



4th International Conference on
Higher Education Learning Methodologies and Technologies Online
HELMeTO2022

September 21-23, 2022
Palermo, Italy

Book of Abstracts

Sponsored by



National Research Council of Italy
Institute for Educational Technologies



**Università
degli Studi
di Palermo**

sirem
|| || || ||

Organizing Committee

General Chairs:

Marta Cimitile	Unitelma Sapienza University, Italy
Giosuè Lo Bosco	University of Palermo, Italy
Davide Taibi	CNR – Institute for Educational Technology, Italy

Permanent Steering Committee:

Daniel Burgos	Universidad Internacional de La Rioja, Spain
Gabriella Casalino	University of Bari, Italy
Marta Cimitile	UniTelma Sapienza University, Italy
Riccardo Pecori	Universitas Mercatorum, Italy
Pietro Picerno	eCampus University, Italy
Paolo Raviolo	eCampus University, Italy
Pier Cesare Rivoltella	‘Sacro Cuore’ Catholic University, Italy
Christian Stracke	European Institute for Learning, Innovation and Cooperation, Germany

Program Chairs:

Saida Affouneh	An-Najah National University, Palestine
Gabriella Casalino	Università degli Studi di Bari, Italy
Alessandra La Marca	University of Palermo, Italy

Publication Chairs:

Daniel Burgos	Universidad Internacional de La Rioja, Spain
Giovanni Fulantelli	CNR – Institute for Educational Technology, Italy

Publicity and Communication Chairs:

Eleni Ilkou	L3S Research Center, Germany
Daniele Schicchi	University of Palermo, Italy

Special Session Chair:

Elif Gulbay	University of Palermo, Italy
-------------	------------------------------

Local Organization Chairs:

Salvatore Calderaro	University of Palermo, Italy
Giuseppe Bianco	University of Palermo, Italy
Mariella Farella	CNR – Institute for Education Technology, Italy

Web Master:

Daniele Schicchi	University of Palermo, Italy
------------------	------------------------------

Program Committee

Agrati Laura Sara	Università degli studi di Bergamo
Albanese Martina	University of Palermo
Albano Giovannina	University of Bari
Amato Domenico	University of Palermo
Ardimento Pasquale	University of Bari
Arrigo Marco	CNR Institute for Educational Technology
Barattucci Massimiliano	University of Bergamo
Baroni Federica	University of Bergamo
Barpi Fabrizio	Politecnico di Torino
Bernardi Mario Luca	Università del Sannio
Borras-Gene Oriol	Universidad Rey Juan Carlos
Calderaro Salvatore	University of Palermo
Campisi Patrizia	University of Palermo
Cappuccio Giuseppa	University of Palermo
Casalino Gabriella	University of Bari
Cimitile Marta	Unitelma
Cristaldi Giusy	Pegaso International, I.S.S. C. Marchesi, Mascalucia
Dalmazzo Davide	Politecnico di Torino
De Blasio Antonella	eCampus University
De Rossi Marina	University of Padova
del Gobbo Emiliano	University of Foggia
Di Gangi Mattia Antonino	AppTek
Di Mitri Daniele	Leibniz Institute for Research and Information in Education
Di Paola Benedetto	University of Palermo
Dimitriadis Yannis	University of Valladolid
Doronzo Federica	University of Foggia
Drlik Martin	University in Nitra
Du Xu	Central China Normal University
Eleni Ilkou	L3S Research Center
Falzone Ylenia	University of Palermo
Farella Mariella	CNR Institute for Educational Technology
Fazio Claudio	University of Palermo
Ferrari Simona	Università Cattolica
Fiorentino Michele Giuliano	University of Bari
Froehlich Dominik	Institut für Bildungswissenschaft
Fulantelli Giovanni	National Research Council of Italy, Institute for Educational Technology, Palermo
Gama Sandra	Universidade de Lisboa
Gilardi Marco	University of the West of Scotland
González Enríquez Isabel	Universidad Complutense de Madrid
Guarino Alfonso	University of Foggia
Gulbay Elif	University of Palermo
Hernandez-Leo Davinia	Universitat Pompeu Fabra
Hoppe H. Ulrich	Rhein-Ruhr Institute (RIAS)
Iuliano Enzo	eCampus University

