

Early subclinical ventricular dysfunction in patients with insulin resistance

Giuseppina Novo, Marinella Pugliesi, Claudia Visconti, Pietro Spatafora, Marianna Fiore, Riccardo Di Miceli, Francesco Paolo Guarneri, Giustina Vitale and Salvatore Novo

Aims The aim of our study was to evaluate the relationship between insulin resistance and the detection of precocious echocardiographic signs of heart failure in patients with cardiovascular risk factors.

Methods We enrolled 34 consecutive patients with cardiovascular risk factors. All patients underwent coronary angiography, echocardiography, and laboratory tests. Exclusion criteria were diabetes (fasting glucose greater than 126 mg/dl or treatment with insulin or oral hypoglycemic agents), coronary artery disease, creatinine above 1.5 mg/dl, left-ventricular hypertrophy, valvular heart disease, ejection fraction below 50%, atrial fibrillation, or other severe arrhythmia. The presence of insulin resistance was assessed by using the Homeostasis Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR). Ventricular function was investigated by echocardiography.

Results Distinguishing patients with insulin resistance, based on the median value of HOMA-IR (<4.06 and >4.06), we observed that in the group with higher levels of HOMA-IR, there were echocardiographic signs of subclinical ventricular dysfunction statistically more frequent (E/A in group with HOMA <4.06: 1.159 + 0.33 vs. group with HOMA >4.06: 0.87 + 0.29, $P=0.0136$; E/E': 6.42 + 4 vs. 15.52 + 3.26, $P=0.001$; Tei index: 0.393 + 0.088 vs. 0.489 + 0.079, $P=0.0029$; S wave: 0112 + 0.015 vs. 0.114 + 0.027, $P=0.0001$; ejection fraction 59.11 + 4.75 vs. 58.88 + 6.81, $P=0.9078$). Grade II diastolic dysfunction was observed in 5 patients, grade I in 12 patients, and 17 patients had normal diastolic function. On multivariate analysis,

HOMA-IR ($P=0.0092$), hypertension ($P=0.0287$), waist circumference ($P=0.0009$), high-density lipoprotein ($P=0.0004$), and fasting blood glucose ($P=0.0003$) were variables independently associated with diastolic dysfunction. On analysis of covariance, we found that the variables that influence diastolic dysfunction are HOMA-IR, waist circumference, BMI, and age, and that the only variable that influences Tei index is HOMA-IR.

Conclusion Insulin resistance is frequently associated with subclinical left-ventricular dysfunction. Patients with cardiovascular risk factors and increased HOMA-IR levels, although without diabetes mellitus, overt coronary artery disease, or hypertensive cardiomyopathy, may represent a target population for screening programs, recommended changes in lifestyle, and possibly the use of pharmacological interventions to prevent the onset of heart failure.

J Cardiovasc Med 2014, 15:110–114

Keywords: insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome, subclinical ventricular dysfunction

Chair of Cardiovascular Diseases, Center for the early Diagnosis of Preclinical and Multifocal Atherosclerosis and for Secondary Prevention of Cardiovascular Diseases, Division of Cardiology, University Hospital 'Paolo Giaccone', University of Palermo, Italy

Correspondence to Marinella Pugliesi, MD, via Tommaso papa n70, Alcamo (TP) 91011, Italy
 Tel: +39 320 084 2995; fax +39 92 451 4792; e-mail: 87marinella@live.it

Received 20 November 2012 Revised 20 April 2013
 Accepted 18 May 2013

Background

Heart failure is a common disease, with an estimated prevalence of 1–2% in the general population.¹ It is important to identify individuals at risk and to prevent the onset of clinically overt disease. Symptoms and signs of heart failure may also occur in patients who have normal left-ventricular systolic function [diastolic heart failure or heart failure with normal ejection fraction (HF_nEF)].^{2,3}

