Micromonospora* exponentially grown cells responded to the stress exerted by a high concentration of tellurite by increasing their membrane rigidity.



(d)

Figure 2. Effect of tellurite on the membrane potential of *Micromonospora* exponentially grown cells, evaluating the 590/530 JC-1 fluorescence emission ratios (**a**) and fluorescence imaging of (**b**) unchallenged cells or those exposed to (**c**) 100 μ M TeO₃²⁻ and (**d**) 50 μ M CCCP. Scale bar 50 μ m.

	Relative Abundance (%)		
Fatty Acid	Control Cells	TeO ₃ ²⁻ -Challenged Cells	
C15:0	9.88 ± 0.26	3.67 ± 0.80	
C16:0	4.59 ± 0.07	3.64 ± 0.01	
C17:0	18.0 ± 0.2	10.3 ± 0.6	
C18:0	3.56 ± 0.27	8.95 ± 0.97	
<i>iso</i> -C _{15:0}	6.23 ± 0.03	8.27 ± 0.25	
anteiso-C15:0	3.09 ± 0.08	2.78 ± 0.01	
<i>iso</i> -C _{16:0}	12.4 ±0.9	22.6 ± 1.4	
<i>iso</i> -C _{17:0}	1.93 ± 0.09	3.37 ± 0.28	
C16:1ω7c	3.02 ± 0.21	4.78 ± 0.11	
C17:1	28.0 ± 0.9	20.2 ± 0.9	
C18:169c	9.09 ± 0.23	11.7 ± 1.7	
Even saturated fatty acid	20.5 ± 1.3	36.1 ± 0.5	
Odd saturated fatty acid	39.4 ± 1.2	28.2 ± 0.5	
Saturated fatty acid	59.9 ± 0.8	64.3 ± 0.9	
Unsaturated fatty acid	40.1 ± 0.5	35.7 ± 0.9	

Table 1. The relative percentage of total fatty acids isolated from *Micromonospora* cells exposed for 6-h to TeO₃²⁻.

Saturated/Unsaturated 1.49 ± 0.04 1.80 ± 0.07

 ω indicates the double bond position closest to the methyl end. *Iso* and *anteiso* indicate the position of the methyl-substituent at the penultimate and/or antepenultimate carbon of the carboxyalkyl chain.

2.2. Micromonospora Cells Growing in the Presence of Different Tellurite Concentrations 2.2.1. Bacterial Tolerance towards TeO_{3²⁻}

Unchallenged Micromonospora cells displayed the typical growth profile of most actinomycetes (Figure 3a). Indeed, a first rapid growth (RG1) phase was observed up to 48-h of incubation, followed by a transition (T) phase between 48 and 96-h, a subsequent second RG phase (RG2) from 96 to 144-h, and, finally, a stationary phase (144–168-h). On the other hand, both 100 and 250 µM TeO32- negatively affected the growth of the Micromonospora strain, as indicated by the lower biomass yield observed over the timeframe considered (Figure 3b,c). Regardless of the initial oxyanion concentration, this actinomycete highlighted a prolonged lag phase of either 48 or 72-h upon bacterial incubation with 100 or 250 µM TeO3²⁻, respectively. Nevertheless, the growth profile of *Micromonospora* cells exposed to 100 μ M TeO₃²⁻ better resembled that of the unchallenged ones since RG1, T, and RG2 phases were still detected. However, 100 µM TeO_{3²⁻} caused death events at the last stage (168-h) of bacterial growth, resulting in a biomass yield comparable to cells grown in the presence of 250 µM TeO₃²⁻. Additionally, 500 µM TeO₃²⁻ triggered a more drastic effect on *Micromonospora* cells, as no active bacterial growth was detected (Figure S1b). TeO_{3²⁻} removal by *Micromonospora* cells mirrored the growth profiles. Then, 100 μM TeO₃²⁻ was removed within 168-h of bacterial growth, while 250 μ M TeO₃²⁻ was partially converted (ca. 130 µM), highlighting that this bacterial strain can bioprocess a threshold tellurite concentration. Moreover, bacterial cells did not actively remove 500 µM TeO32-, confirming the cytotoxic effect of oxyanions toward the Micromonospora strain at this concentration (Figure S1b).

Trends of the intracellular RSHs pool resembled the observed growth profiles up to 72-h (250 μ M TeO_{3²⁻}) and 96-h (control and 100 μ M TeO_{3²⁻}) of bacterial incubation (Figure 3d). The moment unchallenged cells or those growing in the presence of 100 μ M TeO₃²⁻ entered their RG2 phase (96–144-h), RSHs decreased, although it was more drastic in the case of tellurite-growing cells. Instead, bacterial cells experiencing the presence of 250 μM TeO_{3²⁻} displayed a low and constant amount of RSHs from 72 to 144-h, despite the exponential growth phase occurring between 72 and 120-h. The overall lower content of RSHs in challenged cells suggests that TeO_{3²⁻} strongly compromises these important buffering molecules, which, upon their oxidation, generate oxidative damage. Nevertheless, the intracellular RSH pool slightly increased at the 168-h time point for all conditions tested, highlighting that *Micromonospora* cells can recover from oxidative stress, likely involving other enzymatic systems. In this regard, the superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity assay (Figure 3e) revealed that at the earliest stage (24-h) of bacterial growth, cells featured a low enzymatic activity, while it increased similarly up to 72-h incubation, although only in the case of 250 µM TeO₃²⁻-grown cells, the SOD activity increase resulted as statistically significant with the respect of unchallenged cells. Finally, at 120-h of bacterial growth, cells facing the TeO₃²⁻ challenge still featured an enhanced SOD activity, which dropped down in the case of cells not experiencing oxyanions' stress, further reinforcing the occurrence of an oxidized intracellular environment that needs to be restored.

In line with growth profiles, a cell viability assay (Section 4.4), carried out through fluorescence microscopy, revealed a strong green-fluorescent signal only in the case of *Micromonospora* unchallenged cells at its RG1 phase (Figure 4a). On the contrary, the lag phase determined by the TeO_{3²⁻} challenge led to the detection of a mixed population of viable and non-viable cells, as indicated by the simultaneous green, red, and yellow fluorescence emission (Figure 4b,c). This evidence suggests that propidium iodide (red dye) can penetrate cells featuring damage to their cell membranes.



Figure 3. Growth profiles of *Micromonospora* unchallenged cells (**a**) and challenged ones with either 100 (**b**) or 250 μ M TeO_{3²⁻}(**c**). The oxyanion consumption by *Micromonospora* cells is displayed on the secondary y-axes in (**b**) and (**c**). Evaluation of the loss of reduced thiol (RSH) content (**d**) and superoxide dismutase activity (**e**) as a stress response to TeO_{3²⁻} elicited by *Micromonospora* growing cells (* *p* < 0.05).





(b)



Figure 4. Fluorescence microscopy of *Micromonospora* unchallenged cells (**a**) and those grown in the presence of either 100 (**b**) or 250 μ M TeO₃²⁻ (**c**) for 24-h. Scale bar 50 μ m.

2.2.2. Morphological Characterization of Micromonospora Cells under Tellurite Stress

Micromonospora cells growing in the presence of either 100 or 250 µM TeO32- were imaged through scanning electron microscopy (SEM) to highlight morphological changes in response to the stress exerted by oxyanions and depending on the incubation time considered (Figure 5). At 24-h growth, unchallenged cells highlighted loosely packed hyphae, which appeared to have a smooth and clean texture at the surface, lacking any superficial defect (Figure 5a). Afterward, bacterial hyphae did not highlight an orthodox morphology (Figure 5b) as that observed for cells in the RG1 phase, most likely due to cells' entry in their transition phase (72-h), where an actual arrest of the bacterial growth occurred (Figure 3a). Finally, Micromonospora cells reaching the RG2 phase (120-h) formed a dense network of hyphae (Figure 5c), which macroscopically corresponded to the appearance of cell floccules in the flask. On the contrary, bacterial cells facing the oxyanion challenge displayed more swollen hyphae tightly packed to one another, forming clumps of aggregated mycelium with a certain degree of superficial roughness (Figure 5 d,h). This aspect was consistent with the appearance of cell floccules at the earliest stage of bacterial growth. Additionally, bacterial hyphae produced extracellular material, likely containing oxyanions, adsorbed on the cell surface (indicated by white arrows). This sorption event was more evident for *Micromonospora* cells growing in the presence of 250 μ M TeO_{3²⁻} at the beginning of the bacterial incubation (Figure 5h), although this aspect occurred for each incubation time considered, and its extent was exasperated as a function of both time and concentration of oxyanions supplied (Figure 5d–j). Moreover, TeO32--grown cells featured the rising of both small and large vesicle-like structures (indicated by the red arrow; Figure 5f,j). The delayed emergence of such a structure for cells grown in the presence of $250 \ \mu\text{M}$ TeO₃²⁻ could be ascribed to the extensive lag phase observed (Figure 3c).

2.2.3. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy in Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR-FTIR) Mode

ATR-FTIR spectroscopy highlighted vibrational modes typical of lipids, proteins, polysaccharides, and nucleic acids for both unchallenged cells and those incubated with TeO₃²⁻ over time (Figure 6). Full band assignments are reported in Table S1.

The presence of lipids was mainly determined from IR contributions in the 2960–2850 cm⁻¹ region and the weak absorption detected at ca. 1740 cm⁻¹ (Figure 6; Table S1). These signals correspond to -CHx stretching (asymmetric and symmetric) vibrations within aliphatic chains of fatty acids (2960–2850 cm⁻¹) and the stretching of carbonyl (-C=O; 1740 cm⁻¹) of bacterial lipids and triglycerides [18,19]. Although all samples showed these IR contributions, the exposure of Micromonospora cells to TeO3²⁻ determined variations within the 2960–2850 cm⁻¹ region. Indeed, both the asymmetric -CH₃ (ca. 2950 cm⁻¹) and the symmetric -CH2 stretching (ca. 2850 cm⁻¹) vibrations shifted to bigger wavenumbers upon bacterial incubation with the oxyanion (Table S1). This phenomenon was more evident in Micromonospora cells grown in the presence of 250 µM TeO32-, as the asymmetric -CH3 stretching vibration shifted from ca. 2953 cm⁻¹ (untreated cells) to 2962–2960 cm⁻¹ (Table S1). Similarly, ATR-FTIR spectra of 100 µM TeO₃^{2-72h} and 250 µM TeO₃^{2-72h} showed asymmetric -CH₂ stretching vibrations at bigger wavenumbers (2926 cm⁻¹) than TeO_{3²⁻}-free cells (Table S1). Moreover, cells grown in the presence of both TeO_{3²⁻} concentrations featured, over time, similar normalized FTIR integrals referring to -CH_x signals, which were different from those calculated for unchallenged cells (Figure S2). Insights regarding the potential effect of $TeO_{3^{2-}}$ on the bacterial membrane were gathered by evaluating the ratios between asymmetric -CH₂ stretching vibration and either asymmetric -CH₃ stretching (Avas (CH2)/Avas (CH3)) or symmetric -CH2 (Avas (CH2)/Avs (CH2)) stretching vibrations (Figure S3). Overall, the addition of $TeO_{3^{2-}}$ led to increased integral ratios from 72-h onwards, indicating a higher degree of lipid saturation (A_{vas} (CH2)/ A_{vas} (CH3)) [20,21] and a lower disorder in lipid acyl chains (Avas (CH2)/Avs (CH2)) [22] than in unchallenged cells (Figure S3). At 72-h of



bacterial growth, the change of lipid saturation was more significant upon incubation with 100 μ M TeO_{3²⁻} than in the other conditions tested (Figure S3).

Figure 5. SEM micrographs depicting *Micromonospora* unchallenged cells (**a**–**c**) and those grown in the presence of either 100 (**d**–**g**) or 250 μ M TeO_{3²⁻}(**h**–**j**) over time (24, 72, and 120-h). White arrows highlight oxyanion biosorption on the bacterial surface, while the appearance of membrane vesicle-like structures is indicated with red arrows.



Figure 6. ATR-FTIR spectra of *Micromonospora* cells grown for 24, 72, and 120-h in the absence (**a**) or presence of (**b**) 100 μ M or (**c**) 250 μ M TeO₃^{2–}.

