

CHanging
Priorities

3rd VIBRARCH

NOVEMBER 9-11, 2022



CHanging
Priorities

3rd VIBRARCH

NOVEMBER 9-11, 2022



UNIVERSITAT
POLITÈCNICA
DE VALÈNCIA



ESCOLA TÈCNICA
SUPERIOR
D'ARQUITECTURA

Publisher:

Editorial Universitat Politècnica de València, 2022

<http://www.lalibreria.upv.es>

Ref.: 6146_01_01_01

ISBN 978-84-1396-026-5

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4995/VIBRArch2022.2022.16860>

VIBRArch2022.2022.16860

November, 2022

All rights reserved:

- © of the images, their authors
- © of the drawings, their authors
- © of the texts, their authors
- © of this edition

Editorial Committee:

Ivan Cabrera i Fausto
Ernesto Fenolosa Forner
Ángeles Mas Tomás
Lluís Bosch Roig
Carmen Ferrer Ribera
Susana Iñarra Abad
Alicia Llorca Ponce
María Teresa Palomares Figueres
Ana Portalés Mañanós
Juan Serra Lluch

Coordination and design:

Júlia Martínez Villaronga
Mariví Monfort Marí
Maria Piqueras Blasco



3rd VIBRArch: Changing priorities. / Editorial
Universitat Politècnica de València

The reuse of the contents is allowed through the copying, distribution, exhibition and representation of the work, as well as the generation of derivative works as long as the authorship is acknowledged and it is cited with complete bibliographic information. Commercial use is not permitted and derivative works must be distributed under the same license as the original work.

Conference Chair:

Ivan Cabrera i Fausto

Organizing Committee:

Ernesto Fenollosa Forner

Ángeles Mas Tomás

Lluís Bosch Roig

Carmen Ferrer Ribera

Susana Iñarra Abad

Alicia Llorca Ponce

María Teresa Palomares Figueres

Ana Portalés Mañanós

Juan Serra Lluçh

Design and Logistics:

Júlia Martínez Villaronga

Marivi Monfort Mari

Maria Piqueras Blasco

The **Scientific Committee** is composed by the hereunder academics:

Fernando Agrasar Quiroga
Universidade da Coruña

Naime Esra Akin
Beykent University

Ajla Aksamija
University of Massachusetts Amherst

Ana Almerich Chuliá
Universitat Politècnica de València

Miguel Alonso del Val
Universidad de Navarra

Adolfo Alonso Durá
Universitat Politècnica de València

Eva Álvarez Isidro
Universitat Politècnica de València

Cecilie Andersson
Bergen School of Architecture

Craig K. Anz
Southern Illinois University

César Aquino Insfrán
Universidad Autónoma de Encarnación

Eugenio Arbizzani
Sapienza Università di Roma

Maziar Asefi
Ryerson University

Izaskun Aseguinolaza Braga
Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea

Oya Atalay Franck
Zurich University of Applied Sciences and Arts

Pnina Avidar
Fontys Hogescholen Tilburg

Marilda Azulay Tapiero
Universitat Politècnica de València

Ángela Baldellou Plaza
Observatorio del CSCAE

Berta Bardí i Milà
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Michela Barosio
Politecnico di Torino

José Manuel Barrera Puigdollers
Universitat Politècnica de València

Luisa Basset Salom
Universitat Politècnica de València

Genevieve Baudoin
Kansas State University

Iñaki Begiristain Mitxelena
Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea

Liliana O. Beltrán
Texas A&M University

Henriette Bier
Technische Universiteit Delft

Catherine Blain
École Nationale Supérieure d'Architecture de Paris-Belleville

Silvia Blanco Agüeira
Universidad San Jorge

Manuel Blanco Lage
Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

María del Carmen Blasco Sánchez
Universitat Politècnica de València

Ana Bonet Miró
The University of Edinburgh

Victoria E. Bonet Solves
Universitat Politècnica de València

Lluís Bosch Roig
Universitat Politècnica de València

Dag Boutsen
KU Leuven

Ewan Branda
Woodbury University

Danelle Briscoe
The University of Texas at Austin

Ivan Cabrera i Fausto
Universitat Politècnica de València

Roberto Vicente Cañete Ferreira
Universidad Autónoma de Encarnación

Miguel Ángel Carrión Carmona
Universitat Politècnica de València

María Emilia Casar Furió
Universitat Politècnica de València

Pepa Cassinello
Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

Nuria Castilla Cabanes
Universitat Politècnica de València

Roberto Cavallo
Technische Universiteit Delft

M. Rosa Cervera Sardá
Universidad de Alcalá

Helena Coch Roura
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Ana Cocho Bermejo
Anglia Ruskin University

Beatriz Colomina
Princeton University

Edoarda Corradi Dell'Acqua
Illinois Institute of Technology

Nur Çağlar
TOBB University of Economics and
Technology at Ankara

Ana-Maria Dabija
Universitatea de Arhitectura si Urbanism
"Ion Mincu"

Pau de Solà-Morales Serra
Universitat Rovira i Virgili

Lynne Marie Dearborn
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Adalberto Del Bo
Politecnico di Milano

Miguel Ángel Díaz Camacho
Universidad Camilo José Cela

Carmen Díez Medina
Universidad de Zaragoza

Debora Domingo Calabuig
Universitat Politècnica de València

Neslihan Dostoğlu
İstanbul Kültür University

Laurent Duport
Ecole Nationale Supérieure d'Architecture de
Montpellier

Inmaculada Esteban Maluenda
Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Maria Faraone
Oxford Brookes University

Emilio Faroldi
Politecnico di Milano

Ernesto Fenollosa Forner
Universitat Politècnica de València

Laura Fernández Durán
Universidad CEU Cardenal Herrera

Carmen Ferrer Ribera
Universitat Politècnica de València

Teresa Fonseca
Universidade do Porto

José María Fran Bretones
Universitat Politècnica de València

Giovanna Franco
Università degli studi di Genova

Jordi Franquesa Sánchez
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Mercedes Galiana Agulló
Universidad Católica de Murcia

Inés García Clariana
Universidad Europea de Valencia

Ángela García Codoñer
Universitat Politècnica de València

Ángela García de Paredes
Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

Daniel García-Escudero
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Carolina B. García-Estévez
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Lidia García Soriano
Universitat Politècnica de València

Queralt Garriga Gimeno
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Mariona Genís Vinyals
BAU, Centre Universitari de Disseny de
Barcelona