Izgi Ümit	hacettepe university
Jablonski Simone	Goethe-Universität Frankfurt
Jacques Sebastien	Université de Tours
José Moreno Guerrero Antonio	Universidad de Granada
Kruschwitz Udo	University of Regensburg
Lanzetta Michele	University of Pisa
Lazzari Marco	University of Bergamo
Litsa Maria	University of Thessaly
Lo Bosco Giosuè	University of Palermo
Lombardo Renato	University of Palermo
Longo Leonarda	University of Palermo
Lopez-Bastias José Luis	Universidad Rey Juan Carlos
Maffei Antonio	KTH Royal Institute of Technology in Stockholm
Maiorana Francesco	University of Urbino
Mancini Riccardo	eCampus University
Marquez Ronald	North Carolina State University
Martino Federica	University of Palermo
Masrour Tawfik	ENSAM Meknes My Ismaïl University
Massaro Stefania	University of Bari
Meletiou-Mavrotheris Maria	European University Cyprus
Messina Salvatore	eCampus University
Monfroy Eric	University of Nantes
Montano Debora	Università del Sannio
Montone Antonella	University of Bari
Parrinello Daniela	University of Palermo
Pecori Riccardo	University of Sannio
Pedone Francesca	University of Palermo
Picerno Pietro	eCampus University
Pierrri Anna	University of Salerno
Pilato Giovanni	National Research Council of Italy, ICAR, Palermo
Ragni Benedetta	University of Foggia
Raviolo Paolo	eCampus University
Rondonotti Marco	eCampus University
Ros Martín Montserrat Irene	Universidad Rey Juan Carlos
Schicchi Daniele	University of Palermo
Scifo Lidia	National Research Council of Italy, Institute for Educational Technology, Palermo
Simone Maria Grazia	eCampus University
Slavickova Maria	University in Bratislava
Taibi Davide	National Research Council of Italy, Institute for Educational Technology, Palermo
Trevisan Ottavia	University of Padova
Tu Chih-Hsiung	Northern Arizona University
Vinci Fiorella	eCampus University
Vinci Viviana	eCampus University
Zaza Gianluca	University of Bari

Table of Contents

HELMeTO 2022 Editorial: Introduction to the Scientific Contributions	i
<i>Saida Affouneh, Daniel Burgos, Gabriella Casalino, Marta Cimitile, Giovanni Fulantelli, Giosuè Lo Bosco and Davide Taibi</i>	
General Track 1 - Online pedagogy and learning methodologies	
The effect of COVID-19 pandemic on online learning. A survey on a sample of Italian undergraduates.....	2
<i>Barbara Caci, Giulia Giordano and Marianna Alesi</i>	
On line Peer Assessment with Heterogeneous groups of students formed by a Machine-learning-based application.....	5
<i>Daniela Amendola, Giacomo Nalli and Cristina Miceli</i>	
Development of a Remedial Course for Students Who Attend Classes of Circuit Theory...	8
<i>Enrico Perano and Paolo Manfredi</i>	
Externalizing Practical Knowledge Through Online Co-creation in Healthcare Education: A Methodological Study.....	10
<i>Fumiya Urushibata, Shogo Ishikawa, Hideki Ueno, Kaoru Sonoda, Yujun Murakami and Shinya Kiriyama</i>	
Boost students' engagement in Higher Education with Peer Teaching.....	13
<i>Graziano Cecchinato and Romina Papa</i>	
The impact of Covid-19 on Italian Higher Education in the context of the European scenario.....	16
<i>Paolo Raviolo</i>	
Social Learning for Professional Development. A In-house Service Learning experimentation in Initial Teachers Training.....	18
<i>Valentina Cautiero, Elena Valgolio and Pier Cesare Rivoltella</i>	
Online Teaching and Learning for all? Experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic	20
<i>Leonard Busuttil, Michelle Attard Tonna and Colin Calleja</i>	
e-tutoring in Higher Education: research and experimentation.....	23
<i>Irene Mauro and Marco Rondonotti</i>	
Audio-visual atelier between media education and teaching	26
<i>Pier Cesare Rivoltella, Chiara Panciroli, Alberto Parola, Laura Corazza and Anita Macaudo</i>	
Performative teaching in higher education: from systematic review to the construction of a survey	29
<i>Salvatore Messina and Eleonora Mazzotti</i>	
How did university students adapt to the “new normal” of teaching and learning during the pandemic? A qualitative study.....	32
<i>Andrea Tinterri, Maka Eradze, Delio De Martino, Manuela Ladogana, Annalisa Quinto, Angelica Disalvo, Isabella Loiodice and Anna Dipace</i>	

