


Provided for non-commercial research and education use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/authorsrights>



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SciVerse ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/nmcd

Nutrition,
Metabolism &
Cardiovascular Diseases

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Clinical indications and proper use of Visceral Adiposity Index



Visceral Adiposity Index (VAI), a gender-specific mathematical index, based on simple anthropometric [BMI and Waist Circumference (WC)] and metabolic parameters [Triglycerides (TG) and HDL Cholesterol (HDL)], is a surrogate marker of adipose tissue function and distribution, independently correlated with insulin sensitivity and cardiometabolic risk in the general population [1]. So far, VAI is an empirical mathematical model that does not originate from theoretical assumptions, but from the observation in a healthy normal/overweight population of a linear relationship between BMI and CV, from which a linear equation has been extrapolated [1]. A Model of Adipose Distribution (MOAD) was created based on this linear equation and then it was corrected for TG and HDL, determining the VAI.

In the last three years, it has been reported in more than 20 publications, in which there was evaluated the capability of the VAI to express the cardiometabolic risk and a possible “adipose tissue dysfunction”. The most important results were obtained in populations at metabolic risk without always having an overt Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) (general population [1–4], women with PCOS [5,6], patients with NAFLD [7–12], patients with HCV [13], patients with acromegaly [14,15], patients with prolactinoma [16], patients with diabetes [17,18]). In some populations a specific cut-off was also identified as able to facilitate the early recognition of cardiometabolic risk in patients before they develop overt MetS.

Three of the variables making up the VAI (WC, TG, HDL) being dichotomically expressed in the criteria of MetS, it is also obvious that it is futile to apply the index in patients with overt MetS.

However, certain studies in the same type of patients have yielded conflicting results, and in some cases the




Figure 1 Legend: Distribution of the Visceral Adiposity Index (VAI) (A) and its component variables [BMI (B), Waist Circumferences (C), Triglycerides (D), HDL Cholesterol (E)] in a population of 1764 Primary Care patients.

predictive power of the VAI has been ascribed to the individual variables in the model (BMI, WC, TG, HDL). We think these discrepancies can be attributed to the extreme variability of some variables in the model (e.g. TG) and to the difficulties of WC assessment in morbid obesity and/or pendulous abdomen. In order to investigate the variability of the VAI and that of its components (BMI, WC, TG, HDL), in the 1764 Primary Care patients studied in a previous study, we retrospectively and cross-sectionally re-examined all data [2]. Although among all the variables examined none showed normal distribution (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test: $p < 0.001$ for all variables), VAI and TG show a greater skewness (VAI: 3.00 ± 0.05 ; BMI: 1.53 ± 0.05 ; WC: 1.15 ± 0.05 ; HDL: 0.58 ± 0.05 ; TG: 2.57 ± 0.05) and kurtosis (VAI: 15.50 ± 0.11 ; BMI: 2.90 ± 0.11 ; WC: 2.03 ± 0.11 ; HDL: 1.43 ± 0.11 ; TG: 11.30 ± 0.11) (Fig. 1): the two statistical measures describe the lack of symmetry and the “peakedness” of the curve of distribution, respectively. Therefore, particularly high values of TG [values > 3.15 mmol/l or 279 mg/dl (values equal to the mean+3SD in the 1764 Primary care patients studied)] could affect the validity of VAI. In conclusion, for proper application in an individual patient or in small sample size studies, the application of the VAI is not recommended, in the presence of morbid obesity, pendulous abdomen, severe hypertriglyceridemia and/or use of fibrates.

