

PROGRESS IN NUTRITION

Journal of Nutrition and Internal Medicine

Indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SciSearch®); Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition; Excerpta Medica/Embase, ISI Web of Science
Impact Factor: 0,312

MATTIOLI 1885



PROGRESS IN NUTRITION

JOURNAL OF NUTRITIONAL AND INTERNAL MEDICINE

Organo Ufficiale della Società Italiana di Scienza dell'Alimentazione (S.I.S.A.)
Con il patrocinio dell'Associazione Ricercatori di Nutrizione e Alimenti (A.R.N.A.)

FONDATORE / FOUNDING EDITOR

Massimo Cocchi
Scottish Agricultural College, Edinburgh

PRESIDENTE / PRESIDENT

Andrea Strata
Università di Parma

DIRETTORE SCIENTIFICO / EDITOR

Leone Arsenio
Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria di Parma

DIRETTORE RESPONSABILE /
DEPUTY EDITOR

Federico Cioni

COMITATO SCIENTIFICO / EXECUTIVE EDITORS

F. Arfini

Università di Parma

D. Atkinson

Scottish Agricultural College, Edinburgh

G. Ballarini

Università di Parma

S. Bernasconi

Università di Parma

R. Berni Canani

Università di Napoli

G. Bertoni

Università di Piacenza

S.E. Carlson

Kansas City University

E.L. Cavalieri

University of Nebraska

F. Di Lisa

Università di Padova

G. Fatati

Università di Terni

N.G. Frega

Università di Ancona

C. Galli

Università di Milano

C. Giacomini

Università di Parma

M. Gualerzi

Direttore Sanitario Terme di Salsomaggiore e Tabiano, Parma

G.M. Halpern

Hong Kong Polytechnic University

E.L. Iorio

*Presidente Osservatorio Internazionale dello
Stress Ossidativo, Salerno*

T. Leighton

Berkeley Università

M.C. Mancini

Università di Parma

R. Marchelli

Università di Parma

P. Migliaccio

Università Sapienza di Roma

A.L. Mordenti

Università di Bologna

K. Mullis

Premio Nobel per la Chimica 1993

S.M. Nabavi

Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tebran, Iran

F. Nicastro

Università di Bari

R.C. Noble

Scottish Agricultural College of Edinburgh

B. Palmieri

Università degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia

G. Palmieri

Primario Emerito Medicina Interna, Azienda Ospedaliera

Ospedale Niguarda Ca' Granda, Milano

G. Riccardi

Università di Napoli

C.M. Rotella

Università di Firenze



PUBLISHER

Mattioli 1885 srl Casa Editrice
Strada di Lodesana, 649/sx, Loc. Vaio

43036 Fidenza (PR), Italy

Tel. ++39 0524 530383

Fax ++39 0524 82537

E-mail: edit@mattioli1885.com

www.progressinnutrition.it



Mattioli 1885

srl- Strada di Lodesana 649/sx
Loc. Vaio - 43036 Fidenza (Parma)
tel 0524/530383
fax 0524/82537
www.mattioli1885.com

DIREZIONE GENERALE
Direttore Generale
Paolo Cioni
Vice Presidente e Direttore Scientifico
Federico Cioni

DIREZIONE EDITORIALE
Editing Manager
Anna Scotti
Editing
Valeria Ceci
Foreign Rights
Nausicaa Cerioli

MARKETING E PUBBLICITÀ
Direttore Commerciale
Marco Spina
Responsabile Area ECM
Simone Agnello
Project Manager
Natalie Cerioli
Massimo Radaelli
Responsabile Distribuzione
Massimiliano Franzoni

PROGRESS IN NUTRITION
Registrazione Tribunale di Parma
N. 4 del 21/1/1999

Spedizione in abbonamento postale
Abbonamento annuale euro 57

I dati sono stati trattati elettronicamente e utilizzati dall'editore Mattioli 1885 spa per la spedizione della presente pubblicazione e di altro materiale medico scientifico. Ai sensi dell'Art. 13 L. 675/96 è possibile in qualsiasi momento e gratuitamente consultare, modificare e cancellare i dati o semplicemente opporsi all'utilizzo scrivendo a: Mattioli 1885 srl - Casa Editrice, Strada della Lodesana 249/sx, Loc. Vaio, 43036 Fidenza (PR) o a direct@mattioli1885.com

Progress in Nutrition is indexed by: Science Citation Index Expanded (SciSearch®); Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition; Excerpta Medica/Embase, ISI Web of Science; Scopus

Impact Factor (released in June 2016): 0.312

INDEX

Volume 18 / n. 3

September 2016

Reviews

- 195 *Komeela Cannoosamy, Rajesh Jeewon*
A critical assessment of nutrition labelling and determinants of its use and understanding
- 205 *Alida Abruzzo, Giuseppe Damiano, Roberta Altomare, Vincenzo Davide Palumbo, Giovanni Tomasello, Salvatore Buscemi, Giulia Lo Monte, Carolina Maione, Giuseppe Buscemi, Attilio Ignazio Lo Monte*
The influence of some dietary components on intestinal microbiota

