7

21<mark>11</mark>

12

13

17

³⁸18

19

20

21

22

23

Copper nanowire array as highly selective electrochemical sensor of nitrate ions in water

B. Patella^a, R. R. Russo^a, A. O'Riordan^b, G. Aiello^a, C. Sunseri^a and R. Inguanta^{a1}

^aLaboratorio di Chimica Fisica Applicata, Dipartimento di Ingegneria Università of Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, Palermo, Italy

> ^bNanotechnology group, Tyndall National Institute University College Cork, Dyke Parade, Cork, Ireland

Abstract

Contamination of water with nitrate ions is a significant problem that affects many areas of the world. The danger from nitrates is not so much their toxicity, rather low, as their transformation into nitrites and in particular into nitrosamines, substances considered to be a possible carcinogenic risk. For this reason, European legislation has set the maximum permissible concentration of nitrates in drinking water at 44 mg/l. Thus, it is clear that a continuous monitoring of nitrate ions is of high technological interest but it must be rapid, easy to perform and directly performable in situ. Electrochemical detection is certainly among the best techniques to obtain the above requirements. In particular, in this work we have developed a nanostructured sensor based on array of copper nanowires obtained with the simple method of galvanic deposition. The nanostructured sensors have a very short response time with a detection limit less than 10 μ M. Different interfering species were tested finding a negligible effect except for the chlorine ions. However, this problem has been solved by removing chlorine ions from the water through a simple precipitation of chloride compounds with low solubility. Nanostructured sensors were also used to analyze real water samples (rain, river and drinking water). In the case of drinking water, we have measured a concentration of nitrate ions very close to the that measured by conventional laboratory techniques.

Keywords: Electrochemical sensor; Copper; Nitrate ion; Water contamination; Galvanic deposition; Nanowire.

1. Introduction

In the last decades, the contamination of water with nitrate ions is of more and more importance. Agricultural and livestock sectors are the main sources of nitrate contamination [1]. In fact, nitrogen-based fertilizers are highly used in agriculture and their over-use leads to a release of nitrate ions directly in ground water with the consequent contamination of the food chain. High nitrate concentration in the aquatic environment leads to the overgrowth of algae causing an oxygen depletion (eutrophication) that is highly harmful for aquatic species [2]. High level of nitrate ions, is also dangerous for human health [3][4], because they are converted into different harmful nitrogen based compound such as nitrite, nitric oxide, N-nitroso compounds [5,6] that are responsible of several diseases: such as cancer, Parkinson and gastritis [7–9]. More important, nitrate ions are responsible of the blue-baby syndrome (or methemoglobinemia), due to the oxidation of the hemoglobin in methemoblogin by nitrate ions that have a lower capability to oxygen transport [10,11].

For these reasons, the Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) of nitrate has been imposed at 3.7 mg/kg body-weight by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nation (UN) and by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2002 [4], [7]. Besides, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), has established the maximum amount of nitrates ions in drinkable water at 44 mg/L, limit that is also valid in many European countries [12]. Therefore, it is clear that monitoring the ecological concentration of nitrates has gained increasing importance. Many techniques can be used to detect nitrates but generally the choice depends on the sample nature, the expected nitrate concentration and the kind of required analysis (time, in situ). Generally, spectroscopy techniques are the most used because allow to carry out analyses with high precision and reaching low LOD [13]. However, these techniques require skilled workforces and specific

instrumentations, besides the procedure is long and hard (i.e. the conversion of nitrates in nitrites by using cadmium or zinc salts, preparation of standards) [14], [2].

In this context, is clear that the development of an easy, fast and not-lab-depended technology to detect nitrate ions is of great importance and can help the continuously monitoring the pollution of natural water. Electrochemical sensors are perfect candidates to overcome all these limits, being fast, cheap, easy to use and can work with simple and small instrumentations [15–20]. Different type of electrochemical sensors have been exploited in order to quantify nitrates, such as impedimetric [21]; [22], chronoamperometric [23-27], voltammetric [28]; [29-33] and also biosensors [34,35], [36]. As concern the enzymatic biosensors they permit to obtain very low Limit Of Detection (LOD) but the detection is very hard, expensive and time consuming (accurate pH control, many separation and incubation steps) [37]. Many different electrode materials have been tested and studied for nitrate ions detection but, in the last years, researchers have focused on copper based electrodes due to its low overpotential for reduction of nitrate ions [38]. Davis et al. [39] developed a macro-porous copper electrode founding a LOD of about 10 mM. In recent years, this LOD has been decreased by using nanosized copper electrodes. For example, Essousi et al. [40] using a ion imprinted polymer coated with copper NPs have obtained a LOD of about 1000 times lower that is probably due to the high surface area of nanoparticles. Similarly, others authors [41,42] took advantage of the high surface area of copper NPs and the good electrical properties of carbonaceous materials, such as carbon nanotubes and disposable pencil graphite, to detect nitrate in a wide linear range. Interesting is also the approach followed by Wu et al [43] that have prepared Cu nanowire array by electrochemical reduction of copper oxide nanowires obtained by thermal oxidation. Using this electrode and the amperometric detection, have detected nitrate ions in the linear range of 50 µM to 600 µM with a LOD of 12.2 µM.

In this work we have focused our attention on the possibility to use vertically standing copper nanowires (Cu NWs) obtained by template galvanic deposition (GD) into nanoporous

membrane [44], [45]. The overall process is cost effective, scalable, fast and Cu NWs that are well anchored to a copper current collector can be easily obtained. Furthermore, GD process can be used to synthesize different kind of electrode materials, such as nickel [46,47]; [48], palladium [49,50], amorphous silicon [51], compound of lead [52] and so on. Using this kind of nanostructured electrode, the surface area of the sensor is about 70 time higher that bare ones and this is a special property for electrochemical devices that should enhance their performance [18], [53], [16], [54]. Cu nanowires obtained by template electrodeposition were tested by Stortini et al [55] who found very interesting results and obtained a very low LOD. They also demonstrated that the electrode is able to detect nitrate ions in water sample containing interfering chloride ions at low concentration.