All samples showed IR contributions typical of proteins, namely, amide A (3271-3290 cm⁻¹), amide B (3061–3073 cm⁻¹), amide I (1686–1617 cm⁻¹), amide II (1534–1558 cm⁻¹), and amide III (1237-1248 cm⁻¹) bands (Figure 6; Table S1). However, TeO₃₂₋ presence caused differences in the shifting, appearance, and disappearance of these IR signals (Table S1), which were highlighted by spectral deconvolutions in the 1780–1480 cm⁻¹ region (Figure S4; Tables S2–S4). Although vibrational modes deriving from α -helix secondary structure (1660–1650 cm⁻¹) were detected for all samples, IR absorption bands centered at ca. 1690– 1680 cm⁻¹, 1670 cm⁻¹, and 1640–1610 cm⁻¹ were attributed to β -antiparallel, β -turn, and β sheet structures, respectively, while random coil protein bands were observed at 1650-1640 cm⁻¹ (Figure S4b,c; Tables S3 and S4). Based on the normalized integrals obtained for amide I bands, an estimation (as a relative percentage) of the proteins' secondary structure was determined (Figure S5). TeO32- caused the appearance of IR contributions typical of β -strand structures at 24-h of bacterial growth (Figure S5b,c; Tables S3 and S4). Indeed, a higher β -sheet contribution (ca. 65 and 67%) was detected in ATR-FTIR spectra of 100 μ M TeO₃^{2–24h} and 250µM TeO₃^{2–24h} than Reference_{24h}, which featured a larger amount of α -helix structure (ca. 64%) (Figure S5). Additionally, a high percentage of random coil proteins,

alongside the appearance of β -antiparallel structures, were calculated for both 100 μ M TeO₃^{2–}_{24h} and 250 μ M TeO₃^{2–}_{72h} (Figure S5b,c). Furthermore, 250 μ M TeO₃^{2–}_{24h} showed instead only α -helix (ca. 33%) and β -sheets (ca. 67%) secondary structure (Figure S5b,c). However, TeO₃²⁻-free and challenged cells featured similar protein secondary structure at 120-h of growth, β -sheet contributions being the most represented (Figure S5). Similar to the amide I band, the IR signals attributable to amide II (1560–1530 cm⁻¹) underwent modifications upon bacterial exposure to the oxyanion (Tables S3 and S4). All ATR-FTIR spectra featured the typical amide II band centered at ca. 1545 cm⁻¹, yet TeO₃²⁻ stress led to the appearance of other three IR contributions (ca. 1560, 1535, and 1520 cm⁻¹) deriving from the same vibrational mode. These additional signals were only partially present for unchallenged cells in Reference_{72h} (Tables S2–S4). This phenomenon was emphasized for 250 μ M TeO₃²⁻24h and 250 μ M TeO₃²⁻120h, which showed four and three contributions related to the amide II bands, respectively (Table S4). The oxyanion also influenced the abundance of these IR contributions (as normalized integrals) (Figure S6). The 1545 cm⁻¹ and 1525 cm⁻¹ amide II bands featured comparable integrals in the ATR-FTIR spectra, although the former were drastically reduced after 72-h of bacterial growth under all conditions tested (Figure S6). The 1525 cm⁻¹ contribution was instead only observed for Reference_{72h}, 100 μM TeO3^{2-72h}, and 250 μM TeO3^{2-24h} (Figure S6). Conversely, 100 μM TeO3^{2-12h} and 250 μM TeO3^{2–72h} displayed the highest normalized integrals referring to the 1535 cm⁻¹ amide II band, while that centered at ca. 1560 cm⁻¹ was detected only for cells grown for 24 and 120-h in the presence of 250 µM oxyanion (Figure S6b,c). Further insights regarding the membranes' fluidity and protein content were obtained by evaluating the ratio between the normalized integrals referring to the amide II band and the asymmetric -CH₃ stretching vibration (Aamide II/Avas (CH3)) typical of cellular lipids (Figure S6d). TeO32- exerted a concentration-dependent effect on these IR contributions and, in turn, membrane fluidity. Indeed, 250 µM TeO3^{2–}24h displayed a lower Aamide II/Avas (CH3) ratio, hence, membrane fluidification, than unchallenged samples after 24-h of growth, while a higher ratio (membrane rigidification) was obtained for 100 µM TeO3^{2–72h} than Reference72h (Figure S6d). After 120h of growth, ATR-FTIR spectra of cells incubated with both oxyanion concentrations showed rigidified membranes, as indicated by the higher Aamide II/Avas (CH3) ratio than unchallenged cells (Figure S6d).

A second deconvolution was performed in the 1500–980 cm⁻¹ region of ATR-FTIR spectra (Figure S7; Tables S5–S7) to better highlight contributions deriving from diverse macromolecules. The IR absorption between 1430 and 1360 cm⁻¹ can be attributed – in addition to vibrational modes of some polysaccharides, lipids, and proteins-to symmetric -COO⁻ stretching vibrations of cellular peroxidation products [23,24]. Samples of 100 µM TeO₃^{2–24h}, 100 μ M TeO₃^{2–120h}, and 250 μ M TeO₃^{2–120h} displayed higher normalized integrals referring to this IR absorption than unchallenged cells (Figure S8a). No variations (p > 10.05) were instead observed at 72-h of growth, regardless of the addition of TeO_{3²⁻} (Figure S8a). Moreover, the oxyanion stress triggered both the shift of the 1310–1313 cm⁻¹ band related to -C-(OH) stretching towards 1302–1306 cm⁻¹ and the appearance of additional IR contributions in the 1290–1310 cm⁻¹ region (Figure S7b,c; Tables S6 and S7). The latter IR variations can be related to the -C-OH stretching of acetic acid molecules within bacterial cells because of peroxidation processes [23]. IR signals centered at ca. 1235 cm⁻¹ can be associated with -C-O-P stretching vibrations deriving from phosphorylated proteins [25]. Based on the estimation of the ratio between the normalized integrals of this contribution and that of the amide I band (Av (C-O-P)/Aamide I), Micromonospora cells grown in the presence of TeO_{3²⁻} featured a greater extent of phosphorylated proteins than oxyanion-free cells (Figure S8b). In the case of cells facing the lowest $TeO_{3^{2-}}$ concentration, the highest phosphorylation was observed after 24-h of bacterial growth, which tended to decrease over time and became comparable to unchallenged cells (Figure S8b). Conversely, protein phosphorylation increased in a time-dependent fashion upon 250 µM TeO_{3²⁻} supply to the growth medium, being the highest for 250 µM TeO_{3^{2-72h}} and 250 µM TeO_{3^{2-120h}} (Figure S8b),

indicating a concentration-dependent effect of this oxyanion on the phosphorylation of proteins.

IR contributions identified by spectral deconvolutions in the 1200–950 cm⁻¹ region were mostly attributed to polysaccharides (Figure S7; Tables S5–S7), as these absorption bands are typical of $\alpha_{(1,3)}$, $\alpha_{(1,4)}$, $\beta_{(1,3)}$, and $\beta_{(1,4)}$ glycosidic bonds or other polysacchariderelated vibrations [26]. All samples featured polysaccharide bands centered at ca. 1150, 1104, 1079, and 1040 cm⁻¹, yet shifts towards bigger wavenumbers were detected upon TeO₃²⁻ stress (Tables S5–S7). A downshift was observed only for the 1104 cm⁻¹ band in 250 μ M TeO_{3²-72h}, which featured a contribution at ca. 1095 cm⁻¹ (Table S7). Moreover, ATR-FTIR spectra collected for Micromonospora cells grown for 24 or 72-h in the presence of 250 μ M TeO_{3²⁻} did not display the IR signal centered at ca. 1079 cm⁻¹ (Table S7). The oxyanion presence led also to the appearance of additional polysaccharide vibrational modes in 100 μM TeO3^{2-24h} (ca. 1039 cm⁻¹), 100 μM TeO3^{2-72h} (ca. 1002 cm⁻¹), and 250 μM TeO3^{2-120h} (ca. 1017 cm⁻¹; Tables S6 and S7). Additionally, TeO₃²⁻ determined variations in the abundance of polysaccharide IR contributions (Figure S9). Although all ATR-FTIR spectra showed integrals related to 1104 and 1040 cm⁻¹ bands as the most represented over time, changes in their distribution were observed (Figure S9). These differences were more evident for 100 μ M TeO_{3^{2-24h} and 250 μ M TeO_{3^{2-120h}}, as these samples highlighted low IR absorption} attributable to polysaccharides and comparable 1104 and 1040 cm⁻¹ integrals (Figure S9b,c). Moreover, lower (100 μM TeO3^{2-120h} and 250 μM TeO3^{2-120h}) or higher (250 μM TeO32-72h) areas for the 1104 cm⁻¹ signal were obtained in TeO32-incubated cells than those unchallenged (Figure S9). The 1040 cm⁻¹ integrals varied as a function of the oxyanion concentration in the culture broth (Figure S9b,c). When 100 μ M TeO_{3²⁻} was used, these integrals increased over time, while an opposite trend was observed upon the addition of 250 μM TeO₃²⁻ (Figure S9b,c). Overall, integrals referring to the 1150 cm⁻¹ band were similar, while the highest variability was obtained for those derived from the 1079 cm⁻¹ signal (Figure S9). Indeed, these integrals decreased over time for unchallenged cells, while those exposed to both TeO32- concentrations featured high 1079 cm-1 contributions at 120-h of growth (Figure S9). Finally, IR absorption bands related to thiol (-SH) -containing or deriving molecules [27] were detected in the 1520–780 cm⁻¹ region of ATR-FTIR spectra (Table S1).

2.2.4. Multivariate Statistical Analysis

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed on the collected ATR-FTIR spectra to overall assess macromolecules involved in TeO₃²⁻ bioprocessing and their changes (Figure 7). Based on the ATR-FTIR results, IR contributions and ratios that described the stress exerted by TeO₃²⁻ onto *Micromonospora* cells were chosen as variables.



Figure 7. Representation of (**a**) loading and (**b**) score plots obtained by PCA performed on IR vibrational modes contributing the most to sample variability. Clusters identified by PCA are highlighted in (**b**) by colored circles.

PCA accounted for 89.4% of the original information of the variables and highlighted three main PCs whose parameters (i.e., percentage of original information and discriminating variable vectors) are reported in Table 2.

Table 2. Parameters (Principal components and discriminating variable vectors) determined through PCA.

	PC1	PC2	PC3
Information (%)	44.0	28.0	17.4
	protein phosphorylation	amide III	polysaccharides
Discriminating ¹ Vectors	membrane integrity	polysaccha- rides	β-strand + random coil struc- ture
	peroxidation products		α -helix structure
	polysaccharides		

¹Discriminating vectors are those that describe variables separating PCs.

Vectors describing amide II_I, β -strand and random coil structures, and protein phosphorylation strongly correlated in the 3-dimensional (3D) subspace of PCA (Figure 7a). A similar linear relationship was also observed for vectors related to membrane modification and peroxidation products (Figure 7a). The PCA score plot showed the grouping of samples within seven clusters, which mostly coincide with the analysis in triplicate of the samples (Figure 7b). Nevertheless, two major clusters containing the triplicates of (i) 100 μ M TeO₃^{2-24h} and 250 μ M TeO₃^{2-72h} (cluster 4) and (ii) 100 μ M TeO₃^{2-72h} and 250 μ M TeO₃^{2-24h} (cluster 5) were identified (Figure 7b). PCA separated unchallenged cells for their IR contributions deriving from polysaccharides and α -helix structures (Figure 7). The former were discriminating for clusters 2 (Reference72h) and 3 (Reference120h), while the higher amount of α -helix secondary structure of Reference₂₄ than the other samples determined the isolation of cluster 1 in the 3D subspace (Figures 7 and S5). Triplicates of 100 μM TeO₃^{2–}_{24h} and 250 μ M TeO₃^{2–}_{72h} were grouped in cluster 4 for their similar and elevated contributions deriving from β -strand and random coil contents (as relative percentages) and phosphorylated proteins (as Av (C-O-P)/Aamide I ratios) (Figures 7, S5, and S8). The latter variable, alongside the modification of the amide II band (i.e., amide III), also influenced the distribution of 100 μ M TeO₃^{2–}72h and 250 μ M TeO₃^{2–}24h, which clustered together (Figure 7). The high IR signals referring to cell membrane integrity and the appearance of peroxidation products played a crucial role for clusters 6 (100 μ M TeO₃^{2–}120h) and 7 (250 μ M TeO₃^{2–}120h). However, the higher extent of cell membrane rigidification and the lower level of peroxidation products observed for 100 μ M TeO₃^{2–}120h than 250 μ M TeO₃^{2–}120h determined the separation of these samples within two clusters (Figures 7, S2, S3, S6, and S8a). Moreover, 250 μ M TeO₃^{2–}120h was influenced by an elevated A_v (C-O-P)/A_{amide 1} ratio (Figures 7 and S8b).

