

# ON THE CRITICAL CURVE FOR SYSTEMS OF HYPERBOLIC INEQUALITIES IN AN EXTERIOR DOMAIN OF THE HALF-SPACE

MOHAMED JLELI, BESSEM SAMET, AND CALOGERO VETRO

ABSTRACT. We establish blow-up results for a system of semilinear hyperbolic inequalities in an exterior domain of the half-space. The considered system is investigated under an inhomogeneous Dirichlet-type boundary condition depending on both time and space variables. In certain cases, an optimal criterium of Fujita-type is derived. Our results yield naturally sharp nonexistence criteria for the corresponding stationary wave system and equation.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	7
2.1. A priori estimates	7
2.2. Test functions and some estimates	8
3. Proof of the main results	18
4. Further remarks	20
Acknowledgments	20
Declarations	20
References	20

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider a system of wave inequalities in an exterior domain of the half-space, under inhomogeneous Dirichlet-type boundary conditions. Let  $N \geq 2$ , we study the following problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_{tt}u - \Delta u & \geq |v|^p & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ \partial_{tt}v - \Delta v & \geq |u|^q & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ (u(t, x), v(t, x)) & \succeq (0, 0) & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \Gamma_0, \\ (u(t, x), v(t, x)) & \succeq (a(t)f(x), b(t)g(x)) & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \Gamma_1, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega = \{x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^N} : |x| \geq 1\}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+^N = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_N > 0\}$ ,  $\Gamma_0 = \{x \in \Omega : x_N = 0\}$ ,  $\Gamma_1 = \{x \in \Omega : x_N > 0, |x| = 1\}$ ,  $p, q > 1$ ,  $f, g \in L^1(\Gamma_1)$ , and  $a(t), b(t)$  are nonnegative locally integrable functions to be specified later. Here, by  $\nu_i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) we will denote the outward unit normal vector on  $\Gamma_i$ , relative to  $\Omega$ , and by  $\succeq$  we mean the partial order in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  given as

$$(w_1, w_2) \succeq (z_1, z_2) \iff w_i \geq z_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35L71; 35B44; 35B33.

*Key words and phrases.* Hyperbolic inequalities; exterior domain; half-space; blow-up; wave equations and inequalities.

Furthermore, for  $w, z \in \mathbb{R}^2$  we write  $w \succ z$  to indicate that  $w \succeq z$  and  $w \neq z$ . Theoretically we are interested in establishing whether global weak solutions to problem (1.1) do not exist. Some motivations for studying problems of type (1.1) are mentioned below.

In the case of the whole space, the large-time behavior of solutions to the wave equation

$$(1.2) \quad \partial_{tt}u - \Delta u = |u|^p \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$$

has been investigated in several works, see e.g. [4, 5, 6, 12, 15, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27] and the references therein. For example, in [4] the authors discuss the existence of unique global solution under suitable weighted Strichartz estimates and without spherical symmetry, and [24] adds information about the solution to the so-called Strauss conjecture for (1.2). Thanks to these works, we know that for every  $N \geq 2$ , (1.2) admits a Fujita-type critical exponent (Strauss exponent)

$$p_S(N) = \frac{N + 1 + \sqrt{N^2 + 10N - 7}}{2(N - 1)}.$$

More precisely, we note that

- (i) if  $1 < p \leq p_S(N)$ , then for any compactly supported initial values with positive average, the solution to (1.2) blows-up in a finite time;
- (ii) if  $p > p_S(N)$ , then the solution to (1.2) exists globally in time for suitable compactly supported initial values.

In [2], the authors investigate the system of wave equations

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_{tt}u - \Delta u = |v|^p & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \partial_{tt}v - \Delta v = |u|^q & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

where  $p, q > 1$ . Namely, it was shown that, if

$$\frac{N - 1}{2} < \max \left\{ \frac{p + 2 + q^{-1}}{pq - 1}, \frac{q + 2 + p^{-1}}{pq - 1} \right\},$$

then (under certain conditions on the initial values) (1.3) has no global solution. Moreover, for  $(p, q)$  belonging to a subset of the  $p \& q$  plane

$$p, q > 1, \quad \frac{N - 1}{2} > \max \left\{ \frac{p + 2 + q^{-1}}{pq - 1}, \frac{q + 2 + p^{-1}}{pq - 1} \right\},$$

(1.3) has a global solution, provided the initial values are sufficiently small. For other works related to (1.3), see e.g. [1, 3, 7, 14] and the references therein. A class of variational inequalities of Kirchhoff-type is studied in [28], where the authors establish the existence of infinite radial solutions in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , by the non-smooth critical point theory based on Szulkin functionals. Before continuing the discussion of our setting, we also mention the work [25], where the authors consider a wide class of evolutionary variational-hemivariational inequalities of hyperbolic types, with the functional framework given in an evolution triple of spaces. By exploiting the Rothe approximation method, the authors establish results on existence, uniqueness, and regularity of solution to inequalities involving both a convex potential and a locally Lipschitz superpotential. Now, the study of wave inequalities in the whole space was first considered in [13] in the following form:

$$(1.4) \quad \partial_{tt}u - \Delta u \geq |u|^p \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$

In [13], another critical exponent (namely, Kato exponent) was obtained in the following form

$$p_K(N) = \frac{N+1}{N-1}.$$

In [20], the authors generalize the result in [13] and point out the sharpness of  $p_K(N)$ . In fact, it was shown that for  $N \geq 2$ , we distinguish the following two cases:

(i) if  $1 < p \leq p_K(N)$  and

$$(1.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \partial_t u(0, x) dx > 0,$$

then (1.4) admits no global weak solution;

(ii) if  $p > p_K(N)$ , then there are positive global solutions to (1.4) satisfying (1.5).

For other contributions related to hyperbolic inequalities in the whole space, see e.g. [9, 17, 19] and the references therein. Some nonexistence results for hyperbolic inequalities on Riemannian manifolds can be found in [10, 18].

In [16], among other problems, the author considers the hyperbolic inequality

$$(1.6) \quad \partial_{tt}u - \Delta u \geq |u|^p \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times K,$$

under the Dirichlet-type boundary condition

$$(1.7) \quad u(t, x) \geq 0, \quad \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \partial K,$$

where  $K$  is the cone defined by

$$K = \{(r, \omega) : r > 0, \omega \in \Omega\}$$

and  $\Omega$  is a domain of  $S^{N-1}$  ( $N \geq 3$ ). It was shown that, if the condition

$$1 < p \leq 1 + \frac{2}{s^* + 1}$$

holds, where

$$s^* = \frac{N-2}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + \lambda_1}$$

and  $\lambda_1$  is the first eigenvalue of the Laplace Beltrami operator on  $\Omega$ , then problem (1.6) under the boundary condition (1.7) has no nontrivial global weak solution. Notice that in the special case  $K = \mathbb{R}_+^N$ , one has  $\lambda_1 = N-1$  and  $1 + \frac{2}{s^*+1} = 1 + \frac{2}{N}$ .