Considering the increase of activity of nanostructured copper, in this work we have studied the performance of vertically standing Cu NWs obtained by the simple and low-cost method of GD for nitrate detection in water by using Linear Sweep Voltammetry (LSV). A low LOD of about 9.1 μ M, almost 100 times lower than the limit established by EPA and WHO that is about 750 μ M (50 ppm), in a wide linear range was found. The effect of many interferences (chlorine, potassium, sodium, sulfate, magnesium, carbonate anions, copper, lead, zinc, aluminum, mercury, nickel) will be also shown and described. Finally, nitrate ions were also successfully detected in real samples (river, rain and drinking water) demonstrating the real applicability of the proposed system.

2. Experimental

Commercial polycarbonate membranes (PoreticsTM) were used as template for copper GD. The membrane has a thickness of about 8 μ m and consists of interconnected cylindrical pore (Figure S1) with a nominal mean diameter of about 200. Nanowires growth were performed according to previous work [44]. Briefly, one surface of the membrane was sputtered with gold (for 1 minute) in order to make it conductive for the subsequent deposition of copper current collector (about 12 μ m thick). This step was carried out at constant current (8 mA/cm²) for 1 h, in an electrochemical cell containing a Pt mesh as a counter electrode and an aqueous solution of 0.2 M CuSO₄.5H₂O and 0.1 M H₃BO₃ and powered by a PARSTAT galvanostat (mod. 2273). To obtain Cu nanowire by GD, the current collector was electrically coupled with an aluminum tube, by a conductive carbon paste. Aluminum was previously polished with different grade emery paper, washed with distilled water and rinsed ultrasonically in pure acetone. Then, the copper-aluminum couple was immersed in an electrolytic solution containing CuSO₄ 0.1M and boric acid 0.05M with pH 2 adjusted by sulfuric acid. This galvanic couple leads to dissolution of aluminum, that acts as sacrificial anode, and the simultaneous deposition of Cu into the polycarbonate pores. After nanowires deposition, samples were etched in pure dichloromethane in order to dissolve the template.

Morphology was analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), using a FEI FEG-ESEM (mod. QUANTA 200) equipped with an Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) detector. Xray diffraction was carried out using a RIGAKU diffractometer (model: D-MAX 25600 HK). All diffraction patterns were obtained in the 2 θ range from 10° to 100° with a step of 0.004° and a measuring time of 0.067 s for step, using the copper K α radiation. Diffraction patterns were analyzed by comparison with ICDD database (International Centre for Diffraction Data, card number: Cu 4–836, Au 4–784; AgCl 06–048).

For the sensor performance study, nanostructured electrodes were assembled with a copper tape and insulated with a lacquer to have a geometric area of about 0.05 cm². Electrochemical tests were carried out in de-aerated (by N₂ purging) aqueous solutions of 0.1 M NaSO₄ at different pH (adjusted by sulfuric acid), using a three-electrode cell with Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a Pt mesh as counter electrode. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was carried out with a scan rate of 50 mV/s in the potential range from -1.4 to -0.1 V vs Ag/AgCl. The effect of solution pH on the sensor performance was studied, by testing different solutions (50 ppm of nitrate). Similarly, the effect of surface area was tested by using electrodes consisting of Cu thin film and Cu-NWs of different length (obtained by chancing the GD time in the range from 30 to 120 minutes). Sensor calibration

curves were obtained by means of LSV carried out in the potential range from -0.1 to -0.8 V (Ag/AgCl) with a scan rate of 10 mV/s, by adding different amount of a standard solution of sodium nitrate to the blank. Selectivity tests were carried out in the presence of copper, lead, nickel, zinc, aluminum, mercury, chorine, potassium, sodium, sulfite, carbonate and magnesium at four different concentrations (0.5, 5, 50 and 500 ppm), in the presence of 50 ppm of nitrate ions. These concentrations are an order of magnitude lower and higher than interfering species, respectively. All the experiments have been repeated at least 3 times.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Copper nanowire fabrication

The deposition of copper NWs has been carried out by GD. This process can be controlled by adjusted different parameters such as composition and pH of deposition bath, anodic to cathodic area ratio and deposition time [49], [56]. It is a simple process that allows fabrication of nanostructured materials with different morphology like NWs, NTs and NPs [51], [57]. Following the results obtained in our previous work [44] the growth of Cu NWs inside the pore of the template is due to the following reactions:

$$Cu2+ + 2e = Cu0 E0 = 0.34 V (NHE) (1)$$

$$Al = Al3+ + 3e E0 = -1.7 V (NHE) (2)$$

In particular the dissolution of aluminum anode produces the electrons necessary to the reduction of copper ions. This is a spontaneous process that not requires an external power supply, and in fact, the electromotive force of this process ($\xi = E^{0}_{cat} - E^{0}_{an}$) is positive leading to an overall negative Gibbs free energy ($\Delta G = -nF\xi$). Figure 1 shows the SEM images of the as prepared Cu nanowires obtained after a deposition time of 30 min and after template dissolution. The typical morphology of nanowires, consisted of interconnected cylindrical wires, obtainable by template deposition in polycarbonate membrane can be observed [49], [44], [58].



Figure 1 SEM images of Cu-NWs obtained after 30 minutes of deposition



Figure 2 XRD pattern of as-prepared Cu NWs after template dissolution

The NWs length increases with increasing the deposition time, particularly, it moves from $1.38 \pm 0.19 \ \mu\text{m}$ after 30 min of deposition to $2.65 \pm 0.37 \ \mu\text{m}$ after 120 min (Figure S2). NWs have

an average diameter of about 220 nm (Figure S3a) according to the nominal mean diameter of the pores of polycarbonate template. In order to fully characterize the nanostructured electrode, XRD (Figure 2) and EDS (Figure S3b) analyses were also carried out.