3. Discussion

Few members of actinomycetes are known for their ability to cope with the toxicity exerted by $TeO_{3^{2^{-}}}[28-32]$. However, a detailed understanding of the cell response—and the oxyanion bioprocessing strategies—elicited by these bacterial strains to counteract $TeO_{3^{2^{-}}}$ still represents a gap in this field of research. The capability of *Micromonospora* cells to face $TeO_{3^{2^{-}}}$ toxicity fits well with the biotechnological potential of this genus, and it links to its metabolic versatility.

3.1. TeO_{3²⁻} Effects on Exponentially Grown Cells

The toxicity of TeO₃²⁻ preponderantly derives from its entrance within *Micromonospora* exponentially grown cells (Figure 1a). Here, the strong repression of the oxyanion uptake that follows the addition of the electron uncoupler CCCP (Figure 1a), alongside the partial depolarization effect of TeO₃²⁻ on the *Micromonospora* cell membrane (Figure 2a,c), suggests that the oxyanion entry mainly occurs through a Δ pH-dependent mechanism. As shown in other bacterial systems, this transport depends on low- (Pit family) or high- (Pst family) affinity phosphate transporters, as well as monocarboxylate ones (i.e., acetate permease – ActP – transporters) [8]. Pit- and ActP-mediated uptakes are Δ pH-dependent processes [15,33–36], while the Pst system relies on ATP hydrolysis [37]. Since most actinomycetes, including *Micromonospora* species, are known as phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) [10,38,39], TeO₃²⁻ uptake by *Micromonospora* cells is possibly due to low-affinity phosphate transporters.

Once TeO₃²⁻ enters bacterial cells, it can be bioprocessed through Painter-type reactions, where (i) RSH-containing molecules first interact with the oxyanion generating a relatively stable intermediate (RS-Te-RS), (ii) enzymes (e.g., glutathione or thioredoxin reductases) convert it into the highly unstable RS-Te-, and (iii) the latter spontaneously dismutates, producing Te⁰ [6]. The rapid loss of intracellular RSH after 1-h of oxyanion exposure (Figure 1b) suggested the occurrence of a Painter-type reaction in Micromonospora exponentially grown cells incubated with TeO₃²⁻. This observation agrees with several reports evaluating RSH depletion in the first 2.5-h of bacterial exposure to oxyanions [40-42], corroborating the role of RSHs as buffering molecules against TeO3²⁻. Particularly, in the case of actinomycetes such as *Micromonospora*, mycothiols (MSHs)—highly abundant in this bacterial order and featuring greater redox stability than glutathione (GSH) - could be responsible for this first oxyanion bioprocess step [43]. During Painter-type reactions, the formation of the RS-Te-SR intermediate determines the production of superoxide ions (O_2) , which may add to those derived from the decoupling of the electron transport chain potentially mediated by TeO32- [8]. Finally, TeO32- can inhibit heme biosynthesis, inducing an intracellular accumulation of protoporphyrin IX, which can generate ROS by electron or energy transfer [44]. Here, the exposure of Micromonospora exponentially grown cells to TeO_{3²⁻} determined a higher production of ROS than unchallenged cells (Figure 1c), further confirming previous observations reported on Gram-negative or -positive microorganisms facing this oxyanion [45–49].

Similar to most xenobiotics, the first target of TeO₃²⁻ is the microbial cell membrane, which undergoes perturbations that determine the impairment of several physiological functions, such as those performed by energy-transducing systems located at the membrane level [50]. Bacterial strains must respond to these environmental changes by

adapting and maintaining a certain degree of membrane integrity to survive. Microorganisms can accomplish this task by altering the fatty acid profile that features membrane phospholipids, eliciting strategies that include: (i) changes in the saturation degree of the fatty acid acyl chain, (ii) alteration of fatty acid branching at either the iso or anteiso position of the acyl chain, (iii) cis-trans isomerization of carbon double bonds, (iv) formation of cyclopropane structure in the acyl chain, and (v) production of polyunsaturated fatty acids in response to adverse environmental factors (i.e., temperature, pressure, salinity, and pH) and the presence of organic xenobiotics such as solvents, aromatic compounds, pesticides, and antibiotics [51]. Micromonospora exponentially grown cells featured a shift from odd-chain saturated fatty acids toward even ones (Table 1) as an adaptation mechanism and similarly to Sphingomonas K6 [52] and Shewanella gelidimarina [53,54] strains responding to the increase in the growth temperature. This fatty acid shift depends on the fatty acid synthetase specificity for primer molecules utilized to synthesize even fatty acids (acetyl-CoA) and odd ones (propionyl-CoA) in response to the growth temperature [53,55]. Such a cell response might derive from $TeO_{3^{2-}}$ and other heavy metal stress [56]. Additionally, Micromonospora cells highlighted a slight increase in the percentage of saturated fatty acids (i.e., C180 and iso-C160), decreasing the monounsaturated C171 (Table 1). This cell response correlates to the higher susceptibility of unsaturated fatty acids to free radicals [57] than saturated ones. Therefore, the latter can limit oxidative damage events determined by TeO₃²⁻, whose toxicity enhances in the case of bacterial strains growing under aerobic conditions [58]. Moreover, the increase in the saturation degree of fatty acids is a common bacterial cell response to modulate membrane fluidity. This aspect relies on the higher phase transition temperature (TM) of saturated- and *iso*-branched fatty acids than unsaturated- and anteiso-branched ones [59]. Gram-positive strains feature a high proportion of *iso-* and *anteiso-*branched fatty acids, modulating their content in the cell membrane while responding to increased growth temperature or the presence of organic solvents, which tend to fluidize biological membranes [60-62]. Thus, the increased saturated- and iso-branched fatty acids in Micromonospora might rigidify the cell membrane in response to a high concentration (5 mM) of TeO32-. The biological significance behind such microbial behavior likely concerns a structural adaptation of the bacterial membrane that opposes an excessive oxyanion entry into the cell cytosol, avoiding an oxidative burst determined by the pro-oxidant TeO₃²⁻. A similar adaptation mechanism also occurs in the case of Pseudomonas putida, two strains of Enterobacter intermedius, and Klebsiella pneu*moniae* facing different cations (i.e., cadmium, nickel, copper, and zinc) [56].

3.2. Toxicity, Adaptation, and Recovery of Micromonospora Cells Growing in the Presence of Tellurite

A deeper understanding of the adaptation, resistance, and recovery of the *Micromonospora* strain facing TeO₃²⁻ was obtained by evaluating the cell response in a time-course fashion.

3.2.1. TeO_{3²⁻} Targets *Micromonospora* Cell Membrane

The prolonged lag phase observed in *Micromonospora* growth profiles (Figure 3b,c) is consistent with tellurite targeting the bacterial cell membrane (Figure 4b,c), compromising and impairing cell-reproductive growth and metabolic activity [58]. *Micromonospora* cells overcame this initial stress by undergoing morphological changes to either cope with or attenuate the external presence of tellurite. The bacterial hyphae appeared swollen and tightly packed (Figure 5), leading to the formation of floccules. Such evidence might result from changes occurring at the cell membrane and cell wall level, as reported for manganese-oxidizing or phototrophic bacteria facing chromate (CrO4^{2–}), selenate (SeO4^{2–}), or arsenate (AsO4⁻²) [63–66], and *Rhodococcus erythropolis* cells adapted to extreme conditions (i.e., high concentrations of either sodium chloride or copper sulfate) [67]. These morphology changes correlate with a protective mechanism devoted to finely regulating the attachment of oxyanions to the bacterial surface [68]. Moreover, *Micromonospora* cells secreted exudates resembling extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) in response to oxyanions, whose production increased as a function of TeO₃²⁻ supplied (Figure 5). This evidence is in line with the drastic increase (p < 0.001) of integrals referring to -C-(OH) stretching at ca. 1310 cm⁻¹ (Figure S9d) [69], corroborating EPS secretion noticed by SEM (Figure 5). Indeed, EPS represents the first-cell response to external stresses since it can bind toxic metal(loid) ions acting as a biosorbent [70–72], preventing their internalization and causing their external chelation. These events, in turn, allow bacteria to lower metal(loid) local concentration and, hence, their toxicity [69]. Furthermore, shifts of several polysaccharide vibrational modes (Tables S5–S7)-mainly deriving from peptidoglycan, teichoic, lipoteichoic, and teichuronic acids of the cell wall [27] – of bacterial cells incubated with TeO₃²⁻ might indicate (i) the interaction of these macromolecules with the oxyanion, (ii) the modification of the produced EPS to increase its metal(loid)-binding ability, or (iii) the alteration of the peptidoglycan structure [22,69,73,74] to counteract the oxyanion stress. Similar observations applied to the variation of normalized integrals ascribed to IR polysaccharide contributions (Figure S9a-c). Specifically, the decrease in integrals referring to -CO stretching vibration (1040–1060 cm⁻¹) observed for 100 μ M TeO_{3^{2-24h}}, 250 μ M TeO_{3^{2-72h}}, and 250 µM TeO3^{2-120h} (Figure S9b,c) might relate to the metalloid binding with polysaccharides [75]. The importance of polysaccharide variations also arose from PCA analysis, in which the vector describing these contributions distinguished unchallenged cells from those challenged (Figure 7). Interestingly, Goff and coworkers (2021) recently reported that sulfhydryl groups of the proteinaceous material within EPS of Bacillus subtilis play a crucial role in binding TeO_{3²⁻}, mediating its sorption on bacterial surfaces [76]. At this stage, it cannot be ruled out that sulfhydryl groups in the EPS of Micromonospora EPS could bind TeO₃²⁻ to limit its translocation into the cell cytoplasm.

TeO32- stress also caused a decrease in lipid production by Micromonospora growing cells, as highlighted by the drop of normalized integrals referring to asymmetric -CH₃ stretching vibration (Figure S2a). This outline agrees with reports regarding the toxicity of several metals on either Gram-positive or Gram-negative bacterial strains [22,75,77]. Although the reason behind this cell response is still unclear, it may relate to the damage that TeO_{3²⁻} can trigger to the pyruvate dehydrogenase multienzyme complex responsible for the irreversible decarboxylation of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA, as described in the case of Aeromonas caviae ST [78]. This damage can affect both ATP synthesis [78] and lipid production, as acetyl-CoA is one of the precursors for fatty acid synthesis. For instance, the exposure of *Lipomyces starkeyi* to Cd²⁺ inhibited the acetyl-CoA formation determining a reduction in lipid generation and accumulation [79]. Moreover, the potentially lower amount of acetyl-CoA available to Micromonospora cells grown in the presence of this oxyanion will be reasonably devoted to re-generate energy at the expense of lipid biosynthesis. Additionally, the variations of both peak position and area of lipid -CHx stretching vibrations in *Micromonospora* cells under TeO₃²⁻ stress (Table S1; Figure S2) suggested the involvement of these macromolecules in the cell response to the oxyanion toxicity. TeO₃²⁻ presence caused modifications in the cytosolic membrane's permeability to protons and fluidity [73,77,80], as noticed for *Micromonospora* exponentially grown cells exposed to a very high TeO_{3²⁻} concentration (Table 2). A first fluidification effect was observed at the early stage of Micromonospora growth (24-h) under the TeO₃²⁻ challenge (Figures 2, S3 and S6d). This modification may derive from the oxidative stress exerted by TeO₃²⁻ on bacterial cells, which can lead to the peroxidation of unsaturated fatty acids, decreasing membrane fluidity [69]. Similarly, cells grown for 72-h in the presence of $250 \,\mu\text{M}$ TeO_{3²⁻} featured a more fluid membrane than those incubated with 100 μ M TeO₃²⁻ (Figure S3), likely due to the higher toxicity exerted by the former, as confirmed by the observed prolonged lagphase of growth (Figure 1c). At the late stages of *Micromonospora* growth in the presence of the oxyanion (72–120-h or 120-h for 100 μ M or 250 μ M TeO₃²⁻, respectively), the lipid order in the membrane increased by decreasing the flexibility of the lipid acyl chain [73], as indicated by the shift towards bigger wavenumbers of the asymmetric -CH₂ stretching vibration detected in ATR-FTIR spectra (Table S1). The same samples showed also high vas (CH₂) normalized integrals (Figure S2b) that can relate to the introduction of saturation in fatty acids, or the elongation of their lipid acyl chains [80]. The former hypothesis was further corroborated by the increased Avas(CH₃)/Avas(CH₂), Avas(CH₂)/Avs(CH₂), and Aamide II/Avas(CH₃) ratios, which are typical indicators of the fatty acid saturation degree [20–22,69,75], calculated for cells grown for 72 or 120-h in the presence of TeO₃^{2–} rather than unchallenged cells (Figures S3 and S6d). These results are consistent with reports by Kepenek and coworkers for *Gordonia*, *Brevundimonas*, and *Microbacterium oxydans* exposed to metal(loids) [69]. Moreover, distinctive ATR-FTIR contributions of membrane modification were preponderant in the distribution of 100 µM TeO₃^{2–}120h and 250 µM TeO₃^{2–}120h within the 3D space identified by the three main PCs (Figure 5). Based on these observations, *Micromonospora* cells rigidified their cytoplasmic membrane during the late stages of growth to delay TeO₃^{2–} entry into the cytoplasm, preventing ROS production, the generation of peroxidative products, and, in turn, membrane fluidification.

