


Break in volition: a virtual reality study in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder

**Pietro Cipresso, Filippo La Paglia,
Caterina La Cascia, Giuseppe Riva,
Giovanni Albani & Daniele La Barbera**

Experimental Brain Research

ISSN 0014-4819

Exp Brain Res
DOI 10.1007/s00221-013-3471-y



Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be self-archived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your work, please use the accepted author's version for posting to your own website or your institution's repository. You may further deposit the accepted author's version on a funder's repository at a funder's request, provided it is not made publicly available until 12 months after publication.

Break in volition: a virtual reality study in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder

Pietro Cipresso · Filippo La Paglia ·
Caterina La Cascia · Giuseppe Riva ·
Giovanni Albani · Daniele La Barbera

Received: 31 August 2012 / Accepted: 26 February 2013
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2013

Abstract Research in obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) produced inconsistent results in demonstrating an association between patients' symptom severity and their cognitive impairments. The process involved in volition aspects of behavioral syndromes can be extensively analyzed using specific tests developed in virtual environments, more suitable to manipulate rules and possible breaks of the normal task execution with different, confusing or stopping instructions. The study involved thirty participants (15 OCD patients and 15 controls) during task execution and the relative interferences. At this purpose, the virtual version of Multiple Errands Test was used. Virtual reality setting, with a higher ecological validity respect to a classic neuropsychological battery, allowed us to take into account deficits of volition and the relative dysexecutive functions associated with OCD patients. The proposed paradigm also allows the development of innovative prototypes of

coevolving technologies based on new theories and models and deeper understanding of human behavior.

Keywords Obsessive-compulsive disorders · Virtual reality · Multiple Errands Test · Cognitive assessment · Executive functions · Disorders of volition · Break in volition

Introduction

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) affects about the two percent of the worldwide population and is recognized to have a number of social, work and personal impairments. World Health Organization highlighted that OCD is into the top twenty causes of disability in the 15–44 age range.

Obsessive-compulsive disorder is usually stable in time; however, this disorder presents a high heterogeneity in both the symptom and the comorbidity among individuals. In particular, many other disorders into the neurological or psychiatric sphere showed comorbidity with OCD.

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition (DSM-IV-TR) of the American Psychiatric Association, identifies OCD as one of the six anxiety disorders. OCD patients showed impaired cognition, in particular low levels of cognitive inhibition (Muller and Roberts 2005). It seems that serotonergic dysfunction and dopamine play a key role in OCD (Morein-Zamir et al. 2010a). Brain abnormalities have been showed in OCD patients in the prefrontal cortex, and in particular in the orbitofrontal cortex, in the parietal cortex and in the striatum (Fineberg et al. 2008; Menzies et al. 2008; Chamberlain et al. 2008; De Geus et al. 2007). Impairment in volitional suppression of simple actions seems to indicate that an intermediate marker of brain dysfunction in OCD can be provided by response

P. Cipresso (✉) · G. Riva
Applied Technology for Neuro-Psychology Lab,
IRCCS Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Via Pellizza da
Volpedo 41, 20149 Milan, Italy
e-mail: p.cipresso@auxologico.it

F. L. Paglia · C. L. Cascia · D. La Barbera
Department of Experimental Biomedicine and Clinical
Neuroscience – section of Psychiatry, University of Palermo,
Palermo, PA, Italy

G. Riva
Department of Psychology, Catholic University of the Sacred
Heart, Largo Gemelli, 1, 20123 Milan, Italy

G. Albani
Division of Neurology and Neurorehabilitation, San Giuseppe
Hospital, IRCCS Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Piancavallo, VB,
Italy

inhibition deficits (Fineberg et al. 2005; Chamberlain et al. 2005). This marker, or endophenotype, can be crucial in classification and etiology of OCD and could also provide important cues for possible treatment strategies (Morein-Zamir et al. 2010b).