By EDS analysis (Figure S3-b), the presence of copper, arising from both the NWs and current collector, sputtered gold and trace of carbon and oxygen due to residual polycarbonate, was found. Figure 2 shows the XRD pattern of the Cu-NWs electrode and the peaks correspond to a cubic phase of copper can be observed. Also, the main peak of gold, from sputtered gold, was identified. Similar XRD patterns and EDS spectra were obtained for all samples regardless of the deposition time. Thus, from these results it is possible to conclude that GD process led to the formation of pure copper nanowires with a polycrystalline structure.

3.2 Electrochemical tests: optimization and calibration

Electrochemical reduction of nitrate ions involves different redox reactions. Davis et al. [39], using a copper based electrode to detect nitrates and nitrites, have found a peak at about -0.46 V Ag/AgCl that is imputable to the reduction of nitrate to nitrite. A similar result was found by other researchers [59–61]. Other studies found a second peak at more cathodic potential (about -0.86 V Ag/AgCl) that was ascribed to the reduction of nitrate ions to ammonia [62,63]. Starting from these literature data, CV analyses were carried out using the as prepared Cu NWs as working electrode using the blank solution (Na₂SO₄ 0.1M at pH 2.5) added with NaNO₃ 1mM (Figure 3). The CV curves of Figure 3 shows the presence of both the reduction peaks (at about -0.97 V and -0.42 V Ag/AgCl) above described. The peak at -0.42 V has the higher intensity and so sensors were calibrated using this reduction peak.

In order to maximize the peak current, the effect of pH of the detection solution was checked. In fact, pH is a crucial parameter to preserve copper metal from oxidation [64] that would result a short life time of Cu based electrode. To overcome this problem, the use of acidic media is

strongly recommended [24]; [65]; [66]. But, considering that at low pH also the parasitic reaction of
 hydrogen evolution occurs, it is necessary to find the best value.



Figure 3 CV in the presence of 1mM of nitrate ions using Cu NWs electrode

Figure 4, shows the effect of pH on the peak current of 3.5 ppm of nitrate using the Cu NWs electrodes. When using a testing solution at pH \geq 3 the copper electrode changes its color after few analyses, shifting from a pink color to a darker one, indicating the copper oxidation, this led to the decrease of peak current clearly observable in figure 4. For pH \geq 5 the oxidation phenomena almost completely hindered the detection of nitrate ions. The detection at pH \leq 2 is minimal due to the presence of hydrogen evolution reaction. The best pH value that we have found is 2.5 that lead to obtain a maximum peak current (130 µA cm⁻²).



Figure 4 Effect of solution pH on the peak current of the detection of 3.5 ppm of nitrate ions





Figure 5 shows the effect of NWs length on the peak current of 1mM nitrates in a solution of Na₂SO₄0.1M at pH 2.5. For comparison, also a copper thin film was tested. From a planar electrode to a nanostructured one, the peak current highly increases due to the increase in surface area. If the NWs length is increased (by increasing the deposition time) from 30 min to 60 min the peak current increased as well, showing again the associated effect of the surface area. Interestingly, if the detection of nitrate ions is tried with longer NWs (120 min of deposition), the peak current decreases. We think that this is an effect of wettability. Indeed, vertically standing NWs are quite hydrophobic [67] and the hydrophobicity increases with increasing NW length. Furthermore, this effect is of importance when using polycarbonate as template because these membranes have many pores interconnections. These pores interconnections decrease the NWs wettability due to a higher physical obstruction. We found that in Poretics polycarbonate template (Figure S1), several interconnections are present, at different points of the cross-section of membrane, mainly located between 2 (from the bottom) and 5 µm. Therefore, nanowires that have lengths close to interconnections, such as those obtained after 120 minutes of deposition, even if they are longer than those obtained with a shorter deposition time, do not exhibit a real increase in the surface area. As is clearly visible in Figure S2, these nanowires have a more compact structure. In fact, as the height increases, the empty spaces between the nanowires decrease, making the structure more and more hydrophobic [68]. These results agree with the results of Figure 5 and justify the decrease in

The effect of increasing nitrate concentration is shown in Figure 6a. In order to show, at the same time, the reproducibility of the sensor, the LSV curves recorded at increasing concentration, from 10 to 50 μ M, were repeated in triplicate. For the same concentration value, the LSV curves are almost superimposed (independently of the concentration value) on each other. Thus, it can be concluded, that the electrodes are highly reproducible with a standard deviation of about 7%. The inset of Figure 6a shows the LSV curves for concentration from 100 to 5000 μ M. In Figure 6b the

corresponding calibration curve, in the entire investigated concentration range, is reported. As can be see, the shape of the calibration curve is a sigmoid with two identifiable linear ranges, the first with low sensitivity (0.063 μ A cm⁻², Figure 4c) and a the second with higher sensitivity (0.73 μ A cm⁻²), Figure 4d). After, a final saturation range can be also foreseen. Fernandez-Ramos et al, found a similar behavior when developing a photometric sensor for nitrates [69]. By the way, this is a common behavior of chemical, optical [70-72] and electrochemical sensors [73-77].





Figure 6 Effect of increasing nitrate ions concentration using Cu NWs electrode obtained with 60 min of galvanic deposition

The LOD was calculated using the following equation:

$$LOD = 3.3 * SD / S$$
 (3)

where SD is the standard error of the blank and S the sensitivity of the first linear range. The calculated LOD is 9.1 μ M, that is comparable to value reported in the literatures (see Table S1, where the performance of most recent electrochemical sensors for nitrate ions detection were listed). A lower LOD was found by [78] using unsorted thermal annealed Cu nanowires and by Stortini et al. [55] using ordered electrodeposited nanowire array. In both approaches, differential

pulse voltammetry (DPV) was employed as detection technique. DPV is a more precise electrochemical method but, in comparison to LSV, it is also more complex to optimize in terms of parameters (length of pulse, time duration, ecc) [79]. It is important to note that the LOD here obtained herein, is much lower than the limits established by EPA and WHO (750 μ M) and thus higher precision of sensors is useful but not essential. In addition, our electrodes were made with a very low-cost technique, rapidly and without any expensive post-treatment, such as the annealing at high temperature.