Another structural feature that emerged from SEM observations of Micromonospora cells facing oxyanion stress was the rising of membrane vesicle-like structures (MVs) (Figure 5f,j), whose study was mostly focused on Gram-negative bacteria, as these vesicles originate from the outer cell membrane, while Gram-positive ones feature a thick cell wall [81]. However, the study of such structures is lately gaining momentum also in the case of Gram-positive bacteria belonging to the Actinobacteria and Firmicutes phyla, where these MVs appeared to be heterogeneous in size [82], similarly to what was observed in this study. Interestingly, Streptomyces coelicolor M110 produced exudates-referred to as blue droplets featuring the antibiotic actinorhodin—that contained MVs carrying different proteins, which are associated with diverse cell functions, including energy metabolism, redox balance, and defense against oxidant agents. Indeed, such MVs were reported to contain catalase, TerB, and TerD proteins, which are involved in bacterial resistance toward tellurite [83]. Thus, it is reasonable to put forward the idea that a closely related strain, yet less investigated, such as Micromonospora might exploit vesiculation phenomena to face tellurite toxicity, although this aspect needs more dedicated research to obtain conclusive data.

3.2.2. Involvement of Thiol-Containing Molecules

Similar to Micromonospora exponentially grown cells, TeO₃²⁻ bioprocessing by those growing involved RSH-containing molecules, as indicated by the low amount of RSHs per given time (Figure 3d). However, a direct comparison between the profiles describing RSH depletion in *Micromonospora* growing cells with the available literature is challenging, as most studies focused on the earliest stages (up to 2.5-h) of either bacterial growth or exposure to this oxyanion. In the case of Micromonospora growing cells, RSH depletion may rely on (i) RSH groups within EPS binding with TeO_{3²⁻} [76], (ii) Painter-type reactions, (iii) ROS detoxification [84], and (iv) the modification of RSH-rich proteins caused by oxidative stress [27]. Hypotheses (i-iii) were sustained by the detection of vibrational modes attributable to RSH-, thiolate (RS-)-, and disulfide (RSSR)-containing molecules (Figure 6 b,c; Table S1). Indeed, the binding of TeO_{3²⁻} with RSH groups of EPS proteins can lead to the formation of RS⁻ [76], Painter-type reaction involves all three RSH-deriving moieties, and RSSR groups can likewise derive from the transformation of ROS by low molecular weight RSHs [85]. The alteration of RSH-rich proteins consequently to TeO₃²⁻ oxidative stress was suggested by the presence of IR contributions imputed to sulfinate (RSO₂⁻), sulfinic (RSO₂H), sulfonate (RSO₃⁻), sulfonic (RSO₃H), disulfide monoxide (RSOSR), and disulfide dioxide (RSO2SR) moieties (Table S1). Indeed, RSH-rich proteins interacting with ROS such as hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) can undergo the formation of RSSR bridges, S-thiolation (i.e., attachment of low molecular thiols), or cysteine overoxidation into RSO₂H or RSO₃H, even determining the loss of protein functions [27]. Protein modifications introducing RSOSR or ROS₂SR moieties can instead arise from lipid peroxides, which, in turn, are generated from the peroxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids by OH [27].

3.2.3. Peroxidation Products Deriving from Oxidative Stress

Variations in IR absorbance in the 1430–1000 cm⁻¹ region (Figures S2, S7 and S8; Tables S1, S6 and S7) for Micromonospora cells incubated with TeO₃²⁻ may relate to a decreased concentration of physiological macromolecules in favor of the peroxide counterparts, modifications in the structure and fluidity of the membranes, and the formation of α , β unsaturated aldehydes during the breakdown of hydroperoxides or lipid peroxides [23,24]. Specifically, the high normalized -COO stretching integral of 100 μ M TeO_{3²-24h} can be traced back to the partial bioprocessing of TeO32- at the early stage of growth, in line with the fluidification effect observed on the cell membrane (Figure S3). The similar amount of peroxidation products between TeO_{3²⁻-challenged and -unchallenged cells at} 72-h of growth (Figure S8a) may relate to the increased production of EPS and membrane rigidification, which likely caused a slowdown in the oxyanion uptake. Although at 120h of growth. cells analogously produced EPS and rigidified their membrane (Figures S3 and S6d), the continuous bioprocessing of TeO₃²⁻ for 48-h more might have triggered the generation of a large amount of ROS, which can exasperate the generation of cellular peroxides (Figure S8a). This phenomenon seemed more relevant for 250 μ M TeO_{3^{2-120h}}, as suggested by its importance in discriminating the localization of this sample in the 3D space of PCA (Figure 7). In line with these observations, the production of peroxidation products, either as peroxides lipids or oxidized (carbonylated) proteins, was previously reported for Escherichia coli strains facing TeO_{3²⁻} [46,86–88].

3.2.4. Protein Aggregation and Phosphorylation

In addition to protein oxidation, ROS stress can also cause variations in the secondary structure of proteins. Indeed, Kiwi and coworkers related peroxidation phenomena to the appearance of three Amide I peaks attributable to α -helix (ca. 1655 cm⁻¹), β -antiparallel (ca. 1687 cm⁻¹), and β -sheet (ca. 1636 cm⁻¹) structures, and two Amide II vibrations centered at 1545 and 1517 cm⁻¹ [23]. These results agree with those observed for Micromonospora cells at different growth stages in the presence of TeO_{3²⁻} (Figures S5 and S6). Particularly, hydrophobic β -sheet structures are involved in protein aggregation, which is related to cell growth rates as well as external stressors [89]. Indeed, bacterial cells entering the stationary phase feature protein aggregates due to the low ATP availability, which regulates chaperones' functionality and protein solubility in the intracellular environment [89]. This observation is in line with the build-up of β -sheet secondary structures observed for *Micromonospora* unchallenged cells after 72-h of growth (transition phase) (Figures 3a and S5a). Moreover, upon cell division, protein aggregates are distributed to the progeny at each cell cycle [89]. This phenomenon may also occur in filamentous bacteria, as an elevated amount of β -sheet structures was detected for *Micromonospora* unchallenged cells in their RG2 phase (Figures 3a and S5a). ROS and oxyanions (i.e., arsenite) can amplify or even cause protein aggregation through covalent modification of amino acid side chains, damage and inhibition of chaperones, and ATP depletion [89,90]. Hence, the increase in β -sheet-containing proteins at the early stage (24-h) of *Micromonospora* growth in the presence of TeO₃²⁻ (Figure S5b,c) may derive from the oxyanion per se and its pro-oxidant effect. For the sake of argument, modifications in the protein secondary structure (i.e., β sheet motifs) were also observed in Gram-positive microorganisms exposed to metal cations [75,91]. However, protein aggregation can regulate gene expression and sequester proteins involved in cellular processes vulnerable to external stress [89]. The conditional aggregation of such proteins allows bacteria to reallocate cellular resources to promote cell growth, protect cell integrity and function, and cope with external stresses threatening the cell proteome [89]. This aspect might partially explain the recovery of Micromonospora TeO₃²⁻-challenged cells from 72-h of growth onwards (Figure 3b,c).

Protein phosphorylation can also assist the recovery process of bacterial cells facing external stresses. In actinomycetes, phosphorylated proteins can regulate gene expression and signaling, protein biosynthesis, central metabolism, membrane transport, and cell division [92]. For instance, in S. coelicolor A3(2), the protein folding relies on the phosphorylation of the chaperonin GroES enabling its interaction with GroEL [92], whereas S. reticuli features a redox sensing system in which phosphorylation reactions allow bacterial cells to respond and adapt to pro-oxidant agents [93]. Moreover, protein phosphorylation plays a role in the oxidative stress handling of S. toyocaensis NRRL 15009, as the disruption of the gene coding for a membrane-bound kinase causes the loss of specific responses to ROS-generating compounds [94]. These examples highlight how oxidative stress response management is crucial in soil-dwelling actinomycetes such as PSB Micromonospora spp. and can justify protein phosphorylation levels observed for Micromonospora cells growing in the presence of TeO_{3²⁻} (Figure S8b). The high degree of phosphorylation detected in up to 120-h of growth in cells challenged with 250 µM TeO₃²⁻ may indicate that this posttranslational modification can trigger mechanisms devoted to cell recovery (Figure 3c) from the oxyanion stress. In line with this hypothesis, 100 μ M TeO_{3²⁻} caused increased protein phosphorylation only when cells suffered the oxyanion toxicity the most (lag phase; Figure 3b and S8b), suggesting a concentration-dependent cell response to TeO3²⁻. The relevance of protein aggregation and phosphorylation as responses elicited by Micromonospora cells experiencing TeO32- toxicity was further confirmed by the clustering of 100 μ M TeO₃²⁻_{24h} and 250 μ M TeO₃²⁻_{72h} samples in the region of the 3D space described by vectors referring to β-strand and random coil secondary structures and protein phosphorylation (Figure 7). In addition to protein phosphorylation, another mechanism of oxidative stress recovery for Micromonospora cells facing TeO32- was the induction of SOD enzymes, in line with previous reports [41,45,46]. Similar to protein phosphorylation, SOD induction depended on the oxyanion concentration supplied (Figure 3e). This aspect is likely to be ascribed to the oxidation products (i.e., superoxide anions) – which need to be dismantled to achieve a full cell recovery—derived from the transformation of TeO₃²⁻mediated by RSHs. Despite the above-mentioned strategies elicited by the Micromonospora strain to handle this oxyanion, bacterial cells only removed ca. 130 μ M TeO₃²⁻ (Figure 3b,c). This outline suggests the existence of a $TeO_{3^{2-}}$ threshold concentration that this strain can handle, which likely links to the saturation of biochemical assets required to remove and process oxyanions [95] and attenuate the derived oxidative damage.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Bacterial Strain, Growth Medium, and Culture Conditions

The Micromonospora strain was pre-cultivated in 250 mL Erlenmeyer Baffled Flask containing 50 mL of the R5 medium (composed (g L⁻¹)of potassium sulfate (K₂SO₄; 0.25), magnesium chloride hexahydrate (MgCl2·6H2O; 10.17), glucose (10), casaminoacids (0.1), yeast extract (5), and 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid sodium salt (MOPS; 21)) amended with (2 mL per liter of medium) a solution of trace elements (composed (mg L^{-1}) of zinc chloride (ZnCl2; 40), iron (III) chloride hexahydrate (FeCl3·6H2O; 200), copper (II) chloride dihydrate (CuCl₂·2H₂O; 10), manganese (II) chloride tetrahydrate (MnCl₂·4H₂O; 10), sodium tetraborate decahydrate (Na2B4O7·10H2O; 10), and ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate ((NH4)6M07O24·4H2O; 10)) for 8 days at 30°C with shaking (180 rpm) to synchronize bacterial cells through nutrient starvation. Afterward, bacterial cells were inoculated (2% v/v) in a fresh R5 medium (50 mL) and grown for 168-h (8 days) in the presence of different potassium tellurite (K₂TeO₃) concentrations (i.e., 100, 250, and 500 µM). The bacterial growth profile was evaluated by quantifying the total protein content isolated from bacterial culture aliquots (1 mL) collected every 24-h. Data are expressed as protein content (mg mL⁻¹) with standard deviations (SD; n = 5). Concomitantly, every 24-h-up to 168-h – aliquots (500 μ L) of bacterial cultures were sampled and centrifuged 10,000× g for 15 min to recover the cell-free spent medium and the corresponding biomass pellets to perform tellurite consumption and thiol oxidation assays, respectively.

Exponentially grown *Micromonospora* cells were obtained by harvesting biomasses at their RG2 phase reached in the R5 medium. Bacterial biomass was then washed twice with

50 mL of phosphate buffer saline (PBS; composed (g L⁻¹) of sodium chloride (NaCl; 8), potassium chloride (KCl; 0.2), disodium hydrogen phosphate (Na₂HPO₄; 1.44), and potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH₂PO₄; 0.24)) pH 7.4 through centrifugation steps performed at 6000× *g* for 15 min to remove any residual R5 medium. After the washing steps, cells were resuspended in PBS and amended with 100 μ M K₂TeO₃. Exponentially grown biomass and the corresponding cell-free spent medium was collected, as described above, every hour—up to 6-h—to perform TeO₃²⁻ uptake and thiol oxidation assays.

