



Association for
Computing Machinery

Advancing Computing as a Science & Profession

May, 4–8, 2015
Istanbul, Turkey



AAMAS'15

Proceedings of the 2015 International Conference on
Autonomous Agents & Multiagent Systems

Sponsored by:

***IFAAMAS, NSF, Artificial Intelligence, ACM SIGAI, ARGELA,
Microsoft Research, FOCAS, SIG-MACC, and teknoparkistanbul***

In cooperation with:

ACM In-Cooperation



**Association for
Computing Machinery**

Advancing Computing as a Science & Profession

**The Association for Computing Machinery
2 Penn Plaza, Suite 701
New York, New York 10121-0701**

Copyright © 2015 by the Association for Computing Machinery, Inc. (ACM). Permission to make digital or hard copies of portions of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyright for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permission to republish from: permissions@acm.org or Fax +1 (212) 869-0481.

For other copying of articles that carry a code at the bottom of the first or last page, copying is permitted provided that the per-copy fee indicated in the code is paid through www.copyright.com.

Notice to Past Authors of ACM-Published Articles

ACM intends to create a complete electronic archive of all articles and/or other material previously published by ACM. If you have written a work that has been previously published by ACM in any journal or conference proceedings prior to 1978, or any SIG Newsletter at any time, and you do NOT want this work to appear in the ACM Digital Library, please inform permissions@acm.org, stating the title of the work, the author(s), and where and when published.

ISBN: 978-1-4503-3413-6

Additional copies may be ordered prepaid from:

ACM Order Department

PO Box 30777
New York, NY 10087-0777, USA

Phone: 1-800-342-6626 (USA and Canada)
+1-212-626-0500 (Global)
Fax: +1-212-944-1318
E-mail: acmhelp@acm.org
Hours of Operation: 8:30 am – 4:30 pm ET

Printed in the USA

Introduction

The Autonomous Agents and MultiAgent Systems (AAMAS) conference series brings together researchers from around the world to share the latest advances in the field. It is the premier forum for research in the theory and practice of autonomous agents and multi-agent systems. AAMAS 2002, the first of the series, was held in Bologna, followed by Melbourne (2003), New York (2004), Utrecht (2005), Hakodate (2006), Honolulu (2007), Estoril (2008), Budapest (2009), Toronto (2010), Taipei (2011), Valencia (2012), Saint Paul (2013), and Paris (2014). This volume constitutes the proceedings of AAMAS 2015, the fourteenth conference in the series, held in Istanbul in May 2015.

In line with previous editions, AAMAS 2015 attracted submissions for a general track and four special tracks: Innovative Applications, Robotics, Virtual Agents and Humans, and the Blue Sky Ideas track. The special tracks were chaired by leaders in their corresponding fields: Amal El Fallah Seghrouchni and Milind Tambe chaired the Innovative Applications track, Alessandro Farinelli and Gal Kaminka the Robotics track, Catholijn Jonker and Rui Prada the Virtual Agents and Humans track, and Victor Lesser the Blue Sky Ideas track. The special track chairs were responsible for appointing the Programme Committee (PC) members and the Senior Programme Committee members (SPC) for their tracks, and they made acceptance/rejection decisions for their tracks in consultation with Programme Chairs based on input provided by the track PC and SPC. Members of the PC and SPC of the special tracks also reviewed papers on topics of their expertise submitted to the general track.

Full paper submissions (8 pages plus bibliographic references) and Blue Sky Ideas paper submissions (4 pages plus references) were solicited for AAMAS 2015. Some of the full paper submissions were accepted as extended abstracts (2 pages). The papers were selected by means of a thorough review and discussion process, which included an opportunity for authors to respond to reviewer comments. All SPC members followed and contributed to the technical discussions on the papers they were overseeing.

AAMAS 2015 attracted a large number of high-quality submissions; the result of the reviewing process is summarised by the following table:

<i>Track</i>	<i>Reviewed</i>	<i>Accepted as full papers</i>		<i>Accepted as extended abstracts</i>	
Main Track Papers	520	127	24%	122	23%
Innovative Applications	47	15	32%	6	13%
Robotics	45	12	27%	9	20%
Virtual Agents and Humans	40	10	25%	10	25%
Blue Sky Ideas	18	3	17%	N/A	

Overall, out of 670 submissions, 167 (25%) were accepted as full papers and 147 (22%) were accepted as extended abstracts.

The acceptance rates by a paper's primary high-level keyword from the conference topics of interest are:

<i>Topics</i>	<i>Reviewed</i>	<i>Accepted as full papers</i>		<i>Accepted as extended abstracts</i>	
Agent Societies and Societal Issues	47	10	21%	11	23%
Agent Theories and Models	59	14	24%	14	24%
Agent-based Simulation	66	6	9%	17	26%
Agents and Mainstream Computing	12	2	17%	3	25%
Communication and Argumentation	22	5	23%	3	14%
Cooperation	50	13	26%	7	14%
Economic Paradigms	138	46	33%	32	23%
Engineering Agent-Based Systems	19	4	21%	1	5%
Innovative Applications	54	13	24%	7	13%
Knowledge Representation and Reasoning	36	7	19%	8	22%
Learning and Adaptation	51	14	27%	14	27%
Robotics	53	15	28%	11	21%
Verification and Validation	14	5	36%	4	29%
Virtual Agents and Humans	49	13	24%	15	31%

Full papers were presented orally in 15-minute slots; all extended abstracts and, optionally, full papers were presented as posters during the conference.

Out of the 670 submissions, 395 (59%) had a student as the primary author, 108 of these (27%) were accepted as full papers, and a further 91 (23%) were accepted as extended abstracts.

The proceedings also contain 30 Demonstration papers, 29 Doctoral Consortium papers, as well as abstracts of the invited talks and details of some of the awards given. The overall conference programme also had 26 workshops and 8 tutorials, although that material is not included in the proceedings.

We would like to thank the authors in our and closely-related communities for submitting a large number of top-quality papers, the PC and SPC members, additional reviewers, and the track chairs for their dedication in reviewing the submissions and for engaging in the technical discussions held during the reviewing process. We are indebted to Dave Shield for assisting us with ConfMaster throughout the process. We also thank Neil Yorke-Smith for arranging the conference proceedings and all the members of the local organising committee for their industrious work without which the conference would not have been possible.

Rafael H. Bordini and Edith Elkind
AAMAS 2015 Programme Chairs

Gerhard Weiss and Pinar Yolum
AAMAS 2015 General Chairs

Table of Contents

AAMAS 2015 Organizing Committee	xxvii
AAMAS 2015 Senior Programme Committee	xxviii
AAMAS 2015 Programme Committee	xxx
AAMAS 2015 Additional Reviewers	xxxvi
AAMAS 2015 Sponsors and Supporters	xxxviii
AAMAS 2015 Awards	xxxix

Book 1

Invited Talks

- **On the Full Organism Challenge: Or, Can We Computerize an Elephant?**..... 1
David Harel (*The Weizmann Institute of Science in Israel*)
- **Learning Submodular Functions with Applications to Multi-Agent Systems**..... 3
Maria Florina Balcan (*Carnegie Mellon University*)
- **Greta, an Interactive Expressive Embodied Conversational Agent**..... 5
Catherine Pelachaud (*CNRS-LTCI - TELECOM ParisTech*)

Session: B1 — Game Theory I

- **Hierarchical Abstraction, Distributed Equilibrium Computation, and Post-Processing, with Application to a Champion No-Limit Texas Hold'em Agent** 7
Noam Brown, Sam Ganzfried, Tuomas Sandholm (*Carnegie Mellon University*)
- **Decision-theoretic Clustering of Strategies** 17
Nolan Bard (*University of Alberta*), Deon Nicholas (*University of Waterloo*), Csaba Szepesvári, Michael Bowling (*University of Alberta*)
- **Online Monte Carlo Counterfactual Regret Minimization for Search in Imperfect Information Games**..... 27
Viliam Lisý (*Czech Technical University in Prague*), Marc Lanctot (*Maastricht University*), Michael Bowling (*University of Alberta*)
- **Endgame Solving in Large Imperfect-Information Games**..... 37
Sam Ganzfried, Tuomas Sandholm (*Carnegie Mellon University*)
- **Discretization of Continuous Action Spaces in Extensive-Form Games** 47
Christian Kroer, Tuomas Sandholm (*Carnegie Mellon University*)
- **Welfare Effects of Market Making in Continuous Double Auctions** 57
Elaine Wah, Michael P. Wellman (*University of Michigan*)

Session: B2 — Social Choice I

- **Large-Scale Election Campaigns: Combinatorial Shift Bribery** 67
Robert Bredereck (*TU Berlin*), Piotr Faliszewski (*AGH University*), Rolf Niedermeier, Nimrod Talmon (*TU Berlin*)
- **Manipulation with Bounded Single-Peaked Width: A Parameterized Study** 77
Yongjie Yang (*Universität des Saarlandes*)
- **Kernelization Complexity of Possible Winner and Coalitional Manipulation Problems in Voting**..... 87
Palash Dey, Neeldhara Misra, Y. Narahari (*Indian Institute of Science*)

• On the Parameterized Complexity of Minimax Approval Voting	97
Neeldhara Misra, Arshed Nabeel (<i>Indian Institute of Science</i>), Harman Singh (<i>BITS Pilani-K.K. Birla Goa Campus</i>)	
• Computational Aspects of Multi-Winner Approval Voting	107
Haris Aziz, Serge Gaspers (<i>NICTA & UNSW</i>), Joachim Gudmundsson (<i>NICTA & University of Sydney</i>), Simon Mackenzie, Nicholas Mattei, Toby Walsh (<i>NICTA & UNSW</i>)	
• Complexity of the Winner Determination Problem in Judgment Aggregation: Kemeny, Slater, Tideman, Young	117
Ulle Endriss (<i>University of Amsterdam</i>), Ronald de Haan (<i>Technische Universität Wien</i>)	
• Parameterized Complexity Results for Agenda Safety in Judgment Aggregation	127
Ulle Endriss (<i>University of Amsterdam</i>), Ronald de Haan, Stefan Szeider (<i>Technische Universität Wien</i>)	

Session: B3 — Learning I

• Adaptive Budgeted Bandit Algorithms for Trust Development in a Supply-Chain	137
Sandip Sen, Anton Ridgway, Michael Ripley (<i>The University of Tulsa</i>)	
• Improving the Performance of Mobile Phone Crowdsourcing Applications	145
Erfan Davami, Gita Sukthankar (<i>University of Central Florida</i>)	
• Selecting Robust Strategies in RTS Games via Concurrent Plan Augmentation	155
Abdelrahman Elogeel (<i>University of Washington, Tacoma</i>), Andrey Kolobov (<i>Microsoft Corporation</i>), Matthew Alden, Ankur Teredesai (<i>University of Washington, Tacoma</i>)	
• CFQI: Fitted Q-Iteration with Complex Returns	163
Robert Wright, Xingye Qiao, Lei Yu (<i>Binghamton University</i>), Steven Loscalzo (<i>Air Force Research Laboratory</i>)	
• Counterfactual Exploration for Improving Multiagent Learning	171
Mitchell Colby, Sepideh Kharaghani (<i>Oregon State University</i>), Chris HolmesParker (<i>Parflux LLC</i>), Kagan Tumer (<i>Oregon State University</i>)	
• Policy Transfer Using Reward Shaping	181
Tim Brys, Anna Harutyunyan (<i>Vrije Universiteit Brussel</i>), Matthew E. Taylor (<i>Washington State University</i>), Ann Nowé (<i>Vrije Universiteit Brussel</i>)	

Session: B4 — Logic I

• Verifying Multi-Agent Systems by Model Checking Three-Valued Abstractions	189
Alessio Lomuscio, Jakub Michaliszyn (<i>Imperial College London</i>)	
• Parameterised Verification of Autonomous Mobile-Agents in Static But Unknown Environments	199
Sasha Rubin (<i>Università degli Studi di Napoli “Federico II”</i>)	
• Decentralized Bisimulation for Multiagent Systems	209
Lei Song, Yuan Feng (<i>University of Technology Sydney</i>), Lijun Zhang (<i>Chinese Academy of Sciences</i>)	
• Budget-Constrained Knowledge in Multiagent Systems	219
Pavel Naumov (<i>McDaniel College</i>), Jia Tao (<i>Bryn Mawr College</i>)	
• Module Checking of Strategic Ability	227
Wojciech Jamroga (<i>Polish Academy of Sciences</i>), Aniello Murano (<i>Università degli Studi di Napoli “Federico II”</i>)	
• On the Formal Verification of Diffusion Phenomena in Open Dynamic Agent Networks	237
Francesco Belardinelli (<i>Université d'Evry</i>), Davide Grossi (<i>University of Liverpool</i>)	

Session: B5 — Bio-inspired Approaches

• Determining Placements of Influencing Agents in a Flock	247
Katie Genter, Shun Zhang, Peter Stone (<i>University of Texas at Austin</i>)	
• Particle Field Optimization: A New Paradigm for Swarm Intelligence	257
Nathan Bell, B. John Oommen (<i>Carleton University</i>)	

- **Bio-Inspired Practicalities: Collective Behaviour Using Passive Neighbourhood Sensing**267
Mansoor Shaukat, Mandar Chitre (*National University of Singapore*)
- **Firefly-Inspired Synchronization in Swarms of Mobile Agents**279
Fernando Perez-Diaz (*The University of Sheffield*), Ruediger Zillmer (*Unilever R&D*),
Roderich Groß (*The University of Sheffield*)
- **Swarm Robot Foraging with Wireless Sensor Motes**287
Katherine Russell, Michael Schader, Kevin Andrea, Sean Luke (*George Mason University*)
- **Cooperative Coevolution of Partially Heterogeneous Multiagent Systems**297
Jorge Gomes (*BioMachines Lab & Universidade de Lisboa*), Pedro Mariano (*Universidade de Lisboa*),
Anders Lyhne Christensen (*BioMachines Lab & Universidade de Lisboa*)

Session: C1 — Game Theory II

- **The Power of Verification for Greedy Mechanism Design**307
Dimitris Fotakis (*National Technical University of Athens*), Piotr Krysta (*University of Liverpool*),
Carmine Ventre (*Teesside University*)
- **Computational Bundling for Auctions**317
Christian Kroer, Tuomas Sandholm (*Carnegie Mellon University*)
- **Mechanism Design for Daily Deals**327
Binyi Chen (*University of California, Santa Barbara*), Tao Qin, Tie-Yan Liu (*Microsoft Research*)
- **Selling Tomorrow's Bargains Today**337
Melika Abolhassani, Hossein Esfandiari, MohammadTaghi Hajiaghayi, Hamid Mahini,
David Malec, Aravind Srinivasan (*University of Maryland*)
- **Social Decision with Minimal Efficiency Loss: An Automated Mechanism Design Approach**347
Mingyu Guo, Hong Shen (*University of Adelaide*), Taiki Todo, Yuko Sakurai,
Makoto Yokoo (*Kyushu University*)
- **Complexity of Mechanism Design with Signaling Costs**357
Andrew Kephart, Vincent Conitzer (*Duke University*)

Session: C2 — Cooperation

- **To Ask, Sense, or Share: Ad Hoc Information Gathering**367
Adam Eck, Leen-Kiat Soh (*University of Nebraska-Lincoln*)
- **A Mechanism for Smoothly Handling Human Interrupts in Team Oriented Plans**377
Alessandro Farinelli, Nicolo' Marchi, Masoume M. Raeissi (*University of Verona*),
Nathan Brooks, Paul Scerri (*Carnegie Mellon University*)
- **Bounty Hunters and Multiagent Task Allocation**387
Drew Wicke, David Freelan, Sean Luke (*George Mason University*)
- **How to Form a Task-Oriented Robust Team**395
Tenda Okimoto (*Kobe University*), Nicolas Schwind (*Transdisciplinary Research Integration Center*),
Maxime Clement, Tony Ribeiro (*The Graduate University for Advanced Studies*),
Katsumi Inoue (*National Institute of Informatics*), Pierre Marquis (*CRIL - CNRS Université d'Artois*)
- **Dynamic Theoretical Analysis of the Distributed Stochastic and Distributed Breakout Algorithms**405
Anton Ridgway, Roger Mailler (*University of Tulsa*)
- **Efficient Inter-Team Task Allocation in RoboCup Rescue**413
Marc Pujol-Gonzalez, Jesus Cerquides (*IIIA-CSIC*), Alessandro Farinelli (*University of Verona*),
Pedro Meseguer, Juan A. Rodriguez-Aguilar (*IIIA-CSIC*)

Session: C3 — Agent Societies

- **A Semantic Framework for Socially Adaptive Agents: Towards Strong Norm Compliance** 423
M. Birna van Riemsdijk (*Delft University of Technology*), Louise Dennis,
Michael Fisher (*University of Liverpool*), Koen V. Hindriks (*Delft University of Technology*)
- **Synthesising Liberal Normative Systems** 433
Javier Morales (*Universitat de Barcelona & Research Institute*),
Maite Lopez-Sanchez (*Universitat de Barcelona & Artificial Intelligence Research Institute (IIIA-CSIC)*),
Juan A. Rodriguez-Aguilar (*Artificial Intelligence Research Institute (IIIA-CSIC)*),
Michael Wooldridge (*University of Oxford*), Wamberto Vasconcelos (*University of Aberdeen*)
- **Practical Run-Time Norm Enforcement with Bounded Lookahead** 443
Natasha Alechina, Nils Bulling (*Delft University of Technology*),
Mehdi Dastani (*Universiteit Utrecht*), Brian Logan (*University of Nottingham*)
- **Generalized Commitment Alignment** 453
Amit K. Chopra (*Lancaster University*), Munindar P. Singh (*North Carolina State University*)
- **Monitoring Hierarchical Agent-based Simulation Traces** 463
Benjamin Herd, Simon Miles, Peter McBurney, Michael Luck (*King's College London*)
- **A Framework for Institutions Governing Institutions** 473
Thomas C. King (*Delft University of Technology*), Tingting Li (*Imperial College London*),
Marina De Vos (*University of Bath*), Virginia Dignum, Catholijn M. Jonker (*Delft University of Technology*),
Julian Padget (*University of Bath*), M. Birna van Riemsdijk (*Delft University of Technology*)

Session: C4 — Applications I

- **DIRECT: A Scalable Approach for Route Guidance in Selfish Orienteering Problems** 483
Pradeep Varakantham, Hala Mostafa, Na Fu, Hoong Chuin Lau (*Singapore Management University*)
- **Coordinating Measurements for Air Pollution Monitoring in Participatory Sensing Settings** 493
Alexandros Zenonos, Sebastian Stein, Nicholas R. Jennings (*University of Southampton*)
- **Factored MDPS for Optimal Prosumer Decision-Making** 503
Angelos Angelidakis, Georgios Chalkiadakis (*Technical University of Crete*)
- **Data-Driven Agent-Based Modeling, with Application to Rooftop Solar Adoption** 513
Haifeng Zhang, Yevgeniy Vorobeychik (*Vanderbilt University*),
Joshua Letchford, Kiran Lakkaraju (*Sandia National Laboratories*)
- **Optimizing Efficiency of Taxi Systems: Scaling-up and Handling Arbitrary Constraints** 523
Jiarui Gan (*University of Chinese Academy of Sciences*),
Bo An, Chunyan Miao (*Nanyang Technological University*)
- **HAC-ER: A Disaster Response System Based on Human-Agent Collectives** 533
Sarvapali D. Ramchurn, Trung Dong Huynh, Yuki Ikuno, Jack Flann, Feng Wu,
Luc Moreau, Nicholas R. Jennings (*University of Southampton*),
Joel E. Fischer, Wenchao Jiang, Tom Rodden (*University of Nottingham*),
Edwin Simpson, Steven Reece, Stephen J. Roberts (*University of Oxford*)

Session: C5 -- Virtual Agents and Humans I

- **Adaptive Advice in Automobile Climate Control Systems** 543
Ariel Rosenfeld (*Bar-Ilan University*), Amos Azaria (*Carnegie Mellon University*),
Sarit Kraus (*Bar-Ilan University*), Claudia V. Goldman,
Omer Tsimhoni (*General Motors Advanced Technical Center*)
- **Are Aggressive Agents as Scary as Aggressive Humans?** 553
Romy Blankendaal, Tibor Bosse (*VU University Amsterdam*),
Charlotte Gerritsen (*Netherlands Institute for the Study of Crime and Law Enforcement*),
Tessa de Jong, Jeroen de Man (*VU University Amsterdam*)

- **The Fallacy of Endogenous Discounting of Trust Recommendations**563
Tim Muller, Yang Liu, Jie Zhang (*Nanyang Technological University*)
- **Composing Social Interactions via Social Games**573
Daniel G. Shapiro, Karen Tanenbaum (*University of California at Santa Cruz*),
Josh McCoy (*American University*), Larry LeBron, Craig Reynolds, Andrew Stern,
Michael Mateas (*University of California at Santa Cruz*), Bill Ferguson, David Diller ,
Kerry Moffitt, Will Coon, Bruce Roberts (*Raytheon-BBN Technologies*)
- **Human Behavior Models for Virtual Agents in Repeated Decision Making under Uncertainty**581
Ming Yin (*Harvard University*), Yu-An Sun (*PARC*)
- **DJ-MC: A Reinforcement-Learning Agent for Music Playlist Recommendation**591
Elad Liebman, Maytal Saar-Tsechansky, Peter Stone (*The University of Texas at Austin*)