Now, a natural question is to understand the wave equation or inequality on other unbounded domains of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . The study of blow-up for wave equation on exterior domains was initialized in [26]. Namely, the author considers the inhomogeneous problem

$$(1.8) \quad \partial_{tt}u - \Delta u = |x|^\alpha |u|^p \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{D}^c,$$

under the Neumann boundary condition

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(t, x) = f(x) \quad \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \partial \mathcal{D},$$

where  $\mathcal{D}$  is a smooth bounded set of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 3$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^c$  is the complement of  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\alpha > -2$  and  $f(x) \geq 0$ . In this case, it was shown that the critical exponent is equal to  $\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$ . More precisely, it was shown that the following are the cases:

(i) if  $1 < p < \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$  and  $f \not\equiv 0$ , then (1.8)-(1.9) admits no global solution;

(ii) if  $p > \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$ , (1.8)-(1.9) has global solutions for some  $f > 0$ .

In [8], among other results, it was shown that  $p = \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$  belongs to the blow-up case. In [11], the authors consider the system of wave inequalities

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_{tt}u - \Delta u \geq |x|^a |v|^p & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{D}^c, \\ \partial_{tt}v - \Delta v \geq |x|^b |u|^q & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{D}^c, \end{cases}$$

where  $p, q > 1$ ,  $(a, b) \succ (-2, -2)$  and  $N \geq 2$ , under three types of boundary conditions: the Dirichlet-type condition:

$$(1.11) \quad (u(t, x), v(t, x)) \succeq (f(x), g(x)) \quad \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \partial\mathcal{D};$$

the Neumann-type condition:

$$(1.12) \quad \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(t, x), \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}(t, x) \right) \succeq (f(x), g(x)) \quad \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \partial\mathcal{D};$$

the mixed-type boundary condition:

$$(1.13) \quad \left( u(t, x), \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}(t, x) \right) \succeq (f(x), g(x)) \quad \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \partial\mathcal{D},$$

where  $f, g \in L^1(\partial\mathcal{D})$  and  $(\int_{\partial\mathcal{D}} f d\sigma, \int_{\partial\mathcal{D}} g d\sigma) \succ (0, 0)$ . It was shown that all the above problems share the same critical behavior. Namely, we note that, if  $N = 2$ ; or  $N \geq 3$  and

$$(1.14) \quad N < \max \left\{ \text{sgn} \left( \int_{\partial\mathcal{D}} f d\sigma \right) \times \frac{2p(q+1) + pb + a}{pq - 1}, \text{sgn} \left( \int_{\partial\mathcal{D}} g d\sigma \right) \times \frac{2q(p+1) + qa + b}{pq - 1} \right\},$$

then we get the following conclusions:

- (i) problem (1.10)-(1.11) admits no global weak solution if  $f, g \geq 0$ ;
- (ii) problem (1.10)-(1.12) admits no global weak solution;
- (iii) problem (1.10)-(1.13) admits no global weak solution if  $p > 2$  and  $f \geq 0$ .

Moreover, if  $\mathcal{D}$  is a ball, the sign condition for  $f$  and  $g$  can be erased in (i) and (iii). Notice that the sharpness of (1.14) was justified in [11].

As far as we know, the study of the large-time behavior of evolution inequalities in an exterior domain of the half-space was not addressed in the literature. Motivated by this fact and the above mentioned works, problem (1.1) is investigated in this paper.

Before stating our obtained results, let us mention in which sense the solutions to (1.1) are considered. Just before, let

$$D = (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \quad \Gamma^0 = (0, \infty) \times \Gamma_0, \quad \Gamma^1 = (0, \infty) \times \Gamma_1.$$

We introduce the functional space

$$\Phi = \left\{ \varphi \in C_c^2(D) : \varphi \geq 0, \varphi|_{\Gamma^i} = 0, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu_i}|_{\Gamma^i} \leq 0, i = 0, 1 \right\},$$

where  $C_c^2(D)$  is the space of  $C^2$  functions compactly supported in  $D$ . Notice that  $\Gamma^i \subset D$  for all  $i = 0, 1$ .

**Definition 1.1.** We say that  $(u, v) \in L_{loc}^q(D) \times L_{loc}^p(D)$  is a global weak solution to (1.1), if

$$(1.15) \quad \int_D |v|^p \varphi dx dt - \int_{\Gamma^1} a(t) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu_1} f(x) d\sigma_1 dt \leq \int_D u (\partial_{tt} \varphi - \Delta \varphi) dx dt$$

and

$$(1.16) \quad \int_D |u|^q \varphi \, dx \, dt - \int_{\Gamma^1} b(t) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu_1} g(x) \, d\sigma_1 \, dt \leq \int_D v (\partial_{tt} \varphi - \Delta \varphi) \, dx \, dt$$

for every  $\varphi \in \Phi$ .

For  $h \in L^1(\Gamma_1)$ , we introduce the integral

$$\mathcal{I}_h = \int_{\Gamma_1} x_N h(x) \, d\sigma_1.$$

Then, our main result for problem (1.1) is the following existence result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume that  $a(t) \sim t^\alpha$  and  $b(t) \sim t^\beta$  near infinity, where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $f, g \in L^1(\Gamma_1)$  be such that  $(\mathcal{I}_f, \mathcal{I}_g) \succ (0, 0)$ . If the following condition is satisfied*

$$(1.17) \quad N + 1 < \max \left\{ \operatorname{sgn}(\mathcal{I}_f) \left( \alpha + \frac{2p(q+1)}{pq-1} \right), \operatorname{sgn}(\mathcal{I}_g) \left( \beta + \frac{2q(p+1)}{pq-1} \right) \right\},$$

then (1.1) admits no global weak solution.

*Remark 1.3.* Notice that the condition (1.17) is equivalent to the following assumptions

$$\mathcal{I}_f > 0 \text{ and } N + 1 < \alpha + \frac{2p(q+1)}{pq-1},$$

or

$$\mathcal{I}_g > 0 \text{ and } N + 1 < \beta + \frac{2q(p+1)}{pq-1}.$$

*Remark 1.4.* Observe that for suitable values  $K_1, K_2 > 0$ , we get that

$$(u, v)(t, x) = \left( K_1(t+1)^{\frac{-2(p+1)}{pq-1}}, K_2(t+1)^{\frac{-2(q+1)}{pq-1}} \right)$$

is a global solution to (1.1) with  $f = g \equiv 0$ . This shows the necessity of the assumption  $(\mathcal{I}_f, \mathcal{I}_g) \succ (0, 0)$  in Theorem 1.2.

In the special case  $a = b \equiv 1$  (so  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ ), we deduce from Theorem 1.2 the following nonexistence result.

**Corollary 1.5.** Let  $a = b \equiv 1$  and  $f, g \in L^1(\Gamma_1)$  be such that  $(\mathcal{I}_f, \mathcal{I}_g) \succ (0, 0)$ . If the following condition is satisfied

$$(1.18) \quad N + 1 < \frac{2}{pq-1} \max \{ \operatorname{sgn}(\mathcal{I}_f) p(q+1), \operatorname{sgn}(\mathcal{I}_g) q(p+1) \},$$

then (1.1) admits no global weak solution.

