Discrete Mathematics

On the seven non-isomorphic solutions of the fifteen schoolgirl problem

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	DM_30428
Article Type:	Contribution
Keywords:	Schoolgirl problem; Kirkman triple system; KTS; Steiner triple system; STS; non-isomorphic systems
Corresponding Author:	Marco Pavone, Ph.D. University of Palermo: Universita degli Studi di Palermo PALERMO, PALERMO ITALY
First Author:	Marco Pavone, Ph.D.
Order of Authors:	Marco Pavone, Ph.D.
Abstract:	In this paper we give a simple and effective tool to analyze a Kirkman triple system of order 15 and determine which of the seven well-known non-isomorphic KTS(15)s it is isomorphic to. Our technique refines and improves the \emph{lacing} of distinct parallel classes introduced by F.~N.~Cole, by means of the notion of \emph{residual triple} introduced by G. Falcone and the present author in a previous paper. Unlike Cole's original lacing scheme, our algorithm allows one to distinguish two KTS(15)s also in the harder case where the two systems have the same underlying Steiner triple system. In the special case where the common STS is \#19, an alternative method is given in terms of the 1-factorizations of the complete graph K_8 associated to the two KTSs. Moreover, we present a new visual solution to the schoolgirl problem.

Declaration of interests

☒ The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

☐The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

On the seven non-isomorphic solutions of the fifteen schoolgirl problem

Marco Pavone
Dipartimento di Ingegneria
Università degli Studi di Palermo
Palermo 90128 ITALIA

Abstract

In this paper we give a simple and effective tool to analyze a Kirkman triple system of order 15 and determine which of the seven well-known non-isomorphic KTS(15)s it is isomorphic to. Our technique refines and improves the *lacing* of distinct parallel classes introduced by F. N. Cole, by means of the notion of *residual triple* introduced by G. Falcone and the present author in a previous paper.

Unlike Cole's original lacing scheme, our algorithm allows one to distinguish two KTS(15)s also in the harder case where the two systems have the same underlying Steiner triple system. In the special case where the common STS is #19, an alternative method is given in terms of the 1-factorizations of the complete graph K_8 associated to the two KTSs.

Moreover, we present a new visual solution to the schoolgirl problem.

AMS MSC: 05B07, 05B05, 01A55, 01A60.
Keywords: Schoolgirl problem, Kirkman triple system, KTS, Steiner triple system, STS, non-isomorphic systems.
E-mail: marco.pavone@unipa.it

1 Introduction

The *fifteen schoolgirl problem* is one of the most important, celebrated and fascinating problems in combinatorics and recreational mathematics. It was proposed by T. P. Kirkman in 1850 [29, p. 48], and from the very beginning to the present day it has always intrigued both professional and amateur mathematicians, as well as puzzle lovers. The problem is to find a weekly schedule for fifteen girls walking out daily in five rows of three, in such a way that no two girls shall walk in the same row more than once (equivalently, any girl shall walk at least once in the same row with each of the other girls).

The first published solution, due to Cayley, appeared in June 1850 [11], immediately followed by Kirkman's solution in August 1850 [30] (replicated in [31, p. 260] and [32, p. 48]). The latter solution was implicit in the landmark and pioneering paper [28], appeared three years earlier, where Kirkman ingeniously combined a Fano plane with a Room square of side 7.

In order to rephrase the problem in the modern language of combinatorial design theory, we need some preliminary definitions (see, e.g., [5, 13, 16, 47]). A *Steiner triple system* of order v, denoted STS(v), is a pair $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B})$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is a set of v elements (*points*), and $\mathcal{B}$ is a collection of unordered triples of elements of $\mathcal{V}$, with the property that each unordered pair of points occurs as a subset of precisely one triple in $\mathcal{B}$. A *parallel class* is a subcollection of $v/3$ mutually disjoint triples in $\mathcal{B}$ that partitions the point-set $\mathcal{V}$. When the entire collection of triples can in turn be partitioned into parallel classes, such a partition is called a *resolution* (or *parallelism*) of the STS, and the STS is said to be *resolvable.* If $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B})$ is an STS(v) and $\mathcal{R}$ is a resolution of it, then $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$ is a *Kirkman triple system* of order v, denoted KTS(v), and $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B})$ is its *underlying* STS. In this abstract setting, the schoolgirl problem amounts to asking whether there exists a KTS of order 15 (note that a resolution consists of seven parallel classes, each containing five triples).

An *isomorphism* from an STS $(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{B}_1)$ to an STS $(\mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$ is a one-to-one map π from $\mathcal{V}_1$ onto $\mathcal{V}_2$ that preserves triples: more precisely, $t = \{x, y, z\} \in \mathcal{B}_1$ if and only if $\pi(t) = \{\pi(x), \pi(y), \pi(z)\} \in \mathcal{B}_2$. An isomorphism from a KTS $(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{R}_1)$ to a KTS $(\mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{R}_2)$ is required, in addition, to preserve parallel classes: for any parallel class $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathcal{R}_1$, the set $\{\pi(t) \,|\, t \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is a parallel class in $\mathcal{R}_2$. An *automorphism* is an isomorphism from an STS/KTS to itself.

The distinction between resolvable STSs and KTSs is that there can exist non-isomorphic KTSs that share the same underlying STS. Of the eighty non-isomorphic STS(15)s [51], exactly four are resolvable [17] (cf. [13, p. 66], [16, p. 370]). Moreover, three of these four STSs underlie two non-isomorphic KTSs, whereas the fourth STS underlies a unique KTS, which leads to an overall number of seven non-isomorphic KTSs of order 15. The seven solutions are given here in Table 1, using the numbering of the underlying STSs as in [13, p. 67] (where the solutions that are numbered 15a and 15b should be instead 19a and 19b, respectively [14]. See also [46, Appendix, pp. 389-390]).

It must be said, in this respect, that a much more difficult problem than finding a KTS(15) is determining whether two given KTSs of order 15 are isomorphic or not. In fact, Kirkman himself erroneously thought at first that his solution was "the only possible one" [32], and Woolhouse, who was the first to raise the isomorphism issue, initially thought that all solutions were necessarily cyclic [52, 53]. In 1881 eleven solutions of the schoolgirl problem were published [10], but it was only in 1917 [36] and 1922 [17] that it was proved that only seven of them were non-isomorphic, precisely those given in 1862 and 1863 by Woolhouse [53, 54] (an alternative proof, using graph theory, was given in [42]).

One wishes to find simple and effective tools to establish whether two given KTS(15)s are isomorphic or not, and, possibly, determine which of the seven types they belong to. The first possibility is that the two systems do not have the same underlying STS. This can be established, for instance, by considering the two KTSs just as Steiner triple systems and computing, for each of them, some isomorphism-invariant STS parameter, such as the order of the automorphism group, the number of parallel classes, the number of Pasch configurations, or the number of 2-$(7, 3, 1)$ subdesigns (see, e.g. [13, 1.29, p. 32]). Each of these parameters identifies one of the four resolvable STS(15)s uniquely, with the only exception of the last parameter, which is equal to 1 for both systems #19 and #61.

#	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
	abc	ahi	ajk	ade	afg	alm	ano
	djn	beg	bmo	bln	bhj	bik	bdf
1a	ehm	cmn	cef	cij	clo	cdg	chk
	fio	dko	dhl	fkm	dim	ejo	eil
	gkl	fjl	gin	gho	ekn	fhn	gjm
	abc	ahi	ajk	ade	afg	alm	ano
	djn	beg	bmo	bik	bln	bdf	bhj
1b	ehm	cmn	cef	clo	chk	cij	cdg
	fio	dko	dhl	fhn	dim	ekn	eil
	gkl	fjl	gin	gjm	ejo	gho	fkm
	abc	ahi	ajk	ade	afg	alm	ano
	djo	bdf	beg	bln	bmo	bik	bhj
7a	eim	clo	cmn	cij	chk	cdg	cef
	fkl	ekn	dhl	fhm	din	eho	dkm
	ghn	gjm	fio	gko	ejl	fjn	gil
	abc	ahi	ajk	ade	afg	alm	ano
	djo	bdf	beg	bmo	bln	bhj	bik
7b	eim	clo	cmn	chk	cij	cef	cdg
	fkl	ekn	dhl	fjn	dkm	din	ejl
	ghn	gjm	fio	gil	eho	gko	fhm
	ade	afg	alm	ano	abc	ahi	ajk
	bik	bhj	bdf	beg	dho	bmo	bln
19a	chl	cin	cko	cjm	ekn	cef	cdg
	fmn	dkm	eij	dil	fjl	djn	ehm
	gjo	elo	ghn	fhk	gim	gkl	fio
	ade	afg	alm	ano	abc	ahi	ajk
	bik	bhj	bdf	beg	djn	bmo	bln
19b	chl	cin	cko	cjm	ehm	cdg	cef
	fmn	dkm	eij	dil	fio	ekn	dho
	gjo	elo	ghn	fhk	gkl	fjl	gim
	abc	ade	afg	ahi	ajk	alm	ano
	dik	bil	bhj	beg	bmo	bkn	bdf
61	ejn	cjm	cio	cln	cef	cdg	chk
	flo	fhn	dmn	djo	dhl	eho	eim
	ghm	gko	ekl	fkm	gin	fij	gjl

Table 1: The seven solutions of the Kirkman schoolgirl problem.

However, a simpler and more effective tool to distinguish two KTSs of order 15, with two distinct underlying STSs, is using the notion of *lacing* of parallel classes, introduced by F. N. Cole in [17] (although already suggested in [53], in the case of cyclic systems). We say that two distinct parallel classes of a KTS(15) are *laced in the mode (α)* if there exist two triples in one class and two triples in the other class, such that the four triples are mutually disjoint. Otherwise, there exists only one other possible lacing, in which case we say that the two parallel classes are *laced in the mode (β)*. For instance, in the KTS numbered 1a (in Table 1) the triples abc, ehm, dko, fjl are mutually disjoint, hence the parallel classes Monday and Tuesday are laced in the mode (α). We wish to mention that there exists an alternative proof, by A. Rosa, that there exist only two possible lacings: the block-intersection graph of two distinct parallel classes in a KTS(15) is a bipartite cubic graph of order 10, and there exist exactly two such graphs, up to isomorphism [42].

As we mentioned above, the interlacing scheme of distinct parallel classes allows one to identify the underlying STS of a given KTS(15) [17] and, therefore, to distinguish two KTS(15)s with distinct underlying STSs. Indeed, in the systems 1a and 1b any two distinct parallel classes have only the lacing (α). In the systems 7a and 7b (in Table 1) the parallel class Monday is in lacing (α) with all the other parallel classes, whereas each of the latter has two (α) lacings and four (β) lacings. In the systems 19a and 19b (in Table 1) the parallel classes Friday, Saturday, and Sunday have the lacing (α) with each other, whereas all the other lacings are of type (β). Finally, in the system 61 the lacings of distinct parallel classes are all of type (β).

In the case where two KTS(15)s have the same underlying STS (up to isomorphism), the interlacing scheme of distinct parallel classes is the same for the two systems, hence it is no longer sufficient to distinguish them, nor can the two systems be distinguished by their automorphism groups, which are also the same. However, in some cases the automorphisms can nonetheless be used to distinguish the two systems [17]. Indeed, the automorphisms of 1a (in Table 1) are transitive on all points except on the point i, which is fixed under all automorphisms, whereas the automorphisms of 1b are transitive in seven and in eight points. The automorphisms of 7a are transitive in three and in twelve points, whereas the automorphisms of 7b are transitive in three, in four and in eight points. An interpretation of these facts will be seen in Remark 2.6(7), in the light of our forthcoming results.

On the other hand, for both systems 19a and 19b the automorphisms are precisely the same as for the underlying STS [17]: in particular, a single permutation of the 15 points is a KTS-automorphism of 19a if and only if it is a KTS-automorphism of 19b. Therefore the two KTSs cannot be distinguished by considering the lacings of distinct parallel classes, nor by looking at the orbits of the automorphisms. To the best of our knowledge, no simple method to distinguish the two systems is available in the literature.