Original articles

- 213 *Luisella Vigna, A Cossovich, C Barberi, D Sommaruga, L Neri, D Conti, F De Simone, GM Agnelli, L Riboldi*
Weight loss and body composition change among workers undergoing a meal replacement dietary program: feasibility and efficacy
- 222 *Hülya Yardimci, Gülperi Hakli, Funda Pınar Çakiroğlu, Ayşe Özfer Özçelik*
The correlation between body conception and actual body mass index among adolescents: some variables and their role
- 231 *Elham Fazeli, Mahin Tafazoli, Mohsen Nematy, Narjes Babri*
The relationship between the dietary protein intake and functional ovarian cysts
- 236 *Seyed Mohammad Hosein Mousavi Jazayeri, Alireza Ostadrahimi, Abdolrasoul Safaiyan, Shabryar Hashemzadeh, Firouz Salehpour*
Effects of four different enteral feeding methods on tumor Necrosis Factor- α (TNF- α) and high sensitive C-Reactive Protein (hs-CRP) in critically Ill Patients: double blinded, randomized controlled trial
- 242 *Ala Ali Qatatsheh, Ibrahim R. Dabbour, Abdallah S. Al-rethaia, Murad A. Al-Holy, Sharaf S. Omar*
Iron status in healthy subjects living in Jordan
- 249 *Sarah Al Nasir, Zubaida Abdel Nabi Bakeet, Nawal Al Badar, Shaista Arzoo*
Study on etiology of iron deficiency anemia and effect of iron supplementation in women of childbearing age
- 258 *Duangkamon Ngarmpattarakoon, Bunchoo Pongtanakul, Pithi Chanvorachote, Kulwara Meksawan*
Effect of vitamin E supplementation on oxidative stress in non-transfusion-dependent thalassemia
- 266 *Greta Kresic, Mihela Dujmović, Nikolina Mrduljas*
Inadequate water intake of breastfeeding women
- 276 *Mario Migaldi, Giulio Rossi, Alessandro Sgambato, Alberto Farinetti, Anna Vittoria Mattioli*
Histological and immunohistochemical analysis of meat-based food preparations
- 283 *Mario Orlandi, Maria Claudia Curadi, Lucia Monti, Tiziana Maria Piera Cattaneo*
Sialylated oligosaccharides in mare and ass milk: preliminary results
- 288 *Khalid M Elhindi, Nasser A. Al-Subaibani, Ahmed F. Sharaf El-Din, Sobhy M Yakout, Salem M. Al-Amri*
Effect of foliar-applied iron and zinc on growth rate and essential oil in sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) under saline conditions
- 299 *Saeed Samarghandian, Mohsen Azimi-Nezhad, Abasalt Borji, Tabereh Farkhondeh*
Crocus sativus L. (saffron) extract reduces the extent of oxidative stress and proinflammatory state in aged rat kidney

The influence of some dietary components on intestinal microbiota

Alida Abruzzo¹, Giuseppe Damiano², Roberta Altomare³, Vincenzo Davide Palumbo⁶, Giovanni Tomasello^{5,6}, Salvatore Buscemi¹, Giulia Lo Monte⁴, Carolina Maione², Giuseppe Buscemi^{2,3}, Attilio Ignazio Lo Monte^{2,3}

¹PhD Course in Surgical Biotechnology and Regenerative Medicine, University of Palermo, Italy; ²University Hospital "P.Giaccone", Palermo, Italy - E-mail: attilioignazio.lomonte@unipa.it; ³Department of Surgical, Oncological and Stomatological Disciplines, University of Palermo, School of Medicine, Palermo, Italy; ⁴School of Biotechnology, University of Palermo, Italy; ⁵Department of Experimental Biomedicine and Clinical Neuroscience, Section of Human Anatomy, (BIONEC), University of Palermo, Italy; ⁶Euro-Mediterranean Institute of Science and Technology (IEMEST), Palermo, Italy

Summary. The gut microbiota is the set of symbiotic microorganisms that resides in our intestine. The interaction between the gut microbiota and the mucosal immune system can be altered as a result of shifts in the composition or metabolic activity of the intestinal microflora. Recent studies establish that diet is one of the main involved factors in determining the microbial composition of the gut suggesting its role as external factor able to promote the onset of specific diseases by disrupting the immune homeostasis. Starting from the evidence that the 57% of the gut microbiota's entire variation are due to dietary alterations this review aims at providing an overview of the positive impact of some dietary components on gut microbiota composition.