Researches that are focusing on the association between OCD patients' symptom severity and their neuropsychological impairments have produced inconsistent results, at the moment (Abramovitch et al. 2011).

Abramovitch and colleagues affirmed that to control for potential confounding variables during an experiment and to use a computerized neuropsychological battery may contribute to find an association between obsessive symptoms and cognitive impairments.

The lack of ecological validity can also be an important criticism for experimental tasks and traditional neuropsychological tests (Goldstein 1996; Sbordone 1996).

Classic tasks in experimental settings request simple responses to single events, where tasks in naturalistic settings are more complex and multistep, requiring also the inhibition of inappropriate or irrelevant actions within several sub-tasks (Chan et al. 2008).

At this purpose become critic to increase ecological validity of a neuropsychological battery, where an assessment need to take into account aspects of patient's cognitive and behavioral responses that reflect real-life situations (Burgess et al. 2006). However, unfortunately, an effective assessment of executive functions during typical daily life is too difficult. More it is to consider that such an assessment become also more difficult involving patients in the procedure (Rand et al. 2009).

Today, thanks to the advances in technologies, virtual reality (VR) came to age to represent a valid chance to partially reach the ecological validity of real-life situations through direct exposure. VR is a sort of human computer interface system where the participants actively interact in a computer-generated tridimensional world (Schultheis et al. 2002).

VR has been extensively used in clinical research and practice, also recently (Repetto et al. 2013; Riva 2009; Albani et al. 2012; Villani et al. 2012; Pallavicini et al. 2013), being very suitable to mimic real situations, but in a fully controlled setting. In fact, nothing that obsesses the patients can "really" happen to them in the virtual environment.

The core background of using a virtual version of MET lies in the possibility of using technology to manipulate the quality of personal experience structuring it by using a goal, rules and a feedback system: The goal provides subjects with a sense of purpose focusing attention and orienting his/her participation in the experience. The rules, by removing or limiting the obvious ways of getting to the goal, push subjects to see the experience in a different way. The feedback system tells players how close they are to achieving the goal and provides motivation

to keep trying. Another related way in using virtual version of MET is by augmenting it to achieve multimodal and mixed experiences. Technology allows multisensory experiences in which content and its interaction is offered through more than one of the senses. It is even possible to use technology to overlay virtual objects onto real scenes.

These processes are at the core of the relationship between the user and the environment. In particular, the study claims that the manipulation of the self allowed by this relationship may be used to develop new clinical approaches to assess OCD disorder.

The use of VR for manipulating volition

Virtual reality might be a powerful tool inducing embodiment for a replacement of our behaviors (Slater et al. 2010). The sense of ownership for one's own body and mind depends on a series of mechanisms, among which the most important are:

1. Spatiotemporal correspondence between efferent motor commands and their sensory feedback, inducing a sense of agency for one's own body movements (Jeannerod 2007)
2. Spatiotemporal correspondence between multisensory (visual, somatosensory, auditory, vestibular) signals coming from one's own body (Blanke 2012)
3. Constant automatic monitoring of interoceptive feedback from inside one's own behaviors (Craig 2009).

Manipulating each of these components has the potential to alter the representation of one's own behaviors, and more importantly in the context of VR simulation, this can also lead to the embodiment of a virtual surrogate self.

In a VR scenario, for instance, it has been shown that subjects attribute to themselves movements of an avatar if those are temporally synchronized with their own movements (Slater et al. 2009b). In contrast, a temporal delay between movements executed by the subject and by the avatar is known to reduce the feeling of being within a VR environment and of indentifying with an avatar (Slater et al. 2009a). Multisensory illusions such as the rubber hand illusion or the full body illusion can be obtained when tactile stimuli from one's own body and visual stimuli from its fake replacement are administered synchronously, and not when they are administered out of synchrony (Blanke 2012). Moreover, proprioceptive cues need to be congruent with visual cues about body position. Such mechanisms based on the contrast between multisensory congruent and incongruent stimulations (e.g., synchronous vs. asynchronous visuo-tactile stimulation of one's own and avatar's body) can also be exploited in the context of virtual reality exposure therapy.