In this paper, in Section 2, we give a simple and effective tool to establish, in all possible cases, whether two given KTS(15)s are isomorphic or not, independently of the underlying STSs, by determining for any KTS(15) the system in Table 1 isomorphic to it. Because of the previous considerations, our method is particularly significant in the special case where the underlying STS is #19 for both systems. Moreover, in the case where the underlying STS of a given KTS(15) is either #1 or #7, our algorithm is even simpler, and allows one to settle the isomorphism problem in a much faster and more effective way than with the automorphism method described above. In the former case (#1), the problem was solved in a paper by G. Falcone and the present author, which provides a visual description of the two non-isomorphic arrangements of the projective lines of $\mathrm{PG}(3, 2)$, by combining the fifteen simplicial elements of a tetrahedron [24].

Our technique refines and improves Cole's lacing of parallel classes, by means of the notion of *residual triple* implicitly introduced in [24]. Unlike in Cole [17], our algorithm allows one to use the lacing scheme to distinguish two KTS(15)s also in the harder case where the two systems have the same underlying STS. In the special case where the common STS is #19, we also present an alternative method in terms of the 1-factorizations of the complete graph K_8 that are naturally associated to the two KTSs.

In Section 3 we test the effectiveness and simplicity of our method, and exhibit a remarkable solution to the schoolgirl problem for each of the seven isomorphism classes. In fact, we go over the most significant solutions from 1850 to the present day, and we catalogue them by means of our algorithm.

The final Appendix, "Systems 1a and 1b revisited", is devoted to some very significant models of KTS(15)s, whose underlying STS is the point-line design of the projective geometry $\mathrm{PG}(3,2)$. In particular, we improve the well-known solution by A. Frost [25], and we reinterpret, in the light of our lacing algorithm, the solutions given by J. I. Hall [27] by identifying $\mathrm{PG}(3,2)$ and the complete 3-design on seven points, and the solution given by R. Ehrmann [23] by regarding $\mathrm{PG}(3,2)$ as the projective completion of $\mathrm{AG}(3,2)$. Finally, we describe a new algebraic model of the cyclic solutions 1a and 1b, and present a new visual solution, based on the complete graph on six points.

2 The main results

In this section we describe how to determine, for a given Kirkman triple system of order 15, which of the seven systems in Table 1 it is isomorphic to. In order to do so, we extend Cole's lacing scheme [17] by means of the notion of *residual triple*, which was implicitly introduced in [24].

Definition 2.1 ([17]) *Let $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ be two distinct parallel classes of a KTS(15). We say that $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ are laced in the mode (α) if there exist two triples in $\mathcal{C}_1$ and two triples in $\mathcal{C}_2$ that are mutually disjoint. Otherwise, we say that $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ are laced in the mode (β).*

Definition 2.2 *Let $(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{B},\mathcal{R})$ be a Kirkman triple system of order* 15, *and let $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ be two distinct parallel classes in $\mathcal{R}$ that are laced in the mode (α). Let t_1, t_2 (respectively, t_3, t_4) be the two triples in $\mathcal{C}_1$ (respectively, in $\mathcal{C}_2$) such that the four triples t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 are mutually disjoint. We say that a triple t in $\mathcal{B}$ is the* residual triple *of the lacing of $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ if the set $\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t\}$ is a partition of the point-set $\mathcal{V}$.*

Remarks 2.3 1) If t is the residual triple of the lacing of $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$, as in Definition 2.2, then there exists a parallel class $\mathcal{C}_3$ in $\mathcal{R}$, different from $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$, such that $t \in \mathcal{C}_3$. Indeed, if t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 are as in Definition 2.2, and if for instance t were in $\mathcal{C}_2$, then the triples t_1, t_3, t_4, t could not be mutually disjoint, else t_1 would intersect in two points one of the two triples in $\mathcal{C}_2$ different from t_3, t_4, and t, thereby contradicting the definition of Steiner triple system.

For instance, in the KTS numbered 1a (in Table 1), Monday and Tuesday are laced in the mode (α), and the corresponding residual triple is the triple gin, in Wednesday.

2) The STS(15) numbered as #19 has a unique 2-$(7,3,1)$ subdesign, that is, it contains a unique Fano plane (see, e.g., [13, 1.29, p. 32]). If the 35 triples of the system are given as in Table 1 above, then the seven triples of the Fano plane are precisely abc, ade, afg, bdf, beg, cdg, cef. This Fano plane will play a crucial role in the following theorem.

3) In [24], where only systems 1a and 1b are considered, the fact that the four triples in Definitions 2.1 and 2.2 are pairwise disjoint is referred to as the *four skew triples property*, and the residual triples are called *unconsidered triples*, in that they do not belong to any set of four mutually disjoint triples in a lacing of type (α).

Theorem 2.4 *Let $(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{B},\mathcal{R})$ be a Kirkman triple system of order* 15*, with $\mathcal{R} = \{\mathcal{C}_1,\mathcal{C}_2,\ldots,\mathcal{C}_7\}$. Then one, and only one, of the following four cases occurs.*

1. (a) *Any two distinct parallel classes in $\mathcal{R}$ are laced in the mode (α). In this case, the KTS is isomorphic to either system 1a or system 1b.*

   (b) *For any pair of distinct classes $\mathcal{C}_i,\mathcal{C}_j$ in $\mathcal{R}$, there exists a class $\mathcal{C}_k$ in $\mathcal{R}$, different from $\mathcal{C}_i$ and $\mathcal{C}_j$, such that the lacing of any two parallel classes in $\{\mathcal{C}_i,\mathcal{C}_j,\mathcal{C}_k\}$ has a residual triple in the third class.*

   (c) *The set of all residual triples of the lacings of distinct parallel classes consists of precisely seven triples. Moreover, this set consists of either the seven triples containing P, for some point P in $\mathcal{V}$, or the seven triples of a Fano plane. In the former case the KTS is isomorphic to system 1a, in the latter case it is isomorphic to system 1b.*

2. (a) *There exists a unique parallel class in $\mathcal{R}$, say $\mathcal{C}_1$, that is laced in the mode (α) with each of the other six classes in $\mathcal{R}$. Each of the latter has two (α) lacings and four (β) lacings. In this case, the KTS is isomorphic to either system 7a or system 7b.*

   (b) *Up to permutation, any two parallel classes in any of the three sets $\{\mathcal{C}_1,\mathcal{C}_2,\mathcal{C}_3\}$, $\{\mathcal{C}_1,\mathcal{C}_4,\mathcal{C}_5\}$, $\{\mathcal{C}_1,\mathcal{C}_6,\mathcal{C}_7\}$ are laced in the mode (α), with a residual triple in the third class of the set.*

   (c) *The set of all residual triples of the lacings of type (α) consists of precisely seven triples. Moreover, this set consists of either seven triples whose union is the point-set $\mathcal{V}$, or the seven triples of a Fano plane. In the former case the KTS is isomorphic to system 7a, in the latter case it is isomorphic to system 7b.*

   Alternatively, if $\mathcal{C}_i$ and $\mathcal{C}_j$ are two given classes laced in the mode (β), and if the two residual triples of the lacings of $\mathcal{C}_1,\mathcal{C}_i$ and $\mathcal{C}_1,\mathcal{C}_j$ are disjoint (resp., intersect in one point), then the KTS is isomorphic to system 7a (resp., to system 7b).

3. (a) *There exist three distinct parallel classes in $\mathcal{R}$, say $\mathcal{C}_1,\mathcal{C}_2,\mathcal{C}_3$, that are laced in the mode (α) with each other. Any other pair of distinct parallel classes in $\mathcal{R}$ is laced in the mode (β). In this case, the KTS is isomorphic to either system 19a or system 19b.*

   (b) *The lacing of any two parallel classes in $\{\mathcal{C}_1,\mathcal{C}_2,\mathcal{C}_3\}$ has a residual triple in the third class.*

   (c) *There exists a (unique) Fano plane that is a subdesign of $(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{B})$ and whose seven triples include the three residual triples of the lacings of type (α). Given a class $\mathcal{C}_i$ in $\{\mathcal{C}_1,\mathcal{C}_2,\mathcal{C}_3\}$, and a class $\mathcal{C}_j$ in $\{\mathcal{C}_4,\mathcal{C}_5,\mathcal{C}_6,\mathcal{C}_7\}$, let t_i and t_j be the two triples in $\mathcal{C}_i$ and $\mathcal{C}_j$, respectively, belonging to the Fano plane, and let X,Y be the two points of the Fano plane not belonging to $t_i \cup t_j$. Finally, let $\bar{t}_i,\tilde{t}_i$ (respectively, $\bar{t}_j,\tilde{t}_j$) be the two triples in $\mathcal{C}_i$ (respectively, in $\mathcal{C}_j$) containing X and Y. Then the intersection $(\bar{t}_i \cup \tilde{t}_i) \cap (\bar{t}_j \cup \tilde{t}_j)$ contains either four or three elements. In the former case the KTS is isomorphic to system 19a, in the latter case it is isomorphic to system 19b.*

4. *Any two distinct parallel classes in $\mathcal{R}$ are laced in the mode (β). In this case, the KTS is isomorphic to system 61.*

Proof. The statements *1(a), 2(a), 3(a),* and *4* are in [17], whereas the statements *1(b)* and *1(c)* are proved in [24] (a somewhat similar argument, although not fully explicit, is given in [53, p. 86-87], where the word “collating” is used instead of “lacing”) . Thus we are left with the proofs of *2(b), 2(c), 3(b),* and *3(c).*

Let us first consider the case where *2(a)* holds. Then the KTS is isomorphic to either system 7a or system 7b in Table 1. The lacings of type (α) in system 7a and in system 7b, respectively, are precisely those listed in the two following tables.

7a	Parallel classes	Four mutually disjoint triples	Residual triple
	Mon Tue	eim ghn bdf clo	ajk (in Wed)
	Mon Wed	djo fkl beg cmn	ahi (in Tue)
	Tue Wed	ekn gjm dhl fio	abc (in Mon)
	Mon Thu	fkl ghn ade cij	bmo (in Fri)
	Mon Fri	djo eim afg chk	bln (in Thu)
	Thu Fri	fhm gko din ejl	abc (in Mon)
	Mon Sat	djo ghn alm bik	cef (in Sun)
	Mon Sun	eim fkl ano bhj	cdg (in Sat)
	Sat Sun	eho fjn dkm gil	abc (in Mon)

7b	Parallel classes	Four mutually disjoint triples	Residual triple
	Mon Tue	eim ghn bdf clo	ajk (in Wed)
	Mon Wed	djo fkl beg cmn	ahi (in Tue)
	Tue Wed	ekn gjm dhl fio	abc (in Mon)
	Mon Thu	fkl ghn ade bmo	cij (in Fri)
	Mon Fri	djo eim afg bln	chk (in Thu)
	Thu Fri	fjn gil dkm eho	abc (in Mon)
	Mon Sat	djo ghn alm cef	bik (in Sun)
	Mon Sun	eim fkl ano cdg	bhj (in Sat)
	Sat Sun	din gko ejl fhm	abc (in Mon)

Hence statement *2(b)* holds. Moreover, in either case there is an overall number of seven residual triples. In the former case, the union of the residual triples is the point-set $\mathcal{V} = \{\mathrm{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, o}\}$, whereas in the latter case the set $\{\mathrm{abc, ahi, ajk, bhj, bik, chk, cij}\}$ of the residual triples is the block-set of a Fano plane. Also, any two residual triples without a common point in $\{a, b, c\}$ are mutually disjoint in the former case, whereas they intersect in one point in the latter case. Therefore statement *2(c)* holds.

Let us finally consider the case where *3(a)* holds. Then the KTS is isomorphic to either system 19a or system 19b in Table 1. The lacings of type (α) in system 19a and in system 19b, respectively, are precisely those listed in the two following tables.

