

Manuscript Number:

Title: Intrinsic bioremediation potential of a chronically polluted marine coastal area

Article Type: Research Paper

Keywords: sediment bacteria; hydrocarbonoclastic bacteria; DGGE; PLFA; Oleibacter

Corresponding Author: Dr. Paola Quatrini, Ph.D.

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Palermo


First Author: Valentina Catania

Order of Authors: Valentina Catania; Santina Santisi; Geraldina Signa, Ph.D.; Salvatrice Vizzini, PhD; Antonio Mazzola, PhD; Simone Cappello, PhD; Michail M Yakimov, PhD; Paola Quatrini, Ph.D.

Abstract: A microbiological survey of the Priolo bay (eastern coast of Sicily, Ionian Sea), a chronically polluted marine coastal area, was carried out in order to discern its intrinsic bioremediation potential. Microbiological analysis, 16SrDNA-based DGGE fingerprinting and PLFA were performed on seawater and sediment samples from six stations on two transects. Higher diversity and variability among stations was detected by DGGE in sediments than in water samples although seawater revealed higher diversity of culturable hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria. The most polluted sediments hosted higher total bacterial diversity and higher abundance and diversity of culturable HC degraders. Alkane- and PAH- degrading bacteria were isolated from all stations and assigned to Alcanivorax, Marinobacter Thalassospira, Alteromonas and Oleibacter (first isolation from the Mediterranean area). High total microbial diversity associated to a large selection of HC degraders is believed to contribute to natural attenuation of the area, provided that new contaminant contributions are avoided.

Suggested Reviewers: Antonio Dell'Anno  
Professor, Scienze della Vita e dell'Ambiente, Università Politecnica delle marche  
a.dellanno@univpm.it  
Cited in our article, works on similar subjects

Mehdi Hasanshahian  
Assistant Professor of Microbiology, Department of Biology Faculty of Science Shahid Bahonar ,  
University of Kerman, Iran  
hasanshahi@gmail.com  
He works on bioremediation



**To The Editor of  
Marine pollution Bulletin  
Elsevier**

Dear Editor,

please find a research article titled:

Intrinsic bioremediation potential of a chronically polluted marine coastal area.


It is on the microbiological survey of the Priolo bay (eastern coast of Sicily, Ionian Sea), a chronically polluted marine coastal area and SIC.

This article is in ideal continuation with a previous article published by Di Leonardo and coll. on MPB in 2014 (89(1-2):417-26), reporting pollution data on the same site.

We hope it will be published in your Journal.

best regards

Paola Quatrini



**Graphical Abstract.** Intrinsic bioremediation potential of a chronically polluted marine coastal area. *Valentina Catania, Santina Santisi, Geraldina Signa, Salvatrice Vizzini, Antonio Mazzola, Simone Cappello, Michail M. Yakimov and Paola Quatrini.* Mar. Poll.Bull.

The Priolo Bay map (eastern coast of Sicily, Ionian Sea) with relative levels of total hydrocarbons (HC) in sediment, bacterial diversity (S) and diversity of culturable HC degraders in sediment and water sampled at six stations on two transects.

### Highlights

- A multidisciplinary survey of a chronically polluted bay is presented
- The most polluted sediment host higher abundance and diversity of culturable HC degraders.
- *Oleibacter* is isolated for the first time in the Mediterranean Sea

**Intrinsic bioremediation potential of a chronically polluted marine coastal area**

Valentina Catania<sup>1\*</sup>, Santina Santisi<sup>2,3\*</sup>, Geraldina Signa<sup>4</sup>, Salvatrice Vizzini<sup>4</sup>, Antonio Mazzola<sup>4</sup>,  
Simone Cappello<sup>1</sup>, Michail M. Yakimov<sup>2</sup> and Paola Quatrini<sup>1</sup>

1. Dept. of Biological, Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technologies (STEBICEF), University of Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, blg.16– 90128 Palermo, Italy;
2. Institute for Coastal Marine Environment (IAMC) - CNR of Messina, Spianata San Raineri, 86 – 98121 Messina Messina, Italy;
3. PhD School “Cellular Biology and Biotechnology” of University of Messina, Messina, Italy.
4. Dept. of Earth and Marine Sciences (DISTEM) University of Palermo, CoNISMa, Via Archirafi 22 – 90123 Palermo, Italy.

\*The first two authors, VC and SS, contributed equally to the work.

## Abstract

A microbiological survey of the Priolo bay (eastern coast of Sicily, Ionian Sea), a chronically polluted marine coastal area, was carried out in order to discern its intrinsic bioremediation potential. Microbiological analysis, 16SrDNA-based DGGE fingerprinting and PLFA were performed on seawater and sediment samples from six stations on two transects. Higher diversity and variability among stations was detected by DGGE in sediment than in water samples although seawater revealed higher diversity of culturable hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria. The most polluted sediment hosted higher total bacterial diversity and higher abundance and diversity of culturable HC degraders. Alkane- and PAH- degrading bacteria were isolated from all stations and assigned to *Alcanivorax*, *Marinobacter* *Thalassospira*, *Alteromonas* and *Oleibacter* (first isolation from the Mediterranean area). High total microbial diversity associated to a large selection of HC degraders is believed to contribute to natural attenuation of the area, provided that new contaminant contributions are avoided.

**Keywords:** sediment bacteria; Hydrocarbonoclastic bacteria; DGGE; PLFA; *Oleibacter*

## Introduction

Petroleum hydrocarbons are among the most widespread environmental pollutants (Hassanshahian et al., 2012). Oil pollution may arise either accidentally or operationally; indeed, pollutants may enter into marine ecosystems following catastrophic accidents (shipping disasters or pipeline failures), chronic pollution (ships, ports, oil terminals, freshwater runoff, rivers and sewage systems), natural oil seepages and natural sources (biota) (Floodgate 1972).

In many aquatic systems, sediment generally act as a sink of pollutants, which may afterwards move back up through the water column causing serious consequences. Indeed, compared to upper layers of the water column, the bottom ones are characterized by a higher concentration of

pollutants because of the re-suspension of sediment and of associated pollutants (McGenity et al., 2012).

The presence of pollutants in marine sediment may produce adverse effects on marine ecosystems and human health. Benthic organisms can gather toxic substances in their body, affecting and poisoning predators at the highest level of the food chain (Moreno et al., 2013). As hydrocarbon components belong to the family of carcinogens and neurotoxic organic pollutants, harmful effects are expected on humans exposed to such contaminants (Jarvis et al., 2014).

Increased awareness of the importance of microbial communities in marine environmental processes, together with their ability to respond to environmental contamination, has intensified the attention on the relation between microbial community structure and contaminant concentration. Among the contaminants most commonly introduced into the marine environment, hydrocarbons can exert a selective pressure on microbial communities (e.g. Langworthy et al., 2002; Wang and Tam, 2012). Bacterial communities have therefore great potential to be used as sensitive indicators of contamination in marine sediment (Sun et al., 2012). On the other hand, microorganisms (bacteria and fungi) are the main degraders of organic pollutants in marine environments. Many marine bacteria endowed with biodegradative abilities have been described (Yakimov et al., 2007) and their role in the bioremediation of contaminated sites has been addressed (Ron and Rosenberg 2014). Techniques of bioremediation and utilization of oil degrading bacteria and hydrocarbon-degrading microbial consortia have a key role in these processes (Beolchini et al., 2010; Rocchetti et al., 2011). In general, bioremediation is based on in-situ stimulation of the microbial community (biostimulation) or amending the microbial community with an inoculum of hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria (bioaugmentation).

Biological treatments using marine bacteria are becoming more important, mainly because of the low environmental impact, cheaper costs than other clean-up technologies, the capability to degrade organic contaminants and the possibility of beneficial use of treated sediment (Rulkens and Bruning, 2005).

Polluted sediment can be sanitized via in situ actions, which always require an accurate knowledge of the local biocenosis (Doni et al., 2013). In particular, marine obligate hydrocarbonoclastic bacteria exhibit peculiar properties on hydrocarbons degradation (Yakimov et al., 2007) and, when nutrients (as nitrogen and phosphorous) are available at not limiting concentrations, an increase of their growth rate is registered with the decrease of pollutants (Röling et al., 2004; Cappello et al., 2007a and 2007b; Yakimov et al., 2006 and 2007). The complexity of contaminant transformation processes in the natural environment is due to the influence of the nature and amount of hydrocarbons, the structure and dynamic of the indigenous microbial community and the interplay of geochemical and biological factors at contaminated sites (Atlas 1981; Leahy et al., 1990; Gibson et al., 1992).

A better understanding of the processes inherent to natural bioremediation requires enhancement of microbial ecology awareness. The first challenge is to overcome the complicated attribution of in situ microbe-mediated processes to the responsible organism(s), improving the knowledge on dynamics and functional activity together with identification of ecologically significant strains and genes in response to environmental stressors.

Characterization of microbial communities can provide important information to understand the extent of contamination in the sedimentary compartment and in the water column and also to assess and predict the catabolic potential of environmental sites. DNA-based molecular analysis, such as 16SrDNA-based DGGE fingerprinting (Nocker et al, 2007), and biochemical analysis of phospholipid fatty acids (PLFA), that are essential component of viable bacterial cell membranes (Findlay et al., 1990; Kaur et al., 2005), are considered as robust tools to depict the bacterial communities in relation to environmental stressors.