*Remark 1.6.* At this time, we do not know whether the condition (1.17) is sharp or not. However, in the special case  $a = b \equiv 1$ , our condition (1.18) is sharp. Namely, assume that

$$(1.19) \quad N + 1 > \frac{2}{pq-1} \max \{ p(q+1), q(p+1) \}.$$

Furthermore, let

$$(u_*, v_*)(x) = \epsilon x_N (|x|^{\delta_1}, |x|^{\delta_2}),$$

where  $\delta_2 = d\delta_1$ , with

$$(1.20) \quad \frac{p+1+p(q+1)}{pq-1} < \delta_1 < \max \{ N, (N-1)p-1 \},$$

$$(1.21) \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{p+1}{\delta_1 p} < d < \max \left\{ \frac{N}{\delta_1}, q - \frac{q+1}{\delta_1} \right\}$$

and

$$(1.22) \quad 0 < \epsilon \leq \min \left\{ (\delta_1(N - \delta_1))^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, (\delta_2(N - \delta_2))^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \right\}.$$

Then, we can check that  $(u_*, v_*)$  is a stationary solution to (1.1) for suitable  $f, g \geq 0$ . Notice that under the condition (1.19), the set of values  $\delta_1$  satisfying (1.20) is non-empty. Moreover, under the condition (1.20), the set of values  $d$  satisfying (1.21) is non-empty. Notice also that from (1.20) and (1.21), we have  $0 < \delta_i < N$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus, the set of values  $\epsilon$  satisfying (1.22) is non-empty.

If  $p = q$  in Corollary 1.5, we have the following nonexistence result.

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $a = b \equiv 1$ ,  $p = q$  and  $f, g \in L^1(\Gamma_1)$  be such that  $(\mathcal{I}_f, \mathcal{I}_g) \succ (0, 0)$ . If the following condition is satisfied*

$$(1.23) \quad N + 1 = \frac{2p}{p-1},$$

then (1.1) admits no global weak solution.

Clearly, Corollary 1.5 and Theorem 1.7 yield nonexistence results for the corresponding stationary problem

$$(1.24) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u & \geq |v|^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta v & \geq |u|^q & \text{in } \Omega, \\ (u(x), v(x)) & \succeq (0, 0) & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\ (u(x), v(x)) & \succeq (f(x), g(x)) & \text{on } \Gamma_1. \end{cases}$$

We state this result in the form of the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.8.** *Let  $f, g \in L^1(\Gamma_1)$  be such that  $(\mathcal{I}_f, \mathcal{I}_g) \succ (0, 0)$ . If one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (i) (1.18) holds;
- (ii)  $p = q$  and (1.23) holds,

then (1.24) admits no weak solution.

*Remark 1.9.* Consider the case of a single inequality

$$(1.25) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_{tt}u - \Delta u & \geq |u|^p & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ u(t, x) & \geq 0 & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \Gamma_0, \\ u(t, x) & \geq f(x) & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \Gamma_1. \end{cases}$$

By Corollary 1.5 and Theorem 1.7, we deduce that, if  $\mathcal{I}_f > 0$  and

$$1 < p \leq \frac{N+1}{N-1},$$

then (1.25) admits no global weak solution. Moreover, by Remark 1.6, we deduce that  $\frac{N+1}{N-1}$  is the critical exponent (in the sense of Fujita) for problem (1.25). The same result holds for the corresponding stationary problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u & \geq |u|^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x) & \geq 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\ u(x) & \geq f(x) & \text{on } \Gamma_1. \end{cases}$$

It is interesting to observe that  $\frac{N+1}{N-1}$  is exactly the Kato critical exponent for (1.4).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish some estimates that will play a crucial role in the proof of our main results. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.7. Finally, some open questions are raised in Section 4.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, the symbol  $C$  denotes always a generic positive constant, which is independent of the scaling parameter  $T$  and the solutions  $u, v$ . Its value could be changed from one line to another. First we derive two useful a priori estimates of integral type, then we introduce some appropriate test functions to obtain other auxiliary estimates.

**2.1. A priori estimates.** For  $m > 1$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , let

$$(2.1) \quad I_m(\varphi) = \int_D \varphi^{\frac{-1}{m-1}} |\partial_{tt}\varphi|^{\frac{m}{m-1}} dx dt$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad J_m(\varphi) = \int_D \varphi^{\frac{-1}{m-1}} |\Delta\varphi|^{\frac{m}{m-1}} dx dt.$$

The following a priori estimates for problem (1.1) will play a crucial role in the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.7.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $(u, v) \in L_{loc}^q(D) \times L_{loc}^p(D)$  be a global weak solution to (1.1). Assume that there exists  $\varphi \in \Phi$  such that*

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\Gamma^1} a(t) \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_1} f(x) d\sigma_1 dt \leq 0.$$

*Then, we have*

$$(2.4) \quad - \int_{\Gamma^1} b(t) \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_1} g(x) d\sigma_1 dt \leq C \left( I_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} + J_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \right)^{\frac{q}{pq-1}} \left( I_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + J_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{pq}{pq-1}},$$

*provided that  $I_m(\varphi) < \infty$  and  $J_m(\varphi) < \infty$ ,  $m \in \{p, q\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(u, v) \in L_{loc}^q(D) \times L_{loc}^p(D)$  be a global weak solution to (1.1). Let  $\varphi \in \Phi$  be such that (2.3) holds. Using (1.15) and (2.3), we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \int_D |v|^p \varphi dx dt \leq \int_D |u| |\partial_{tt}\varphi| dx dt + \int_D |u| |\Delta\varphi| dx dt.$$

On the other hand, by means of Hölder's inequality, we get

$$(2.6) \quad \int_D |u| |\partial_{tt}\varphi| dx dt \leq \left( \int_D |u|^q \varphi dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} I_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}}$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \int_D |u| |\Delta\varphi| dx dt \leq \left( \int_D |u|^q \varphi dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} J_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}}.$$

In view of the inequalities (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain that

$$(2.8) \quad \int_D |v|^p \varphi dx dt \leq \left( \int_D |u|^q \varphi dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( I_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} + J_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \right).$$

Similarly, by (1.16) and using Hölder's inequality, we deduce that

$$(2.9) \quad \int_D |u|^q \varphi \, dx \, dt - \int_{\Gamma_1} b(t) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu_1} g(x) \, d\sigma_1 \, dt \leq \left( \int_D |v|^p \varphi \, dx \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( I_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + J_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right).$$

Combining (2.8) with (2.9), we get the following inequality

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_D |u|^q \varphi \, dx \, dt - \int_{\Gamma_1} b(t) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu_1} g(x) \, d\sigma_1 \, dt \\ & \leq \left( \int_D |u|^q \varphi \, dx \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{pq}} \left( I_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} + J_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( I_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + J_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by means of  $\varepsilon$ -Young inequality with  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , we have