19a	Parallel classes	Four mutually disjoint triples	Residual triple
	Fri Sat	ekn fjl ahi bmo	cdg (in Sun)
	Fri Sun	dho gim ajk bln	cef (in Sat)
	Sat Sun	djn gkl ehm fio	abc (in Fri)

19b	Parallel classes	Four mutually disjoint triples	Residual triple
	Fri Sat	djn gkl ahi bmo	cef (in Sun)
	Fri Sun	ehm fio ajk bln	cdg (in Sat)
	Sat Sun	ekn fjl dho gim	abc (in Fri)

Hence statement *3(b)* holds. In either case, the three residual triples abc, cdg, cef belong to the set $\{$abc, ade, afg, bdf, beg, cdg, cef$\}$, which is the block-set of the unique 2-$(7, 3, 1)$ subdesign of the underlying STS (see, e.g., [13, 1.29, p. 32]).

Let us consider the parallel classes Friday and Monday in system 19a (respectively, 19b). The two triples in these two classes belonging to the Fano plane are abc and ade in either case, and the two points in the Fano plane that are not in either of the two triples are $X =$ f and $Y =$ g. The two triples in Friday containing X and Y are fjl and gim (resp., fio and gkl), and the two triples in Monday containing X and Y are fmn and gjo in either case. Finally, (fjl$\cup$gim)$\cap$(fmn$\cup$gjo) (resp., (fio$\cup$gkl)$\cap$(fmn$\cup$gjo)) contains precisely four (resp., three) elements. The same is true for any other pair of parallel classes in $\{$Friday, Saturday, Sunday$\}\times\{$Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday$\}$. Therefore statement *3(c)* holds.

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

The next result gives an alternative method to distinguish two KTS(15)s in the harder case where their common underlying STS is #19. It's worth mentioning that the following characterization is interesting in its own right from a theoretical point of view and, moreover, its formulation appears to be simpler and more elegant than that in Theorem 2.4. However, as we will explain in Remark 2.6(5), for practical purposes the following method proves to be less effective than the algorithm given in Theorem 2.4.

Let $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$ be a KTS(15) isomorphic to either system 19a or system 19b, and let $\mathcal{P}$ ($\subseteq \mathcal{V}$) be the point-set of the unique Fano plane contained in the underlying STS (see, e.g., [13, 1.29, p. 32]). Let us also regard the eight points in $\mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{P}$ as the vertices of the complete graph K_8. One can construct a 1-factorization of the graph in a very simple and natural way. Each parallel class of the KTS determines a 1-factor, which is obtained by removing from the parallel class the (unique) triple in the Fano plane and by removing the (unique) point in $\mathcal{P}$ from each of the remaining four triples. The seven resulting 1-factors form a 1-factorization of the graph, which is invariant, up to isomorphism, under the automorphisms of the KTS.

Our characterization will follow from the complete invariant for the 1-factorizations of K_8 that is known as the "division invariant". Let us recall that three 1-factors of a 1-factorization are called a 3-*division* if the union of all three is not connected, whereas two 1-factors are called a *maximal* 2-*division* if their union is not connected and any additional 1-factor connects the graph. It turns out that this is a complete invariant for the 1-factorizations of K_8. There are six 1-factorizations for K_8 and each has a different division structure [50, 8.1].

We now present the following result.

Proposition 2.5 *Let $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$ be a Kirkman triple system of order 15 isomorphic to either system 19a or system 19b, let $\mathcal{F}$ be the corresponding 1-factorization of the complete graph K_8, and let d_3 be the number of 3-divisions contained in $\mathcal{F}$. Then $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$ is isomorphic to system 19a (resp., 19b) if and only if $d_3 > 1$ (resp., $d_3 = 1$).*

Proof. If the blocks of the KTS are denoted as in Table 1 in the Introduction (see systems 19a and 19b), then $\{$abc, ade, afg, bdf, beg, cdg, cef$\}$ is the block-set of the unique Fano plane contained in the underlying STS. Hence, for system 19a, the corresponding 1-factorization $\mathcal{F}$ is that given in the following table.

A	hl	ik	jo	mn
B	hj	in	km	lo
C	hn	ij	ko	lm
D	hk	il	jm	no
E	ho	im	jl	kn
F	hi	jn	kl	mo
G	hm	io	jk	ln

Then, by definition, ABE and ACG are two distinct 3-divisions of $\mathcal{F}$ (for the sake of completeness, ADF, BCF, BDG, CDE, and EFG are also 3-divisions, hence $\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to the 1-factorization of K_8 that is usually denoted by $\mathcal{F}_1$ [50, p. 93]).

Similarly one finds, for system 19b, that $\mathcal{F}$ contains a unique 3-division (there exist also six maximal 2-divisions, hence $\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to the 1-factorization of K_8 that is usually denoted by $\mathcal{F}_4$).

This completes the proof of the proposition. ■

Remarks 2.6 1) It follows from Theorem 2.4 that in both systems 1a and 1b the seven parallel classes can be seen as the points of a Fano plane, whose blocks are precisely the sets $\{\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{C}_j, \mathcal{C}_k\}$ in property *1(b)* of the theorem.

If we refer to Table 1, then the blocks of the Fano plane are precisely M-TU-W, M-TH-SU, M-F-SA, TU-TH-SA, TU-F-SU, W-TH-F, W-SA-SU for system 1a, and M-TU-W, M-TH-F, M-SA-SU, TU-TH-SA, TU-F-SU, W-TH-SU, W-F-SA for system 1b.

Moreover, in the latter case the seven residual triples afg, ahi, ano, fhn, fio, gho, gin form the blocks of a Fano plane as well, and, interestingly enough, the map that sends a parallel class to the residual triple belonging to that class is an isomorphism of the Fano plane of parallel classes with the dual design of the Fano plane of residual triples. For instance, M $\mapsto$ fio, TU $\mapsto$ ahi, W $\mapsto$ gin, whence M-TU-W $\mapsto$ i.

2) As a consequence of the previous remark, it follows immediately that given a KTS(15) whose underlying STS is #1, it suffices to apply the lacing scheme to only three pairs of distinct parallel classes in order to determine whether the system is isomorphic to system 1a or system 1b.

Indeed, if the residual triple of the lacing of two given parallel classes X, Y is in the class Z, then, given a fourth class U, the residual triple of the lacing of X and U is necessarily in a fifth class V. We may assume that the residual triple in Z is $\alpha\beta\gamma$ and the residual triple in V is $\alpha\delta\epsilon$. Now the third residual triple containing α, in system 1b, is precisely the residual triple of the lacing of Z and V. Therefore, in addition to the lacings of X, Y and of X, U, we consider the lacing of Z, U (or the lacing of V, Y): if the residual triple of the latter lacing contains α, then the KTS is isomorphic to system 1a, else it is isomorphic to system 1b.

3) For a KTS(15) whose underlying STS is #7, and for which the distinguished parallel class $\mathcal{C}_1$ is known, it suffices to apply the lacing scheme to only two pairs of distinct parallel classes in order to determine whether the system is isomorphic to system 7a or system 7b. Indeed, given $i \neq 1$, consider first the lacing of $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_i$, with residual triple, say, in $\mathcal{C}_k$. Let j be different from $1, i, k$. If the two residual triples of the lacings of $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_i$ and $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_j$ are disjoint

(resp., intersect in one point), then the KTS is isomorphic to system 7a (resp., to system 7b) by property *2(c)* in the theorem.

4) More generally, given an explicit KTS(15), it is natural to ask how many applications of the lacing scheme are necessary in order to determine the isomorphism class of the system. One can show that, if no preliminary information on the KTS is known, then the number of applications that are needed is at most 3 (resp., 6, 9, 10) if the underlying STS is #1 (resp., #7, #61, #19).

Moreover, if the underlying STS is #61 or #19, then it can take up to 9 applications only to determine the underlying STS, besides the further difficulty of distinguishing systems 19a and 19b (this depends on the fact that in the latter systems there are only three lacings of type (α) out of 21).

On the other hand, if the first lacing comes out to be of type (α), then only four further applications are needed, at most, to settle the isomorphism problem, no matter whether the underlying STS is #1, #7, or #19.

Indeed, let us denote the seven parallel classes of the system by the days of the week: M, TU, W, TH, F, SA, SU. Whenever a lacing is of type (α), with residual triple in the class denoted by the day X, we denote such triple by t_X. The idea is to begin the investigation by first considering the lacings M-TU, W-TH, F-SA, and M-SU, in this order. If these lacings are all of type (β), then the underlying STS is either #19 or #61, and one continues with the lacings TU-SU, W-F, W-SA, TH-F and TH-SA, in any order. If at least one of these five lacings is of type (α), say X-Y, with residual triple t_Z, then the STS is #19, and the residual triple t_Y of X-Z, together with t_Z, allows one to determine the unique Fano plane contained in the system, and hence the isomorphism class of the system, by means of property *3(c)* in the theorem.

If, instead, all the nine lacings are of type (β), then the KTS is necessarily isomorphic to system 61, because the lacings have been chosen in such a way that each triple of mutually distinct parallel classes contains at least one pair of classes corresponding to one of the nine lacings (note that this cannot be accomplished with less than nine lacings). This proves, as claimed, that if the underlying STS is #61 (resp., #19), then at most nine (resp., ten) lacings are necessary to determine the isomorphism class of the KTS.

Let us now consider the case where the first lacing, M-TU, is of type (α), with residual triple, say, t_W. In this case, we consider the lacing M-TH. If this lacing is of type (α), with residual triple, say, t_F, then the system is isomorphic to 7a if $t_W \cap t_F = \emptyset$, else it is isomorphic to either 1a, 1b or 7b. In the latter case, we consider W-TH: if this lacing is of type (β), then the system is isomorphic to 7b; if it is of type (α), with residual triple t_X, then the system is isomorphic to 1a (resp., 1b) if $t_W \cap t_F \cap t_X$ is nonempty (resp., empty).

If, instead, the lacing M-TH is of type (β), then we consider the lacing W-TH. If this lacing is of type (α), with residual triple, say, t_F, and if t_{TU} is the residual triple of M-W, then the KTS is isomorphic to system 7a (resp., to system 7b) if $t_F \cap t_{TU}$ is empty (resp., nonempty). If, instead, W-TH is of type (β), then we consider the lacing TU-TH: if this is of type (α), with residual triple, say, t_F, then the KTS is isomorphic to system 7a (resp., to system 7b) if $t_F \cap t_W$ is empty (resp., nonempty); if TU-TH is of type (β), then the underlying STS is #19, and it takes one further lacing M-W, together with property *3(c)* in the theorem, to determine whether the system is isomorphic to 19a or 19b. This shows that if M-TU is of type (α), then at most four more lacings are needed to settle the isomorphism problem, as claimed.

If M-TU is of type (β), then the underlying STS is either #7, #19, or #61. In this case, one considers the lacings W-TH, F-SA, and M-SU, in this order. If they are all of type (β), then the STS is either #19 or #61, else it is either #7 or #19. In the latter case, and if the STS is #7, one can show, by arguing as above, that the highest number of lacings required to determine the isomorphism class of the system is six, and that this upper bound is attained precisely in the case where M-TU and W-TH are both of type (β), whereas F-SA is of type (α). If t_X is the corresponding residual triple, and if Y is any class not in $\{\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{SA}, \mathrm{X}\}$, then one further considers the lacings Y-X, Y-F and Y-SA, in this order. The upper bound six is attained precisely in the case where Y-X and Y-F are of type (β) and Y-SA is of type (α).