3.3 Interference study

In order to fully determine the performance of an electrochemical sensor, it is of great importance to undertake an interference study. It is well known that the presence of other chemicals can interfere with the detection due to both electrode modification and/or for possible voltametric peaks overlapping. Since the aim of this work is to detect nitrates in water real samples, the interfering chemical species (calcium, magnesium, potassium, chlorine, heavy metals, carbonate ions) were chosen as they typically present in water. These chemicals were added to the testing solution at different concentrations (in a very wide range from 0.5 to 500 ppm) and the results are shown in Figure 7a. In a solution of 50 ppm of nitrates, the addition of 500 ppm of interference species (Mg²⁺, CO_{3⁻⁻}, Cu²⁺, Pb²⁺, Zn²⁺, Ni²⁺, Hg²⁺, Al³⁺, SO_{4⁻⁻}, Na⁺) causes a negligible effect. In fact, the peak current density measured in the presence of the only nitrate ions exhibited a variation of lower than 5%. Among the investigated interfering chemical species, the higher interference comes from carbonate ions (about 5%). Finally, it is important to highlight that is very unusual to find 500 ppm of these chemical species in real water samples.

A considerable interference effect was found when trying to detect nitrates in presence of chlorine ions. This behavior is consistent with literature data [39,65,66,80] and is probably due to the fouling of sensor surface because of the formation of a thin layer of copper chloride [81]. In fact, it was found that as little as 10 ppm of Cl⁻ decreases the peak intensity of nitrates by about 10% and peak





Figure 7 (a) Interference of 500 ppm of different chemical species (b) interference of chlorine on the peak current for the detection of 50 ppm of nitrate ions

3.4 Real sample analysis

In order to validate the sensors with real sample, 3 different water samples were tested: rain water (Figure 8), river water (from Oreto River, Palermo, Sicily, Italy) and drinking water (Italy, commercial water). The method used to quantify the nitrate concentration is the standard addition method (SAM). Using this technique, no calibration lines are needed because it takes into account the effect of the matrix [86,87].





Figure 8 Real samples analysis. (a-b) Rain water (c-d) water from Oreto river.

Figure 8a shows the analysis carried out using rain water and the effect of increasing concentration of nitrates. Using the standard addition method (Figure 8b), a nitrate concentration of about 12 μ M (0.7 ppm) was detected using equation 3. Water from Oreto river was collected and filtered through paper filter and then acidified using sulfuric acid. If this water sample is tested without any other purification step, no results in terms of nitrates detection are obtained. In fact, using a standard sodium nitrate solution, the peak of reduction of nitrate ions was not detected even at high concentration (up to 5 mM), probably due to the high content of chlorine in this real sample (Figure S6). Thus, water from Oreto was treated by adding silver sulfate in order to remove the chlorine ions. In particular, silver sulfate was added in different steps (for each step an amount of Ag₂SO₄ to

reach a 0.1 mM was used) until to obtain the conclusion of the precipitation of AgCl. After this chemical treatment, the sample was tested and the results are shown in Figure 7b. The chemical purification makes possible the nitrate detection and we found that the peak current increases when increasing the nitrate concentration (Figure 8c). Using the SAM, a concentration of about 22 μ M (1.4 ppm) was detected (Figure 8d).

Finally, we have also analyzed samples of drinking water (Italy, commercial water). In this case, since the composition of water is completely known (in Figure S7 the data -sheet of water was reported), these water samples were directly tested and, using the calibration line, we have calculated, from the intensity of the peak current (Figure 9), the corresponding concentration of nitrate ions. The LSV experiment leads to a peak current of about 4.5 μ A cm⁻², corresponding to 4.38 ppm of nitrate ions (calibration of figure 6C). This value is very close to the value reported in the data-sheet of this commercial drinking water (4.4 ppm, Figure S5) that was measured by conventional laboratory techniques. This result testifying the good behavior of the sensors proposed in this work.



Figure 9 LSV experiment carried out with commercial drinking water

Conclusion

Copper NWs was fabricated by galvanic deposition and successfully used as nitrates sensor. The use of galvanic method makes the electrode fabrication cheap, fast, reproducible and easy to carry out. The NWs length can be controlled by tuning the deposition time and the effect of surface area on the features of nitrates sensor is shown. The sensor was optimized in terms NWs length and pH of solution detection. In the best conditions a LOD of about 9 μ M was found. Two linear ranges were found, from 10 to 50 uM and 50 to 1500 μ M, with a sensitivity of 0.0636 and 0.73 μ A μ M⁻¹ cm⁻², respectively. Selectivity tests showed that the sensor is highly selective towards many chemical species, typical present in real water samples, but as aspect, the presence of chlorine ions interferes with nitrates detection even at low concentration. In order to overcome this problem an easy and fast procedure is described to make chlorine precipitate. The sensor was validated using real water sample such as rain, river and drinkable water showing the applicability of the proposed electrode.

Bibliography

M. Ward, R. Jones, J. Brender, T. de Kok, P. Weyer, B. Nolan, C. Villanueva, S. van Breda,
Drinking Water Nitrate and Human Health: An Updated Review, Int. J. Environ. Res. Public. Health. 15
(2018) 1557. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15071557.

[2] Md.E.E. Alahi, S.C. Mukhopadhyay, Detection methods of nitrate in water: A review, Sens.
7 Actuators Phys. 280 (2018) 210–221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2018.07.026.

[3] T. Öznülüer, B. Özdurak, H. Öztürk Doğan, Electrochemical reduction of nitrate on graphene
modified copper electrodes in alkaline media, J. Electroanal. Chem. 699 (2013) 1–5.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2013.04.001.

[4] N.S. Bryan, J. Loscalzo, Nitrite and nitrate in human health and disease, 2017.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46189-2 (accessed January 14, 2020).

[5] C.S. Bruning-Fann, J.B. Kaneene, The effects of nitrate, nitrite and N-nitroso compounds on human
 health: a review, Vet. Hum. Toxicol. 35 (1993) 521–538.

[6] W. Lijinsky, N-Nitroso compounds in the diet, Mutat. Res. Toxicol. Environ. Mutagen. 443 (1999)
129–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5742(99)00015-0.