General Track 2 - Learning technologies, data analytics and educational big data mining as well as their applications

Redefining Digital Distance Learning and Roles of Ten Digital Learning Tools in Support of Distance Learning Processes	36
<i>Sean Eom</i>	
A macro-level analytics of MOOC features in a regional platform: course design, scheduling, participation	39
<i>Annamaria De Santis, Katia Sannicandro, Claudia Bellini and Tommaso Minerva</i>	
Exploring data cultures in two universities: Contextualised data practices and needed literacies	42
<i>Juliana Elisa Raffaghelli, Valentina Grion and Marina De Rossi</i>	
Exploiting Student Participation for Early Prediction of Course Quality in Universities ...	45
<i>Gianni Fenu, Roberta Galici, Mirko Marras and Simone Picciau</i>	
Predicting students' academic success: the role of students' social-relational skills and synchronous activities	48
<i>Giorgio Cecchi and Sara Mori</i>	

Special Track 1 - Improving education via XR and AI

Investigating Mixed Reality's Influence on Climate Change in an Undergraduate Science Education Course	52
<i>Leonard Annetta and Mark Newton</i>	
LOs within Moodle platform: IEEE-LOM and OAI-PMH integration	55
<i>Georgia Psyrra and Eleni Mangina</i>	
Development of experiential learning, modelling and repetition processes in Virtual Reality applications. Theoretical analysis and didactic implications	58
<i>Ilaria Terrenghi and Andrea Garavaglia</i>	
Augmented and Virtual Reality Experiences in Computer Science Education	61
<i>Gaetano Anastasi and Enzo Giuseppe Munna</i>	
Teaching Science Concepts via Augmented Reality in the Fairy Tale Science Augmented (FAnTASIA) Project	64
<i>Giuseppe Chiazzese, Eleni Mangina, Crispino Tosto, Luciano Seta, Antonella Chifari, Paola Denaro, Doriana Dhrami, Marco Arrigo, Mariella Farella, Christos Ioannides, Konstantinos Tsolakidis and Darya Yegorina</i>	
A framework for improving High Education Experiences by Deep Learning and Immersive Technologies	67
<i>Marco Arrigo, Mariella Farella, Giosue Lo Bosco, Daniele Schicchi and Davide Taibi</i>	
An innovative example of using Augmented Reality into behavioral lessons	70
<i>Mariella Farella, Marco Arrigo, Davide Taibi, Crispino Tosto, Luciano Seta, Antonella Chifari, Sui Lin Goei, Eleni Mangina, Fridolin Wild, Paola Denaro, Doriana Dhrami and Giuseppe Chiazzese</i>	

On cooperative learning and peer tutoring. A decision support system for students' group formation.....	73
<i>Alfonso Guarino, Emiliano del Gobbo, Daniele Schicchi, Luca Grilli, Barbara Cafarelli and Pierpaolo Limone</i>	

Special Track 2 - Educational Approaches and Innovative Applications to Counteract Social Media Threats

At cybersecurity school with Nabbovaldo: evaluation of a serious game.....	78
<i>Giorgia Bassi, Stefania Fabbri and Angela Franceschi</i>	
Designing Educational Interventions to Increase Students' Social Media Awareness - Experience From the COURAGE Project.....	81
<i>Davide Taibi, Johanna Schäwel, Ulrich Hoppe, Dimitri Ognibene, Davinia Hernández-Leo and Sabrina Eimler</i>	
A game based educational experience to increase awareness about the threats of social media filter bubbles and echo chambers inspired by “wisdom of the crowd”: preliminary results.....	84
<i>Francesco Lomonaco, Davide Taibi, Vito Trianni and Dimitri Ognibene</i>	
Enhancing Social Media Literacy Skills in Students: Empirically Investigating Virtual Learning Companions.....	87
<i>Emily Theophilou, Veronica Schwarze, Johanna Schäwel, J. Roberto Sánchez-Reina, Lidia Scifo, Francesco Lomonaco, Davide Taibi and Sabrina Eimler</i>	
The Courage Virtual Learning Companion: Learning Design and Technical Architecture..	90
<i>Farbod Aprin, Nils Malzahn, Francesco Lomonaco, Gregor Donabauer, Dimitri Ognibene, Udo Kruschwitz, Davinia Hernández-Leo, Giovanni Fulantelli and H. Ulrich Hoppe</i>	
Analyzing the intrinsic motivation in narrative scripts to enhance social media awareness .	93
<i>René Lobo, Roberto Sánchez-Reina, Emily Theophilou and Davinia Hernandez-Leo</i>	