Left-ventricular diastolic dysfunction (LVDD), the precursor of diastolic heart failure, is an important predictor of cardiovascular mortality and morbidity in the general population.⁴ Also, subtle and subclinical signs of

ventricular dysfunction are associated with an increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.^{5–7} Insulin resistance may precede diabetes by a decade or more, and it is a pathogenic factor for type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).⁸ Furthermore, insulin resistance has been shown to be an independent predictor of T2DM⁹ and predicted systolic heart failure incidence independently from established risk factors including diabetes in the community.¹⁰ Little is known about the interactions of insulin resistance and LVDD. Diastolic dysfunction can precede the development of diabetes, suggesting that it is not exclusively a complication of diabetes, but rather a coexisting condition. The aim of the present study is to explore the relationship between insulin resistance and the detection

of echocardiographic signs of subclinical ventricular dysfunction (SVD), in patients with cardiovascular risk factors.

Methods

We enrolled 34 consecutive patients with cardiovascular risk factors, selected from 1010 patients who had been referred to our cardiology unit for suspected ischemic heart disease, in the period between May 2010 and May 2012. The protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee, and signed, informed consent was obtained from all patients. Inclusion criteria were scheduled coronary angiography and the presence of one or more cardiovascular risk factors.

All patients underwent coronary angiography, echocardiography, and laboratory tests. Exclusion criteria were diabetes (fasting glucose greater than 126 mg/dl or treatment with insulin or oral hypoglycemic agents), coronary artery disease (CAD), creatinine above 1.5 mg/dl, left-ventricular hypertrophy, more than mild valvular heart disease, ejection fraction below 50%, and atrial fibrillation or other severe arrhythmia. Cardiovascular risk factors considered were blood pressure levels above 140/90 mmHg or antihypertensive treatment, fasting blood glucose greater than 100 mg/dl, waist circumference greater than 102 cm in men and greater than 88 cm in women, triglycerides above 150 mg/dl, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) below 40 mg/dl in men and below 50 mg/dl in women, and BMI greater than 30 kg/m². BMI was calculated as weight (kg)/height (m)².

The presence of insulin resistance was assessed by using the Homeostasis Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR), a calculated parameter of insulin resistance that relates fasting glucose and insulin according to the formula proposed by Matthews *et al.* [fasting glucose (mmol/l) × Insulin (mU/ml)]/22.5,¹¹ and compared to the glucose clamp technique by Bonora *et al.*¹² In this study, the value of HOMA-IR chosen for threshold of insulin resistance, was higher than 2.¹³ Venipuncture was performed on all patients after a 12-h fast, to determine basal glycemia and basal insulinemia in fasting state. Samples for the assay of plasma glucose were analyzed within 1 h of sampling, whereas the samples for insulin dosage were stored at -20°C and analyzed during dedicated sessions. Hemolyzed samples were excluded because the hemolysis produces enzymes that degrade the insulin, causing a dramatic underestimation. The presence of ventricular dysfunction was investigated by echocardiography. Echocardiography was performed using Acuson Sequoia equipment with a multifrequency probe following the recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography (ASE).¹⁴ Ejection fraction was measured by the biplane Simpson method. Trans-mitral flow was explored by pulsed Doppler (PWD), placing the sample volume at the distal end of the mitral leaflets in four-chamber view and by measuring the peak

E wave, A wave, and E/A ratio. The tissue Doppler was recorded at the septal and lateral mitral annulus, and E1 wave, A1 wave, and S wave were evaluated. The presence of diastolic dysfunction was defined on the basis of recent recommendations by ASE/European Association of Echocardiography (EAE).¹⁵ The Tei index was measured with tissue Doppler at the level of septal and lateral mitral annuli, adding the isovolumetric contraction time and the isovolumetric relaxation time and dividing by the ejection time.¹⁶ The Tei index was considered to be above the norm when it exceeded a value of 0.40. The presence of SVD was defined as an impairment of diastolic function or Tei index. All echocardiographic examinations were performed by two physicians experienced in the technique, and analyses of ventricular dysfunction were double-blinded for insulin resistance. The statistical analysis was performed using the software Stview. We observed the presence of normal distribution of variables by using coefficient of skewness and coefficient of Kurtosis. Data were expressed as mean ± SD. Differences between groups were analyzed with the Student's *t*-test. Statistical significance was considered to be reached when the difference between the groups reached a *P* value of less than 0.05.