357 [7] European Food Safety Authority, Nitrate in vegetables Scientific Opinion of the Panel on 3158 Contaminants in the Food chain, EFSA J. 689 (2008) 1-79. 2

359 [8] Y.H. Loh, P. Jakszyn, R.N. Luben, A.A. Mulligan, P.N. Mitrou, K.-T. Khaw, N-nitroso compounds 360 and cancer incidence: the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC)-Norfolk 361 Study, Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 93 (2011) 1053–1061. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.111.012377.

7 362 [9] S.S. Mirvish, Role of N-nitroso compounds (NOC) and N-nitrosation in etiology of gastric, esophageal, nasopharyngeal and bladder cancer and contribution to cancer of known exposures to NOC, Cancer Lett. 93 (1995) 17-48. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3835(95)03786-V.

363 1064 112 1365 1366 1366 1467 16 1368 1368 1369 D.B.J. Bosnir, M. Bevardi, A.G. Boskovic, S.M.D. Lasic, A. Krivohlavek, A. Racs, A. Mojosovic-[10] Cuic, N.U. Trstenjak, NITRATE IN LEAFY GREEN VEGETABLES AND ESTIMATED INTAKE, Afr. J. Tradit. Complement. Altern. Med. 14 (2017) 31–41. https://doi.org/10.21010/ajtcam.v14i3.4.

[11] L. Knobeloch, B. Salna, A. Hogan, J. Postle, H. Anderson, Blue babies and nitrate-contaminated well water., Environ. Health Perspect. 108 (2000) 675-678. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.00108675.

20 2**3]70** [12] https://www.epa.gov/ground-water-and-drinking-water/national-primary-drinking-water-regulations, 2**3271** 23 (n.d.).

²372 25 2673 27 27 2874 M. Moorcroft, Detection and determination of nitrate and nitrite: a review, Talanta. 54 (2001) 785-[13] 803. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0039-9140(01)00323-X.

[14] J.C. Suggs, J.H. Margeson, M.R. Midgett, Reduction of Nitrate to Nitrite with Cadmium, Anal. 23975 Chem. 52 (1980) 1955–1957.

33176 N.R. Stradiotto, H. Yamanaka, M.V.B. Zanoni, Electrochemical sensors: a powerful tool in [15] $32 \\ 377 \\ 3377$ analytical chemistry, J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 14 (2003) 159-173. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-33478 50532003000200003.

35 33679 [16] U. Yogeswaran, S.-M. Chen, A Review on the Electrochemical Sensors and Biosensors Composed 3**3780** 38 of Nanowires as Sensing Material, Sensors. 8 (2008) 290-313. https://doi.org/10.3390/s8010290.

394043814382[17] P. Dardano, I. Rea, L. De Stefano, Microneedles based electrochemical sensors: new tools for advanced biosensing, Curr. Opin. Electrochem. (2019) S2451910319300602. 43283 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coelec.2019.05.012.

43484 Carmelo Sunseri, Cristina Cocchiara, Fabrizio Ganci, Alessandra Moncada, Roberto Luigi Oliveri, [18] ⁴⁵385 46 4**3**86 Bernardo Patella, Salvatore Piazza, Rosalinda Inguanta, Nanostructured electrochemical devices for sensing, energy conversion and storage, Chem. Eng. Trans. 47 (2016) 43-48. https://doi.org/10.3303/CET1647008.

48 4**3987** [19] Patella B., Piazza S., Sunseri C., Inguanta R., Nio thin film for mercury detection in water by square 53888 wave anodic stripping voltammetry, Chem. Eng. Trans. 60 (2017) 1–6. https://doi.org/10.3303/CET1760001. 51

⁵389 53 53 53 54 A.J.C. Wahl, I.P. Seymour, M. Moore, P. Lovera, A. O'Riordan, J.F. Rohan, Diffusion profile [20] simulations and enhanced iron sensing in generator-collector mode at interdigitated nanowire electrode arrays, Electrochimica Acta. 277 (2018) 235–243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2018.04.181. 5391 56

53792 W.-O. Caron, M. Lamhamedi, J. Viens, Y. Messaddeq, Practical Application of Electrochemical [21] ⁵393 59 6094 Nitrate Sensor under Laboratory and Forest Nursery Conditions, Sensors. 16 (2016) 1190. https://doi.org/10.3390/s16081190.

61 62

30

43

63 64

395 S. Zhao, J. Tong, Y. Li, J. Sun, C. Bian, S. Xia, Palladium-Gold Modified Ultramicro Interdigital [22] 3196 Array Electrode Chip for Nitrate Detection in Neutral Water, Micromachines. 10 (2019) 223. 397 3 https://doi.org/10.3390/mi10040223.

498 298 G.A. Sherwood, D.C. Johnson, A chromatographic determination of nitrate with amperometric [23] detection at a copperized cadmium electrode, Anal. Chim. Acta. 129 (1981) 101-111. 399 4700 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(01)84123-4. 8

401 [24] M. Bertotti, D. Pletcher, Amperometric determination of nitrite via reaction with iodide using 10 1402 microelectrodes, Anal. Chim. Acta. 337 (1997) 49-55. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(96)00431-X.

12 1**4**03 A.Y. Chamsi, A.G. Fogg, Oxidative flow injection amperometric determination of nitrite at an [25] 14404 electrochemically pre-treated glassy carbon electrode, The Analyst. 113 (1988) 1723. 1**4705** 16 https://doi.org/10.1039/an9881301723.

 $^{1406}_{18}_{1407}$ [26] S.A. Glazier, E.R. Campbell, W.H. Campbell, Construction and Characterization of Nitrate Reductase-Based Amperometric Electrode and Nitrate Assay of Fertilizers and Drinking Water, Anal. Chem. 24008 70 (1998) 1511-1515. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac971146s. 21

24709 M.A. Alawi, Determination of nitrate and nitrite in water by HPLC with amperometric detection, [27] (n.d.) 1.

²410 ²⁴ ²⁵ 2611 2412 D. Pan, W. Lu, H. Zhang, L. Zhang, J. Zhuang, Voltammetric determination of nitrate in water [28] samples at copper modified bismuth bulk electrode, Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem. 93 (2013) 935–945. 24913 https://doi.org/10.1080/03067319.2012.690149. 29

 $^{3414}_{^{31}}_{_{32}}$ [29] J. Krista, M. Kopanica, L. NovotnyÂ, Voltammetric Determination of Nitrates Using Silver Electrodes, (2000) 6.