All the reagents were purchased from Merck Life Science S.r.l. (Milan, Italy).

4.2. Tellurite Consumption and Uptake Assays

The capability of the *Micromonospora* strain to remove $\text{TeO}_{3^{2^{-}}}$ over the considered timeframe was evaluated as published elsewhere [96]. Briefly, 10–100 µL aliquot of cell-free spent medium was mixed with 600 µL of 0.5 M Tris-HCl buffer pH 7.0, 200 µL of diethyldithiocarbamate, and R5 medium up to 1 mL volume. The absorbance of the above-described mixture was read at 340 nm through an Eppendorf D30 BioPhotometer[®]. The residual TeO_{3²⁻} concentration (µM) in the spent medium was determined by fitting the absorbance value to a calibration curve, which derives from the spectrophotometric analysis of solutions containing a known concentration (10, 50, 100, 250, and 500 µM) of TeO_{3²⁻} ($\mathbb{R}^2 = 0.9846$). Data are reported as average values of biological replicates (n = 5) with SD.

As for TeO₃²⁻ uptake experiments, the cell-free spent medium collected from exponentially grown *Micromonospora* cells was processed as above-described and assayed to estimate the residual TeO₃²⁻ content either in the absence or presence of 50 μ M of the potent protonophore and electron-transfer uncoupler CCCP. Data are expressed as average values (*n* = 3) of TeO₃²⁻ nmols taken up by *Micromonospora* cells normalized for the total protein content (grams) estimated for each time-point considered with SD.

All the reagents were purchased from Merck Life Science S.r.l. (Milan, Italy).

4.3. Thiol Oxidation Assay

The oxidation of the RSHs because of the challenge exerted by TeO₃²⁻ was evaluated for the *Micromonospora* strain either as exponentially grown or growing cells as reported elsewhere [40]. Briefly, cells were resuspended in 1 mL of a solution containing 50 mM of Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 5 mM of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 0.1% *v*/*v* of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), and 0.1 mM of 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. After this step, all samples were centrifuged at 15,000× *g* for 10 min, the absorbance of supernatants being read at 412 nm (SPECTROstar® Nano, BMG Labtech, Milan, Italy) using 1-cm path-length glass cuvettes. Considering the DTNB molar extinction coefficient (13,600 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) at this wavelength (412 nm), this value was used to calculate RSH concentrations isolated from cell samples. Similarly, samples were processed at each time point to estimate the protein content to normalize RSH concentration values (RSH µmols g protein⁻¹). The amount of RSH calculated at time 0 was then subtracted from those evaluated over the timeframe of the assay, therefore, reporting the data as the average value (*n* = 3) of the loss of reduced RSHs from the original pool with SD.

All the reagents were purchased from Merck Life Science S.r.l. (Milan, Italy).

4.4. Cell Viability Assay

Micromonospora cells growing for 24-h either in the absence or presence of 100 or 250 µM TeO₃²⁻ were collected and washed three times with PBS and then labeled with the Live/Dead® BacLight[™] (Molecular Probes, Merck Life Science S.r.l. Milan, Italy) stain for 30 min at room temperature, as published elsewhere [97]. This kit provides two fluorescent dyes, namely: SYTO 9 can emit green fluorescence and labels all bacterial cells in a population, while propidium iodide fluoresces in the red region of the visible spectrum, entering only bacterial cells with damaged membranes. Thus, each labeled bacterial sample was imaged through a Leica DM2500 fluorescence microscope with a 20× objective. Micrographs were captured using red and green fluorescence filters and merged by ImageJ software 1.53a (Bethesda, Md, USA).

4.5. Assessment of the Membrane Potential

Exponentially grown Micromonospora cells were challenged for 6-h at 30 °C with shaking (180 rpm) with 100 μ M TeO₃²⁻. CCCP (50 μ M) was used as a positive control, while unchallenged bacterial cells were used as a reference. After the challenge, bacterial cells were washed three times and resuspended with a permeabilization buffer (PB; composed of 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, and 10 mM glucose) amended with the membrane potential cationic dye JC-1 (10 µg mL⁻¹; Invitrogen[™]), and labeled for 30 min at 30 °C with shaking (180 rpm). Bacterial cells loaded with the JC-1 dye were then washed three times with PB to remove the dye in excess, then aliquoted (150 μ L) into a multitier 96-well plate. The JC-1 fluorescence emission shift from the red (590 nm) to the green (530 nm) region of the visible spectrum was collected using a plate reader (Synergy HT Biotek) upon its excitation at 488 nm. Concomitantly, cell samples (150 µL) were utilized to isolate the total protein content to normalize the red-to-green (590 nm/530 nm) fluorescence ratio. Additionally, the basal fluorescence emission of JC-1 in PB only was subtracted from the obtained fluorescence ratios. The data are reported as average values (n = 3) of JC-1590 nm/530 nm (Intensity Counts g protein⁻¹) with SD. Each bacterial sample was also imaged through fluorescence microscopy, and the images were processed as described above (Section 4.4).

4.6. Fatty Acid Methyl Esters Analysis

The whole-cell fatty acids were isolated from *Micromonospora* exponentially grown cells after their exposure to a high TeO₃²⁻ concentration (5 mM) for 6-h, as reported elsewhere [98]. Bacterial cells were centrifuged for 15 min at $6000 \times g$, washed three times to remove any trace of the culture broth, homogenized to avoid cell floccules, and resuspended in 15 mL of 0.2 M of potassium hydroxide (KOH) in methanol and 0.1 mg mL-1 of the internal standard nonadecanoic acid (C19:0). Bacterial cells were incubated at 37 °C for 1-h and vortexed every 10 min, allowing for the release and methylation of fatty acids. Afterward, the samples were amended with 3 mL of acetic acid (1 M) and 10 mL of hexane to extract fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs). The hexane phase was dried up by a rotavapor, then samples were resuspended in 200 µL of hexane and subsequently analyzed using a Thermo Scientific FOCUS[™] gas chromatography equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) and a fused-silica capillary column Mega-10 having the following characteristics: 50 m × 0.32 mm I.D.; film thickness 0.25 μm. The utilized thermal program featured an initial isotherm at 115 °C for 5 min followed by a temperature increase up to 230 °C at a rate of 1.5 °C per minute, and a final isotherm was carried out for 2 min at 230 °C. FAME peaks were identified relying on the retention times of known fatty acid standards (Supelco bacterial acid methyl esters and Supelco 37 Component FAME). The data were expressed as relative percentage abundance of each fatty acid with SD (n = 3) with respect to total fatty acids.

4.7. ROS Determination

The exponentially grown cells were incubated with 100 μ M TeO₃²⁻ as described in Section 4.5. Afterward, the bacterial cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated—for 1-h at 30 °C (180 rpm)—with 50 μ M of 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCF). Cells were then washed three times with PBS and resuspended in fresh PBS buffer, being samples (150 μ L) aliquoted in a multitier 96-well plate. At the same time, aliquots (150 μ L) of bacterial cells were processed to estimate the total protein content to normalize DCF fluorescence emission, which was collected at 525 nm upon its excitation at 488 nm (Synergy HT Biotek). Furthermore, the basal fluorescence emission of DCF in PBS only was subtracted from the obtained fluorescent signals deriving from bacterial cells. Unchallenged cells were used to compare the physiological level of ROS produced during the assay's considered timeframe (0–6-h). The data are reported as average values (n = 3) of DCF fluorescence emission (Intensity Counts g protein⁻¹) with SD.

All the reagents were purchased from Merck Life Science S.r.l. (Milan, Italy).

4.8. SOD Activity Evaluation

Micromonospora cells growing for 24, 72, and 120-h in the absence/presence of TeO₃²⁻ (i.e., 100 and 250 μ M) were sampled and processed to isolate the soluble protein fraction. Briefly, bacterial cell pellets were washed with and resuspended in an extraction buffer (EB) containing a cocktail of protease and phosphatase inhibitors such as phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF; 0.5 mM), leupeptin (5 µg mL⁻¹), benzamidine (4 µg mL⁻¹), pepstatin (7 µg mL⁻¹), Tris-HCl (10 mM) pH 7.4, and EDTA (5 mM). Afterward, cells were lysed through 5 steps (30 s each interspersed by 30 s of pause on ice) of sonication performed at 15 W (Vibra-Cell[™] Sonics and Materials Inc. Danbury, CT, USA). Proteins were separated from cell debris through a centrifugation step performed at $8000 \times g$ for 15 min at 4 °C and precipitated by adding ice-cold acetone (2 volumes) for 1-h at -80 °C. Samples were then centrifuged at $15,000 \times g$ for 20 min at 4 °C, the supernatant removed, while protein pellets were dried by speed vacuum (Eppendorf® 5301 concentrator; Milan, Italy). Finally, protein samples were resuspended in EB and quantified by the Bradford assay. The SOD activity test was performed using 5 µg of the soluble protein fraction and according to the manufacturer protocol of the superoxide dismutase activity kit (Sigma-Aldrich[®], Milan, Italy). The data are reported as the average value (n = 3) of the SOD activity percentage (%) with SD.

All the reagents were purchased from Merck Life Science S.r.l. (Milan, Italy).

4.9. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) Imaging

The *Micromonospora* strain was cultivated in the R5 medium either in the absence or presence of two different TeO₃²⁻ concentrations (i.e., 100 and 250 µM) for 24, 72, and 120-h of growth. Afterward, cells were pelleted at 8000× g for 10 min, washed twice with sterile saline (0.9% w/v) solution, and resuspended in a 2.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde solution. The samples were fixed overnight (ca. 18-h) at 4 °C. The day after, cells were pelleted as described above and dehydrated through three washing steps (10 min each) with increasing concentrations (30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90% v/v, and absolute) of ice-cold ethanol. Finally, cells were deposited onto carbon-coated copper grids (300 mesh), sputter coated with gold (Sputtering Scancoat Six, Edwards) for 60 s under an argon atmosphere, and observed using a FEG-SEM microscope (QUANTA 200F, FEI) with an accelerating voltage of 10 kV, as previously described [99].

4.10. ATR-FTIR Spectroscopy

ATR-FTIR spectroscopy was performed on bacterial cells grown for 24, 72, and 120h either in the absence (Reference) or in the presence of 100 and 250 μ M TeO₃²⁻ by using a μ FTIR Lumos (Bruker, UK) equipped with a Platinum ATR and IR microscope featuring 0.1 μ m as lateral resolution. The spectra were collected in triplicate (*n* = 3) in the 600–4000 cm⁻¹ region (resolution of 2 cm⁻¹), and 120 scans were registered per sampling point. The obtained spectra were analyzed through OPUS7.5 (Bruker Instruments) and OriginPro 2016 software [27]. IR bands were assigned according to [100–110]. The peak integrals (area) of interest obtained through spectral deconvolutions were duly normalized to highlight differences deriving more precisely from the stress exerted on bacterial cells by TeO₃²⁻. Indeed, peak integrals obtained for -CHx stretching vibrations or amide I bands were normalized against the integrals calculated in the 2960–2850 cm⁻¹ or 1690–1600 cm⁻¹ region of the spectra, respectively. Peak integrals referring to (i) the asymmetric -COO-stretching vibration of peroxidation products (1430–1360 cm⁻¹), (ii) the -C-O-P stretching of phosphorylated proteins (ca. 1235 cm⁻¹), and typical polysaccharides contributions (i.e., 1150, 1105, 1079, and 1040 cm⁻¹) were normalized against the IR integrals of the 1750–950 cm⁻¹ region.

4.11. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis of the obtained results was carried out through the Student's t-test (OriginPro software package) to compare means between the samples' datasets. The statistical significance of the observed differences between datasets was considered when p < 0.05.

Multivariate statistical analysis was performed on normalized IR integrals to evaluate macromolecules involved in TeO₃²⁻ bioprocessing and their modifications determined by the oxyanion toxicity. PCA was chosen as a multivariate statistical analysis and was performed using the dedicated package of OriginPro 2016 software as described elsewhere [27]. PCA was carried out by constructing a correlation matrix in which the observations were samples in triplicate (n = 27) analyzed through ATR-FTIR spectroscopy and the variables were normalized integrals referring to (i) polysaccharides' presence (1200– 950 cm⁻¹), (ii) peroxidation products (1430–1360 cm⁻¹), (iii) α -helix structures (1660–1650 cm⁻¹), (iv) β -strand (1690–1660 and 1640–1610 cm⁻¹) and random coil (1650–1640 cm⁻¹) structures, (v) additional amide II bands (1560–1550, 1535–1520 cm⁻¹), and integral ratios obtained for (vi) protein phosphorylation (A_v (c-o-P)/A_{amide}) and (vii) membrane modification (A_{vas} (CH2)/A_{vas}(CH3), A_{vas} (CH2)/A_{vs}(CH2), and A_{amide} II/A_{vas}(CH3).