5) As we pointed out earlier, Proposition 2.5 is an interesting and elegant result from a theoretical point of view, but for practical purposes it is more convenient to resort to the algorithm in Theorem 2.4. Indeed, given an arbitrary KTS(15), one can apply Proposition 2.5 only if one already knows that the underlying STS is #19. On the other hand, as we explained in the previous Remark (4), in order to get this information one must apply the lacing scheme in Theorem 2.4 as many as nine times, and once it is ascertained that the underlying STS is #19, it suffices to consider just one extra lacing to determine whether the system is 19a or 19b, with no need of constructing and examining the 1-factorization of K_8.

6) One may think of extending Proposition 2.5 to the more general case where the underlying STS is either #19 or #61. Indeed, in either case the STS contains a unique Fano plane (see, e.g., [13, 1.29, p. 32]), hence the 1-factorization $\mathcal{F}$ of K_8 associated with the KTS is uniquely determined. However, it turns out that $\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_1$ for both systems 19a and 61, hence the 1-factorization is not a complete invariant for these isomorphism classes of KTS(15)s.

7) We mentioned in the Introduction that the automorphisms of 1a (in Table 1) are transitive on all points except on the point i, which is fixed under all automorphisms, whereas the automorphisms of 1b are transitive in seven and in eight points. Needless to say, the point i is the common point of the seven residual triples in system 1a, whereas the seven points in the latter case are precisely the points of the Fano plane of the residual triples in system 1b.

The automorphisms of 7a are transitive in three and in twelve points, whereas the automorphisms of 7b are transitive in three, in four and in eight points. If we refer again to Table 1, then in either case the three points are $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ (see the first two tables in the proof of Theorem 2.4), whereas the four points are $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}$, which, together with $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$, form the Fano plane determined by the residual triples of system 7b.

As to systems 19a and 19b, the three residual triples provide a simple method to find the points of the unique Fano plane contained in the underlying STS.

3 Examples

In this section we test the effectiveness and simplicity of our method by determining, for some given KTS(15)s, which of the systems in Table 1 they are isomorphic to. By doing so, we will exhibit one KTS(15) for each of the seven types.

It is worth noting that almost all the solutions of the schoolgirl problem in the literature are isomorphic to either system 1b or system 1a, that is, the first two published solutions [11, 30]. In this case, the underlying STS is the point-line design of the projective geometry PG$(3, 2)$, a fact which, together with the cyclic nature of the two solutions, perhaps made the solutions 1a and 1b arise in a more "natural" way (see, in this regard, the final appendix below).

1) (System 1b) The first published solution to the fifteen schoolgirl problem was given by Cayley in 1850 [11]. Here we will actually describe Cayley's more revealing construction in [12] (cf. [16, p. 6]).

	a	b	c	d	e	f	g
abc				35	17	82	64
ade		62	84			15	37
afg		13	57	86	42		
bdf	47		16		38		25
bge	58		23	14		67	
cdg	12	78			56	34	
cef	36	45		27			18

The bottom-right 7x7 "minor" of the previous table is a Room square of side 7, whereas the seven triples in the first column are the blocks of a Fano plane. The schoolgirls are the fifteen symbols a, b, c, d, e, f, g, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8. Each of the seven bottom rows of the array gives a parallel class, by taking the triple in the first column together with the triples obtained by adjoining each pair of numbers to the letter that appears in the same column (in passing, any KTS(15) can be constructed in this way). Hence the solution is the following.

Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
abc	ade	afg	bdf	bge	cdg	cef
d35	b62	b13	a47	a58	a12	a36
e17	c84	c57	c16	c23	b78	b45
f82	f15	d86	e38	d14	e56	d27
g64	g37	e42	g25	f67	f34	g18

Now Monday and Tuesday are laced in the mode (α), with residual triple afg in Wednesday. Also, Monday and Thursday are laced in the mode (α), with residual triple bge in Friday. Finally, Wednesday and Thursday are laced in the mode (α), with residual triple cef in Sunday. It follows from Theorem 2.4 that the KTS is isomorphic to either system 1a or system 1b. As the three triples afg, bge, and cef do not have any point in common, we may finally conclude, again by Theorem 2.4 (see also Remark 2.6(2)), that Cayley's KTS is isomorphic to system 1b. Also, it can be immediately checked that the seven residual triples are precisely the blocks of the Fano plane that generates the solution together with the Room square of side 7.

Other "classical" examples of a KTS(15) isomorphic to system 1b are the solutions by W. Spottiswoode [45], J. Horner [39], and W. Burnside [8], the first solution by A. C. Dixon [20], T. H. Gill's solution [43, p. 103], the first solution by E. J. F. Primrose [40], the solution by E. Brown and K. E. Mellinger [7, Table 2], and the second cyclic solution by B. Peirce [37, §31, p. 172] (also reported in [21, p. 18]), whose visual representation is given by means of a two-step rotating circle in [44, Figure iii, p. 200] (see also [26, Figure 51, p. 126], from *Scientific*

American, May 1980), and where one of the two orbits of length 7 consists precisely of the points of the seven residual triples. All this can be checked by the same method used above for Cayley's solution.

Another interesting visual example of a KTS(15) isomorphic to system 1b is the system denoted by (↻, ↻) in [24], where the schoolgirls are represented as the fifteen simplicial elements of a tetrahedron, that is, the four vertices, the six edges, the four faces, and the whole tetrahedron.

2) (System 1a) Our second example is the 1850 system published by Kirkman [30] (replicated in [31, p. 260] and [32, p. 48]), who described it as "the neatest method of writing the solution of the problem". He also thought that this was the only possible solution up to permutation [32]. The fifteen schoolgirls are

$$a_1,\ a_2,\ a_3,\ b_1,\ b_2,\ b_3,\ c_1,\ c_2,\ c_3,\ d_1,\ d_2,\ d_3,\ e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3.$$

As a first parallel class we take

$$a_1a_2a_3,\ b_1b_2b_3,\ c_1c_2c_3,\ d_1d_2d_3,\ e_1e_2e_3.$$

Each of the other six classes contains three triples of the form $a_1x_iy_i$, $a_2x_jy_j$, $a_3u_kv_k$, where $\{\{x,y\},\{u,v\}\}$ ranges over the six partitions of $\{b,c,d,e\}$, and $\{i,j,k\} = \{1,2,3\}$. In view of the choice of the first class, the other two triples are necessarily $u_iv_jz_k$ and $v_iu_jw_k$, with $\{z,w\} = \{x,y\}$. Therefore each of the six classes has the form

$$a_1x_iy_i,\ a_2x_jy_j,\ a_3u_kv_k,\ u_iv_jz_k,\ v_iu_jw_k.$$

Up to the choice of z and w, the six classes are uniquely determined by three choices of i, j, x, and y. Indeed, any such choice produces another class by just permuting $x \leftrightarrow u$ and $y \leftrightarrow v$. To get a KTS(15) it now suffices to make the following (cyclic) choice for the ordered quintuple (i, j, x, y, u): $(1, 2, b, c, d)$, $(2, 3, c, d, b)$, $(3, 1, d, b, c)$. This will also determine z and w uniquely in each class, by taking, precisely, $z = x$ and $w = y$. This way we get precisely Kirkman's solution of the schoolgirl problem [30, p. 169].

Mon	$a_1a_2a_3$	$b_1b_2b_3$	$c_1c_2c_3$	$d_1d_2d_3$	$e_1e_2e_3$
Tue	$a_1b_1c_1$	$a_2b_2c_2$	$a_3d_3e_3$	$d_1e_2b_3$	$e_1d_2c_3$
Wed	$a_1d_1e_1$	$a_2d_2e_2$	$a_3b_3c_3$	$b_1c_2d_3$	$c_1b_2e_3$
Thu	$a_1c_3d_3$	$a_2c_1d_1$	$a_3b_2e_2$	$b_3e_1c_2$	$e_3b_1d_2$
Fri	$a_1b_3e_3$	$a_2b_1e_1$	$a_3c_2d_2$	$c_3d_1b_2$	$d_3c_1e_2$
Sat	$a_1d_2b_2$	$a_2d_3b_3$	$a_3c_1e_1$	$c_2e_3d_1$	$e_2c_3b_1$
Sun	$a_1c_2e_2$	$a_2c_3e_3$	$a_3d_1b_1$	$d_2b_3c_1$	$b_2d_3e_1$

By construction, the permutation $(b_1c_3d_2)(c_1d_3b_2)(d_1b_3c_2)(e_3e_2e_1)$ of the fifteen symbols is an automorphism of order 3 of the KTS, which induces the permutation (Tue Thu Sat)(Wed Fri Sun) of the parallel classes.

Now Monday and Tuesday are laced in the mode (α), with residual triple $a_3b_3c_3$ in Wednesday. Also, Monday and Thursday are laced in the mode (α), with residual triple $a_3c_2d_2$ in Friday. Finally, Wednesday and Thursday are laced in the mode (α), with residual triple $a_3d_1b_1$ in Sunday. It follows from Theorem 2.4 that the KTS is isomorphic to either system 1a or system 1b. As the three triples $a_3b_3c_3$, $a_3c_2d_2$, and $a_3d_1b_1$ have the point a_3 in common, we may finally conclude, by Remark 2.6(2), that the KTS is isomorphic to system 1a.

Further examples of a KTS(15) isomorphic to system 1a are R. R. Anstice's first solution [3, p. 280], the third cyclic solution by B. Peirce [37, §31, p. 172], the solutions by A. Frost [25] (also reported in [34, p. 184]), A. F. H. Mertelsmann [35], and H. E. Dudeney [22], the second solution by E. J. F. Primrose [40], the regular 14-gon model in [5, Figure 5.2, p. 28], the solution by B. Polster [38, Figure 8], and the system denoted by $(\circlearrowleft, \circlearrowright)$ in [24]. Whenever system 1a is constructed as a cyclic solution, the common point of the seven residual triples is precisely the fixed point of the order-7 automorphism.

Interestingly enough, the joint solution by four authors in [32, p. 48], immediately after Kirkman's solution, is also isomorphic to system 1a. In a recent paper [6, §6.2], S. Bonvicini et al. constructed a model of system 1a which was designed to show that the KTS is 3-*pyramidal*, i.e., admitting an automorphism group acting sharply transitively on all but three points.

3) (Systems 7a and 7b) In 2012 Kristýna Stodolová wrote a thesis on "Classic problems in combinatorics" [48], where she described the visual solutions of the schoolgirl problem given in [19] and [24] and, in addition, proposed a third visual solution, with no references. To this end, she arranged fifteen balls in the usual triangular pool-table configuration as follows.



The seven parallel classes are defined as follows, with the obvious interpretation of the symbols. For instance, the five triples in Monday are $\{1,2,3\}$, $\{4,5,6\}$, $\{7,8,15\}$, $\{9,10,11\}$, and $\{12,13,14\}$.



Monday Tuesday Wednesday

Thursday Friday Saturday



Sunday

Note how the three configurations in each row are obtained from one another by means of 120-degree rotations of the triangle around its center, and that the final parallel class (Sunday) is invariant under the same rotations. An alternative choice (not reported in [48]) for Wednesday, Saturday, and Sunday is the following.



Wednesday



Saturday



Sunday

In either case, the resulting KTS(15) has the property that the only lacings in the mode (α) are those between any two parallel classes in any of the three sets $\{\mathrm{Mon}, \mathrm{Thu}, \mathrm{Sun}\}$, $\{\mathrm{Tue}, \mathrm{Fri}, \mathrm{Sun}\}$, $\{\mathrm{Wed}, \mathrm{Sat}, \mathrm{Sun}\}$. It follows from Theorem 2.4 that the KTS is isomorphic to either system 7a or system 7b.

In the former case, the residual triples of the lacings in the mode (α) are $\{1, 11, 15\}$, $\{1, 2, 3\}$, $\{1, 5, 13\}$, $\{11, 6, 8\}$, $\{11, 7, 12\}$, $\{15, 4, 9\}$, and $\{15, 10, 14\}$, whose union is the point-set $\{1, 2, \ldots, 15\}$, whence the KTS is isomorphic to system 7a by Theorem 2.4.