Saul Golden
Ulster University

Carlos Gómez Alfonso
Universitat Politècnica de València

Enkarni Gómez Genua
Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea

M. Esther Gómez-Martín
Universitat Politècnica de València

Arianna Guardiola Villora
Universitat Politècnica de València

Ignacio Guillén Guillamón
Universitat Politècnica de València

Elsa Gutiérrez Labory
Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria

Mary Guzowski
University of Minnesota

Bruce Haglund
University of Idaho

Harriet Harriss
Pratt Institute

Deirdre L.C. Hennebury
University of Michigan

José Luis Higón Calvet
Universitat Politècnica de València

Roberta Ingaramo
Politecnico di Torino

Susana Iñarra Abad
Universitat Politècnica de València

Yulia Iwashko
Kyiv National University of Construction and
Architecture

Chris Jarrett

The University of North Carolina at Charlotte

Eva Jiménez Gómez

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Ignacio Juan Ferruses

Universidad CEU Cardenal Herrera

Francisco Juan Vidal

Universitat Politècnica de València

Vincenzina La Spina

Universidad Politècnica de Cartagena

Carlos Lameiro

Universidade de Lisboa

Riva Lava

National Technical University Athens

Plácido Lizancos Mora

Universidade da Coruña

Laura Lizondo Sevilla

Universitat Politècnica de València

Blanca Lleó Fernández

Universidad Politècnica de Madrid

Jaime Llinares Millán

Universitat Politècnica de València

Maria Carmen Llinares Millán

Universitat Politècnica de València

Verónica Llopis Pulido

Universitat Politècnica de València

Alicia Llorca Ponce

Universitat Politècnica de València

Angela Lombardi

The University of Texas at San Antonio

Emma López Bahut

Universidade da Coruña

Concha López González

Universitat Politècnica de València

Antonio Maciá Mateu

Universitat d'Alacant

Polyxeni Mantzou

Democritus University of Thrace

José María Manzano Jurado

Universidad de Granada

Frederick Marks

Salk Institute for Biological Studies

Kat Martindale

Architecture + Urbanism Research Office

Raquel Martínez Gutiérrez

Universidad Rey Juan Carlos

Antonio Martínez-Molina

University of Texas at San Antonio

Roser Martínez Ramos e Iruela

Universidad de Granada

Ángeles Mas Tomás

Universitat Politècnica de València

Marta Masdéu Bernat

Universitat de Girona

Nuria Matarredona Desantes

Conselleria d'Habitatge i Arquitectura
Bioclimàtica

Thomas McQuillan

Arkitektur- og designhøgskolen i Oslo

Clara Mejía Vallejo

Universitat Politècnica de València

Camilla Mileto

Universitat Politècnica de València

Alex Mitzelena Etxeberria
Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea

Francisco Javier Montero Fernández
Universidad de Sevilla

Jésica Moreno Puchalt
Universitat Politècnica de València

Joan Moreno Sanz
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Michel Mounayar
Ball State University

Stefano Musso
Università degli studi di Genova

Zaida Muxi
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Carlos Naya Villaverde
Universidad de Navarra

Lucyna Nyka
Gdańsk University of Technology

Dolores Otero Chans
Universidade da Coruña

María Teresa Palomares Figueres
Universitat Politècnica de València

Cristina Pardo García
Universitat de València

Carlos José Parra Costa
Universidad Politécnica de Cartagena

Ulrike Passe
Iowa State University

Luz Paz Agras
Universidade da Coruña

João Pedro Xavier
Universidade do Porto

Javier Pérez Igualada
Universitat Politècnica de València

Marta Pérez Rodríguez
Universitat Politècnica de València

Alfred Peris Manguillot
Universitat Politècnica de València

Valerio Perna
Universiteti Polis

Marios C. Phocas
University of Cyprus

Ramón Picó Valmiñana
Universidad de Sevilla

Àngel Pitarch Roig
Universitat Jaume I

Philip Plowright
Lawrence Technological University

Ute Poerschke
The Pennsylvania State University

Çiğdem Polatoğlu
Yıldız Teknik Üniversitesi

Ana Portalés Mañanós
Universitat Politècnica de València

Javier Poyatos Sebastián
Universitat Politècnica de València

Sonia Puente Landazuri
Comunica.Ciudad

Núria Ramon Marqués
Universitat de Lleida

Anna Ramos Sanz
Fundació Mies van der Rohe

Hazem Rashed-Ali
The University of Texas at San Antonio

Traci Rose Rider
North Carolina State University

Michelle Rinehart
Georgia Institute of Technology

Carmen Ripollés
Portland State University

Julia W Robinson
University of Minnesota

David Rockwood
University of Hawaii at Manoa

Mia Roth-Čerina
Sveučilište u Zagrebu

Alberto Rubio Garrido
Institut Valencià de l'Edificació

Alberto Ruiz Colmenar
Universidad Rey Juan Carlos

Felipe Samarán Saló
Universidad Francisco de Vitoria

Inés Sánchz de Madariaga
Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

João Rafael Santos
Universidade de Lisboa

Marja Sarvimaki
Bond University

Thomas Schroepfer
Singapore University of Technology and Design

Veronika Schröpfer
Architects' Council of Europe

Marina Sender Contell
Universitat Politècnica de València

Carla Sentieri Omarremertería
Universitat Politècnica de València

Juan Serra Lluch
Universitat Politècnica de València

Begoña Serrano Lanzarote
Universitat Politècnica de València

Adil Sharag-Eldin
Kent State University

Lamila Simisic Pasic
International University of Sarajevo

Madlen Simon
University of Maryland

Brian Robert Sinclair
University of Calgary

Félix Solaguren-Beascoa de Corral
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Juan María Songel González
Universitat Politècnica de València

Cristina Soriano Cuesta
Universidad de Sevilla

Asenet Sosa Espinosa
Universitat Politècnica de València

Laura Soto Francés
Conselleria d'Habitatge i Arquitectura
Bioclimàtica

Constantin Spiridonidis
Aristotle University of Thessaloniki

Alexandra Staub
The Pennsylvania State University

Sally Stewart
Mackintosh School of Architecture, Glasgow
School of Art

Judit Taberna Torres
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Rafael Temes Córdovez
Universitat Politècnica de València

Aron Temkin
Norwich University

Lorenzo Tomás Gabarrón
Universidad Católica de Murcia

Ana Torres Barchino
Universitat Politècnica de València

Vasilía Trova
University of Thessaly

Macarena Trujillo Guillén
Universitat Politècnica de València

Marci Uihlein
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Judith Urbano
Universitat Internacional de Catalunya