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left( \int_D |u|^q \varphi \, dx \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{pq}} \left( I_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} + J_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( I_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + J_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right) \\ & \leq \varepsilon \int_D |u|^q \varphi \, dx \, dt + C \left( I_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} + J_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \right)^{\frac{q}{pq-1}} \left( I_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + J_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{pq}{pq-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from (2.10) and (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \varepsilon) \int_D |u|^q \varphi \, dx \, dt - \int_{\Gamma_1} b(t) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu_1} g(x) \, d\sigma_1 \, dt \\ & \leq C \left( I_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} + J_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \right)^{\frac{q}{pq-1}} \left( I_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + J_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{pq}{pq-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , we conclude that (2.4) holds true.  $\square$

Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following a priori estimate.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $(u, v) \in L_{loc}^q(D) \times L_{loc}^p(D)$  be a global weak solution to (1.1). Assume that there exists  $\varphi \in \Phi$  such that*

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} b(t) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu_1} g(x) \, d\sigma_1 \, dt \leq 0.$$

Then, we get

$$- \int_{\Gamma_1} a(t) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu_1} f(x) \, d\sigma_1 \, dt \leq C \left( I_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + J_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{p}{pq-1}} \left( I_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} + J_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \right)^{\frac{pq}{pq-1}},$$

provided that  $I_m(\varphi) < \infty$  and  $J_m(\varphi) < \infty$ ,  $m \in \{p, q\}$ .

**2.2. Test functions and some estimates.** We introduce the function

$$(2.12) \quad H(x) = x_N (1 - |x|^{-N}), \quad x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \in \Omega.$$

Now, it can be easily seen that  $H \geq 0$  and it satisfies the following

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta H = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ H = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1. \end{cases}$$

We need also two cut-off functions. So, let  $\xi, \eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  be such that

$$(2.14) \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq 1, \quad \xi(s) = 1 \text{ if } |s| \leq 1, \quad \xi(s) = 0 \text{ if } |s| \geq 2.$$

and

$$(2.15) \quad \eta \geq 0, \quad \text{supp}(\eta) \subset\subset (0, 1).$$



For  $T > 0$  and sufficiently large  $\ell$ , we introduce the functions

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho(t) &= \eta \left( \frac{t}{T^\theta} \right)^\ell, \quad t > 0, \\ \mu(x) &= H(x) \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^\ell, \quad x \in \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.17) \quad \varphi(t, x) = \rho(t)\mu(x), \quad (t, x) \in D.$$

Here,  $\theta > 0$  is a constant to be chosen later.

**Lemma 2.3.** *For sufficiently large  $T$  and  $\ell$ , the function  $\varphi$  defined by (2.17), belongs to  $\Phi$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\varphi \geq 0$  and for sufficiently large  $\ell$ , we have  $\varphi \in C_c^2(D)$ . Moreover, by (2.13), we have  $\varphi|_{\Gamma^i} = 0$  for all  $i = 0, 1$ . Hence, we need just to show that

$$(2.18) \quad \left. \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu_i} \right|_{\Gamma^i} \leq 0, \quad i = 0, 1.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla \mu(x) &= \nabla \left( H(x) \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^\ell \right) \\ &= \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^\ell \nabla H(x) + H(x) \nabla \left[ \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^\ell \right] \\ &= \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^\ell \left( (1 - |x|^{-N}) e_N + N x_N |x|^{-N-2} x \right) + H(x) \nabla \left[ \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^\ell \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_N = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Then, by (2.19), for  $x \in \Gamma_0$ , we get

$$\nabla \mu(x) = \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^\ell (1 - |x|^{-N}) e_N,$$

which yields

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \nu_0}(x) = -\xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^\ell (1 - |x|^{-N}) \leq 0.$$

Thus, by (2.15) and (2.17), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu_0}(t, x) = \rho(t) \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \nu_0}(x) \leq 0, \quad (t, x) \in \Gamma^0.$$

Again, by (2.19), for  $x \in \Gamma_1$  we get

$$\nabla \mu(x) = N x_N \xi \left( \frac{1}{T^2} \right)^\ell x.$$

On the other hand, by (2.14), for sufficiently large  $T$ , we deduce that

$$\xi \left( \frac{1}{T^2} \right) = 1.$$

Then, we note that for sufficiently large  $T$ , the following is the case

$$\nabla\mu(x) = Nx_Nx,$$

which yields

$$(2.20) \quad \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_1}(t, x) = -Nx_N\rho(t) \leq 0, \quad (t, x) \in \Gamma_1.$$

Thus (2.18) is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $a(t) \sim t^\alpha$  near infinity,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_f \geq 0$ . Then, for sufficiently large  $T$ , the following inequality holds*

$$(2.21) \quad - \int_{\Gamma^1} a(t) \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_1} f(x) d\sigma_1 dt \geq CT^{\theta(\alpha+1)} \mathcal{I}_f.$$

*Proof.* In view of (2.20), we obtain

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} - \int_{\Gamma^1} a(t) \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_1} f(x) d\sigma_1 dt &= N \int_{\Gamma^1} a(t) \rho(t) x_N f(x) d\sigma_1 dt \\ &= N \left( \int_0^\infty a(t) \eta \left( \frac{t}{T^\theta} \right)^\ell dt \right) \mathcal{I}_f. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (2.15), for sufficiently large  $T$ , we have (notice that  $a(t) \geq 0$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty a(t) \eta \left( \frac{t}{T^\theta} \right)^\ell dt &= \int_0^{T^\theta} a(t) \eta \left( \frac{t}{T^\theta} \right)^\ell dt \\ &\geq \int_{\frac{T^\theta}{2}}^{T^\theta} a(t) \eta \left( \frac{t}{T^\theta} \right)^\ell dt \\ &\geq C \int_{\frac{T^\theta}{2}}^{T^\theta} t^\alpha \eta \left( \frac{t}{T^\theta} \right)^\ell dt \\ &= CT^{\theta(\alpha+1)} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 s^\alpha \eta(s)^\ell ds, \end{aligned}$$

and hence we conclude that

$$(2.23) \quad \int_0^\infty a(t) \eta \left( \frac{t}{T^\theta} \right)^\ell dt \geq CT^{\theta(\alpha+1)}.$$

Combining (2.22) with (2.23), we obtain the inequality (2.21).  $\square$

Following the proof of Lemma 2.4, we deduce the following estimate.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $b(t) \sim t^\beta$  near infinity,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_g \geq 0$ . Then, for sufficiently large  $T$ , the following inequality holds*

$$- \int_{\Gamma^1} b(t) \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_1} g(x) d\sigma_1 dt \geq CT^{\theta(\beta+1)} \mathcal{I}_g.$$