A first progress beyond the state of the art that arose from this study is a better understanding of the neurological link between the multisensory/sensorimotor and behavioral mechanisms and the higher level mechanisms involved in the construction of the self. The experimental research also demonstrates whether and how much different situations induce embodiment for the self and, as importantly, disembodiment of the real body.

The use of VR for manipulating social volition

As underlined by (Mantovani and Riva 1999, 2001), the meaning of the presence experience in an environment, real or simulated, leads individuals to perceive themselves, objects, and eventually other people not only as situated in an external space but also as immersed in a sociocultural scenario connecting objects, people and their interactions. Individuals experience “reality” through interpretive grids that are generated by the preexisting social structures and live in a “reality” that is usually a social space in which individuals learn to perceive, categorize and use environmental affordances in ways that are meaningful and socially recognizable.

For this reason, the study also explores how the self and its volition is linked to culture and social factors: trying “narrative” and “extended” aspects of volition, respectively. No other study has explored these factors extensively.

Aims of the study

In particular, this study aims to analyzing the effect of three specific breaks in volition on both OCD patients and a control group.

Break in volition consists of breaking the normal task execution with different, confusing or stopping instructions during a series of normal task execution required to induce the volition to perform a specific action (for example, to buy a product). This action normally requires to pay attention to and to elaborate different information present at the same time (divided attention).

Our hypothesis is that breaks in volition affect OCD patients more than controls and that divided attention has a strong role in the process involved in volition aspects of behavioral syndromes.

Aim of this study was also to evaluate relationship between volition-induced deficit and cognitive behavior in non-OCD patients. In particular, we explored some domains such as decision making, attention and visual memory of patients during their shopping in a virtual supermarket.

We used a not immersive virtual version of the Multiple Errands Test, an assessment of executive functions in daily

life which consists in performing tasks according to predefined rules, so that there are items to be bought and information to be obtained (Shallice and Burgess 1991; Cipresso et al. 2011; Raspelli et al. 2010) developed using NeuroVR software (Riva et al. 2011).

Materials and methods

Participants

The thirty participants consisted of 15 OCD patients (Mean age 34.27 ± 10.42) diagnosed by a clinical psychologist or psychiatrist as meeting the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV 2000) criteria for OCD and 15 controls (Mean age 39.33 ± 16.27). All the participants were experienced in the use of PC and were trained for the use of the joy pad within the virtual environment used for the experiment. Participants were asked not to drink caffeine or alcohol and not to smoke prior to the experimental test to avoid any effects of these substances on tests execution and performances.

Ethics statement

The study was approved by the Scientific Review Board of the “U.O. di Psichiatria dell Azienda Universitaria Ospedaliera Policlinico ‘Paolo Giaccone’ di Palermo” and was in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants gave written informed consent to the experimental procedure according to the rules of the Scientific Review Board.

All participants’ data were memorized in encrypted and password-protected files, following the criteria to protect personal health information (El Emam et al. 2011) and using PsychoPass method (Cipresso et al. 2012) to generate and share passwords information among colleagues.

Protocol

Participants who met the experimental criteria were contacted face-to-face, via email and/or telephone to schedule a meeting at the Department of Psychiatry of the University of Palermo.

They were welcomed by a specialized psychiatric, who assisted them during the sessions. The experimenters were instructed to maintain a neutral vocal tone and a neutral behavior, while the participants executed the tests. Once arrived at the Department, participants were asked to sit down in front of a computer and were told about the general goals of the clinical protocol, the procedures to be used, and the concerns for their involvement in the study.

The protocol was composed of two counterbalanced part for the assessment of generic and specific cognitive functions. In particular, a part involved the use of a validated classic neuropsychological battery and the other part involved the use of a validated VR-based test.