5. Conclusions

The present study combines biological, physical-chemical, and statistical approaches to deeply characterize a non-pathogenic and soil-dwelling *Micromonospora* strain facing TeO₃²⁻ toxicity. Exploiting different bacterial cell physiologies allowed us to delineate the mechanism(s) elicited by the *Micromonospora* strain to tolerate, adapt, and respond to the presence of this oxyanion.

TeO₃²⁻ immediate toxicity was evaluated on *Micromonospora* exponentially grown cells exposed to two oxyanion concentrations highlighting how these chemical species (i) primarily target the bacterial cell membrane, (ii) enter the intracellular milieu likely through a Δ pH-dependent transporter, and (iii) are processed through RSH-containing molecules, giving rise to oxidative damage. Nevertheless, when challenged with a very high TeO₃²⁻ concentration (5 mM), exponentially grown cells coped with the oxyanion by inducing membrane modifications most likely involved in controlling TeO₃²⁻ homeostasis. Similar results were obtained for *Micromonospora* cells growing in the presence of this oxyanion, yet they recovered from this stress over time. The cell recovery seems to involve EPS production, cell membrane rigidification, protein phosphorylation, SOD induction, and, to some extent, protein aggregation. The oxyanion toxic effects and the adaptation mechanisms behind the cell recovery depended on the TeO₃²⁻ concentration supplied. Indeed, *Micromonospora* cells facing 250 μ M TeO₃²⁻ featured emphasized cell responses, as highlighted by a prolonged lag phase and the lack of the RG2 growth phase typical of unchallenged cells and those growing in the presence of 100 μ M TeO₃²⁻.

This study expands the knowledge about the metal(loid)-microbe interactions occurring in a poorly investigated bacterial genus revealing that TeO₃²⁻ acts directly or

25 of 30

indirectly on different cell targets. This outcome indicates that the mechanism(s) of both oxyanion toxicity and resistance of *Micromonospora* cells is highly complex and involves several players. Moreover, the latter must be considered and investigated to better unveil the potential biotechnological application of *Micromonospora* spp. in metal(loid) recovery.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms232012631/s1.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.P.; methodology, E.P., S.C., F.C.P., V.A.L., and A.P.; validation, E.P., and A.P.; formal analysis, E.P., R.J.T., D.Z., and A.P.; resources, R.A., S.C., F.C.P., D.F.C.M., and V.A.L.; data curation, E.P., S.C., F.C.P., V.A.L., and A.P.; writing—original draft preparation, E.P., and A.P.; writing—review and editing, R.A., S.C., F.C.P., D.F.C.M., V.A.L., R.J.T., and D.Z.; supervision, A.P.; funding acquisition, A.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Italian Ministry of Education, University, and Research (MIUR) with the PON project on Research and Innovation 2012–2020 (Attraction and International Mobility-AIM1808223).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: We acknowledge the Italian Ministry of University and Research (MUR) for the PON project on Research and Innovation 2014–2020 (Azione IV.4 – Contratti di ricerca su tematiche dell'Innovazione – B75F21002190001), as well as Fondo Finalizzato alla Ricerca di Ateneo (FFR-D15-160878).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Piacenza, E.; Presentato, A.; Zonaro, E.; Lampis, S.; Vallini, G.; Turner, R.J. Selenium and Tellurium Nanomaterials. *Phys. Sci. Rev.* 2018, *3*, 20170100. https://doi.org/10.1515/psr-2017-0100.
- Li, Z.; Qiu, F.; Tian, Q.; Yue, X.; Zhang, T. Production and Recovery of Tellurium from Metallurgical Intermediates and Electronic Waste-A Comprehensive Review. J. Clean. Prod. 2022, 366, 132796. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.132796.
- Ilyas, S.; Kim, M.S.; Lee, J.C.; Jabeen, A.; Bhatti, H.N. Bio-Reclamation of Strategic and Energy Critical Metals from Secondary Resources. *Metals* 2017, 7, 207. https://doi.org/10.3390/met7060207.
- Grygoyć, K.; Jabłońska-Czapla, M. Development of a Tellurium Speciation Study Using IC-ICP-MS on Soil Samples Taken from an Area Associated with the Storage, Processing, and Recovery of Electrowaste. *Molecules* 2021, 26, 2651. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26092651.
- 5. Farias, P.; Francisco, R.; Morais, P.V. Potential of Tellurite Resistance in Heterotrophic Bacteria from Mining Environments. *iScience* **2022**, *25*, 104566. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2022.104566.
- Presentato, A.; Turner, R.J.; Vásquez, C.C.; Yurkov, V.; Zannoni, D. Tellurite-Dependent Blackening of Bacteria Emerges from the Dark Ages. *Environ. Chem.* 2019, 16, 266–288. https://doi.org/10.1071/EN18238.
- Curtin, A.M.; Vail, C.A.; Buckley, H.L. CdTe in Thin Film Photovoltaic Cells: Interventions to Protect Drinking Water in Production and End-of-Life. Water-Energy Nexus 2020, 3, 15–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wen.2020.03.007.
- Kessi, J.; Turner, R.J.; Zannoni, D. Tellurite and Selenite: How Can These Two Oxyanions Be Chemically Different yet so Similar in the Way They Are Transformed to Their Metal Forms by Bacteria? *Biol. Res.* 2022, *55*, 17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40659-022-00378-2.
- Presentato, A.; Piacenza, E.; Turner, R.J.; Zannoni, D.; Cappelletti, M. Processing of Metals and Metalloids by Actinobacteria: Cell Resistance Mechanisms and Synthesis of Metal(Loid)-Based Nanostructures. *Microorganisms* 2020, *8*, 2027. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8122027.
- El-Tarabily, K.A.; Nassar, A.H.; Sivasithamparam, K. Promotion of Growth of Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) in a Calcareous Soil by a Phosphate-Solubilizing, Rhizosphere-Competent Isolate of *Micromonospora endolithica*. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 2008, 39, 161–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2007.12.005.
- 11. Ortúzar, M.; Trujillo, M.E.; Román-Ponce, B.; Carro, L. *Micromonospora metallophores*: A Plant Growth Promotion Trait Useful for Bacterial-Assisted Phytoremediation? *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *739*, 139850. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139850.
- Corsini, A.; Cavalca, L.; Crippa, L.; Zaccheo, P.; Andreoni, V. Impact of Glucose on Microbial Community of a Soil Containing Pyrite Cinders: Role of Bacteria in Arsenic Mobilization under Submerged Condition. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2010, 42, 699–707. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.12.010.

- Raja, M.M.M.; John, S.A. Biosynthesis of Silver Nanoparticles by Novel Isolate of Marine *Micromonospora* Species (KU 867645) and Its Antibacterial Activity against Multidrug Resistant Hospital-Acquired Uropathogens in Reference with Standard Antibiotics. *Indian J. Pharm. Sci.* 2017, 79, 369–376. https://doi.org/10.4172/pharmaceutical-sciences.1000239.
- Piacenza, E.; Presentato, A.; di Salvo, F.; Alduina, R.; Ferrara, V.; Minore, V.; Giannusa, A.; Sancataldo, G.; Chillura Martino, D.F. A Combined Physical–Chemical and Microbiological Approach to Unveil the Fabrication, Provenance, and State of Conservation of the Kinkarakawa-Gami Art. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, *10*, 16072. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-73226-6.
- Borsetti, F.; Toninello, A.; Zannoni, D. Tellurite Uptake by Cells of the Facultative Phototroph *Rhodobacter capsulatus* Is a ΔpH-Dependent Process. *FEBS Lett.* 2003, 554, 315–318. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793(03)01180-3.
- Becker, L.A.; Bang, I.S.; Crouch, M.L.; Fang, F.C. Compensatory Role of PspA, a Member of the Phage Shock Protein Operon, in RpoE Mutant *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2005, *56*, 1004–1016. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04604.x.
- 17. Singh, R.; Cheng, S.; Singh, S. Oxidative Stress-Mediated Genotoxic Effect of Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles on *Deinococcus radiodurans*. 3 *Biotech* 2020, 10, 66. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-020-2054-4.
- Tugarova, A.V.; Mamchenkova, P.V.; Dyatlova, Y.A.; Kamnev, A.A. FTIR and Raman Spectroscopic Studies of Selenium Nanoparticles Synthesised by the Bacterium *Azospirillum thiophilum*. Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 2018, 192, 458– 463. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2017.11.050.
- Kamnev, A.A.; Mamchenkova, P.V.; Dyatlova, Y.A.; Tugarova, A.V.; Kamnev, A.A.; Mamchenkova, P.V.; Dyatlova, Y.A.; Tugarova, A.V. FTIR Spectroscopic Studies of Selenite Reduction by Cells of the Rhizobacterium *Azospirillum brasilense* Sp7 and the Formation of Selenium Nanoparticles. *J. Mol. Struct.* 2017, *1140*, 106–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molstruc.2016.12.003.
- Benseny-Cases, N.; Klementieva, O.; Cotte, M.; Ferrer, I.; Cladera, J. Microspectroscopy (MFTIR) Reveals Co-Localization of Lipid Oxidation and Amyloid Plaques in Human Alzheimer Disease Brains. *Anal. Chem.* 2014, *86*, 12047–12054. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac502667b.
- Barraza-Garza, G.; Castillo-Michel, H.; de La Rosa, L.A.; Martinez-Martinez, A.; Pérez-León, J.A.; Cotte, M.; Alvarez-Parrilla, E. Infrared Spectroscopy as a Tool. to Study the Antioxidant Activity of Polyphenolic Compounds in Isolated Rat Enterocytes. Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2016, 2016, 9245150. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/9245150.
- Gupta, A.D.; Karthikeyan, S. Individual and Combined Toxic Effect of Nickel and Chromium on Biochemical Constituents in *E. coli* Using FTIR Spectroscopy and Principle Component Analysis. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 2016, 130, 289–294. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2016.04.025.
- 23. Kiwi, J.; Nadtochenko, V. Evidence for the Mechanism of Photocatalytic Degradation of the Bacterial Wall Membrane at the TiO₂ Interface by ATR-FTIR and Laser Kinetic Spectroscopy. *Langmuir* **2005**, *21*, 4631–4641. https://doi.org/10.1021/la0469831.
- Nadtochenko, V.A.; Rincon, A.G.; Stanca, S.E.; Kiwi, J. Dynamics of *E. coli* Membrane Cell Peroxidation during TiO₂ Photocatalysis Studied by ATR-FTIR Spectroscopy and AFM Microscopy. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A* 2005, 169, 131–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2004.06.011.
- Vileno, B.; Jeney, S.; Sienkiewicz, A.; Marcoux, P.R.; Miller, L.M.; Forró, L. Evidence of Lipid Peroxidation and Protein Phosphorylation in Cells upon Oxidative Stress Photo-Generated by Fullerols. *Biophys. Chem.* 2010, 152, 164–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpc.2010.09.004.
- Nikonenko, N.A.; Buslov, D.K.; Sushko, N.I.; Zhbankov, R.G.; Stepanov, B.I. Investigation of Stretching Vibrations of Glycosidic Linkages in Disaccharides and Polysaccarides with Use of IR Spectra Deconvolution. *Biopolymers* 2000, 57, 257–262. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0282(2000)57:4<257::AID-BIP7>3.0.CO;2-3.
- Piacenza, E.; Presentato, A.; Ferrante, F.; Cavallaro, G.; Alduina, R.; Chillura Martino, D.F. Biogenic Selenium Nanoparticles: A Fine Characterization to Unveil Their Thermodynamic Stability. *Nanomaterials* 2021, 11, 1195. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano11051195.
- El-Meleigy, M.; Mohamed, H.; Mokhtar, M.; Salem, M. Streptomyces anulatus, a Tellurium Tolerant Actinomycete, Some Modes of Tolerance. Nat Sci 2011, 9, 141–153.
- Amoozegar, M.A.; Khoshnoodi, M.; Didari, M.; Hamedi, J.; Ventosa, A.; Baldwin, S.A. Tellurite Removal by a Tellurium-Tolerant Halophilic Bacterial Strain, *Thermoactinomyces* sp. QS-2006. *Ann. Microbiol.* 2012, 62, 1031–1037. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-011-0343-1.
- Furnholm, T.R.; Tisa, L.S. The Ins and Outs of Metal Homeostasis by the Root Nodule Actinobacterium *Frankia*. BMC Genom. 2014, 15, 1092. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-1092.
- Undabarrena, A.; Ugalde, J.A.; Seeger, M.; Cámara, B. Genomic Data Mining of the Marine Actinobacteria *Streptomyces* sp. H-KF8 Unveils Insights into Multi-Stress Related Genes and Metabolic Pathways Involved in Antimicrobial Synthesis. *PeerJ* 2017, 5, e2912. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2912.
- Presentato, A.; Piacenza, E.; Anikovskiy, M.; Cappelletti, M.; Zannoni, D.; Turner, R.J. *Rhodococcus aetherivorans* BCP1 as Cell Factory for the Production of Intracellular Tellurium Nanorods under Aerobic Conditions. *Microb. Cell Fact.* 2016, 15, 204. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-016-0602-8.
- Borghese, R.; Marchetti, D.; Zannoni, D. The Highly Toxic Oxyanion Tellurite (TeO_{3²⁻}) Enters the Phototrophic Bacterium *Rhodobacter capsulatus* via an as yet Uncharacterized Monocarboxylate Transport System. *Arch. Microbiol.* 2008, 189, 93–100. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-007-0297-7.
- Borghese, R.; Zannoni, D. Acetate Permease (ActP) Is Responsible for Tellurite (TeO_{3²⁻}) Uptake and Resistance in Cells of the Facultative Phototroph *Rhodobacter capsulatus*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2010, *76*, 942–944. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02765-09.