We assessed the relationship between HOMA-IR and echocardiographic parameters of SVD with a logistic regression model and we performed a multivariate analysis to highlight any clinical variables independently associated with SVD. We performed correlation analysis (Pearson test) between variables HOMA-IR, hypertension, HDL, fasting blood glucose, waist circumference, and presence of diastolic dysfunction. Moreover, we performed analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) for assessing the differences in dependent variable scores after statistically controlling for the covariates.

Results

We included 34 patients in the study (M:F = 23:11, mean age was 60.29 ± 11.59 years). Clinical, laboratory, and echocardiographic parameters of the study population are shown in Table 1. All patients had normal ventricular size; left atrium size was on average increased in the population. Distinguishing patients with insulin resistance based on the median value of HOMA-IR (<4.06 and ≥4.06) we have observed that in the group with higher levels of HOMA-IR, there were echocardiographic signs of diastolic dysfunction and subclinical systolic dysfunction was statistically more frequent (Table 2).

Seventeen patients had HOMA-IR above 4.06, and they all had evidence of SVD. In patients with insulin resistance, the BMI, the fasting glucose, and the fasting insulin level were significantly higher compared to those without insulin resistance. Ejection fraction did not differ between patients with HOMA-IR of 4.06 or less. A higher

Table 1 Clinical, laboratory, and echocardiographic parameters of the study population (mean \pm SD)

Age (years)	60.29 \pm 11.59
Men (n)	11
MAP (mmHg)	98.33 \pm 10.15
SBP (mmHg)	135.29 \pm 17.53
Waist circumference (cm)	101.17 \pm 20.49
Triglyceridemia	121.64 \pm 41.25
HDL	50.58 \pm 13.27
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.565 \pm 3.64
Fasting glucose (mg/dl)	101.529 \pm 13.71
Fasting insulin level (mIU/ml)	17.758 \pm 7.15
HOMA-IR	4.06 \pm 2.23
Heart rate (bpm)	78.5 \pm 12.86
EF (%)	59 \pm 5.78
E/A	1.029 \pm 0.33
E/E'	10.692 \pm 5.79
S wave (m/s)	0.112 \pm 0.02
Tei index	0.438 \pm 0.09

bpm, beats per minute; EF, ejection fraction; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, Homeostasis Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance; MAP, mean arterial pressure.

prevalence of SVD was found in the group with HOMA-IR of at least 4.06 (lower E/A ratio, higher TEI index values, higher E/E' ratio; see Table 2).

Seventeen patients had evidence of LVDD according to the recent EAE guidelines.¹⁶ Grade I was observed in 12 patients, grade II LVDD in 5 patients, and 17 had normal diastolic function.

We performed univariate analysis to assess the association between the presence of diastolic dysfunction and other variables such as hypertension, age, HOMA, waist circumference, BMI, heart rate, ejection fraction, triglycerides, fasting blood glucose, and HDL (see Table 3). Multivariate analysis was later performed to assess whether there were clinical variables independently associated with diastolic dysfunction. We included in the analysis only those variables that had reached statistical significance at univariate analysis. We found

Table 2 Clinical and echocardiographic parameters stratified by mean value of HOMA-IR (< and \geq 4.06)