Book 2

Session: G1 — Game Theory III

- **Approximately Strategy-proof Mechanisms for (Constrained) Facility Location**605
Xin Sui, Craig Boutilier (*University of Toronto*)
- **Facility Location Games with Dual Preference**615
Shaokun Zou, Minming Li (*City University of Hong Kong*)
- **The Power of Swap Deals in Distributed Resource Allocation**625
Anastasia Damamme, Aurélie Beynier (*Univ Paris 06*),
Yann Chevaleyre (*Université Paris 13*), Nicolas Maudet (*Univ Paris 06*)
- **Strategic Free Information Disclosure for Search-Based Information Platforms**635
Shani Alkoby, David Sarne (*Bar-Ilan University*),
Sanmay Das (*Washington University in St. Louis*)
- **Information Disclosure as a Means to Security**645
Zinovi Rabinovich (*Mobileye Vision Technologies Ltd.*), Albert Xin Jiang (*Trinity University*),
Manish Jain (*Armorway*), Haifeng Xu (*University of Southern California*)
- **Electric Boolean Games: Redistribution Schemes for Resource-Bounded Agents**655
Paul Harrenstein (*University of Oxford*), Paolo Turrini (*Imperial College London*),
Michael Wooldridge (*University of Oxford*)

Session: G2 — Social Choice II

- **A Study of Human Behavior in Online Voting**665
Maor Tal (*Ben-Gurion University*), Reshef Meir (*Harvard University*),
Ya'akov (Kobi) Gal (*Ben-Gurion University*)
- **Aggregating Partial Rankings with Applications to Peer Grading in Massive Online Open Courses**675
Ioannis Caragiannis, George A. Krimpas, Alexandros A. Voudouris (*University of Patras & CTI Diophantus*)
- **Computing Manipulations of Ranking Systems**685
Ethan Gertler, Erika Mackin, Malik Magdon-Ismaïl, Lirong Xia, Yuan Yi (*Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute*)
- **Every Team Deserves a Second Chance: Identifying When Things Go Wrong**695
Vaishnavh Nagarajan (*Indian Institute of Technology Madras*),
Leandro Soriano Marcolino, Milind Tambe (*University of Southern California*)
- **Adapting the Social Network to Affect Elections**705
Sigal Sina (*Bar Ilan University*), Noam Hazon (*Ariel University*),
Avinatan Hassidim, Sarit Kraus (*Bar Ilan University*)
- **Multiple Referenda and Multiwinner Elections Using Hamming Distances: Complexity and Manipulability**715
Georgios Amanatidis (*Athens University of Economics and Business*),
Nathanaël Barrot, Jérôme Lang (*Université Paris Dauphine*), Evangelos Markakis (*Athens University of Economics and Business*),
Bernard Ries (*Université Paris Dauphine*)

Session: G3 — Learning II

- **Learning Inter-Task Transferability in the Absence of Target Task Samples** 725
Jivko Sinapov, Sanmit Narvekar, Matteo Leonetti, Peter Stone (*The University of Texas at Austin*)
- **R-HybrID: Evolution of Agent Controllers with a Hybrisation of Indirect and Direct Encodings** 735
Fernando Silva (*BioMachines & BioISI*), Luís Correia (*BioISI*), Anders Lyhne Christensen (*BioMachines*)
- **Learning by Observation Using Qualitative Spatial Relations** 745
Jay Young, Nick Hawes (*The University of Birmingham*)
- **Learning in Multi-Agent Systems with Sparse Interactions by Knowledge Transfer and Game Abstraction** 753
Yujing Hu, Yang Gao (*Nanjing University*), Bo An (*Nanyang Technological University*)
- **Knowledge Revision for Reinforcement Learning with Abstract MDPs** 763
Kyriakos Efthymiadis, Daniel Kudenko (*University of York*)
- **Monte Carlo Hierarchical Model Learning** 771
Jacob Menashe, Peter Stone (*The University of Texas at Austin*)

Session: G4 — Applications II

- **Supporting Reasoning with Different Types of Evidence in Intelligence Analysis** 781
Alice Toniolo, Timothy J. Norman, Anthony Etuk, Federico Cerutti (*University of Aberdeen*),
Robin Wentao Ouyang, Mani Srivastava (*University of California, Los Angeles*),
Nir Oren (*University of Aberdeen*), Timothy Dropps, John A. Allen (*Honeywell, USA*),
Paul Sullivan (*Intelpoint Incorporated*)
- **Using Information Theory to Improve the Robustness of Trust Systems** 791
Dongxia Wang, Tim Muller, Athirai A. Irissappane, Jie Zhang, Yang Liu (*Nanyang Technological University*)
- **Learning Behavior Patterns from Video: A Data-Driven Framework for Agent-based Crowd Modeling** 801
Jinghui Zhong, Wentong Cai (*Nanyang Technological University*),
Linbo Luo (*Xidian University*), Haiyan Yin (*Nanyang Technological University*)
- **Signaled Queueing** 811
Laura Brink (*Yale University*), Robert Shorten, Jia Yuan Yu (*IBM Ireland Research Lab*)
- **Modeling the Management of Water Resources Systems Using Multi-Objective DCOPs** 821
Francesco Amigoni, Andrea Castelletti, Matteo Giuliani (*Politecnico di Milano*)
- **A Multi-Agent Platform for Automating the Collection of Patient-Provided Clinical Feedback** 831
Zina M. Ibrahim (*King's College London*), Lorena Fernández de la Cruz (*Karolinska Institutet*),
Argyris Stringaris, Robert Goodman, Michael Luck, Richard J.B. Dobson (*King's College London*)

Session: G5 — Robotics I

- **Real-time Opinion Aggregation Methods for Crowd Robotics** 841
Elliot Salisbury, Sebastian Stein, Sarvapali Ramchurn (*University of Southampton*)
- **Teaching Robots Parametrized Executable Plans Through Spoken Interaction** 851
Guglielmo Gemignani (*Sapienza University of Rome*), Emanuele Bastianelli (*University of Rome Tor Vergata*),
Daniele Nardi (*Sapienza University of Rome*)
- **Frontier-Based RTDP: A New Approach to Solving the Robotic Adversarial Coverage Problem** 861
Roi Yehoshua, Noa Agmon, Gal A. Kaminka (*Bar Ilan University*)
- **Pervasive ‘Calm’ Perception for Autonomous Robotic Agents** 871
Thiemo Wiedemeyer, Ferenc Bálint-Benczédi, Michael Beetz (*Universität Bremen*)
- **Effective Approximations for Multi-Robot Coordination in Spatially Distributed Tasks** ... 881
Daniel Claes (*University of Liverpool*), Philipp Robbel (*Massachusetts Institute of Technology*),
Frans A. Oliehoek (*University of Amsterdam & University of Liverpool*),
Karl Tuyls (*University of Liverpool*), Daniel Hennes (*European Space Agency*),
Wiebe van der Hoek (*University of Liverpool*)

- **Adversarial Modeling in the Robotic Coverage Problem**891
Roi Yehoshua, Noa Agmon (*Bar Ilan University*)

Session: H1 — Game Theory IV

- **Waste Makes Haste: Bounded Time Protocols for Envy-Free Cake Cutting with Free Disposal**.....901
Erel Segal-Halevi, Avinatan Hassidim, Yonatan Aumann (*Bar Ilan University*)
- **Fairness and False-Name Manipulations in Randomized Cake Cutting**.....909
Shunsuke Tsuruta, Masaaki Oka, Taiki Todo, Yuko Sakurai, Makoto Yokoo (*Kyushu University*)
- **Bitcoin Mining Pools: A Cooperative Game Theoretic Analysis**.....919
Yoad Lewenberg (*The Hebrew University of Jerusalem*), Yoram Bachrach (*Microsoft Research, Cambridge*),
Yonatan Sompolinsky, Aviv Zohar, Jeffrey S. Rosenschein (*The Hebrew University of Jerusalem*)
- **On Sex, Evolution, and the Multiplicative Weights Update Algorithm**.....929
Reshef Meir, David Parkes (*Harvard University*)
- **On the Susceptibility of the Deferred Acceptance Algorithm**.....939
Haris Aziz (*NICTA & UNSW*), Hans Georg Seedig, Jana Karina von Wedel (*TU München*)
- **Dynamic Influence Maximization Under Increasing Returns to Scale**949
Haifeng Zhang (*Vanderbilt University*), Ariel D. Procaccia (*Carnegie Mellon University*),
Yevgeniy Vorobeychik (*Vanderbilt University*)

Session: H2 — Logic II

- **A Dialogue Game for Recommendation with Adaptive Preference Models**959
Christophe Labreuche (*Thales Research & Technology*), Nicolas Maudet (*Univ Paris 06*),
Wassila Ouerdane (*LGI- CentraleSupélec*), Simon Parsons (*University of Liverpool*)
- **Reasoning with PCP-nets in a Multi-Agent Context**969
Cristina Cornelio, Umberto Grandi (*University of Padova*),
Judy Goldsmith (*University of Kentucky*), Nicholas Mattei (*NICTA & University of New South Wales*),
Francesca Rossi (*University of Padova*), K. Brent Venable (*Tulane University & IHMC*)
- **A Logic for Collective Choice**979
Guifei Jiang (*University of Western Sydney & University of Toulouse*),
Dongmo Zhang (*University of Western Sydney*), Laurent Perrussel (*University of Toulouse 1*),
Heng Zhang (*University of Western Sydney*)
- **Propositional Opinion Diffusion**.....989
Umberto Grandi, Emiliano Lorini, Laurent Perrussel (*University of Toulouse*)
- **Belief Merging versus Judgment Aggregation**.....999
Patricia Everaere (*Université de Lille 1*), Sébastien Konieczny, Pierre Marquis (*Université d'Artois*)
- **A Syntactic Proof of Arrow's Theorem in a Modal Logic of Social Choice Functions**.....1009
Giovanni Ciná, Ulle Endriss (*University of Amsterdam*)

Session: H3 — Engineering Agent-Based Systems

- **Global Protocols as First Class Entities for Self-Adaptive Agents**1019
Davide Ancona, Daniela Briola, Angelo Ferrando, Viviana Mascardi (*University of Genova*)
- **A Self-Organizing Virtual Environment for Agent-Based Simulations**.....1031
Mohammad Al-Zinati, Rym Wenkstern (*University of Texas at Dallas*)
- **An Approach to Quantify Workload in a System of Agents**1041
Richard Stocker, Neha Rungta (*NASA Ames Research Center*),
Eric Mercer (*Brigham Young University*), Franco Raimondi (*Middlesex University*),
Jon Holbrook, Colleen Cardoza (*San Jose State University*),
Michael Goodrich (*Brigham Young University*)
- **Agent Oriented Modelling of Tactical Decision Making**.....1051
Rick Evertsz, John Thangarajah, Nitin Yadav (*RMIT University*), Thanh Chi Ly (*DSTO*)

- **Metrics for Evaluating Modularity and Extensibility in HMAS Systems** 1061
Massimo Cossentino, Carmelo Lodato, Salvatore Lopes,
Patrizia Ribino (*National Research Council of Italy*), Valeria Seidita (*University of Palermo*)
- **Early Detection of Design Faults Relative to Requirement Specifications in Agent-Based Models** 1071
Yoosef Abushark, John Thangarajah (*MIT University*), Tim Miller (*University of Melbourne*),
James Harland (*MIT University*), Michael Winikoff (*University of Otago*)

Session: H4 — Applications III

- **Incentive Schemes for Participatory Sensing** 1081
Goran Radanovic, Boi Faltings (*Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne*)
- **Truthful Interval Cover Mechanisms for Crowdsourcing Applications** 1091
Pankaj Dayama (*IBM Research, India*), Balakrishnan Narayanaswamy (*University of California, San Diego*),
Dinesh Garg (*IBM Research, India*), Y. Narahari (*Indian Institute of Science*)
- **A Truthful Budget Feasible Multi-Armed Bandit Mechanism for Crowdsourcing Time Critical Tasks** 1101
Arpita Biswas (*Xerox Research Centre*), Shweta Jain (*Indian Institute of Science*),
Debmalya Mandal (*Harvard University*), Y. Narahari (*Indian Institute of Science*)
- **TAC AdX'14: Autonomous Agents for Realtime Ad Exchange** 1111
Bingyang Tao, Fan Wu, Guihai Chen (*Shanghai Jiao Tong University*)
- **Predicting Bundles of Spatial Locations From Learning Revealed Preference Data** 1121
Truc Viet Le (*Singapore Management University*), Siyuan Liu (*Carnegie Mellon University*),
Hoong Chuin Lau (*Singapore Management University*), Ramayya Krishnan (*Carnegie Mellon University*)
- **A Multiagent Approach to Variable-Rate Electric Vehicle Charging Coordination** 1131
Konstantina Valogianni, Wolfgang Ketter (*Erasmus University Rotterdam*),
John Collins (*University of Minnesota*)

Session: H5 — Planning I

- **Optimisation and Relaxation for Multiagent Planning in the Situation Calculus** 1141
Toby O. Davies, Adrian R. Pearce, Peter J. Stuckey (*National ICT Australia & The University of Melbourne*),
Harald Søndergaard (*The University of Melbourne*)
- **Capability Models and Their Applications in Planning** 1151
Yu Zhang, Sarath Sreedharan, Subbarao Kambhampati (*Arizona State University*)
- **Iterative Online Planning in Multiagent Settings with Limited Model Spaces and PAC Guarantees** 1161
Yingke Chen, Prashant Doshi (*University of Georgia*), Yifeng Zeng (*Teesside University*)
- **Managing Dynamic Multi-Agent Simple Temporal Network** 1171
Guillaume Casanova, Cédric Pralet, Charles Lesire (*ONERA—The French Aerospace Lab*)
- **The Dependence of Effective Planning Horizon on Model Accuracy** 1181
Nan Jiang, Alex Kulesza, Satinder Singh, Richard Lewis (*University of Michigan*)
- **Planning for Crowdsourcing Hierarchical Tasks** 1191
Ece Kamar, Eric Horvitz (*Microsoft Research*)

Session: I1 — Blue Sky Ideas

- **Creating Socially Adaptive Electronic Partners: Interaction, Reasoning and Ethical Challenges** 1201
M. Birna van Riemsdijk, Catholijn M. Jonker (*Delft University of Technology*),
Victor Lesser (*UMass, Amherst*)
- **Cybersecurity as an Application Domain for Multiagent Systems** 1207
Munindar P. Singh (*North Carolina State University*)
- **Crowdsourcing Societal Tradeoffs** 1213
Vince Conitzer, Markus Brill, Rupert Freeman (*Duke University*)

Session: I2 — Hedonic Games

- **Fractional Hedonic Games: Individual and Group Stability** 1219
Florian Brandl, Felix Brandt, Martin Strobel (*TU München*)
- **Representing and Solving Hedonic Games with Ordinal Preferences and Thresholds** 1229
Jérôme Lang (*Université Paris-Dauphine*), Anja Rey, Jörg Rothe, Hilmar Schadrack, Lena Schend (*Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf*)
- **On the Price of Stability of Fractional Hedonic Games** 1239
Vittorio Bilò (*University of Salento*), Angelo Fanelli (*CNRS*), Michele Flammini, Gianpiero Monaco (*University of L'Aquila*), Luca Moscardelli (*University of Chieti-Pescara*)

Session: I3 — Planning II

- **Incremental Policy Iteration with Guaranteed Escape from Local Optima in POMDP Planning** 1249
Marek Grzes, Pascal Poupart (*University of Waterloo*)
- **Predictive State Representations with State Space Partitioning** 1259
Yunlong Liu, Yun Tang (*Xiamen University*), Yifeng Zeng (*Xiamen University & Teesside University*)
- **Effective Influence Abstractions for Organizational Design** 1267
Jason Sleight, Edmund H. Durfee (*University of Michigan*)

Session: I4 — Applications IV

- **Near-Optimal Decentralized Power Supply Restoration in Smart Grids** 1275
Pritee Agrawal, Akshat Kumar, Pradeep Varakantham (*Singapore Management University*)
- **Designing a Marketplace for the Trading and Distribution of Energy in the Smart Grid** 1285
Jesus Cerquides (*IIIA-CSIC*), Gauthier Picard (*Institut Henri Fayol, Mines Saint-Etienne*), Juan A. Rodriguez-Aguilar (*CSIC*)
- **AdaHeat: A General Adaptive Intelligent Agent for Domestic Heating Control** 1295
Athanasios Aris Panagopoulos, Muddasser Alam, Alex Rogers, Nicholas R. Jennings (*University of Southampton, UK*)

Session: I5 — Robotics II

- **Efficient Decision-Making in a Self-Organizing Robot Swarm: On the Speed versus Accuracy Trade-Off** 1305
Gabriele Valentini (*Université Libre de Bruxelles*), Heiko Hamann (*University of Paderborn*), Marco Dorigo (*Université Libre de Bruxelles*)
- **Pipelined Consensus for Global State Estimation in Multi-Agent Systems** 1315
Golnaz Habibi, Zachary Kingston (*Rice University*), Zijian Wang, Mac Schwager (*Boston University*), James McLurkin (*Rice University*)
- **Continuous Foraging and Information Gathering in a Multi-Agent Team** 1325
Somchaya Liemhetcharat, Rui Yan, Keng Peng Tee (*Agency for Science, Technology and Research*)

Book 3

Session: L1 — Game Theory V

- **Robust Strategy Against Unknown Risk-Averse Attackers in Security Games** 1341
Yundi Qian (*University of Southern California*), William Haskell (*National University of Singapore*), Milind Tambe (*University of Southern California*)
- **Keeping Pace with Criminals: Designing Patrol Allocation Against Adaptive Opportunistic Criminals** 1351
Chao Zhang, Arunesh Sinha, Milind Tambe (*University of Southern California*)
- **It Pays to Pay in Bi-Matrix Games – A Rational Explanation for Bribery** 1361
Anshul Gupta, Sven Schewe (*University of Liverpool*)

- **Two-Timescale Algorithms for Learning Nash Equilibria in General-Sum Stochastic Games** 1371
H. L. Prasad (*Streamoid Technologies, Inc.*), Prashanth L.A., Shalabh Bhatnagar (*Indian Institute of Science*)
- **“A Game of Thrones”: When Human Behavior Models Compete in Repeated Stackelberg Security Games**..... 1381
Debarun Kar, Fei Fang (*University of Southern California*),
Francesco Maria Delle Fave (*Disney Research, Boston*),
Nicole Sintov, Milind Tambe (*University of Southern California*)
- **Stackelberg Games for Vaccine Design** 1391
Swetasudha Panda, Yevgeniy Vorobeychik (*Vanderbilt University*)

Session: L2 — Social Choice III

- **General Tiebreaking Schemes for Computational Social Choice** 1401
Rupert Freeman, Markus Brill, Vincent Conitzer (*Duke University*)
- **Incentives for Participation and Abstention in Probabilistic Social Choice**..... 1411
Florian Brandl, Felix Brandt, Johannes Hofbauer (*TU München*)
- **Sample Complexity for Winner Prediction in Elections**..... 1421
Palash Dey, Arnab Bhattacharyya (*Indian Institute of Science*)
- **How Credible is the Prediction of a Party-Based Election?** 1431
Jiong Guo (*Shandong University*), Yash Raj Shrestha (*ETH Zürich*),
Yongjie Yang (*Universität des Saarlandes*)
- **Detecting Possible Manipulators in Elections** 1441
Palash Dey, Neeldhara Misra, Y. Narahari (*Indian Institute of Science*)
- **Manipulating the Probabilistic Serial Rule**..... 1451
Haris Aziz, Serge Gaspers, Simon Mackenzie, Nicholas Mattei (*NICTA & UNSW*),
Nina Narodytska (*Carnegie Mellon University*), Toby Walsh (*NICTA & UNSW*)

Session: L3 — Logic III

- **Efficient Reasoning with Consistent Proper Epistemic Knowledge Bases**..... 1461
Christian Muise, Tim Miller, Paolo Felli, Adrian R. Pearce, Liz Sonenberg (*University of Melbourne*)
- **Arbitrary Public Announcement Logic with Mental Programs** 1471
Tristan Charrier, François Schwarzentruber (*ENS Rennes*)
- **Neuro-Symbolic Agents: Boltzmann Machines and Probabilistic Abstract Argumentation with Sub-Arguments** 1481
Regis Riveret, Jeremy Pitt (*Imperial College London*), Dimitrios Korkinof (*Cortexica Vision Systems*),
Moez Draief (*Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd.*)
- **Agreeing to Agree: Reaching Unanimity via Preference Dynamics Based on Reliable Agents**..... 1491
Sujata Ghosh (*Indian Statistical Institute*), Fernando R. Velázquez-Quesada (*Universidad de Sevilla*)
- **Analysis Problems for Graphical Dynamical Systems: A Unified Approach Through Graph Predicates** 1501
Daniel J. Rosenkrantz (*University at Albany — State University of New York*),
Madhav V. Marathe (*Virginia Tech*), Harry B. Hunt III, S. S. Ravi,
Richard E. Stearns (*University at Albany — State University of New York*)
- **Argumentation-based Ranking Logics** 1511
Leila Amgoud, Jonathan Ben-Naim (*IRIT – CNRS*)

Session: L4 — Virtual Agents and Humans II

- **Modeling Students Self-Studies Behaviors** 1521
Pedro Mota (*Instituto Superior Técnico & Carnegie Mellon University*),
Francisco Melo, Luísa Coheur (*Universidade de Lisboa*)

- **Beyond Traits: Social Context Based Personality Model** 1529
Jaroslaw Kochanowicz, Ah-Hwee Tan, Daniel Thalmann (*Nanyang Technological University*)
- **Incorporating Global and Local Knowledge in Intentional Narrative Planning** 1539
Jonathan Teutenberg (*Independent*), Julie Porteous (*Teesside University*)
- **Automated Extension of Narrative Planning Domains with Antonymic Operators** 1547
Julie Porteous, Alan Lindsay, Jonathon Read, Mark Truran, Marc Cavazza (*Teesside University*)
- **Semi-feature Level Fusion for Bimodal Affect Regression Based on Facial and Bodily Expressions** 1557
Yang Zhang, Li Zhang (*Northumbria University*)
- **An Effective Conversation Tactic for Creating Value over Repeated Negotiations** 1567
Johnathan Mell, Gale Lucas, Jonathan Gratch (*Institute for Creative Technologies*)