Specifically, subjects were requested: to select and buy various products presented on shelves with the aid of a joy pad; to recall some information acquired during the session such as, for instance, the list of all products seen, or the time of closure of the supermarket.

The main rules to follow were: to perform all tasks, without preference of order; do not be back in the corridor already visited; do not buy more than one product of the same category; to spent as less time possible to complete the session.

Neuropsychological battery

A Mini-Mental State Evaluation (MMSE) was administered to assess the general cognitive level. Specific tests were selected for cognitive assessment. In particular, a “Digit Span Test” to assess short-term memory; a “Short Story Recall Test” to assess the long-term memory; a Trail Making Test (Forms A, B and B-A) for the assessment of selective attention; a Frontal Assessment Battery (FAB), a bedside cognitive and behavioral battery to assess frontal lobe functions; a Corsi span and a Corsi Block Task for the assessment of spatial memory; a phonemic fluency test and a semantic fluency test to assess the semantic memory; a disyllabic words test to assess the word-length effect; and a Tower of London test for the assessment of executive functions. Scores of the tests were corrected for age, education level and gender where appropriate.

Exclusion criteria were MMSE <24; Token Test <26.5; Street Completion Test <2.25; State and Trait Anxiety Index >40; Beck Depression Inventory >16.

VMET

To create the protocol in the virtual environment, we used NeuroVR 2.0, a free software where the user can choose the appropriate psychological stimuli/stressors from a database of objects (both 2D and 3D) and videos, and easily place them into the virtual environment (Riva et al. 2011). The scene created has been visualized in the player using non-immersive displays.

The virtual version of MET (VMET) (Raspelli et al. 2012) was composed by a virtual supermarket where users have a list of specific task, such as to buy products contained on shelves, like in a real supermarket. VMET has been already used in clinical study involving patients (Raspelli et al. 2009, 2012; Carelli et al. 2009).

The products were presented in categories including beverages, fruits and vegetables, breakfast foods, hygiene products, frozen foods, garden products and animal products. Navigation through the aisles of the virtual supermarket was by the means of a joy pad.

All users were trained for the virtual reality use in another environment, specifically designed for training needs.

VMET scoring

In the VMET procedure, there are a number of score to be recorded, namely time of execution, seven types of partial errors, total errors, partial tasks failures, inefficiencies, rule breaks, strategies and interpretation failures (Raspelli et al. 2012).

For partial task failures, the scoring range was from 8 (no errors) to 16 (great errors). As for the partial task failures, the specific items were “searched item in the correct area”; “maintained task objective to completion”; “maintained sequence of the task”; “divided attention between components of task and components of other VMET tasks”; “organized materials appropriately throughout task”; “self corrected upon errors made during the task”; “no evidence of perseveration” and “sustained attention throughout the sequence of the task (not distracted by other stimuli).”

The analyzed variables were the execution times for the entire task; errors in executing the tasks, with a scoring range from 11 (the subject has correctly done the tasks) to 33 (the subject has totally omitted the tasks); inefficiencies, with a scoring range from 8 (more inefficiencies) to 32 (no inefficiencies); rule breaks, with a scoring range from 8 (more rule breaks) to 32 (no rule breaks); strategies, with a scoring range from 13 (more strategies) to 52 (no strategies); interpretation failures, with a scoring range from 3 (more interpretation failures) to 6 (no interpretation failures) and partial task failures, with a scoring range from 8 (no errors) to 16 (more errors).

In particular, breaks were classified according to the following definitions:

1. Break in time: to go to the shopping chart after 5 min.
2. Break in choice: to buy two products instead of just one.
3. Break in social rules: “to go into a specific place and to ask the examiner what to buy.”

Data analysis

Data were analyzed with the aid of the statistical software SPSS, version 17 (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences—SPSS for Windows, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Comparisons between patients and controls were done by using a series of one-way analyses of variance (ANOVAs).