Key words: dysbiosis, gut microbiota, diet, inflammatory bowel disease, IBD

Introduction

The human gut microbiota is a densely populated bacterial community with approximately about 100 trillions of bacteria and more than 500 different species in the colon that can reach a concentration or density of 9×10^{13} units (1). It is a good example of mutualistic relationship since the mammalian hosts provide a uniquely suited environment in return for physiological benefits provided to them by their gut microbiota (2). Examples of the latter include the fermentation of indigestible carbohydrates to produce short chain fatty acids (SCFA) that are utilized by the host, the biotransformation of conjugated bile acids, the synthesis of certain vitamins, the degradation of dietary oxalates, the hydrolysis of urea by urease activ-

ity, the participation in host nitrogen balance, and the modulation of the mucosal immune system. Mainly, the microbiota exerts physiological functions that include the growth inhibition of pathogenic bacteria, the absorption of nutrients and minerals, the regulation of the intestinal immune system and the signals of gut cell renewal, the synthesis of vitamins and amino acids, and the degradation of protein compounds (3). The dietary components are the energy sources that support the microbial community of the large intestine. The anaerobic metabolism by the microbial community in the colon produces SCFA, CO₂, H₂ and CH₄ (4). SCFAs, in particular, play a direct role in the maintenance of intestinal health and in the onset of disease. They originate from the bacterial fermentation of polysaccharides, oligosaccharides, and glycoprotein precursors

and are produced in different amounts in the different tracts of the intestine. SCFAs influence the gut environment and the host as regulators of gene expression and cell differentiation and anti-inflammatory agents (5,6). They are also fundamental for mucous membrane growth control and regulate the proliferation of colonic epithelial cells. The butyrate, in particular, enhances the growth of a specific group of bacteria such as *Lactobacilli* and *Bifidobacteria*, which play a very important role in the physiology of colonocytes (7).

In this review we introduce the preliminary findings reported in literature in order to maintain a healthy resident gut microbiota. Prior to this, however, a brief description of the microbiota and its alteration is given.

Dysbiosis

The term “dysbiosis” was originally coined by Metchnikoff to describe altered pathogenic bacteria in the gut (8). This condition leads to qualitative and quantitative changes in the intestinal flora, its metabolic activity and its local distribution (9). The dysbiosis hypothesis states that the modern diet and lifestyle, as well as the use of antibiotics, have led to the disruption of the normal intestinal microflora. These factors result in alterations in bacterial metabolism, as well as the overgrowth of potentially pathogenic microorganisms. It is believed the growth of these bacteria in the intestines results in the release of potentially toxic products that play a role in many disease conditions (8), including irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) (10) and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) (11,12) as well as more systemic conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis (13,14). Different studies have shown, in particular, reduced diversity of the gut microbiota in IBD patients (15–18). The most consistent observations of altered composition of the gut microbiota are a reduction in *Firmicutes* and an increase in *Proteobacteria* (15,16,19,20).

The reduced diversity of the gut microbiota observed is largely due to a decline in the diversity of *Firmicutes*. Among *Firmicutes*, has been reported a decrease in the *Clostridium leptum* groups, especially *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* (16,21,22).

Methods

This review aims to provide an up-to-date overview of the influence of some dietary components on intestinal microbiota composition.

Literature search

Relevant articles were identified through two different search methods: (1) a PubMed search to identify related papers and books, using the following keywords: gut microbiota, dysbiosis, diet, cereal, coffee, pomegranates, wine, resveratrol, inulin, broccoli, banana, chocolate, inflammatory bowel disease; (2) a check of the reference lists of original studies or reviews for additional publications.

Study selection

The title and abstract of each study identified in the search was screened to determine the study's eligibility for full review. The full-text report was retrieved if the study investigated or potentially investigated the effects of some dietary components on gut microbiota. Only human studies published in English were included.

Foods that can modulate the intestinal microbiota exerting positive effects on health

Some preliminary studies suggest that dysbiosis is caused by genetic and environmental factors, rather than being a consequence of inflammation.

Zhang et al. (23) reported that dietary alterations are responsible for 57% of the gut microbiota's entire variation, whereas genetic background explains only 12%. Moreover, changes in dietary factors cause both quantitative and qualitative shifts in the supply of substrates available to the intestinal microbiota (24). On the basis of these observations, it becomes clear that dietary factors can lead to the prevention of dysbiosis that seems to be the prelude to the onset of several diseases such as inflammatory bowel diseases. Keys' studies (25) have showed the nutritional value of the Mediterranean Diet that thanks to the high supply of

fibres and antioxidants favours the prevalence of saccharolytic bacterial species responsible of the short-chain fatty acids production, while Western Diet promotes the shift towards a proteolytic profile which leads to dysbiosis (26). Although each adult's gut shows a unique microbiome community with a stable structure (core), diet can modulate resident and traveler microbes altering the balance towards a potentially healthier microflora (27). Emerging evidences have already showed that a very low-carbohydrate, high-fat (LC) diet compared with a high-carbohydrate, high-fibre, low-fat (HC) diet leads to reductions in fecal output, concentrations of butyrate and total SCFA and counts of *bifidobacteria* (28).

Furthermore, long-term intake of fiber can reduce the risk of developing Crohn's disease (29). This could be explained considering that fibers derived from fruits are soluble and so inhibit the translocation of *E. Coli* across Peyer's patches maintaining the intestinal barrier function. Some fruits are able to activate also the aryl hydrocarbon receptor that can mediate protection against environmental antigens activating dioxin- or xenobiotic-response element sequences.