	HOMA-IR <4.06	HOMA-IR \geq 4.06	P value
Age (years)	57.06 \pm 10.90	65.23 \pm 9.96	0.01
Men (n)	64.70 (11)	70.58 (12)	0.4128
MAP (mmHg)	90.97 \pm 7.92	105.68 \pm 5.92	<0.0001
SBP (mmHg)	124.70 \pm 14.62	145.88 \pm 13.49	0.0001
Waist circumference (cm)	91.58 \pm 17.17	110.76 \pm 19.38	0.0045
Triglyceridemia	99.82 \pm 16.54	143.47 \pm 47.16	0.0011
HDL	56.11 \pm 10.51	45.05 \pm 13.70	0.0127
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.824 \pm 2.58	29.307 \pm 3.78	0.0037
Fasting glucose (mg/dl)	91.352 \pm 11.83	111.705 \pm 5.28	0.0062
Fasting insulin level (mIU/ml)	12.7 \pm 2.91	22.817 \pm 6.522	0.0062
HOMA-IR	2.80 \pm 0.67	6.292 \pm 1.82	<0.001
Heart rate (bpm)	75.35 \pm 10.68	81.647 \pm 14.34	0.1567
EF (%)	59.11 \pm 4.75	58.88 \pm 6.81	0.9078
E/A	1.159 \pm 0.33	0.871 \pm 0.29	0.0136
E/E'	6.42 \pm 4	15.52 \pm 3.26	0.001
Tei index	0.393 \pm 0.088	0.489 \pm 0.079	0.0029
S wave (m/s)	0.112 \pm 0.015	0.114 \pm 0.027	0.0001

bpm, beats per minute; EF, ejection fraction; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, Homeostasis Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance; MAP, mean arterial pressure.

Table 3 Association between the presence of diastolic dysfunction and clinical parameters (univariate analysis), and clinical variables independently associated with diastolic dysfunction (multivariate analysis)

Variables	Univariate		Multivariate	
	Coefficient	P	Coefficient	P
Age	0.01894	0.0106	0.00771	0.0677
SBP	0.01774	0.0001	0.00306	0.3496
Waist circumference	0.01176	0.005	0.003230	0.2175
Triglyceridemia	0.0066	0.0011	0.00104	0.4447
Fasting blood glucose	0.02787	0.0001	0.01279	0.0056
HDL	-0.01617	0.0127	-0.00359	0.3391
BMI	-0.00006171	0.3754		
HOMA-IR	0.18	0.001	0.07877	0.0118
Heart rate	0.009801	0.1567		
EF	-0.001808	0.9078		

bpm, beats per minute; EF, ejection fraction; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, Homeostasis Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance.

that HOMA-IR and fasting blood glucose were variables independently associated with diastolic dysfunction on multivariate logistic regression (Table 3). On Pearson analysis, we found that HOMA-IR, hypertension, waist circumference, HDL, fasting blood glucose, BMI, and age were significantly correlated with diastolic dysfunction (Table 4).

Among variables that showed a correlation with diastolic dysfunction, we found that at ANCOVA, those that influence diastolic dysfunction are HOMA-IR ($P < 0.001$) and waist circumference ($P < 0.001$), BMI ($P < 0.001$) and age ($P < 0.001$). The only variable that influences Tei index is HOMA-IR ($P = 0.04$).

Discussion

Our study aimed to evaluate the relationship between insulin resistance and precocious echocardiographic signs of ventricular dysfunction in patients with cardiovascular risk factors. Insulin resistance can contribute to the diastolic dysfunction by different mechanisms; the alteration of the insulin signaling, the enhanced deposition of nonenzymatic glycation end products in the extracellular matrix, the increase of the deposition of myocardial collagen with down-regulation of metalloproteinases (MMPs) and tissue inhibitor of up-regulation MMP,¹⁷ altered metabolism of fatty acids,¹⁸ and endothelial

Table 4 Pearson test (correlation analysis between variables and presence of diastolic dysfunction)

Variables	Correlation coefficient <i>r</i>	P
SBP	0.6129	0.0001
Waist circumference	0.4748	0.0045
Fasting blood glucose	0.7531	<0.0001
HDL	-0.4229	0.0127
HOMA-IR	0.7941	<0.0001
BMI	0.4848	0.0037
Age	0.4326	0.0106

HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, Homeostasis Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance.

dysfunction.¹⁹ In particular, the alteration of fatty acids metabolism can contribute to the impaired relaxation of the left ventricle, inhibiting the oxidation of glucose and consequently the availability of ATP.²⁰

In addition, insulin resistance and the consequent hyperinsulinism may promote the activation of the sympathetic nervous systems,²¹ and increase the activation of the rennin–angiotensin–aldosterone system and consequently the production of collagen,^{22,23} which can lead to fibrosis and diastolic dysfunction. Hyperinsulinemia can also lead to increased myocardial mass through its growth-stimulating function and probably differential organ-specific levels of insulin resistance.²⁴ These observations suggest a theoretical basis for our observation of subtle changes in myocardial, specifically diastolic, function in prediabetes.