We give next result with complete proof.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $m > 1$ . For sufficiently large  $T$  and  $\ell$ , the following inequality holds*

$$(2.24) \quad I_m(\varphi) \leq CT^{N+1-\theta\left(\frac{m+1}{m-1}\right)}.$$

*Proof.* By (2.1) and (2.17), we have

$$(2.25) \quad I_m(\varphi) = \left( \int_{\Omega} \mu(x) dx \right) \int_0^{\infty} \rho(t)^{\frac{-1}{m-1}} |\rho''(t)|^{\frac{m}{m-1}} dt.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \mu(x) dx &= \int_{\Omega} H(x) \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^{\ell} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} x_N (1 - |x|^{-N}) \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^{\ell} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.14), for sufficiently large  $T$ , we obtain the following chain of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \mu(x) dx &= \int_{1 < |x| < \sqrt{2}T, x_N > 0} x_N (1 - |x|^{-N}) \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^{\ell} dx \\ &\leq \int_{1 < |x| < \sqrt{2}T, x_N > 0} x_N dx \\ &\leq \int_{1 < |x| < \sqrt{2}T, x_N > 0} |x| dx \\ &\leq \int_{1 < |x| < \sqrt{2}T} |x| dx \\ (2.26) \quad &\leq CT^{N+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (2.15), for sufficiently large  $\ell$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} \rho(t)^{\frac{-1}{m-1}} |\rho''(t)|^{\frac{m}{m-1}} dt &= \int_0^{T^{\theta}} \eta \left( \frac{t}{T^{\theta}} \right)^{\frac{-\ell}{m-1}} \left| \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \eta \left( \frac{t}{T^{\theta}} \right) \right|^{\ell \frac{m}{m-1}} dt \\ &\leq CT^{\frac{-2\theta m}{m-1}} \int_0^{T^{\theta}} \eta \left( \frac{t}{T^{\theta}} \right)^{\ell - \frac{2m}{m-1}} dt \\ &= CT^{\frac{-2\theta m}{m-1} + \theta} \int_0^1 \eta(s)^{\ell - \frac{km}{m-1}} ds, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(2.27) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \rho(t)^{\frac{-1}{m-1}} |\rho''(t)|^{\frac{m}{m-1}} dt \leq CT^{-\theta \left( \frac{m+1}{m-1} \right)}.$$

Hence, (2.24) follows from (2.25), (2.26) and (2.27).  $\square$

Now, we provide an estimate for  $J_m(\varphi)$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $m > 1$ . For sufficiently large  $T$  and  $\ell$ , the following inequality holds*

$$(2.28) \quad J_m(\varphi) \leq CT^{N+1 - \frac{2m}{m-1} + \theta}.$$

*Proof.* By (2.2) and (2.17), we have

$$(2.29) \quad J_m(\varphi) = \left( \int_0^{\infty} \rho(t) dt \right) \int_{\Omega} \mu(x)^{\frac{-1}{m-1}} |\Delta \mu(x)|^{\frac{m}{m-1}} dx.$$

On the other hand, by (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \rho(t) dt &= \int_0^\infty \eta \left( \frac{t}{T^\theta} \right)^\ell dt \\ &= \int_0^{T^\theta} \eta \left( \frac{t}{T^\theta} \right)^\ell dt \\ &= T^\theta \int_0^1 \eta(s)^\ell ds, \end{aligned}$$

that gives us

$$(2.30) \quad \int_0^\infty \rho(t) dt = CT^\theta.$$

Moreover, using (2.13), for  $x \in \Omega$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\mu(x) &= \Delta \left( H(x) \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^\ell \right) \\ &= \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^\ell \Delta H(x) + H(x) \Delta \left[ \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^\ell \right] + 2\nabla \left[ \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^\ell \right] \cdot \nabla H(x) \\ (2.31) \quad &= H(x) \Delta \left[ \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^\ell \right] + 2\nabla \left[ \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^\ell \right] \cdot \nabla H(x), \end{aligned}$$

where “ $\cdot$ ” denotes the inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . On the other hand, by (2.14), for  $x \in \Omega$  with  $T < |x| < \sqrt{2}T$ , we have

$$(2.32) \quad \left| H(x) \Delta \left[ \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^\ell \right] \right| \leq CT^{-2} H(x) \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^{\ell-2} \leq CT^{-2} \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^{\ell-2} x_N$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \left[ \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^\ell \right] \cdot \nabla H(x) &= 2\ell T^{-2} \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^{\ell-1} \xi' \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right) x \cdot ((1 - |x|^{-N}) e_N + Nx_N |x|^{-N-2} x) \\ &= 2\ell T^{-2} \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^{\ell-1} \xi' \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right) ((1 - |x|^{-N}) x_N + Nx_N |x|^{-N}) \\ &= 2\ell T^{-2} \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^{\ell-1} \xi' \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right) x_N (1 + (N-1)|x|^{-N}), \end{aligned}$$

which yield

$$(2.33) \quad \left| \nabla \left[ \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^\ell \right] \cdot \nabla H(x) \right| \leq CT^{-2} \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^{\ell-2} x_N.$$

Hence, by (2.14), (2.31), (2.32) and (2.33), for sufficiently large  $T$  and  $\ell$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \mu(x)^{\frac{-1}{m-1}} |\Delta\mu(x)|^{\frac{m}{m-1}} dx \\
& \leq CT^{\frac{-2m}{m-1}} \int_{x \in \Omega, T < |x| < \sqrt{2}T} x_N (1 - |x|^{-N})^{\frac{-1}{m-1}} \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^{\ell - \frac{2m}{m-1}} dx \\
& \leq CT^{\frac{-2m}{m-1}} \int_{x \in \Omega, T < |x| < \sqrt{2}T} x_N \xi \left( \frac{|x|^2}{T^2} \right)^{\ell - \frac{2m}{m-1}} dx \\
& = CT^{N+1 - \frac{2m}{m-1}} \int_{1 < |y| < \sqrt{2}, y_N > 0} y_N \xi(|y|^2)^{\ell - \frac{2m}{m-1}} dy,
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(2.34) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mu(x)^{\frac{-1}{m-1}} |\Delta\mu(x)|^{\frac{m}{m-1}} dx \leq CT^{N+1 - \frac{2m}{m-1}}.$$

Hence, (2.28) follows from (2.29), (2.30) and (2.34).  $\square$

The following lemma in some sense is a byproduct of Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $m > 1$  and  $\theta = 1$ . For sufficiently large  $T$  and  $\ell$ , the following inequality holds*

$$(2.35) \quad I_m(\varphi)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} + J_m(\varphi)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \leq CT^{(N+2 - \frac{2m}{m-1})(\frac{m-1}{m})}.$$

*Proof.* By (2.24) and (2.28), for sufficiently large  $T$  and  $\ell$ , there holds

$$I_m(\varphi)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} + J_m(\varphi)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \leq C(T^{\lambda_1} + T^{\lambda_2}),$$

where

$$\lambda_1 = \left( N + 1 + \theta \left( \frac{-m-1}{m-1} \right) \right) \left( \frac{m-1}{m} \right)$$

and

$$\lambda_2 = \left( N + 1 - \frac{2m}{m-1} + \theta \right) \left( \frac{m-1}{m} \right).$$

Observe that

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 = 2(\theta - 1).$$

So, taking  $\theta = 1$ , we obtain

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \left( N + 2 - \frac{2m}{m-1} \right) \left( \frac{m-1}{m} \right),$$

which yields (2.35).  $\square$

Appealing to Lemma 2.8 we can obtain the following result.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $\theta = 1$ . For sufficiently large  $T$  and  $\ell$ , the following inequality holds*

$$(2.36) \quad \left( I_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} + J_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \right)^{\frac{q}{pq-1}} \left( I_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + J_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{pq}{pq-1}} \leq CT^{\frac{1}{pq-1}((N+2)(pq-1) - 2q(p+1))}.$$

*Proof.* Using Lemma 2.8 with  $m = q$ , we obtain

$$(2.37) \quad \left( I_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} + J_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \right)^{\frac{q}{pq-1}} \leq CT^{(N+2-\frac{2q}{q-1})(\frac{q-1}{pq-1})}.$$

Similarly, using Lemma 2.8 with  $m = p$ , we obtain

$$(2.38) \quad \left( I_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + J_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{pq}{pq-1}} \leq CT^{(N+2-\frac{2p}{p-1})(\frac{(p-1)q}{pq-1})}.$$

Hence, (2.36) follows from (2.37) and (2.38).  $\square$

Similarly, using again Lemma 2.8, we get the following estimate.