Several non-digestible carbohydrates, such as glucans, galactans, arabinoxylans (AX), resistant starch (dextrin), can be fermented by the gut microbiota leading to a switch of microflora's composition (30,31). Significant studies demonstrate that AX added to a high-fat diet led to an increase in *bifidobacteria*, particularly *Bifidobacterium animalis* subsp. *lactis*. AX also restored the cecal content of Gram-negative *Bacteroides-Prevotella* spp. and Gram-positive *Roseburia* spp. bacterial populations to control levels, which were decreased following a high-fat diet. Moreover, many human studies demonstrated that fructose oligosaccharides have a bifidogenic effect on human colonic endogenous flora (32-34). Additional data showed that inulin-type fructans have the same effect on humans (35-36). By means of these, the growth of *bifidobacteria*, was measured in the stool of human volunteers fed varying amounts of prebiotics. Stool slurries were incubated with a specific prebiotic and changes in *bifidobacteria* were quantitated, the results were mixed and a dose-response curve could not be obtained (37). The bifidogenic effect could be explained by the fact that prebiotics are preferential substrates for *bifidobacteria* and interact with other bac-

teria causing environmental changes, such as changes in luminal pH and other factors.

Starting from these assumptions, we report a table (Table 1) indicating some foods and beverages with potentially positive impact on individual's health.

Chicory, artichokes, Jerusalem artichokes, garlic, onion, asparagus

In vivo human studies have confirmed both the fermentability and the bifidogenic effect of chicory fructooligosaccharides. It was detected not only a significant increase in the *bifidobacteria* population but also a profound modification of the composition of the fecal flora (31).

In a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study with forty-five volunteers was showed that Jerusalem artichoke inulin or chicory inulin can increase the number of *bifidobacteria* and reduce *bacteroides/prevotella* (38).

Daily consumption of a very-long-chain inulin extracted from globe artichoke confirmed a pronounced prebiotic effect on the human faecal microbiota composition (39).

A significative study based on in vitro batch fermentation system with human fecal microbiota evaluate the prebiotic activity of inulin. It was evidenced that Fermentation of inulin resulted in a significantly greater ratio of *Lactobacillus* or *Bifidobacteria* to *Enterobacteria* strains (40).

On the basis of these preliminary evidences all the foods with high oligofructose and inulin contents such as Jerusalem artichokes, garlic, onion and asparagus may help to maintain an healthy intestinal microenvironment thanks to their prebiotic activity.

Pomegranates and wine

It has been postulated that some phenolic compounds can readily contribute to modulation of the gut bacteria (41-43) since they are poorly absorbed in the upper part of the gut and can reach the colon to be fermented by bacteria. For example, polyphenols present in pomegranates (ellagitannins and anthocyanins) have important antioxidant and anti-inflammatory bioactivities (44). One study demonstrated that exposure of gut

Table 1. Foods and beverage that may have a positive impact on individual's health.

Food / Beverage	Effective components	Effect	Mechanism of Action	Ref.
Whole grains (eg. barley, rice, wheat)	Insoluble fibers	Feed the intestinal microbial population contributing to its equilibrium	Increase populations of bifidobacteria and lactobacilli, Increase of ferulic acid concentrations in blood	Costabile et al.,2008 Christensen et al,2013 Connolly et al,2010
Broccoli, savoy cabbage, Brussels sprouts	Glucosinolates	Feed the intestinal microbial population	Changes in bacterial metabolic activity	Li et al. 2009
Coffee	Melanoidins	Feed the intestinal microbial population	Increase the population of Bifidobacterium spp. and in some cases increase the metabolic activity of Bifidobacterium spp.	Jaquet et al.,2009 Nakayama et al,2013
Pomegranates, wine	Phenolics	Modulate the intestinal microbiota population	Exposure of by-product with faecal bacteria enhanced the growth of Bifidobacterium spp. and Lactobacillus spp. and in addition increased concentrations of short chain fatty acids Wine phenolics inhibit detrimental bacteria and stimulate beneficial bacteria, in particular Lactobacteria and Bifidobacteria	Basu & Penugonda,2009 Bialonska et al.,2010 Biasi et al.,2014 Queipo-Ortuno et al. 2012
Chicory, artichokes, Jerusalem artichokes, garlic, onion, asparagus	Inulin	Can feed selectively some good bacteria (e.g. Bifidobacteria, Lactobacilli)	Induce an increase in the number of bifidobacteria in human faecal flora Transgalactosylated disaccharide increased the number of bifidobacteria and lactobacilli	Kleessen et al,2007 Costabile et al,2010 Jung et al,2015
Banana	Fructooligosaccharides	Modulate the intestinal microbiota population	Increase in Bifidobacterial levels	Mitsou et al,2011
Chocolate, wild berries, strawberries,	Polyphenolics	Can feed selectively some good bacteria (e.g. Bifidobacteria, Lactobacilli)	Increase in the number of Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli	Tuohy et al,2012 Tzounis et al,2011 Etxeberria et al,2013

bacteria to pomegranate by-products enhanced the total growth of *Bifidobacterium* spp. and *Lactobacillus* spp. without influencing the *C. coccoides* - *E. rectale* and the *Clostridium histolyticum* groups (45). Some researchers suggest that pomegranate oligomers composed of gallic acid, ellagic acid and glucose units may account for the enhanced growth of specific bacteria associated with beneficial effects.