33 3**4**16 M.E. Bodini, D.T. Sawyer, Voltammetric determination of nitrate ion at parts-per-billion levels, [30] 34517 Anal. Chem. 49 (1977) 485–489. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac50011a037. 36

34718 [31] R.J. Davenport, D.C. Johnson, Voltammetric determination of nitrate and nitrite ions using a rotating 38 419 cadmium disk electrode, Anal. Chem. 45 (1973) 1979–1980. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60333a038.

40 4**1**20 S.M. Shariar, T. Hinoue, Simultaneous Voltammetric Determination of Nitrate and Nitrite Ions [32] 44221 Using a Copper Electrode Pretreated by Dissolution/Redeposition, Anal. Sci. 26 (2010) 1173–1179. **44222** 44 https://doi.org/10.2116/analsci.26.1173.

45 423 46 [33] V. Mareček, H. Jänchenová, Z. Samec, M. Březina, Voltammetric determination of nitrate, 4<u>4</u>24 perchlorate and iodide at a hanging electrolyte drop electrode, Anal. Chim. Acta. 185 (1986) 359–362. 44825 https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2670(86)80067-8. 49

54226 [34] D. Quan, J.H. Shim, J.D. Kim, H.S. Park, G.S. Cha, H. Nam, Electrochemical Determination of 54**127** Nitrate with Nitrate Reductase-Immobilized Electrodes under Ambient Air, Anal. Chem. 77 (2005) 4467-₅4<u>5</u>28 4473. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac050198b.

54 54529 D. Albanese, M. Di Matteo, C. Alessio, Screen printed biosensors for detection of nitrates in [35] 5430 drinking water, in: Comput. Aided Chem. Eng., Elsevier, 2010: pp. 283-288. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1570-547 431 7946(10)28048-3.

59 **432** A.A. Gokhale, J. Lu, R.R. Weerasiri, J. Yu, I. Lee, Amperometric Detection and Quantification of [36] 64133 Nitrate Ions Using a Highly Sensitive Nanostructured Membrane Electrocodeposited Biosensor Array,

434 Electroanalysis. 27 (2015) 1127-1137. https://doi.org/10.1002/elan.201400547.

1 4235 [37] M. Badea, A. Amine, G. Palleschi, D. Moscone, G. Volpe, A. Curulli, New electrochemical sensors 436 for detection of nitrites and nitrates, J. Electroanal. Chem. 509 (2001) 66-72. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-437 0728(01)00358-8.

6 4,38 D. Reyter, Electrochemical Reduction of Nitrate, in: G. Kreysa, K. Ota, R.F. Savinell (Eds.), Encycl. [38] 4839 Appl. Electrochem., Springer New York, New York, NY, 2014: pp. 585–593. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-**440** 10 4419-6996-5 135.

¹441 12 1442 1442 J. Davis, M.J. Moorcroft, S.J. Wilkins, R.G. Compton, M.F. Cardosi, Electrochemical detection of [39] nitrate and nitrite at a copper modified electrode, The Analyst. 125 (2000) 737-742. 14443 https://doi.org/10.1039/a909762g. 15

14644 [40] H. Essousi, H. Barhoumi, M. Bibani, N. Ktari, F. Wendler, A. Al-Hamry, O. Kanoun, Ion-Imprinted ¹4745 18 Electrochemical Sensor Based on Copper Nanoparticles-Polyaniline Matrix for Nitrate Detection, J. Sens. 1446 2019 (2019) 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/4257125.

20 2447 H. Bagheri, A. Hajian, M. Rezaei, A. Shirzadmehr, Composite of Cu metal nanoparticles-multiwall [41] 24248 carbon nanotubes-reduced graphene oxide as a novel and high performance platform of the electrochemical 24**3**49 sensor for simultaneous determination of nitrite and nitrate, J. Hazard. Mater. 324 (2017) 762–772. 2450 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.11.055.

26 2**451** [42] B. Hafezi, M.R. Majidi, A sensitive and fast electrochemical sensor based on copper nanostructures 24752 for nitrate determination in foodstuffs and mineral waters, Anal. Methods. 5 (2013) 3552. 2453 30 https://doi.org/10.1039/c3ay26598f.

31 454 [43] Y. Wu, M. Gao, S. Li, Y. Ren, G. Qin, Copper wires with seamless 1D nanostructures: Preparation 3455 and electrochemical sensing performance, Mater. Lett. 211 (2018) 247-249. 34756 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2017.10.016. 35

- ³457 37 3858 [44] Bernardo Patella, Rosalinda Inguanta, Salvatore Piazza, Carmelo Sunseri, Nanowire ordered arrays for electrochemical sensing of h2o2, Chem. Eng. Trans. 47 (2016) 19-24. 34259 https://doi.org/10.3303/CET1647004. 40
- 4460 R. Inguanta, S. Piazza, C. Sunseri, Novel procedure for the template synthesis of metal [45] 4461 43 44 45 462 nanostructures, Electrochem. Commun. 10 (2008) 506–509. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2008.01.019.

[46] B. Patella, C. Sunseri, R. Inguanta, Nanostructured Based Electrochemical Sensors, J. Nanosci. 4463 Nanotechnol. 19 (2019) 3459-3470. https://doi.org/10.1166/jnn.2019.16110.

47 44664 F. Ganci, S. Lombardo, C. Sunseri, R. Inguanta, Nanostructured electrodes for hydrogen production [47] 4**465** 50 in alkaline electrolyzer, Renew. Energy. 123 (2018) 117-124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.02.033.