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $\theta = 1$ . For sufficiently large  $T$  and  $\ell$ , the following inequality holds*

$$\left( I_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + J_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{p}{pq-1}} \left( I_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} + J_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \right)^{\frac{pq}{pq-1}} \leq CT^{\frac{1}{pq-1}((N+2)(pq-1)-2p(q+1))}.$$

For the study of the critical case, we need to introduce another cut-off function. So, let  $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a smooth function satisfying the conditions:

$$(2.39) \quad \Lambda(s) = 1 \text{ if } s \leq 0, \quad \Lambda(s) = 0 \text{ if } s \geq 1.$$

For  $T > 0$  and sufficiently large  $\ell$ , we consider the function

$$\mu_*(x) = H(x) \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^\ell, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where  $H$  is the function defined by (2.12). Hence, we introduce the new test function

$$(2.40) \quad \varphi_*(t, x) = \rho(t) \mu_*(x), \quad (t, x) \in D,$$

where  $\rho$  is the function defined by (2.16).

**Lemma 2.11.** *For sufficiently large  $T$  and  $\ell$ , the function  $\varphi_*$  defined by (2.40) belongs to  $\Phi$ .*

*Proof.* We need just to show that

$$(2.41) \quad \left. \frac{\partial \varphi_*}{\partial \nu_i} \right|_{\Gamma^i} \leq 0, \quad i = 0, 1.$$

We have the following calculations

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \mu_*(x) &= \nabla \left( H(x) \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^\ell \right) \\ &= \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^\ell \nabla H(x) + H(x) \nabla \left( \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^\ell \right) \\ (2.42) \quad &= \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^\ell \left( (1 - |x|^{-N}) e_N + N x_N |x|^{-N-2} x \right) + H(x) \nabla \left( \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^\ell \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (2.42), for  $x \in \Gamma_0$  we get

$$\nabla \mu_*(x) = \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^\ell (1 - |x|^{-N}) e_N,$$

which yields

$$\frac{\partial \mu_*}{\partial \nu_0}(x) = -\Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^\ell (1 - |x|^{-N}) \leq 0.$$

Thus, by (2.15) and (2.40), we deduce that

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_*}{\partial \nu_0}(t, x) = \rho(t) \frac{\partial \mu_*}{\partial \nu_0}(x) \leq 0, \quad (t, x) \in \Gamma^0.$$

Again, by (2.42), for  $x \in \Gamma_1$  we get

$$\nabla \mu_*(x) = Nx_N \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^\ell x.$$

On the other hand, by (2.39), for sufficiently large  $T$ , we conclude that

$$\Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right) = 1.$$

Then, for sufficiently large  $T$ , we deduce that

$$\nabla \mu_*(x) = Nx_N x,$$

which yields

$$(2.43) \quad \frac{\partial \varphi_*}{\partial \nu_1}(t, x) = -Nx_N \rho(t) \leq 0, \quad (t, x) \in \Gamma_1.$$

Thus the conditions in (2.41) are proved.  $\square$

Using (2.43) and following the proof of Lemma 2.4, we obtain the following estimates.

**Lemma 2.12.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{I}_f \geq 0$ . Then, for sufficiently large  $T$ , the following inequality holds*

$$- \int_{\Gamma^1} \frac{\partial \varphi_*}{\partial \nu_1} f(x) d\sigma_1 dt \geq CT^\theta \mathcal{I}_f.$$

**Lemma 2.13.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{I}_g \geq 0$ . Then, for sufficiently large  $T$ , the following inequality holds*

$$- \int_{\Gamma^1} \frac{\partial \varphi_*}{\partial \nu_1} g(x) d\sigma_1 dt \geq CT^\theta \mathcal{I}_g.$$

For the next result, we provide complete proof.

**Lemma 2.14.** *Let  $m > 1$ . For sufficiently large  $T$  and  $\ell$ , the following inequality holds*

$$(2.44) \quad I_m(\varphi_*) \leq CT^{N+1-\theta \left( \frac{m+1}{m-1} \right)}.$$

*Proof.* By (2.1) and (2.40), we have

$$(2.45) \quad I_m(\varphi_*) = \left( \int_{\Omega} \mu_*(x) dx \right) \int_0^{\infty} \rho(t)^{\frac{-1}{m-1}} |\rho''(t)|^{\frac{m}{m-1}} dt.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \mu_*(x) dx &= \int_{\Omega} H(x) \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^{\ell} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} x_N (1 - |x|^{-N}) \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^{\ell} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.39) and the fact that  $0 \leq \Lambda \leq 1$ , for sufficiently large  $T$ , we obtain the following chain of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \mu_*(x) dx &= \int_{1 < |x| < T, x_N > 0} x_N (1 - |x|^{-N}) \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^{\ell} dx \\ &\leq \int_{1 < |x| < T, x_N > 0} x_N \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^{\ell} dx \\ &\leq \int_{1 < |x| < T, x_N > 0} x_N dx \\ (2.46) \quad &\leq CT^{N+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, inequality (2.44) follows from (2.45), (2.46) and (2.27).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.15.** *Let  $m = \frac{N+1}{N-1}$ . For sufficiently large  $T$  and  $\ell$ , the following holds*

$$(2.47) \quad J_m(\varphi_*) \leq CT^{\theta} (\ln T)^{\frac{-2}{N-1}}.$$

*Proof.* By (2.2) and (2.40), we have

$$(2.48) \quad J_m(\varphi) = \left( \int_0^{\infty} \rho(t) dt \right) \int_{\Omega} \mu_*(x)^{\frac{-1}{m-1}} |\Delta \mu_*(x)|^{\frac{m}{m-1}} dx.$$