It has been hypothesized that wine phenolics may act as prebiotics and that their activity may derive from their ability to interact with microbes' growth, both by inhibiting detrimental bacteria and by stimulating beneficial bacteria in the gut, in particular *Lactobacteria* and *Bifidobacteria* (46,47).

A group of research investigated also the effect of polyphenols derived from fruits and showed that they are able to inhibit the growth and adhesion of pathogens (*S. typhimurium*) to a human gut cell line and to enhance the proliferation and adhesion of a probiotic, *L. rhamnosus* (29).

Broccoli, Brussels sprouts

In a randomized, crossover, controlled feeding study fecal samples were collected from 17 participants at the end of 2 14-d intake periods: a low-phytochemical, low-fiber basal diet and a high cruciferous vegetable diet. It was evidenced that cruciferous vegetable consumption can alter human gut bacterial community (48).

Coffee

Jaquet and co-workers (49) illustrate a means of modulating the human microbiota through coffee consumption. Sixteen healthy adult volunteers consumed a daily dose of three cups of coffee during 3 weeks. Fecal samples were collected before and after the consumption of coffee, and the impact of the ingestion of the product on the intestinal bacteria as well as the quantification of specific bacterial groups was assessed using nucleic acid-based methods. Data obtained showed that the population of *Bifidobacterium* spp., a bacterial group of reputed beneficial effects, increased after the 3-week test period. The same results were obtained by a Japanese study in 2013 (50).

Whole grain wheat

The efficacy of whole-grain (WG) wheat compared with wheat bran (WB) alone was evaluated in a double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study (51). Thirty-one healthy subjects were randomized in two groups and were fed either WG wheat breakfast cereal (48 g/d), or WB breakfast cereal (48 g/d) as placebo for 3 weeks. After a 2-weeks washout phase volunteers were then crossed over to the other breakfast cereal treatment for another 3 weeks. Fasting blood, 24h urine and single stool samples were collected before and after treatment with the cereals, and changes within the gut microbiota and its metabolic output in terms of SCFA profiles and plasma. It was demonstrated that is possible to beneficially modulate the gastrointestinal microbiota and their activities. WG and WB have a differential impact on the microbial ecology of the human gut. Moreover, WG breakfast cereal reveal a prebiotic mode of activity since they have been associated with increased populations of *bifidobacteria* and *lactobacilli* compared to WB. These results suggest that it would be possible to plan dietary interventions able to ensure the maintenance of a balanced microflora.

In postmenopausal women following a 12-week energy-restricted dietary intervention with whole-grain or refined wheat was evidenced a prebiotic effect in the first group (52). In particular, for the first time the impact of oat grain on the microbial ecology of the human gut was reported and was highlighted its ability of increasing *Bifidobacterium* population (53).

Banana

Thirty-four healthy women having Body Mass Index (BMI) 24-30 kg/m, age 19-45 years, no history of gastrointestinal disease and no antibiotic and other medication participated in a controlled trial (54). All women were asked to maintain their usual dietary habits for 60 days and they were randomly assigned to consume twice a day a pre-meal snack, either one medium banana, or one cup of banana-flavoured drink or one cup of water (control group). Stool samples were collected at baseline, on days 30 and 60 of intervention for enumeration of total anaerobes, *bifidobacteria* and *lactobacilli* by plate count techniques, as well as

for pH and short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) measurement. Gastrointestinal symptoms were also recorded. Mean bifidobacterial levels were increased only in the banana group both at 30 and 60 days of intervention. Daily consumption of bananas representing therefore an healthy eating behaviour, which may induce bifidogenesis in women experiencing body weight problems.

Chocolate, wild berries, strawberries

In a controlled, double-blind, randomized clinical trial, researchers compared the outcomes of consuming a high-cocoa vs. a low-cocoa flavanol drinks (55). Their results show a significant increase in certain gut microbes such as *Bifidobacteria* and *Lactobacilli*. On the other hand, a significant decrease in *Clostridia*, which are a class of the *Firmicutes*, was noted. According to the same study, these changes in bacterial population was accompanied by changes in other biological markers such as triglyceride and C-reactive protein concentration.

Additional studies have showed that polyphenol-rich chocolate and certain fruits induced an increase in fecal *bifidobacteria* (56,57).