5466 52 F. Ganci, T. Baguet, G. Aiello, V. Cusumano, P. Mandin, C. Sunseri, R. Inguanta, Nanostructured Ni [48] ₅4<u>5</u>67 Based Anode and Cathode for Alkaline Water Electrolyzers, Energies. 12 (2019) 3669. 54468 https://doi.org/10.3390/en12193669. 55

5469 B. Patella, R. Inguanta, S. Piazza, C. Sunseri, A nanostructured sensor of hydrogen peroxide, Sens. [49] 57 470 58 Actuators B Chem. 245 (2017) 44–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2017.01.106.

59 471 Fabrizio Ganci, Rosalinda Inguanta, Salvatore Piazza, Carmelo Sunseri, Salvatore Lombardo, [50] 64172 Fabrication and characterization of nanostructured ni and pd electrodes for hydrogen evolution reaction (her)

- 473 in water-alkaline electrolyzer, Chem. Eng. Trans. 57 (2017) 1591–1596.
 474 https://doi.org/10.3303/CET1757266.
- 475 [51] M. Battaglia, S. Piazza, C. Sunseri, R. Inguanta, Amorphous silicon nanotubes via galvanic
 476 displacement deposition, Electrochem. Commun. 34 (2013) 134–137.
 477 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2013.05.041.
- 7
 478 [52] C. Cocchiara, C. Sunseri, S. Piazza, R. Inguanta, Pb-PbOHCl Composite Nanowires Synthesized by
 479 Galvanic Deposition in Template, J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 19 (2019) 4677–4685.
 480 https://doi.org/10.1166/jnn.2019.16362.
- https://doi.org/10.1166/jnn.2019.16362.
 A. Moncada, S. Piazza, C. Sunseri, R. Inguanta, Recent improvements in PbO2 nanowire electrodes for lead-acid battery, J. Power Sources. 275 (2015) 181–188.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.10.189.
- 147
 1484
 18
 1485
 154] N. Karimian, L. Moretto, P. Ugo, Nanobiosensing with Arrays and Ensembles of Nanoelectrodes, Sensors. 17 (2016) 65. https://doi.org/10.3390/s17010065.
- 20
 2486 [55] A.M. Stortini, L.M. Moretto, A. Mardegan, M. Ongaro, P. Ugo, Arrays of copper nanowire
 2487 electrodes: Preparation, characterization and application as nitrate sensor, Sens. Actuators B Chem. 207
 2388 (2015) 186–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2014.09.109.
- (2015) 186–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2014.09.109.
 [56] R. Inguanta, G. Ferrara, S. Piazza, C. Sunseri, A new route to grow oxide nanostructures based on metal displacement deposition. Lanthanides oxy/hydroxides growth, Electrochimica Acta. 76 (2012) 77–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2012.04.146.
- ³⁴92 [57] R. Inguanta, S. Piazza, C. Sunseri, A Route to Grow Oxide Nanostructures Based on Metal
 ³¹ Displacement Deposition: Lanthanides Oxy/Hydroxides Characterization, J. Electrochem. Soc. 159 (2012)
 ³⁴⁹⁴ D493–D500. https://doi.org/10.1149/2.047208jes.
 ³⁴⁵ D493–D500. https://doi.org/10.1149/2.047208jes.
- M. Battaglia, R. Inguanta, S. Piazza, C. Sunseri, Fabrication and characterization of nanostructured
 Ni–IrO2 electrodes for water electrolysis, Int. J. Hydrog. Energy. 39 (2014) 16797–16805.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.08.065.
- J. Peng, L. Liu, H. Kuang, G. Cui, C. Xu, Development of an icELISA and immunochromatographic
 strip for detection of norfloxacin and its analogs in milk, Food Agric. Immunol. 28 (2017) 288–298.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/09540105.2016.1263987.
- https://doi.org/10.1080/09540105.2016.1263987.
 [60] Y. Li, Z. Zhang, Y. Song, C. Bian, J. Sun, H. Dong, S. Xia, Determination of Nitrate in Potable
 Water Using a Miniaturized Electrochemical Sensor, in: 2018 IEEE 13th Annu. Int. Conf. NanoMicro Eng.
 Mol. Syst. NEMS, IEEE, Singapore, 2018: pp. 619–622. https://doi.org/10.1109/NEMS.2018.8557007.
- 4504 [61] A.M. Stortini, S. Fabris, G. Saorin, E.V. Falzacappa, L.M. Moretto, P. Ugo, Plasma Activation of Copper Nanowires Arrays for Electrocatalytic Sensing of Nitrate in Food and Water, Nanomaterials. 9
 5506 (2019) 150. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano9020150.
- 53
 53
 54
 5507 [62] H.R. Lotfi Zadeh Zhad, R.Y. Lai, Comparison of nanostructured silver-modified silver and carbon ultramicroelectrodes for electrochemical detection of nitrate, Anal. Chim. Acta. 892 (2015) 153–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2015.08.022.
- [63] N. Aouina, H. Cachet, C. Debiemme-chouvy, T.T.M. Tran, Insight into the electroreduction of nitrate ions at a copper electrode, in neutral solution, after determination of their diffusion coefficient by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, Electrochimica Acta. 55 (2010) 7341–7345.

513 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2010.07.032.

1 5⁄14 B. Zhang, B. Chen, J. Wu, S. Hao, G. Yang, X. Cao, L. Jing, M. Zhu, S.H. Tsang, E.H.T. Teo, Y. [64] 5315 Huang, The Electrochemical Response of Single Crystalline Copper Nanowires to Atmospheric Air and 416 Aqueous Solution, Small. 13 (2017) 1603411. https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201603411.

6 5,17 D. Pletcher, Z. Poorabedt, The reduction of nitrate at a copper cathode in aqueous acid.pdf, [65] 5818 Electrochimica Acta. 24 (1979) 1253-1256. 9

1519 12 12 13 14 15 21 15 22 15 23 17 [66] N.G. Carpenter, D. Pletcher, Amperometric method for the determination of nitrate in water, Anal. Chim. Acta. 317 (1995) 287-293. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2670(95)00384-3.