Session: L5 — Robotics III

- **Adaptive Learning for Multi-Agent Navigation** 1577
Julio Godoy, Ioannis Karamouzas, Stephen J. Guy, Maria Gini (*University of Minnesota*)
- **Detecting and Correcting Model Anomalies in Subspaces of Robot Planning Domains** 1587
Juan Pablo Mendoza, Manuela Veloso, Reid Simmons (*Carnegie Mellon University*)
- **Solving Infrastructure Monitoring Problems with Multiple Heterogeneous Unmanned Aerial Vehicles** 1597
Jakub Ondráček, Ondřej Vaněk, Michal Pěchouček (*Czech Technical University*)
- **Observation Modelling for Vision-Based Target Search by Unmanned Aerial Vehicles** 1607
W. T. Luke Teacy (*University of Southampton*), Simon J. Julier, Renzo De Nardi (*University College London*), Alex Rogers, Nicholas R. Jennings (*University of Southampton*)
- **Sliding Autonomy for UAV Path-Planning: Adding New Dimensions to Autonomy Management** 1615
Lanny Lin (*Vivint Inc.*), Michael A. Goodrich (*Brigham Young University*)
- **Leading the Way: An Efficient Multi-Robot Guidance System** 1625
Piyush Khandelwal (*University of Texas at Austin*), Samuel Barrett (*Kiva Systems*), Peter Stone (*University of Texas at Austin*)

Poster Session / Extended Abstracts (Part 1)

- **Multi-Objective Multiagent Credit Assignment in NSGA-II Using Difference Evaluations** 1635
Logan Yliniemi (*Oregon State University*), Drew Wilson (*Austin Peay State University*), Kagan Tumer (*Oregon State University*)
- **Towards Agent-Based Simulation of Maritime Customs** 1637
F. Jordan Srouf (*Lebanese American University*), Neil Yorke-Smith (*American University of Beirut*)
- **Ex post Efficiency of Random Assignments** 1639
Haris Aziz, Simon Mackenzie (*NICTA & UNSW*), Lirong Xia (*Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute*), Chun Ye (*Columbia University*)
- **Multi-Scale Reward Shaping via an Off-Policy Ensemble** 1641
Anna Harutyunyan, Tim Brys, Peter Vrancx, Ann Nowé (*Vrije Universiteit Brussel*)
- **Towards Consistency-Based Reliability Assessment** 1643
Laurence Cholvy (*ONERA*), Laurent Perrussel (*Université Toulouse 1 Capitole*), William Raynaud (*ONERA, France*), Jean-Marc Thévenin (*Université Toulouse 1 Capitole*)
- **Estimating the Progress of Maintenance Goals** 1645
John Thangarajah, James Harland (*RMIT University*), Neil Yorke-Smith (*American University of Beirut*)
- **Heuristic Collective Learning for Efficient and Robust Emergence of Social Norms** 1647
Jianye Hao, Jun Sun (*Singapore University of Technology and Design*), Dongping Huang, Yi Cai (*South China University of Technology*), Chao Yu (*Dalian University of Technology*)
- **“I like this painting too”: When an ECA Shares Appreciations to Engage Users** 1649
Sabrina Campano, Chloé Clavel, Catherine Pelachaud (*Télécom-ParisTech*)

• Towards Probabilistic Decision Making on Human Activities Modeled with Business Process Diagrams	1651
Hector G. Ceballos, Victor Flores-Solorio (<i>Tecnologico de Monterrey</i>), Juan P. Garcia-Vazquez (<i>Universidad Autonoma de Baja California</i>)	
• Accounting for Circumstances in Reputation Assessment	1653
Simon Miles (<i>King's College London</i>), Nathan Griffiths (<i>University of Warwick</i>)	
• A Game for Studying Maintenance Alerts' Effectiveness	1655
Avraham Shvartzon, Amos Azaria, Sarit Kraus (<i>Bar Ilan University</i>), Claudia V. Goldman, Omer Tsimhoni (<i>General Motors Advanced Technical Center</i>), Joachim Meyer (<i>Tel Aviv University</i>)	
• The Efficient Interaction of Costly Punishment and Commitment	1657
The Anh Han (<i>Teesside University</i>), Tom Lenaerts (<i>Université Libre de Bruxelles & Vrije Universiteit Brussel</i>)	
• Approximating Difference Evaluations with Local Information	1659
Mitchell Colby, William Curran, Kagan Tumer (<i>Oregon State University</i>)	
• A Replicator Dynamics Analysis of Difference Evaluation Functions	1661
Mitchell K. Colby, Kagan Tumer (<i>Oregon State University</i>)	
• Defender Strategies in Domains Involving Frequent Adversary Interaction	1663
Fei Fang (<i>University of Southern California</i>), Peter Stone (<i>The University of Texas at Austin</i>), Milind Tambe (<i>University of Southern California</i>)	
• New Winning Strategies for the Iterated Prisoner's Dilemma	1665
Philippe Mathieu, Jean-Paul Delahaye (<i>Lille University</i>)	
• Filling Knowledge Gaps in Human-Robot Interaction Using Rewritten Knowledge of Common Verbs	1667
Dongcai Lu, Jianmin Ji, Xiaoping Chen, Jiangchuan Liu (<i>University of Science and Technology of China</i>)	
• Coping with Moral Emotions	1669
Cristina Battaglini, Rossana Damiano (<i>University of Torino</i>)	
• SMT-based Bounded Model Checking for Weighted Interpreted Systems and for Weighted Epistemic ECTL	1671
Agnieszka M. Zbrzezny, Bożena Woźna-Szcześniak, Andrzej Zbrzezny (<i>Jan Długosz University in Częstochowa</i>)	
• Bounded Rationality of Restricted Turing Machines	1673
Lijie Chen, Pingzhong Tang (<i>Tsinghua University</i>)	
• Synchronous Games in the Situation Calculus	1675
Giuseppe De Giacomo (<i>Università di Roma</i>), Yves Lespérance (<i>York University</i>), Adrian R. Pearce (<i>University of Melbourne</i>)	
• Private Revision in a Multi-Agent Setting	1677
Thomas Caridroit (<i>Université d'Artois & CNRS</i>), Sébastien Konieczny (<i>CRIL & CNRS</i>), Tiago de Lima, Pierre Marquis (<i>Université d'Artois & CNRS</i>)	
• Near Optimal Strategies for Targeted Marketing in Social Networks	1679
Ramakumar Pasumarthi (<i>American Express India</i>), Ramasuri Narayanam (<i>IBM Research India</i>), Balaraman Ravindran (<i>Indian Institute of Technology Madras</i>)	
• Towards Planning Uncertain Commitment Protocols	1681
Felipe Meneguzzi (<i>Pontifical Catholic University of Rio Grande do Sul</i>), Pankaj R. Telang (<i>North Carolina State University</i>), Neil Yorke-Smith (<i>American University of Beirut</i>)	
• Calibration of Multi-Agent Simulations through a Participatory Experiment	1683
Kévin Darty (<i>University Paris-Sud</i>), Julien Saunier (<i>Normandie Université</i>), Nicolas Sabouret (<i>University Paris-Sud</i>)	
• Trajectory Sampling Value Iteration: Improved Dyna Search for MDPs	1685
Yicheng Zhou, Quan Liu (<i>Soochow University</i>), Qiming Fu (<i>Suzhou University of Science and Technology</i>), Zongzhang Zhang (<i>Soochow University</i>)	
• Empirical Analysis of Reputation-aware Task Delegation by Humans from a Multi-Agent Game	1687
Han Yu, Han Lin, Su Fang Lim, Jun Lin, Zhiqi Shen, Chunyan Miao (<i>Nanyang Technological University</i>)	

• Quality and Budget Aware Task Allocation for Spatial Crowdsourcing	1689
Han Yu, Chunyan Miao, Zhiqi Shen (<i>Nanyang Technological University</i>), Cyril Leung (<i>Nanyang Technological University & The University of British Columbia</i>)	
• Modelling of Personality in Agents: From Psychology to Logical Formalisation and Implementation	1691
Sebastian Ahrndt, Johannes Fährndrich, Marco Lützenberger, Sahin Albayrak (<i>Technische Universität Berlin</i>)	
• Validating Business Requirements Using MAS Analysis Models	1693
Nektarios Mitakides, Nikolaos Spanoudakis (<i>Technical University of Crete</i>), Pavlos Delias (<i>Eastern Macedonia and Thrace Institute of Technology</i>)	
• Applying the Synergy Graph Model to Human Basketball	1695
Somchaya Liemhetcharat (<i>Institute for Infocomm Research</i>), Yicheng Luo (<i>National Junior College</i>)	
• PrivHab: A Multiagent Secure Georouting Protocol for Podcast Distribution on Disconnected Areas	1697
Adrián Sánchez-Carmona, Sergi Robles, Carlos Borrego (<i>Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB)</i>)	
• Survival of the Chartist: An Evolutionary Agent-Based Analysis of Stock Market Trading	1699
Daan Bloembergen (<i>University of Liverpool</i>), Daniel Hennes (<i>European Space Agency, The Netherlands</i>), Simon Parsons, Karl Tuyls (<i>University of Liverpool</i>)	
• A Dancing Virtual Agent to Evoke Human Emotions	1701
Deborah Richards, Jon Cedric Roxas, Ayse Bilgin, Nader Hanna (<i>Macquarie University</i>)	
• Influence-Optimistic Local Values for Multiagent Planning	1703
Frans A. Oliehoek (<i>University of Amsterdam & University of Liverpool</i>), Matthijs T. J. Spaan (<i>Delft University of Technology</i>), Stefan J. Witwicki (<i>Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (EPFL)</i>)	
• Programming with Commitments and Goals in JaCaMo+	1705
Matteo Baldoni, Cristina Baroglio, Federico Capuzzimati, Roberto Micalizio (<i>Università di Torino</i>)	
• Voter Dissatisfaction in Committee Elections	1707
Dorothea Baumeister (<i>Heinrich-Heine Universität Duesseldorf</i>), Sophie Dennisen (<i>Technische Universität Clausthal</i>)	
• Bidding in Non-Stationary Energy Markets	1709
Pablo Hernandez-Leal (<i>Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica</i>), Matthew E. Taylor (<i>Washington State University</i>), Enrique Munoz de Cote, L. Enrique Sucar (<i>Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica</i>)	
• Influencing the Learning Experience Through Affective Agent Feedback in a Real-World Treasure Hunt	1711
Mary Ellen Foster, Amol Deshmukh, Srinivasan Janarthanam, Mei Yii Lim, Helen Hastie, Ruth Aylett (<i>Heriot-Watt University</i>)	
• Verification of Multi-Agent Systems via SDD-Based Model Checking	1713
Alessio Lomuscio, Hugo Paquet (<i>Imperial College London</i>)	
• Multi-Agent Task Assignment for Mobile Crowdsourcing Under Trajectory Uncertainties	1715
Cen Chen, Shih-Fen Cheng, Archan Misra, Hoong Chuin Lau (<i>Singapore Management University</i>)	
• Evolution of Cooperation under Entrenchment Effects	1717
Jayati Deshmukh, Srinath Srinivasa (<i>International Institute of Information Technology</i>)	
• The Cost of Interference in Evolving Multiagent Systems	1719
The Anh Han (<i>Teesside University</i>), Long Tran-Thanh, Nicholas R. Jennings (<i>University of Southampton</i>)	
• Playing Congestion Games with Bandit Feedbacks	1721
Po-An Chen (<i>National Chiao Tung University</i>), Chi-Jen Lu (<i>Academia Sinica</i>)	
• A Hybrid Evolutionary and Multiagent Reinforcement Learning Approach to Accelerate the Computation of Traffic Assignment	1723
Ana L. C. Bazzan (<i>Univ. Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS)</i>), Camelia Chira (<i>Technical University of Cluj-Napoca</i>)	
• How Hard is Bribery in Party Based Elections?	1725
Yongjie Yang (<i>Universität des Saarlandes</i>), Yash Raj Shrestha (<i>ETH Zürich</i>), Jiong Guo (<i>Shandong University</i>)	

• Reinforcement Learning for Nash Equilibrium Generation	1727
David Cittern, Abbas Edalat (<i>Imperial College London</i>)	
• How Hard is Control in Multi-Peaked Elections: A Parameterized Study	1729
Yongjie Yang (<i>Universität des Saarlandes</i>), Jiong Guo (<i>Shandong University</i>)	
• Modeling Tipping Point Theory Using Normative Multi-Agent Systems	1731
Rahmatollah Beheshti, Gita Sukthankar (<i>University of Central Florida</i>)	
• Beyond Plurality: Truth-Bias in Binary Scoring Rules	1733
Svetlana Obraztsova (<i>Tel-Aviv University</i>), Omer Lev (<i>Hebrew University of Jerusalem</i>), Evangelos Markakis (<i>Athens University of Economics and Business</i>), Zinovi Rabinovich (<i>Mobileye Vision Technologies Ltd.</i>), Jeffrey S. Rosenschein (<i>Hebrew University of Jerusalem</i>)	
• An Efficient Knowledge Transfer Solution to a Novel SMDP Formalization of a Broker's Decision Problem	1735
Rodrigue T. Kuate, Maria Chli, Hai H. Wang (<i>Aston University</i>)	
• Controlling Elections by Replacing Candidates or Votes	1737
Andrea Loreggia (<i>University of Padova</i>), Nina Narodytska (<i>University of Toronto and University of New South Wales</i>), Francesca Rossi (<i>University of Padova and Harvard University</i>), K. Brent Venable (<i>Tulane University and IHMC</i>), Toby Walsh (<i>NICTA and UNSW</i>)	
• Social Contexts and Social Pragmatics	1739
Matteo Baldoni, Cristina Baroglio (<i>Università degli Studi di Torino</i>), Amit K. Chopra (<i>Lancaster University</i>), Munindar P. Singh (<i>North Carolina State University</i>)	
• Mechanism Design for Resource Allocation — With Applications to Centralized Multi-Commodity Routing	1741
Qipeng Liu, Yicheng Liu, Pingzhong Tang (<i>Tsinghua University</i>)	
• A Bayesian Approach to Norm Identification	1743
Stephen Cranefield, Tony Savarimuthu (<i>University of Otago</i>), Felipe Meneguzzi (<i>Pontifical Catholic University of Rio Grande do Sul</i>), Nir Oren (<i>University of Aberdeen</i>)	
• The RoboCup 2014 SPL Drop-In Player Competition: Encouraging Teamwork without Pre-Coordination	1745
Katie Genter (<i>University of Texas at Austin</i>), Tim Laue (<i>University of Bremen</i>), Peter Stone (<i>University of Texas at Austin</i>)	
• Emigration or Tax Evasion? Solutions for Portuguese to Escape from Its Economic Crisis	1747
Nuno Magessi, Luis Antunes (<i>Universidade de Lisboa</i>)	
• On Structure-Based Inconsistency Measures and Their Computations via Closed Set Packing	1749
Said Jabbour (<i>University Artois</i>), Yue Ma (<i>LRI – University Paris-Saclay</i>), Badran Raddaoui, Lakhdar Sais, Yakoub Salhi (<i>CRIL – University Artois</i>)	
• Crowdfunding Investment for Renewable Energy	1751
Ronghuo Zheng, Ying Xu (<i>Carnegie Mellon University</i>), Nilanjan Chakraborty (<i>Stony Brook University</i>), Katia Sycara (<i>Carnegie Mellon University</i>)	
• Profit Maximizing Prior-free Multi-Unit Procurement Auctions with Capacitated Sellers	1753
Arupratan Ray (<i>Indian Institute of Science</i>), Debmalaya Mandal (<i>Harvard University</i>), Yadati Narahari (<i>Indian Institute of Science</i>)	
• Competitive Pricing for Cloud Computing in an Evolutionary Market	1755
Bolei Xu (<i>University of Nottingham</i>), Tao Qin (<i>Microsoft Research Asia</i>), Guoping Qiu (<i>University of Nottingham</i>), Tie-Yan Liu (<i>Microsoft Research Asia</i>)	
• Towards Completely Decentralized Mustering for StarCraft	1757
Zachary Suffern, Craig Tovey (<i>Georgia Institute of Technology</i>), Sven Koenig (<i>University of Southern California</i>)	
• An Agent-Based Simulation System for Dynamic Project Scheduling and Online Disruption Resolving	1759
Hui Xi, Chi Keong Goh, Partha Sarathi Dutta (<i>Rolls-Royce Singapore Pte Ltd.</i>), Meng Sha, Jie Zhang (<i>Nanyang Technological University</i>)	

- **Social Network Driven Traffic Decongestion Using Near Time Forecasting** 1761
Deepika Pathania, Kamalakkar Karlapalem (*IIIT Hyderabad*)
- **A Gillespie-based Computational Model for Integrating Event-driven and Multi-Agent Based Simulation** 1763
Sara Montagna, Andrea Omicini (*Università di Bologna*), Danilo Pianini (*Università di Bologna*)
- **New Mechanism for Reservation in Cloud Computing** 1765
Changjun Wang (*Chinese Academy of Sciences*),
Weidong Ma, Tao Qin, Feidiao Yang, Tie-Yan Liu (*Microsoft Research, China*),
Xujin Chen, Xiaodong Hu (*Chinese Academy of Sciences*)
- **Competitive Influence in Social Networks: Convergence, Submodularity, and Competition Effects** 1767
Aris Anagnostopoulos (*Sapienza University of Rome*), Diodato Ferraioli (*University of Salerno*),
Stefano Leonardi (*Sapienza University of Rome*)
- **Now, Later, or Both: A Closed-Form Optimal Decision for a Risk-Averse Buyer** 1769
Jasper Hoogland (*CWI Amsterdam*), Mathijs De Weerd (*TU Delft*),
Han La Poutré (*CWI Amsterdam & TU Delft*)
- **A Large-Scale Study of Agents Learning from Human Reward** 1771
Guangliang Li (*University of Amsterdam*), Hayley Hung (*Delft University of Technology*),
Shimon Whiteson (*University of Amsterdam*)
- **Game-Theoretic Algorithms for Optimal Network Security Hardening Using Attack Graphs** 1773
Karel Durkota, Viliam Lisý (*Czech Technical University in Prague*),
Christofer Kiekintveld (*University of Texas at El Paso*), Branislav Bošanský (*Aarhus University*)

Poster Session / Extended Abstracts (Part 2)

- **Social Insect-Inspired Multi-Robot Coverage** 1775
Bastian Broecker, Ipek Caliskanelli, Karl Tuyls, Elizabeth Sklar (*University of Liverpool*),
Daniel Hennes (*European Space Agency*)
- **The Impact of Virtual Agent Personality on a Shared Mental Model with Humans during Collaboration** 1777
Nader Hanna, Deborah Richards (*Macquarie University*)
- **Computing Pareto Optimal Agreements in Multi-issue Negotiation for Service Composition** 1779
Claudia Di Napoli (*Istituto di Calcolo e Reti ad Alte Prestazioni C.N.R.*),
Dario Di Nocera, Silvia Rossi (*University of Naples "Federico II"*)
- **A Model for Collaborative Runtime Verification** 1781
Bas Testerink (*Utrecht University*), Nils Bulling (*Delft University of Technology*),
Mehdi Dastani (*Utrecht University*)
- **An Overview of a Mapping from Processes to Agents** 1783
Tobias Küster, Marco Lützenberger (*Technische Universität Berlin, DAI-Labor*)
- **Convention Emergence and Influence in Dynamic Topologies** 1785
James Marchant, Nathan Griffiths, Matthew Leeke (*University of Warwick*)
- **A Multi-phase Approach for Improving Information Diffusion in Social Networks** 1787
Swapnil Dhamal, Prabuchandran K. J., Yadati Narahari (*Indian Institute of Science*)
- **An Optimal Bidimensional Multi-Armed Bandit Auction for Multi-unit Procurement** 1789
Satyanath Bhat, Shweta Jain (*Indian Institute of Science*),
Sujit Gujar (*École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne*), Yadati Narahari (*Indian Institute of Science*)
- **A Continuous Negotiation Based Model for Traffic Regulation at an Intersection** 1791
Matthis Gaciarz, Samir Aknine (*Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1*),
Neïla Bhourri (*IFSTTAR/GRETTIA*)
- **Randomized Coordination Search for Scalable Multiagent Planning** 1793
N. Kemal Ure, Jonathan P. How (*Massachusetts Institute of Technology*),
John Vian (*Boeing Research & Technology*)
- **Elements of Epistemic Crypto Logic** 1795
Jan van Eijck (*CWI & ILLC*), Malvin Gattinger (*ILLC*)