Special Track 3 - Hybrid Learning and Accessibility in higher education

Metaphors and representations of the experience lived in blended synchronous mode learning by students of a university course. A lesson learned for teaching act from a descriptive longitudinal study.....	98
<i>Salvatore Patera, Ezio Del Gottardo, Andrea Tarantino</i>	
Online synchronous communication in a blended learning course: an analysis of Webinars.	101
<i>Serena Triacca and Federica Pelizzari</i>	
Designing for Student-Centered Hybrid Learning Environments: A Framework for Programming Languages Course Design.....	104
<i>Hüseyin Üvet, Tuba Ugras, James Sunney Quaioco, Abiodun Afolayan Ogunyemi, Merja Bauters, Yasin Ortakci, Kasim Ozacar, Ferhat Atasoy, Veselina Jecheva, Angel Toshkov, Daniele Peri and Dominique Persano Adorno</i>	

Accessibility in Blended Learning and Hybrid Solutions at Higher Education Level: A Word from the Students.....	107
<i>Ottavia Trevisan and Marina De Rossi</i>	
Now it's your turn: training the engineer of the future.....	110
<i>Cristina Soguero Ruíz and Vanessa Triviño</i>	
The inclusive design of a learning path with Integrated Digital Teaching in the Education Science Degree Course at the University of Genoa.....	113
<i>Valentina Pennazio and Samantha Armani</i>	
Perspectives on TLC development and technology-enhanced teaching and learning at the University of Trento.....	116
<i>Anna Serbati, Paola Venuti, Sabrina Maniero and Federica Picasso</i>	
Quality online learning: new perspectives of the Teaching and Learning Center.....	119
<i>Guendalina Peconio, Marco di Furia, Pierpaolo Limone and Alberto Fornasari</i>	
Creating Interactive Courses for a Modular Information System.....	122
<i>Jozef Kostolny and Veronika Karcolova</i>	

Special Track 4 - E-learning for providing “augmented” mathematics education at University level

Do 5W+H commute in Communication of Science?.....	126
<i>Maurizio Dabbicco, Sandra Lucente, Franco Liuzzi and Massimo Trotta</i>	
ASYMPTOTE: A tool for teaching and learning mathematics online.....	129
<i>Maria Flavia Mammanna, Eugenia Taranto, Despoina Koutsomanoli Filippaki and Georgios Fesakis</i>	
The role of feedback in a formative assessment path for pre-service Mathematics teachers: the case of rational numbers.....	132
<i>Michele Giuliano Fiorentino and Antonella Montone</i>	
Online asynchronous interactions on mathematics and mathematics teaching.....	135
<i>Anna Pierrri and Eugenia Taranto</i>	
Empowerment of in-service teachers through the use of technology at different levels.....	138
<i>Francesca Alessio, Chiara de Fabritiis and Agnese Ilaria Telloni</i>	
Pre-service primary teachers' professional development through an educational path in remote learning designed with an interdisciplinary perspective.....	141
<i>Michele Giuliano Fiorentino, Antonella Montone, Pier Giuseppe Rossi and Agnese Ilaria Telloni</i>	
Exploring Affective Outcomes in a Structured Online Problem-solving Learning Experience at University Level.....	144
<i>Annamaria Miranda</i>	

Special Track 5 - STEAM Education old and new challenges in distance teaching/learning approaches in Higher Education