Moreover, using (2.13), for  $x \in \Omega$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\mu_*(x) &= \Delta \left( H(x) \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^\ell \right) \\
&= \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^\ell \Delta H(x) + H(x) \Delta \left[ \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^\ell \right] \\
&\quad + 2 \nabla \left[ \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^\ell \right] \cdot \nabla H(x) \\
(2.49) \quad &= H(x) \Delta \left[ \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^\ell \right] + 2 \nabla \left[ \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^\ell \right] \cdot \nabla H(x).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (2.39), for  $x \in \Omega$  with  $\sqrt{T} < |x| < T$ , we have

$$(2.50) \quad \left| H(x) \Delta \left[ \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^\ell \right] \right| \leq C(\ln T)^{-1} |x|^{-2} \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^{\ell-2} x_N$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla \left[ \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^\ell \right] \cdot \nabla H(x) \\
&= \frac{\ell}{|x|^2 \ln \sqrt{T}} \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^{\ell-1} \Lambda' \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right) x \cdot ((1 - |x|^{-N}) e_N + N x_N |x|^{-N-2} x) \\
&= \frac{\ell}{\ln \sqrt{T}} \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^{\ell-1} \Lambda' \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right) |x|^{-2} ((1 - |x|^{-N}) x_N + N x_N |x|^{-N}) \\
&= \frac{\ell}{\ln \sqrt{T}} \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^{\ell-1} \Lambda' \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right) |x|^{-2} x_N (1 + (N - 1) |x|^{-N}).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(2.51) \quad \left| \nabla \left[ \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^\ell \right] \cdot \nabla H(x) \right| \leq C(\ln T)^{-1} |x|^{-2} \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^{\ell-2} x_N.$$

Hence, involving (2.49), (2.50) and (2.51) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \mu_*(x)^{\frac{-1}{m-1}} |\Delta \mu_*(x)|^{\frac{m}{m-1}} dx \\
& \leq C(\ln T)^{\frac{-m}{m-1}} \int_{x \in \Omega, \sqrt{T} < |x| < T} x_N |x|^{\frac{-2m}{m-1}} (1 - |x|^{-N})^{\frac{-1}{m-1}} \Lambda \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T}} \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{T})} \right)^{\ell - \frac{2m}{m-1}} dx \\
& \leq C(\ln T)^{\frac{-m}{m-1}} \int_{x \in \Omega, \sqrt{T} < |x| < T} |x|^{1 - \frac{2m}{m-1}} dx \\
& \leq C(\ln T)^{\frac{-m}{m-1}} \int_{r=\sqrt{T}}^T r^{N - \frac{2m}{m-1}} dr \\
& = C(\ln T)^{\frac{-m}{m-1}} \int_{r=\sqrt{T}}^T r^{-1} dr \\
& \leq C(\ln T)^{\frac{-1}{m-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

which gives us the inequality

$$(2.52) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mu_*(x)^{\frac{-1}{m-1}} |\Delta \mu_*(x)|^{\frac{m}{m-1}} dx \leq C(\ln T)^{\frac{-2}{N-1}}.$$

Hence, we can conclude that the estimate (2.47) follows from (2.48), (2.52) and (2.30).  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.7. We recall that both these results concern the nonexistence of global weak solutions to (1.1).

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* We argue by contradiction, supposing that  $(u, v) \in L_{loc}^q(D) \times L_{loc}^p(D)$  is a global weak solution to (1.1). We first consider the case

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{I}_f > 0 \text{ and } N + 1 < \alpha + \frac{2p(q+1)}{pq-1}.$$

For sufficiently large  $T$  and  $\ell$ , let  $\varphi$  be the test function defined by (2.17). Since  $\mathcal{I}_g \geq 0$ , by Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\int_{\Gamma^1} b(t) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu_1} g(x) d\sigma_1 dt \leq 0.$$

Hence, by Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4, we obtain

$$T^{\theta(\alpha+1)} \mathcal{I}_f \leq C \left( I_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + J_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{p}{pq-1}} \left( I_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} + J_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \right)^{\frac{pq}{pq-1}}.$$

Taking  $\theta = 1$  in the above inequality, we deduce from Lemma 2.10 that

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{I}_f \leq CT^\lambda,$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{pq-1} ((N+2)(pq-1) - 2p(q+1)) - \alpha - 1.$$

Observe that by (3.1), we have  $\mathcal{I}_f > 0$  and  $\lambda < 0$ . Hence, passing to the limit as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.2), we obtain a contradiction with the assumption that  $\mathcal{I}_f > 0$ .

Now, we focus on the case

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{I}_g > 0 \text{ and } N + 1 < \beta + \frac{2q(p+1)}{pq-1}.$$

As in the previous case, for sufficiently large  $T$  and  $\ell$ , we use the same test function  $\varphi$  defined by (2.17). Since  $\mathcal{I}_f \geq 0$ , by Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\int_{\Gamma^1} a(t) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu_1} f(x) d\sigma_1 dt \leq 0.$$

Hence, by Lemmas 2.1, 2.3 and 2.5, we obtain

$$T^{\theta(\beta+1)} \mathcal{I}_g \leq C \left( I_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} + J_q(\varphi)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \right)^{\frac{q}{pq-1}} \left( I_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + J_p(\varphi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{pq}{pq-1}}.$$

Taking  $\theta = 1$  in the above inequality, we deduce from Lemma 2.9 that

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{I}_g \leq CT^\kappa,$$

where

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{pq-1} ((N+2)(pq-1) - 2q(p+1)) - \beta - 1.$$

On the other hand, in view of (3.3), we have  $\mathcal{I}_g$  and  $\kappa < 0$ . Hence, passing to the limit as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.4), we obtain a contradiction with the assumption that  $\mathcal{I}_g > 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

Now, we present the complete proof of Theorem 1.7.

*Proof of Theorem 1.7.* We use also the contradiction argument. Namely, suppose that  $(u, v) \in L_{loc}^p(D) \times L_{loc}^p(D)$  is a global weak solution to (1.1). Without restriction of the generality, we may assume that  $\mathcal{I}_f > 0$ . At this time, for sufficiently large  $T$  and  $\ell$ , we use the test function  $\varphi_*$  defined by (2.40). Since  $\mathcal{I}_g \geq 0$ , by Lemma 2.13, we get

$$\int_{\Gamma^1} \frac{\partial \varphi_*}{\partial \nu_1} g(x) d\sigma_1 dt \leq 0.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.2 (with  $a = b \equiv 1$  and  $p = q$ ), Lemma 2.11 and Lemma 2.12, we obtain

$$T^\theta \mathcal{I}_f \leq C \left( I_p(\varphi_*)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + J_p(\varphi_*)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}},$$

which yields

$$(3.5) \quad T^\theta \mathcal{I}_f \leq C (I_p(\varphi_*) + J_p(\varphi_*)).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.14 (with  $m = p$ ) and Lemma 2.15 (with  $m = p$ ; notice that by (1.23), we have  $p = \frac{N+1}{N-1}$ ), we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad I_p(\varphi_*) + J_p(\varphi_*) \leq C \left( T^{N+1-\theta\left(\frac{p+1}{p-1}\right)} + T^\theta (\ln T)^{\frac{-2}{N-1}} \right).$$

Then, in view of (3.5) and (3.6), we get

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{I}_f \leq C \left( T^{N+1-\frac{2\theta p}{p-1}} + (\ln T)^{\frac{-2}{N-1}} \right).$$

Thus, taking  $\theta > \frac{(N+1)(p-1)}{2p} = 1$  (i.e.,  $N+1 - \frac{2\theta p}{p-1} < 0$ ) and passing to the limit as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.7), we obtain a contradiction with the assumption that  $\mathcal{I}_f > 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.7.  $\square$

## 4. FURTHER REMARKS

In Theorem 1.2, the critical case

$$(4.1) \quad N \geq 2, \quad N + 1 = \max \left\{ \operatorname{sgn}(\mathcal{I}_f) \left( \alpha + \frac{2p(q+1)}{pq-1} \right), \operatorname{sgn}(\mathcal{I}_g) \left( \beta + \frac{2q(p+1)}{pq-1} \right) \right\}$$

for system (1.1) is not completely investigated here. Namely, by Corollary 1.5 and Theorem 1.7, we know only that, if  $p = q$  and  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ , then (4.1) belongs to blow-up case. It should be interesting to decide whether in general, the critical curve (4.1) in  $p \& q$  plan belongs to the blow-up situation.