• Using KI Divergence for Credibility Assessment	1797
Thibaut Vallée, Grégory Bonnet (<i>Normandie University</i>)	
• A Heating Agent Using a Personalised Thermal Comfort Model to Save Energy	1799
Frederik Auffenberg, Sebastian Stein, Alex Rogers (<i>University of Southampton</i>)	
• A Multidimensional Environment Implementation for Enhancing Agent Interaction	1801
Stéphane Galland (<i>Université de Technologie de Belfort-Montbéliard</i>), Flavien Balbo (<i>Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Mines de Saint-Etienne</i>), Nicolas Gaud (<i>Université de Technologie de Belfort-Montbéliard</i>), Sebastian Rodriguez (<i>UTN Tucuman</i>), Gauthier Picard, Olivier Boissier (<i>Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Mines de Saint-Etienne</i>)	
• Computing Quantal Response Equilibrium for Sponsored Search Auctions	1803
Jiang Rong (<i>Chinese Academy of Sciences</i>), Tao Qin (<i>Microsoft Research</i>), Bo An (<i>Nanyang Technological University</i>)	
• When Opinion Request Meets Majority Search: Avoiding Fraud in On-Line Review Systems	1805
Roberto Centeno (<i>UNED</i>), Ramón Hermoso (<i>University of Zaragoza</i>)	
• Strategies for Truth Discovery Under Resource Constraints	1807
Anthony Etuk, Timothy J. Norman, Nir Oren (<i>University of Aberdeen</i>), Murat Şensoy (<i>Özyeğin University</i>)	
• Symbolic Model-checking for Resource-Bounded ATL	1809
Natasha Alechina, Brian Logan, Hoang Nga Nguyen (<i>University of Nottingham</i>), Franco Raimondi (<i>Middlesex University</i>), Leonardo Mostarda (<i>Università degli Studi di Camerino</i>)	
• Trusted Mediator Agents to Better Manage Complex and Competitive Supply Chains	1811
Moath Jarrah, Jie Zhang (<i>Nanyang Technological University</i>)	
• Strategy Effectiveness of Game-Theoretical Solution Concepts in Extensive-Form General-Sum Games	1813
Jiří čermák (<i>Czech Technical University in Prague</i>), Branislav Bošanský (<i>Aarhus University</i>), Nicola Gatti (<i>Politecnico di Milano</i>)	
• Some Performance Bounds of Strategies for Graph Exploration	1815
Alessandro Riva, Alberto Quattrini Li, Francesco Amigoni (<i>Politecnico di Milano</i>)	
• Laughing with a Virtual Agent	1817
Florian Pecune (<i>Telecom ParisTech</i>), Maurizio Mancini (<i>Università di Genova</i>), Beatrice Biancardi (<i>Telecom ParisTech</i>), Giovanna Varni (<i>Università di Genova</i>), Yu Ding, Catherine Pelachaud (<i>Telecom ParisTech</i>), Gualtiero Volpe, Antonio Camurri (<i>Università di Genova</i>)	
• Norm Establishment Constrained by Limited Resources	1819
Samhar Mahmoud, Simon Miles, Adel Taweel, Brendan Delaney, Michael Luck (<i>King's College London</i>)	
• Verifying Normative System Specification Containing Collective Imperatives and Deadlines	1821
Luca Gasparini, Timothy J. Norman, Martin J. Kollingbaum, Liang Chen (<i>University of Aberdeen</i>), John-Jules Ch. Meyer (<i>Utrecht University</i>)	
• Multi-Variable Agents Decomposition for DCOPs to Exploit Multi-Level Parallelism	1823
Ferdinando Fioretto, William Yeoh, Enrico Pontelli (<i>New Mexico State University</i>)	
• Computer Aided Tax Evasion Policy Analysis: Directed Search Using Autonomous Agents	1825
Jacob B. Rosen, Erik Hemberg (<i>Massachusetts Institute of Technology</i>), Geoff Warner, Sanith Wijesinghe (<i>MITRE Corporation</i>), Una-May O'Reilly (<i>Massachusetts Institute of Technology</i>)	
• Improving Value Function Approximation in Factored POMDPs by Exploiting Model Structure	1827
Tiago S. Veiga (<i>Universidade de Lisboa</i>), Matthijs T. J. Spaan (<i>Delft University of Technology</i>), Pedro U. Lima (<i>Universidade de Lisboa</i>)	
• Real-Time Bidding Based Vehicle Sharing	1829
Yinlam Chow (<i>Stanford University</i>), Jia Yuan Yu (<i>IBM Research Ireland</i>)	
• Cascade Model with Contextual Externalities and Bounded User Memory for Sponsored Search Auctions	1831
Nicola Gatti, Marco Rocco (<i>Politecnico di Milano</i>), Paolo Serafino, Carmine Ventre (<i>Teesside University</i>)	

• A Market for Reliability for Electricity Scheduling in Developing World Microgrids	1833
Daniel Strawser, Brian C. Williams, Wardah Inam (<i>Massachusetts Institute of Technology</i>)	
• Large Neighborhood Search with Quality Guarantees for Distributed Constraint Optimization Problems	1835
Ferdinando Fioretto, Federico Campeotto (<i>New Mexico State University</i>), Agostino Dovier (<i>University of Udine</i>), Enrico Pontelli, William Yeoh (<i>New Mexico State University</i>)	
• Multi-Robot Inverse Reinforcement Learning Under Occlusion with State Transition Estimation	1837
Kenneth Bogert, Prashant Doshi (<i>University of Georgia</i>)	
• Improved Planning for Infinite-Horizon Interactive POMDPs Using Probabilistic Inference	1839
Xia Qu, Prashant Doshi (<i>University of Georgia</i>)	
• Voting with Social Influence: Using Arguments to Uncover Ground Truth	1841
Alan Tsang, John A. Doucette, Hadi Hosseini (<i>University of Waterloo</i>)	
• Cognitive Robots Learning Failure Contexts through Experimentation	1843
Sertac Karapinar, Sanem Sariel (<i>Istanbul Technical University</i>)	
• Global Approximations for Principal Agent Theory	1845
Federico Cerutti, Nir Oren, Christopher Burnett (<i>University of Aberdeen</i>)	
• The Evolutionary Perks of Being Irrational	1847
Fernando P. Santos, Francisco C. Santos, Ana Paiva (<i>Universidade de Lisboa</i>)	
• A Computational Model of Trust Based on Message Content and Source	1849
Célia da Costa Pereira, Andrea G. B. Tettamanzi (<i>University Nice Sophia Antipolis</i>), Serena Villata (<i>Inria Sophia Antipolis</i>)	
• Probabilistic Copeland Tournaments	1851
Sam Saarinen, Judy Goldsmith (<i>University of Kentucky</i>), Craig Tovey (<i>Georgia Institute of Technology</i>)	
• Quantifier Learning: An Agent-based Coordination Model	1853
Dariusz Kalociński, Nina Gierasimczuk, Marcin Mostowski (<i>University of Warsaw</i>)	
• Paving the Way for Large-Scale Combinatorial Auctions	1855
Francisco Cruz-Mencia (<i>IIIA-CSIC & CAOS-UAB</i>), Jesus Cerquides (<i>IIIA-CSIC</i>), Antonio Espinosa, Juan Carlos Moure (<i>CAOS-UAB</i>), Juan Antonio Rodriguez-Aguilar (<i>IIIA-CSIC</i>)	
• Towards Social Power Intelligent Agents	1857
Gonçalo Pereira, Rui Prada, Pedro A. Santos (<i>Universidade Técnica de Lisboa</i>)	
• Exploring Social Power Intelligent Behavior	1861
Gonçalo Duarte Garcia Pereira, Rui Prada, Pedro A. Santos (<i>Universidade Técnica de Lisboa</i>)	
• Considering Agent and Task Openness in Ad Hoc Team Formation	1861
Bin Chen, Xi Chen, Anish Timsina, Leen-Kiat Soh (<i>University of Nebraska</i>)	
• Reducing Diffusion Time in Attitude Diffusion Models Through Agenda Setting	1863
Kiran Lakkaraju (<i>Sandia National Laboratories</i>)	
• Incremental Knowledge Acquisition with Selective Active Learning	1865
Batbold Myagmarjav (<i>Texas Tech University</i>), Mohan Sridharan (<i>The University of Auckland</i>)	
• Towards Sketch Recognition by Mirroring	1867
Mor Vered, Gal A. Kaminka (<i>Bar Ilan University</i>)	
• Exploiting Objects as Artifacts in Multi-Agent Based Social Simulations	1869
Felicitas Mokom, Ziad Kobti (<i>University of Windsor</i>)	
• MAP: A Computational Model for Adaptive Persuasion	1871
Yilin Kang, Ah-Hwee Tan (<i>Nanyang Technological University</i>)	
• Discovery, Evaluation, and Exploration of Human Supplied Options and Constraints ..	1873
Jesse Rosalia, Guliz Tokadli, Charles L. Isbell Jr., Andrea Thomaz, Karen M. Feigh (<i>Georgia Institute of Technology</i>)	
• Nonparametric Bayesian Learning of Other Agents' Policies in Interactive POMDPs	1875
Alessandro Panella, Piotr J. Gmytrasiewicz (<i>University of Illinois at Chicago</i>)	
• Selecting Robust Strategies Based on Abstracted Game Models	1877
Oscar Veliz, Christopher Kiekintveld (<i>University of Texas at El Paso</i>)	

• On Task Recognition and Generalization in Long-Term Robot Teaching	1879
Guglielmo Gemignani (<i>Sapienza University of Rome</i>), Steven D. Klee, Manuela Veloso (<i>Carnegie Mellon University</i>), Daniele Nardi (<i>Sapienza University of Rome</i>)	
• Learning Payoffs in Large Symmetric Games	1881
Bryce Wiedenbeck, Michael P. Wellman (<i>University of Michigan</i>)	
• Managing Multi Robotic Agents to Avoid Congestion and Stampedes	1883
Garima Ahuja, Kamalakara Karlapalem (<i>IIIT Hyderabad</i>)	
• Parametric Mechanism Design via Quantifier Elimination	1885
Atsushi Iwasaki (<i>University of Electro-Communications</i>), Etsushi Fujita, Taiki Todo (<i>Kyushu University</i>), Hidenao Iwane, Hirokazu Anai (<i>Fujitsu Laboratories & National Institute of Informatics</i>), Mingyu Guo (<i>University of Adelaide</i>), Makoto Yokoo (<i>Kyushu University</i>)	
• Improving Fairness in Nonwasteful Matching with Hierarchical Regional Minimum Quotas	1887
Masahiro Goto, Ryoji Kurata, Naoto Hamada (<i>Kyushu University</i>), Atsushi Iwasaki (<i>University of Electro-Communications</i>), Makoto Yokoo (<i>Kyushu University</i>)	
• Behaviour Analysis of Mixed Game-Theoretic Learning Algorithms	1889
Michalis Smyrnakis, Hongyang Qu, Sandor M. Veres (<i>University of Sheffield</i>)	
• Generalized Plan Design for Autonomous Mobile Manipulation in Open Environments	1891
Jan Oliver Winkler, Michael Beetz (<i>University of Bremen</i>)	
• Spiteful Bidding in the Dollar Auction	1893
Marcin Waniek (<i>University of Warsaw</i>), Agata Nieścieruk (<i>Polish-Japanese Academy of Information Technology</i>), Tomasz Michalak (<i>University of Oxford & University of Warsaw</i>), Talal Rahwan (<i>Masdar Institute of Science and Technology</i>)	
• Multiagent Fair Optimization with Lorenz Dominance	1895
Lucie Galand (<i>Université Paris-Dauphine</i>), Thibaut Lust (<i>Univ Paris 06, CNRS</i>)	
• P-MARL: Prediction-Based Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning for Non-Stationary Environments	1897
Andrei Marinescu, Ivana Dusparic, Adam Taylor, Vinny Cahill, Siobhán Clarke (<i>Trinity College Dublin</i>)	
• The “Favors Game”: A Framework to Study the Emergence of Cooperation Through Social Importance	1899
Pedro Sequeira, Samuel Mascarenhas, Francisco S. Melo, Ana Paiva (<i>University of Lisbon</i>)	
• A Novel Abstraction Framework for Online Planning	1901
Ankit Anand, Aditya Grover, Mausam, Parag Singla (<i>Indian Institute of Technology</i>)	

Demonstration Abstracts

• Community Evacuation Planning for Bushfires Using Agent-Based Simulation	1903
Dhirendra Singh, Lin Padgham (<i>RMIT University</i>)	
• Agent-Based Adaptive Mobile Computing in Games: Demonstration	1905
Damian Burke, Axel Heßler, Sahin Albayrak (<i>TU Berlin</i>)	
• Enabling Intelligence Analysis through Agent-Support: The CISpaces Toolkit	1907
Alice Toniolo, Hengfei Li, Timothy J. Norman, Nir Oren (<i>University of Aberdeen</i>), Robin Wentao Ouyang, Mani Srivastava (<i>University of California, Los Angeles</i>), Timothy Dropps, John A. Allen (<i>Honeywell</i>), Paul Sullivan (<i>INTELPOINT Incorporated</i>)	
• Every Team Deserves a Second Chance: An Interactive 9x9 Go Experience	1909
Leandro Soriano Marcolino (<i>University of Southern California</i>), Vaishnavh Nagarajan (<i>Indian Institute of Technology Madras</i>), Milind Tambe (<i>University of Southern California</i>)	
• Learning, Predicting and Planning Against Crime: Demonstration Based on Real Urban Crime Data	1911
Chao Zhang (<i>University of Southern California</i>), Manish Jain, Ripple Goyal (<i>Armorway. Inc.</i>), Arunesh Sinha, Milind Tambe (<i>University of Southern California</i>)	

• Shaping Mario with Human Advice	1913
Anna Harutyunyan, Tim Brys, Peter Vrancx, Ann Nowé (<i>Vrije Universiteit Brussel</i>)	
• Pnyx: A Powerful and User-Friendly Tool for Preference Aggregation	1915
Felix Brandt, Guillaume Chabin, Christian Geist (<i>TU München</i>)	
• SCANERGY: A Scalable and Modular System for Energy Trading Between Prosumers	1917
Mihail Mihaylov, Sergio Jurado, Narcís Avellana (<i>Sensing & Control Systems</i>), Iván Razo-Zapata, Kristof Van Moffaert, Leticia Arco, Maite Bezunartea, Isel Grau, Adrian Cañadas, Ann Nowé (<i>Vrije Universiteit Brussel</i>)	
• Aerial Robotic Simulations for Evaluation of Multi-Agent Planning in GaTAC	1919
Kenneth Bogert, Sina Solaimanpour, Prashant Doshi (<i>University of Georgia</i>)	
• HAC-ER: A Disaster Response System Based on Human-Agent Collectives	1921
Sarvapali D. Ramchurn, Trung Dong Huynh, Yuki Ikuno, Jack Flann, Feng Wu, Luc Moreau, Nicholas R. Jennings, Joel E. Fischer, Wenchao Jiang, Tom Rodden (<i>University of Nottingham</i>), Edwin Simpson, Steve Reece, Stephen J. Roberts (<i>University of Oxford</i>)	
• An Empathic Robotic Tutor in a Map Application	1923
Amol Deshmukh (<i>Heriot-Watt University</i>), Aidan Jones (<i>University of Birmingham</i>), Srinivasan Janarthanam, Helen Hastie (<i>Heriot-Watt University</i>), Tiago Ribeiro (<i>GAIPS, INESC-ID & Instituto Superior Tecnico</i>), Ruth Aylett (<i>Heriot-Watt University</i>), Ana Paiva (<i>GAIPS, INESC-ID & Instituto Superior Tecnico</i>), Ginevra Castellano (<i>Uppsala University & University of Birmingham</i>), Mary Ellen Foster (<i>Heriot-Watt University</i>), Lee J. Corrigan, Fotios Papadopoulos (<i>University of Birmingham</i>), Eugenio Di Tullio, Pedro Sequeira (<i>GAIPS, INESC-ID & Instituto Superior Tecnico</i>)	
• How to Use OpenEASE: An Online Knowledge Processing System for Robots and Robotics Researchers	1925
Georg Bartels, Michael Beetz, Daniel Beßler, Moritz Tenorth, Jan Winkler (<i>Universität Bremen</i>)	
• Open Game Tournaments in STARLITE	1927
Jack Hopkins, Özgür Kafalı, Kostas Stathis (<i>Royal Holloway, University of London</i>)	
• Using Agent-Based Tactics Models to Control Virtual Actors in VBS3	1929
Rick Evertsz, John Thangarajah, Nik Ambukovski (<i>MIT University</i>)	
• Extending NormLab to Spur Research on Norm Synthesis	1931
Javier Morales (<i>Universitat de Barcelona</i>), Iosu Mendizabal (<i>IIIA-CSIC</i>), David Sánchez-Pinsach (<i>Universitat de Barcelona</i>), Juan A Rodríguez-Aguilar (<i>IIIA-CSIC</i>), Maite López-Sánchez (<i>Universitat de Barcelona</i>), Michael Wooldridge (<i>University of Oxford</i>), Wamberto Vasconcelos (<i>University of Aberdeen</i>)	
• Multi-Agent Target Tracking Using Particle Filters Enhanced with Context Data	1933
Rik Claessens (<i>University of Liverpool & Thales Research and Technology Delft</i>), Alta de Waal (<i>University of Pretoria</i>), Pieter de Villiers (<i>University of Pretoria and Council for Scientific and Industrial Research</i>), Ate Penders (<i>Thales Research & Technology, Delft & Delft University of Technology</i>), Gregor Pavlin (<i>Thales Research & Technology Delft and University of Amsterdam</i>), Karl Tuyls (<i>University of Liverpool and Delft University of Technology</i>)	
• A Tool for Defining Agent Protocols in HAPN	1935
Nitin Yadav, Lin Padgham (<i>MIT University</i>), Michael Winikoff (<i>University of Otago</i>)	
• Multi-stage Smart Grid Optimisation with a Multiagent System	1937
Christopher-Eyk Hrabia, Francisco Denis Pozo Pardo, Tobias Küster, Sahin Albayrak (<i>Technische Universität Berlin</i>)	
• SE-Star: A Large-Scale Human Behavior Simulation for Planning, Decision-Making and Training	1939
Laurent Navarro, Fabien Flacher, Christophe Meyer (<i>Thales Services</i>)	
• A Knowledge-Based Approach to Robotic Perception Using Unstructured Information Management	1941
Ferenc Bálint-Benczédi, Thiemo Wiedemeyer, Moritz Tenorth, Daniel Beßler, Michael Beetz (<i>University of Bremen</i>)	

• PrivHab: A Multiagent Secure Georouting Protocol for Distributing Podcasts in Disconnected Areas	1943
Adrián Sánchez-Carmona, Sergi Robles, Carlos Borrego, Gerard Garcia-Vandellós (<i>Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona</i>)	
• PViz: Visualising P2P Multi-Agent Simulations	1945
Dimitris Giouroukis, Nikos Platis (<i>University of the Peloponnese</i>), Christos Tryfonopoulos (<i>University of the Peloponnese & Max-Planck Institute for Informatics</i>)	
• An Affective Agent for Studying Composite Emotions	1947
Jun Lin, Han Yu, Chunyan Miao, Zhiqi Shen (<i>Nanyang Technological University</i>)	
• A Framework for Developing Multi-Agent Systems in Ambient Intelligence Scenarios	1949
Pablo Campillo-Sanchez, Jorge J. Gomez-Sanz (<i>Universidad Complutense de Madrid</i>)	
• Social Theatre: Showcasing Social Power Aware Agents	1951
Gonçalo Pereira, Rui Prada, Pedro A. Santos (<i>INESC-ID, Instituto Superior Técnico & Universidade Técnica de Lisboa</i>)	
• fNIRS-based BCI for Robot Control	1953
Kirill Tumanov, Rainer Goebel, Rico Möckel, Bettina Sorger, Gerhard Weiss (<i>Maastricht University</i>)	
• emigo: A Large-Scale Multi-Agent Platform for the Web	1955
Rym Wenkstern, Travis Steel, Dane Kuiper (<i>ZW Corp</i>)	
• Interfacing Agents with an Industrial Assembly System for “Plug and Produce”	1957
Nikolas Antzoulatos, Elkin Castro, Lavindra de Silva, Svetan Ratchev (<i>University of Nottingham</i>)	
• A Prototype for AUV Post-Mission Debrief Generation From Metadata	1959
Zhuoran Wang (<i>Toshiba Research Europe Ltd</i>), Helen Hastie (<i>Heriot-Watt University</i>)	

Doctoral Consortium Abstracts

• To Handle, to Learn and to Manipulate the Attacker’s (Uncertain) Payoffs in Security Games	1961
Yundi Qian (<i>University of Southern California</i>)	
• A Unifying Methodology for Confronting Uncertainties in Security Games: Advances and Algorithms	1963
Thanh H. Nguyen, Milind Tambe (<i>University of Southern California</i>)	
• Agent-based Coordination Mechanisms in Smart Electricity Markets	1965
Konstantina Valogianni (<i>Erasmus University Rotterdam</i>)	
• Three Fundamental Pillars of Multi-Agent Team Formation	1967
Leandro Soriano Marcolino, Milind Tambe (<i>University of Southern California</i>)	
• Robotic Adversarial Coverage	1969
Roi Yehoshua (<i>Bar Ilan University</i>)	
• Modeling, Learning and Defending against Opportunistic Criminals in Urban Areas	1971
Chao Zhang (<i>University of Southern California</i>)	
• Computational Complexity of Fundamental Problems in Social Choice Theory	1973
Palash Dey (<i>Indian Institute of Science</i>)	
• Representing and Reasoning about Game Strategies	1975
Guifei Jiang (<i>University of Western Sydney & University of Toulouse</i>)	
• Heterogeneous Facility Location without Money	1977
Paolo Serafino (<i>Teesside University</i>)	
• Dynamic Capacity Control and Balancing in the Medium Voltage Grid	1979
Frits de Nijs (<i>Delft University of Technology</i>)	
• A Reference Model for a Networked Organization	1981
Saad Alqithami (<i>Southern Illinois University</i>)	