Ilaria Valente
Politecnico di Milano

Koenraad Van Cleempoel
Universiteit Hasselt

José Antonio Vázquez Rodríguez
Universidade da Coruña

Fernando Vegas López-Manzanares
Universitat Politècnica de València

José Vercher Sanchis
Universitat Politècnica de València

Maria Pilar Vettori
Politecnico di Milano

Marisol Vidal
Graz University of Technology

María José Viñals Blasco
Universitat Politècnica de València

Maria Voyatzaki
Aristotle University of Thessaloniki

Kate Wingert-Playdon
Temple University

Tadeja Zupančič
Univerza v Ljubljani

021_0. INTRODUCTION

022_Changing priorities
Ivan Cabrera i Fausto

028_BLOCK 1: REPRESENTATION AND QUANTIFYING

030_Paper #1.01: How does household income affect access to housing?
Begoña Serrano Lanzarote, Carmen Subiron Rodrigo

044_Paper #1.02: Visualization Research: Scoping review on data visualization courses
Fabio Capra-Ribeiro

057_BLOCK 2: CITY, TERRITORY AND LANDSCAPE

058_Paper #2.01: Gordon Cullen in Valencia: four urban landscapes
Javier Pérez Igualada

066_Paper #2.02: Measuring gentrification in the Russafa neighborhood
Alicia Llorca Ponce, Gregorio Rius-Sorolla

076_Paper #2.03: Growing fast, innovating slowly. Informal Ahmedabad between past and future
Alisia Tognon, Mariana Paisana Felix

098_Paper #2.04: City, unexpressed spaces, sport. Resilience in the design of new public spaces
Marta Cognigni

108_Paper #2.05: Architecture of essences: the revealing abstraction of the tobacco landscape
Juan Francisco García Nofuentes, Roser Martínez-Ramos e Iruela

118_Paper #2.06: Social–psychological responses to trends of sustainable architecture
Aurelija Daugelaite

128_Paper #2.07: Urban stages: when cities and architecture become a theatre
Aaron Jara Calabuig

139_BLOCK 3: INNOVATIVE PRACTICES AND PROJECTS

140_Paper #3.01: Agile architecture: cross-cultural critical considerations of mutability in design
Brian Robert Sinclair

152_Paper #3.02: Towards the real estate project. The architect in the new paradigm of real estate investment
David Pérez Royo, Alicia Llorca Ponce, Fernando García Martínez

162_Paper #3.03: Space, function and symbol. Architectural furniture in domestic spaces
María José Ochoa Caballero

174_Paper #3.04: Methodology for quantification of exhaled pollutant emissions in residential buildings
Robiel Eilyn Manzueta Felix, César Martín-Gómez, Amaia Zuazua-Ros, Juan Carlos Ramos González, Leonardo De Brito Andrade, Arturo H. Ariño

184_Paper #3.05: The use of social networks as a tool for architectural and urban design
Pascal Simoens

199_BLOCK 4: TECHNOLOGY AND MATERIALS

200_Paper #4.01: The potential of hook-and-loop fasteners in the building industry – towards a circular economy
Marisol Vidal

210_Paper #4.02: Active aluminum window-frame integrated prototype with a thermoelectric heat recovery system for ventilation and air conditioning
Pablo Santiago Arias-Salazar, Marina Vidaurre-Arbizu, José Antonio Sacristán-Fernández, César Martín-Gomez, José Ramón Couso-San Martín, Jorge Fernández-Heras, Amaia Zuazua-Ros

- 218_Paper #4.03: Indoor relative humidity: relevance for health, comfort, and choice of ventilation system
Maria Coral Albelda-Estellés Ness
- 230_Paper #4.04: Methodology for the characterization of building envelope: Virgen del Carmen Group at Valencia
Jorge Roa-Fernández, Carmen Galán-Marín, Carlos Rivera-Gómez, María Teresa Palomares-Figueroa, Javier Sola-Carballo
- 240_Paper #4.05: Basalt FRP rods assessment as an alternative reinforcement for reinforced concrete
Jose Vercher, Tomàs Vidal, Javier Torres, Carlos Lerma, Júlia G. Borràs, Enrique Gil, Ángeles Mas
- 250_Paper #4.06: Design and simulation of an actively controlled building unit
Paisia Ioannidou, Odysseas Kontovourkis, Mario C. Phocas
- 260_Paper #4.07: The architectural structure in the face of climate-related catastrophe: a classificatory approach
Diego Sanz Almela, María Piqueras Blasco, Begoña Serrano Lanzarote, Ernesto Fenolosa Forner
- 270_Paper #4.08: Durability damage indicator in BIM environments
Víctor Fernández Mora, Víctor Yepes, Ignacio J. Navarro

283_BLOCK 5: THEORY, CRITICISM, NARRATIVE AND ETHICS

- 284_Paper #5.01: The third way "After Modern Architecture"
Jose Manuel Barrera Puigdollers
- 298_Paper #5.02: Agile architecture: How do we design for time?
Salah Imam, Brian Sinclair
- 310_Paper #5.03: Architecture as a heteronomous discipline. Debate, theories, perspectives
Emilio Faroldi, Maria Pilar Vettori
- 322_Paper #5.04: CRITIC GOES HOME. Thought as a tool in architectural education
Fernando Agrasar, Luz Paz-Agras
- 330_Paper #5.05: Design, build, occupy, adapt: Critical considerations of architectural education in an ethos of upheaval
Brian Robert Sinclair, Raffaello Furlan, Asmaa Al-Mohannadi, Nooshin Esmaeili
- 344_Paper #5.06: Wisdom of Persian Architecture: Exploring the Design of the M.T.O. Sufi Centres in Search for the 'Spirit of Place'
Nooshin Esmaeili, Brian Robert Sinclair

360_Paper #5.07: Architecture and Music around the Alhambra. Reminiscences of a dreamlike world: La Puerta del Vino (Debussy)
José Luis Baró Zarzo, Jovita Cortijo Ruiz

370_Paper #5.08: Vegetation and the construction of space
Paolo De Marco

380_Paper #5.09: Shifting priorities as a decisive factor: ethics in architectural practice
Asenet Sosa Espinosa, Maria Emilia Casar Furió

391_BLOCK 6: HERITAGE, RESTORATION, CONSERVATION AND RENOVATION

392_Paper #6.01: Pivotal constructions of unseen events: Building the American dream
Irene Hwang