Accessible websites as tools for approaching STEM education: a still open challenge	148
<i>Sonia Ravera and Francesco Tranfaglia</i>	
Differences in the comprehension of the limit concept and desired “connected knowing” in calculus between prospective mathematics teachers and managerial mathematicians	151
<i>Mária Slavíčková and Michaela Vargová</i>	
STEM education and digital instruments of the scientific museums and botanical gardens.	154
<i>Patrizia Campisi and Nicoletta Bonacasa</i>	
Understanding STEM students’ difficulties with mathematics	157
<i>Chiara Andrà, Domenico Brunetto, Caterina Bassi and Alessia Pini</i>	
Are you a collaborative e-learning platform?	160
<i>Tetiana Tolmachova and Eleni Ilkou</i>	
Digital tools to support interdisciplinary approaches to mathematics in high school	163
<i>Annarosa Serpe</i>	
Active Learning Methods in Higher Education in Presence and at a Distance: Theoretical Foundations and Examples from Physics Education Research	165
<i>Claudio Fazio and Onofrio Rosario Battaglia</i>	
A distance learning approach to surface phenomena based on Smoothed-particle hydrodynamics computational method	168
<i>Onofrio Rosario Battaglia and Claudio Fazio</i>	
Non-covalent interactions: an opportunity for AR use in chemistry education	171
<i>Maria Costa, Delia Francesca Chillura Martino, Antonella Maria Maggio and Renato Lombardo</i>	
Towards Integrated Digital Learning: prospective challenges in STEM education	174
<i>Roberto Capone and Mario Lepore</i>	
A phenomenological study about the effect of Covid-19 pandemic on teachers’ use of teaching resources about reasoning & proving in mathematics	177
<i>Benedetto Di Paola, Claudio Fazio, Onofrio Rosario Battaglia and Maria Slavickova</i>	
Use of mathematics and physics teachers’ resources during and post Covid-19 pandemic	180
<i>Jakub Michal and Tünde Kiss</i>	
Active blended learning in an undergraduate laboratory of analytical chemistry during pandemic	183
<i>Sergio Zappoli and Erika Scavetta</i>	

Special Track 6 - Online Faculty Development: Next Steps for Practice and Future Research

Autoethnography and faculty development: reflections from a co-teaching experience	188
<i>Laura Fedeli and Rosita Deluigi</i>	

Promoting a new Age of the Faculty Development through online initiatives	191
<i>Roberta Silva</i>	
Capability approach and sustainability, a survey for the faculty development.....	194
<i>Lucia Maniscalco and Martina Albanese</i>	
Co-design and Co-teaching in Higher Education: a research experience	197
<i>Loretta Fabbri, Mario Giampaolo and Martina Capaccioli</i>	
HOW TO CULTIVATE TRANSFORMATIVE LEARNING IN FACULTY DEVELOPMENT: TOWARDS THE FRAMEWORK OF THE 4S MODEL.....	200
<i>Alessandra Romano and Loretta Fabbri</i>	
Technology enhanced assessment and feedback practices: findings from a syllabi analysis to inform academic development	203
<i>Federica Picasso, Anna Serbati, Beatrice Doria, Paola Venuti and Valentina Grion</i>	
Hybrid mediation to faculty developers: didactic and organisational intersections in the TLC Uniba	206
<i>Loredana Perla, Viviana Vinci and Alessia Scarinci</i>	

Special Track 7 - Artificial Intelligence and Multimodal Technologies in Education (AI&MTed '22)

Effects of a Collaborative Video-Learning-Tool on Flow Perception, Cognitive Load and Usability Evaluation	210
<i>Carolin Straßmann, André Helgert and Andreas Lingnau</i>	
Validation of the Relationship among Brain Waves, Heart Rates, and Facial Expressions during Programming Learning	213
<i>Katsuyuki Umezawa, Makoto Nakazawa, Michiko Nakano and Shigeichi Hirasawa</i>	
Use of conversational agents in the university environment: First results.....	216
<i>Juan Bautista Jiménez , Luis de-la-Fuente-Valentin and Pablo Moreno-Ger</i>	
An Artificial Intelligence-based system for fast configuration of cultural and learning paths	219
<i>Yousef Ali Abd El Dayem, Amedeo Cesta, Gabriella Cortellessa, Riccardo De Benedictis, Carlo De Medio, Carla Limongelli and Augusto Palombini</i>	
Transformer-based Recommender Enabling Automatic Flashcards Generation	222
<i>Baha Thabet, Niccolò Zanichelli and Francesco Zanichelli</i>	

Special Track 8 - Experience-based training activities for online higher education

Designing Gamified Learning Activities on Digital Teaching in Higher Education	226
<i>Maria Ranieri, Elena Gabbi and Cristina Gaggioli</i>	
Online group activities and exercises to replace those in the classroom: indications from the pandemic phase.....	229
<i>Massimiliano Barattucci, Tiziana Ramaci, Alice Garofalo, Silvia Ivaldi and Giuseppe Scaratti</i>	