• Intelligent Learning Agents for Music-Based Interaction and Analysis	1983
Elad Liebman (<i>University of Texas at Austin</i>)	
• Monte Carlo Hierarchical Model Learning	1985
Jacob Menashe, Peter Stone (<i>The University of Texas at Austin</i>)	
• Computational Models of Algorithmic Trading in Financial Markets	1987
Elaine Wah (<i>University of Michigan</i>)	
• Opponent Modeling Against Non-Stationary Strategies	1989
Pablo Hernandez-Leal, Enrique Munoz de Cote, L. Enrique Sucar (<i>Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica</i>)	
• Emotional Interactions between Artificial Companion Agents and the Elderly	1991
Xinjia Yu, Charles Thomas Salmon (<i>Nanyang Technological University</i>), Cyril Leung (<i>The University of British Columbia</i>)	
• Incremental Knowledge Acquisition with Selective Active Learning	1993
Batbold Myagmarjav (<i>Texas Tech University</i>), Mohan Sridharan (<i>The University of Auckland</i>)	
• Machine Learning Methods for Multi Robot Navigation	1995
Julio Godoy (<i>University of Minnesota</i>)	
• Quantifying and Improving Robustness of Trust Systems	1997
Dongxia Wang (<i>Nanyang Technological University</i>)	
• Adaptation from Sparse Observations through Detection and Correction of Model Anomalies	1999
Juan Pablo Mendoza (<i>Carnegie Mellon University</i>)	
• Adaptation and Incentive Design in Large Scale Task Systems	2001
Avi Segal (<i>Ben-Gurion University</i>)	
• Stackelberg Games for Robust Vaccine Design	2003
Swetasudha Panda, Yevgeniy Vorobeychik (<i>Vanderbilt University</i>)	
• One-Sided Matching with Dynamic Preferences	2005
Hadi Hosseini (<i>University of Waterloo</i>)	
• Exploiting the Structure of Distributed Constraint Optimization Problems	2007
Ferdinando Fioretto (<i>New Mexico State University & University of Udine</i>)	
• Data-Driven Agent-Based Modeling of Innovation Diffusion	2009
Haifeng Zhang (<i>Vanderbilt University</i>)	
• Governing Government: A Framework for Multi-tier Institution Specification and Reasoning	2011
Thomas C. King (<i>Delft University of Technology</i>)	
• Verifying Conflicts Between Multiple Norms in Multi-Agent Systems	2013
Eduardo Augusto Silvestre (<i>Federal Fluminense University</i>), Viviane Torres da Silva (<i>IBM Research</i>)	
• Toward Social-Emotional Virtual Humans	2015
Johnathan Mell (<i>University of Southern California</i>)	
• Engineering Online Evolution of Robot Behaviour	2017
Fernando Silva, Anders Lyhne Christensen (<i>BioMachines & BioISI</i>), Luís Correia (<i>BioISI</i>)	
• Privacy Management in Agent-Based Social Networks	2019
Nadin Kökciyan (<i>Bogazici University</i>)	
• Selected Methods of Model Checking Using SAT and SMT-solvers	2021
Agnieszka M. Zbrzezny (<i>Jan Długosz University</i>)	
Author Index	2023
AAMAS 2016 Call for Papers	2030

AAMAS 2015 Organizing Committee

- General Chairs:** Gerhard Weiss (*Maastricht University, Netherlands*)
Pinar Yolum (*Bogazici University, Turkey*)
- Program Chairs:** Rafael H. Bordini (*PUCRS, Brazil*)
Edith Elkind (*University of Oxford, UK*)
- Innovative Applications Track Chairs:** Amal El Fallah Seghrouchni (*LIP6, France*)
Milind Tambe (*University of Southern California, USA*)
- Robotics Track Chairs:** Alessandro Farinelli (*University of Verona, Italy*)
Gal Kaminka (*Bar Ilan University, Israel*)
- Virtual Agents & Humans Track Chairs:** Catholijn Jonker (*Delft University of Technology, Netherlands*)
Rui Prada (*Technical University of Lisbon, Portugal*)
- Blue Sky Ideas Track Chair:** Victor Lesser (*University of Massachusetts, USA*)
- Workshop Chairs:** Michal Pechoucek (*University of Prague, Czech Republic*)
Longbing Cao (*University of Technology, Sydney, Australia*)
- Tutorial Chairs:** Cristina Baroglio (*Università di Torino, Italy*)
Thomas Ågotnes (*University of Bergen, Norway*)
- Demonstration Chairs:** Paolo Torroni (*University of Bologna, Italy*)
Karl Tuyls (*University of Liverpool, UK*)
- Doctoral Student Mentoring Consortium Chairs:** M. Birna van Riemsdijk (*Delft University of Technology, Netherlands*)
Ya'akov (Kobi) Gal (*Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel*)
Adrian Pearce (*University of Melbourne, Australia*)
- Sponsorship Chairs:** Americas: Matthew E. Taylor (*Washington State University, USA*)
Asia/Oceania: Toshiharu Sugawara (*Waseda University, Japan*)
Europe: Vicent Botti (*Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain*)
- Student Scholarship Chairs:** Gita Sukthankar (*University of Central Florida, USA*)
Sebastian Sardina (*RMIT University, Australia*)
- Publicity Chair:** Amit Chopra (*Lancaster University, UK*)
- Publications Chair:** Neil Yorke-Smith (*American University Beirut, Lebanon*)
- Exhibition Chair:** Ulle Endriss (*University of Amsterdam, Netherlands*)
- Finance Chair:** Murat Şensoy (*Ozyegin University, Turkey*)
- Local Organization Chair:** Pinar Yolum (*Bogazici University, Turkey*)

AAMAS 2015 Senior Programme Committee

Main Track:

Thomas Agotnes (*University of Bergen, Norway*)
Natasha Alechina (*University of Nottingham, UK*)
Bo An (*Nanyang Technological University, Singapore*)
Matteo Baldoni (*University of Turin, Italy*)
Georgios Chalkiadakis (*Technical University of Crete, Greece*)
Bruce Edmonds (*Manchester Metropolitan University, UK*)
Piotr Faliszewski (*AGH University of Science and Technology, Poland*)
Enrico Gerding (*University of Southampton, UK*)
Andreas Herzig (*Paul Sabatier University, France*)
Katsutoshi Hirayama (*Kobe University, Japan*)
Christopher Kiekintveld (*University of Texas, USA*)
Sven Koenig (*University of Southern California, USA*)
Han La Poutre (*CWI, Netherlands*)
Jerome Lang (*CNRS, France*)
Kate Larson (*University of Waterloo, Canada*)
Yves Lesperance (*York University, Canada*)
Brian Logan (*University of Nottingham, UK*)
Alessio Lomuscio (*Imperial College London, UK*)
Viviana Mascardi (*University of Genova, Italy*)
Nicolas Maudet (*Paris Dauphine University, France*)
Felipe Meneguzzi (*PUCRS, Brazil*)
Emma Norling (*Manchester Metropolitan University, UK*)
Simon Parsons (*University of Liverpool, UK*)
Maria Polukarov (*University of Southampton, UK*)
Ariel Procaccia (*Carnegie Mellon University, USA*)
David Pynadath (*University of Southern California, USA*)
Alessandro Ricci (*University of Bologna, Italy*)
Avi Rosenfeld (*Jerusalem College of Technology, Israel*)
Francesca Rossi (*University of Padova, Italy*)
Michael Rovatsos (*University of Edinburgh, UK*)
Jordi Sabater-Mir (*IIIA-CSIC, Spain*)

Sebastian Sardina (*RMIT University, Australia*)
David Sarne (*Bar Ilan University, Israel*)
Sandip Sen (*University of Tulsa, USA*)
Gita Sukthankar (*University of Central Florida, USA*)
Matthew Taylor (*Washington State University, USA*)
Wamberto Vasconcelos (*University of Aberdeen, UK*)
Rineke Verbrugge (*University of Groningen, Netherlands*)
Shimon Whiteson (*University of Amsterdam, Netherlands*)
Cees Witteveen (*Delft University of Technology, Netherlands*)
Makoto Yokoo (*Kyushu University, Japan*)
Roie Zivan (*Ben Gurion University, Israel*)

Innovative Applications Track:

Yoram Bachrach (*Microsoft Research, USA*)
Lars Braubach (*University of Hamburg, Germany*)
Ruggerio Cavallo (*Yahoo Research, USA*)
James Harland (*RMIT University, Australia*)
Tom Holvoet (*University of Leuven, Belgium*)
Ece Kamar (*Microsoft Research, USA*)
Fernando Koch (*Samsung Research, Brazil*)
Michael Luck (*King's College London, UK*)
Janusz Marecki (*IBM Research, USA*)
Andrea Omicini (*Università di Bologna, Italy*)

Robotics Track:

Noa Agmon (*Bar Ilan University, Israel*)
Maria Gini (*University of Minnesota, USA*)
Alexander Kleiner (*Linköping University, Sweden*)
Daniele Nardi (*Sapienza University of Rome, Italy*)
Paul Scerri (*Carnegie Mellon University, USA*)
Elizabeth Sklar (*University of Liverpool, UK*)
Mohan Sridharan (*University of Auckland, New Zealand*)
Manuela Veloso (*Carnegie Mellon University, USA*)

Virtual Agents and Humans Track:

Elisabeth Andre (*Augsburg University, Germany*)
Ruth Aylett (*Heriot Watt University, UK*)
Timothy Bickmore (*Northeastern University, USA*)
Stefan Kopp (*Bielefeld University, Germany*)
Stacy Marsella (*University of Southern California, USA*)
Ana Paiva (*Technical University of Lisbon, Portugal*)
Catherine Pelachaud (*CNRS - LTCI Telecom-ParisTech, France*)
Mark Riedl (*Georgia Institute of Technology, USA*)
Hannes Vilhjalmsón (*Reykjavík University, Iceland*)

Blue Sky Ideas Track:

Ed Durfee (*University of Michigan, USA*)
Jeffrey S. Rosenschein (*Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel*)
Munindar Singh (*North Carolina State University, USA*)
Liz Sonenberg (*University of Melbourne, Australia*)

AAMAS 2015 Programme Committee

Main Track:

Sherief Abdallah (*British University in Dubai, UAE*)
Carole Adam (*University of Grenoble, France*)
Gul Agha (*University of Illinois at Urbana, USA*)
Adrian Agogino (*University of California at Santa Cruz and NASA Ames Research Center, USA*)
Stéphane Airiau (*Université Paris-Dauphine, France*)
Juan M. Alberola (*Universitat Politècnica de Valencia, Spain*)
Huib Aldewereld (*Delft University of Technology, Netherlands*)
Christopher Amato (*University of New Hampshire, USA*)
Frederic Amblard (*Universite Toulouse 1 Capitole, France*)
Leila Amgoud (*IRIT - CNRS, France*)
Ofra Amir (*Harvard University, USA*)
Alexander Artikis (*NCSR Demokritos, Greece*)
Katie Atkinson (*University of Liverpool, UK*)
Guillaume Aucher (*University of Rennes, France*)
Reyhan Aydogan (*Delft University of Technology, Netherlands*)
Amos Azaria (*Carnegie Mellon University, USA*)
Haris Aziz (*NICTA and University of New South Wales, Australia*)
Tim Baarslag (*University of Southampton, UK*)
Dirk Bade (*University of Hamburg, Germany*)
Costin Badica (*University of Craiova, Romania*)
Cristina Baroglio (*University of Torino, Italy*)
Dorothea Baumeister (*Heinrich-Heine-Universitaet Duesseldorf, Germany*)
Francesco Belardinelli (*Université d'Evry, France*)
Vaishak Belle (*University of Toronto, Canada and KU Leuven, Belgium*)
Jamal Bentahar (*Concordia University, Canada*)
Federico Bergenti (*University of Parma, Italy*)
Aurélien Beynier (*LIP6, University Pierre & Marie Curie, France*)
Elizabeth Black (*King's College London, UK*)
Guido Boella (*Universita di Torino, Italy*)
Frank S. de Boer (*Centrum Wiskunde en Informatica, Netherlands*)
Olivier Boissier (*Ecole des Mines de Saint-Etienne, France*)

Ladislau Bölöni (*University of Central Florida, USA*)
Elise Bonzon (*Université Paris Descartes, France*)
Juan Botia (*King's College London, UK*)
Vicent Botti (*Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*)
Gauvain Bourgne (*Université Pierre et Marie Curie – Paris 6, France*)
Sylvain Bouveret (*Grenoble INP - Ensimag, France*)
Anarosa A. F. Brandão (*University of São Paulo, Brazil*)
Felix Brandt (*Technische Universität München, Germany*)
Frances Brazier (*Delft University of Technology, Netherlands*)
Robert Brederick (*Technische Universität Berlin, Germany*)
Jean-Pierre Briot (*Laboratoire d'Informatique de Paris 6, France*)
Nils Bulling (*Delft University of Technology, Netherlands*)
Olivier Cailloux (*Université de Technologie de Compiègne, France*)
David Camacho (*Autonomous University of Madrid, Spain*)
Martin Caminada (*University of Aberdeen, UK*)
Ioannis Caragiannis (*University of Patras, Greece*)
C. Carrascosa (*Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*)
Arthur Carvalho (*Erasmus University, Netherlands*)
Cristiano Castelfranchi (*ISTC-CNR, Italy*)
Sofia Ceppi (*Microsoft Research, UK*)
Michal Chalamish (*Ashkelon Academic College, Israel*)
Archie Chapman (*University of Sydney, Australia*)
Shih-Fen Cheng (*Singapore Management University, Singapore*)
Federico Chesani (*University of Bologna, Italy*)
Yann Chevaleyre (*University of Paris 13, France*)
Meenal Chhabra (*Square, USA*)
Amit Chopra (*Lancaster University, UK*)
Helder Coelho (*Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal*)
Rem Collier (*University College Dublin, Ireland*)
Marco Colombetti (*Politecnico di Milano, Italy*)
Flavio Correa da Silva (*University of Sao Paulo, Brazil*)

- Vincent Corruble (*Université Pierre et Marie Curie – Paris 6, France*)
- Stefania Costantini (*University of L'Aquila, Italy*)
- Fabiano Dalpiaz (*Utrecht University, Netherlands*)
- Hoa Dam (*University of Wollongong, Australia*)
- Sanmay Das (*Washington Univ. in St Louis, USA*)
- Mehdi Dastani (*Utrecht University, Netherlands*)
- Patrick De Causmaecker (*KU Leuven, Belgium*)
- Tiago de Lima (*Université d'Artois, France*)
- Lavindra de Silva (*University of Nottingham, UK*)
- Marina De Vos (*University of Bath, UK*)
- Mathijs de Weerd (*Delft University of Technology, Netherlands*)
- Keith Decker (*University of Delaware, USA*)
- Louise Dennis (*University of Liverpool, UK*)
- Sam Devlin (*York Centre for Complex Systems Analysis, UK*)
- Jilles Dibangoye (*INSA, France*)
- Oguz Dikenelli (*Ege University, Turkey*)
- Graçaliz Dimuro (*Universidade Federal do Rio Grande, Brazil*)
- Prashant Doshi (*University of Georgia, USA*)
- Sylvie Doutre (*Université Toulouse 1 Capitole - IRIT, France*)
- Barbara Dunin-Keplicz (*University of Warsaw, Poland*)
- Paul Dunne (*University of Liverpool, UK*)
- Marcin Dziubinski (*Warsaw University, Poland*)
- Artur d'Avila Garcez (*City University London, UK*)
- Ulle Endriss (*University of Amsterdam, Netherlands*)
- Gabor Erdelyi (*University of Siegen, Germany*)
- Patricia Everaere (*LIFL, France*)
- Moser Fagundes (*Instituto Federal Sul-Rio-Grandense, Brazil*)
- Shaheen Fatima (*Loughborough University, UK*)
- Guillaume Feuillade (*Université Paul Sabatier, France*)
- Nicoletta Fornara (*Università della Svizzera Italiana, Switzerland*)
- Lars-Åke Fredlund (*Universidad Politecnica de Madrid, Spain*)
- Tim French (*University of Western Australia, Australia*)
- Katsuhide Fujita (*Tokyo University of Agriculture & Technology, Japan*)
- Martin Gairing (*University of Liverpool, UK*)
- Maria Ganzha (*Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland*)
- Serge Gaspers (*University of New South Wales and NICA, Australia*)
- Les Gasser (*University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA*)
- Nicola Gatti (*Politecnico di Milano, Italy*)
- Benoit Gaudou (*Université Toulouse 1 Capitole, France*)
- Kallirroi Georgila (*University of Southern California, USA*)
- Aditya Ghose (*University of Wollongong, Australia*)
- Paolo Giorgini (*University of Trento, Italy*)
- Marie-Pierre Gleizes (*Université de Toulouse, France*)
- Jorge Gomez-Sanz (*Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain*)
- Guido Governatori (*NICTA, Australia*)
- Umberto Grandi (*University of Toulouse, France*)
- Gianluigi Greco (*Università della Calabria, Italy*)
- Rachel Greenstadt (*Drexel University, USA*)
- Francisco Grimaldo (*Universitat de València, Spain*)
- Tal Grinshpoun (*Ariel University, Israel*)
- Davide Grossi (*University of Liverpool, UK*)
- Zahia Guessoum (*Universite de Paris 6, France*)
- Laszlo Gulyas (*AITIA International, Inc., Hungary*)
- Akin Gunay (*Nanyang Technological University, Singapore*)
- Mingyu Guo (*University of Adelaide, Australia*)
- Stephen J. Guy (*University of Minnesota, USA*)
- Daisuke Hatano (*National Institute of Informatics, Japan*)
- Noam Hazon (*Ariel University, Israel*)
- Carlos Hernandez (*UCSC, Chile*)
- Axel Heßler (*Technische Universität Berlin, Germany*)
- Vincent Hilaire (*UTBM, France*)
- Martin Hoefer (*Max-Planck Institut für Informatik, Germany*)
- Jesse Hoey (*University of Waterloo, Canada*)
- Chris Holmes-Parker (*Parflux LLC, USA*)
- Mark Hoogendoorn (*VU University Amsterdam, Netherlands*)
- Anthony Hunter (*University College London, UK*)
- Takayuki Ito (*Nagoya Institute of Technology, Japan*)
- Atsushi Iwasaki (*University of Electro-Communications, Japan*)
- Michal Jakob (*Czech Technical University in Prague, Czech Republic*)

- Nadeem Jamali (*University of Saskatchewan, Canada*)
 Albert Xin Jiang (*Trinity University, USA*)
 Ozgur Kafali (*Royal Holloway, University of London, UK*)
 Michael Kaisers (*CWI, Netherlands*)
 Chyon Hae Kim (*Iwate University, Japan*)
 Akihiro Kishimoto (*IBM Research, Ireland*)
 Tomas Klos (*Delft University of Technology, Netherlands*)
 Franziska Klugl (*Orebro University, Sweden*)
 Sebastien Konieczny (*CRIL-CNRS, France*)
 Barteld Kooi (*University of Groningen, Netherlands*)
 Martin Lackner (*TU Vienna*)
 Kiran Lakkaraju (*Sandia National Labs, USA*)
 Steffen Lamparter (*Siemens AG Corporate Research and Technologie, Germany*)
 Jimmy Lee (*The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong*)
 Michael Lees (*University of Amsterdam, Netherlands*)
 João Leite (*Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal*)
 Julien Lesca (*Paris Dauphine University, France*)
 Raz Lin (*Bar-Ilan University, Israel*)
 Dominique Longin (*IRIT-CNRS, France*)
 Manuel Lopes (*INRIA, France*)
 Maite Lopez-Sanchez (*University of Barcelona, Spain*)
 Emiliano Lorini (*IRIT-CNRS, France*)
 Ulf Lotzmann (*University of Koblenz-Landau, Germany*)
 Marco Lützenberger (*Technische Universität Berlin, Germany*)
 Roger Mailler (*University of Tulsa, USA*)
 Elisa Marengo (*Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy*)
 Vangelis Markakis (*Athens University of Economics and Business, Greece*)
 Philippe Mathieu (*Lille 1 University, France*)
 Eric Matson (*Purdue University, USA*)
 Toshihiro Matsui (*Nagoya Institute of Technology, Japan*)
 Nicholas Mattei (*NICTA and University of New South Wales, Australia*)
 Reshef Meir (*Harvard University, USA*)
 Amnon Meisels (*Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel*)
 Pedro Meseguer (*IIIA CSIC, Spain*)
 João Messias (*University of Amsterdam, Netherlands*)
 John-Jules Meyer (*Utrecht University, Netherlands*)
 Ruth Meyer (*Manchester Metropolitan University, UK*)
 Roberto Micalizio (*Università di Torino, Italy*)
 Tomasz Michalak (*University of Oxford, UK and University of Warsaw, Poland*)
 Jakub Michaliszyn (*Imperial College London, UK*)
 Tim Miller (*The University of Melbourne, Australia*)
 Marco Montali (*Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy*)
 Pavlos Moraitis (*Paris Descartes University, France*)
 Alvaro Moreira (*Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil*)
 Koichi Moriyama (*Osaka University, Japan*)
 Hala Mostafa (*United Technologies Research Center, Singapore*)
 Enrique Munoz de Cote (*INAOE, Mexico*)
 Aniello Murano (*University of Napoli Federico II, Italy*)
 Dave Murray-Rust (*University of Edinburgh, UK*)
 Nina Narodytska (*NICTA and University of New South Wales, Australia*)
 Hoang Nga Nguyen (*University of Nottingham, UK*)
 Jinzhong Niu (*City University of New York, USA*)
 Itsuki Noda (*Center for Service Research, Japan*)
 Timothy Norman (*University of Aberdeen, UK*)
 Peter Novak (*Delft University of Technology, Netherlands*)
 Ann Nowe (*Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium*)
 Svetlana Obratzsova (*National Technical University of Athens, Greece*)
 Steven Okamoto (*Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Israel*)
 Tenda Okimoto (*Kobe University, Japan*)
 Eugenio Oliveira (*Universidade do Porto, Portugal*)
 Nardine Osman (*IIIA-CSIC, Spain*)
 Sascha Ossowski (*University Rey Juan Carlos, Spain*)
 Julian Padget (*University of Bath, UK*)
 Ioannis Partalas (*Viseo Research Lab, France*)
 Juan Pavón (*Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain*)
 Terry Payne (*University of Liverpool, UK*)
 Wojciech Penczek (*IPIAN Warsaw, Poland*)
 Patrice Perny (*LIP6 - UPMC, France*)
 Laurent Perrusel (*IRIT, University of Toulouse, France*)
 Steve Phelps (*University of Essex, UK*)