408_Paper #6.02: Translated anthologies: (Re)establishing adaptive reuse as a transdisciplinary cultural practice
Colm mac Aoidh, Koenraad Van Cleempoel

420_Paper #6.03: Changes of meaning and perspectives for the destinies of the built Heritage
Stefano Francesco Musso, Giovanna Franco

430_Paper #6.04: An Inquiry into the Genius Loci of Karachi's Bohri Bazaar Area in Pakistan
Syed Hamid Akbar, Tania Ali Soomro, Koenraad Van Cleempoel, Naveed Iqbal

440_Paper #6.05: Old methods for new solutions: Historical restoration of Santa Maria la Mayor church in Rubielos de Mora
Victor Fernández Mora, Carlos Boigues

452_Paper #6.06: Researching and cataloging new heritage
Carmen Burguete Gil

462_Paper #6.07: Integration of rural architectural heritage: The case of the alquerías in northern Valencia
Daphné Gosselin Calvignac, José Luis Baró Zarzo, Laurent Debailleux

474_Paper #6.08: The heritage consideration of the Virgen del Carmen Group (Valencia): a historical reinterpretation
M.T. Palomares Figueres, Vincenzina La Spina, F. Iborra Bernard, F. Usó Martín

484_Paper #6.09: The heritage consideration of the Cheste Workers University: historical reinterpretation
Fernando Usó Martín, Carmen Jordá Such, M.T. Palomares Figueres, Federico Iborra Bernad, Carmel Gradolí Martínez, Pascual Herrero Vicent

498_Paper #6.10: Mosteirinho de São Francisco in Paudalho, Brazil: Building Typology Adaptation in Colonial Architecture

Renan Cornelio Vieira de Souza Rolim, Laura Gilabert-Sansalvador, María José Viñals

510_Paper #6.11: Sustainable design perspectives for heritages' reconstruction

Alessandro Raffa, Valerio Tolve

522_Paper #6.12: Technical, constructive and economical feasibility to turn off-grid an existing building

Sara Dorregaray-Oyaregui, César Martín-Gómez, Ignacio Hernando Gil, Mónica Aguado

535_BLOCK 7: PARTICIPATION, DIVERSITY AND INCLUSIVENESS

536_Paper #7.01: Citizenship as an active subject for recovery of the heritage of social housing in Modern Movement at Valencian Community, Spain

A. Sosa Espinosa, V. La Spina, P. Navarro Camallonga, J.M. Songel González

546_Paper #7.02: Design efficacy at a distance: Collaboration between remote design teams

Farhad Mortezaee, Brian Robert Sinclair

558_Paper #7.03: Access to housing. Advantages and disadvantages of cohousing

Alejandro Campillo Almajano, Alicia Llorca-Ponce

568_Paper #7.04: Learning (in/from/the) city: reconfiguration of urban space into a sustainable 'macro-school'

Alexandra Alegre, Evangelia Raikidou

579_BLOCK 8: SUSTAINABILITY AND CLIMATE CRISIS

580_Paper #8.01: An approach to the influence of climate change on the seasonal real estate market

Fernando García Martínez, Alicia Llorca Ponce, David Pérez Royo

588_Paper #8.02: The influence of acoustic standards in construction: a case study of social housing in Argentina

Vanesa Saez, Alberto Quintana-Gallardo, Beatriz Garzón, Ignacio Guillén-Guillamón

598_Paper #8.03: Architectures of Emergency. Sentinel operations for a rapidly changing environment

Estefania Mompean Botias

612_Paper #8.04: The role of vernacular architecture in the future cities

Marcel·lí Rosaleny-Gamón

624_Paper #8.05: Timber Buildings: A Sustainable Construction Alternative

Jennifer Dayan Nuñez Avila, Vicente Blanca-Giménez

636_Paper #8.06: Design for Disassembly in housing: the need to adapt LCA to Shearing Layers

Annette Davis

648_Paper #8.07: The gap between Near Zero Emissions Buildings and the Spanish building regulation

Alberto Quintana Gallardo

658_Paper #8.08: Comparative evaluation of passive conditioning strategies for the improvement of courtyard thermal performance

Eduardo Diz-Mellado, Victoria Patricia López-Cabeza, Carmen Galán-Marín, Carlos Rivera-Gómez

668_Paper #8.09: Social housing in the Dominican Republic, a study on thermal comfort

Dayana Teresa Acosta-Medina, Alberto Quintana-Gallardo, Ignacio Guillén-Guillamón

678_Paper #8.10: Construction and demolition waste management: from obligation to necessity.

New trends and some tools focused on architectural projects

Vicente López Mateu, Teresa María Pellicer

691_BLOCK 9: HEALTH AND PANDEMICS

692_Paper #9.01: Redefining the Role of Architecture during the Pandemic Crisis

Alexandra Vavouraki, Venetia Tsakalidou

707_10. CONCLUSIONS

708_On the soundness of theoretical foundations and the adaptability of practical application in architecture

Ivan Cabrera i Fausto

VEGETATION AND THE CONSTRUCTION OF SPACE

Paolo De Marco^a

^aUniversità degli Studi di Palermo, Italy

How to cite

De Marco, Paolo. "Vegetation and the construction of space." In *Proceedings of 3rd Valencia International Biennial of Research in Architecture. Changing priorities*. Valencia. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.4995/VIBArch2022.2022.15296>

ABSTRACT

In the last century, the architectural renewal proposed by the functionalist ideal of the *living machine* placed the architectural object in a context, establishing perceptive relations with it but remaining substantially distinct. Although in some cases the vegetation was incorporated into the architecture for the definition of some internal open spaces, it is necessary to wait for a revision of these principles to find new research for a deep interaction between natural and artificial space (an ecosystem architecture-nature).

After these experiences, the focus on vegetation has decreased, returning to be a central theme of contemporary research, especially in response to the complex crisis and pandemic underway that has defined new qualitative and quantitative priorities for domestic space and cities.

The contemporary debate about the relationship between built space and vegetation has often been devoted to the urban scale and the external and public dimensions of buildings. At the scale of architecture, the presence of vegetation is often evaluated from the point of view of environmental comfort and for its use in energy strategies.

In response to the new needs of living and building (for a truly integrated and sustainable environment), the contribution aims to offer a reflection on vegetation as an architectural and spatial component (mainly in areas with medium-high population density) whose

presence acts as an element of structuring and sorting the project. In the desirable change of priorities, the contribution aims to offer a reflection on the theme through a framework of the role of vegetation as a material of the project, making use of different experiences, reporting case studies, and analyzing the use of vegetation concerning the multiple issues of space. The contribution, therefore, elaborates good practices, in order to define ideas and rules for the role of vegetation in contemporary architectural space.