In Theorem 1.2, the sharpness of the condition (1.17) was established only in the special case  $a = b \equiv 1$  (see Remark 1.6). It should be interesting to study the existence of global solutions to system (1.1) in the general case when

$$N + 1 > \max \left\{ \operatorname{sgn}(\mathcal{I}_f) \left( \alpha + \frac{2p(q+1)}{pq-1} \right), \operatorname{sgn}(\mathcal{I}_g) \left( \beta + \frac{2q(p+1)}{pq-1} \right) \right\}.$$

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the editor and reviewers for providing constructive feedback to improve our manuscript. The third author is supported by the research fund of University of Palermo: “FFR 2023 Calogero Vetro”.

## DECLARATIONS

**Ethical Approval** Not applicable.

**Competing interests** The authors read and approved the final manuscript. The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

**Authors’ contributions** M.J., B.S. and C.V. wrote the main manuscript text. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

**Funding** The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received during the preparation of this manuscript.

**Availability of data and materials** This paper has no associated data and material.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Agemi, Y. Kurokawa, H. Takamura, Critical curve for  $p - q$  systems of nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions, *J. Differential Equations*. 167 (2000) 87–133.
- [2] D. Del Santo, V. Georgiev, E. Mitidieri, Global existence of the solutions and formation of singularities for a class of hyperbolic systems. *Geometrical optics and related topics*, *Progr. Nonlinear Diff. Equ. Appl.*, 32, Birkhäuser (1997), 117–140.
- [3] K. Deng, Nonexistence of global solutions of a nonlinear hyperbolic system, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 349 (1997) 1685–1696.
- [4] V. Georgiev, H. Lindblad, C.D. Sogge, Weighted Strichartz estimates and global existence for semilinear wave equations, *Amer. J. Math.* 119 (1997) 1291–1319.
- [5] R.T. Glassey, Finite-time blow up for solutions of nonlinear wave equations, *Math. Z.* 177 (1981) 323–340.
- [6] R.T. Glassey, Existence in the large for  $\square u = F(u)$  in two space dimensions, *Math. Z.* 178 (1981) 233–261.
- [7] M. Ikeda, M. Sobajima, K. Wakasa, Blow-up phenomena of semilinear wave equations and their weakly coupled systems, *J. Differential Equations*. 267 (2019) 5165–5201.
- [8] M. Jleli, M. Kirane, B. Samet, A general blow-up result for a degenerate hyperbolic inequality in an exterior domain, *Bull. Math. Sci.* 2150012 (2021) 1–25, <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1664360721500120>.

- [9] M. Jleli, B. Samet, New blow-up phenomena for hyperbolic inequalities with combined nonlinearities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 494:124444 (2021) 1–22.
- [10] M. Jleli, B. Samet, C. Vetro, Nonexistence of solutions to higher order evolution inequalities with nonlocal source term on Riemannian manifolds, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* (2022) 1–18, <https://doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2022.2061474>.
- [11] M. Jleli, B. Samet, D. Ye, Critical criteria of Fujita type for a system of inhomogeneous wave inequalities in exterior domains, *J. Differential Equations.* 268 (2020) 3035–3056.
- [12] F. John, Blow-up of solutions of nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions, *Manuscripta Math.* 28 (1979) 235–268.
- [13] T. Kato, Blow-up of solutions of some nonlinear hyperbolic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 33 (1980) 501–505.
- [14] H. Kubo, M. Ohta, Critical blowup for systems of semilinear wave equations in low space dimensions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 240 (1999) 340–360.
- [15] N. Lai, Y. Zhou, Blow up for initial boundary value problem of critical semilinear wave equation in two space dimensions, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 17 (2018) 1499–1510.
- [16] G.G. Laptev, Some nonexistence results for higher-order evolution inequalities in cone-like domains, *Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 7 (2001) 87–93.
- [17] E. Mitidieri, S. Pohozaev, Towards a unified approach to nonexistence of solutions for a class of differential inequalities, *Milan J. Math.* 72 (2004) 129–162.
- [18] D.D. Monticelli, F. Punzo, M. Squassina, Nonexistence for hyperbolic problems on Riemannian manifolds, *Asymptot. Anal.* 120 (2020) 87–101.
- [19] S. Pohozaev, A. Tesei, Instantaneous blow-up of solutions to a class of hyperbolic inequalities, *Electron. J. Differential Equations.* (2002) 155–165.
- [20] S. Pohozaev, L. Véron, Blow-up results for nonlinear hyperbolic inequalities, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* 29 (2000) 393–420.
- [21] J. Schaeffer, The equation  $\square u = |u|^p$  for the critical value of  $p$ , *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh.* 101A (1985) 31–44.
- [22] T.C. Sideris, Nonexistence of global solutions to semilinear wave equations in high dimensions, *J. Differential Equations.* 52 (1984) 378–406.
- [23] W.A. Strauss, Nonlinear scattering theory at low energy, *J. Funct. Anal.* 41 (1981) 110–133.
- [24] B. Yordanov, Q. Zhang, Finite time blow up for critical wave equations in high dimensions, *J. Funct. Anal.* 231 (2006) 361–374.
- [25] S. Zeng, S. Migórski, V.T. Nguyen, A class of hyperbolic variational-hemivariational inequalities without damping terms, *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* 11 (2022), 1287–1306.
- [26] Q. Zhang, A general blow-up result on nonlinear boundary-value problems on exterior domains, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh.* 131A (2001) 451–475.
- [27] Y. Zhou, Blow-up of solutions to semilinear wave equations with critical exponent in high dimensions, *Chin. Ann. Math.* 28B (2007) 205–212.
- [28] J. Zuo, T. An, W. Liu, A variational inequality of Kirchhoff-type in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2018:329 (2018), 1–9.

(M. JLELI) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, KING SAUD UNIVERSITY, RIYADH 11451, SAUDI ARABIA

*Email address:* [jleli@ksu.edu.sa](mailto:jleli@ksu.edu.sa)

(B. SAMET) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, KING SAUD UNIVERSITY, RIYADH 11451, SAUDI ARABIA

*Email address:* [bsamet@ksu.edu.sa](mailto:bsamet@ksu.edu.sa)

(C. VETRO) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF PALERMO, VIA ARCHIRAFI NO. 34, 90123 PALERMO ITALY

*Email address:* [calogero.vetro@unipa.it](mailto:calogero.vetro@unipa.it)