Results

Neuropsychological battery

Table 1 shows the results of OCD patients compared with normative data. Results showed intact cognitive levels in these patients, with the exception of TMT B and TMT B-A having valued slightly under the normative data, indicating a lower divided attention with respect to normal.

Breaks in volition

Three specific errors' breaks examined within the VMET procedure to account for the specific differences between OCDs and controls in breaking volition. As can be seen in Table 2, patients showed higher levels of breaks. In particular, break in time [$F(29,1) = 23.036, p < .001$]; break in decision [$F(29,1) = 28.767, p < .001$] and break in social interference [$F(29,1) = 10,392, p < .004$]. More, divided attention (between components of task and components of other VMET tasks) was found different between groups [$F(29,1) = 5.119, p < .032$], being higher for OCDs than controls.

Discussion

The general aim of this study was to investigate the effects of breaks in volition on OCD patients. At this purpose, we used a virtual version of the Multiple Errands Test and assessed three breaks during the normal executions of standard tasks.

Table 1 Neuropsychological battery in OCDs

Test	Mean	Std. deviation	Normative data
MMSE	26.28	2.71724	>18
FAB	15.43	1.30379	>14.4
Trail making task A	59.80	21.153	<68
Trail making task B	192.53 ^a	128.234	<177
Trail making task B-A	132.27 ^b	117.640	<111
Phonemic fluency	27.00	9.063	>23
Semantic fluency	33.53	10.602	>30
Tower of London	21.73 ^c	6.861	Not available
Digit span	5.07	1.15907	>4.25
Disyllabic words	17.37	24.4573	>8.50
Corsi span	4.68	.78181	>4.25
Short story	13.87	4.4339	>10.50
Corsi block task	16.14	7.08060	>10.25

^a Non-pathological level; pathological level: >282

^b Non-pathological level; pathological level: >186

^c Normally considered non-pathological level

Table 2 Descriptives of the Virtual Multiple Errands Test (VMET) scores

Test	Group	N	Mean	Std. deviation	Std. error
Break in time	Patients	15	13.40	2.354	.608
	Controls	15	8.73	2.939	.759
	Total	30	11.07	3.532	.645
Break in choice	Patients	15	9.40	1.352	.349
	Controls	15	7.40	.507	.131
	Total	30	8.40	1.429	.261
Break in rules	Patients	15	9.87	1.552	.401
	Controls	15	8.20	1.265	.327
	Total	30	9.03	1.629	.297
Divided attention	Patients	15	10.4000	2.89828	.74833
	Controls	15	8.4667	1.59762	.41250
	Total	30	9.4333	2.50080	.45658

Results showed a clear presence of difficulties of OCD patients in interfacing these breaks compared with the controls. These seem to reflect deficits in the attention of these patients. In particular, the higher complexity of the required tasks including the breaks led to higher levels of divided attention, however, not pathological, in OCD patients.

Thus, even if our OCD patients sample reported no one pathological level from cognitive assessment through the neuropsychological assessment, a more extensive research using virtual reality, at an higher level of ecological validity, showed impairments in complex task executions where the strategies required to overcome the breaks in volition were higher than those available when OCD occurs.

Attention as a requirement for consciousness is a theme highly debated at the moment (Cohen et al. 2012); however, it is clear from our experiment that breaks in volition through experimental manipulation, accounting for a higher complexity in attention, are able to lead to higher divided attention scores and lower performance where a deficit in volitional controls exists, such as for OCD patients.

Virtual reality literature includes many descriptions of users reacting to a virtual environment in instinctual ways that suggest they believe, at least for a short time, that they were “immersed” and even “present” in the synthetic experience. Following the definitions introduced by Slater, Steed and Chrysanthou (Slater et al. 2002): “Presence is a state of consciousness, a state of being [in an environment]... while immersion is related to the quantity and quality of sensory data that is from that environment” (p. 22). Specifically, immersion is generally understood to be a product of technology that facilitates the production of the multimodal sensory “input” to the user (Burdea et al. 1996), while presence is defined as the psychological perception of being “there,” within a virtual environment (Heeter 1992).