KEYWORDS

Architecture; design; nature; innovation; green.

1. INTRODUCTION

The question of *green* architecture and the presence of greenery in public and private spaces is today of such socio-cultural evidence that it seems necessary to return to reflect on the relationship between man and nature, the symbolic value as well as the spatial relationships that may exist between architecture and vegetation. The sanitary emergency and the conditions of isolation have led to reflections on the new needs of green for the domestic and for the collective space (De Marco and Margagliotta 2020), although the current resumption of interest in these issues, however, is often vitiated by some preconceptions (many times the

question is declined only to a technical-technological perspective) or inaccurate terminology. Therefore, there is a need to deepen some concepts from the point of view of the principles of architectural design and, moreover, to elaborate and update some of the notions underlying the relationship between architecture and nature, and more specifically the relationship between built space and vegetation.

In the required change of priorities, the contribution identifies and describes ancient ideas and principles useful to define a theoretical background to the contemporary architectural debate, as well as a reflection on the theme through a framing of the role of vegetation as a material of the project; moreover, different experiences are collected, reporting case studies and analyzing the use of vegetation in relation to different contexts and the multiple issues of space. The contribution therefore elaborates good practices, in order to define ideas and rules (environmental and aesthetic) for the role and presence of vegetation in contemporary architectural space.

2. NATURE AND ARCHITECTURE

In the contemporary condition, the *green* – present and persistent in different quantities and qualities – is the memory of a natural world to which man, in various ages and ways, has always been related. In primordial terms, in fact, depending on the cultures, nature has represented an unknown and indecipherable world (the fear of going into the woods), but also, at the same time, a harmonious system to which man himself aspired through behavior and forms. The history of civilization tells of numerous and different parks and gardens, real or ideal, both for their physical characteristics and for the symbolic values: the Garden of Eden, for example, it is the sacred and symbolic place that directly represents the Creation in which the human

being lives and must take care of; inside, then, the trees offer fruits that can provide *knowledge and life*. And it is precisely the tree that is often used as a powerful archetype at the origin of the building (Kräftner 1981): the tree that unites heaven and earth, that brings together air, water and fire, becomes symbol – according to Jung (2012) – of many concepts such as the source of life, growth and development, the unfolding of form, rooting, shelter, but also death and rebirth. In the search for a relationship between nature and man – and consequently the relationship with architecture as a human activity of modification of places – essentially resides the sense of being on earth, or the central theme of philosophical thought that, according to different reflections and interpretations, each epoch has faced. In this regard, it is possible to macroscopically recognize two interpretative and operative positions – that of homological art and the one of analog art (Portoghesi 1999) – which are described below.

First of all, we must necessarily consider that, in an epistemological sense, architecture is a part of nature: it is as a whole of things and signs that man leaves during his passage, a testimony of our living on the planet. It is therefore a reflection *from the inside*, an analysis of a part in relation to the whole. The artifice, from this point of view, is a component of nature of which man himself is part: “The artist is man – writes Paul Klee –, he himself is nature, part of nature in the area of nature” (Klee, quoted by Hadot 2002, 185).

Architecture, therefore, as homology of nature and *second artificial nature* that modifies the primordial condition of *natura naturans* referring to the archetypes of dwelling, to the myth of the hut, to the construction as a combination of natural elements (Margagliotta 2020). Nature, then, as a model for every creator process and as ubiquitous physical reality, as well as a system of order (of the entire universe) that, in certain cases, feeds the project with figurative suggestions.

Thus, the column derives from the tree that, according to Vitruvius, with its foliage can inspire Callimaco to the creation of the Corinthian order; in the same way - writes Rykwert (1977) - from the '500 and for several centuries the architects

[...] managed to produce an Order of Architecture both elegant and rich [...] but most limited their efforts to vary the Corinthian capital... and finally they forgot that, in order to compete with the Greeks, it was necessary, not already to follow them step by step, but to go back to the primitive theory, that is to say to the Nature itself (Ribart de Chamoust, quoted by Rykwert 1977, 6).

The relationship between nature and architecture can be interpreted, then, from a second position, diametrically opposed to the first for the results. Primitive nature is, in this case too, the precondition for any action, while architecture (and art in the general sense) – as the creation of the human spirit – arises by analogy from contemplation of nature, as an instrument of dialogue, of relationship, of comparison.

Architecture, therefore, not as a figurative imitation of nature but, rather, as a means and specific language of man (the only being on the planet that builds with aesthetic intentionality), albeit with an abstract and procedural aspiration to nature. It's the thought of man, inspired by nature, to create an artifact through the transformation of materials, to establish a system of order, controllable and persistent, with uses and functions, unlike the natural order as a field of changing relationships, 'rude' and 'negligent' – says Laugier (1987, 40) – to be remedied, in fact, with human ingenuity. The architectural principles are however studied and extracted from nature: think of the labyrinth, vegetal architecture probably derived from the geometrization of the forest and built exclusively with trees or hedges, made for playful purposes and delight but, at the same

time, daedalic space of imprisonment; or even to the mountains, natural monuments from which to grasp generative rules since 'even the geological formations generate standards' and, to build well, 'man raises walls in the image of the rocky faces' (Le Corbusier 1941, 47).

In the same way, from the analysis of the laws that structure the natural elements we can get to build the rules that support the architectural composition; as Le Corbusier states:

Nature is mathematical, the masterpieces of art are in harmony with nature; they express the laws of nature and make use of them (Le Corbusier 1955, 29).

In the wake of this research, in the last century the architectural renewal proposed by the functionalist ideal of the *living machine* placed the architectural object in a context (urban or natural) establishing perceptive relations with it but remaining substantially distinct from it. Although some parallel experiences have investigated the hypothesis of an organic architecture, arising from a processual and generative inspiration of nature (St. John Wilson 2007), the *mechanistic* position undoubtedly had greater diffusion; to exemplify this approach, we can remember some of the architecture-manifesto of the International Style, the Villa Savoye by Le Corbusier and the Farnsworth house by Mies van der Rohe, extremely different in formal and spatial research but united by a similar relationship with the surrounding nature – to which architecture looks while remaining at a reasonable distance – and with the soil:

The grass is a beautiful thing, the forest too. The house will land on the grass like an object, without disturbing anything (Le Corbusier 1964, 24).