- Gauthier Picard (*Ecole des Mines de Saint-Etienne, France*)
- Olivier Pietquin (*University Lille 1, France*)
- Gabriella Pigozzi (*Univ. Paris Dauphine, France*)
- Vedran Podobnik (*University of Zagreb, Croatia*)
- Alexander Pokahr (*University of Hamburg, Germany*)
- Daniele Porello (*ISTC-CNR, Italy*)
- Henry Prakken (*Utrecht University and University of Groningen, Netherlands*)
- Doina Precup (*McGill University, Canada*)
- Marc Pujol-Gonzalez (*IIIA-CSIC, Spain*)
- Martin Purvis (*University of Otago, New Zealand*)
- Tao Qin (*Microsoft Research Asia, China*)
- Hongyang Qu (*University of Sheffield, UK*)
- Zinovi Rabinovich (*Mobileye Vision Technologies Ltd., Israel*)
- Franco Raimondi (*Middlesex University, UK*)
- Anita Raja (*The Cooper Union, USA*)
- Subramanian Ramamoorthy (*The University of Edinburgh, UK*)
- Sarvapali Ramchurn (*University of Southampton, UK*)
- Wolfgang Renz (*Hamburg University of Applied Sciences, Germany*)
- Deborah Richards (*Macquarie University, Australia*)
- Ariella Richardson (*Lev Academic Center, Israel*)
- M. Birna van Riemsdijk (*Delft University of Technology, Netherlands*)
- David Robertson (*University of Edinburgh, UK*)
- Valentin Robu (*Heriot-Watt University, UK*)
- Antônio Carlos Rocha Costa (*FURG, Brazil*)
- Nico Roos (*Maastricht University, Netherlands*)
- Rosaldo J. F. Rossetti (*Universidade do Porto, Portugal*)
- Joerg Rothe (*Heinrich-Heine-Universitaet Duesseldorf, Germany*)
- Antonino Rotolo (*University of Bologna, Italy*)
- Joshua Sack (*University of Amsterdam, Netherlands*)
- Fariba Sadri (*Imperial College London, UK*)
- Yuko Sakurai (*Kyushu University, Japan*)
- Ken Satoh (*National Institute of Informatics, Japan*)
- Henning Schnoor (*Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, Germany*)
- René Schumann (*HES-SO, Switzerland*)
- Francois Schwarzenruber (*École normale supérieure de Rennes, France*)
- Nicolas Schwind (*National Institute of Informatics, Japan*)
- Murat Sensoy (*Ozyegin University, Turkey*)
- Emilio Serrano (*Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain*)
- Paulo Shakarian (*Arizona State University, USA*)
- Guy Shani (*Ben Gurion University, IL*)
- Marius Silaghi (*Florida Institute of Technology, USA*)
- Gerardo Simari (*Universidad Nacional del Sur in Bahia Blanca and CONICET, Argentina*)
- Dhirendra Singh (*RMIT University, Australia*)
- Arunesh Sinha (*University of Southern California, USA*)
- Marjan Sirjani (*Reykjavik University, Iceland*)
- Oskar Skibski (*Kyushu University, Japan*)
- Piotr Skowron (*University of Warsaw, Poland*)
- Marija Slavkovijk (*University of Bergen, Norway*)
- Troels Bjerre Sørensen (*IT-University of Copenhagen, Denmark*)
- Nikolaos Spanoudakis (*Technical University of Crete, Greece*)
- Sebastian Stein (*University of Southampton, UK*)
- Leon Sterling (*Swinburne University of Technology, Australia*)
- Roni Stern (*Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Israel*)
- Jose Such (*Lancaster University, UK*)
- Pavel Surynek (*Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic*)
- Samarth Swarup (*Virginia Tech, USA*)
- Katia Sycara (*Carnegie Mellon University, USA*)
- Suzanne Tamang (*Stanford University, USA*)
- Valentina Tamma (*University of Liverpool, UK*)
- Yuqing Tang (*Carnegie Mellon University, USA*)
- Luke Teacy (*University of Southampton, UK*)
- Pankaj Telang (*North Carolina State University, USA*)
- Michael Thielscher (*University of New South Wales, Australia*)
- Matthias Thimm (*University of Koblenz-Landau, Germany*)
- Ingo J. Timm (*University of Trier, Germany*)
- Taiki Todo (*Kyushu University, Japan*)
- Leendert van der Torre (*University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg*)
- Viviane Torres da Silva (*IBM Research, Brazil*)
- Paolo Torroni (*University of Bologna, Italy*)
- Long Tran-Thanh (*University of Southampton, UK*)
- Jan Treur (*VU University Amsterdam, Netherlands*)

Nicolas Troquard (*Université Paris-Est Créteil, France*)
 Paolo Turrini (*Imperial College London, UK*)
 Suguru Ueda (*National Institute of Informatics, Japan*)
 Volkan Ustun (*University of Southern California, USA*)
 Pradeep Varakantham (*Singapore Management University, Singapore*)
 Carlos Varela (*Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA*)
 Matteo Venanzi (*University of Southampton, UK*)
 Salvatore Venticinque (*Second University of Naples, Italy*)
 Carmine Ventre (*Teesside University, UK*)
 Laurent Vercouter (*INSA de Rouen, France*)
 Harko Verhagen (*Stockholm University, Sweden*)
 Srdjan Vesic (*CRIL – CNRS, France*)
 Ioannis Vetsikas (*NCSR Demokritos, Greece*)
 Serena Villata (*INRIA Sophia Antipolis, France*)
 Meritxell Vinyals (*Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique, France*)
 Mirko Viroli (*University of Bologna, Italy*)
 Giuseppe Vizzari (*Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, Italy*)

Yevgeniy Vorobeychik (*Vanderbilt University, US*)
 Toby Walsh (*NICTA, Australia*)
 Yanjing Wang (*Peking University, China*)
 Yi Wang (*Zhejiang University, China*)
 Martijn Warnier (*Delft University of Technology, Netherlands*)
 Mark Wilson (*University of Auckland, New Zealand*)
 Stefan Witwicki (*Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Switzerland*)
 Wayne Wobcke (*University of New South Wales, Australia*)
 Lirong Xia (*Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA*)
 William Yeoh (*New Mexico State University, USA*)
 Neil Yorke-Smith (*American University of Beirut, Lebanon*)
 Han Yu (*Nanyang Technological University, Singapore*)
 Yifeng Zeng (*Teesside University, UK*)
 Jie Zhang (*Nanyang Technological University, Singapore*)
 Jie Zhang (*University of Oxford, UK*)
 Dengji Zhao (*University of Southampton, UK*)
 Yair Zick (*Carnegie Mellon University, USA*)
 Aviv Zohar (*Hebrew University, Israel*)

Programme Committee members in the special tracks also reviewed for the main track

Innovative Applications Track:

Tina Balke (*University of Surrey, UK*)
 Lucas Bordeaux (*Microsoft Research, UK*)
 Lawrence Cavedon (*RMIT University, Australia*)
 Ricardo Choren (*IME, Brazil*)
 Alice Gao (*University of British Columbia, Canada*)
 Maira Gatti (*IBM Research, Brazil*)
 Nathan Griffiths (*University of Warwick, UK*)
 Christian Guttmann (*IVBAR & Karolinska Institute, Sweden and University of New South Wales, Australia*)
 Benjamin Hirsch (*EBTIC / Khalifa University, UAE*)
 Ian Kash (*Microsoft Research, UK*)
 Michael Kirley (*The University of Melbourne, Australia*)
 Andrew Koster (*Samsung Research Institute Brazil, Brazil*)

Hoong Chuin Lau (*Singapore Management University, Singapore*)
 Samhar Mahmoud (*King's College London, UK*)
 Simon Miles (*King's College London, UK*)
 Karen Myers (*SRI International, USA*)
 Ingrid Nunes (*Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil*)
 Nir Oren (*University of Aberdeen, UK*)
 Kostas Stathis (*Royal Holloway, University of London, UK*)
 Simon Thompson (*BT Research and Technology, UK*)
 Eduardo Valle (*State University of Campinas, Brazil*)
 Jose Vidal (*University of South Carolina, USA*)
 Rym Z. Wenkstern (*University of Texas at Dallas, USA*)
 Rong Yang (*Palo Alto Research Center, USA*)
 Jia Yuan Yu (*IBM Research Ireland, Ireland*)

Robotics Track:

Francesco Amigoni (*Politecnico di Milano, Italy*)
 John Anderson (*University of Manitoba, Canada*)
 Jacky Baltes (*University of Manitoba, Canada*)
 Sven Behnke (*Univ Bonn, Germany, Germany*)
 Sonia Chernova (*Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA*)
 Francesco Maria Delle Fave (*Disney Research, USA*)
 John M. Dolan (*Carnegie Mellon University, USA*)
 Joseph Andrew Giampapa (*Carnegie Mellon University, USA*)
 Michael A. Goodrich (*Brigham Young University, USA*)
 Nick Hawes (*The University of Birmingham, UK*)
 Daniel Hennes (*European Space Agency, Netherlands*)
 Koen Hindriks (*Delft University of Technology, Netherlands*)
 Matteo Leonetti (*University of Texas at Austin, USA*)
 Michael Lewis (*University of Pittsburgh, USA*)
 Somchaya Liemhetcharat (*Institute for Infocomm Research, Singapore*)
 Pedro Lima (*Instituto Superior Técnico, U. Lisboa, Portugal*)
 Francisco Melo (*INESC-ID/Instituto Superior Técnico, Portugal*)
 Cetin Mericli (*Carnegie Mellon University, USA*)
 Tekin Mericli (*Carnegie Mellon University, USA*)
 Frans Oliehoek (*University of Liverpool, UK and University of Amsterdam, Netherlands*)
 Maurice Pagnucco (*The University of New South Wales, Australia*)
 Rui P. Rocha (*University of Coimbra, Portugal*)
 Stephen F. Smith (*Carnegie Mellon University, USA*)
 Karl Tuyls (*University of Liverpool, UK*)
 Rodrigo Ventura (*Instituto Superior Técnico, Portugal*)
 Yang Xu (*Univ. Electronic Science and Tech of China, China*)

Virtual Agents and Humans Track:

Jan Allbeck (*George Mason University, USA*)
 Kirsten Bergmann (*Bielefeld University, Germany*)
 Angelo Cafaro (*CNRS-LTCI, Telecom-ParisTech, France*)
 Marc Cavazza (*University of Teeside, UK*)
 Berardina De Carolis (*University of Bari, Italy*)
 Etienne de Sevin (*MASA Group, France*)
 Patrick Gebhard (*DFKI, Germany*)
 Charlotte Gerritsen (*NSCR, Netherlands*)

Marco Gillies (*Goldsmiths, University of London, UK*)
 Lynne Hall (*University of Sunderland, UK*)
 Dirk Heylen (*University of Twente, Netherlands*)
 Andrea Kleinsmith (*University of Florida, USA*)
 Brent Lance (*Army Research Laboratory, USA*)
 Margot Lhommet (*Northeastern University, USA*)
 Birgit Lugin (*Augsburg University, Germany*)
 Brian Magerko (*Georgia Institute of Technology, USA*)
 Yukiko Nakano (*Seikei University, Japan*)
 Mark Neerincx (*TNO, Netherlands*)
 Radoslaw Niewiadomski (*Genova University, Italy*)
 Alexandros Papangelis (*Carnegie Mellon Univ., USA*)
 Stefan Rank (*Drexel University, USA*)
 Laurel Riek (*University of Notre Dame, USA*)
 Thomas Rist (*University of Applied Sciences Augsburg, Germany*)
 Nicolas Sabouret (*University Paris-Sud, France*)
 Daniel Schulman (*VA Boston Healthcare System, USA*)
 Magy Seif El-Nasr (*Northeastern University, USA*)
 Mei Si (*Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA*)
 Candy Sidner (*Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA*)
 Von-Wun Soo (*National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan*)
 Ah-Hwee Tan (*Nanyang Technological University, Singapore*)
 Herwin van Welbergen (*Bielefeld University, Germany*)

Blue Sky Ideas Track:

Ana Bazzan (*UFRGS, Brazil*)
 Daniel Corkill (*Univ. of Massachusetts, Amherst, USA*)
 Stephen Crane field (*University of Otago, New Zealand*)
 Rino Falcone (*ISTC-CNR, Italy*)
 Boi Faltings (*EPFL, Switzerland*)
 Michael Huhns (*University of South Carolina, USA*)
 Barbara J. Grosz (*Harvard University, USA*)
 Sarit Kraus (*Bar-Ilan University, Israel*)
 Kevin Leyton-Brown (*University of British Columbia, Canada*)
 Jörg P. Müller (*Technische Universität Clausthal, Germany*)
 H. Van Dyke Parunak (*Soar Technology, USA*)
 Adrian Pearce (*University of Melbourne, Australia*)
 Iyad Rahwan (*Masdar Institute, UAE*)
 Onn Shehory (*IBM Research, Israel*)
 Carles Sierra (*IIIA-CSIC, Spain*)
 Kagan Tumer (*Oregon State University, USA*)
 Michael Wellman (*University of Michigan, USA*)

AAMAS 2015 Additional Reviewers

Luca Aceto	Dario Della Monica	Julian Kalinowski
Aamir Ahmad	Sophie Dennisen	Maryam Kamali
Sebastian Ahrndt	Duarte Dias	Giorgos Karafotias
Charilaos Akasiadis	Jan Drchal	Majid Karimi
Mohammad Al-Zinati	Malcolm Egan	Ehsan Khamespanah
Ashkan Aleali	Mohamed El Menshawy	Piyush Khandelwal
Khalid Alhamed	Anestis Fachantidis	Chyon Hae Kim
Georgios Amanatidis	Caroline Faur	Thomas King
Alba Amato	Paolo Felli	Kohei Kishida
Luis Antunes	Aris Filos-Ratsikas	Michal Knapik
John Atkinson	Avelino Forechi	Max Knobbout
Jorge Baier	Christopher Frantz	Andrey Kolobov
João Balsa	Nurit Gal-Oz	Zohar Komarowsky
Laura Barbulescu	Lucie Galand	George Krimpas
Nathanael Barrot	Chris Geib	Philipp Kulms
Nicola Basilico	Christian Geist	Akshat Kumar
Atef Ben Youssef	Hongxing Geng	Oded Lachish
Martin Berger	Mira Gonen	Aron Laszka
Nathalie Bertrand	Ilaria Gori	Allen Lavoie
Holger Billhardt	Ruocheng Guo	Michel Lemaître
Kenneth Bogert	Patricia Gutierrez	Omer Lev
Michael Borish	Rafik Hadfi	Vadim Levit
Matthieu Boussard	Chen Hajaj	Yoad Lewenberg
Florian Brandl	Shivashankar Halan	Marco Lippi
Erik Brinkman	James Hales	Omer Litov
Matthew Brown	Chung-Wei Hang	Miao Liu
Jesús Capitán	Jens Ulrik Hansen	Marin Lujak
Henrique Cardoso	Paul Harrenstein	Benny Lutati
Alan Carlin	Chris Hazard	Simon Mackenzie
José Carlos Castillo Montoya	Pablo Hernandez-Leal	Faustine Maffre
Roi Ceren	Tasuku Hiraishi	Vadim Malvone
Mithun Chakraborty	Johannes Hofbauer	Efrat Manisterski
Muthukumaran Chandrasekaran	Ping Hou	Janusz Marecki
Tristan Charrier	Christopher-Eyk Hrabia	Clodéric Mars
Chris Child	Hung-Hsuan Huang	Maria Vanina Martinez
Mathieu Chollet	Thomas Huraux	Szymon Matejczyk
Caroline Chopinaud	Shigeru Imai	Mohamed Mehdi
Natalia Criado	Athirai A. Irissappane	Artur Meski
Ionut Damian	Ali Jafari	Jason Metcalf
Maximilien de Bayser	Marzieh Jahanbazi	Ahmed Abdel Moamen
Bart de Keijzer	Wafa Johal	Morteza Mohagheghi
Elena del Val	Vicente Julián	Anahita Mohseni-Kabir
Argyrios Deligkas	Antonis Kakas	Julia S. Mollee

Luis Moniz
Eduardo Morales
Tim Muller
Paresh Nakhe
Ramasuri Narayanam
Ilan Nehama
Daniel Neugebauer
Nhan-Tam Nguyen
Thanh Nguyen
Truc-Vien T. Nguyen
Naoyuki Nide
Agata Niescieruk
Antoine Nongaillard
Eric Nunes
Joel Oren
Gabriel Orsini
Domenico Pascarella
Stacy Patterson
Alexandre Pauchet
José Nuno Pereira
Giuseppe Perelli
Alan Perotti
Dominik Peters
Rens Philipsen
Sebastien Picault
Bilal Piot
Fabio Piva
Gleb Polevoy
Emmanouil Pountourakis
Denis Pozo
Tiago Primo

Yann Prudent
Alberto Quattrini Li
David Rajaratnam
Cristian Ramirez Atencia
Anja Rey
Lisa Rey
Alessandro Riva
Victor Rodriguez Fernandez
Abdallah Saffidine
Balduino Santos Neto
Alessandro Sapienza
Julien Saunier
Hilmar Schadrack
Lena Schend
Ann-Kathrin Selker
Pedro Sequeira
Paolo Serafino
Paolo Sernani
Elham Shaabani
Nisarg Shah
Benjamin Shih
Jivko Sinapov
Liat Sless
Yonatan Sompolinsky
Lei Song
Ekhlhas Sonu
Roni Stern
Peter Stone
Alina Strachocka
Filipo Studzinski Perotto
Andrzej Szalas


Paweł Szmeja
Maciej Szreter
Seyed Amin Tabatabaei
Mateusz Tarkowski
Bas Testerink
Jesse Thomason
Satoshi Tojo
Andrea Turrini
Paulo Urbano
Joana Urbano
Mahsa Varshosaz
Tiago Veiga
Angelina Vidali
Marlo Vieira dos Santos e Souza
Alexandros Voudouris
Elaine Wah
David Wajc
Yonghong Wang
Bryce Wiedenbeck
Mason Wright
Fan Xie
Yuan Xu
Haifeng Xu
Amulya Yadav
Chun Ye
Logan Yliniemi
Alexandros Zenonos
Haifeng Zhang
Shiqi Zhang
Peng Zhou
Inon Zuckerm

AAMAS 2015 Sponsors and Supporters

Emerald:



Gold



Silver



Bronze



In cooperation with



Awards

ACM SIGART Autonomous Agents Research Award

The ACM SIGART Autonomous Agents Research Award is an annual award for excellence in research in the area of autonomous agents. The award is intended to recognize researchers in autonomous agents whose current work is an important influence on the field. The award is an official ACM award, funded by an endowment created by ACM SIGART from the proceeds of previous Autonomous Agents conferences. Candidates for the award are nominated through an open nomination process. Previous winners of the SIGART Autonomous Research Award were Michael Wellman (2014), Jeffrey S. Rosenschein (2013), Moshe Tennenholtz (2012), Joe Halpern (2011), Jonathan Gratch and Stacy Marsella (2010), Manuela Veloso (2009), Yoav Shoham (2008), Sarit Kraus (2007), Michael Wooldridge (2006), Milind Tambe (2005), Makoto Yokoo (2004), Nick Jennings (2003), Katia Sycara (2002), and Tuomas Sandholm (2001).

The selection committee for the ACM/SIGAI Autonomous Agents Research Award is pleased to announce that Dr. Catherine Pelachaud, Director of Research at CNRS at Telecom ParisTech is the recipient of the 2015 award. Dr. Pelachaud is honored for her sustained and substantial contributions to the area of intelligent virtual agents. Her seminal work in this area helped launch the area of intelligent virtual agents, and her many subsequent publications have exerted a strong influence on virtual agent research at AAMAS and the Intelligent Virtual Agents conferences. Furthermore, her research on the role that bodily communication plays in face-to-face interaction has helped set the agenda of embodied agent research. In the process, it has firmly established a research area of modeling the body, its relation to the mind and its role in social interaction. Overall, this work has filled critical gaps in agents research often ignored by the larger community.

IFAAMAS Victor Lesser Distinguished Dissertation Award

This award was started for dissertations defended in 2006 and is named for Professor Victor Lesser, a long standing member of the AAMAS community who has graduated a large number of outstanding PhD students in the area. To be eligible for the 2014 award, a dissertation had to have been written as part of a PhD defended during the year 2014, and had to be nominated by the supervisor with three supporting references. Selection is based on originality, depth, impact and written quality, supported by quality publications. Previous winners of this award were Manish Jain (2013), Birgit Endrass (2012), Daniel Villatoro (2011), Bo An (2010), Andrew Gilpin (2009), Ariel Procaccia (2008), Radu Jurca (2007), and Vincent Conitzer (2006).

The 2014 IFAAMAS Victor Lesser Distinguished Dissertation Award recipient is Dr. Yair Zick, whose thesis titled “Arbitration, Fairness and Stability: Revenue Division in Collaborative Settings” was supervised by Dr. Edith Elkind. The committee also wanted to recognise two other nominees (unordered): Dr. Tim Baarslag, whose thesis titled “What to Bid and When to Stop” was supervised by Prof. Catholijn Jonker and Dr. Koen Hindriks; and Dr. Xi (Alice) Gao, whose thesis titled “Eliciting and Aggregating Truthful and Noisy Information” was supervised by Assoc. Prof. Yiling Chen.