Discussion

Some foods may contribute to the development of exacerbations in several diseases (i.e. inflammatory bowel diseases) via modulation of the immune system and the intestinal microbiota. In particular, the intestinal microbiota has a key role in this phase as reported by recent findings, showing that diversion of the fecal stream and treatment with antibiotics can improve the disease activity. Different studies evidenced a different composition and a less bacterial diversity in inflamed vs. non-inflamed mucosal samples of patients with active and inactive IBD (37). Even though, causative bacterial species are still unknown. Recent work has suggested that individuals can be classified into 'enterotypes' based on the abundance of key bacterial taxa in gut microbial communities. However, the generality of enterotypes across populations, and the existence of similar cluster types for other body sites, remains to be evaluated (38). This emerging evidence will lead

to clarify if individuals with a *Bacteroides*-predominant gut microbiota may have a higher incidence of diseases due to the Western diet. Once the possible increased risk has been ascertained, it would be reasonable to direct towards long-term dietary interventions able to induce a switch towards a *Prevotella*-predominant microbiota. It should be taken into account the hypothesis that the mechanism of action of dietary factors do not involve only a change in the microbiota composition but rather influence its metabolism leading to the production of metabolites such as the gas trimethylamine that may cause the development of disease. In our work we suggest some dietary components that may be able to maintain a well being state preventing the perturbation of microbiota homeostasis. A balanced diet characterized by a high consumption of specific type of vegetables and fruits along with a moderate intake of meat may have beneficial effects on individual's long-term health. Anyhow further studies are needed to better understand the interaction between diet and intestinal microbiota in the hope of finding new therapeutic or preventive strategies.

Conclusions

On the basis of a bacterial mediated immune regulation it become reasonable trying to maintain a healthy environment in the gut. These evidences has raised the possibility that some form of modulation of the intestinal microbiota may constitute a potential therapeutic basis for the disease. Given the plasticity of microbiota, it is presumable that dietary interventions could positively affect health status, either by keeping a physiological gut flora or by helping to reverse a dysbiosis condition. Some preliminary findings indicate that foods such as pomegranates, chicory, whole grains, chocolate can be able to modulate the composition and metabolic activity of intestinal microbial population. Further studies are required to confirm the mechanisms of action of these foods on microbiota and to better understand the pathways by which food interacts with microbiome in order to design highly personalised nutritional strategies.