The Augusta Bay, on the eastern coast of Sicily (Ionian Sea) is identified as an area with heavy industrialization (petrochemical and wastewater treatment plants) and high contamination due also to the presence of the Augusta Harbour in northern part of the bay. Navigation and dredging of polluted sediment, in addition to direct discharge of contaminants from the industries occurred



1  
2  
3  
4 during the last century, have been identified as the main cause of the environmental contamination  
5  
6 in the harbour and nearby (Bellucci et al., 2012). Indeed, severe inorganic and organic pollution  
7  
8 has been detected both in and outside the harbour, as effect of dumping of dredged sediment in the  
9  
10 surrounding area (ISPRA-APAT, 2007; ISPRA, 2010; Sprovieri et al., 2011; Di Leonardo et al,  
11  
12 2014) with important risks on ecosystem and human health (Ausili et al., 2008, Bonsignore et al.,  
13  
14 2013; Genovese et al., 2014).

15  
16  
17 The goals of the present study are: i) to characterize the organic contamination of surface sediment  
18  
19 of the southern part of Augusta Bay, Priolo Bay (Sicily, Italy); ii) to perform a molecular and  
20  
21 biochemical analysis of the indigenous microbial communities associated with this area and, iii) to  
22  
23 isolate oil degrading bacteria and hydrocarbonoclastic bacteria to discern the intrinsic  
24  
25 bioremediation potential of the area.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## **MATERIALS and METHODS**

### **Sample collection**

Seawater and sediment samples were collected in Priolo Bay (South-East Sicily, Italy, Mediterranean Sea) in July 2012 (Fig. 1). Surface sediment (0-5 cm, Fig. S1) samples with the upper seawater layer were collected in triplicate using sterile Plexiglas cores (20 cm long, 5 cm diameter), from three stations located along a northern transect (N1, N2 and N3) and three stations located along a southern transect (S4, S5 and S6) (Fig. 1).

After collection, samples were immediately transported to the laboratory in a cool box ( $4 \pm 1$  °C), where samples were used for immediate analysis or aliquots were stored at  $-80 \pm 5$  °C and at  $-20 \pm 1$  °C with glycerol (20% final concentration). Unfortunately, the water component of core samples of station S4 was lost during sampling and could not be analyzed. The physico-chemical characteristics of the sediment are described elsewhere (Di Leonardo et al., 2014).

### **Analysis of Hydrocarbons**

Total hydrocarbons were extracted from sediment following the 3550C EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) procedure. Briefly, a mixture of  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2:\text{CH}_3\text{COCH}_3$  (1:1, v/v) was added to sediment samples. The mixture was sonicated for 2 min in ultrasound bath (Branson 1200 Ultrasonic Cleaner, Branson USA). Samples were further shaken at  $150 \times g$  for 30 min, centrifuged for 10 min at  $5000 \times g$  and supernatant was passed through a ceramic column filled with anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  (Sigma-Aldrich, Milan). Same treatment of pooled and dried sediment was repeated with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  and the obtained extracts were combined and volatilized to dryness. Residues were re-suspended in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  prior the gas chromatography (GC) analysis. All measures were performed using a Master GC DANI Instruments (Development Analytical Instruments), equipped with SSL injector and FID detector. Samples (1  $\mu\text{l}$ ) were injected in splitless mode at 330 °C. The analytical column was a Restek Rxi-5 Sil MS with Integra-Guard, 30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm (ID  $\times$  0.25  $\mu\text{m}$  film thickness). Helium carrier gas was maintained at a constant flow of 1.5 ml  $\text{min}^{-1}$ . Total

hydrocarbons were also calculated for each sample (Genovese et al., 2014). Three samples for any stations in study were analyzed.

### **Phospholipids fatty acids (PLFA) extraction and analysis**

Approximately 4 g of freeze-dried sediment was weighted in screw-cap Pyrex test tubes. Lipids were extracted in triplicate and separated into fractions following the method proposed by Bligh and Dyer (1959) and modified by White et al. (1979), that is with a mixture of chloroform:methanol:P-buffer solution (1:2:0.8 v/v/v). Phospholipids were separated from the other lipid fractions using silicic solid phase extraction (SPE) cartridges under low vacuum and derivatized to fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) by mild alkaline methanolysis. The organic phase was evaporated to dryness under gentle nitrogen stream and reconstituted with an N-hexane solution with internal standard (C 23:00). PLFAs were analyzed through a Shimadzu 2010 Gas Chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector (GC-FID) using a fused siloxane capillary column (BPX-70; 30 x 25 mm i.d.; film thickness 0.25 µm SGE, Inc. Austin, TX). Individual PLFAs were identified by comparison of retention times with commercially available standard mixtures (FAME and BAME mix, Supelco) and quantified by correlating the peak areas to that of the internal standard. Results were given as relative percentage abundance. Conventional nomenclature was used for PLFAs, namely A:Bn-C where A indicates the carbon chain length, B the degree of unsaturation, and C the position of the first double bond from the omega or aliphatic end of the molecule. *Cis/trans* isomers were indicated by c and t respectively; terminal branching was indicated by i (*iso*) and a (*anteiso*); presence of cyclopropane and hydroxyl groups in the PLFA molecule were indicated with the suffix *cy-* and *OH-*, respectively, plus the position from the carboxylic carbon. PLFAs were grouped into biomarkers of specific microbial groups according to Findlay et al. (1990) and Kaur et al. (2005).

PLFA data were analysed using multivariate techniques by means of the software PRIMER 6 (Anderson et al., 2008). Cluster analysis (group average linkage method) and Principal Coordinate

analysis (PCO; Anderson and Willis, 2003) were carried out on Bray Curtis similarities calculated from the arcsine-transformed relative abundance of the 34 PLFA identified. Selected PLFA biomarkers were superimposed onto the graph to detect their correspondence with the ordination obtained. Clusters defined at 91% of similarity were also superimposed.

## Measures of Microbial Abundance

To monitor the abundance of microbial populations present in seawater and sediment, measures of direct bacterial count (DAPI), cultivable bacteria (CFU), Most Probable Number (MPN) were carried out in triplicates.

### *Total Bacterial Abundance (DAPI Count)*

For seawater samples, after a short-time (30'') ultrasonic treatment (Ultrasonic Bath Branson 1200, Branson, USA), the total bacterial cell counts were performed by DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole 2HCl, Sigma-Aldrich S.r.L., Milan, Italy) staining on seawater samples fixed by formaldehyde (2% final concentration), according to Porter and Feig (1980) and Cappello et al. (2012).

For sediment, prior to dispersion, samples were incubated for at least 15 min with Tween 80 (final concentration, 1 mg L<sup>-1</sup>). According to Kuwae and Hosokawa (1999) an ultrasonic cleaner (Branson 1200, Milan) was used for the bacterial dispersion from the sediment (20 min). After centrifugation (8 min at 8000 ×g) and collection of water-tween 80 phase, cell counts were performed by DAPI as above described.

Slides were examined by epifluorescence by using an Axioplan 2 Imaging (Zeiss; Carl Zeiss Inc., Thornwood, N.Y.) microscope. Cell counts from 10 grid fields on each slide were tallied (Ni Chadhain et al., 2006). Results were expressed for seawater and sediment samples as number of cells ml<sup>-1</sup> and number of cells gr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

### *Cultivable Bacteria (CFU)*

For enumeration of total heterotrophic bacteria and hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria, cells present in seawater and sediment samples (from bacterial dispersion carried out as previously described) were serially diluted in sterile natural seawater (0.2 µM Millipore filter; Millipore, Milan, Italy) and plated (100 µl) on Marine 2216 agar medium (Difco S.p.a, Milan, Italy). All agar plates were incubated at 20±1 °C for 7 days. Results were expressed as colony forming units (CFU) in ml (for seawater samples, CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>) and grams (for sediment sample, CFU gr<sup>-1</sup>).

### *Most Probable Number (MPN)*

Hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria from seawater and sediment samples (after dispersion procedures) were enumerated by a miniaturized Most Probable Number (MPN) method (Brown and Braddock, 1990), slightly modified (Cappello *et al.*, 2007a). The MPN of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms was determined from the appropriate MPN tables according to American Public Health Association (A.P.H.A., 1992).

### **PCR-DGGE**

Denaturing Gel Gradient Electrophoresis (DGGE) of PCR-amplified 16SrRNA gene was used to analyze the taxonomic structure of microbial population present in seawater and sediment samples.

### *Extraction of DNA*

The extraction of total DNA from seawater samples was performed using the MasterPure Complete DNA and RNA Purification Kit (Epicentre, USA). An aliquot of 100 ml of seawater samples was filtered with 0.2 µM Millipore filter (Millipore, Milan, Italy); the filters were transferred to 1.5 ml plastic tubes and 350 µl of Cell Lysis solution containing 50 µg of Proteinase K were added. Each sample was incubated at 65±1 °C for 15 min, transferred on ice 5 minutes and the filter removed.