These considerations seem to suggest that volition aspects of behavioral syndromes can be better analyzed where a higher ecological validity exists. Virtual environment partially account for this exigency, taking into account the possibility to define the extent to which the volition can be “broken,” through rules manipulations and specific tasks.

The relationship between the user and the environment was based on the “inter-reality” paradigms that integrate assessment and treatment into a hybrid, closed-loop empowering experience bridging physical and virtual worlds:

- Behavior in the physical world influences experiences in the virtual world: For example, the effects of the patient’s therapeutic efforts are reflected on the self, providing a motivating feedback.
- Behavior in the virtual world influences experiences and the patients’ experience in the real world: For example, the therapy in virtual reality being done through an avatar which is embodied by the patient, the estimation of self-consciousness factors can be used to adjust the rehabilitation program. Our claim is that advanced technologies (such as virtual worlds) bridge virtual experiences (fully controlled by the therapist, used to learn healthy behaviors and coping skills, and to modify self and volition) with real experiences (the therapist can identify critical situations and assess clinical changes) opening a promising way to exploit the symbiotic relationship between a patient and her/his volition.

The proposed paradigm will allow the development of innovative prototypes of coevolving technologies based on new theories and models and deeper understanding of human behavior.

References

- Abramovitch A, Dar R, Schweiger A, Hermesh H (2011) Neuropsychological impairments and their association with obsessive-compulsive symptom severity in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* 26(4):364–376. doi:10.1093/arclin/acr022
- Albani G, Cipresso P, Gaggioli A, Serino S, Vigna C, Priano L, Mauro A, Franzini A, Riva G (2012) Virtual help for real surgery: the case of awake surgery. *Stud Health Technol Inform* 173:13–15
- Blanke O (2012) Multisensory brain mechanisms of bodily self-consciousness. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 13(8):556–571. doi:10.1038/nrn3292
- Burdea G, Richard P, Coiffet P (1996) Multimodal virtual reality: input-output devices, system integration, and human factors. *Int J Hum Comput Interact* 8(1):5–24
- Burgess PW, Alderman N, Forbes C, Costello A, Coates LMA, Dawson DR, Anderson ND, Gilbert SJ, Dumontheil I, Channon S (2006) The case for the development and use of “ecologically valid” measures of executive function in experimental and clinical neuropsychology. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 12:194–209
- Carelli L, Morganti F, Poletti B, Corra B, Weiss PL, Kizony R, Silani V, Riva G (2009) A NeuroVR based tool for cognitive assessment and rehabilitation of post-stroke patients: two case studies. *Stud Health Technol* 144:243–247. doi:10.3233/978-1-60750-017-9-243
- Chamberlain SR, Blackwell AD, Fineberg NA, Robbins TW, Sahakian J (2005) The neuropsychology of obsessive compulsive disorder: the importance of failures in cognitive and behavioural inhibition as candidate endophenotypic markers. *Neurosci Biobehav R* 29(3):399–419. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2004.11.006