To avoid the possible influence of confounding factors on the development of SVD in our study, we excluded patients with diabetes, CAD, renal impairment, left-ventricular hypertrophy, more than mild valvular heart disease, reduced ejection fraction, atrial fibrillation, or other severe arrhythmias as stated in the method section.

Only a limited number of studies in the literature have shown the presence of such an association. Recently, it was shown that insulin resistance and glucose abnormalities are predictors for incident heart failure independently from diabetes.^{10,25,26} However, most of these studies have methodological limitations regarding the identification of LVDD. The criteria used to define LVDD were highly variable and predominantly did not consider the diagnostic echocardiographic guidelines. Furthermore, most of these studies did not screen for the presence of CAD using coronary angiography.

An important limitation of our study is the small, although well selected, sample size and the unbalanced sex distribution. Moreover, we did not use the gold standard in the assessment of insulin sensitivity, that is the glucose clamp. However, previous studies have shown that HOMA-IR is strongly related to clamp-measured insulin resistance in both diabetic and nondiabetic patients.

A possible clinical implication of our study is that patients with insulin resistance constitute a population at risk of heart failure that need to undergo screening programs for early detection of the disease, life style changes, and early therapeutic intervention.

In all patients with insulin resistance, weight loss, in cases of obesity, and aerobic exercise to improve insulin resistance is therefore recommended. Furthermore, drugs that reduce the activation of the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system, and drugs that increase insulin sensitivity and improve glucose utilization may be suggested early to groups with insulin resistance. In these patients, early intervention in the subclinical phase may allow the

reversibility of the damage and thus prevent the progression to overt and often irreversible clinical disease.

Conclusion

Our results demonstrated that insulin resistance is associated with SVD. Patients with cardiovascular risk factors without diabetes mellitus, without overt CAD, and without hypertensive cardiomyopathy may represent a target population for screening programs, recommended changes in lifestyle, and possibly use of pharmacological interventions to prevent the onset of heart failure. However, our study is a pilot study and large prospective epidemiological studies are needed to confirm our results.