- Elías, A.; Díaz-Vásquez, W.; Abarca-Lagunas, M.J.; Chasteen, T.G.; Arenas, F.; Vásquez, C.C. The ActP Acetate Transporter Acts Prior to the PitA Phosphate Carrier in Tellurite Uptake by *Escherichia coli*. *Microbiol*. *Res.* 2015, 177, 15–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2015.04.010.
- Borghese, R.; Brucale, M.; Fortunato, G.; Lanzi, M.; Mezzi, A.; Valle, F.; Cavallini, M.; Zannoni, D. Extracellular Production of Tellurium Nanoparticles by the Photosynthetic Bacterium *Rhodobacter capsulatus*. J. Hazard. Mater. 2016, 309, 202–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.02.011.
- 37. Martín, J.F.; Liras, P. Molecular Mechanisms of Phosphate Sensing, Transport and Signalling in *Streptomyces* and Related Actinobacteria. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 1129. https://doi.org/10.3390/IJMS22031129.
- Li, Y.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, J.; Xu, W.; Mou, Z. Characteristics of Inorganic Phosphate-Solubilizing Bacteria from the Sediments of a Eutrophic Lake. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2019, 16, 2141. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16122141.
- Tian, J.; Ge, F.; Zhang, D.; Deng, S.; Liu, X. Roles of Phosphate Solubilizing Microorganisms from Managing Soil Phosphorus Deficiency to Mediating Biogeochemical P Cycle. *Biology* 2021, 10, 158. https://doi.org/10.3390/biology10020158.
- 40. Turner, R.J.; Weiner, J.H.; Taylor, D.E. Tellurite-Mediated Thiol. Oxidation in *Escherichia coli*. *Microbiology* **1999**, *145*, 2549–2557. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-145-9-2549.
- 41. Vrionis, H.A.; Wang, S.; Haslam, B.; Turner, R.J. Selenite Protection of Tellurite Toxicity toward *Escherichia coli*. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* **2015**, *2*, 69. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmolb.2015.00069.
- Contreras, F.; Vargas, E.; Jiménez, K.; Muñoz-Villagrán, C.; Figueroa, M.; Vásquez, C.; Arenas, F. Reduction of Gold (III) and Tellurium (IV) by *Enterobacter cloacae* MF01 Results in Nanostructure Formation Both in Aerobic and Anaerobic Conditions. *Front. Microbiol.* 2018, 9, 3118. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.03118.
- Newton, G.L.; Buchmeier, N.; Fahey, R.C. Biosynthesis and Functions of Mycothiol, the Unique Protective Thiol. of Actinobacteria. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 2008, 72, 471–494. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00008-08.
- Morales, E.H.; Pinto, C.A.; Luraschi, R.; Muñoz-Villagrán, C.M.; Cornejo, F.A.; Simpkins, S.W.; Nelson, J.; Arenas, F.A.; Piotrowski, J.S.; Myers, C.L.; et al. Accumulation of Heme Biosynthetic Intermediates Contributes to the Antibacterial Action of the Metalloid Tellurite. *Nat. Commun.* 2017, *8*, 15320. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15320.
- Borsetti, F.; Tremaroli, V.; Michelacci, F.; Borghese, R.; Winterstein, C.; Daldal, F.; Zannoni, D. Tellurite Effects on *Rhodobacter* capsulatus Cell Viability and Superoxide Dismutase Activity under Oxidative Stress Conditions. *Res. Microbiol.* 2005, 156, 807– 813. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2005.03.011.
- Pérez, J.M.; Calderón, I.L.; Arenas, F.A.; Fuentes, D.E.; Pradenas, G.A.; Fuentes, E.L.; Sandoval, J.M.; Castro, M.E.; Elías, A.O.; Vásquez, C.C. Bacterial Toxicity of Potassium Tellurite: Unveiling an Ancient Enigma. *PLoS ONE* 2007, 2, e211. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000211.
- Tremaroli, V.; Fedi, S.; Zannoni, D. Evidence for a Tellurite-Dependent Generation of Reactive Oxygen Species and Absence of a Tellurite-Mediated Adaptive Response to Oxidative Stress in Cells of *Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes* KF707. *Arch. Microbiol.* 2007, 187, 127–135. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-006-0179-4.
- Tremaroli, V.; Workentine, M.L.; Weljie, A.M.; Vogel, H.J.; Ceri, H.; Viti, C.; Tatti, E.; Zhang, P.; Hynes, A.P.; Turner, R.J.; et al. Metabolomic Investigation of the Bacterial Response to a Metal Challenge. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2009, 75, 719–728. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01771-08.
- Arenas, F.A.; Pugin, B.; Henríquez, N.A.; Arenas-Salinas, M.A.; Díaz-Vásquez, W.A.; Pozo, M.F.; Muñoz, C.M.; Chasteen, T.G.; Pérez-Donoso, J.M.; Vásquez, C.C. Isolation, Identification and Characterization of Highly Tellurite-Resistant, Tellurite-Reducing Bacteria from Antarctica. *Polar Sci.* 2014, *8*, 40–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polar.2014.01.001.
- 50. Konings, W.N.; Albers, S.-V.; Koning, S.; Driessen, A.J.M. The Cell Membrane Plays a Crucial Role in Survival of Bacteria and Archaea in Extreme Environments. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* **2002**, *81*, 61–72. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020573408652.
- 51. de Carvalho, C.C.C.R.; Caramujo, M.J. The Various Roles of Fatty Acids. *Molecules* 2018, 23, 2583. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23102583.
- 52. Männistö, M.K.; Puhakka, J.A. Temperature- and Growth-Phase-Regulated Changes in Lipid Fatty Acid Structures of Psychrotolerant Groundwater Proteobacteria. *Arch. Microbiol.* **2001**, 177, 41–46. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-001-0356-4.
- Nichols, D.S.; Russell, N.J. Fatty Acid Adaptation in an Antarctic Bacterium-Changes in Primer Utilization. *Microbiology* 1996, 142, 747–754. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-142-4-747.
- Bowman, J.P.; McCammon, S.A.; Nichols, D.S.; Skerrat, J.H.; Rea, S.M.; Nichols, P.D.; McMeekin, T.A. Shewanella gelidimarina sp. nov. and Shewanella frigidimarina sp. nov., Novel Antarctic Species with the Ability to Produce Eicosapentaenoic Acid (20:5 Omega 3) and Grow Anaerobically by Dissimilatory Fe(III) Reduction. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 1997, 47, 1040–1047. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-47-4-1040.
- 55. Suutari, M.; Laakso, S. Microbial Fatty Acids and Thermal Adaptation. Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 1994, 20, 285–328. https://doi.org/10.3109/10408419409113560.
- Markowicz, A.; Plociniczak, T.; Piotrovska-Seget, Z. Response of Bacteria to Heavy Metals Measured as Changes in FAME Profiles. *Pol. J. Environ. Stud.* 2010, 19, 957–965.
- Pradenas, G.A.; Paillavil, B.A.; Reyes-Cerpa, S.; Pérez-Donoso, J.M.; Vásquez, C.C. Reduction of the Monounsaturated Fatty Acid Content of *Escherichia coli* Results in Increased Resistance to Oxidative Damage. *Microbiology* 2012, 158, 1279–1283. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.056903-0.

- Borghese, R.; Borsetti, F.; Foladori, P.; Ziglio, G.; Zannoni, D. Effects of the Metalloid Oxyanion Tellurite (TeO32-) on Growth Characteristics of the Phototrophic Bacterium *Rhodobacter capsulatus*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2004, 70, 6595–6602. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.11.6595-6602.2004.
- Murínová, S.; Dercová, K. Response Mechanisms of Bacterial Degraders to Environmental Contaminants on the Level of Cell Walls and Cytoplasmic Membrane. *Int. J. Microbiol.* 2014, 2014, 873081. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/873081.
- 60. Mrozik, A.; Łabuzek, S.; Piotrowska-Seget, Z. Changes in Fatty Acid Composition in *Pseudomonas putida* and *Pseudomonas stutzeri* during Naphthalene Degradation. *Microbiol. Res.* **2005**, *160*, 149–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2004.11.001.
- 61. Mrozik, A.; Cycoń, M.; Piotrowska-Seget, Z. Changes of FAME Profiles as a Marker of Phenol. Degradation in Different Soils Inoculated with *Pseudomonas* sp. CF600. *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.* **2010**, *64*, 86–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2009.11.002.
- Unell, M.; Kabelitz, N.; Jansson, J.K.; Heipieper, H.J. Adaptation of the Psychrotroph *Arthrobacter chlorophenolicus* A6 to Growth Temperature and the Presence of Phenols by Changes in the Anteiso/Iso Ratio of Branched Fatty Acids. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2007, 266, 138–143. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2006.00502.x.
- Antony, R.; Sujith, P.P.; Sheryl; Fernandes, S.O.; Verma, P.; Khedekar, V.D.; Loka Bharathi, P.A. Cobalt Immobilization by Manganese Oxidizing Bacteria from the Indian Ridge System. *Curr. Microbiol.* 2011, 62, 840–849. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-010-9784-1.
- 64. Nepple, B.B.; Bachofen, R. Morphological Changes in Phototrophic Bacteria Induced by Metalloid Oxyanions. *Microbiol. Res.* **1999**, *154*, 191–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0944-5013(99)80014-7.
- 65. Chakravarty, R.; Manna, S.; Ghosh, A.K.; Banerjee, P.C. Morphological Changes in an *Acidocella* Strain in Response to Heavy Metal Stress. *Res. J. Microbiol.* **2007**, *2*, 742–748.
- 66. Colin, V.L.; Villegas, L.B.; Pereira, C.E.; Amoroso, M.J.; Abate, C.M. Morphological Changes and Oxidative Stress in Actinobacteria during Removal of Heavy Metals. In *Actinobacteria*, 1st ed.; Maroso, M.J., Benimeli, C.S., Cuozzo, S.A., Eds.; CRC Press – Taylor & Francis Group: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2013; pp. 52–63, ISBN 9780429071379.
- 67. de Carvalho, C.C.C.R. Adaptation of *Rhodococcus erythropolis* Cells for Growth and Bioremediation under Extreme Conditions. *Res. Microbiol.* **2012**, *163*, 125–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2011.11.003.
- Neumann, G.; Veeranagouda, Y.; Karegoudar, T.B.; Sahin, Ö.; Mäusezahl, I.; Kabelitz, N.; Kappelmeyer, U.; Heipieper, H.J. Cells of *Pseudomonas putida* and *Enterobacter* sp. Adapt to Toxic Organic Compounds by Increasing Their Size. *Extremophiles* 2005, 9, 163–168. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00792-005-0431-x.
- 69. Kepenek, E.S.; Gozen, A.G.; Severcan, F. Molecular Characterization of Acutely and Gradually Heavy Metal Acclimated Aquatic Bacteria by FTIR Spectraoscopy. *J. Biophotonics* **2019**, *12*, e201800301. https://doi.org/10.1002/jbio.201800301.
- 70. Shuhong, Y.; Meiping, Z.; Hong, Y.; Han, W.; Shan, X.; Yan, L.; Jihui, W. Biosorption of Cu²⁺, Pb²⁺ and Cr⁶⁺ by a Novel Exopolysaccharide from *Arthrobacter* Ps-5. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2014**, *101*, 50–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.09.021.
- Bankar, A.; Nagaraja, G. Chapter 18. Recent Trends in Biosorption of Heavy Metals by Actinobacteria. In New and Future Developments in Microbial Biotechnology and Bioengineering: Actinobacteria: Diversity and Biotechnological Applications; Singh, B.P., Gupta, V.K., Passari, A.K., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2018; pp. 257–275 ISBN 9780444639950.
- Xiong, Y.W.; Ju, X.Y.; Li, X.W.; Gong, Y.; Xu, M.J.; Zhang, C.M.; Yuan, B.; Lv, Z.P.; Qin, S. Fermentation Conditions Optimization, Purification, and Antioxidant Activity of Exopolysaccharides Obtained from the Plant Growth-Promoting Endophytic Actinobacterium *Glutamicibacter halophytocola* KLBMP 5180. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2020, 153, 1176–1185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.10.247.
- 73. Kardas, M.; Gozen, A.G.; Severcan, F. FTIR Spectroscopy Offers Hints towards Widespread Molecular Changes in Cobalt-Acclimated Freshwater Bacteria. *Aquat. Toxicol.* **2014**, 155, 15–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2014.05.027.
- Vishnoi, N.; Dixit, S.; Singh, D.P. Surface Binding and Intracellular Uptake of Arsenic in Bacteria Isolated from Arsenic Contaminated Site. *Ecol. Eng.* 2014, 73, 569–578. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2014.09.090.
- 75. Gupta, A.D.; Kavitha, E.; Singh, S.; Karthikeyan, S. Toxicity Mechanism of Cu²⁺ Ion Individually and in Combination with Zn²⁺ Ion in Characterizing the Molecular Changes of *Staphylococcus aureus* Studied Using FTIR Coupled with Chemometric Analysis. *J. Biol. Phys.* **2020**, *46*, 395–414. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10867-020-09560-7.
- Goff, J.L.; Wang, Y.; Boyanov, M.I.; Yu, Q.; Kemner, K.M.; Fein, J.B.; Yee, N. Tellurite Adsorption onto Bacterial Surfaces. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2021, 55, 10378–10386. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c01001.
- Gurbanov, R.; Simsek Ozek, N.; Gozen, A.G.; Severcan, F. Quick Discrimination of Heavy Metal Resistant Bacterial Populations Using Infrared Spectroscopy Coupled with Chemometrics. *Anal. Chem.* 2015, 87, 9653–9661. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b01659.
- Castro, M.E.; Molina, R.; Díaz, W.; Pichuantes, S.E.; Vásquez, C.C. The Dihydrolipoamide Dehydrogenase of *Aeromonas caviae* ST Exhibits NADH-Dependent Tellurite Reductase Activity. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2008, 375, 91–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2008.07.119.
- Zhang, X.; Liu, L.; Peng, J.; Yuan, F.; Li, J.; Wang, J.; Chen, J.; Wang, H.; Tyagi, R.D. Heavy Metal Impact on Lipid Production from Oleaginous Microorganism Cultivated with Wastewater Sludge. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2022, 344, 126356. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.126356.
- Ami, D.; Natalello, A.; Schultz, T.; Gatti-Lafranconi, P.; Lotti, M.; Doglia, S.M.; de Marco, A. Effects of Recombinant Protein Misfolding and Aggregation on Bacterial Membranes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta-Proteins Proteom.* 2009, 1794, 263–269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2008.10.015.