In the latter case, when we make the alternative choice for Wednesday, Saturday, and Sunday, the residual triples of the lacings in the mode (α) are $\{1, 4, 10\}$, $\{1, 9, 14\}$, $\{1, 11, 15\}$, $\{4, 9, 15\}$, $\{4, 11, 14\}$, $\{9, 10, 11\}$, and $\{10, 14, 15\}$, which form the blocks of a Fano plane. Hence, by Theorem 2.4, the KTS is isomorphic to system 7b.

Another KTS(15) isomorphic to system 7b is the second solution by A. C. Dixon [20]. Note that the visual model in the present example is somehow the only possible one to represent system 7a, since this system admits an order-3 automorphism with five orbits of length 3 (see [17]). In any case, we are not aware of any other visual models of systems 7a and 7b.

4) (Systems 19a and 19b) In 1897 E. W. Davis gave a visual solution to the schoolgirl problem [19], where the fifteen girls were represented as the eight vertices, the six faces, and the whole of a cube.

Let us denote the eight vertices by the numbers $1, 2, \ldots, 8$, as in Figure 1. Each face is denoted by a quadruple of the form $abcd$, where a, b, c, d are the four vertices belonging to that face. For instance, 5678 is the face at the base of the cube in Figure 1. Also, the letter C denotes the whole of the cube.



Figure 1: Davis's cube.

The first four parallel classes are defined as follows. Each class contains a triple of the type $\{C, v, w\}$, where v is a vertex in the set $\{2, 4, 5, 7\}$ and w is the opposite vertex, a triple consisting of the three faces containing the vertex v, and three triples of the type $\{f, x, y\}$, where f is one of the three remaining faces, and x, y are two adjacent vertices belonging to f and different from w. There are two possible ways of taking the four classes. If we choose $\{1256, 1, 2\}$ to be one of the triples, then the four classes are determined uniquely as follows.

Mon	C, 7, 1	2367, 3478, 5678	1234, 2, 3	1256, 5, 6	1458, 4, 8
Tue	C, 2, 8	1234, 1256, 2367	1458, 1, 5	3478, 3, 4	5678, 6, 7
Wed	C, 5, 3	1256, 1458, 5678	1234, 1, 4	2367, 2, 6	3478, 7, 8
Thu	C, 4, 6	1234, 1458, 3478	1256, 1, 2	2367, 3, 7	5678, 5, 8

The remaining three classes are defined as follows. Each class contains a triple consisting of C and two opposite faces, and four triples of the type $\{f, x, y\}$, where f is one of the remaining four faces, and x, y are two non-adjacent vertices belonging to the face opposite to f. There are two possible ways of taking the three classes, which are shown in the two following tables.

Fri	C, 1234, 5678	1256, 4, 7	1458, 3, 6	2367, 1, 8	3478, 2, 5
Sat	C, 1256, 3478	1234, 6, 8	1458, 2, 7	2367, 4, 5	5678, 1, 3
Sun	C, 1458, 2367	1234, 5, 7	1256, 3, 8	3478, 1, 6	5678, 2, 4

Fri	C, 1234, 5678	1256, 3, 8	1458, 2, 7	2367, 4, 5	3478, 1, 6
Sat	C, 1256, 3478	1234, 5, 7	1458, 3, 6	2367, 1, 8	5678, 2, 4
Sun	C, 1458, 2367	1234, 6, 8	1256, 4, 7	3478, 2, 5	5678, 1, 3

In either case, the resulting KTS(15) has the property that the classes Friday, Saturday, and Sunday are laced in the mode (α) with each other, whereas all the other lacings are in the mode (β). It follows from Theorem 2.4 that the KTS is isomorphic to either system 19a or system 19b.

Also, in either case, the residual triples of the three lacings of type (α) are $\{C, 1234, 5678\}$, $\{C, 1256, 3478\}$, and $\{C, 1458, 2367\}$, which belong to the block-set of the Fano plane whose points are C and the six faces of the cube. Moreover, the two triples in Thursday and Friday belonging to the Fano plane are $\{1234, 1458, 3478\}$ and $\{C, 1234, 5678\}$, respectively, and the two points in the Fano plane that are not in either of the two triples are $X = 1256$ and $Y = 2367$. Also, the two triples in Thursday containing X and Y are $\{1256, 1, 2\}$ and $\{2367, 3, 7\}$.

In the former (respectively, latter) case, that is, when we take the classes Friday, Saturday, and Sunday in the first (resp., second) table, the two triples in

Friday containing X and Y are $\{1256, 4, 7\}$ and $\{2367, 1, 8\}$ (resp., $\{1256, 3, 8\}$ and $\{2367, 4, 5\}$). Finally, $(\{1256, 1, 2\} \cup \{2367, 3, 7\}) \cap (\{1256, 4, 7\} \cup \{2367, 1, 8\})$ (resp., $(\{1256, 1, 2\} \cup \{2367, 3, 7\}) \cap (\{1256, 3, 8\} \cup \{2367, 4, 5\})$) contains precisely four (resp., three) elements. Therefore it follows from Theorem 2.4 that the KTS is isomorphic to system 19a (resp., 19b).

Note that, in addition to the points, the triples, and the parallel classes, this geometric model allows one to visualize all the automorphisms of the two systems as well. Indeed, in either case the automorphism group of the system is the (order-12) tetrahedral group [17], and it is easy to check that, by construction, the three order-2 rotations around the midpoints of two opposite faces, and the eight order-3 rotations around the diagonals through two opposite vertices are all, together with the identity, automorphisms of the two systems.

We are not aware of any other solution isomorphic to either 19a or 19b in the literature (with the exception, of course, of those provided by those authors who gave all seven solutions [53, 54, 36, 17, 42]).

5) (System 61) In this final example we describe a visual solution to the schoolgirl problem, which was inspired by the two-step rotating circle in [44, Figure ii, p. 200], which, in turn, was derived from Anstice's cyclic solution in [3, p. 285].

In 1852 Anstice published the first cyclic solutions of the schoolgirl problem, that is, KTS(15)s having an automorphism of order 7, with two orbits of length 7 and one fixed point. One can easily check, by applying our algorithm, that Anstice's first solution [3, p. 280] is isomorphic to system 1a, whereas the second solution [3, p. 285] is isomorphic to system 61. In the postscript of his paper [3, p. 291], Anstice shows that there exist "three distinct species of combinations of triads" of 15 symbols, but does not exhibit the arrangement 1b explicitly.

Kirkman considered Anstice's solutions the "first properly mathematical solutions", which revealed "the theory of the solution" of his puzzle [33], and which were not limited to the mere exploitation of the empirical efficiency of some suitable tables.

In the present visual solution, unlike in [44], the fifteen schoolgirls are represented by labelling the vertices of an outer regular 7-gon by $P_0, \ldots, P_6$, the vertices of an inner regular 7-gon by $Q_0, \ldots, Q_6$, and the central point by the symbol ∞. In the following picture, on the right, we describe only the "base" parallel class, representing each triple by three marks of the same kind. The remaining six parallel classes are obtained by the non-trivial rotations around the central point that leave the set of vertices invariant. Equivalently, the parallel classes are the orbits of the base parallel class under the automorphism defined by $P_n \mapsto P_{n+1}$, $Q_n \mapsto Q_{n+1}$ (mod 7), and $\infty \mapsto \infty$.



More precisely, the resulting KTS(15) is given in the following table (which is essentially the same as in [2, Example 1.1]).

Mon	$P_0Q_0\infty$	$Q_1Q_2Q_4$	$P_1Q_3P_5$	$P_2P_3Q_6$	$P_4Q_5P_6$
Tue	$P_1Q_1\infty$	$Q_2Q_3Q_5$	$P_2Q_4P_6$	$P_3P_4Q_0$	$P_5Q_6P_0$
Wed	$P_2Q_2\infty$	$Q_3Q_4Q_6$	$P_3Q_5P_0$	$P_4P_5Q_1$	$P_6Q_0P_1$
Thu	$P_3Q_3\infty$	$Q_4Q_5Q_0$	$P_4Q_6P_1$	$P_5P_6Q_2$	$P_0Q_1P_2$
Fri	$P_4Q_4\infty$	$Q_5Q_6Q_1$	$P_5Q_0P_2$	$P_6P_0Q_3$	$P_1Q_2P_3$
Sat	$P_5Q_5\infty$	$Q_6Q_0Q_2$	$P_6Q_1P_3$	$P_0P_1Q_4$	$P_2Q_3P_4$
Sun	$P_6Q_6\infty$	$Q_0Q_1Q_3$	$P_0Q_2P_4$	$P_1P_2Q_5$	$P_3Q_4P_5$

As Monday and Tuesday are laced in the mode (β), the KTS is not isomorphic to system 1a nor to system 1b by Theorem 2.4. On the other hand, systems 7a, 7b, 19a, and 19b do not have an automorphism of order 7 (see, for instance, [17] and [46, Appendix]), whence the KTS is isomorphic to system 61.

Alternatively, a direct proof can be given, in view of Remark 2.6(4) in Section 2, by showing that there exist nine suitable lacings of distinct parallel classes of type (β).

Note that the labelling and the arrangement of the fifteen points help us not only to highlight the cyclicity of the solution, but also to get a more immediate understanding of some other properties of the system. For instance, the vertices of the inner 7-gon are precisely the points of the unique Fano plane contained in the underlying STS (see, e.g., [13, 1.29, p. 32]). Also, the full order-21 automorphism group of the system is generated by the permutation $(P_0P_1P_2P_3P_4P_5P_6)(Q_0Q_1Q_2Q_3Q_4Q_5Q_6)$ (that is, the clockwise rotation of the 7-gons that generates the parallel classes) and the order-3 permutation $(P_1P_4P_2)(Q_1Q_4Q_2)(P_3P_5P_6)(Q_3Q_5Q_6)$ (see [17]).

It is worth mentioning that, by applying to the special case $q = 7$ the well-known construction by Ray-Chaudhuri and Wilson of a KTS$(2q + 1)$, for a prime power $q \equiv 1 \pmod 6$, one obtains a KTS(15) with point-set $(\mathbb{F}_7 \times \{1, 2\}) \cup \{\infty\}$, whose seven parallel classes are derived by developing modulo 7 the base parallel class $\{(0, 1),(0, 2), \infty\}$, $\{(1, 1),(3, 1),(2, 2)\}$, $\{(2, 1),(6, 1),(4, 2)\}$, $\{(4, 1),(5, 1),(1, 2)\}$, $\{(6, 2),(5, 2),(3, 2)\}$ ([41]; see also [15, 14.5.21, p. 592] and [16, Theorem 19.10]). Arguing as above, one immediately finds that the KTS is isomorphic to system 61 (alternatively, one can easily find an explicit isomorphism with the KTS described in the previous table).

Note that, for a prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod 6$, the construction of a (2-rotational) KTS$(2p + 1)$ had been given by Anstice himself [3, 4], making use of primitive roots and difference families for the first time in the history of block designs, and constructing infinitely many cyclic Room squares (see also [1]).

4 Appendix: systems 1a and 1b revisited

1) In 1871 A. Frost [25] published an interesting solution to the schoolgirl problem, based on the observation that if the 15 schoolgirls are denoted by $p, a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2, e_1, e_2, f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2$, and if the seven letters $a, b, \dots, g$ are the points of a Fano plane, then the seven parallel classes can be constructed as follows. Each letter x in $\{a, b, \dots, g\}$ determines a parallel class containing the triple px_1x_2 and four triples of the form $u_iv_jw_k$, where uvw ranges over the four blocks of the Fano plane not containing x.

After this elegant and promising premise, however, the various arrangements of the subscripts i, j, k are found after an excessively long and involved search, which takes two full pages of the article. Moreover, in the final solution the ordered triple (i, j, k) takes up all the eight possible values, with no symmetry nor apparent logic. The same thing happens in the account given in [21, p. 15].