1  
2  
3  
4 The precipitation of total nucleic acids was performed following the manufacturer's instructions.  
5  
6 The total nucleic acids were suspended in 50 µl of DNA/RNA free water (GIBCO).  
7  
8 For DNA extraction from the sediment 0.5 g of frozen sediment samples from each core were used  
9  
10 for direct extraction with the FastDNA™ SPIN Kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals, Germany), following  
11  
12 the procedures described by the manufacturer except in the last step, where the nucleic acids were  
13  
14 eluted with 50 µl of DNA/RNA free water (GIBCO). To homogenize sediment samples the  
15  
16 FastPrep instrument was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. The quality and  
17  
18 concentration of DNA extracted from seawater and sediment samples were checked on 1.0%  
19  
20 agarose gel run in Tris-acetate-EDTA buffer stained with ethidium bromide and UV illuminated.  
21  
22 Nucleic acids were quantified using NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop  
23  
24 Technologies). DNAs were stored at -20°C until required for PCR amplification.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

### 31 *PCR- DGGE analysis*

32  
33 Primers 341f-GC and 354r (Muyzer et al., 1993) were used to amplify the variable V3 region of  
34  
35 bacterial 16S rRNA genes for DGGE analysis. PCR amplification was performed in a 50 µl final  
36  
37 volume with Phire Hot Start II DNA Polymerase (Thermo Scientific), 1× PCR buffer, 500 nM each  
38  
39 primer, 0.30 mM dNTP and 150-300 ng of DNA. The PCR procedure was as follows: an initial  
40  
41 cycle of 30 s at 98 °C, followed by 35 cycles of 15 s at 98 °C, 10 s at 66 °C, 10 s at 72 °C, with a  
42  
43 final extension of 1 min at 72 °C. PCR products were purified and the amplicons analyzed on 1.5%  
44  
45 agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide 1%. Amplicons were run in polyacrylamide gels (20 cm  
46  
47 x 20 cm x 1 mm) using the Phor-U2 system (Ingeny, Leiden, The Netherlands). Gels were prepared  
48  
49 with 8% (w/v) polyacrylamide acrylamide/bisacrylamide (37:5:1) in a 1× TAE [40 mM Tris, 20  
50  
51 mM acetic acid, and 1 M EDTA (pH 8.0)] buffer with a 20% and 70% denaturing gradient [100%  
52  
53 denaturant corresponding to 7 M urea and 40% (v/v) deionised formamide] increasing in the  
54  
55 direction of electrophoresis. Electrophoresis was performed at 60 °C, at 80 V with 1× TAE for 17 h;  
56  
57 after samples were loaded, it was run for 15 min at 20 V and then at 80 V for 17 h. Gel was stained  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

for 30 min in SYBR® Gold I Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (Invitrogen CA, USA) at 37 °C, washed with sterile distilled water and captured with a Gel Doc 2000 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc, CA, USA). Dominant DGGE bands were excised with a sterile pipette tip and re-suspended overnight in 20 µl DNA/RNA free water (GIBCO) at 4 °C then frozen at –20 °C. A 2µl aliquot was used as template for PCR using the 341f-GC and 354r primer set as described above. The PCR products were purified with NucleoSpin® Gel and PCR Clean-up kit (Macherey-Nagel) following the manufacturer's instructions and sequenced.

### **Isolation of HC-degrading bacteria by enrichment cultures**

To isolate the aerobic hydrocarbon degrading bacteria, samples of seawater and superficial sediment were used as inoculum for enrichment cultures in the mineral medium ONR7a (Dyksterhouse *et al.*, 1995).

Different enrichment sets were performed by supplementing the ONR7a medium, respectively, with 0.1% (v/v) *n*-alkanes mixture (C<sub>16</sub>, C<sub>18</sub> and C<sub>24</sub>), PAHs mixture (phenantrene, pyrene, biphenyl and dibenzothiophene) or Crude Oil (Arabian Ligth Crude Oil).

After incubation at 20 ± 1 °C with shaking (80 g) for 1 month subcultures were prepared by transferring 1 % v/v from the enrichment cultures to flasks containing fresh medium ONR7a and 0.1% of chemicals. The new enrichment cultures were cultivated under the same conditions for 1 month. All the enrichment cultures and subcultures were aliquoted and stored at -80 °C with 20% glycerol. After 1 month the enrichment cultures were serially diluted with saline solution (0.9% NaCl), and spread on mineral ONR7a plates containing the same hydrocarbons.

Phenotypically different colonies obtained from the plates of ONR7a were transferred to fresh plates of ONR7a with and without carbon source to eliminate autotrophs and agar-utilizing bacteria. The procedure was repeated, and only isolates exhibiting pronounced growth on crude oil and/or other hydrocarbons were stored in stock media with glycerol at -20 °C for further characterization (Chaillan *et al.*, 2004; Hassanshahian and Emtiazi, 2008).

## Identification of Isolates

### *Biochemical characterization*

To identify and characterize the bacterial isolates, Gram staining and biochemical tests for oxidation/fermentation, the production of acid from carbohydrates and the hydrolysis of gelatin and citrate were performed according to the Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology (taxonomy) (Holt *et al.*, 1998).

### *Taxonomical analysis of 16S rRNA genes*

Analysis of the 16S rRNA gene was performed for the taxonomic characterization of the isolates strains. Extraction of total DNA from the isolates was performed using a DNA/RNA extraction kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA), according to manufacturer's instructions. The bacterial 16S rRNA loci were amplified using the domain-specific (Lane, 1991) forward primer Bac27\_F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3') and the universal reverse primer Uni\_1492R (5'-TACGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3'). The amplification reaction was performed in a total volume of 50 µl containing 1x solution Q (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), 1 x Qiagen reaction buffer, 1 mM of each forward and reverse primer, 10 mM dNTPs (Gibco, Invitrogen Co., Carlsbad, CA), and 2 U of Qiagen Taq polymerase (Qiagen). Amplification for 35 cycles was performed in a GeneAmp5700 thermocycler (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The temperature profile for PCR was 95 °C for 5 min(1 cycle); 94 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 2 min (35 cycles); and 72 °C for 10 min after the final cycle (Troussellier *et al.*, 2005). The amplified 16S rRNA fragment was sequenced with a Big Dye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit on an automated capillary sequencer (model 3100 Avant Genetic Analyzer, Applied Biosystems). The similarity rank from the Ribosomal Database Project RDP) (Maidak *et al.*, 1997) and FASTA Nucleotide Database Queries were used to estimate the degree of similarity to other 16S rRNA gene sequences. Phylogenetic analysis of the sequences was performed as previously described (Yakimov *et al.*, 2006).



## RESULTS

### *Hydrocarbon contamination in sediment*

All the sediment samples were contaminated by hydrocarbons. GC-FID analysis (Table 1) revealed that the highest concentration of total hydrocarbons was detected in station N3 (10786 ng g<sup>-1</sup>) from the northern transect and in stations S4 (10364 ng g<sup>-1</sup>) from the southern transect; the lowest contamination was detected in station S5 (3733 ng g<sup>-1</sup>). Stations N3 and S4 showed also the maximum concentration of linear hydrocarbons (*n*-alkanes from C<sub>8</sub> to C<sub>30</sub>) with values of 710 and 524 ng g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Table 1). High concentrations of PAHs (18 PAH congeners, including the 16 priority PAHs defined by the U.S. EPA) were previously detected in N3 sediment also by Di Leonardo *et al.* (2014) although a much higher contamination was recorded in N1 station.

### *Microbial abundance*

Measures of microbial abundance (DAPI, CFU and MPN counts) (Fig. 2) from seawater and sediment samples showed that rich and diverse populations of bacteria, including HC degraders, thrived in this chronically polluted area. The abundance of HC degraders in the most polluted sediment (N3) was higher than that of total heterotrophs, indicating an active catabolic activity.

### *Microbial diversity at Priolo Bay*

#### *Total microbial diversity of the sediment*

Thirty-four phospholipid fatty acids were identified in the sediment samples from Priolo Bay (Table 2). The most important biomarkers, indicative of the microbial community components, were computed and also listed in table 2 to assess if there were significant changes in community composition in relation to sediment contamination. The highest relative abundance of PLFAs were made up of saturated (SFA: 45.46 ± 2.03%) and monounsaturated PLFAs (MUFA: 31.33 ± 1.54 %) without substantial differences among stations. Sum of polyunsaturated PLFAs showed the highest mean concentration in stations S4 and S6 (PUFA: 12.33 ± 2.47 % and 14.61 ± 3.47 %, respectively)

mainly driven by the high relative abundance of 20:4 n6 and 20:5 n3, biomarkers of macro- and microalgae (Kelly and Scheibling, 2012; Parrish, 2013). As regards biomarkers of specific microbial groups, the sum of MUFAs most representative of bacteria (bacterial MUFA: 16:1 n7; 18:1 n7; 19:1) was higher than 20% in all sites, indicating an overall dominance of Gram negative bacteria in the whole area. The sum of cyclopropyl and hydroxyl PLFAs, also indicative of Gram negative bacteria, showed the highest mean values in all three northern transect stations: N1, N2, N3 (Fig. 3, Table 2). Sum of terminal branched fatty acids, indicators of Gram positive and sulphate-reducing bacteria, were around 10–12% in all stations except in S6 ( $8.34 \pm 0.21\%$ ). Fungal biomarkers (18:2 n6, 18:3 n3, 18:3 n6) and the ratio of the sum of fungal vs. bacterial biomarkers were slightly higher in S6 and S5, while the protozoan biomarkers (sum of all PUFAs 20 n6) were slightly higher in the three southern transect stations: S4, S5, S6 (Table 2). PCO ordination was performed using the whole PLFA profile to assess the similarity among sampling sites (Fig. 3). The northern transect stations clustered in the right side of the ordination, while the southern transect stations were distributed more along the centre/left side: S4 and S5 grouped in the below part and S6 sharply distinct in the upper part. Indeed, S6 site was featured by the exclusive presence of a number of PLFAs (17:1n7, 20:1n9, 24:1n9, 22:4n6) that caused this clear isolation and masked the separation between the two transects. In spite of the influence of S6, the clusters superimposed to the graph reveal that northern and southern transect are well distinct. PLFA biomarkers superimposed to the graph indicated that cyclopropyl, hydroxyl and bacterial MUFA featured the northern transect stations, while fungal, protozoan, diatom biomarkers and the ratio of the sum of fungal vs. bacterial biomarkers determined the ordination of S4 and S5. The first axis (PCO1) explained 56.4% of the total variation, while the second axis (PCO2) 26.4%.