- Chamberlain SR, Menzies L, Hampshire A, Suckling J, Fineberg NA, del Campo N, Aitken M, Craig K, Owen AM, Bullmore ET, Robbins TW, Sahakian BJ (2008) Orbitofrontal dysfunction in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder and their unaffected relatives. *Science* 321(5887):421–422. doi:10.1126/science.1154433
- Chan RCK, Shum D, Touloupoulou T, Chen EYH (2008) Assessment of executive functions: Review of instruments and identification of critical issues. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* 23:201–216
- Cipresso P, Raspelli S, Pallavicini F, Grassi A, Balgera A, Gaggioli A, Villamira M, Albani G, Mauro A, Riva G (2011) Investigations of executive functions using Virtual Multiple Errands Test and Psychophysiological measures. *J CyberTher Rehabil* 4(2):259–260
- Cipresso P, Gaggioli A, Serino S, Cipresso S, Riva G (2012) How to Create Memorizable and Strong Passwords. *J Med Internet Res* 14(1). doi:DOI 10.2196/jmir.1906
- Cohen MA, Cavanagh P, Chun MM, Nakayama K (2012) The attentional requirements of consciousness. *Trends Cogn Sci* 16(8):411–417. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2012.06.013
- Craig AD (2009) How do you feel - now? The anterior insula and human awareness. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 10(1):59–70. doi:10.1038/Nrn2555
- De Geus F, Denys DAJP, Sitskoorn MM, Westenberg HGM (2007) Attention and cognition in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Psychiat Clin Neurosci* 61(1):45–53. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1819.2007.01609.x
- DSM-IV. APATFo (2000) Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders : DSM-IV-TR, 4th edn. American Psychiatric Association, Washington
- El Emam K, Moreau K, Jonker E (2011) How strong are passwords used to protect personal health information in clinical trials? *J Med Internet Res* 13(1):13–22. doi:10.2196/jmir.1335
- Fineberg NA, Chamberlain S, Blackwell A, Sahakian BJ, Robbins TW (2005) Exploring neurocognitive endophenotypes of obsessive compulsive spectrum disorders using tests of cognitive and motor inhibition. *Eur Neuropsychopharm* 15:S529–S529
- Fineberg N, Chamberlain SR, Menzies LA, Craig K, Morein-Zamir S, Sahakian BJ, Robbins TW, Bullmore ET (2008) Neuropsychological endophenotypes in families with OCD. *Eur Neuropsychopharm* 18:S166–S167
- Goldstein G (1996) Functional considerations in neuropsychology. In: Sbordone RJ, Long CJ (eds) Ecological validity of neuropsychological testing. GR Press/St. Lucie Press, Delray Beach, Florida, pp 75–89
- Heeter C (1992) Being there: the subjective experience of presence. *Presence: teleoperators and virtual environments* 1(2):262–271
- Jeannerod M (2007) Being oneself. *J Physiol-Paris* 101(4–6):161–168. doi:10.1016/j.jphysparis.2007.11.005
- Mantovani G, Riva G (1999) “Real” presence: how different ontologies generate different criteria for presence, telepresence, and virtual presence. *Presence Teleoper Virtual Environ* 8(5):538–548
- Mantovani G, Riva G (2001) Building a bridge between different scientific communities: on Sheridan’s eclectic ontology of presence. *Presence: Teleoper Virtual Environ* 8:538–548
- Menzies L, Williams GB, Chamberlain SR, Ooi C, Fineberg N, Suckling J, Sahakian BJ, Robbins TW, Bullmore ET (2008) White matter abnormalities in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder and their first-degree relatives. *Am J Psychiatr* 165(10):1308–1315. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2008.07101677
- Morein-Zamir S, Craig KJ, Ersche KD, Abbott S, Muller U, Fineberg NA, Bullmore ET, Sahakian BJ, Robbins TW (2010a) Impaired