IFAAMAS Influential Paper Award

The International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems set up an influential paper award in 2006 to recognize publications that have made seminal contributions to the field. Such papers represent the best and most influential work in the area of autonomous agents and multi-agent systems. These papers might, therefore, have proved a key result, led to the development of a new sub-field, demonstrated a significant new application or system, or simply presented a new way of thinking about a topic that has proved influential. The award is open to any paper that was published at least 10 years before the award is made. The paper can have been published in any journal, conference, or workshop. The award is sponsored by the Agent Theories, Architectures and Languages foundation.

This year's IFAAMAS Influential Paper Award winner is Prof. Michael Littman, in recognition of his distinguished contributions to the field as exemplified by the following influential paper:

Michael L. Littman. **Markov games as a framework for multi-agent reinforcement learning.** Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML-94), New Brunswick, NJ, pp. 157-163, 1994.

Best Paper Nominations

The following papers (in alphabetical order by author) have been nominated for Best Paper:

The Dependence of Effective Planning Horizon on Model Accuracy (Page 1181)

Nan Jiang (University of Michigan)

Alex Kulesza (University of Michigan)

Satinder Singh (University of Michigan)

Richard Lewis (University of Michigan)

Efficient Decision-Making in a Self-Organizing Robot Swarm: On the Speed versus Accuracy Trade-Off (Page 1305)

Gabriele Valentini (Université Libre de Bruxelles)

Heiko Hamann (University of Paderborn)

Marco Dorigo (Université Libre de Bruxelles)

Dynamic Influence Maximization Under Increasing Returns to Scale (Page 949)

Haifeng Zhang (Vanderbilt University)

Ariel D. Procaccia (Carnegie Mellon University)

Yevgeniy Vorobeychik (Vanderbilt University)

Best Paper of the Innovative Applications Track

The following papers (in alphabetical order by author) have been nominated for Innovative Applications Track Best Paper:

Improving the Performance of Mobile Phone Crowdsourcing Applications (Page 145)

Erfan Davami (University of Central Florida)

Gita Sukthankar (University of Central Florida)

A Mechanism for Smoothly Handling Human Interrupts in Team Oriented Plans (Page 377)

Alessandro Farinelli (University of Verona)

Nicolò L. Marchi (University of Verona)

Masoume M. Raeissi (University of Verona)

Nathan Brooks (Carnegie Mellon University)

Paul Scerri (Carnegie Mellon University)

HAC-ER: A Disaster Response System Based on Human-Agent Collectives (Page 533)

Sarvapali D. Ramchurn (University of Southampton)

Trung Dong Huynh (University of Southampton)

Yuki Ikuno (University of Southampton)

Jack Flann (University of Southampton)

Feng Wu (University of Southampton)

Luc Moreau (University of Southampton)

Nicholas R. Jennings (University of Southampton)

Joel E. Fischer (University of Nottingham)

Wenchao Jiang (University of Nottingham)

Tom Rodden (University of Nottingham)

Edwin Simpson (University of Oxford)

Steven Reece (University of Oxford)

Stephen J. Roberts (University of Oxford)

Pragnesh Jay Modi Best Student Paper

The following papers (in alphabetical order by author) have been nominated for Pragnesh Jay Modi Best Student Paper:

Factored MDPs for Optimal Prosumer Decision-Making (Page 503)

Angelos Angelidakis (Technical University of Crete)

Georgios Chalkiadakis (Technical University of Crete)

Particle Field Optimization: A New Paradigm for Swarm Intelligence (Page 257)

Nathan Bell (Carleton University)

B. John Oommen (Carleton University)

Welfare Effects of Market Making in Continuous Double Auctions (Page 57)

Elaine Wah (University of Michigan)

Michael P. Wellman (University of Michigan)

Best Programme Committee Member

The following Programme Committee members (in alphabetical order) have been nominated:

Sylvain Bouveret

Reshef Meir

Timothy Norman

Metrics for Evaluating Modularity and Extensibility in HMAS Systems

Massimo Cossentino, Carmelo Lodato,
Salvatore Lopes, Patrizia Ribino
National Research Council of Italy - ICAR
cossentino,c.lodato,s.lopes,ribino@pa.icar.cnr.it

Valeria Seidita^{*}
DICGIM
University of Palermo - Italy
valeria.seidita@unipa.it

ABSTRACT

Nowadays, software systems are more and more frequently designed in order to realize complex dynamical behavior for solving complicated problems. Holonic Multi Agent Systems (HMAS) is spreading for the development of such systems since they allow to manage system requirements in terms of behaviors and organizational patterns. Traditional software engineering metrics are not useful for measuring HMAS architectures since they do not consider different nested levels of organizational structures. We want to contribute to this issue proposing some metrics for evaluating modularity and extensibility of HMAS architectures.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

D.2.8 [Software Engineering]: Metrics—*complexity measures*

General Terms

Measurement

Keywords

Metrics; Holonic Multi Agent System; Holon

1. INTRODUCTION

In several software engineering paradigms, for instance the object-oriented one, designers may rely upon strengthened metrics for evaluating and assessing, in a quite early stage of design, the quality of the architecture they are using; indeed, literature provides metrics for several quality attributes [8][4][21].

The same situation is not present in Agent Oriented Software Engineering (AOSE) and in particular in one of the most promising AOSE paradigm for facing problems related to modeling and developing complex systems, that is the Holonic Multi Agent System (HMAS) paradigm. Multi Agent Systems (MASs) have been often used as a software paradigm for developing complex systems due to the particular features of their components. The increasing complexity of the

systems in terms of behaviors and organizational patterns makes the multi agent design paradigm unable to manage alone different nested levels of organizational structures. In recent years, HMAS is spreading for the development of complex systems. But, because of the wide range of holonic architectures, in the same way of other SE areas, an open question is: how can we choose the most useful one? Which are the quality attributes that may be useful for evaluating HMAS architectures?

In [12] Magariño et al. defined a set of metrics with the aim of measuring some quality attributes of MAS architectures such as: extensibility and modularity. *Extensibility* indicates how much MAS architectures are suitable for answering to extensions and enhancing without heavy changes in their infrastructure. *Modularity* indicates whether, and at which degree, architectures (hence MAS components) may be divided and recombined without great cost.

In order to evaluate these quality attributes, [12]'s authors used a selected set of metrics coming from object oriented software engineering such as *cohesion*, *coupling*, *Fan-in* and *Fan-out* to name a few. These metrics refer to the concepts of *modules*, *components* and *dependencies* that are natively object oriented and Magariño et al. found the analogous concepts in the agent oriented architectures redefining object oriented metrics in order to be applied to MASs.

This paper starts from this work and aims at identifying metrics for evaluating *modularity* and *extensibility* quality attributes for HMAS architectures. In this work we present a deep analysis made on the HMAS systems showing that the metrics defined for MASs are often not suitable for HMASs. Thus, we propose what are the holonic concepts corresponding to the module, component and dependency to be considered in order to evaluate extensibility and modularity of HMASs. Hence, we define the coupling and cohesion metrics for HMASs.

The paper is organized as follows: section 2 illustrates the background and motivations of our work. Section 3 shows the basic concepts of object oriented metrics, their mapping to the agent oriented one and then to the HMAS paradigm. In sections 4 and 5, the HMAS metrics are presented and then some discussions and conclusions, in section 6, are drawn.

2. BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION

A MAS is a system composed of autonomous, reactive and proactive entities (i.e: agents) that by means of interactions allow the whole system to exhibit complex behaviors that satisfy system goals.

^{*}Is also with the National Research Council of Italy - ICAR.

The design of complex systems requires the management of different levels of granularity that usually have a direct consequence in the organization design. In recent years, a new design paradigm is spreading for the development of complex systems: the holonic multi agent (HMAS) design paradigm [13][11]. *Holon*, which derives from the combination of *holos* whole and the suffix *on* part, is the term, coined by Arthur Koestler in his fundamental work *The Ghost in the Machine* [15], for indicating elements of nested hierarchies of self-replicating structures (i.e: *holarchies*). A holon is, commonly, defined as a self-similar structure composed of other holons as sub-structures. A useful way to implement holarchies in software system is by means of the HMAS paradigm [11]. It allows to represent a holonic system where individual agents are driven by coordination mechanism according to the cooperation rules of the holon the agent is member of. Describing a holon involves identifying and describing several organizations. An organization is used for implementing specific behaviors, tasks distribution, decision making, cooperation, etc. . . .

In this context, it is very useful to be able to determine during the design phase, which is the most suitable holonic architecture to use in order to satisfy not only functional requirements but also some quality requirements such as having a system easily scalable and adaptable to new situations.

The main contribution of this paper is *describing and measuring quality attributes for evaluating HMAS architectures*.

We start our work from the one of Magariño et al. [12] that use a set of metrics coming from object oriented context for deriving metrics to measure some quality attributes of MASs. The core of that work is in finding a correspondence among the concepts of module, component and dependencies in the two contexts (OO and AO). Because of the intrinsic nature of HMAS paradigms we found the rationale Magariño et al. useful for analyzing HMAS systems features in order to retrieve the right concepts to be mapped onto the module, components and dependencies concepts for the holonic metrics.

Another work on applying software metrics for multi agent system is that of Nunes et al. [17]. In this work, authors propose the use of existing metrics such as NOA (*Number of Attributes*), NOM (*Number of Methods*) and LOCs (*Lines of Code*) to evaluate design modularity and stability of an evolving MAS; the work explicitly addresses features of MAS product lines and refers to concepts of Aspect Oriented Programming (AOP). From these metrics we could derive some other ones taking into account the fact that modularization is intrinsic in AOP; moreover we could map the belief and plan of BDI architecture respectively with NOA and NOM, making somehow the same work we did with Magariño et al's metrics. This is, however, not sufficient to measure the quality of HMAS architectures. In fact, NOA, NOM, LOC and many other metrics that can be extracted from procedural program and from objects are useful for analyzing the structure of the atomic elements of the system (such as classes or agents). Other approaches consider measuring specific quality of agents, such as pro-activity or autonomy [1][2]. However they do not consider higher levels of granularity that should be considered when we deal with architectures. Conversely, the metrics defined by Magariño et al. consider specific concepts of software architectures such as module and component thus giving us a good starting point for reasoning about HMAS architectures.

3. FROM OBJECT ORIENTED TO HMAS PARADIGM

In this section, we show how we move from OO to HMAS paradigm by using the work of Magariño et al. [12] as a bridge between these two worlds. In particular, starting from the definitions of coupling and cohesion, we present an analysis made on OO concepts in order to retrieve their counterparts in the HMAS context.

Coupling and *Cohesion* [16][5][4] along with *Fan-in* and *Fan-out* [20][14] are steady metrics widely used in the object oriented paradigm in order to measure how strongly two or more elements depend on (or are related to) each other. In this work, we only refer to coupling and cohesion for measuring *Modularity* and *Extensibility* and for making some reasoning on the holonic multi-agent architectures. In order to do this, we need to resume the definitions of coupling, cohesion: (i) *Coupling* is defined as the degree of interdependence between modules; (ii) *Cohesion* is concerned with the interactions among components within a module. Trying to adopt such metrics to the HMAS paradigm, the first step is to understand the meaning of concepts like *Module*, *Component* and *Dependencies* in such a context.

Module - the definition proposed by Parnas in [18] states that "a module is considered to be a responsibility assignment rather than a subprogram". According to the same paper, a piece of a system may be considered a module if it exhibits the following properties: (i) one module may be written with little knowledge of the code in another module and (ii) one module may be reassembled and replaced without reassembly of the whole system. In the OO context a similar concept may be found for the subsystem: "subsystem is a replaceable part of the system with well-defined interfaces that encapsulates the state and behavior of its contained components" [7]. In the case of complex subsystems, we may recursively apply this principle and decompose a subsystem into simpler subsystems. Hence, we can affirm that subsystem may be seen as synonymous of module for our intended goals.

Components - A component is a self contained part of a module that does not verify the two properties required for a portion of a system to be considered a module. A system can be defined as a collection of components organized in a way useful for accomplishing a specific function or set of functions [6].

Dependencies - They can refer to dependencies among components of the same module (internal dependencies) and dependencies among components of different modules (external dependencies). In object oriented architectures, there are many kinds of dependencies such as one module controlling the flow of another, modules share data, one module modifies the internal content of another module and so on.

The work of Magariño et al. [12] moves from object oriented to MAS paradigm assuming *agents* as modules of a multi agent architecture and *agent tasks* (i.e: portion of agent behavior) as components. Moreover, they found similarities among OO and AO dependencies. In object-oriented architectures, some modules can share data types (i.e. data type coupling). In AO this is equivalent to several kinds of agents that share some languages referring to specific ontologies (i.e. ontology sharing). As well as, in OO some modules need to obtain data from other modules (i.e. data coupling). In a similar way, some agents may need to request informa-

Object Oriented Paradigm		Agent Oriented Paradigm (Magariño et.al)		Holonc Paradigm	
Name	Description	Name	Description	Name	Description
Data Type Coupling	Two modules use the same data type	Ontology Sharing	Two agents share knowledge for communicating	Ontology Sharing	Two holons share knowledge for communicating
Data Coupling	Data from one module is used in another	Knowledge coupling	An agent uses knowledge of another agent	Knowledge coupling	Holons use communication for exchanging knowledge
Control Coupling	One module may control actions of another	Behavioural coupling	An agent can control the behaviour of another	Goal Coupling	A holon depend on the goal of other holons in order to fulfill its goals.
Content Coupling	A module refers to internals of another module	Inner structural coupling	An agent uses internals of another agent	Inner structural coupling	One holon is contemporarily part of two super-holons

Table 1: Kinds of Coupling in OO, AO and Holonic Architectures

tion from other agents (i.e. knowledge coupling) and so on (see Table 1 column 1 and 2 for a complete mapping).

These similarities lead to the metrics reported in [12] for measuring the Extensibility of architectures; Extensibility is affected by the *cohesion within each module* of the architecture measuring the dependencies within a module, by the *cohesion of the whole architecture* measuring the average of the cohesion of each module and by the *coupling* measuring the dependencies among modules.

The *cohesion within each module* and the *coupling* are given by the following equations:

$$Ch_m(M) = \begin{cases} \frac{IntDep(M)}{MaxDep(M)} & \text{if } NC \geq 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } NC = 1 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$C_p(X) = \begin{cases} \frac{ExtDep(X)}{MaxDep(X)} & \text{if } N \geq 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } N = 1 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

M is a module, IntDep(M) is the number of the internal dependencies, MaxDep(M)=NC*(NC-1)/2 and NC is the number of components of the module; X is an agent oriented architecture, ExtDep(X) is the number of the external dependencies, MaxDep(X) is the maximum number of possible ExtDep, N is the number of modules of the architecture.

The quality attribute on Modularity may be also measured by using cohesion, coupling.

Metrics identified in [12] are the starting point for applying object oriented metrics to other fields. Different architectural styles may have specific features that influence the identification of the concepts used for defining the before said metrics. In the following section we illustrate how we derived metrics for measuring modularity and extensibility of HMAS architectures starting from this work [12].

3.1 Architectural Styles

An architectural style is “a set of constraints on an architecture that defines a set or family of architectures satisfying them” [3]. According to this definition, major architectural styles include several options: layers, partitions, piper and filters, client-server, three/four-tiers, model-view-controller, and so on.

According to [6, p. 3-3], a software architecture is “a description of the subsystems and components of a software

system and the relationships between them”. We may regard subsystems as equivalent to the concept of module as defined by Parnas [18]. How can we map these concepts to the agent-oriented context and terminology? The easiest mapping subsystem/module we can find in an MAS is the agent itself. It naturally exhibits the two properties prescribed by Parnas and it is usually made of elements such as beliefs, rules and goals/plans that can be considered its components. Considering the agent as a subsystem/module brings a relevant consequence: software architecture overlaps agent organization. Why? According to [10]: “An organization is defined by a collection of roles that take part in systematic institutionalized patterns of interactions with other roles in a common context”. According to [6, p. 3-1]: software architecture describes “how software is decomposed and organized into components and the interfaces between those components”. Similarity between the two definitions is clear if we consider that interactions among agent roles are realized in form of communications and their specification constitutes the agent interfaces.

The holonic paradigm corresponds to the adoption of the holon as the founding element of the architectural style. In the adoption of the holonic architectural style we choose to organize the MAS according to “a self-similar or fractal structure that is stable, coherent and that consists of several holons as sub-structures and is itself a part of a greater whole” [15].

This lead us to redefine the kinds of coupling as it is shown in table 1 column 3. However these definitions are still too general for allowing us to define metrics for evaluating HMAS coupling. It might seem that the concept of holon may be directly mapped to the one of module (such as for AO context) but it not so because of some intrinsic aspects of a holon, such as its duality that we will detail later in the paper.

In the following subsection we provide some details about holonic multi-agent systems in order to detail and clear the mapping between objects and holonic agents concepts.

3.2 Redefining Concepts from the HMAS Perspective

The concept of Holon is based on the so called Janus ef-

Holon Concepts				
Holon Aspect	Government		Production	
	<i>Social</i>	<i>Functional</i>	<i>Social</i>	<i>Functional</i>
Module	Holon Group	Holon Group	Production Group	Production Group
Component	Role	Goal	Role	Social Goal, Goal
Internal Dependency	Communication	Goal Dependency	Communication, Compatibility	Goal Dependency
External Dependency	-	-	Communication, Compatibility	Goal Dependency

Table 2: Modules, Components and Dependencies for HMAS.

fect [15] that implies to see something from two different perspectives. In the case of holon, the Janus effect means to see holon as an autonomous atomic entity or as an organization of holons. A holon is a whole-part entity composed of other holons (sub-holons) and at the same time, a component of a higher level holon (the super-holon).

A holon basically acts as an autonomous entity, although cooperating to form self-organizing hierarchies of subsystems in order to achieve the *goals* of the holarchy. Each member of a holon may play *Roles*. A role is “*an expected behavior (a set of role tasks ordered by a plan) and a set of rights and obligations in the organization context. The goal of each Role is to contribute to the fulfillment of (a part of) the requirements of the organization within which it is defined. Roles may interact with other roles defined in the same organization. Roles interact by using communications within the context of the group they belong to*” [10]. Roles may be compatible, this implies two roles may be played by the same holon without conflict.

A useful way to implement holarchies in software systems is by means of the HMAS paradigm. There are basically three ways for realizing a holon in a HMAS, which differ in the degree of autonomy of its sub-holons [11]: (i) a holon realized as a federation of autonomous agents allows a full sub-holons autonomy, (ii) several agents merged into one realize a holon whose sub-holons totally lack their autonomy, (iii) a trade off of the previous ones is the realization of a holon as a moderated group.

In this work we consider a holon as a moderated group, where sub-holons give up only part of their autonomy to the super-holon. It is realized by: (i) at least one sub-holon playing the *Representative* role. It represents other members for making certain decisions or accomplishing certain tasks outside the super-holon. (ii) At least one sub-holon playing the *Head* role. The *Head* is the decision maker of the holon. It represents other members for making certain decisions or accomplishing certain tasks inside the super-holon. (iii) A set of sub-holons playing the *Peer* role. It identifies the default members, they generally perform tasks assigned by the Head. Commonly, Representative and Head coincide.

Moreover, two overlapping aspects coexist in a holon: *Government* and *Production*. The *Government* aspect deals with the governance and the administration of a super-holon. It describes the decision making process and how members organize and manage the super-holon. Conversely, *Production* aspect relates to the problem to solve and the work to be done. It depends on the application domain and it describes action coordination mechanisms and interactions between members to achieve the objectives of the super-holon. Hence, a super-holon has to contain at least two groups in order to manage these two aspects [19]: (i) a *Holon Group*,

that is a single instance of a moderated group representing the government of the super-holon. It specifies how members govern and manage the super-holon. All members of the super-Holon must belong to this group. (ii) At least a *Production Group* relates to the problem the members are collaborating to solve. This group may contain only a subset of the members of the super-holon. It describes how members interact and coordinate their actions to fulfill the super-holon tasks and objectives. It is important to underline that at the finest level of granularity, a holon is atomic, and in HMAS it may be considered as a classical Agent. As a consequence, it does not contain any group.

All these HMAS concepts have to be mapped onto the subsystems/modules and components concepts; Parnas definition and the Janus effect guided us in doing this. The Janus effect can be observed in all the aspects of a holon. Indeed, if we consider the whole holarchy a holon is a whole from the viewpoint of the holons at the same holarchy level and a part from the viewpoint of its super-holon. If we consider the organizational schema, a holon can be seen from the government perspective, looking at its holonic group, and from the production perspective, looking at its production groups. Finally, both in the two aspects, government and production, a holon can be seen from the *social structure perspective*, looking at the roles played by the member of the production group and their interactions, and from the *functional perspective*, looking at the goals that the roles of the production group have to satisfy.

The metrics for HMAS we propose take into account these two overlapping aspects. Hence, we define metrics for the government and production aspects from both the social and functional perspective. As a consequence, the concepts for module, component, internal and external dependencies we have identified in HMASs are related to these features. In particular, from the social perspective of government a module is the Holonic Group whilst a component is a Role. Roles depend each other by means of communications. From the government functional perspective, a module is also in this case corresponding to the Holonic Group, whilst a component is a Goal. Goals may depend on another goal for their satisfaction. For space concerns, in Table 2 we list all the concepts useful for defining HMAS metrics.

4. GOVERNMENT METRICS

There is a wide range of government configurations for a super-holon starting from Apanarchy to Monarchy [11][9]. For the scope of this paper, we consider the most common forms of governance adopted in HMASs (see Table 3).

Government Type	Social Structure	Responsibilities
Monarchy	1 Head, N_p Peer	Exclusive command of the Head Peers depend on head's decisions No social exchanges/activities between Head and Peer Command shared by a group of Heads Peers depend on head's decisions
Oligarchy	N_H Head, N_p Peer	No social exchanges/activities between Head and Peer All Heads are engaged in social exchanges/activities Command shared by a group of Heads Peers depend on head's decisions
Polyarchy	N_H Head, N_p Peer	Heads depend on Peers for certain decisions Peers and Heads are involved in social exchanges/activities All Heads are engaged in social exchanges/activities
Apanarchy	N_H Head, 0 Peer	Command is shared by all members All Heads are engaged in social exchanges/activities

Table 3: Government Forms.