#### *Bacterial diversity of sediment and water*

To compare the bacterial diversity associated with seawater and surface sediment collected from Priolo Bay, 16S rDNA Polymerase Chain Reaction-Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (PCR-

DGGE) was performed (Fig. 4). Twenty-three to forty-one discernible bands were observed for each sample. The DGGE patterns revealed that the bacterial community composition of seawater and sediment was partially distinct, although a few identical bands were identified in all seawater and sediment profiles. Diversity indices calculated for each DGGE profile were in general higher and more variable in sediment than in water samples. Sediment from station N3 showed the highest Chao's index (861) while sediment from S6 the lowest one (276) (Table 3). The average Chao's index in seawater was 506. The dendrogram, applied to the DGGE profiles, clustered sediment and seawater bacterial communities on two different branches. Within each of these two branches, N1 and N2 profiles from the northern transect clustered together as well as the samples N3, S4 and S5 (Fig. 4). The S6 banding patterns from both sediment and seawater were on a different branch, indicating a totally different bacterial community that reflects different environmental conditions. The sequence of a few common bands identified the presence of uncultured Alpha and Gammaproteobacteria, including the hydrocarbon degraders *Alcanivorax* (in sediment) and *Alteromonas* (in seawater), and of Firmicutes (order Clostridiales) in sediment samples (Table 6).

#### *Diversity of culturable HC-degrading bacteria*

In order to isolate the HC-degrading bacteria, sediment and seawater were used to set enrichment cultures on mineral ONR7a medium with a *n*-alkanes mixture (C<sub>16</sub>, C<sub>18</sub>, C<sub>24</sub>), PAHs (phenatrene, pyrene, biphenyl and dibenzothiophene) or Crude Oil (Arabian Ligth Crude Oil). A total of 258 isolates were obtained from seawater (100 isolates) and sediment (158 isolates) enrichments (Table 4). On the basis of different colony morphology, 150 isolates were identified by 16S rDNA analysis (Fig. 5, Table 5) and were affiliated mainly to genera of obligate hydrocarbonoclastic bacteria (*Alcanivorax*,) and/or to generalist HC-degraders (*Thalassospira*, *Oleibacter*, *Vibrio*, *Marinobacter*, *Rhodococcus*, *Pseudomonas*).

1  
2  
3  
4 A higher diversity of culturable hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria was detected in seawater samples  
5  
6 (20 genera) in respect to sediment samples (12). The water sample N3 yielded the highest number  
7  
8 of genera (9) and the sediment sample N1 the lowest (2).  
9

10  
11 Most sediment and water samples were dominated by bacteria related to *Alcanivorax* and  
12  
13 *Marinobacter* genera. Other hydrocarbon degrading bacteria as those belonging to *Thalassospira*  
14  
15 were preferentially isolated from a few water and sediment samples and *Oleibacter* from only one  
16  
17 water sample (N3). *Oleibacter marinus* was described as a major degrader of petroleum aliphatic  
18  
19 hydrocarbons spills in tropical seas (Teramoto et al., 2013) and particularly interesting appears that  
20  
21 the isolation of this strain, in this work, is the first official isolation of a strain of *Oleibacter*  
22  
23 *marinus* from the Mediterranean area.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## 29 **DISCUSSION**

30  
31 Augusta Bay is a wide natural bay with low water turnover that extends along 10 km of the eastern  
32  
33 coast of Sicily. This region is characterized by heavy industrialization, dense urbanization and  
34  
35 heavy large tanker traffic transporting crude and refined oil to and from the refinery located at this  
36  
37 site. These anthropogenic factors have strongly affected the marine environment of the harbour  
38  
39 (ISPRA, 2010).  
40  
41

42  
43 The analysis of organic contaminants in surface sediment revealed high concentrations of total  
44  
45 hydrocarbons clearly originating from human activities. The northern deepest station (N3, 20 m  
46  
47 depth) and the southern stations (S4 and S6) result in the most intensively contaminated sites.  
48

49  
50 A large fraction of hydrocarbons is represented by mineral oils presumably derived from the  
51  
52 distillation of petroleum to produce gasoline and other petroleum-based products; indeed, mineral  
53  
54 oils have an important role in the petrochemical industry and are mostly used as chemical  
55  
56 intermediates to produce a number of petrochemicals such as fatty alcohols, alkanolamides, sulfo-  
57  
58 methyl esters, sucrose esters and other detergents. The relative high concentration of mineral oils  
59  
60 compared to the concentration of other HC (n-alkanes) clearly indicates that the sediment are  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 characterized by an old chronic release of contaminants, where the most potentially degradable  
5  
6 fractions have been degraded or reduced (both biologically and/or chemically), in respect to the  
7  
8 fractions that have a lower degradation rate (Head et al., 2006). This deduction is confirmed by  
9  
10 PAH molecular profiles obtained, on the same samples, by Di Leonardo et al., (2014), where a  
11  
12 prevalence of high molecular weight PAHs (above three-ring) was detected in respect to a moderate  
13  
14 concentration of two-ring PAHs.  
15

16  
17 The distribution of HC contaminants in the sediment was not homogeneous nor the chemical data  
18  
19 do allow describing a contamination gradient from the coast to offshore that would reflect a  
20  
21 contamination source from the coasts where refineries operate. The amount and nature of the HC  
22  
23 varied at each station and N3, S4 and S6 stations contained the highest amount of total HC. The  
24  
25 extreme heterogeneity of contaminant distribution in the sediment could be due to heterogeneity of  
26  
27 the seabed at the bay. Although mineralogical analysis substrate (Di Leonardo et al., 2014)  
28  
29 bathymetry and direct observations by divers during sampling, revealed the presence of basins and  
30  
31 depressions that work as catchments for hydrocarbons and contaminants. Moreover, this un-  
32  
33 patterned distribution of contaminants within Priolo Bay may account for the dumping of  
34  
35 contaminant sediment dredged within Augusta Harbour that consequently seems to act as a source  
36  
37 of contaminants for nearby coastal and off-shore marine ecosystems (Di Leonardo et al. 2014).  
38  
39  
40

41  
42 Microbial diversity of the sediment was analyzed by ester-linked phospholipid fatty acids analysis  
43  
44 (PLFA) and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) of the variable V3 region of bacterial  
45  
46 16S rRNA gene. Both PLFA profiles and biomarkers and DGGE analysis allowed to distinguish the  
47  
48 microbial communities of the northern transect from those in the southern transect with the  
49  
50 communities of station S6 always clustering apart from the others. Total bacterial diversity,  
51  
52 however, seemed not influenced by depth nor by levels of contamination; the deepest stations,  
53  
54 namely N3 and S6 showed the highest and lower bacterial diversity levels, respectively, although  
55  
56 the concentration of total hydrocarbons was the highest at both stations. The highest bacterial  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

diversity at station N3 corresponds to the highest concentration of total HC, n-alkanes and Total Organic Carbon (TOC, Di Leonardo et al., 2014).

Microbial communities in the northern part of the bay showed PLFA profiles indicative of abundance of Gram-negative bacteria. In contrast, microeukaryotes (diatoms, fungi and protozoa) resulted more abundant in the southern stations, except from S6, where zooplanktonic biomarkers (20:1n9, 24:1; Parrish, 2013) resulted abundant, probably due to the outward position along the transect that make it more influenced by the local currents. Increase of Gram-negative bacteria has been reported in marine sediment subjected to various stress conditions, among which PAH (Castle et al., 2006; Wang and Tam, 2012) and trace element contamination (Córdova-Kreylos et al., 2006). The increased resistance and survival of Gram-negative bacteria to stressors is mainly attributable to the presence of cyclopropyl FA that maintains higher membrane stability (Kaur et al., 2005). Conversely, microeukaryotes (diatoms, fungi, protozoa), characterized by high levels of PUFAs, seem to be more sensitive to physical and chemical disturbances. Similarly to our results, Napolitano et al. (1994) observed a decrease of 20:4 n6 and 20:5 n3 in streams polluted with chlorine and/or mixed industrial effluents, as well as Kelly et al. (2003) reported reduction of 18:2ω6 in soils contaminated by trace elements. Changes in microbial community composition could be also explained by indirect effect of HC pollution on phage-mediated lysis and on predation by protozoa; both effects tend to increase in response to pollution (Head et al., 2006).

High HC contamination has a direct effect on abundance of HC degraders (measured by MPN) that was higher in the most contaminated northern station N3 and in all the stations of the southern transect. The diversity of HC degraders isolated from seawater samples seemed also to be influenced by the sediment contamination and seawater samples reflected a similar, although not identical, species distribution of sediment samples.

Microbial communities within contaminated ecosystems tend to be dominated by the organisms capable of consuming and/or tolerating toxic organic compounds. Crude oil as a complex mixture of different classes of hydrocarbons can support the growth of various bacteria, each preferentially

degrading one or several types of hydrocarbons. The presence of bacteria was strongly dependent on the nature of hydrocarbon pollutants and they had low inter-sample similarity.

Most HC degraders isolated from Priolo Bay are Gram negative (specialized or generalist) alkane- and PAH-degraders and their isolation is consistent with the detection of Gram negative bacteria by PLFA analysis. HC degraders were not only cultivated after enrichment cultures on HC, but were also directly detected in the sediment and seawater samples, by sequencing of random DGGE bands. The sequencing of DGGE bands confirms that both sediment and seawater contain known HC degraders as *Alcanivorax* (alkanes) and *Alteromonas* (aromatics, Gutierrez et al., 2013) or putative, such as *Thalassobius* (Iwaki et al., 2012) and *Thermoanaerobacterium*, often dominant in methanogenic alkanes degrading enrichment culture microcosms (Wang et al., 2014).