References

- McMurray JJ, Adamopoulos S, Anker SD, *et al.* ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2012: The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure 2012 of the European Society of Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur Heart J* 2012; **33**:1787–1847.
- Bhatia RS, Tu JV, Lee DS, *et al.* Outcome of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction in a population-based study. *N Engl J Med* 2006; **355**:260–269.
- Owan TE, Hodge DO, Herges RM, Jacobsen SJ, Roger VL, Redfield MM. Trends in prevalence and outcome of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med* 2006; **355**:251–259.
- Mogelvang R, Sogaard P, Pedersen SA, *et al.* Cardiac dysfunction assessed by echocardiographic tissue Doppler imaging is an independent predictor of mortality in the general population. *Circulation* 2009; **119**:2679–2685.
- Redfield MM, Jacobsen SJ, Burnett JC, Mahoney DW, Bailey KR, Rodeheffer RJ. Burden of systolic and diastolic ventricular dysfunction in the community: appreciating the scope of the heart failure epidemic. *J Am Med Assoc* 2003; **289**:194–202.
- Bella JN, Palmieri V, Roman MJ, *et al.* Mitral ratio of peak early to late diastolic filling velocity as a predictor of mortality in middle-aged and elderly adults: the Strong Heart Study. *Circulation* 2002; **105**:1928–1933.
- Wang M, Yip GW, Wang AY, *et al.* Peak early diastolic mitral annulus velocity by tissue Doppler imaging adds independent and incremental prognostic value. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003; **41**:820–826.
- Bonora E, Kiechl S, Willeit J, *et al.* Population-based incidence rates and risk factors for type 2 diabetes in white individuals: the Bruneck study. *Diabetes* 2004; **53**:1782–1789.
- Bonora E, Formentini G, Calcaterra F, *et al.* HOMA-estimated insulin resistance is an independent predictor of cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetic subjects: prospective data from the Verona Diabetes Complications Study. *Diabetes Care* 2002; **25**:1135–1141.
- Ingelsson E, Arnlöv J, Sundström J, Zethelius B, Vessby B, Lind L. Novel metabolic risk factors for heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005; **46**:2054–2060.
- Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 1985; **28**:412–419.
- Bonora E, Targher G, Alberiche M, *et al.* Homeostasis model assessment closely mirrors the glucose clamp technique in the assessment of insulin sensitivity. *Diabetes Care* 2000; **23**:57–63.
- Caccamo G, Bonura F, Vitale G, *et al.* Insulin resistance and acute coronary syndrome. *Atherosclerosis* 2010; **211**:672–675.
- Lang RM, Bierig M, Devereux RB, *et al.* Recommendations for chamber quantification: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography's Guidelines and Standards Committee and the Chamber Quantification Writing Group, developed in conjunction with the European Association of Echocardiography, a branch of the European Society of Cardiology. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2005; **18**:1440–1443.
- Nagueh SF, Appleton CP, Gillebert TC, *et al.* Recommendations for the evaluation of left ventricular diastolic function by echocardiography. *Eur J Echocardiogr* 2009; **2**:165–193.
- Tei C, Ling LH, Hodge DO, *et al.* New index of combined systolic and diastolic myocardial performance: a simple and reproducible measure of cardiac function: a study in normals and dilated cardiomyopathy. *J Cardiol* 1995; **26**:357–366.

- 17 Van Heerebeek L, Borbely A, Niessen HW, *et al*. Myocardial structure and function differ in systolic and diastolic heart failure. *Circulation* 2006; **113**:1966–1973.
- 18 Stanley WC, Lopaschuk GD, McCormack JG. Regulation of energy substrate metabolism in the diabetic heart. *Cardiovasc Res* 1997; **34**:25–33.
- 19 Bronzwaer JG, Paulus WJ. Nitric oxide: the missing lusitrope in failing myocardium. *Eur Heart J* 2008; **29**:2453–2455.
- 20 Liedtke AJ, DeMaison L, Eggleston AM, Cohen LM, Nellis SH. Changes in substrate metabolism and effects of excess fatty acids in reperfused myocardium. *Circ Res* 1988; **62**:535–542.
- 21 Anderson EA, Hoffman RP, Balon TW, Sinkey CA, Mark AL. Hyperinsulinemia produces both sympathetic neural activation and vasodilation in normal humans. *J Clin Invest* 1991; **87**:2246–2252.
- 22 Gaboury CL, Simonson DC, Seely EW, Hollenberg NK, Williams GH. Relation of pressor responsiveness to angiotensin II and insulin resistance in hypertension. *J Clin Invest* 1994; **94**:2295–2300.
- 23 Sartori M, Ceolotto G, Papparella I, *et al*. Effects of angiotensin II and insulin on ERK1/2 activation in fibroblasts from hypertensive patients. *Am J Hypertens* 2004; **17**:604–610.
- 24 Holmång A, Yoshida N, Jennische E, Waldenström A, Björntorp P. The effects of hyperinsulinaemia on myocardial mass, blood pressure regulation and central haemodynamics in rats. *Eur J Clin Invest* 1996; **26**:973–978.
- 25 Ingelsson E, Sundstrom J, Arnlov J, Zethelius B, Lind L. Insulin resistance and risk of congestive heart failure. *J Am Med Assoc* 2005; **294**:334–341.
- 26 Thrainsdottir IS, Aspelund T, Thorgeirsson G, *et al*. The association between glucose abnormalities and heart failure in the population-based Reykjavik study. *Diabetes Care* 2005; **28**:612–616.