The invented case: an innovative (but not so new) instrument to teach law at distance	232
<i>Alberto Gianola and Domitilla Vanni</i>	
Experience-based activities in a blended model Master’s degree	235
<i>Giovanni Ganino, Loredana La Vecchia and Tamara Zappaterra</i>	
Training teachers’ digital skills after pandemic. A study on ‘Didactic technologies’ laboratory at UniBg	238
<i>Marco Lazzari, Laura Sara Agrati, Federica Baroni, Sabrina Natali and Juanjo Mena</i>	
A visualization software tool used in teaching of scheduling algorithms	241
<i>Peter Sedlacek, Patrik Rusnak and Dusan Novotnak</i>	
Behind the Scene of the 2022 edition of the Italian Coding League: Experience-based Learning for Computer Science Students	244
<i>Giorgio Delzanno, Luca Gelati, Giovanna Guerrini, Angela Sugliano and Daniele Traversaro</i>	

Special Track 9 - Intelligent Analytics for Process-aware Higher Education

Predicting student’s performance using environmental and activity metrics	248
<i>Lerina Aversano, Mario Luca Bernardi, Marta Cimitile, Martina Iammarino, Debora Montano and Chiara Verdone</i>	
Explainable fuzzy models for Learning Analytics	251
<i>Gabriella Casalino, Giovanna Castellano and Gianluca Zaza</i>	
Fuzzy Hoeffding Decision Trees for Incremental and Interpretable Predictions of Students’ Outcomes	254
<i>Gabriella Casalino, Pietro Ducange, Michela Fazzolari and Riccardo Pecori</i>	
Students’ dropout predictive models in higher education	257
<i>Francesca Del Bonifro, Maurizio Gabbrielli, Anita Macaуда, Chiara Panciroli, Andrea Zanellati and Stefano Pio Zingaro</i>	
An Experience of Integrating Flipped Classroom Strategy in an Academic Course	260
<i>Pasquale Ardimento and Michele Scalera</i>	

Special Track 10 - The digital innovation of university teaching observed through the prism of emotions

Pedagogical Strategies based on Socio-affective Scenarios: application and evaluation	264
<i>Jacqueline Mayumi Akazaki, Leticia Rocha Machado, Patricia Alejandra Behar and Magali Teresinha Longhi</i>	
The didactic use of video and perceptual processes. A Pedagogical reflection	266
<i>Alessandra Marfoggia, Ilaria D’Angelo, Aldo Caldarelli and Chiara Gentilozzi</i>	
A STUDY ON EMPATHY FROM SOCIO-AFFECTIVE INDICATORS IDENTIFIED IN A VIRTUAL LEARNING ENVIRONMENT	269
<i>Magali Teresinha Longhi, Patricia Alejandra Behar and Leticia Machado</i>	

The invisible bridges: the functions of technology and emotions in rehabilitation programs for prisoners	272
<i>Lara Giovanelli</i>	
The sociological relevance of public emotions in online university education. A nussbaumian interpretation	274
<i>Fiorella Vinci</i>	
eLearning, technology as a factor in enhancing the person in higher education and in the world of work	277
<i>Cristiana Zanuccoli</i>	

Special Track 11 - Empowering soft skills and digital competencies in higher education

UNIVERSITY TEACHERS' TECHNOLOGY ACCEPTANCE AND MOBILE EDUCATION.....	282
<i>Flavia Santoianni and Alessandro Ciasullo</i>	
Observing the effectiveness of a distance remedial course for the key-competences to enroll in the University: the case of PER.S.E.O	285
<i>Rita Cersosimo, Giulia Lombardi, Ruggero Pagnan and Maria Laura Torrente</i>	
The training potential of collaborative writing mediated by digital technology in times of pandemic: analysis of best practices	288
<i>Giuseppe Liverano</i>	
Development of Communication Skills Using Silent Video Task	291
<i>Simona Gorčáková and Klára Velmovská</i>	
Self-reflection and digital wisdom development of future teachers	294
<i>Alessandra La Marca, Elif Gulbay and Ylenia Falzone</i>	
Developing teachers' leadership skills with coaching.....	296
<i>Leonarda Longo, Valeria Di Martino and Federica Martino</i>	
Coding Maps: A Planetary Journey into Computational Thinking and Digital Skills.....	299
<i>Giorgio Delzanno, Giovanna Guerrini, Mattia Pusceddu, Giovanni Zanone and Angelo Ferrando</i>	
Blended Learning and New Scenarios.....	302
<i>Giusi Antonia Toto, Martina Rossi, Piergiorgio Guarini and Alessia Scarinci</i>	