Alkanes are the major constituents of crude oil, which explains the massive presence of *Alcanivorax* strains among all the sediment and water isolates (Hara et al., 2003). Capability of *Alcanivorax* genus to use hydrocarbons as the only sources of energy and organic carbon was widely described (Yakimov et al., 1998; Schneiker et al., 2006). Kasai (2002) and Cappello (2012) explain these characteristics in ability of this strain to produce a lipidic bio-surfactant that increases the bioavailability of contaminants and the ability to use them (Yakimov et al., 1998; Schneiker et al., 2006). *Alcanivorax borkumensis* SK2 surfactant is proposed as one of the most efficient of bacterial surfactants. Abundance of *Alkanivorax* isolates was obtained from all the water (11%) and sediment samples (87.5%) of Priolo Bay and their abundance seems to have a negative effect on the diversity of other HC degrading genera (McGenity et al., 2012; Newton et al., 2013). In two water samples (N3 and S4) where *Alkanivorax* was below 20%, it is probably not just by chance that two companion genera *Oleibacter* and *Alteromonas* prevail in the assemblage. Competition among HCB could depend on resources, but also on the production of inhibiting molecules by *Alkanivorax* (Kano et al., 2008).

In this study, the isolation of *Oleibacter* from the Mediterranean Sea was reported for the first time. *Oleibacter marinus* is a recently discovered species of OHCB, phylogenetically related to

*Oceanobacter* sp. and *Thalassolituus* sp. that was isolated from a tropical marine environment. It shows high *n*-alkane and branched alkanes degrading activity (Teramoto et al., 2011) that is comparable to that of the genus *Alcanivorax*, suggesting that *Oleibacter* plays a key role in the degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons in the marine environment. *Oleibacter* was identified in biofilms associated with phototrophic and diazotrophic bacteria, suggesting that this interaction may be important for hydrocarbon degradation (Al-Bader et al., 2013; McGenity 2014).

A higher diversity of PAH-degrading bacteria isolated from sediment of the southern transect mainly affiliated to the genera *Thalassospira* (Cui et al., 2008, Guibert et al., 2012), *Marinobacter* and *Alteromonas* (Cui et al., 2008, Jin et al., 2012), seems to be related to higher LPAH/HPAH ratio identified in the southern transect (Di Leonardo et al., 2014).

All together chemical and microbiological data allowed depicting a complex environmental scenario of the Priolo Bay where the release of pollutants from the adjacent Augusta Harbour adds to chronic contamination of the area. This hypothesis is supported by the higher contamination of the stations closer to Augusta Harbour (or directly influenced by the currents) and also by the massive presence of OHCB and *Alcanivorax* in particular, that is expected to decline after the removal of the bulk saturated hydrocarbons (Head et al., 2006).

New trends of environmental ecology are focused in the use of innovative techniques for the recovery of impacted ecosystems, rather than simply reducing the massive volume of oil released into the sea (clean up), or the removal of the contaminated matrix (sediment). Special attention is devoted to self-cleaning processes occurring in the sea after oil spill events as well as to the physical, chemical and biological factors influencing such power.

Biodegradation by natural populations of microorganisms is the central and most reliable mechanism by which thousands of pollutants, including crude oil, are eliminated from the environment (Prince et al. 2013). "Biostimulation" (growth stimulation of indigenous microorganisms) and "bioaugmentation" (direct inoculation of allochthonous and/or autochthonous oil-degrading bacteria) are promising strategies of accelerating the detoxifying and degrading



activities into polluted site with minimal impact on natural environment (Cappello et al. 2007b; Emtiazi et al. 2005 Hassanshahian et al. 2012). Recovery of the polluted matrix (seawater and/or sediment) is possible using "passive" methods such as bioremediation, which uses bacteria to break down the hydrocarbons in the sediment into harmless by-products.

Priolo Bay shows high levels of total HC, saturated HC and PAHs in concomitance with high abundance and diversity of OHCB that are known to play a key role in HC degradation. The high total microbial diversity associated to a large selection of HC degraders is believed to contribute to natural attenuation of the area (Dell'Anno et al., 2012). The rich and diverse community of HC degraders is endowed with a very high potential of application in the bioremediation of this area. Several isolates obtained from enrichment cultures from Priolo Bay showed high degradation rates (98-99%) of crude oil (Santisi and Catania, unpublished). Levels of contamination in the bay are expected to be reduced by natural attenuation by autochthonous HC degraders provided that new contaminant contributions are avoided.

The use of natural microbial communities to clean the marine polluted sediment is identified as "green technology" because it does not introduce exogenous bacteria into the waters to be restored. Knowledge of microbial community dynamics and activities and efficiency of bacteria in oil-polluted sites may be helpful to promote bioremediation of contaminated sites since human actions can be planned in order to promote clean up oil pollution (Denaro et al., 2005).

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants of *i*) University of Palermo (Italy), *ii*) Istituto per l'Ambiente Marino Costiero (IAMC) - CNR of Messina, Italy, *iii*) National Operative Project PON R&C 2007-2013 "Tecnologie avanzate eco-sostenibili finalizzate alla bonifica ed al ripristino di aree marine costiere degradate (PON01\_03112 - TETIDE), and *iv*) Flagship Project RITMARE— Italian Research for the Sea—funded by the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research. The authors are grateful to A. Savona and A.M. Vaccaro for help in field activity.

## Author contribution

Conceived and designed the experiments: PQ, SC, SV. Performed the experiments: VC, SS, GS.

Analyzed the data and wrote the MS: PQ, SC, SV. Supervised and revised of the MS: AM, MY.

## REFERENCES

- Al-Bader D, Kansour MK, Rayan R, Radwan SS (2013) Biofilm comprising phototrophic, diazotrophic, and hydrocarbon-utilizing bacteria: a promising consortium in the bioremediation of aquatic hydrocarbon pollutants. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 20:3252–3262
- American Public Health Association (A.P.H.A.), (1992) Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water, 18<sup>th</sup> edition. Washington, DC: American Public Health Association.
- Anderson MJ, Gorley RN, Clarke KR (2008) PERMANOVA+ for PRIMER: Guide to Software and Statistical Methods. Plymouth: PRIMER-E.
- Anderson MJ, Willis TJ (2003) Canonical analysis of principal coordinates: a useful method of constrained ordination for ecology. *Ecology* 84:511–525
- Atlas, R. M. (1981) Microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons: an environmental perspective. *Microbiol. Rev* 7:285–292.
- Ausili A, Gabellini M, Cammarata G, Fattorini D, Benedetti M, Pisanelli B, Gorbi S, Regoli F. (2008) Ecotoxicological and human health risk in a petrochemical district of southern Italy. *Mar Environ Res.*66(1):215-7
- Bellucci LG, Giuliani S, Romano S, Albertazzi S, Mugnai C, Frignani M. (2012) Effects of prokaryotic diversity changes on hydrocarbon degradation rates and metal partitioning during bioremediation of contaminated anoxic marine sediment. *Mar Pollut Bull.* 64(8):1688-98