Social Cohesion	Functional Cohesion
$SC_{Monarchy} = 0$ (3)	$FC_{Monarchy} = 0$ (4)
$SC_{Oligarchy} = \frac{n_{Head} * (n_{Head} - 1)}{(n_{Head} + n_{Peer}) * (n_{Head} + n_{Peer} - 1)}$ (5)	$FC_{Oligarchy} = \frac{k}{k + (k - 1)n_{Peer}}$ (6)
$SC_{Polyarchy} = \frac{n_{Head}}{(n_{Head} + n_{Peer})}$ (7)	$FC_{Polyarchy} = \frac{k + w * n_{Peer}}{k + (k - 1)n_{Peer}}$ (8)
$SC_{Apanarchy} = 1$ (9)	$FC_{Apanarchy} = 1$ (10)

Table 4: Government Metrics

In particular, the two opposite government types are the *Apanarchy* and the *Monarchy*. In the former, the command is completely shared between all members of the super-holon. Everyone takes part to the decision-making process. Conversely, in the latter the command is centralized in the single hands of the Head. Decisions do not have to be validated by any other member. Between *Apanarchy* and *Monarchy*, we can find intermediate government configurations such as the *Oligarchy* where a little group of heads share the command without referring to the Peer members and the *Polyarchy* where a little group of heads share the command but they have to refer to the Peers for certain decisions.

In the following sections, we present the proposed metrics for cohesion and coupling (see eq.1 and eq.2) for the discussed four kinds of government, both from the social and the functional perspective.

Social Perspective.

Let us consider a composed holon (super-holon, *SH*) with $n_M = n_{Peer} + n_{Head}$ members. In order to define coupling and cohesion for the *SH*'s holonic group we have to determine the external and internal dependencies (see eq.1 and eq.2). By definition the holonic group is decoupled from the production groups. Indeed, the holonic group does not have any external dependency with other *SH*'s groups (see Table 2). Hence, the coupling of any government form that can be adopted for the holonic group is ¹ $SC_{PHolonicGroup} = 0$.

Conversely as regards the social cohesion of the holonic group, it measures the social engagement of the *SH* members in the governance. Hence, in the holonic group internal dependencies are represented by the social exchanges (i.e: communications) among members. Looking at table 3 we can see that: (i) in the *Monarchy* there are no social exchanges because all *Peers* depend on the decisions of the single *Head*; (ii) in an *Oligarchy* all *Heads* (and only them) are engaged in social exchanges (hence: $IntDep = n_{Head} * (n_{Head} - 1)$); (iii) in a *Polyarchy*, social exchanges are established both among *Heads* and between *Peers* and *Heads* (i.e: $IntDep = n_{Head} * (n_{Head} - 1) + n_{Peer} * n_{Head}$); (iv) finally the internal dependencies for *Apanarchy* are $IntDep = n_{Head} * (n_{Head} - 1)$ because all members are *Heads* involved in mutual social exchanges. Hence, according to eq.1 and table 2, the social cohesion for each government configuration is given by the equations 3, 5, 7, 9 listed in Table 4.

In order to give an example, we assume to have a super-

holon with five members and another with ten members. According to equations 3, 5, 7 and 9, Table 5 shows the cohesion values of the holonic groups of these super-holons when different types of governance are adopted.

Government Type	N _M =5	Social Cohesion	N _M =10	Social Cohesion
Monarchy	1 Head, 4 Peer	0	1 Head, 9 Peer	0
Oligarchy	2 Head, 3 Peer	0.1	2 Head, 8 Peer	0.02
	3 Head, 2 Peer	0.3	3 Head, 7 Peer	0.06
	4 Head, 1 Peer	0.6	4 Head, 6 Peer	0.13
Polyarchy	2 Head, 3 Peer	0.4	2 Head, 8 Peer	0.2
	3 Head, 2 Peer	0.6	3 Head, 7 Peer	0.3
	4 Head, 1 Peer	0.8	4 Head, 6 Peer	0.4
Apanarchy	5 Head, 0 Peer	1	10 Head, 0 Peer	1

Table 5: Social Cohesion for government type of two different super-holons.

These results show a set of cohesion values coherent with the kind of governance. Indeed, in the range of the government types, the social engagement grows according to the decentralization of the command, which increases the social exchanges. This means that a monarchic holonic group reduces the modularity of its super-holon conversely from the *apanarchy*. Moreover, as expected the cohesion of oligarchic and polyarchic government with 10 members is less than the one with 5 members. This is because there are more components (i.e: Peers) not involved in the decision process.

Functional Perspective.

The functional perspective for a holonic group concerns the goals its roles have to satisfy in order to govern its super-holon. For the sake of clarity, we use the term *goal* for indicating goals of Roles, *social goals* for goals of the holonic group and *super-holon goal* for indicating the goal of the super-holon the holonic group belongs to. We assume that each Role may contribute with its own goals to the achievement of the social goals.

Let us suppose to have a composed holon (super-holon *SH*) with $n_M = n_{Peer} + n_{Head}$ members. Analogously to social perspective, we have to determine the external and the internal dependencies in order to define functional coupling and functional cohesion for the *SH*'s holonic group (see eq.1 and eq.2). By definition (see Table 2), the holonic group does not have any functional external dependencies with other *SH* groups (i.e: production groups). Hence, the functional coupling of the holonic group is $FC_{PHolonicGroup} = 0$.

¹Hereafter, the prefix S or F differentiates social metrics from functional ones.

Government Type	$N_M=5$	Functional Cohesion	$N_M=10$	Functional Cohesion
Monarchy	1 Head, 4 Peer	0	1 Head, 9 Peer	0
Oligarchy	2 Head, 3 Peer	0.31	2 Head, 8 Peer	0.14
	3 Head, 2 Peer	0.4	3 Head, 7 Peer	0.16
	4 Head, 1 Peer	0.6	4 Head, 6 Peer	0.18
Polyarchy	2 Head, 3 Peer	0.53	2 Head, 8 Peer	0.43
	3 Head, 2 Peer	0.6	3 Head, 7 Peer	0.44
	4 Head, 1 Peer	0.71	4 Head, 6 Peer	0.45
Apanarchy	5 Head, 0 Peer	1	10 Head, 0 Peer	1

Table 6: Functional Cohesion for government type of two different super-holons.

Conversely, the functional cohesion of the holonic group measures the social engagement of its members in terms of goals Roles have to pursue for contributing to holonic group's social goals. Looking at table 3 we can see that: (i) in a *Monarchy* there are no social activities between *Peers* and *Head*, the command (i.e: all government activities) is in the hand of the Head; (ii) in an *Oligarchy* only heads are involved in social activities for each goal (hence: $IntDep = k * n_{Head}$ where k is the number of goals to be pursued by the holonic group); (iii) in a *Polyarchy*, all *Heads* are involved in social activities for each goal and all *Peers* are involved in social activities with heads but only for a given number (w) of goals (hence: $IntDep = k * n_{Head} + w * n_{Peer} * n_{Head}$); (iv) finally in an *Apanarchy* the internal dependencies are $k * n_M$ because all members are involved in mutual social activities. Hence, according to eq.1 and to table 2, the functional cohesion for each government configuration is given by the equations 4, 6, 8 and 10 listed in Table 4.

Table 6 shows the results of functional cohesion for the same example of the previous section. In this case we consider $k = 4$ the number of goals to be pursued by the holonic group and $w = 1$ the number of goals in which *Peers* are involved. In this case too, the proposed metrics give values coherent with the expected ones. In fact, functional cohesion values grow up according to the decentralization of the command that increases the social activities.

5. PRODUCTION METRICS

A Production Group of a super-holon is related to the problem its members are collaborating to solve. As well as in the holonic group, a production group is characterized by its social and functional aspect, hence we defined metrics for cohesion and coupling for each of them.

Social Perspective.

The (production) social structure describes the way the group is organized according to specific roles played by the members of the super-holon. We have seen that in a holonic group these roles are established, we can change only the way they are organized according to the type of governance we want to implement. Conversely, in a production group the roles and their internal and external dependencies have to be wholly defined by the designer according to the problem requirements the super-holon has to satisfy. Although the number and the kind of role depend on the choice of the designer, the type of dependencies are known (see Table 2).

Let us suppose that N_R is the number of roles inside a production group then its social cohesion, according to eq.1

is given by eq.11 in Table 7. Moreover, let us suppose that N_G is the number of production groups inside a super-holon, the social cohesion of the production as a whole is given by eq.13 in Table 7.

From the social perspective, conversely the coupling measures the dependencies among production groups of a super-holon. Let N_{R_i} and N_{R_j} be the number of roles inside the i -th and j -th production group, their coupling is given by eq.12 in Table 7. Whilst, let us suppose that N_G is the number of production groups inside a super-holon, the social coupling of the production as a whole is given by the eq.14 in Table 7 where $\binom{N_G}{2}$ is the number of possible pairs of groups.

Functional Perspective.

The functional perspective for a production group concerns the goals its roles have to satisfy in order to reach the social goals. The social goals of a production group are goals that contribute to the achievement of super-holon goal. As previously said, we use the term *goal* for addressing the goal of a Role, the term *social goal* refers to goals of a production group and *super-holon goals* to goals of the super-holon the production groups belong to.

Let us suppose N_{SG} be the number of social goals related to a production group PG , N_g the total number of goals of Roles and $GoalDependency_{ij}$ the internal dependencies between the i -th *social goal* and j -th *goal*, then the PG functional cohesion is given by the eq.15 in Table 7. Whilst, let N_G be the number of production groups inside a super-holon, the functional cohesion of the production as a whole is given by the eq.17 in Table 7. Analogously, we define the functional coupling between the i -th and the j -th production groups as reported in eq.16 in Table 7, where N_{SG_i} and N_{SG_j} are the number of social goals related to the i -th and j -th production group of the super-holon and $GoalDependency_{ijkw}$ is the external dependency between k -th *social goals* of the i -th production group and the w -th *social goal* of the j -th production group.

Whilst, let N_G be the number of production groups inside a super-holon, the functional coupling of the production as a whole is given by eq.18 in Table 7 where $\binom{N_G}{2}$ is the number of possible pairs of groups.

Applying the proposed metrics in a design problem.

Let us suppose to design only the production part of a super-holon for solving the following problem: *to unload goods from a lorry in order to send them toward new destinations*. We can do this by adopting different design solutions for the super-holon, for our purposes we might consider three different organizational structures (see Fig.1).




Figure 1: Social design choices for production groups.

Social Perspective	
Social Cohesion	Social Coupling
$Sch_{ProdGroup} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_R} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{N_R} \frac{(Compatibility_{ij} + Communication_{ij})}{4N_R * (N_R - 1)} \quad (11)$	$SCp_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{R_i}} \sum_{\substack{w=1 \\ w \neq k}}^{N_{R_j}} \frac{(Compatibility_{ijkw} + Communication_{ijkw})}{4N_{R_i} * N_{R_j}} \quad (12)$
$Sch_{Production} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_G} \frac{Sch_{ProductionGroup_i}}{N_G} \quad (13)$	$SCp_{Production} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_G} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{N_G} \frac{SCp_{ij}}{\binom{N_G}{2}} \quad (14)$
Functional Perspective	
Functional Cohesion	Functional Coupling
$FCh_{ProdGroup} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{SG}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_g} \frac{GoalDependency_{ij}}{N_{SG} * N_g} \quad (15)$	$FCp_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{SG_i}} \sum_{\substack{w=1 \\ w \neq k}}^{N_{SG_j}} \frac{GoalDependency_{ijkw}}{N_{SG_i} * N_{SG_j}} \quad (16)$
$FCh_{Production} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_G} \frac{Ch_{ProductionGroup_k}}{N_G} \quad (17)$	$FCp_{Production} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_G} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{N_G} \frac{FCp_{ij}}{\binom{N_G}{2}} \quad (18)$

Table 7: Production Metrics

In the first case (see Fig.1 a)), we designed one super-holon with only one production group including four roles: an *AGV* role devoted to unload goods from a lorry and to transport them to the sorter; a *Route Planner* that manages the routes the AGV uses to move toward/from the lorry to be unloaded; a *Sorter* that packages goods and gives them to the proper Truck (see next role), and finally a *Truck* that transports packages to their destination (several trucks leave the yard at the same time, the Sorter sends each parcel to the right one). Moreover, we established that four communication links are necessary among these roles for exchanging information. In the second case, we designed the super-holon with two production groups (see Fig.1 b)) including five roles. In so doing, we have extracted the packaging tasks from the previously defined *Sorter* role and we have delegated them to a new role, the *Packager*. As a result, the production group C is responsible for unloading cargo and packaging accordingly to destination while production group B is responsible for delivering packages to their destination. Finally in the third case, we designed the super-holon with three production groups (see Fig.1 c)) with six roles, where we have extracted the task of unloading goods from the AGV role delegating them to a new role labelled *Unloader*; the AGV role remains responsible for delivering goods to the *Packager* only. Fig.2 shows three different functional design choices related to the three previous organizational structures. For space concerns, we omit the description of each goal also because for our purposes we are interested only to the number of goals and their dependencies.

The resulting values of social/functional cohesion and coupling are shown in Table 8. It is worth to note that these values are not very useful when interpreted as absolute values on a single design solution. They become meaningful for making a choice among different alternatives. Specifically, in accord to quality requirements prescribed by software specifications of the system to be realized, the designer can make comparisons among different architectural styles by using these values as guidelines to choose appropriate design solutions. For example, the design solution represented by the case c) of Fig.1 may provide a better modularity than the

METRICS	Case a)	Case b)		Case c)		
	Production Group A	Production Group B	Production Group C	Production Group D	Production Group E	Production Group F
Social	SC _{ProductionGroup}	0,083	0,125	0,125	0,125	0,25
	SC _{Production}	0,083	0,125		0,167	
	SC _{p_i}	0	Cp_BC=0,083		Cp_DE=0,125	
	SC _{p_i}	0	0,083		Cp_DF=0	
Functional	FC _{ProductionGroup}	0,42	0,75	1	1	1
	FC _{Production}	0,42	0,875		0,89	
	FC _{p_i}	0	FCp_BC=1		FCp_DE=1	
	FC _{p_i}	0	1		FCp_DF=1	
					FCp_EF=1	
					1	

Table 8: Social cohesion and coupling for different design choices of Production.

others, because it has the greatest social and functional cohesion and the same social and functional coupling of the case b) (see Table 8). Vice versa, although the design solution represented by the case a) has a zero coupling it is not a good choice for modularity, because everything is encapsulated within a single great module. Naturally, the choice of case c) is not always the right solution to be adopted. It depends on the requirements of the system to be realized.

6. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Metrics for evaluating system architectures provide useful guidelines to the designer for choosing the appropriate design solution that meets certain quality attributes. Unfortunately, relationships among metrics and quality attributes are often complex to capture and the study of such relationships is out of the scope of this paper. Traditional Object Oriented metrics as well as MAS ones are not useful for measuring HMAS architectures because they lie on different concepts of module and component that in the HMAS paradigm must take into account the holon's nested levels of organizational structures. This motivated us, to conduct a deep analysis about HMASs in order to retrieve the right elements to be mapped onto the module, components and dependency concepts. Hence, we defined the metrics to be




Figure 2: Different functional design choices for Production of a super-holon.

applied in order to determine the cohesion and coupling for a given (super-)holon. Now, in order to give a complete view on the entire holonic architecture, it is necessary to further define the metrics for determining the cohesion and coupling measures for the whole holarchy. We deduced those directly from the previous ones.

Let us consider a holarchy with N_{SH} super-holons, the social cohesion of the whole holonic architecture is given by:

$$Sch_a = \sum_{w=1}^{N_{SH}} \frac{Sch_{SH}}{N_{SH}} \quad (19)$$

$$Sch_{SH} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N_G} Sch_k + Sch_{HolonGroup}}{N_G + 1} \quad (20)$$

where Sch_{SH} is the social cohesion of a super-holon, N_G is the number of production groups inside the super-holon, Sch_k is the cohesion for each production group given by eq.11 and $Sch_{HolonGroup}$ is the cohesion for the holonic group of the super-holon given by one of eq.3 - 9 according to the type of government adopted for the super-holon.

Analogously, let us consider a holarchy with N_{SH} super-holons, the social coupling of the whole architecture is given by:

$$SCp_a = \sum_{w=1}^{N_{SH}} \frac{SCp_{SHw}}{N_{SH}} \quad (21)$$

$$SCp_{SH} = SCp_{Production} \quad (22)$$

where Cp_{SH} is the social coupling of a super holon equals to $SCp_{Production}$ because $Cp_{HolonGroup} = 0$. As concerns functional cohesion and coupling of a holarchy, they are analogous to the previous ones.

It is also worth to point out that these metrics can be effectively employed as a part of a methodological approach for designing holonic systems. For instance, by using an iterative and incremental approach these metrics may provide the designer a step by step measure of the satisfaction of quality requirements imposed for the system to be realized. Moreover, assuming the metrics integrated in a CASE tool for designing holonic systems, a designer could be able to immediately know the impact of an architectural change on the whole system thus having the possibility to make a correction if the new choice should negatively affects some quality requirements. By now, we are working on a suite of metrics for evaluating other quality attributes for HMASs in order to provide useful means for supporting design choices. This metrics suite will be incorporated into a HMAS design tool that will provide functionalities for automatically calculating them.

Acknowledgments

This work has been partially founded by PO-FESR Sicilia 2007-2013 Project OCCP-Open Cloud Computing Platform a misura di organizzazioni agili.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Alonso, J. Fuertes, L. Martinez, and H. Soza. Towards a set of measures for evaluating software agent autonomy. In *Artificial Intelligence, 2009. MICAI 2009. Eighth Mexican International Conference on*, pages 73–78, Nov 2009.
- [2] F. Alonso, J. Fuertes, L. Martinez, and H. Soza. Measuring the pro-activity of software agents. In *Software Engineering Advances (ICSEA), 2010 Fifth International Conference on*, pages 319–324, Aug 2010.
- [3] L. Bass, P. Clements, and R. Kazman. *Software architecture in practice*. Addison-Wesley Professional, 2003.
- [4] B. W. Boehm, J. R. Brown, H. Kaspar, M. Lipow, G. J. MacLeod, and M. J. Merrit. *Characteristics of software quality*, volume 1. North-Holland Publishing Company, 1978.
- [5] B. W. Boehm, J. R. Brown, and M. Lipow. Quantitative evaluation of software quality. In *Proceedings of the 2nd international conference on Software engineering*, pages 592–605. IEEE Computer Society Press, 1976.
- [6] P. Bourque and R. Dupuis. Guide to the software engineering body of knowledge 2004 version. *Guide to the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge, 2004. SWEBOK*, pages –, 2004.
- [7] B. Bruegge and A. H. Dutoit. *Object-Oriented Software Engineering Using UML, Patterns and Java-(Required)*. Prentice Hall, 2004.
- [8] S. R. Chidamber and C. F. Kemerer. A metrics suite for object oriented design. *Software Engineering, IEEE Transactions on*, 20(6):476–493, 1994.
- [9] M. Cossentino, N. Gaud, V. Hilaire, S. Galland, and A. Koukam. ASPECS: an agent-oriented software process for engineering complex systems - how to design agent societies under a holonic perspective. *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems*, 2(2):260–304, mar 2010.
- [10] M. Cossentino, V. Hilaire, A. Molesini, and V. Seidita, editors. *The ASPECS process*, chapter 4, pages 216–236. Springer, 1 edition, 2013.
- [11] K. Fischer, M. Schillo, and J. Siekmann. Holonic multiagent systems: A foundation for the organisation of multiagent systems. In V. Mařík, D. McFarlane, and P. Valckenaers, editors, *Holonic and Multi-Agent Systems for Manufacturing*, volume 2744 of *LNCS*, pages 71–80. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2003.
- [12] I. García-Magariño, M. Cossentino, and V. Seidita. A metrics suite for evaluating agent-oriented architectures. In *Proceedings of the 2010 ACM Symposium on Applied Computing, SAC '10*, pages 912–919, New York, NY, USA, 2010. ACM.
- [13] C. Gerber, J. Siekmann, and G. Vierke. Holonic multi-agent systems. Research Report RR-99-03, DFKI, 1999.
- [14] S. H. Kan. *Metrics and Modes in Software Quality Engineering*. International Computer Science Series. Addison Wesley, Pearson Education, second edition edition, 2002.
- [15] A. Koestler. *The Ghost in the Machine*. Hutchinson, 1967.
- [16] J. McCall, P. Richards, and G. Walters. Factors in software quality, volumes i, ii and iii. Technical report, US Rome Air Development Center Reports, US Department of Commerce, USA, 1977.
- [17] C. Nunes, U. Kulesza, C. Sant’Anna, I. Nunes, A. F. Garcia, and C. J. P. de Lucena. Assessment of the design modularity and stability of multi-agent system product lines. *J. UCS*, 15(11):2254–2283, 2009.
- [18] D. L. Parnas. On the criteria to be used in decomposing systems into modules. *Commun. ACM*, 15(12):1053–1058, Dec. 1972.
- [19] P. Ribino, C. Lodato, S. Lopes, V. Seidita, V. Hilaire, and M. Cossentino. A norm-governed holonic multi-agent system metamodel. In *Agent Oriented Software Engineering (AOSE)*, 2013.
- [20] I. Sommerville. *Software Engineering*. International Computer Science Series. Addison Wesley, Pearson Education, seventh edition, 2004.
- [21] S. A. Whitmire. *Object oriented design measurement*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1997.