Special Track 12 - Manufacturing Education for a Sustainable fourth industrial revolution

Manufacturing Education Transformation during Covid-19 Pandemics	306
<i>Tena Žužek, Primož Podržaj and Andreja Malus</i>	
How much digital learning is enough? Lesson learned from Covid-19.....	309
<i>Antonio Maffei and Fredrik Enoksson</i>	

Introducing sustainability themes in STEM education: evidences from some European countries	312
<i>Dario Antonelli, Paolo Minetola, Paolo Priarone, Antonio Maffei, Michele Lanzetta, Dorota Stadnicka and Primož Podržaj</i>	
An Archetype for Engineering Education Towards Industry 4.0 Enabled Sustainability	315
<i>Francesco Lupi, Mohammed M. Mabkhot, Pedro Ferreira, Niels Lohse, Dario Antonelli and Michele Lanzetta</i>	
Additive Manufacturing for Sustainability	318
<i>Francesco Lupi and Michele Lanzetta</i>	
Adapting education programs to the requirements of industry and society	321
<i>Dorota Stadnicka, Paweł Litwin and Łukasz Paško</i>	
A metacognition approach to engineering management education: the Debatethon	324
<i>Antonella Martini, Irene Spada, Vito Giordano, Gualtiero Fantoni and Filippo Chiarello</i>	

Designing for Student-Centered Hybrid Learning Environments: A Framework for Programming Languages Course Design

Hüseyin Uvet¹[0000-0003-0392-982X] Tuba Ugras¹[0000-0001-8241-5696] James Sunney Quaioco²[0000-0003-0287-6594] Abiodun Afolayan Ogunyemi²[0000-0002-1851-0442] Merja Bauters²[0000-0001-8501-5751] Veselina Jecheva³[0000-0002-3431-9269] Angel Toshkov³[0000-0003-3798-6283] Dominique Persano Adorno⁴[0000-0001-7655-1114] Daniele Peri⁴[0000-0002-8763-7199] Yasin Ortakci⁵[0000-0002-0683-2049] Kasim Ozacar⁵[0000-0001-7637-0620] Ferhat Atasoy⁵[0000-0002-1672-0593] Slavko Kocijancic⁶[0000-0003-4597-9729] David Rihtaršič⁶[0000-0003-3877-1137] and Špela Cerar⁶[0000-0003-0229-9539]

¹ Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

² Tallinn University, Tallinn, Estonia

³ Burgas Free University, Burgas 8001, Bulgaria

⁴ University of Palermo, Palermo 90128, Italy

⁵ Karabuk University, Karabuk, Turkey

⁶ University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
dominique.persanoadorno@unipa.it

1 Introduction

Hybrid learning environments are a means of delivering instructional content in that online educational materials and opportunities for interaction were combined with traditional classroom methods. Hybrid learning environments give students the opportunity to work in an environment enriched with digital learning tools, and to support student-based learning approach. Student-based learning approach promotes engagement to make students active learners via various ways such as interactivity, feedback, etc. Researchers identified feedback as an activity for promoting effective online learning and more so creating the environment for teacher-student teaching and learning interaction [1]. Besides, some instructional strategies, like gamification and flipping the classroom, have an important role in supporting active learning. In well-designed gamified environments, students tend to dedicate more meaningful interactions with the learning process, as their efforts are promptly and effectively recognized [2]. Students, in flipped classrooms, are transformed from passive listeners into active learners [3].

In this study, we aim to propose a useful course design framework for undergraduate programming languages in hybrid learning environments.

2 Methods and Processes

We followed the Kemp Instructional Design Model [4] to design the course, as explained in the following. First, we defined the instructional problems. Second, we worked on the learning styles and needs of both students and teachers by utilizing

questionnaires. The study used a questionnaire to elicit information from Bachelor level engineering students and from teachers. In all, 372 students sampled from 19 European countries participated in the data collection; whereas, 47 teachers from five European countries participated. Results from the questionnaire analysis showcased the major benefit of online programming language classes was the variety of learning materials, for both teachers and students. Whereas, the major challenge was providing not enough feedback. They preferred simulations, video tutorials, and external resources as the educational elements that support lessons. Furthermore, teachers also stated they would mostly like to employ gamification, flipped classroom, and project-based learning activities in their classes. We also analyzed the existing course plans from five European countries. According to the course plan analysis, we have identified the weekly topics, learning objectives, and the related pedagogical approaches.