- 1  
2  
3  
4 Beolchini F, Rocchetti L, Regoli F, Dell'Anno A. (2010) Bioremediation of marine sediment  
5  
6 contaminated by hydrocarbons: experimental analysis and kinetic modeling. *Journal of*  
7  
8 *Hazardous Materials* 182 (1–3), 403–407  
9
- 10  
11 Bligh EG, Dyer WJ (1959) A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. *Canadian*  
12  
13 *Journal of Biochemistry and Physiology*, 37: 911–917.  
14
- 15  
16 Bonsignore M, Salvagio Manta D, Oliveri E, Sprovieri M, Basilone G, Bonanno A, Falco F, Traina  
17  
18 A, Mazzola S (2013) Mercury in fishes from Augusta Bay (southern Italy): risk assessment and  
19  
20 health implication. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 56:184-94.  
21  
22
- 23  
24 Brown EJ, Braddock JF, (1990) Sheen Screen, a miniaturized Most-Probable-Number method for  
25  
26 enumeration of oil-degrading microorganisms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 56(12), 3895-3896.  
27
- 28  
29 Cappello S, Genovese M, Della Torre C, Crisari A, Hassanshahian M, Santisi S, Calogero R,  
30  
31 Yakimov MM. (2012) Effect of bioemulsificant exopolysaccharide (EPS<sub>2003</sub>) on microbial  
32  
33 community dynamics during assays of oil spill bioremediation: a microcosm study. *Mar Pollut*  
34  
35 *Bull.* 64(12):2820-8  
36
- 37  
38 Cappello S, Caruso G, Zampino D, Monticelli L S, Maimone, G, Denaro R, Tripodo B,  
39  
40 Troussellier M, Yakimov M M, Giuliano L (2007a) Microbial community dynamics during  
41  
42 assays of harbour oil spill bioremediation: a microscale simulation study. *Journal Applied*  
43  
44 *Microbiology* 102(1): 184-194.  
45  
46
- 47  
48 Cappello S, Denaro R, Genovese M, Giuliano L, Yakimov MM (2007b) Predominant growth of  
49  
50 *Alcanivorax* during experiments on “oil spill bioremediation” in mesocosms. *Micr. Res* 162:  
51  
52 185-190.  
53  
54
- 55  
56 Castle DM, Montgomery MT, Kirchman DL (2006) Effects of naphthalene on microbial  
57  
58 community composition in the Delaware estuary. *FEMS microbiology ecology*, 56(1), 55–63.  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4 Chaillan F, Le Flèche A, Bury E, Phantavong YH, Grimont P, Saliot A, Oudot J. (2004)  
5  
6 Identification and biodegradation potential of tropical aerobic hydrocarbon degrading  
7  
8 microorganisms. *Res. Microbiol.* 155 (7), 587–595  
9
- 10  
11 Córdova-Kreylos AL, Cao Y, Green PG, Hwang HM, Kuivila KM, LaMontagne MG, Van De  
12  
13 Werfhorst LC, Holden P, Scow KM (2006) Diversity, composition, and geographical  
14  
15 distribution of microbial communities in California salt marsh sediment. *Applied and*  
16  
17 *Environmental Microbiology*; 72:3357–66.  
18  
19
- 20  
21 Cui Z, Lai Q, Dong C and Shao Z. (2008) Biodiversity of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-  
22  
23 degrading bacteria from deep sea sediment of the Middle Atlantic Ridge. *Environmental*  
24  
25 *Microbiology* 10(8), 2138–2149  
26  
27
- 28  
29 Dell'Anno A, Beolchini F, Rocchetti L, Luna GM, Danovaro R. (2012) High bacterial biodiversity  
30  
31 increases degradation performance of hydrocarbons during bioremediation of contaminated  
32  
33 harbor marine sediment. *Environ Pollut.* 167:85-92  
34  
35
- 36  
37 Denaro R, D'Auria G, Di Marco G, Genovese, M, Troussellier M, Yakimov M.M., Giuliano L,  
38  
39 (2005) Assessing terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism suitability for the  
40  
41 description of bacterial community structure and dynamics in hydrocarbon-polluted marine  
42  
43 environments. *Environ. Microb.* 7(1), 78-87.  
44
- 45  
46 Di Leonardo R, Mazzola A, Tramati CD, Vaccaro A, Vizzini S, (2014) Highly contaminated areas  
47  
48 as sources of pollution for adjoining ecosystems: The case of Augusta Bay (Central  
49  
50 Mediterranean) *Mar Pollut Bull.* 89(1-2):417-26.  
51
- 52  
53 Doni SC, Macci, Peruzzi E, Iannelli R, Ceccanti B, Masciandaro G, (2013) Decontamination and  
54  
55 functional reclamation of dredged brackish sediment. *Biodegradation.* 24(4): 499–512.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4 Dyksterhouse SE, Gray JP, Herwig RP, Lara JC, Staley JT, (1995) *Cycloclasticus pugetii* gen. nov.,  
5  
6 sp. nov., an aromatic hydrocarbon-degrading bacterium from marine sediment. Int. J. Syst.  
7  
8 Bacteriol. 45: 116-123.  
9
- 10  
11 Emtiazi G, Hassanshahian M, Golbang N, (2005) Development of a microtiter plate method for  
12  
13 determination of phenol utilization, biofilm formation and respiratory activity by environmental  
14  
15 bacterial isolates. Int. Biodeter. Biodegr. 56:231–235  
16  
17
- 18  
19 Findlay RH, Trexler MB, Guckert JB, White DC, (1990) Laboratory study of disturbance in marine  
20  
21 sediment: response of a microbial community. Mar. Ecol-Prog. Ser. 62:135–148.  
22  
23
- 24  
25 Floodgate GD, (1972) Biodegradation of hydrocarbons in the sea. Water Pollution Microbiology ed.  
26  
27 Mitchell, R. pp. 153–171. New York: Wiley-Interscience.  
28
- 29  
30 Genovese M, Crisafi F, Denaro R, Cappello S, Russo D, Calogero R, Santisi S, Catalfamo M,  
31  
32 Modica A, Smedile F, Genovese L, Golyshin PN, Giuliano L, Yakimov MM, (2014) Effective  
33  
34 bioremediation strategy for rapid in situ cleanup of anoxic marine sediment in mesocosm oil  
35  
36 spill simulation. Front. Microbiol. 5:162.  
37
- 38  
39 Gibson DT, Sayler GS, (1992) Scientific foundations of bioremediation: current status and future  
40  
41 needs. American Academy of Microbiology, Washington, D.C  
42
- 43  
44 Guibert LM, Loviso CL, Marcos MS, Commendatore MG, Dionisi HM, Lozada M, (2012) Alkane  
45  
46 biodegradation genes from chronically polluted subantarctic coastal sediment and their shifts in  
47  
48 response to oil exposure. Microb Ecol. 64:605-16.  
49
- 50  
51 Gutierrez T, Singleton DR, Berry D, Yang T, Aitken MD, Teske A, (2013) Hydrocarbon-degrading  
52  
53 bacteria enriched by the Deepwater Horizon oil spill identified by cultivation and DNA-SIP.  
54  
55 ISME J. 7:2091-104.  
56  
57
- 58  
59 Hara A, Syutsubo K, Harayama S, (2003) *Alcanivorax* which prevails in oil-contaminated seawater  
60  
61 exhibits broad substrate specificity for alkane degradation. Environ Microbiol. 5:746-53.  
62  
63  
64  
65

- Hassanshahian M, Emtiazi G, (2008) Investigation of alkane biodegradation using the microtiter plate method and correlation between biofilm formation, biosurfactant production and crude oil biodegradation. *Int. Biodeter. Biodegrad.* 62:170–178.
- Hassanshahian M, Emtiazi G, Cappello S, (2012) Isolation and characterization of crude-oil-degrading bacteria from the Persian Gulf and the Caspian Sea. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 64:7–12
- Head IM, Jones DM, Röling WF, (2006) Marine microorganisms make a meal of oil. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 4(3):173-82.
- Holt SG, Kriey NR, Sneath PHA, Staley JT, Williams ST, (1998) *Bergey's Manual of Determinative for Bacteriology*. Williams and Wilkins, New York
- ISPRA-APAT ((Istituto Superiore per la protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale - Agenzia per la protezione dell'ambiente e per i servizi tecnici) 2007. 6770 Manuale per la movimentazione di sedimenti marini. Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del territorio e del Mare
- ISPRA (Istituto Superiore per la protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale) 2010. Elaborazione e Valutazione Dei Risultati Della Caratterizzazione Ai Fini Della Individuazione Degli Opportuni Interventi Di Messa In Sicurezza E Bonifica Del Litorale Di Priolo Dalla Diga Foranea Della Rada Di Augusta Al Porto Grande Di Siracusa.
- Iwaki H, Nishimura A, Hasegawa Y, (2012) Isolation and characterization of marine bacteria capable of utilizing phthalate. *World. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 28(3):1321-5.
- Jarvis IW, Dreij K, Mattsson Å, Jernström B, Stenius U, (2014) Interactions between polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in complex mixtures and implications for cancer risk assessment. *Toxicology.* 321:27-39.
- Jin HM, Kim JM, Lee HJ, Madsen EL, Jeon CO, (2012) *Alteromonas* as a key agent of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon biodegradation in crude oil-contaminated coastal sediment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46(14):7731-40.

- 1  
2  
3  
4 Kanoh K, Adachi K, Katsuta A, Shizuri Y, (2008) Structural determination and proposed  
5  
6 biosynthesis of alcanivorone, a novel alpha-pyrone produced by *Alcanivorax jadensis*. J  
7  
8 Antibiot. 61(2):70-4.  
9
- 10  
11 Kaur A, Chaudhary A, Kaur A, Choudhary R, Kaushik R, (2005) Phospholipid fatty acid - A  
12  
13 bioindicator of environment monitoring and assessment in soil ecosystem. Current. Science,  
14  
15 89(7):1103–1112.  
16  
17
- 18  
19 Kelly J, Häggblom M, Tate R, (2003) Effects of heavy metal contamination and remediation on soil  
20  
21 microbial communities in the vicinity of a zinc smelter as indicated by analysis of microbial  
22  
23 community phospholipid fatty acid profiles. Biology and Fertility of Soils 38:65–71  
24  
25
- 26  
27 Kelly JR, Scheibling RE, (2012) Fatty acids as dietary tracers in benthic food webs. Marine  
28  
29 Ecology Progress Series 446: 1–22  
30
- 31  
32 Kuwae T, Hosokawa Y, (1999) Determination of abundance and biovolume of bacteria in sediment  
33  
34 by dual staining with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole and acridine orange: relationship to  
35  
36 dispersion treatment and sediment characteristics. Appl Environ Microbiol. 65(8):3407-12.  
37
- 38  
39 Lane D. J. (1991) 16/23S rRNA sequencing. In: Stackbrandt, E., Goodfellow, M. (Eds.), Nucleic  
40  
41 acid techniques in bacterial systematics. Wiley: New York, pp. 115-175.  
42
- 43  
44 Langworthy DE, Stapleton RD, Sayler GS, Findlay RH, (2002) Lipid analysis of the response of a  
45  
46 sedimentary microbial community to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Microbial Ecology  
47  
48 43:189–98.  
49
- 50  
51 Leahy JG, and Colwell RR, (1990) Microbial degradation of hydrocarbons in the environment.  
52  
53 Microbiol. Rev 54:305–315.  
54
- 55  
56 Maidak BL, Olsen GJ, Larsen N, Overbeek R, McCaughey MJ, Woese CR, (1997) The RDP  
57  
58 (Ribosomal Database Project) Nucleic Acids Res 25(1):109-11.  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- McGenity TJ, (2014) Hydrocarbon biodegradation in intertidal wetland sediment *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 27:46–54
- McGenity TJ, Folwell BD, McKew BA, Sanni GO, (2012) Marine crude-oil biodegradation: a central role for interspecies interactions. 8(1):10.
- Moreno R, Jover L, Diez C, Sardà-Palomera F, Sanpera C, (2013) Ten years after the prestige oil spill: seabird trophic ecology as indicator of long-term effects on the coastal marine ecosystem. *PLoS One*. 8(10):e77360.
- Muyzer G, De Waal EC, Uitterlinden AG, (1993) Profiling of complex microbial populations by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis of polymerase chain reaction-amplified genes coding for 16S rRNA. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 59: 695–700.
- Napolitano GE, Hill WR, Guckert JB, Stewart AJ, Nold SC, White DC, (1994) Changes in periphyton fatty acid composition in chlorine-polluted streams. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* 13:237–249.
- Newton RJ, Huse SM, Morrison HG, Peake CS, Sogin ML, McLellan SL, (2013) Shifts in the microbial community composition of gulf coast beaches following beach oiling. *PLoS ONE* 8:e74265.
- Ni Chadhain SM, Norman RS, Pesce KV, Kukor JJ, Zylstra GJ, (2006) Microbial dioxygenase gene population shifts during polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon biodegradation. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72:4078–4087.
- Nocker A, Burr M, Camper AK, (2007) Genotypic microbial community profiling: a critical technical review. *Microb Ecol.* 54:276-89.
- Parrish C, (2013) Lipids in Marine Ecosystems *ISRN. Oceanography* 2013:1-16.
- Porter KG, and Feig YS, (1980) The use of DAPI for identifying and counting aquatic microflora. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 25:943-948.