- Schwechheimer, C.; Kuehn, M.J. Outer-Membrane Vesicles from Gram-Negative Bacteria: Biogenesis and Functions. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2015, 13, 605–619. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3525.
- Faddetta, T.; Renzone, G.; Vassallo, A.; Rimini, E.; Nasillo, G.; Buscarino, G.; Agnello, S.; Licciardi, M.; Botta, L.; Scaloni, A.; et al. *Streptomyces coelicolor* Vesicles: Many Molecules To Be Delivered. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2022, *88*, e01881-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01881-21.
- Schrempf, H.; Koebsch, I.; Walter, S.; Engelhardt, H.; Meschke, H. Extracellular *Streptomyces* Vesicles: Amphorae for Survival and Defence. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 2011, 4, 286–299. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-7915.2011.00251.x.
- Chasteen, T.G.; Fuentes, D.E.; Tantaleán, J.C.; Vásquez, C.C. Tellurite: History, Oxidative Stress, and Molecular Mechanisms of Resistance. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 2009, 33, 820–832. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2009.00177.x.
- Kessi, J.; Hanselmann, K.W. Similarities between the Abiotic Reduction of Selenite with Glutathione and the Dissimilatory Reaction Mediated by *Rhodospirillum rubrum* and *Escherichia coli*. J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 50662–50669. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M405887200.
- Pérez, J.M.; Arenas, F.A.; Pradenas, G.A.; Sandoval, J.M.; Vásquez, C.C. *Escherichia coli* YqhD Exhibits Aldehyde Reductase Activity and Protects from the Harmful Effect of Lipid Peroxidation-Derived Aldehydes. J. Biol. Chem. 2008, 283, 7346–7353. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M708846200.
- Pradenas, G.A.; Díaz-Vásquez, W.A.; Pérez-Donoso, J.M.; Vásquez, C.C. Monounsaturated Fatty Acids Are Substrates for Aldehyde Generation in Tellurite-Exposed *Escherichia coli*. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2013, 2013, 563756. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/563756.
- Contreras, N.D.P.; Vásquez, C.C. Tellurite-Induced Carbonylation of the *Escherichia coli* Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Multienzyme Complex. Arch. Microbiol. 2010, 192, 969–973. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-010-0624-2.
- Schramm, F.D.; Schroeder, K.; Jonas, K. Protein Aggregation in Bacteria. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 2020, 44, 54–72. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuz026.
- Jacobson, T.; Navarrete, C.; Sharma, S.K.; Sideri, T.C.; Ibstedt, S.; Priya, S.; Grant, C.M.; Christen, P.; Goloubinoff, P.; Tamá, M.J. Arsenite Interferes with Protein Folding and Triggers Formation of Protein Aggregates in Yeast. J. Cell Sci. 2012, 125, 5073–5083. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.107029.
- Gerbino, E.; Mobili, P.; Tymczyszyn, E.; Fausto, R.; Gómez-Zavaglia, A. FTIR Spectroscopy Structural Analysis of the Interaction between *Lactobacillus kefir* S-Layers and Metal Ions. *J. Mol. Struct.* 2011, 987, 186–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molstruc.2010.12.012.
- Parker, J.L.; Jones, A.M.E.; Serazetdinova, L.; Saalbach, G.; Bibb, M.J.; Naldrett, M.J. Analysis of the Phosphoproteome of the Multicellular Bacterium *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3(2) by Protein/Peptide Fractionation, Phosphopeptide Enrichment and High-Accuracy Mass Spectrometry. *Proteomics* 2010, *10*, 2486–2497. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201000090.
- Ortiz De Orué Lucana, D.; Groves, M.R. The Three-Component Signalling System HbpS-SenS-SenR as an Example of a Redox Sensing Pathway in Bacteria. *Amino Acids* 2009, 37, 479–486. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-009-0260-9.
- Neu, J.M.; MacMillan, S.V.; Nodwell, J.R.; Wright, G.D. StoPK-1, a Serine/Threonine Protein Kinase from the Glycopeptide Antibiotic Producer *Streptomyces toyocaensis* NRRL 15009, Affects Oxidative Stress Response. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2002, 44, 417–430. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2002.02879.x.
- Piacenza, E.; Presentato, A.; Bardelli, M.; Lampis, S.; Vallini, G.; Turner, R.J. Influence of Bacterial Physiology on Processing of Selenite, Biogenesis of Nanomaterials and Their Thermodynamic Stability. *Molecules* 2019, 24, 2532. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24142532.
- 96. Turner, R.J.; Weiner, J.H.; Taylor, D.E. Use of Diethyldithiocarbamate for Quantitative Determination of Tellurite Uptake by Bacteria. *Anal. Biochem.* **1992**, 204, 292–295. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(92)90240-8.
- Piacenza, E.; Presentato, A.; Zonaro, E.; Lemire, J.A.; Demeter, M.; Vallini, G.; Turner, R.J.; Lampis, S. Antimicrobial Activity of Biogenically Produced Spherical Se-Nanomaterials Embedded in Organic Material against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* Strains on Hydroxyapatite-Coated Surfaces. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 2017, 10, 804–818. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12700.
- Hinojosa, M.B.; Laudicina, V.A.; Parra, A.; Albert-Belda, E.; Moreno, J.M. Drought and Its Legacy Modulate the Post-Fire Recovery of Soil Functionality and Microbial Community Structure in a Mediterranean Shrubland. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 2019, 25, 1409–1427. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14575.
- Presentato, A.; Piacenza, E.; Scurria, A.; Albanese, L.; Zabini, F.; Meneguzzo, F.; Nuzzo, D.; Pagliaro, M.; Chillura Martino, D.F.; Alduina, R.; et al. A New Water-Soluble Bactericidal Agent for the Treatment of Infections Caused by Gram-Positive and Gram-Negative Bacterial Strains. *Antibiotics* 2020, *9*, 586. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9090586.
- 100. Zonaro, E.; Piacenza, E.; Presentato, A.; Monti, F.; Dell'Anna, R.; Lampis, S.; Vallini, G. Ochrobactrum Sp. MPV1 from a Dump of Roasted Pyrites Can Be Exploited as Bacterial Catalyst for the Biogenesis of Selenium and Tellurium Nanoparticles. *Microb. Cell Fact.* 2017, 16, 1–17, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-017-0826-2.
- Faghihzadeh, F.; Anaya, N.M.; Schifman, L.A.; Oyanedel-Craver, V. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy to Assess Molecular-Level Changes in Microorganisms Exposed to Nanoparticles. *Nanotechnol. Environ. Eng.* 2016, 1, 1–16, https://doi.org/10.1007/s41204-016-0001-8.
- Lasch, P.; Naumann, D. Infrared Spectroscopy in Microbiology. In *Encyclopedia of Analytical Chemistry*; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2015; pp. 1–32.

- Wang, X.; Wang, W.; Liu, P.; Wang, P.; Zhang, L. Photocatalytic Degradation of E.Coli Membrane Cell in the Presence of ZnO Nanowires. J. Wuhan Univ. Technol. Mater. Sci. Ed. 2011, 26, 222–225, https://doi.org/10.1007/S11595-011-0201-9
- 104. Jiang, W.; Saxena, A.; Song, B.; Ward, B.B.; Beveridge, T.J.; Myneni, S.C.B. Elucidation of Functional Groups on Gram-Positive and Gram-Negative Bacterial Surfaces Using Infrared Spectroscopy. *Langmuir* 2004, 20, 11433–11442, https://doi.org/10.1021/la049043+
- 105. Buszewski, B.; Dziubakiewicz, E.; Pomastowski, P.; Hrynkiewicz, K.; Ploszaj-Pyrek, J.; Talik, E.; Kramer, M.; Albert, K. Assignment of Functional Groups in Gram-Positive Bacteria. J. Anal. Bioanal. Tech. 2015, 6, 1–8, https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9872.1000232.
- 106. Otari, S.V.; Patil, R.M.; Ghosh, S.J.; Thorat, N.D.; Pawar, S.H. Intracellular Synthesis of Silver Nanoparticle by Actinobacteria and Its Antimicrobial Activity. Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 2015, 136, 1175–1180, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2014.10.003.
- 107. Mohamed, M.E.; Mohammed, A.M.A. Experimental and Computational Vibration Study of Amino Acids. *Int. lett. chem. phys. astron.* **2013**, *15*, 1–17, https://doi.org/10.18052/www.scipress.com/ILCPA.15.1.
- 108. Kurihara, T.; Noda, Y.; Takegoshi, K. Capping Structure of Ligand-Cysteine on CdSe Magic-Sized Clusters. *ACS Omega* **2019**, *4*, 3476–3483, https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.8b02752.
- 109. Barth, A. The Infrared Absorption of Amino Acid Side Chains. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* 2000, 74, 141–173, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6107(00)00021-3.
- Garip, S.; Gozen, A.C.; Severcan, F. Use of Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy for Rapid Comparative Analysis of Bacillus and Micrococcus Isolates. *Food Chem.* 2009, 113, 1301–1307, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.08.063.