- visuospatial associative memory and attention in obsessive compulsive disorder but no evidence for differential dopaminergic modulation. *Psychopharmacology* 212(3):357–367. doi:[10.1007/s00213-010-1963-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-010-1963-z)
- Morein-Zamir S, Fineberg NA, Robbins TW, Sahakian BJ (2010b) Inhibition of thoughts and actions in obsessive-compulsive disorder: extending the endophenotype? *Psychol Med* 40(2):263–272. doi:[10.1017/S003329170999033x](https://doi.org/10.1017/S003329170999033x)
- Muller J, Roberts JE (2005) Memory and attention in obsessive-compulsive disorder: a review. *J Anxiety Disord* 19(1):1–28. doi:[10.1016/j.janxdis.2003.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2003.12.001)
- Pallavicini F, Cipresso P, Raspelli S, Grassi A, Serino S, Vigna C, Triberti S, Villamira M, Gaggioli A, Riva G (2013) Is virtual reality always an effective stressors for exposure treatments? Some insights from a controlled trial. *BMC Psychiatry* 13:52. doi:[10.1186/1471-244X-13-52](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-244X-13-52)
- Rand D, Rukan SB, Weiss PL, Katz N (2009) Validation of the virtual MET as an assessment tool for executive functions. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 19(4):583–602
- Raspelli S, Carelli L, Morganti F, Riva G, Weiss PL, Kizony R, Katz N (2009) Implementation of the Multiple Errand Test in a NeuroVR-supermarket. Virtual rehabilitation international conference, p 210
- Raspelli S, Carelli L, Morganti F, Poletti B, Corra B, Silani V, Riva G (2010) Implementation of the multiple errands test in a NeuroVR-supermarket: a possible approach. *Stud Health Technol Informa* 154:115–119
- Raspelli S, Pallavicini F, Carelli L, Morganti F, Pedroli E, Cipresso P, Poletti B, Corra B, Sangalli D, Silani V, Riva G (2012) Validating the neuro VR-based virtual version of the multiple errands test: preliminary results. *Presence-Teleop Virt* 21(1):31–42
- Repetto C, Gaggioli A, Pallavicini F, Cipresso P, Raspelli S, Riva G (2013) Virtual reality and mobile phones in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorders: a phase-2 clinical trial. *Pers Ubiquit Comput* 17(2):253–260. doi:[10.1007/s00779-011-0467-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00779-011-0467-0)
- Riva G (2009) Virtual reality: an experiential tool for clinical psychology. *Brit J Guid Couns* 37(3):337–345. doi:[10.1080/03069880902957056](https://doi.org/10.1080/03069880902957056)
- Riva G, Gaggioli A, Grassi A, Raspelli S, Cipresso P, Pallavicini F, Vigna C, Gagliati A, Gasco S, Donvito G (2011) NeuroVR 2—a free virtual reality platform for the assessment and treatment in behavioral health care. *Stud Health Technol Inform* 163:493–495
- Sbordone RJ (1996) Ecological validity: Some critical issues for neuropsychologist. In: Sbordone RJ, Long CJ (eds) Ecological validity of neuropsychological testing. GR Press/St. Lucie Press, Delray Beach, Florida, pp 15–41
- Schultheis MT, Himmelstein J, Rizzo AA (2002) Virtual reality and neuropsychology: upgrading the current tools. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 17(5):378–394
- Shallice T, Burgess PW (1991) Deficits in strategy application following frontal lobe damage in man. *Brain* 114(Pt 2):727–741
- Slater M, Steed A, Chrysanthou Y (2002) Computer graphics and virtual environments: from realism to real-time. Pearson Harlow, Essex
- Slater M, Lotto B, Arnold MM, Sanchez-Vives MV (2009a) How we experience immersive virtual environments: the concept of presence and its measurement. *Anuario de Psicología* 40(2):193–210
- Slater M, Perez-Marcos D, Ehrsson HH, Sanchez-Vives MV (2009b) Inducing illusory ownership of a virtual body. *Front Neurosci* 3(2):214–220. doi:[10.3389/neuro.01.029.2009](https://doi.org/10.3389/neuro.01.029.2009)
- Slater M, Spanlang B, Sanchez-Vives MV, Blanke O (2010) First person experience of body transfer in virtual reality. *PLoS One* 5(5):e10564. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0010564](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010564)
- Villani D, Repetto C, Cipresso P, Riva G (2012) May I experience more presence in doing the same thing in virtual reality than in reality? An answer from a simulated job interview. *Interact Comput* 24(4):265–272. doi:[10.1016/j.intcom.2012.04.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intcom.2012.04.008)