- 1  
2  
3  
4 Prince RC, McFarlin KM, Butler JD, Febbo EJ, Wang FC, Nedwed TJ, (2013) The primary  
5  
6 biodegradation of dispersed crude oil in the sea. *Chemosphere*. 90(2):521-6.  
7  
8  
9 Rocchetti L, Beolchini F, Ciani M, Dell'Anno A, (2011) Improvement of Bioremediation  
10  
11 Performance for the Degradation of Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Contaminated Sediment  
12  
13 Applied and Environmental Soil Science doi:10.1155/2011/319657  
14  
15  
16 Rocchetti L, Beolchini F, Hallberg KB, Johnson B, Dell'Anno A, (2012) Effects of prokaryotic  
17  
18 diversity changes on hydrocarbon degradation rates and metal partitioning during  
19  
20 bioremediation of contaminated anoxic marine sediment. *Mar. Poll. Bull.* 64(8):1688-1698.  
21  
22  
23 Röling WFM, Milner MG, Martin Jones D, Fratepietro F, Swannell RPJ, Daniel F, Head IM, (2004)  
24  
25 Bacterial community dynamics and hydrocarbon degradation during a field-scale evaluation of  
26  
27 bioremediation on a mudflat beach contaminated with buried oil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol*  
28  
29 70(5): 2603-2613.  
30  
31  
32  
33 Ron EZ, Rosenberg E, (2014) Enhanced bioremediation of oil spills in the sea. *Curr. Opin.*  
34  
35 *Biotechnol.* 27:191-4  
36  
37  
38 Rulkens WH, and Bruning H, (2005) Cleanup technologies for dredged fine sediment: review and  
39  
40 future challenges,” in *Remediation of Contaminated Sediment–2005: Finding Achievable Risk*  
41  
42 *Reduction Solutions*, R. F. Olfenbuttel and P. J. White, Eds., p. C6-01, Battelle Press, Columbus,  
43  
44 Ohio, USA, , *Proceedings of the third international conference on remediation of contaminated*  
45  
46 *sediment (New Orleans, La, USA; January , 2005)*  
47  
48  
49  
50 Schneiker S, Dos Santos PM, Bartels D, Bekel T, Brecht M, Buhrmester J, et al. (2006) Genome  
51  
52 sequence of the ubiquitous hydrocarbon-degrading marine bacterium *Alcanivorax borkumensis*.  
53  
54 *Nat. Biotechnol.* 24:997–1004.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4 Sprovieri M, Oliveri E, Di Leonardo R, Romano E, Ausili A, Gabellini M, et.al. (2012) An  
5  
6 integrated approach to the assessment of pollutant delivery chronologies to impacted areas: Hg  
7  
8 in the Augusta Bay (Italy). Environ. Sci. Technol.46(4):2040-6.  
9  
10  
11 Sun MY, Dafforn KA, Brown MV, Johnston EL, (2012) Bacterial communities are sensitive  
12  
13 indicators of contaminant stress. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 64(5):1029–1038.  
14  
15  
16 Teramoto M, Queck SY, Ohnishi K, (2013) Specialized Hydrocarbonoclastic Bacteria Prevailing in  
17  
18 Seawater around a Port in the Strait of Malacca. PLoS One8(6):e66594.  
19  
20  
21 Teramoto M, Ohuchi M, Hatmanti A, Darmayati Y, WidyastutiY, Harayama S, Fukunaga Y (2011)  
22  
23 *Oleibacter marinus* gen. nov., sp. nov., a bacterium that degrades petroleum aliphatic  
24  
25 hydrocarbons in a tropical marine environment. International Journal of Systematic and  
26  
27 Evolutionary Microbiology. 61:375–380.  
28  
29  
30  
31 Timmis KT, Golyshin PN, Giuliano L, (2005) Natural microbial diversity in superficial sediment  
32  
33 of Milazzo Harbour (Sicily) and community successions during microcosm enrichment with  
34  
35 various hydrocarbons. Environ. Microbiol. 7(9):1426-1441.  
36  
37  
38 Troussellier M, Got P, Mboup M, Corbin D, Giuliano L, Cappello S, Bouvy M, (2005) Daily  
39  
40 bacterioplankton dynamics in a sub-Saharan estuary (Senegal River, West Africa): a mesocosm  
41  
42 study. Aquatic. Microbial. Ecology. 40(1):13-24  
43  
44  
45 Wang LY, Ke WJ, Sun XB, Liu JF, Gu JD, Mu BZ, (2014) Comparison of bacterial community in  
46  
47 aqueous and oil phases of water-flooded petroleum reservoirs using pyrosequencing and clone  
48  
49 library approaches. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 98:4209-21.  
50  
51  
52  
53 Wang YF and Tam NF, (2012) Natural attenuation of contaminated marine sediment from an old  
54  
55 floating dock Part II: changes of sediment microbial community structure and its relationship  
56  
57 with environmental variables. Sci. Total Environ. 423:95–103.  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4 White DC, Davis WM, Nickels JS, King JD, Bobbie RJ, (1979) Determination of the sedimentary  
5  
6 microbial biomass by extractable lipid phosphate. *Oecologia*, 40:51–62  
7  
8  
9 Yakimov MM, Timmis KN, Golyshin PN, (2007) Obligate oil-degrading marine bacteria. *Curr.*  
10  
11 *Opin. Biotech.* 18:257–266  
12  
13  
14 Yakimov MM, Golyshin PN, Lang S, Moore ERB, Abraham WR, Lunsdorf H, Timmis KN, (1998)  
15  
16 *Alcanivorax borkumensis* gen. nov., sp. nov., a new, hydrocarbon-degrading and surfactant-  
17  
18 producing marine bacterium. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 48: 339-348.  
19  
20  
21 Yakimov MM, Cappello S, Crisafi E, Tursi A, Corselli C, Scarfi S, Giuliano L, (2006)Phylogenetic  
22  
23 survey of metabolically active microbial communities associated with the deep-sea coral  
24  
25  
26 *Lophelia pertusa* from the Apulian Plateau, Central Mediterran Sea. *Deep- Sea Res.* 53:62–75  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

**Table 1** - Total Hydrocarbons (HCs) and n-alkanes (C<sub>8</sub>-C<sub>30</sub>) (ng g<sup>-1</sup>) (SD) in Priolo Bay sediment.

	Sediment					
	N1	N2	N3	S4	S5	S6
<b>Total HCs</b>	3975 (119.5)	5329 (84.8)	10786 (428)	10364 (360.7)	3733 (97.8)	8905 (99.7)
<b><i>n</i>-alkanes (C<sub>8</sub>-C<sub>30</sub>)</b>	245 (13.3)	260 (21.2)	710 (31.6)	524 (41.8)	167 (17.6)	160 (8.5)

**Table 2** – Profiles of PLFAs (wt % of the total PLFAs; mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) in the surface sediment. Sums and biomarker PLFAs are also indicated below.