Third, based on the data from the questionnaires and the course plan analysis, we made content analysis to determine the instructional objectives. Then, we sequenced the content, determined the instructional strategies, and designed the messages, by utilizing the content analysis. As a result of these steps, we developed a course plan template (see Fig. 1).

Pre-Instructional Activities				Instructional Session: pedagogical considerations						Post-Lesson activities			
Week/Topic of Subsequent Lesson	Topic/Sub-Topic	Specific Objectives/Learning outcomes	Lesson Assessment methods	Assigned resources and materials	Instructional delivery	Teacher content Activities (TCA)	Student content activities (SCA)	Formative assessment approaches (FA) to test and monitor student learning	Motivation Techniques (present, support and encouragement etc.)	Lesson Conclusion	Summative assessment and feedback activities	Student Remedial Plan	Preparation for next lesson (if flipping the class)
Week 1	Topic 1	Objective 1a	1 Paper for the next week	Resources 1	Activity 1a	TCA 1a	SCA 1a	1. Teacher driven. Peer driven, both of them are ok.	2. Ability to generate and sustain student's interest and motivation.		Lesson summative assessment/Feedback	Actioned plan for class members	Preparing materials for next lesson
	Sub-Topic 1a	Objective 1a		Resources 1a	Activity 1a	TCA 1a	SCA 1a						
	Sub-Topic 1b	Objective 1b		Resources 1b	Activity 1b	TCA 1b	SCA 1b						
	Sub-Topic 1c	Objective 1c		Resources 1c	Activity 1c	TCA 1c	SCA 1c						
Week 2	Topic 2	Objective 2											
	Sub-Topic 2a	Objective 2a											
	Sub-Topic 2b	Objective 2b											
Week 3	Topic 3	Objective 3											
	Sub-Topic 3a	Objective 3a											
	Sub-Topic 3b	Objective 3b											
Week 4	Topic 4	Objective 4											
	Sub-Topic 4a	Objective 4a											
	Sub-Topic 4b	Objective 4b											

Fig. 1. The course plan template.

The template has features especially in terms of instructional strategy issues which are closely related to student-based learning approach such as feedback, gamification, and flipping the classroom. In this framework, conscious efforts are made to put the teacher as a facilitator and active student learning. This framework clusters the dimensions of the pedagogical competence of the teacher into three main dimensions:

- **Pre-Instructional Activities:** Topic and/or subtopics, specific objectives, the environment for the learning, and resources/material for the lesson.
- **Instructional Sessions:** The lesson delivery, specific teacher roles, formative assessment, motivation techniques, and lesson conclusion.
- **Post-Instructional Activities:** Summative assessment, remedial plan for students, and preparing students for the next lesson.

The other subsequent steps of the Kemp Model have not been completed yet. Therefore, the future works for us to deliver the course using this course template and evaluate it.

3 Results and Conclusion

As a result, we developed a course plan template and a course design. The course design was developed for undergraduate programming languages in hybrid learning environments. The template has features especially in terms of instructional strategy issues which are closely related to student-based learning approach such as feedback, gamification, and flipping the classroom. Based on the insights throughout the design and development process of the course plan template, we discussed implications in terms of hybrid learning environments and programming languages courses.

Acknowledgement: The study has been conducted with the support of the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union, Project ID 2020-1-TR01-KA226-HE-098258.

References

1. Hu, Y., Sang, S., & Meng, C.: Reviewing the Interactions Between Instructors and Students in Online Education. In: Proceedings of the 2021 International Conference on Modern Educational Technology and Social Sciences, pp.88–93. (2021).
2. Rincon-Flores, E. G., & Santos-Guevara, B. N.: Gamification during Covid-19: Promoting active learning and motivation in higher education. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 37(5), 43-60 (2021).
3. Davies, R.S., Dean, D.L. & Ball, N.: Flipping the classroom and instructional technology integration in a college-level information systems spreadsheet course. *Education Tech Research Dev* 61, 563–580 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-013-9305-6>
4. Morrison, G. R., Ross, S. M., Kemp, J. E., & Kalman, H.: *Designing effective instruction*. John Wiley & Sons.(2010).