Although in some cases the vegetation was accepted in the architecture for the definition of some internal open spaces (roof-gardens,

patios), it is necessary to wait for a new phase of research, with the revision of these principles and subsequent experiments to find the attempt of a deep interaction between natural and artificial space. Particularly significant is the experience of Atelier 5, also because it develops deliberately starting from the lecorbuserian legacy, but reversing the results: the Halen residential unit (1960) rises from the study of the Unitè d'Habitation but extends horizontally grafting on a hill, in a refined interpenetration between architecture and nature with numerous private vegetated spaces (gardens, patios, grassy terraces) that replace the idea of collective greenery (Atelier 5 1995). The utopian and ecological researches of Paolo Soleri, instead, move from a critique to the indefinite expansion of the Wright's Broadacre city. The concept of arcology, defined by the same architect as a portmanteau between architecture and ecology, is substantiated in the unfinished experimental city of Arcosanti (Arizona, 1970), which proposes alternative models of housing, design and construction: limit the expansion of the city by designing the population density (miniaturization), build a community, use prefabrication to reduce consumption and costs:

Nature shows that for all organisms or society of organisms with any increment of complexity, there corresponds a spatiourational contraction of its functions (Soleri 2019, 128).

The concept of an architecture-nature ecosystem is common in the architectural research of the 60s and 70s of the last century. It dates back to the last CIAM, celebrated in 1959 in Otterlo, the dissemination of some theoretical ideas on megastructures (architectural and urban units) inspired by the mechanistic vision but also by theories on biological growth. On that occasion, Kenzo Tange anticipates the establishment of the *Metabolist* movement (Koolhaas and Ulrich Obrist 2011), made up of Japanese architects

who, starting from a critical analysis of their metropolis, propose new principles and suggestions for the future environment:

The reason why we use the biological word metabolism is that we believe design and technology should denote human vitality. We do not believe that metabolism indicates only acceptance of a natural, historical process, but we are trying to encourage the active metabolic development of our society through our proposals (Kurokawa 1977, 27).

These utopian visions, despite some built projects, did not have a real practical response. Probably, the search for a new combination between artifice and nature in the last century finds its maximum expression in the proposal of Moshe Safdie for Habitat '67 in Montreal.¹ The multifunctional complex (residences, commercial and services spaces) offers an experimental solution for dense living, with modules entirely prefabricated in concrete, superimposed in different configurations to include vegetation, create paths and open spaces at high altitude, green terraces, small gardens. The 354 modules are divided into three pyramidal mounds, almost artificial and habitable hills, which also suggest an allusion to a primordial and natural system of aggregation of elements (Fig. 1).



Figure 1. Moshe Safdie, Habitat '67 in Montreal. Source: (Safdie Architects)

After these experiences, the focus on vegetation has gone into the background of other issues to return to be a central theme of contemporary research, also in response to the complex crisis and pandemic underway. The period of isolation has called into question our living spaces (especially for city dwellers): the house has become the threshold that, while on the one hand it has imposed a boundary to collective life, on the other it has returned to be a refuge. This has made clear the new priorities of living with open air spaces and a renewed union with nature that guides architecture since its founding principles. Despite this, the renewed and dutiful interest in the role of vegetation in architectural design risks, in some cases, becoming a mere formal theme.

3. ARCHITECTURE IN NATURE

Outside the urban condition, in those places that can still be considered phenomenologically *natural* (Norberg-Schulz 1992), the presence of vegetation in architecture appears, in some ways, obvious. However, we can recognize among the recent experiences, specific cases in which the nature and the arboreal pre-existences become together essential components of space and form, in which a condition of mutual improvement is built, an internal tension that enriches the meaning of the tree and the architecture (Kräftner 1981, 25). Consider, for example, the Nordic Pavilion by Sverre Fehn at the Gardens of the Venice Biennale (1962), in its construction as an assembly of simple elements (walls, beams, a roof of *lamellae*) around of Mediterranean hackberry trees (*Celtis australis*); the extreme order of the monomaterial space is enhanced by elaborating its exceptions to leave intact the trees, become the fulcrum of the space and, for this reason, exalted in their symbolic value. Eloquently, the corner

solution sees the bifurcation of a large beam to dodge (and at the same time include) another large tree (Norberg-Schulz and Postiglione 1997).²

The same approach is employed by Giuseppe Samoná already in 1947-50, when he built the house "la quercia" (the oak) in the wood of Gibilmanna, in Sicily; in the context of almost untouched nature, the boulders emerging from the ground and the presence of large trees determine the settlement aspects of the project, until the oak trees penetrate the interior space and pierce some roofs (Purini 1990).

Another relevant case study is the house that Kazuo Shinohara realizes for the poet Shuntaro Tanikawa in the forest of Karuizawa (1974); the architect acts on the space of the *do-ma* (dirty floor) which in tradition is a surface of unpaved land, storage area but also threshold-space between inside and outside.

Shinohara preserves the natural soil even in its inclination and on it erects a wooden pillar that alludes to the presence of a tree that supports the roof. With this sophisticated symbolic action, the expressive emphasis of architecture turns to the service room, which becomes the main space of the house, between nature and artificial construction. The expressive force of the natural component is also present in the Plywood house by Herzog & de Meuron (Bottmingen, 1984-85): the small wooden pavilion is an expansion of the main building that stretches to almost touch the majestic Paulownia tree in the garden. In this case, the placement of the artifact seems to attempt contact between vegetation, which, however, produces a volumetric deformation such as to characterize the shape and the internal space.

To preserve the soil in its natural course and the shrubs, Lacaton & Vassal decide to detach from the ground the house in Cap Ferret (1988), located on the west coast of France, in the Arcachon Bay (*El Croquis*

2015). The lifting expedient is also useful to gain a better view of the surrounding horizon, while the tall pines are literally incorporated into the architecture, which can be crossed vertically through a special supports adapted to their waving, their growth and maintenance in a good state of health; *natural columns* (even if not structurally bearing) between the artificial pillars, which determine the articulation of the plant and the section (Fig. 2). An effective structural tree-house union is realized in the small refuge of the Tree hotel in the north of Sweden (2008-10) by the studio Tham & Videgård: the tree is the real structure on which hangs, suspended in the woods, a high-tech cube with side 4 meters, consisting of a lightweight aluminum frame, covered externally with mirroring glass, creating an effective camouflage. And again, in Mêda, Portugal, a large chestnut tree protects and shelters under its canopy the refuge designed by João Mendes Ribeiro (2018-20). Starting from a parallelepiped shape, the wooden structure undergoes deformations and inclinations that determine an internal-external, geometric and organic spatial continuity, an extreme and indissoluble mixture of architecture in nature (Mendes Ribeiro 2021).