Here we describe a faster and more effective way to obtain a solution which is consistent with Frost's requirements and, moreover, is particularly symmetric, cyclic and simple (and where the ordered triple (i, j, k) takes up only four distinct values). Our construction is inspired by one of Anstice's cyclic solutions [3, p. 280], and is based on the fact that one of the orbits of the automorphism of order 7 consists of the seven points of a Fano plane.

Let us denote the 15 schoolgirls by $p, a_1, a_2, \ldots, g_1, g_2$ as above, and let us choose $abc, bdf, cfe, dcg, ead, fga, geb$ as the blocks of a Fano plane (which Frost calls the "fundamental triads"). If we take

$$pa_1a_2 \quad b_1d_1f_1 \quad d_2c_1g_2 \quad c_2f_2e_1 \quad g_1e_2b_2$$

as the base parallel class, then the other six parallel classes will be produced by the action of the cyclic group of order 7 generated by the permutation

$$(a \;\; b \;\; d \;\; c \;\; f \;\; g \;\; e).$$

The resulting KTS will be the following system.

Mon	pa_1a_2	$b_1d_1f_1$	$d_2c_1g_2$	$c_2f_2e_1$	$g_1e_2b_2$
Tue	pb_1b_2	$d_1c_1g_1$	$c_2f_1e_2$	$f_2g_2a_1$	$e_1a_2d_2$
Wed	pd_1d_2	$c_1f_1e_1$	$f_2g_1a_2$	$g_2e_2b_1$	$a_1b_2c_2$
Thu	pc_1c_2	$f_1g_1a_1$	$g_2e_1b_2$	$e_2a_2d_1$	$b_1d_2f_2$
Fri	pf_1f_2	$g_1e_1b_1$	$e_2a_1d_2$	$a_2b_2c_1$	$d_1c_2g_2$
Sat	pg_1g_2	$e_1a_1d_1$	$a_2b_1c_2$	$b_2d_2f_1$	$c_1f_2e_2$
Sun	pe_1e_2	$a_1b_1c_1$	$b_2d_1f_2$	$d_2c_2g_1$	$f_1g_2a_2$

Note that each of the fundamental triads appears four times, with subscripts 111, 212, 221, 122. An equivalent and perhaps more illuminating way of describing the system is the following, where the seven parallel classes are given by the seven rightmost columns, and where each cell of the grid represents the ordered subscripts to be given to the fundamental triad in the same row.

	pa_1a_2	pb_1b_2	pd_1d_2	pc_1c_2	pf_1f_2	pg_1g_2	pe_1e_2
bdf	111			122		221	212
dcg	212	111			122		221
cfe	221	212	111			122	
fga		221	212	111			122
geb	122		221	212	111		
ead		122		221	212	111	
abc			122		221	212	111

By applying Theorem 2.4, it can be readily seen that the KTS is isomorphic to system 1a (just like Frost's original solution), and that the residual triples are the seven triples of the form px_1x_2. By replacing 122, 212, 221 in the previous table by 212, 221, 122, respectively, the KTS becomes isomorphic to system

1b, and the residual triples are the triples $u_1 v_1 w_1$, where uvw ranges over the fundamental triads of the Fano plane.

2) We now consider the fascinating model of PG$(3,2)$ by A. M. Gleason [49] (whose resolutions were characterized by J. I. Hall [27]), and we revisit it in the light of the algorithm in Theorem 2.4. It is probably the model of PG$(3,2)$ that displays in the simplest and most direct way the duality of the projective space.

Let $X = \{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$. There exist precisely 30 distinct Fano planes with point-set X (this had already been noted by Woolhouse [54] in 1863). The action of the alternating group A_7 on X induces a natural action on the 30 Fano planes, with two orbits of 15 planes each. Let us denote the two orbits by $\mathcal{P}$ ("points") and $\mathcal{H}$ ("planes"), where $\mathcal{P}$ (resp., $\mathcal{H}$) is the orbit containing, for instance, the cyclic Fano plane whose blocks are obtained by developing (mod 7) the base block $(1,2,4)$ (resp., $(1,3,4)$). Finally, let us call "lines" the 35 unordered triples of elements of X.

One can define an incidence structure on $\mathcal{P} \cup \binom{X}{3} \cup \mathcal{H}$ as follows. If $l \in \binom{X}{3}$ and $F \in \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{H}$, then l and F are incident if and only if the triple l is a block of the Fano plane F. If $F_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $F_2 \in \mathcal{H}$, then F_1 and F_2 are incident if and only if the intersection $F_1 \cap F_2$ of the two Fano planes contains at least one "line" from $\binom{X}{3}$.

The incidence structure on $\mathcal{P} \cup \binom{X}{3} \cup \mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to the incidence structure of points, lines and planes of the projective geometry PG$(3,2)$. Also, one can show that two given "lines" l_1, l_2 in $\binom{X}{3}$ satisfy $|l_1 \cap l_2| = 1$ if and only if they are incident to a unique common "point" and to a unique common "plane". If this is not the case, then l_1 and l_2 are incident to no common "point" and to no common "plane". Therefore, in this model, the five "lines" of a parallel class of PG$(3,2)$ correspond to five triples of $\binom{X}{3}$ with pairwise intersections never of cardinality 1.

J. I. Hall [27] showed that a parallel class of PG$(3,2)$ either consists of the five triples in $\binom{X}{3}$ containing a given (unordered) pair (i,j) in $\binom{X}{2}$, or consists of a given triple (a,b,c) in $\binom{X}{3}$, together with the four triples in $\binom{X}{3}$ that are disjoint from it. In the former case the parallel class is denoted by the symbol $\langle \infty, i, j \rangle$, whereas in the latter case it is denoted by the symbol $\langle a, b, c \rangle$.

Furthermore, Hall proved that seven parallel classes form a resolution of PG$(3,2)$ if and only if their symbols form the blocks of a Fano plane whose point-set is a 7-subset of the set $\{\infty\} \cup X = \{\infty, 1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$. In particular, this yields an elementary and immediate proof of the fact that PG$(3,2)$ has 56 distinct parallel classes and 240 distinct resolutions (this was already known to Woolhouse [52, 53], and was later proved by Conwell [18] by using Galois geometry).

Among these resolutions, 30 have all their seven symbols in $\binom{X}{3}$, whereas the remaining 210 have three parallel classes with symbols of the type $\langle \infty, i, j \rangle$, and four parallel classes with symbols of the type $\langle a, b, c \rangle$. In the former case, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the 30 resolutions and the 30 Fano planes in $\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{H}$. In either case, we will apply Theorem 2.4 to determine whether a given resolution is isomorphic to system 1a or 1b, in terms of its seven symbols.

Let us start by enumerating the fifteen "points" in $\mathcal{P}$, by writing explicitly their corresponding Fano planes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_1 &= \{(1,2,3),(1,4,5),(1,6,7),(2,4,7),(2,5,6),(3,4,6),(3,5,7)\}\\
P_2 &= \{(1,2,3),(1,4,6),(1,5,7),(2,4,5),(2,6,7),(3,4,7),(3,5,6)\}\\
P_3 &= \{(1,2,3),(1,4,7),(1,5,6),(2,4,6),(2,5,7),(3,4,5),(3,6,7)\}\\
P_4 &= \{(1,2,4),(1,3,5),(1,6,7),(2,3,6),(2,5,7),(3,4,7),(4,5,6)\}\\
P_5 &= \{(1,2,4),(1,3,6),(1,5,7),(2,3,7),(2,5,6),(3,4,5),(4,6,7)\}\\
P_6 &= \{(1,2,4),(1,3,7),(1,5,6),(2,3,5),(2,6,7),(3,4,6),(4,5,7)\}\\
P_7 &= \{(1,2,5),(1,3,4),(1,6,7),(2,3,7),(2,4,6),(3,5,6),(4,5,7)\}\\
P_8 &= \{(1,2,5),(1,3,6),(1,4,7),(2,3,4),(2,6,7),(3,5,7),(4,5,6)\}\\
P_9 &= \{(1,2,5),(1,3,7),(1,4,6),(2,3,6),(2,4,7),(3,4,5),(5,6,7)\}\\
P_{10} &= \{(1,2,6),(1,3,4),(1,5,7),(2,3,5),(2,4,7),(3,6,7),(4,5,6)\}\\
P_{11} &= \{(1,2,6),(1,3,5),(1,4,7),(2,3,7),(2,4,5),(3,4,6),(5,6,7)\}\\
P_{12} &= \{(1,2,6),(1,3,7),(1,4,5),(2,3,4),(2,5,7),(3,5,6),(4,6,7)\}\\
P_{13} &= \{(1,2,7),(1,3,4),(1,5,6),(2,3,6),(2,4,5),(3,5,7),(4,6,7)\}\\
P_{14} &= \{(1,2,7),(1,3,5),(1,4,6),(2,3,4),(2,5,6),(3,6,7),(4,5,7)\}\\
P_{15} &= \{(1,2,7),(1,3,6),(1,4,5),(2,3,5),(2,4,6),(3,4,7),(5,6,7)\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us first describe explicitly a resolution of PG$(3,2)$ whose seven symbols are in $\binom{X}{3}$. Let us consider, for instance, the case where the resolution is associated with the "point" $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ above. We will represent each projective "line" as a triple in $\binom{X}{3}$ and also as the corresponding triple of "points" in $\mathcal{P}$ that are incident with it.

Mon $\langle 1,2,3\rangle$	(1,2,3) $P_1P_2P_3$	(4,5,6) $P_4P_8P_{10}$	(4,5,7) $P_6P_7P_{14}$	(4,6,7) $P_5P_{12}P_{13}$	(5,6,7) $P_9P_{11}P_{15}$
Tue $\langle 1,4,5\rangle$	(1,4,5) $P_1P_{12}P_{15}$	(2,3,6) $P_4P_9P_{13}$	(2,3,7) $P_5P_7P_{11}$	(2,6,7) $P_2P_6P_8$	(3,6,7) $P_3P_{10}P_{14}$
Wed $\langle 1,6,7\rangle$	(1,6,7) $P_1P_4P_7$	(2,3,4) $P_8P_{12}P_{14}$	(2,3,5) $P_6P_{10}P_{15}$	(2,4,5) $P_2P_{11}P_{13}$	(3,4,5) $P_3P_5P_9$
Thu $\langle 2,4,7\rangle$	(2,4,7) $P_1P_9P_{10}$	(1,3,5) $P_4P_{11}P_{14}$	(1,3,6) $P_5P_8P_{15}$	(1,5,6) $P_3P_6P_{13}$	(3,5,6) $P_2P_7P_{12}$
Fri $\langle 2,5,6\rangle$	(2,5,6) $P_1P_5P_{14}$	(1,3,4) $P_7P_{10}P_{13}$	(1,3,7) $P_6P_9P_{12}$	(1,4,7) $P_3P_8P_{11}$	(3,4,7) $P_2P_4P_{15}$
Sat $\langle 3,4,6\rangle$	(3,4,6) $P_1P_6P_{11}$	(1,2,5) $P_7P_8P_9$	(1,2,7) $P_{13}P_{14}P_{15}$	(1,5,7) $P_2P_5P_{10}$	(2,5,7) $P_3P_4P_{12}$
Sun $\langle 3,5,7\rangle$	(3,5,7) $P_1P_8P_{13}$	(1,2,4) $P_4P_5P_6$	(1,2,6) $P_{10}P_{11}P_{12}$	(1,4,6) $P_2P_9P_{14}$	(2,4,6) $P_3P_7P_{15}$

It is immediate that the lacing of two parallel classes is of type (α) if there exist two "lines" in one class and two "lines" in the other class (as triples in $\binom{X}{3}$), such that the four triples contain a common pair of elements of X, and that the corresponding residual triple is the fifth triple in $\binom{X}{3}$ containing that common pair. For instance, the residual triple of the lacing of Monday and Tuesday is the triple $(1,6,7)$ in Wednesday. The complete set of residual triples coincides precisely with the block-set of the Fano plane P_1, that is, with all the "lines" that are incident with the "point" $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}$. The second column of the previous table contains all the residual triples and shows clearly that these are precisely the triples of "points" containing the common "point" P_1. Hence the resolution is isomorphic to system 1a.