R. Wen, Q. Li, J. Wu, G. Wu, W. Wang, Y. Chen, X. Ma, D. Zhao, R. Yang, Hydrophobic copper [67] nanowires for enhancing condensation heat transfer, Nano Energy. 33 (2017) 177-183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2017.01.018.

Y.-H. Cheng, C.-K. Chou, C. Chen, S.-Y. Cheng, Critical length of nanowires for hydrophobic [68] behavior, Chem. Phys. Lett. 397 (2004) 17-20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2004.08.063.

¹524 1925 21 2526 2227 2526 2527 2428 2529 [69] M.D. Fernández-Ramos, M. Greluk, A.J. Palma, E. Arroyo-Guerrero, J. Gómez-Sánchez, L.F. Capitán-Vallvey, The use of one-shot sensors with a dedicated portable electronic radiometer for nitrate measurements in aqueous solutions, Meas. Sci. Technol. 19 (2008) 095204. https://doi.org/10.1088/0957-0233/19/9/095204.

27 2**530** G. Mohr, M. Wenzel, F. Lehmann, P. Czerney, A chromoreactand for optical sensing of [70] 25931 amphetamines, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 374 (2002) 399-402. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-002-1519-0. 30

3532 A. Lapresta-Fernández, R. Huertas, M. Melgosa, L.F. Capitán-Vallvey, Multianalyte imaging in one-[71] shot format sensors for natural waters, Anal. Chim. Acta. 636 (2009) 210-217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2009.01.044.

35 35635 [72] Suherman, M. Kinichi, K. Toshikazu, Highly selective and sensitive detection of β -agonists using a surface plasmon resonance sensor based on an alkanethiol monolayer functionalized on a Au surface.pdf, Biosens. Bioelectron. 67 (2015) 356-363.

536 37 40 4538 40 4538 40 4540 4540 4541 4541 4542 4542 4543 M. McMullan, N. Sun, P. Papakonstantinou, M. Li, W. Zhou, D. Mihailovic, Aptamer conjugated [73] Mo6S9–xIx nanowires for direct and highly sensitive electrochemical sensing of thrombin, Biosens. Bioelectron. 26 (2011) 1853–1859. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2010.01.030.

[74] H. Lin, H. Cheng, L. Liu, Z. Zhu, Y. Shao, P. Papakonstantinou, D. Mihailovič, M. Li, Thionin attached to a gold electrode modified with self-assembly of Mo6S9-XIX nanowires for amplified electrochemical detection of natural DNA, Biosens. Bioelectron. 26 (2011) 1866-1870. 4**5944** 50 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2010.01.035.

5145 5245 5546 Md.A. Kafi, T.-H. Kim, J.H. An, J.-W. Choi, Fabrication of Cell Chip for Detection of Cell Cycle [75] Progression Based on Electrochemical Method, Anal. Chem. 83 (2011) 2104–2111. 55447 https://doi.org/10.1021/ac102895b. 55

5548 5749 5849 5950 K. Islam, Y.-C. Jang, K.J. Sandeep, H.L. Hyun, K. Yong-Sang, Microfluidic Biosensor for β -[76] Amyloid(1-42) Detection Using Cyclic Voltammetry, J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 11 (2011) 5657–5662.

G. Gauglitz, Analytical evaluation of sensor measurements, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 410 (2018) 5–13. [77] 65151 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-017-0624-z.

- J. Liang, Y. Zheng, Z. Liu, Nanowire-based Cu electrode as electrochemical sensor for detection of
 nitrate in water, Sens. Actuators B Chem. 232 (2016) 336–344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2016.03.145.
 - [79] A.J. Bard, L.R. Faulkner, Electrochemical methods: fundamentals and applications, 2nd ed, Wiley,
 New York, 2001.

[80] A.G. Fogg, S.P. Scullion, T.E. Edmonds, B.J. Birch, Direct reductive amperometric determination of
nitrate at a copper electrode formed in situ in a capillary-fill sensor device, The Analyst. 116 (1991) 573.
https://doi.org/10.1039/an9911600573.

[81] G. Karim-Nezhad, P. Seyed Dorraji, Copper chloride modified copper electrode: Application to
electrocatalytic oxidation of methanol, Electrochimica Acta. 55 (2010) 3414–3420.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2010.01.057.

[82] EPA Nitrati.pdf, (n.d.). https://www.epa.gov/ground-water-and-drinking-water/national-primary drinking-water-regulations.

[83] R.J. Spencer, H.P. Eugster, B.F. Jones, S.L. Rettig, Geochemistry of Great Salt Lake, Utah I:
Hydrochemistry since 1850, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 49 (1985) 727–737. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(85)90167-X.

[84] M. Pontié, J.S. Derauw, S. Plantier, L. Edouard, L. Bailly, Seawater desalination: nanofiltration—a
substitute for reverse osmosis?, Desalination Water Treat. 51 (2013) 485–494.
https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2012.714594.

[85] R.C. West, Handbook of chemistry and physics, 56th ed., 1975.

[86] J.E.T. Andersen, The standard addition method revisited, TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. 89 (2017) 21–
33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2016.12.013.

[87] B.E.H. Saxberg, B.R. Kowalski, Generalized standard addition method, Anal. Chem. 51 (1979)
1031–1038. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac50043a059.

7 Figure Captions

 Figure 8 SEM images of Cu-NWs obtained after 30 minutes of deposition

Figure 9 XRD pattern of as-prepared Cu NWs after template dissolution

Figure 10 CV in the presence of 1mM of nitrate ions using Cu NWs electrode

Figure 11 Effect of solution pH on the peak current relative to the detection of 3.5 ppm of nitrate ions

Figure 12 Effect of nanostructures length on the intensity of peak current of nitrate ions detection

Figure 13 Effect of increasing nitrate ions concentration using Cu NWs electrode obtained with 60 min of galvanic deposition

Figure 14 (a) Interference of 500 ppm of different chemical species (b) interference of chlorine on the peak current for the detection of 50 ppm of nitrate ions

Figure 8 Real samples analysis. (a-b) Rain water (c-d) water from Oreto river.

Figure 9 LSV experiment carried out with commercial drinking water