Figure 2. Lacaton & Vassal, House in Cap Ferret.
Source: (Lacaton & Vassal)

4. VEGETATION IN DENSE LIVING

The archetype of the city establishes a precise separation between the natural and the urban environment that, through a fence, delimits the living space with respect to the surrounding territory.³ Although in the contemporary metropolis the spatial expansion has inevitably dissolved this fundamental rule, the city, in its principle, excludes and separates; and even when nature has been included within the urban structure, it has been as a *fragment* (Espuelas 2004, 50). And if in the past the image of the city was consolidated on the precise idea of the clearing – *empty* space geometrically defined and subtracted from the vegetation – the distorting *natural* metaphor of the current urban condition is the forest (as in fairy tales, place of bewilderment). Overcome the literary similarities, the contemporary city expresses the inattention of man towards nature that is manifested in a tendential action of concealment, so that it remains invisible to the senses with a perception inversely proportional to the urban dimension (Rifkin 1989). At the critical state to which the environmental (and cultural) condition of the urban landscape and the society has reached, the reflection on city and nature calls into question those archetypal conditions that now seem irrecoverable. It appears necessary to make a change of priorities to define new cultural horizons, to orient space research, to address the environmental and climatic needs of contemporary territories (Donadieu 2006). The contemporary attempt to rebuild a careful dialogue between nature and building in areas with medium-high population density, the vegetation becomes an extraordinary element that is usually introduced by the same project as a determining element and principle and as part of the spatial system (Hunt 1993).⁴ Representative examples of this approach are found – also for reasons of different tradition, architectural culture and attention to nature – especially in the eastern metropolises. A

precursor project can be the house in a plum grove designed by Kazuyo Sejima (Tokyo, 2001-03), which builds its theme from the conservation of trees; the white volume, pure and simple, with openings arranged irregularly in the thin walls (in 5 cm thick metal panels) is equipped with a private terrace with vegetable soil. White and light volumes are those of the Moriama house (2011) by Ryue Nishizawa, where the compact solid and the continuous envelope are avoided in favor of a complex and articulated configuration; the domestic space is decomposed into separate and independent bodies which are accessed from the garden that becomes an open-air inhabited space. Nishizawa himself experimented with this principle according to the vertical development in the Garden & House (Tokyo, 2012): in a small rectangular lot (4 x 8 m) overlap 4 concrete floors, apparently without facade envelope; the vegetation, present in all floors in large pots, to separate the interior of the residence from the street, colonizing and animating all the space. And again, in House N in Oita (2008) Sou Fujimoto proposes a gradual transition from the public road to the private space through three layers (three shells) consisting of perforated surfaces: the first space is the garden intended as a true mediation area, with permeable soil and vegetation; the second shell encloses the space of the tatami and the bed, while in the inner core there is the dining area. Ultimately, however, the unusual distribution of the spaces generates a real internal-external interpenetration, in which home and garden do not have a precise distinction. The same Fujimoto has recently completed the residential tower *Arbre blanc* (white tree) in Montpellier (2019) drawing some of these concepts in the Mediterranean and with a high-density building. The first two levels of the tower are intended for commerce with public access, while on the roof there is a bar, a hall and a terrace for all residents; the remaining 15 levels develop 113 apartments,

each of which has a private open space endowment thanks to the large projecting floors, populated by plants and small trees in pots and flower beds. The size and proportion of these protuberances (it would be mistaken to call them balconies) builds a real space of admixture with nature and vegetation, also acting as a shading system.

It is difficult to understand if the analogy with the structure of a tree (the trunk and the branches that protrude towards the light) is a primordial suggestion of the project or the outcome of a precise research.

The result, however, is a coherent and credible project, which brings about real innovation in the endowment of vegetation and private open space in urban residential models (Fig. 3).

Remaining in the European context, but in a peri-urban context, the project by BIG and JDS architects for the Mountain Dwellings in Copenhagen (2008) – perhaps mindful of Habitat '67 – is based on the spatial distribution of housing towards the best solar orientation. To achieve this, the plans for the car park also follow this configuration and constitute a kind of base for the levels of the residences. It's a variation of the housing typology, so the apartments – which have relatively small areas – are arranged as vegetated terraces, scaled gardens, significantly increasing the spatial endowment of each of them (Fig. 4).

Finally, it is also interesting to analyze the project for 57 university residences in the campus of the

Technical School of Architecture in Sant Cugat del Vallès, realized by H Arquitectes (2010-11), a firm that for years has distinguished itself for the search for economic and sustainable solutions. In this case, vegetation is used as an element of integration in the landscape, for the creation of community spaces and small private areas, responding overall to a strategy of summer shading. The patio building, on two levels, faces consistently towards its

interior, where vegetation composes shady places and meeting spaces. The project also develops the theme of industrialized modular construction that allows the reduction of emissions and production residues and – once its useful life is over – the reuse of modules and its component systems in other configurations. In this sense, the project also responds to an organic logic of architecture, which does not produce residues but resources for new uses.



Figure 3. Sou Fujimoto, Type plan of Arbre blanc residential tower in Montpellier. Source: (Sou Fujimoto Architects)



Figure 4. BIG, Mountain dwellings in Copenhagen. Source: (BIG Architects)

5. CONCLUSION. SPACE, NOT JUST SURFACE

The contemporary debate about the relationship between built space and vegetation has often been devoted to the urban scale (the presence of parks, gardens, avenues) and the external and public dimension of buildings. If, as is desirable, studies and theories for the protection and implementation of *urban forests* will lead to improving the endowment and quality of collective greenery on the ground (FAO 2016), to the scale of architecture, however, and especially with regard to the home, the presence of vegetation is often evaluated from the point of view of environmental comfort and its use in energy strategies.

The recent pandemic emergency and the global ecology crisis has exacerbated some issues relating to the domestic space, so much so as to make clear the need to equip our homes with *spaces of nature*. These should therefore be living and customizable vegetal spaces – not only *green surfaces* but places where you can *stay in* and can really be experienced – that also allow the enjoyment of the air and the sun and, why not, also a desirable reconciliation of individuals and society with nature.