Similarly, for a resolution of PG$(3,2)$ whose seven symbols are the blocks of a Fano plane F in $\mathcal{H}$, the seven residual triples are again the seven triples in F, which represent the seven "lines" of a "plane" in PG$(3,2)$.

By applying Theorem 2.4, we can conclude that a resolution of $\mathrm{PG}(3,2)$, whose seven symbols are in $\binom{X}{3}$, is isomorphic to system 1a (resp., 1b) if the seven symbols are the blocks of a Fano plane in $\mathcal{P}$ (resp., in $\mathcal{H}$).

Moreover, the map $\langle a,b,c\rangle \mapsto (a,b,c)$ can be easily interpreted in the light of the previous Remark 2.6(1). Also, the automorphisms of a resolution of this kind are of the type $(a,b,c) \mapsto (\varphi(a),\varphi(b),\varphi(c))$ and $\langle a,b,c\rangle \mapsto \langle\varphi(a),\varphi(b),\varphi(c)\rangle$, where φ is an automorphism of the underlying Fano plane in $\mathcal{P}\cup\mathcal{H}$. In passing, this gives a direct combinatorial proof of the fact that the group of automorphisms of both systems 1a and 1b is isomorphic to the group of automorphisms of the Fano plane.

In particular, an automorphism of the KTS is cyclic if and only if the automorphism of the underlying Fano plane is cyclic. For instance, for the resolution given in the previous table, associated with the "point" $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}$, the cyclic permutation (1 2 4 3 7 6 5) is an automorphism of the Fano plane P_1 that induces a cyclic automorphism of the whole KTS, whose parallel classes are the orbit of $\langle 1,2,3\rangle$ under the permutation.

Finally, in the case of a resolution of $\mathrm{PG}(3,2)$, whose seven symbols are the blocks of a Fano plane whose point-set contains ∞, let F be the Fano plane in $\mathcal{P}\cup\mathcal{H}$ obtained by replacing ∞ with the element of X that does not appear in the seven symbols. Arguing as above, one can easily show that the seven residual triples form the blocks of a Fano plane in $\mathcal{P}$ (resp., in $\mathcal{H}$) if F is in $\mathcal{H}$ (resp., in $\mathcal{P}$). Therefore, the resolution is isomorphic to system 1a if F is in $\mathcal{H}$, and is isomorphic to system 1b if F is in $\mathcal{P}$.

3) We already pointed out in Section 3, and in the previous example, that there exist cyclic solutions to the schoolgirl problem that are isomorphic to systems 1a and 1b. Their visual representations can be easily obtained by applying the same construction with two concentric regular 7-gons as in Example 5 in Section 3, with just different choices of the base parallel class (see also [44, Figure iii, p. 200], [26, Figure 51, p. 126] and [5, Figure 5.2, p. 28]).

An alternative algebraic description of the cyclic solutions 1a and 1b can be given as follows, where we essentially regard $\mathrm{PG}(3,2)$ as the derived design at $(0,0,0,0)$ of the point-plane design of the affine geometry $\mathrm{AG}(4,2)$.

In the classical model of $\mathrm{PG}(3,2)$, the points are the fifteen nonzero elements of the 4-dimensional vector space $\mathrm{GF}(2)^4$, and the projective lines are all the unordered triples of points summing up to zero in (the additive group of) the vector space (from this point of view, the point-line design of $\mathrm{PG}(3,2)$ is an example of *additive* block design [9]). We may also represent the fifteen points, up to isomorphism, as the nonzero elements of the 2-dimensional vector space $\mathrm{GF}(4)^2$, where $\mathrm{GF}(4)=\{0,1,\alpha,\alpha^2\}$ is the (unique) field with four elements and characteristic 2, with operations $1+\alpha=\alpha^2, 1+\alpha^2=\alpha, \alpha+\alpha^2=1$, $\alpha\alpha^2=1$.

We may now choose as the base parallel class the set of the five triples of points in $\mathrm{GF}(4)^2$, obtained by removing $(0,0)$ from the five lines through the origin in the affine plane $\mathrm{AG}(2,4)$.

$$\begin{array}{lllll}
(1,1) & (1,0) & (0,1) & (1,\alpha) & (\alpha,1)\\
(\alpha,\alpha) & (\alpha,0) & (0,\alpha) & (\alpha,\alpha^2) & (\alpha^2,\alpha)\\
(\alpha^2,\alpha^2) & (\alpha^2,0) & (0,\alpha^2) & (\alpha^2,1) & (1,\alpha^2).
\end{array}$$

Next, we rewrite the base parallel class by representing again the fifteen points in $\mathrm{GF}(2)^4$, via the natural identification $0 \mapsto (0,0), 1 \mapsto (1,0), \alpha \mapsto$

$(0,1), \alpha^2 \mapsto (1,1)$. Finally, we consider the orbit of the base parallel class under the action of the order-7 linear transformation on $\mathrm{GF}(2)^4$ defined on the canonical basis by $(1,0,0,0) \mapsto (1,1,1,1)$, $(0,1,0,0) \mapsto (1,0,0,1)$, $(0,0,1,0) \mapsto (0,1,0,0)$, $(0,0,0,1) \mapsto (0,1,1,1)$. What one gets is the following (cyclic) resolution of $\mathrm{PG}(3,2)$, where for simplicity we write every element of $\mathrm{GF}(2)^4$ in the form *abcd*.

	1010	1000	0010	1001	0110
Mon	0101	0100	0001	0111	1101
	1111	1100	0011	1110	1011
	1011	1111	0100	1000	1101
Tue	1110	1001	0111	1010	0001
	0101	0110	0011	0010	1100
	1100	0101	1001	1111	0001
Wed	0010	1000	1010	1011	0111
	1110	1101	0011	0100	0110
	0110	1110	1000	0101	0111
Thu	0100	1111	1011	1100	1010
	0010	0001	0011	1001	1101
	1101	0010	1111	1110	1010
Fri	1001	0101	1100	0110	1011
	0100	0111	0011	1000	0001
	0001	0100	0101	0010	1011
Sat	1000	1110	0110	1101	1100
	1001	1010	0011	1111	0111
	0111	1001	1110	0100	1100
Sun	1111	0010	1101	0001	0110
	1000	1011	0011	0101	1010

By arguing as in the Example 1 in Section 3, one can immediately show that the KTS is isomorphic to system 1b. Also, the seven residual triples are precisely the seven rightmost triples in the previous table.

Similarly, if one considers the orbit of the same base parallel class under the action of the order-7 linear transformation on $\mathrm{GF}(2)^4$, defined on the canonical basis by $(1,0,0,0) \mapsto (0,0,0,1)$, $(0,1,0,0) \mapsto (1,0,0,0)$, $(0,0,1,0) \mapsto (0,1,0,0)$, $(0,0,0,1) \mapsto (1,0,1,1)$, then one gets a (cyclic) resolution of $\mathrm{PG}(3,2)$ isomorphic to system 1a, whose seven residual triples are all the projective lines containing the point $(0,1,1,1)$, which is also the fixed point of the cyclic automorphism.

4) We now describe the visual solution to the schoolgirl problem (essentially contained in [23]) that probably best reflects the projective nature of the underlying STS $\mathrm{PG}(3,2)$, in order to interpret it in the light of Theorem 2.4.

Let the fifteen schoolgirls be denoted by $0, x, y, z, xy, xz, yz, xyz, X, Y, Z, XY, XZ, YZ, XYZ$, and let the first eight of them label the vertices of a cube, as illustrated in the following picture.



The 35 triples of the STS are defined as follows (note the similarity with Cayley's Example 1 in Section 3). The first 28 triples are precisely those of the type $\{0, a, A\}$, $\{0, ab, AB\}$, $\{0, abc, ABC\}$, $\{a, b, AB\}$, $\{a, ab, B\}$, $\{a, bc, ABC\}$, $\{a, abc, BC\}$, $\{ab, ac, BC\}$, and $\{ab, abc, C\}$, whereas the remaining seven triples are those of the type $\{A, B, AB\}$, $\{AB, AC, BC\}$, and $\{A, ABC, BC\}$. Note that the seven triples of the latter type determine a Fano plane.

In order to construct the seven parallel classes, we partition the (unordered) pairs of distinct vertices of the cube into three classes. A pair $\{v, w\}$ is of type (A) if v and w are adjacent vertices, that is, if they are the extreme points of an edge of the cube. A pair $\{v, w\}$ is of type (D) if v and w lie on the same face of the cube but are not adjacent, that is, if they are the extreme points of one of the two diagonals of a face of the cube. A pair $\{v, w\}$ is of type (O) if v and w are opposite vertices of the cube.

The solution to the schoolgirl problem is completely determined by the choice of just two pairs of distinct vertices, which are essentially unique. This initial choice partitions the 28 pairs of distinct vertices of the cube into seven classes consisting of four pairs each, where each class is in turn a partition of the eight vertices of the cube.

Let $\{v, w\}$ and $\{t, u\}$ be any two disjoint pairs of type (D), under the only condition that they do not lie on the same face of the cube, nor on two opposite faces. Up to rotations, we may assume that $\{v, w\} = \{xz, yz\}$, and either $\{t, u\} = \{x, z\}$ or $\{t, u\} = \{y, z\}$. We complete these two pairs with the only two possible pairs of type (A), such that the four pairs partition the vertices of the cube.

The second partition of the vertices of the cube is constructed as follows. The first two pairs are the two pairs of type (D) that lie on the faces opposite to those of $\{v, w\}$ and $\{t, u\}$, but are not parallel to $\{v, w\}$ and $\{t, u\}$. In view of the initial assumption, the first pair is $\{0, xy\}$ and the second pair is either $\{y, xyz\}$ or $\{x, xyz\}$, respectively. We complete the partition by adding, in a unique possible way, a pair of type (O) and a pair of type (A).

The next four partitions are obtained from the first two by applying, to each of them, the two order-3 rotations of the cube around the axis through the vertices 0 and xyz. Finally, the seventh partition contains the remaining four pairs of vertices that were not already considered in the previous six partitions.

The following picture illustrate the first, second and seventh partition, corresponding to the initial choice $\{v, w\} = \{xz, yz\}$ and $\{t, u\} = \{x, z\}$.



Figure 2: The three basic partitions of the eight vertices.

We now construct the seven parallel classes of the KTS as follows. For each of the seven partitions of the eight vertices of the cube, we replace each of its four pairs by the unique triple of the STS containing that pair, and we complete the four triples thus obtained by adding the unique triple that is needed to get a partition of the fifteen schoolgirls.

Hence we obtain the following parallel classes, where Monday (resp., Thursday) is obtained from the first (resp., second) partition in Figure 2, whereas Tuesday and Wednesday (resp., Friday and Saturday) are obtained from the partitions constructed by rotation of the first (resp., second) partition. Finally, Sunday is obtained from the third partition in Figure 2.

Mon	0, y, Y	x, z, XZ	xz, yz, XY	xy, xyz, Z	X, YZ, XYZ
Tue	0, z, Z	x, y, XY	xy, xz, YZ	yz, xyz, X	Y, XZ, XYZ
Wed	0, x, X	y, z, YZ	xy, yz, XZ	xz, xyz, Y	Z, XY, XYZ
Thu	0, xy, XY	z, xz, X	y, xyz, XZ	x, yz, XYZ	Y, Z, YZ
Fri	0, yz, YZ	x, xy, Y	z, xyz, XY	y, xz, XYZ	X, Z, XZ
Sat	0, xz, XZ	y, yz, Z	x, xyz, YZ	z, xy, XYZ	X, Y, XY
Sun	0, xyz, XYZ	x, xz, Z	y, xy, X	z, yz, Y	XY, XZ, YZ

By arguing as in the previous Example 1 in Section 3, one immediately finds that the resulting KTS is isomorphic to system 1b. Also, the seven residual triples are precisely those in the rightmost column of the previous table, that is, are the blocks of the Fano plane whose points are all written in capital letters. Moreover, the system admits by construction an order-3 automorphism induced by the permutations $(x\ y\ z)$ and $(X\ Y\ Z)$. The same conclusions hold in the case of the alternative initial choice $\{v, w\} = \{xz, yz\}$ and $\{t, u\} = \{y, z\}$.