	N1		N2		N3		S4		S5		S6	
	mean	sd	mean	sd	mean	sd	mean	sd	mean	sd	mean	sd
<b>Saturated</b>												
12:00	5.36	0.38	5.60	0.09	5.39	0.29	4.65	0.55	4.98	0.62	4.51	0.71
14:00	5.28	0.12	5.57	0.53	5.32	0.19	4.91	0.19	4.90	0.10	4.47	0.18
15:00	2.45	0.13	2.20	0.25	2.53	0.07	1.96	0.11	1.86	0.26	1.82	0.15
16:00	15.42	0.17	15.20	0.15	15.12	0.46	15.49	0.81	14.48	1.21	13.74	0.38
17:00	1.92	0.14	1.86	0.07	1.82	0.10	1.64	0.11	1.70	0.20	1.80	0.35
18:00	8.80	0.56	9.22	0.20	8.78	0.52	8.03	0.55	8.53	0.53	8.20	1.35
20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.17	0.40	4.35	1.80	3.12	0.46
24:00	6.42	0.52	6.76	0.12	6.31	0.30	5.56	0.71	6.10	0.77	5.43	0.86
$\Sigma$ SFA	45.66	1.40	46.42	0.79	45.28	1.48	45.41	1.74	46.89	1.76	43.09	3.36
<b>Monounsaturated</b>												
16:1 n7	4.93	0.32	4.28	0.27	4.23	0.37	4.34	0.50	3.84	1.46	3.91	1.84
17:1 n7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.43	0.05
18:1 n9	4.49	0.26	4.65	0.33	4.53	0.27	4.15	0.18	4.03	0.13	4.01	0.36
18:1 n7	12.43	0.49	12.16	0.47	12.23	0.28	11.93	0.49	11.72	0.40	10.57	0.31
19:1 n9	7.06	0.97	9.52	0.19	8.36	0.97	7.08	0.89	10.12	1.69	7.44	1.23
20:1 n9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.29	0.11
20:1 n11	1.81	0.17	1.84	0.05	2.72	1.43	1.49	0.17	1.81	0.26	1.54	0.25
24:1 n9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.02	0.30
$\Sigma$ MUFA	30.73	1.22	32.43	0.59	32.08	2.11	29.00	1.09	31.51	0.23	32.21	0.16
<b>Polyunsaturated</b>												
18:2 n6	1.34	1.19	1.44	1.27	1.72	0.31	1.93	0.36	1.74	0.41	1.34	0.12
18:3 n3	0.98	0.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.37	0.04	1.41	0.22	0.37	0.64
20:2 n6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.83	0.73	0.40	0.69	0.40	0.69
20:4 n6	2.19	0.28	1.92	0.03	1.90	0.34	2.91	0.41	2.12	0.30	2.76	0.85
20:5 n3	2.84	0.33	3.08	0.50	3.07	0.52	4.24	0.38	2.73	0.34	3.98	1.47
22:4 n6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.20	0.39
22:6 n3	2.06	0.08	2.01	0.22	2.05	0.44	1.03	0.90	0.50	0.86	2.56	0.46
$\Sigma$ PUFA	9.41	2.53	8.45	1.96	8.74	0.92	12.33	2.47	8.89	1.13	14.61	3.47
<b>Branched</b>												
i-C14:00	1.15	0.06	1.20	0.06	1.25	0.05	1.11	0.06	1.17	0.04	0.97	0.16
i-15:00	3.25	0.04	2.97	0.17	3.17	0.06	3.06	0.08	2.98	0.30	2.45	0.18
a-15:00	3.04	0.06	2.64	0.31	3.27	0.15	3.64	0.37	3.06	0.34	2.22	0.07
i-16:00	2.77	0.11	2.82	0.11	2.87	0.15	2.56	0.19	2.62	0.14	2.38	0.35
i-17:00	0.13	0.09	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.11	0.23	0.04	0.08	0.14	0.09	0.11
a-17:00	1.01	0.03	0.96	0.06	0.98	0.11	0.98	0.01	0.95	0.10	0.24	0.41
$\Sigma$ br	11.35	0.08	10.60	0.59	11.60	0.46	11.58	0.28	10.86	0.66	8.34	0.21
<b>Cyclopropyl</b>												
cy-17:00	2.04	0.03	1.87	0.11	2.07	0.06	1.57	0.09	1.74	0.09	1.67	0.11
cy-19:00	0.12	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
$\Sigma$ cy	2.16	0.03	1.87	0.11	2.20	0.06	1.57	0.09	1.74	0.09	1.67	0.11
<b>Hydroxy</b>												
2-OH 10:00	0.11	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-OH 12:00	0.18	0.09	0.23	0.04	0.14	0.01	0.11	0.02	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.04
2-OH 14:00	0.53	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
$\Sigma$ OH	0.83	0.18	0.23	0.04	0.24	0.17	0.11	0.02	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.04
$\Sigma$ bact MUFA	24.42	1.17	25.95	0.85	24.83	0.78	23.36	0.85	25.68	0.59	21.92	0.44
$\Sigma$ bact	49.27	0.50	47.49	1.30	48.40	1.08	50.40	0.74	49.85	0.60	43.80	1.11
$\Sigma$ fung	2.32	2.03	1.44	1.27	1.72	0.31	3.31	0.33	3.15	0.60	1.72	0.70
$\Sigma$ fung/S bact	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.07	0.01	0.06	0.01	0.04	0.02
$\Sigma$ protozoa	2.19	0.28	1.92	0.03	1.90	0.34	3.74	0.99	2.52	0.93	3.15	1.43

**Table 3 -** Bacterial diversity indices of sediment and water samples in Priolo Bay based on DGGE profiles.

	Seawater						Sediment					
	N1	N2	N3	S4	S5	S6	N1	N2	N3	S4	S5	S6
Taxa_S	28	33	33	n.d.	34	28	34	39	41	34	36	23
Shannon	3.332	3.497	3.497	-	3.526	3.332	3.526	3.664	3.714	3.526	3.584	3.13
Chao-1	406	561	561	-	595	406	595	780	861	595	666	276

**Table 4.** Total bacterial isolates obtained from the enrichment cultures of seawater and sediment and total hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria (HB) growing exclusively on hydrocarbons.

Substrate	Sediment	Seawater	HB
<i>n</i> -alkanes <sup>a</sup>	62	31	93
Crude Oil <sup>b</sup>	55	37	92
BPH <sup>c</sup>	9	14	23
PYR <sup>d</sup>	13	7	20
DBT <sup>e</sup>	6	7	13
PHEN <sup>f</sup>	13	4	17
<b>Total</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>258</b>

<sup>a</sup> Mixtures of *n*-alkanes (C<sub>16</sub>, C<sub>18</sub>, C<sub>24</sub>)

<sup>b</sup> Arabian Light Crude Oil

<sup>c</sup> Byphenile; <sup>d</sup> Pyrene; <sup>e</sup> Dibenzothiophene; <sup>f</sup> Phenantrene

**Table 5.** Bacterial genera isolated from seawater and sediment enrichment cultures on different hydrocarbons as energy/carbon sources.

	Seawater			Sediment		
	<i>N</i> -alkanes <sup>a</sup>	Crude Oil <sup>b</sup>	PAHs <sup>c</sup>	<i>N</i> -alkanes <sup>a</sup>	Crude Oil <sup>b</sup>	PAHs <sup>c</sup>
<i>Alcanivorax</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Alteromonas</i>			+	+		
<i>Marinobacter</i>			+	+		+
<i>Marinomonas</i>	+					
<i>Oleibacter</i>	+					
<i>Pseudoalteromonas</i>	+	+	+			+
<i>Thalassospira</i>	+	+	+		+	
<i>Vibrio</i>	+				+	
<i>Croceibacter</i>			+			
<i>Cyclobacterium</i>			+			
<i>Glaciecola</i>			+			+
<i>Idiomarina</i>			+			
<i>Labrenzia</i>			+			
<i>Mesorhizobium</i>			+			
<i>Methylophaga</i>			+			
<i>Pseudidiomarina</i>			+			
<i>Rhodococcus</i>			+			
<i>Rhodobacter</i>			+			
<i>Halomonas</i>						+
<i>Roseovarius</i>			+			+
<i>Pseudomonas</i>						+
<i>Maritimibacter</i>						+
<i>Maribacter</i>						+

<sup>a</sup> Mixtures of *n*-alkanes: C<sub>16</sub>. C<sub>18</sub>. C<sub>24</sub>

<sup>b</sup> Arabian Light Crude Oil


<sup>c</sup> Mixture of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: byphenile. pyrene. dibenzothiophene. Phenantrene



**Table 6.** List of bacteria detected by PCR-DGGE in the seawater and sediment samples


Band No.	Sample	Sequence length (bp)	Closest sequence match (Accession No)	Score (% sequence similarity)	E value
<b>Sediment</b>					
1s	N3	125	Uncultured bacterium ( <i>Alcanivorax</i> sp.) (GU797076.1)	149 (86)	7e-33
2s	N1	131	Uncultured <i>Thermoanaerobacterium</i> (JF819847.1)	134 (83)	2e-28
3s	N1	142	Uncultured bacterium (Gammaproteobacteria) (FM214477.1)	185 (90)	1e-43
4s	N1	124	Uncultured bacterium (Gammaproteobacteria) (KF741563.1)	185 (94)	9e-44
<b>Seawater</b>					
1w	N1	162	Uncultured bacterium ( <i>Alteromonas</i> ) (GQ377785.1)	230 (93)	4e57
2w	N2	134	Uncultured <i>Thalassobius</i> (HQ836410.1)	165 (88)	1e-37
3w	N1	116	Uncultured <i>Alteromonas</i> ( HQ836379.1)	268 (96)	1e-68

## FIGURES and LEGENDS




Sampling Point	Station Code	Latitude	Longitude	Depth
A	N1	37.16619894	15.20074368	5 m
B	N2	37.16770367	15.20604372	10 m
C	N3	37.16927676	15.21497011	20 m
D	S4	37.15569923	15.21703005	5 m
E	S5	37.15850386	15.21939039	10 m
F	S6	37.1630184	15.22239447	20 m


**Figure 1** - Map showing the location of sampling stations in Priolo Bay (Augusta, Sicily, Italy) The bathymetry of the sampling area is indicated by white dashed lines and numbers.




**Figure 2** - Microbial abundance measured as DAPI total counts (black bars); CFU of heterotrophic cultivable bacteria (grey bars); most probable number (MPN) (white bars) in seawater (A) and superficial sediment (B)




**Figure 3** - Principal coordinates analysis (PCO) ordination based on Bray-Curtis similarity of arcsine-transformed PLFAs of surface sediment from Priolo Bay. The contribution of each axis (PCO1 and PCO2) to the total variation is shown. Vectors related to PLFA biomarkers of specific groups of microbial communities were superimposed to the graph. Clusters defined at 91% of similarity were superimposed too.



**Figure 4** Bacterial diversity of seawater and surface sediment of Priolo Bay . (A) Cluster analysis dendrogram displaying the bacterial community of seawater and surface sediment samples. Jaccard's coefficient and 100 bootstrap analysis was used to measure similarity among the eleven DGGE banding patterns. (B) DGGE profiles of PCR-amplified 16S rDNA V3 region from seawater and surface sediment samples. Red arrowheads indicate the predominant band shared by all samples that were reamplified and sequenced (table 6) S4 water sample is missing.



**Figure 5** - Relative abundance of HC-degrading bacterial genera isolated from (a) seawater and (b) sediment of Priolo Bay by enrichment cultures.



**Supplementary Fig. S1.** Sediment samples of Priolo Bay.