References

1. Tomasello G, Damiani P, Novi L, Geraci A. Intestinal bacteria and bowel disease: role of probiotics. *Capsula Eburnea* 2010; 5: 116-119.
2. Tomasello G, Bellavia M, Palumbo VD, Gioviale MC, Damiani P, Lo Monte AI. From gut microflora imbalance to mycobacteria infection: is there a relationship with chronic intestinal inflammatory diseases? *Annali Italiani di Chirurgia* 2011; 82: 361-368.
3. Bellavia M, Tomasello G, Romeo M, et al. Gut microbiota imbalance and chaperoning system malfunction are central to ulcerative colitis pathogenesis and can be counteracted with specifically designed probiotics: a working hypothesis. *Medical Microbiology and Immunology* 2013; 202: 393-406.
4. Macfarlane GT, Gibson GR. Carbohydrate fermentation, energy transduction and gas metabolism in the human large intestine. In: Mackie RI, White BA, editors. *Gastrointestinal Microbiology*. London: Chapman and Hall, 1997: 269-318.
5. McIntyre A, Gibson PR, Young GP. Butyrate production from dietary fiber and protection against large bowel cancer in a rat model. *Gut* 1993; 34: 386-391.
6. Pryde SE, Duncan SH, Hold GL, Stewart CS, Flint HJ. The microbiology of butyrate formation in the human colon. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 2002; 217: 133-139.
7. Tralongo P, Tomasello G, Sinagra E, et al. The role of butyric acid as a protective agent against inflammatory bowel diseases. *EuroMediterranean Biomedical Journal* 2014; 9: 24-35.
8. Murray M, Pizzorno J. *Encyclopedia of Natural Medicine*. Rocklin, CA: Prima Publishing; 1998:143.
9. Holzapfel WH, Haberer P, Snel J, et al. Overview of gut flora and probiotics. *Int J Food Microbiol* 1998;41:85-101
10. Balsari A, Ceccarelli A, Dubini F, et al. The fecal microbial population in the irritable bowel syndrome. *Microbiologica* 1982;5:185-194
11. Onderdonk AB. Role of the intestinal microflora in ulcerative colitis. In: Hentges DJ, ed. *Human Intestinal Microflora in Health and Disease*. New York, NY: Academic Press; 1983:481-493.
12. Linskens RK, Huijsdens XW, Savelkoul PH, et al. The bacterial flora in inflammatory bowel disease: current insights in pathogenesis and the influence of antibiotics and probiotics. *Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl* 2001;234:29-40.
13. Peltonen R, Nenonen M, Helve T, et al. Faecal microbial flora and disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis during a vegan diet. *Br J Rheumatol* 1997;36:64-68.
14. Brandtzaeg P. Review article: Homing of mucosal immune cells – a possible connection between intestinal and articular inflammation. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1997;11:24-37.
15. Frank DN, St Amand AL, Feldman RA, Boedeker EC, Harpaz N, Pace NR. Molecular-phylogenetic characterization of microbial community imbalances in human inflammatory bowel diseases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007; 104:13780-13785.
16. Manichanh C, Rigottier-Gois L, Bonnaud E, Gloux K, Pelletier E, Frangeul L, Nalin R, Jarrin C, Chardon P, Marteau P, Roca J, Dore J. Reduced diversity of faecal microbiota in Crohn's disease revealed by a metagenomic approach. *Gut* 2006;55:205-211.
17. Tong M, Li X, Wegener Parfrey L, Roth B, Ippoliti A, Wei B, Borneman J, McGovern DP, Frank DN, Li E, Horvath S, Knight R, Braun J. A modular organization of the human intestinal mucosal microbiota and its association with inflammatory bowel disease. *PLoS One* 2013; 8:e80702.
18. Gophna U, Sommerfeld K, Gophna S, Doolittle WF, Veldhuyzen van Zanten SJ. Differences between tissue-associated intestinal microfloras of patients with Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. *J Clin Microbiol* 2006;44:4136-4141.
19. Scanlan PD, Shanahan F, O'Mahony C, Marchesi JR. Culture-independent analyses of temporal variation of the dominant fecal microbiota and targeted bacterial subgroups in Crohn's disease. *J Clin Microbiol* 2006;44:3980-3988.
20. Peterson DA, Frank DN, Pace NR, Gordon JI. Metagenomic approaches for defining the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel diseases. *Cell Host Microbe* 2008;3:417-427.
21. Sokol H, Pigneur B, Watterlot L, Lakhdari O, Bermúdez-Humarán LG, Gratadoux JJ, Blugeon S, Bridonneau C, Furet JP, Corthier G, Grangette C, Vasquez N, Pochart P, Trugnan G, Thomas G, Blottière HM, Doré J, Marteau P, Seksik P, Langella P. *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* is an anti-inflammatory commensal bacterium identified by gut microbiota analysis of Crohn disease patients. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008;105:16731-16736.
22. Wang W, Chen L, Zhou R, Wang X, Song L, Huang S, Wang G, Xia B. Increased proportions of *Bifidobacterium* and the *Lactobacillus* group and loss of butyrate-producing bacteria in inflammatory bowel disease. *J Clin Microbiol* 2014;52:398-406.
23. Zhang C, Zhang M, Wang S, et al. Interactions between gut microbiota, host genetics and diet relevant to development of metabolic syndromes in mice. *ISME J* 2010; 4: 232-241.
24. Louis P, Scott KP, Duncan SH, Flint HJ. Understanding the effects of diet on bacterial metabolism in the large intestine. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 2007; 102: 1197-1208.
25. Del Chierico F, Vernocchi P, Dallapiccola B, Putignani L. Mediterranean Diet and Health: Food Effects on Gut Microbiota and Disease Control. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 2014; 15: 11678-99.
26. Altomare R, Cacciabaudo F, Damiano G, et al. The Mediterranean diet: a history of health. *Iranian J Publ Health* 2013; 42: 449-457.
27. Leone V, Chang EB, Devkota S. Diet, microbes, and host genetics: the perfect storm in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Journal of Gastroenterology* 2013; 48: 315-321.
28. Brinkworth GD, Noakes M, Clifton PM, Bird AR. Comparative effects of very low-carbohydrate, high-fat and highcarbohydrate, low-fat weight-loss diets on bowel habit and faecal short-chain fatty acids and bacterial populations. *British Journal of Nutrition* 2009; 101: 1493-1502.
29. Ananthakrishnan AN, Khalili H, Konijeti GG, et al. A Prospective Study of Long-term Intake of Dietary Fiber and Risk of Crohn's Disease and Ulcerative Colitis. *Gastroenterology* 2013; 145: 970-977.
30. Slavin JL, Savarino V, Paredes-Diaz A, Fotopoulos G. A review of the role of soluble fiber in health with specific reference to