Rephrased in different terms, the initial eight-point structure, whose points are the vertices of the cube, can be interpreted as a 3-dimensional affine space over GF(2), and the points X, Y, Z, XY, XZ, YZ, XYZ are the points at infinity for the parallel classes of the affine space (for instance, X is the point at infinity for the parallel class $\{0, x\}, \{y, xy\}, \{z, xz\}, \{yz, xyz\}$). By completing each of the 28 affine lines with the corresponding point at infinity, one finally gets a 3-dimensional projective space over GF(2) with three points per line, whose remaining 7 lines are those of a projective plane over GF(2) at infinity.

This proves again that the underlying STS(15) in this geometric construction is the point-line design of $PG(3, 2)$, and shows that the seven residual triples of the KTS(15) are precisely the seven projective lines at infinity. Also, the seven partitions of the vertices of the cube form a 1-factorization of the complete graph K_8 (isomorphic to that which is usually denoted by $\mathcal{F}_1$). Our construction was inspired by a similar construction in [23], where the KTS(15) is also isomorphic to system 1b, although the seven residual triples are not the seven projective lines at infinity.

To get a KTS(15) isomorphic to system 1a it suffices to replace the first partition in Figure 2 by the partition obtained by replacing each vertex of the cube with the opposite vertex, that is, by taking $\{0, z\}, \{x, y\}, \{xy, yz\}, \{xz, xyz\}$. The second and third partition in Figure 2 are left unchanged. The resulting KTS(15) is isomorphic to system 1a, and the seven residual triples are precisely the seven projective lines containing the point at infinity XYZ.

5) We conclude this paper by proposing a new visual solution to the fifteen schoolgirl problem, which is based on the observation that, being $15 = \binom{6}{2}$, the fifteen schoolgirls can be seen as the edges of the complete graph K_6 on six points, which, in turn, can be represented as the line segments between pairs of distinct vertices of a regular hexagon. As in all the previous examples, the solution has two different versions, isomorphic to systems 1a and 1b.



By arguing as in the Example 1 in Section 3, it can be readily seen that the resulting KTS is isomorphic to system 1b. Also, the seven residual triples are precisely the leftmost triples in the above picture. If the hexagons are rotated counterclockwise (respectively, clockwise) by 60 degrees in Monday and Tuesday (respectively, Wednesday and Thursday), and are left unchanged in Friday, Saturday, and Sunday, then the resulting KTS is isomorphic to system 1a.

An interesting property of this solution is the fact that, unlike all the other solutions that we are aware of, this arrangement allows us to visualize an automorphism of order 4. Indeed, if we label the upper left vertex of the hexagon by 1, and then we label consecutively the other vertices clockwise by 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, then the permutation (1 2 5 4) of the vertices induces on the pairs of vertices an order-4 automorphism of the KTS, which in turn induces the permutation (MON TUE WED THU)(FRI SAT) of the parallel classes.

As a final remark, we note that also the two tetrahedron-based solutions 1a and 1b in [24] can be seen in relation with the equality $15 = \binom{6}{2}$, as the fifteen simplicial elements of the tetrahedron are in a natural one-to-one correspondence with the 2-subsets of the set $\{V, F, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Indeed, if we label the four vertices of the tetrahedron by $1, 2, 3, 4$ as in [24, Figure 5], then, for any i and for any $j \neq k$ in $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the pairs Vi, Fi, and jk represent the vertex i, the face opposite to the vertex i, and the edge with endpoints j and k, respectively, whereas VF represents the whole tetrahedron.

Funding: Università di Palermo (FFR).

References

[1] I. Anderson, Cyclic designs in the 1850s; the work of Rev. R. R. Anstice, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.* **15** (1995), 41–46.

[2] I. Anderson, Some 2-rotational and cyclic designs, *J. Combin. Des.* **4** (1996), 247–254.

[3] R. R. Anstice, On a problem in combinations, *Cambridge and Dublin Math. J.* **7** (1852), 279–292.

[4] R. R. Anstice, On a problem in combinations (continued), *Cambridge and Dublin Math. J.* **8** (1853), 149–154.

[5] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, H. Lenz, *Design theory*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[6] S. Bonvicini, M. Buratti, M. Garonzi, G. Rinaldi, T. Traetta, The first families of highly symmetric Kirkman triple systems whose orders fill a congruence class, arXiv:2012.02668v1 (2020).

[7] E. Brown, K. E. Mellinger, Kirkman's schoolgirls wearing hats and walking through fields of numbers, *Math. Mag.* **82** (1) (2009), 3–15.

[8] W. Burnside, On an application of the theory of groups to Kirkman's problem, *Messenger Math.* **24** (1894), 137–143.

[9] A. Caggegi, G. Falcone, M. Pavone, On the additivity of block designs, *J. Algebr. Comb.* **45** (2017), 271–294.

[10] E. Carpmael, Some solutions of Kirkman's 15-schoolgirl problem, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **12** (1881), 148–156.

[11] A. Cayley, On the triadic arrangements of seven and fifteen things, *London, Edinburgh and Dublin Philos. Mag. and J. Sci.*, Ser. 3, **37** (1850), 50–53.

[12] A. Cayley, On a tactical theorem relating to the triads of fifteen things, *London, Edinburgh and Dublin Philos. Mag. and J. Sci.*, Ser. 4, **25** (1863), 59–61.

[13] C. J. Colbourn, J. H. Dinitz (Eds.), *The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, 2nd ed., Chapman and Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2007.

[14] Errata list, *The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, 2nd ed., http://www.emba.uvm.edu/ jdinitz/errata.html.

[15] C. J. Colbourn, J. H. Dinitz, Block designs, in: *Handbook of finite fields* (G. L. Mullen and D. Panario, eds.), Discrete mathematics and its applications, Chapman and Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2013, 589–598.

[16] C. J. Colbourn, A. Rosa, *Triple systems*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1999.

[17] F. N. Cole, Kirkman parades, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **28** (1922), 435–437.

[18] G. M. Conwell, The 3-space $\mathrm{PG}(3,2)$ and its group, *Ann. of Math.*, 2nd series, **11** (2) (1910), 60–76.

[19] E. W. Davis, A geometric picture of the fifteen school girl problem, *Ann. of Math.* **11** (1897), 156–157.

[20] A. C. Dixon, Note on Kirkman's problem, *Messenger Math.* **23** (1893), 88–89.

[21] H. Dörrie, *100 great problems of elementary mathematics*, Dover Publications, New York, 1965.

[22] H. E. Dudeney, *Amusements in mathematics*, Dover, New York, 1917.

[23] R. Ehrmann, Projective space walk for Kirkman's schoolgirls, *The Mathematics Teacher* **68** (1) (1975), 64–69.

[24] G. Falcone, M. Pavone, Kirkman's tetrahedron and the fifteen schoolgirl problem, *Amer. Math. Month.* **118** (10) (2011), 887–900.

[25] A. Frost, General solution and extension of the problem of the 15 school girls, *Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **11** (1871), 26–37.

[26] M. Gardner, *The last recreations: hydras, eggs, and other mathematical mystifications*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1997.

[27] J. I. Hall, On identifying $\mathrm{PG}(3,2)$ and the complete 3-design on seven points, *Ann. Discrete Math.* **7** (1980), 131–141.

[28] T. P. Kirkman, On a problem in combinations, *Cambridge and Dublin Math. J.* **2** (1847), 191–204.

[29] T. P. Kirkman, Query VI, *Lady's and Gentleman's Diary* (1850).

[30] T. P. Kirkman, On the triads made with fifteen things, *London, Edinburgh and Dublin Philos. Mag. and J. Sci.*, Ser. 3, **37** (249) (1850), 169–171.

[31] T. P. Kirkman, Note on an unanswered prize question, *Cambridge and Dublin Math. J.* **5** (1850), 255–262.

[32] T. P. Kirkman, Solution to Query VI, *Lady's and Gentleman's Diary* (1851).

[33] T. P. Kirkman, On the perfect r-partitions of $r^2 - r - 1$, *Trans. Historic Soc. Lancashire and Cheshire* **9** (1857), 127–142.

[34] É. Lucas, *Récréations mathématiques*, Tome 2, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1883.

[35] A. F. H. Mertelsmann, Das Problem der 15 Pensionatsdamen, *Zeitschrift Math. Phys.* **43** (1898), 329–334.

[36] P. Mulder, *Kirkman-systemen*, Academisch Proefschrift ter verkrijging van den graad van doctor in de Wis- en Natuurkunde aan de Rijksuniversiteit te Groningen, Leiden, 1917.

[37] B. Peirce, Cyclic solutions of the school-girl puzzle, *Astronom. J.* **6** (142) (1860), 169–174.

[38] B. Polster, Yea why try her raw wet hat: A tour of the smallest projective space, *Math. Intell.* **21** (1999), 38–43.

[39] J. Power, On the problem of the fifteen school girls, *Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **8** (142) (1867), 236–251.

[40] E. J. F. Primrose, Kirkman's schoolgirls in modern dress, *Math. Gaz.* **60** (414) (1976), 292–293.

[41] D. K. Ray-Chaudhuri, R. M. Wilson, Solution of Kirkman's schoolgirl problem, in: *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. XIX: Combinatorics*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1971, 187–203.

[42] A. Rosa, Ispol'zovanie grafov dlja rešenia zadači Kirkmana (The using of graphs for the solution of Kirkman's problem), *Mat.-Fyz. Čas.* **13** (1963), 105–113 (in Russian). MR 28#1615; Zbl 145, 441.

[43] W. W. Rouse Ball, *Mathematical recreations and essays*, 4th ed., Macmillan and Co., New York, 1905.

[44] W. W. Rouse Ball, *Mathematical recreations and essays*, 6th ed., Macmillan and Co., London, 1914.

[45] W. Spottiswoode, On a problem in combinatorial analysis, *London, Edinburgh and Dublin Philos. Mag. and J. Sci.*, Ser. 4, **3** (19) (1852), 349–354.

[46] D. R. Stinson, A survey of Kirkman triple systems and related designs, *Discrete Math.* **92** (1991), 371–393.

[47] D. R. Stinson, *Combinatorial Designs: Construction and Analysis*, Springer Verlag, New York, 2004.

[48] K. Stodolová, *Klasické kombinatorické úlohy*, Thesis, Charles University, Prague, 2012 (in Czech).

[49] A. Wagner, On collineation groups of projective spaces. I, *Math. Z.*, **76** (1961), 411–426.

[50] W. D. Wallis, A. P. Street, J. S. Wallis, *Combinatorics: Room squares, sum-free sets, Hadamard matrices*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **292**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

[51] H. S. White, F. N. Cole, L. D. Cummings, Complete classification of the triad systems on fifteen elements, *Memoirs Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **14**, 2nd memoir (1919), 1–89.

[52] W. S. B. Woolhouse, On the Rev. T. P. Kirkman's problem respecting certain triadic arrangements of fifteen symbols, *London, Edinburgh and Dublin Philos. Mag. and J. Sci.*, Ser. 4, **22** (150) (1861), 510–515.

[53] W. S. B. Woolhouse, On triadic combinations of 15 symbols, *Lady's and Gentleman's Diary* (1862), 84–88, reprinted in *Assurance Magazine* **10** (1862), 275–281.

[54] W. S. B. Woolhouse, On triadic combinations, *Lady's and Gentleman's Diary* (1863), 79–90.