However, the presence of vegetation and the adjective *green* is not a sufficient condition to define a horizon of sustainability to the architectural project. The risk, in fact, is that the green tendency reduces the vegetation to a limited epidermal value to the external surfaces or, even worse, that it is reduced to an ornamental device without acting on the actual spatial characters and the quality of living (Pallasmaa 2010). Nor is it possible to reduce the themes of sustainability and vegetation to just a quantitative economic parameter, since it also concerns qualitative, complex and multi-scale issues (architecture, city, landscape, geography) (Bassanelli 2020).

On the basis of these principles, therefore, it is necessary to look for solutions that are easily applicable and implementable, that have fair maintenance costs, that can constitute models that can be effectively extended on a large scale. The contemporary experiences presented here describe a serious and profound architectural research for the introduction of vegetation in the residential architecture project. In the natural context, we can note an interesting relationship of respect for the soil; the trees become priceless spatial devices to be preserved and brought inside the domestic space.

Also, in the urban context, good practices demonstrate a new organic approach, the possibility of spatial coherence and architectural-nature interdependence necessary for the construction of a real and innovative ecosystem: the decomposition and perforation of the *architectural box* to allow an interpenetration with vegetation and increase the open air spaces; the experimentation of new residential typologies less compact and which, however, project the living spaces outwards, with small private spaces

of nature, useful and enjoyable. These spatial innovations have the potential to define a new hybrid landscape of the integration of architecture and nature. Although the presence of vegetation in the city and in buildings still appears today a condition of exception that is artificially introduced, it can actually represent a new architectural paradigm, an ordered and rational system that, finally, it faces a truly sustainable horizon.

NOTES

¹ In the same years there were numerous experiments on the topic of prefabrication of housing modules and highdensity aggregation systems. For example, the Nakagin Capsule Tower in Tokyo by Kisho Kurokawa (1972) or Kafka's

Castle by Ricardo Bofill in Sant Pere de Ribes (1968). Bofill himself theorized these concepts of spatial development (*Hacia una formalización de la ciudad en el espacio*, 1968) put into practice with the projects for Walden 7 and Xanadú. With a fundamental focus on the issue of vegetation, the project of the *Espai vert* in Benimaclet, Valencia, built by architect Antonio Cortés Ferrando (1990) is relevant.

² The recent removal of the tree, in fact, enhances the close dependence that the project has built with vegetation.

³ The ancient cities in the desert areas included within the walls the oasis (source of life). In this sense, the fence was the separation between two different natural environments.

⁴ Starting from the thought of Cicero, in the '500 humanist Jacopo Bonifadio introduces the concept of *terza natura* (third nature), or a "nature improved by art"; to this category belong the gardens – portions of nature reproduced for playful purposes – which are distinguished from the cultivated nature, that is the second nature of the agricultural systems that man creates for productive purposes; the first nature is instead the uncontaminated and wild, also called wilderness.

REFERENCES

- Atelier 5. *Siedlungen und städtebauliche Projekt*. Vieweg: Birkhauser, 1995.
- Bassanelli Michela (ed.). *Covid-home. Luoghi e modi dell'abitare, dalla pandemia in poi*. Siracusa: LetteraVentidue, 2020.
- De Marco Paolo, Margagliotta Antonino. "#Io resto a casa. Nuove forme dell'abitare domestico / #Stayhome. New forms of domestic living." *FAM*, no. 52-53 (2020): 57-62.
- Donadieu Pierre. *Campagna urbana*. Roma: Donzelli, 2006.
- El Croquis*, no. 177-178 (2015).
- Espuelas Fernando. *Il vuoto. Riflessioni sullo spazio in architettura*. Milano: Christian Marinotti Edizioni, 2004.
- FAO. "Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations." *FAO Forestry Paper*, no. 178. *Guidelines on urban and peri-urban forestry*. Rome: FAO, 2016.
- Hadot Pierre. *Esercizi spirituali e filosofia antica (1998)*. Torino: Einaudi, 2002.
- Hunt John Dixon. "Nel concetto delle tre nature". *Casabella*, no. 597-598 (1993): 99.
- Jung Carl Gustav. *L'albero filosofico*. Torino: Bollati Boringhieri, 2012.
- Koolhaas Rem, Ulrich Obrist Hans. *Project Japan, Metabolism Talks....* Cologne: Taschen, 2011.
- Kräftner Johann. "L'albero e l'architettura. Uno studio sugli archetipi / The tree and architecture. A study of archetypes". *Lotus International*, no. 31 (1981), 25-27.
- Kurokawa Kisho. *Metabolism in Architecture*. London: Studio Vista, 1977.
- Laugier Marc-Antonie. *Saggio sull'architettura*. Palermo: Aesthetica, 1987.
- Le Corbusier. *Il Modulor (1955)*. Mendrisio: Gabriele Capelli editore, 2004.
- Le Corbusier. *Oeuvre complète de 1929-1934*. Zurich: Les Éditions d'Architectures, 1964.
- Le Corbusier. *Sur le 4 routes (1941)*. Paris: Danoël/Gonthier, 1970.
- Margagliotta Antonino. "Gli edifici sono alberi". In *Lecture parallele. L'architettura, il paesaggio e il racconto delle arti*. Melfi: Libria 2020, 15-23.
- Mendes Ribeiro João. "Una casa sotto un castagno / A house under a chestnut tree." *Casabella*, no. 920 (2021): 58-67.
- Norberg-Schulz Christian, Postiglione Gennaro. "Il Padiglione dei Paesi Nordici alla Biennale di Venezia." In *Sverre Fehn. Opera Completa*, Milano: Electa, 1997, 79-84.
- Norberg-Schulz Christian. "Genius Loci. Paesaggio, ambiente, architettura." In *Documenti di architettura*. Milano: Electa, 1992.
- Pallasmaa Juhani. "Towards a New Metaphor." In *Architetture per un territorio sostenibile. Città e paesaggio tra innovazione tecnologica e tradizione*, edited by Balzani Marcello, Marzot Nicola. Ginevra-Milano: Skira 2010, 34-35.
- Portoghesi Paolo. *Architettura e natura*. Milano: Skira, 1999.
- Purini Franco. "Una casa di Giuseppe Samonà." *Casabella*, no. 565 (1990).
- Rifkin Jeremy. *Entropy: Into the Greenhouse World*. New York: Bantam Books, 1989.
- Rykwert Joseph. *La casa di Adamo in paradiso*. Milano: Mondadori, 1977.
- Soleri Paolo. *Archology: The City in the image of Man*. Phoeix: Cosanti Press, 2019.
- St. John Wilson Colin. *The other tradition of modern architecture: the uncompleted project*. London: Black dog, 2007.