- wheat dextrin. *Journal of International Medical Research* 2009; 37: 1–17.
31. Gibson GR, Roberfroid MB. Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota: introducing the concept of prebiotics. *Journal of Nutrition* 1995; 125: 1401–1412.
 32. Sghir A, Chow JM, Mackie RI. Continuous culture selection of bifidobacteria and lactobacilli from human faecal samples using fructooligosaccharide as selective substrate. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 1998; 85: 769–77.
 33. Gibson GR, Fuller R. Aspects of in vitro and in vivo research approaches directed toward identifying probiotics and prebiotics for human use. *Journal of Nutrition* 2000; 130: 391S–5S.
 34. Roberfroid MB. Prebiotics and synbiotics: concepts and nutritional properties. *British Journal of Nutrition* 1998; 80: S197–202.
 35. Ito M, Kimura M, Deguchi Y, Miyamori-Watabe A, Yajima T, Kan T. Effects of transgalactosylated disaccharides on the human intestinal microflora and their metabolism. *Journal of Nutritional Science and Vitaminology (Tokyo)* 1993; 39: 279–288.
 36. Bouhnik Y, Flourie B, D'Agay-Abensour L, et al. Administration of transgalacto-oligosaccharides increases fecal bifidobacteria and modifies colonic fermentation metabolism in healthy humans. *Journal of Nutrition* 1997; 127: 444–8.
 37. Spinler JK, Taweechoatipatr M, Rognerud CL, Ou CN, Tumwasorn S, Versalovic J. Human-derived probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* demonstrate antimicrobial activities targeting diverse enteric bacterial pathogens. *Anaerobe* 2008; 14: 166–71.
 38. Kleessen B, Schwarz S, Boehm A, Fuhrmann H, Richter A, Henle T, Krueger M. Jerusalem artichoke and chicory inulin in bakery products affect faecal microbiota of healthy volunteers. *Br J Nutr.* 2007;98(3):540-9.
 39. Costabile A, Kolida S, Klinder A, Gietl E, Bäuerlein M, Froberg C, Landschütze V, Gibson GR. A double-blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over study to establish the bifidogenic effect of a very-long-chain inulin extracted from globe artichoke (*Cynara scolymus*) in healthy human subjects. *Br J Nutr.* 2010;104(7):1007-17.
 40. Jung TH, Jeon WM, Han KS. In Vitro Effects of Dietary Inulin on Human Fecal Microbiota and Butyrate Production. *J Microbiol Biotechnol.* 2015;25(9):1555-8.
 41. Parkar SG, Stevenson DE, Skinner MA. The potential influence of fruit polyphenols on colonic microflora and human gut health. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 2008; 124: 295–8.
 42. Selma MV, Espín JC, Tomás-Barberán FA. Interaction between phenolics and gut microbiota: role in human health. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 2009; 57: 6485–501.
 43. Tzounis X, Vulevic J, Kuhnle GG, et al. Flavanol monomer-induced changes to the human faecal microflora. *British Journal of Nutrition* 2008; 99: 782–92.
 44. Basu A, Penugonda K. Pomegranate juice: a heart-healthy fruit juice. *Nutrition Reviews* 2009; 67: 49–56.
 45. Bialonska D, Ramnani P, Kasimsetty SG, Muntha KR, Gibson GR, Ferreira D. The influence of pomegranate by-product and punicalagins on selected groups of human intestinal microbiota. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 2010; 140: 175–82.
 46. Biasi F, Deiana M, Guina T, Gamba P, Leonarduzzi G, Poli G. Wine consumption and intestinal redox homeostasis. *Redox Biology* 2014; 2: 795–802.
 47. Queipo-Ortuno MI, Boto-Ordóñez M, Murri M, Gomez-Zumaquero JM, Clemente-Postigo M, Estruch R et al. Influence of red wine polyphenols and ethanol on the gut microbiota ecology and biochemical biomarkers. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012;95(6):1323-34.
 48. Li F, Hullar MA, Schwarz Y, Lampe JW. Human gut bacterial communities are altered by addition of cruciferous vegetables to a controlled fruit and vegetable free diet. *J Nutr.* 2009;139(9):1685-91.
 49. Jaquet M, Rochat I, Moulin J, Cavin C, Bibiloni R. Impact of coffee consumption on the gut microbiota: A human volunteer study. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 2009; 130: 117–121.
 50. Nakayama T, Oishi K. Influence of coffee (*Coffea arabica*) and galacto-oligosaccharide consumption on intestinal microbiota and the host responses. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* 2013;343(2):161-8.
 51. Costabile A, Klinder A, Fava F, et al. Whole-grain wheat breakfast cereal has a prebiotic effect on the human gut microbiota: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover study. *British Journal of Nutrition* 2008; 99: 110–120.
 52. Christensen EG, Licht TR, Kristensen M, Bahl MI. Bifidogenic effect of whole-grain wheat during a 12-week energy restricted dietary intervention in postmenopausal women. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2013;67(12):1316-21.
 53. Connolly ML, Lovegrove JA, Tuohy KM. In vitro evaluation of the microbiota modulation abilities of different sized whole oat grain flakes. *Anaerobe* 2010;16(5):483-8.
 54. Mitsou EK, Kougia E, Nomikos T, Yannakoulia M, Mountzouris KC, Kyriacou A. Effect of banana consumption on faecal microbiota: a randomised, controlled trial. *Anaerobe* 2011;17(6):384-7.
 55. Tzounis X, Rodriguez-Mateos A, Vulevic J, Gibson GR, Kwik-Uribe C, Spencer JP. Prebiotic evaluation of cocoa-derived flavonols in healthy humans by using randomized, controlled, double-blind, crossover intervention study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2011;93(1):62-72.
 56. Tuohy KM, Conterno L, Gasperotti M, Viola R. Up-regulating the human intestinal microbiome using whole plant foods, polyphenols, and/or fiber. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2012;60(36):8776-82.
 57. Etxeberria U, Fernández-Quintela A, Milagro FI, Aguirre L, Martínez JA, Portillo MP. Impact of polyphenols and polyphenol-rich dietary sources on gut microbiota composition. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2013;61(40):9517-33.

Correspondence:
 Prof. Attilio Ignazio Lo Monte
 DICHIRONS Department, Faculty of Medicine,
 University of Palermo, Italy
 E-mail: attilioignazio.lomonte@unipa.it