References

- [1] Amato MC, Giordano C, Galia M, Criscimanna A, Vitabile S, Midiri M, et al. Visceral adiposity index: a reliable indicator of visceral fat function associated with cardiometabolic risk. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33:920–2. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc09-1825>.
- [2] Amato MC, Giordano C, Pitrone M, Galluzzo A. Cut-off points of the visceral adiposity index (VAI) identifying a visceral adipose dysfunction associated with cardiometabolic risk in a Caucasian Sicilian population. *Lipids Health Dis* 2011;10:183. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1476-511X-10-183>.
- [3] Knowles KM, Paiva LL, Sanchez SE, Revilla L, Lopez T, Yasuda MB, et al. Waist circumference, body mass index, and other measures of adiposity in predicting cardiovascular disease risk factors among Peruvian adults. *Int J Hypertens* 2011; 931402. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4061/2011/931402>.
- [4] Zhang X, Shu XO, Li H, Yang G, Xiang YB, Cai Q, et al. Visceral adiposity and risk of coronary heart disease in relatively lean Chinese adults. *Int J Cardiol* 2013. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2013.01.275>. in press.
- [5] Amato MC, Verghi M, Galluzzo A, Giordano C. The oligomenorrhoeic phenotypes of polycystic ovary syndrome are characterized by a high visceral adiposity index: a likely condition of cardiometabolic risk. *Hum Reprod* 2011;26:1486–94. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/humrep/der088>.
- [6] Oh JY, Sung YA, Lee HJ. The visceral adiposity index as a predictor of insulin resistance in young women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Obesity* 2012. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/oby.20096>. in press.
- [7] Petta S, Amato MC, Di Marco V, Cammà C, Pizzolanti G, Barcellona MR, et al. Visceral adiposity index is associated with significant fibrosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2012;35:238–47. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2036.2011.04929.x>.
- [8] Filik L. Visceral adiposity index and exercise in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2012;35:489. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2036.2011.04963.x>.
- [9] Musso G, Cassader M, Gambino R. Diagnostic accuracy of adipose insulin resistance index and visceral adiposity index for progressive liver histology and cardiovascular risk in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* 2012;56:788–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.25677>.
- [10] Vongsuvan R, George J, McLeod D, van der Poorten D. Visceral adiposity index is not a predictor of liver histology in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Hepatol* 2012;57: 392–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2012.03.013>.
- [11] Musso G, Cassader M, De Michieli F, Rosina F, Orlandi F, Gambino R. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis versus steatosis: adipose tissue insulin resistance and dysfunctional response to fat ingestion predict liver injury and altered glucose and lipoprotein metabolism. *Hepatology* 2012;56:933–42. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.25739>.
- [12] Li Y, Liu L, Wang B, Chen D. Letter: is visceral adiposity index a predictor of liver histology in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease? *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2013;37:583. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/apt.12210>.
- [13] Petta S, Amato M, Cabibi D, Cammà C, Di Marco V, Giordano C, et al. Visceral adiposity index is associated with histological findings and high viral load in patients with chronic hepatitis C due to genotype 1. *Hepatology* 2010;52: 1543–52. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.23859>.
- [14] Cirelli A, Amato MC, Pivonello R, Nazzari E, Grasso LF, Minuto F, et al. The metabolic profile in active acromegaly is gender-specific. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2013;98:E51–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1210/jc.2012-2896>.
- [15] Cirelli A, Amato MC, Pizzolanti G, Giordano Galluzzo C. Visceral adiposity index is associated with insulin sensitivity and adipocytokine levels in newly diagnosed acromegalic patients. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2012;97:2907–15. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1210/jc.2012-1518>.
- [16] Cirelli A, Amato MC, Guarnotta V, Lo Castro F, Giordano C. Higher doses of cabergoline further improve metabolic parameters in patients with prolactinoma regardless of the degree of reduction in prolactin levels. *Clin Endocrinol* 2013. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/cen.12204>. in press.
- [17] Al-Daghri NM, Al-Attas OS, Alkhalaf MS, Alkharfy KM, Charalampidis P, Livadas S, et al. Visceral adiposity index is highly associated with adiponectin values and glycaemic disturbances. *Eur J Clin Invest* 2013;43:183–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/eci.12030>.
- [18] Bozorgmanesh M, Hadaegh F, Azizi F. Predictive performance of the visceral adiposity index for a visceral adiposity-related risk: type 2 diabetes. *Lipids Health Dis* 2011;10:88. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1476-511X-10-88>.

M.C. Amato, MD

C. Giordano, MD *

Dipartimento Biomedico di Medicina interna e specialistica (Dibimis), Section of Endocrinology, Diabetology and Metabolism, University of Palermo, Piazza delle Cliniche 2, 90127 Palermo, Italy

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 091 6552110;

fax: +39 091 6552123.

E-mail address: carla.giordano@unipa.it (C. Giordano)

4 April 2013